PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: *August 15, 2023* Revised: *April 29, 2024* Accepted: *May 13, 2024* Published: *June 19, 2024*

Probing lepton number violation and Majorana nature of neutrinos at the LHC

K.S. Bab[u](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6147-5155) , Rahool K. Barman [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5878-1909) Dorival Gonçalve[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4223-4238) and Ahmed Ismail

Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, 74078, U.S.A. E-mail: [kaladi.babu@okstate.edu,](mailto:kaladi.babu@okstate.edu) [rahool.barman@okstate.edu,](mailto:rahool.barman@okstate.edu) [dorival@okstate.edu,](mailto:dorival@okstate.edu) ahmed.ismail.osu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Observation of lepton number (*L*) violation by two units at colliders would provide evidence for the Majorana nature of neutrinos. We study signals of *L*-violation in the context of two popular models of neutrino masses, the type-II seesaw model and the Zee model, wherein small neutrino masses arise at the tree-level and one-loop level, respectively. We focus on *L*-violation signals at the LHC arising through the process $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell'^{\pm} + \text{jets}$ within these frameworks. We obtain sensitivity to *L*-violation in the type-II seesaw model for triplet scalar masses up to 700 GeV and in the Zee model for charged scalar masses up to 4.8 TeV at the high-luminosity LHC with an integrated luminosity of 3 ab^{-1} .

Keywords: Baryon/Lepton Number Violation, Specifc BSM Phenomenology

ArXiv ePrint: [2212.08025](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.08025)

Contents

1 Introduction

The existence of small but non-zero neutrino masses, as implied by the neutrino oscillation experiments, is clear evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). While it is possible that neutrinos are Dirac particles with their masses arising from extremely small Yukawa couplings involving right-handed neutrinos, a more natural scenario would be to introduce their masses via the dimension-fve Weinberg operator [\[1\]](#page-14-0). In this case lepton number is not conserved and neutrinos are Majorana particles. The minimal UV complete possibilities realizing this scheme at the tree-level are the type-I $[2-6]$ $[2-6]$, type-II $[7-10]$ $[7-10]$, and type-III [\[11\]](#page-15-0) seesaw models, that extend the SM respectively with right-handed neutrinos, a complex scalar triplet, and fermionic triplets [\[12\]](#page-15-1). Alternatively, small Majorana neutrino masses may be induced as quantum corrections arising through loop diagrams. In such models, the scale of new physics can be naturally low, since the neutrino mass is suppressed by a loop factor as well as by charged fermion masses. The Zee model is the simplest extension that falls in this category, which generates neutrino masses radiatively at one-loop [\[13\]](#page-15-2). Other model frameworks have been proposed to generate neutrino masses at one-loop [\[14\]](#page-15-3), two-loops [\[15,](#page-15-4) [16\]](#page-15-5), and three-loops [\[17\]](#page-15-6), see refs. [\[18,](#page-15-7) [19\]](#page-15-8) for recent reviews, updates and references.

A Majorana mass term for the neutrino would explicitly break lepton number (*L*) by two units ($|\Delta L| = 2$). Establishing the Majorana nature of the neutrino would have profound impact in our understanding of the cosmos, since the same interactions can lead to baryonantibaryon asymmetry of the universe via leptogenesis [\[20\]](#page-15-9). The observation of neutrinoless double decay (0*νββ*-decay) [\[21\]](#page-15-10) of atomic nuclei would provide direct evidence for lepton number violation (LNV) by two units, which is being explored experimentally with increased sensitivity (for a recent review see ref. [\[22\]](#page-15-11)). At high energy scales, the LHC provides another interesting probe for LNV, which is the focus of this paper. Since lepton number (LN) is zero in the initial state in *pp* collisions, it is possible to infer LNV at the LHC by observing fnal states with non-zero LN. This requires signatures with an excess of leptons or anti-leptons in the fnal state carrying non-zero lepton number. The classic LNV signature at colliders is the final states with same-sign dilepton plus jets, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell'^{\pm} +$ jets, first proposed by Keung and Senjanovic [\[23\]](#page-15-12) in the context of left-right symmetric models (LRSM) [\[6,](#page-14-2) [10,](#page-14-4) [24](#page-15-13)[–26\]](#page-15-14). Here *pp* collision would produce a right-handed Majorana neutrino *N* and a charged lepton

 ℓ^{\pm} via *s*-channel exchange of a heavy W_R^{\pm} gauge boson, with *N* decaying into ℓ'^{\pm} + jets by virtue of its Majorana nature. The resulting process, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} + \text{jets}$, clearly shows signs of *L*-violation by two units. Prospects for LNV signatures at the LHC in the LRSM have been extensively explored $[27-45]$ $[27-45]$.

Several authors have investigated LNV signatures within the general framework of type-I seesaw mechanism [\[36,](#page-16-1) [39,](#page-16-2) [41,](#page-16-3) [42,](#page-16-4) [46–](#page-16-5)[56\]](#page-17-0). Signals analogous to the Keung-Senjanovic process can be realized here as well, with *s*-channel exchange of W^{\pm} gauge boson producing $N + \ell^{\pm}$ pair. However, the production cross-section for this process is suppressed by the square of the ν − *N* mixing angle $\theta_{\nu N}$ which is highly constrained by neutrino mass. In a one-generation model, this mixing is given by $\theta_{\nu N} \simeq \sqrt{m_{\nu}/M_N} \sim 10^{-6}$ (for $M_N \sim 100 \,\text{GeV}$), leading to unobservable *L*-violation signals. With three families of active neutrinos mixing with three right-handed neutrinos, the intricate connection between the active-sterile mixing and the neutrino mass can be evaded by cancellation or by approximate symmetries. However, it has been shown in refs. [\[50,](#page-17-1) [57\]](#page-17-2) that in this case lepton number turns out to be nearly conserved, suppressing *L*-violation signals at the LHC. Typically, the studies of *L*-violation in the context of type-I seesaw model take a phenomenological approach and treat the $\nu - N$ mixing to be independent of the neutrino masses.

The phenomenology of type-II seesaw model at colliders has been extensively studied in refs. [\[58–](#page-17-3)[62\]](#page-17-4), which are crucial in testing several aspects of the neutrino mass generation mechanism. To our knowledge, a lepton number violating signal has not been shown to be observable at colliders in this framework.[1](#page-2-0)

There have been studies of *L*-violation in a general Higgs triplet model in the decay of top quark [\[66\]](#page-17-5) and in efective feld theory approach [\[67–](#page-17-6)[70\]](#page-18-0), which are however not directly tied to the neutrino masses. The complementarity between $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay and LNV searches at the LHC in the same-sign dilepton plus jets channel has also been explored in simplifed model frameworks [\[69–](#page-18-1)[75\]](#page-18-2). *L*-violation signals at the LHC in explicit neutrino mass models arising from $d = 7$ operators has been studied in ref. [\[76\]](#page-18-3), in a colored scalar extension in ref. [\[77\]](#page-18-4) and the Zee-Babu model [\[78\]](#page-18-5). *L*-violation in Higgs boson decay has been studied in ref. [\[40\]](#page-16-6) in the context of left-right symmetric models.

In the present study, we investigate LNV signatures at the LHC in two popular models that generate Majorana masses for neutrinos at tree-level and one-loop level, respectively, the type-II seesaw model [\[7](#page-14-3)[–10\]](#page-14-4) and the Zee model [\[13\]](#page-15-2). The masses of new particles in both frameworks can be $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$, well within reach of the LHC. We study their sensitivity to LNV through the same-sign dilepton plus jets signature, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} +$ jets, at the high-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), where $\ell = e, \mu$. Whereas new physics searches have been extensively explored in the literature for the type-II seesaw model [\[58](#page-17-3)[–61\]](#page-17-7) and the Zee model [\[18,](#page-15-7) [19,](#page-15-8) [79](#page-18-6)[–82\]](#page-18-7), the present paper derives, for the frst time, the sensitivity to *lepton number violation* in these two popular frameworks. We also draw some comparisons on the constraints between the considered search channel and the standard ones with null lepton number in the fnal states.

¹For instance, there are already stringent limits on doubly charged scalar masses from both ATLAS and CMS, where the channel $pp \to \delta^{\pm\pm} \delta^{\mp\mp} \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \ell^{\mp}$ plays a leading role [\[63–](#page-17-8)[65\]](#page-17-9). Whereas the observation of this standard type-II seesaw signal would be a clear evidence of new physics, it does not warrant a sign for LNV as this fnal state has null lepton number.

Figure 1. Diagram illustrating the correspondence among Majorana mass generation for neutrinos (top), 0*νββ* decay (bottom-left), and lepton number violating signatures at the LHC (bottom-right). In the botom-right diagram $\ell^- \ell'^-$ in the final state can be replaced by $\ell^+ \ell'^+$ as well. All the diagrams depicted violate lepton number by two units, $|\Delta L| = 2$.

Observation of $\Delta L = 2$ signal at the LHC via the process $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} +$ jets would imply that neutrinos are Majorana particles. This inference is possible by virtue of a black box theorem discussed in section [2](#page-3-0) which was originally applied to neutrinoless double beta decay [\[83\]](#page-18-8), which we extend to collider signals. Unlike 0*νββ* signals which applies only to electron favor, at colliders any favor of leptons with same sign would result in the conclusion that neutrinos are Majorana particles.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We discuss the correspondence between LNV at colliders and Majorana masses for neutrinos in section [2.](#page-3-0) In section [3,](#page-4-0) we study the projected HL-LHC sensitivity for LNV with same-sign dilepton plus jets in the type-II seesaw model. Section [4](#page-7-0) provides an analogous interpretation for the Zee model. We conclude in section [5.](#page-13-0)

2 Black box theorem at colliders

The *black box theorem* [\[83\]](#page-18-8) establishes a direct correlation between the observation of 0*νββ* decay and Majorana masses for the neutrinos. A cartoon representation of the 0*νββ* decay contribution to the neutrino Majorana mass is illustrated in fgure [1.](#page-3-1) This theorem ensures that the LNV interactions, which imply non-zero rates for 0*νββ* decay, will also induce non-zero Majorana mass for neutrinos, at least at four-loop, irrespective of the underlying new physics model generating LNV. Although the contribution from $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay to the neutrino masses can be extremely small and subject to model details [\[84\]](#page-18-9), the black box theorem nonetheless implies that neutrinos are Majorana in nature. The signal for 0*νββ* decay is yet to be observed. Recent searches for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ⁷⁶Ge isotope constrains the half-life to $T_{1/2}(0\nu\beta\beta) \gtrsim 1.8 \times 10^{26}$ yr by GERDA [\[85\]](#page-18-10), improving over previous measurements [\[86](#page-18-11)[–88\]](#page-19-0). Future experiments are expected to augment the sensitivity in lifetime by an order of magnitude or more [\[89\]](#page-19-1).

It is possible to extend the black box theorem to same-sign dileptons plus jets signals, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ + jets, at colliders. This process violates lepton number by two units and displays a topology analogous to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, as illustrated in figure [1.](#page-3-1) Hence, if we observe a signature of LNV with $\Delta L = 2$ at the LHC, this would imply Majorana nature for the neutrinos. While the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay signals only probe effective LNV interactions with two electrons e^-e^- , the LHC can probe signals with electrons and muons in several combinations $(e^{\pm}e^{\pm}, \mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}, e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm})$, increasing the sensitivity to a wider array of effective LNV interactions. The fnal state quarks could be of any favor, CKM mixing would guarantee that neutrino mass would be induced by closing the quark loops.

3 LNV signature in the type-II seesaw model

The type-II seesaw model is a theoretical realization for non-zero neutrino masses that requires the existence of a $SU(2)_L$ triplet Higgs boson Δ [\[7–](#page-14-3)[10\]](#page-14-4) with hypercharge $Y = 1$. The relevant Lagrangian terms leading to neutrino mass in this framework are

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -(Y_{\nu})_{\alpha\beta} l_{L\alpha}^T C i\sigma_2 \Delta l_{L\beta} + \mu H^T i\sigma_2 \Delta^{\dagger} H + \text{h.c.}
$$
\n(3.1)

where Y_ν is a 3 × 3 complex symmetric matrix, $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ are flavor indices, *C* is the charge conjugation matrix, and $l_L^T = (\nu_L^T, e_L^T)$ stands for the three lepton doublets. After electroweak symmetry breaking, the neutral component Δ^0 acquires a vacuum expectation value (VEV) $v_{\Delta} = \mu v_0^2 /$ $\sqrt{2}M_{\Delta}^2$, where M_{Δ} is the mass of Δ^0 and $(v_0^2 + 2v_{\Delta}^2) \simeq (246 \text{ GeV})^2$. Here v_0 is the VEV of the neutral component of the Higgs doublet. This symmetry-breaking pattern generates Majorana neutrino masses given by $m_{\nu} = \sqrt{2Y_{\nu}v_{\Delta}}$. One of the most distinguished phenomenological features of the type-II seesaw model is that the new triplet scalar fields can directly couple to the SM gauge bosons (W^{\pm}, Z, γ) , leading to exciting signatures at the LHC [\[58](#page-17-3)[–61\]](#page-17-7). The doubly charged member of the triplet scalar can also contribute to $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay [\[90\]](#page-19-2), however the corresponding amplitude is suppressed by a factor $Y_\nu v_\Delta/M_\Delta^2 \sim m_\nu/M_\Delta^2$, compared to the amplitude for light neutrino exchange which goes as $m_{\nu}/\langle q^2 \rangle$ with $\langle q^2 \rangle \sim (100 \text{ MeV})^2$, leading to null constraints for $M_{\Delta} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ [\[90,](#page-19-2) [91\]](#page-19-3).

In this section, we study the LHC signals of LNV in the type-II seesaw scenario. In order to defne LNV signals at the LHC, it is enough to observe fnal states with non-zero lepton number. In practice, this results in the search for events with an excess of leptons or anti-leptons, ensuring that we do not lose track of the lepton number with neutrinos in the fnal state. We will study a striking type-II seesaw signature of this type, characterized by the final state $\ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ + jets.

The leading production channels of doubly charged scalars at the LHC for the type-II scenario are via the *s*-channel electroweak process, displaying the dominant associated production of double and single charged Higgs bosons $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp}$, followed by double charged Higgs pair production $pp \to \delta^{++} \delta^{--}$. In addition, the type-II seesaw model can also produce double charged scalars via the vector boson fusion (VBF) mode, $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} jj$. In fgure [2](#page-5-0) (left panel), we illustrate the production cross-section for these channels as a function of m_δ . We observe that the VBF mode increases in relevance for large values of m_δ , however, it still presents challenging event rates. In fgure [2](#page-5-0) (right panel), we display the cross-section for the same channels as a function of the VEV of Δ , v_{Δ} . While the Drell-Yan production channels do not result in any v_{Δ} dependence, the VBF rate has a quadratic suppression, $\sigma(pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} j j) \propto v_{\Delta}^2$. Electroweak precision observables, ρ/T -parameter, constrain the

Figure 2. Cross-section for doubly charged Higgs boson pair production $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp}$ (black solid), $pp \to \delta^{++}\delta^{--}$ (black dashed), and single production $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm}jj$ (red) as a function of m_δ (left panel) and *v*_∆ (right panel). We assume the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *v*_∆ = 1 GeV on the left panel, and m_{δ} = 500 GeV on the right panel. Minimal selections p_{Tj} > 20 GeV and $|\eta_j|$ < 5 are applied for the *δ* ±±*jj* process.

model parameters to the region with $v_{\Delta} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV [\[92\]](#page-19-4), limiting the phenomenological relevance of the VBF channel.

The LNV signatures $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} +$ jets arise from all three leading production channels for doubly charged scalar(s)

$$
pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp} \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} tb, \ \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} W^{\mp} Z/H \,, \tag{3.2}
$$

$$
pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp \mp} \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} W^{\mp} W^{\mp}, \tag{3.3}
$$

$$
pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} j j \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} j j , \qquad (3.4)
$$

where the associated SM resonances W, Z, t , and H decay hadronically. We display a representative set of Feynman diagrams for these processes in fgure [3.](#page-6-0) This signature presents augmented event rates for values of $v_{\Delta} \sim 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}$. This parameter region benefits simultaneously from singly and doubly charged scalars decays that are proportional to the neutrino Yukawa couplings Y_{ν} ($\delta^{\pm \pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ and $\delta^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \nu$) and proportional to v_{Δ} $(\delta^{\pm \pm} \to W^{\pm} W^{\pm} \text{ and } \delta^{\pm} \to tb, W^{\pm} Z, W^{\pm} H).$

We perform the Monte Carlo generation of the signal channels shown in eqs. (3.2) – (3.4) with MADGRAPH5AMC@NLO using the type-II FEYNRULES model file [\[93,](#page-19-5) [94\]](#page-19-6). Parton shower and hadronization effects are accounted for with PYTHIA8 $[95]$. Detector effects are simulated with DELPHES3 $[96]$, using the default HL-LHC detector card $[97]$. The same-sign dilepton searches sufer from large backgrounds from nonprompt leptons. Nonprompt leptons refer to leptons arising from decays of heavy favor hadrons and jets misidentifed as leptons. Since these background components are challenging to simulate, we compare the signal cross-sections for our model with the cross-section limits from same-sign dilepton plus jets search from CMS scaled to the HL-LHC integrated luminosity to ensure robust numerical comparison [\[98\]](#page-19-10). We assume that the uncertainties are statistics-dominated. This CMS study originally focused on new physics interpretations in terms of supersymmetric models conserving or violating R-parity.

Figure 3. Representative set of Feynman diagrams contributing to the LNV signature $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell'^{\pm}$ + jets in the type-II seesaw model.

We start our analysis demanding exactly two same-sign leptons with transverse momenta $p_{T\ell} > 25 \text{ GeV}$ and rapidity $|\eta_\ell| < 2.5$ for electrons ($|\eta_\ell| < 2.4$ for muons). We reject events with same (different) flavor leptons with invariant mass $m_{\ell\ell} < 12 \,\text{GeV}$ (8 GeV), and $\not\hspace{-1.2mm}E_T < 50 \,\text{GeV}$. We note that the signal process typically exhibits small $\not\mathbb{E}_T$ due to a lack of invisible particles in the partonic final state. Nonetheless, some $\not\hspace{-.15cm}/_{T}$ may arise from hadronization, such as from a *b* jet. Jets are defined with the anti-kt jet algorithm with radius $R = 0.4$, $p_{Tj} > 40$ GeV, and $|\eta_i|$ < 2.4. We require two or more jets in the event and the scalar p_T sum of all jets $H_T > 1125 \,\text{GeV}.$

In figure [4,](#page-7-1) we present the LHC prediction for $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ + jets (red-solid) in the normal mass hierarchy scenario. The results satisfy the neutrino global ft presented in ref. [\[100\]](#page-19-11). We have taken the central values of the global fts presented in ref. [\[100\]](#page-19-11) in our analysis, along with $m_{\nu_1} = 0.05 \text{ eV}$, and vanishing Majorana phases. We also assume that the triplet scalars have a common mass and denote it as $m_δ$. The CMS limit on the cross-section was scaled to the HL-LHC integrated luminosity $\mathcal{L} = 3$ ab⁻¹ (red-dashed line) [\[98\]](#page-19-10). We observe that our type-II signal displays relevant rates for moderate *v*∆, probing the parameter region 3×10^{-5} GeV $\le v_\Delta \le 2 \times 10^{-4}$ GeV at 95% CL for $m_\delta = 500$ GeV. For a choice of $v_\Lambda = 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}$, we can probe this signal of LNV at the HL-LHC up to $m_\delta = 700 \text{ GeV}$. The dominant contributions for the signal are given by the channels in eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) . The VBF channel presented in eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-5-2) displays subleading efects, being suppressed by v_{Δ} , as shown in figure [2](#page-5-0) (right panel).

To illustrate the relevance of our LNV $\ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ + jets search for the type-II seesaw, we present the ATLAS analyses on $\ell^+ \ell^+ \ell^- \ell^-$ (blue-dashed) and $W^+ W^+ W^- W^-$ (black-dashed), rescaling the limits to the HL-LHC luminosity [\[63,](#page-17-8) [99\]](#page-19-12). While a possible observation of an

Figure 4. Cross-section for $pp \to \delta^{++}\delta^{--} \to \ell^+\ell^+\ell^-\ell^{\prime-}$ with $\ell = e, \mu$ (blue solid), $pp \to \delta^{++}\delta^{--} \to$ $W^+W^+W^-W^-$ (black solid), and $pp \to \delta^{\pm\pm}\delta^{\mp\mp} + \delta^{\pm\pm}\delta^{\mp} + \delta^{\pm\pm}jj \to \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm} + \text{ jets}$ (red solid) in the Type II seesaw as a function of v_{Δ} (left panel) and m_{δ} (right panel). The 95% confidence level limits for the high-luminosity LHC with 3 ab^{-1} (dashed) are shown for all considered channels. They are obtained from refs. [\[63,](#page-17-8) [98,](#page-19-10) [99\]](#page-19-12), scaling the limits to the HL-LHC integrated luminosity. We assume that the uncertainties are dominated by statistics. The results satisfy the neutrino global ft, in the normal mass hierarchy scenario (NH), presented in ref. [\[100\]](#page-19-11). We assume the central values for the fit parameters along with $m_{\nu_1} = 0.05$ eV, Majorana phases $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = 0$, $m_{\delta^{++}} = m_{\delta^+} = m_{\delta} = 500$ GeV (left panel), $v_{\Delta} = 10^{-4}$ GeV (right panel), and the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. For more details on the analytical branching ratio dependencies of $\delta^{\pm \pm}$ and δ^{\pm} , see, for instance, ref. [\[59\]](#page-17-10).

excess of events in one of these two standard channels represents a clear sign of new physics, it does not phenomenologically translate into evidence of LNV as both channels display null lepton number in the fnal state. The correspondent signal generation was performed with the same Monte Carlo setup as for our $\ell^{\pm}\ell'^{\pm}$ + jets study. The signal cross-sections for $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp \mp} \to \ell^+ \ell^+ \ell^- \ell^-$ and $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp \mp} \to W^+ W^+ W^- W^-$ are shown in blue-solid and black-solid lines, respectively. Whereas the 4 ℓ signature thrives in the small v_{Δ} region and the 4*W* benefits from large v_{Δ} , the $\ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ + jets can probe intermediate values of v_{Δ} being complementary to both channels. Hence, the $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} +$ jets search, in addition to providing a striking LNV signal, results in a competitive signature for intermediate *v*[∆] regimes for the type-II seesaw model.

4 LNV signature in the Zee model

In this section, we study the projected sensitivity of LNV signals at the HL-LHC within the framework of the Zee model of neutrino masses [\[13\]](#page-15-2). The Zee model is perhaps the simplest extension of the SM that can generate non-zero neutrino masses radiatively at the one-loop level. The new physics responsible for inducing neutrino mass can be at the TeV-scale, since neutrino masses sufer from a loop suppression as well as a chiral suppression proportional to the charged lepton masses.

The model extends the SM by introducing a second $SU(2)_L$ Higgs doublet Φ_2 and a charged scalar singlet η^+ . The SM-like Higgs doublet is denoted as Φ_1 . The two Higgs doublets $\{\Phi_1, \Phi_2\}$ can be redefined to a new basis $\{H_1, H_2\}$, where the neutral component of H_1 solely acquires a VEV v , in the so-called Higgs basis. The charged scalar doublet H_2^+ and singlet

 η^+ are composed of physical mass eigenstates $\{h^+, H^+\}$, with $h^+ = \cos \varphi H_2^+ - \sin \varphi \eta^+$ and $H^+ = \sin \varphi H_2^+ + \cos \varphi \eta^+$. The mixing angle φ is given by $\sin 2\varphi = -\sqrt{2}v\mu/(m_{H^+}^2 - m_{h^+}^2)$. Here, μ is the coefficient for the scalar cubic coupling term in the Higgs potential

$$
V \supset \mu H_1^T i \sigma_2 H_2 \eta^- + h.c. \tag{4.1}
$$

The leptonic and quark Yukawa Lagrangian for the BSM Higgs felds in the Higgs basis can be expressed as

$$
\mathcal{L}_Y \supset -f_{\alpha\beta} l_{L\alpha}^T C i\sigma_2 l_{L\beta} \eta^+ - Y_{\alpha\beta} \bar{l}_{L\alpha} H_2 l_{R\beta} - Y_{d\alpha\beta} \bar{Q}_{L\alpha} H_2 d_{R\beta} - Y_{u\alpha\beta} \bar{Q}_{L\alpha} \tilde{H}_2 u_{R\beta} + \text{h.c.}, \tag{4.2}
$$

where $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ are the generation indices, $f_{\alpha\beta} = -f_{\beta\alpha}$ is an antisymmetric matrix in flavor space, Q_L denotes the left-handed quark doublet, while l_R , d_R and u_R are the right-handed charged lepton, down-type and up-type quark singlets, respectively. (Y, Y_u, Y_d) are the Yukawa coupling matrices for the second Higgs doublet and $H_2 \equiv i\sigma_2 H_2^{\star}$. The charged lepton mass matrix $M_{\ell} = \hat{Y}v/\sqrt{2}$ can be chosen to be diagonal such that $M_{\ell} = (m_e, m_{\mu}, m_{\tau})$ after electroweak symmetry breaking. This diagonalization is achieved by transforming *Y* to a diagonal basis $\hat{Y}, Y \to \hat{Y}$, through bi-unitary transformations, without any loss of generality. Note that we have allowed the most general set of Yukawa couplings in eq. [\(4.2\)](#page-8-0), including couplings of quarks with H_2 . The Yukawa coupling matrices of H_1 to the up-type and down-type quarks, which generate their masses are denoted as \tilde{Y}_u and \tilde{Y}_d , respectively.

The leptonic Yukawa interactions terms in eq. [\(4.2\)](#page-8-0), together with the cubic coupling terms in eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-8-1) induce explicit lepton number violation by two units. This leads to non-zero neutrino masses induced at the one-loop level, with the mass matrix expressed as $m_{\nu} = \kappa (f M_{\ell} Y + Y^T M_{\ell} f^T)$, where $\kappa = (1/16\pi^2) \sin 2\varphi \log(m_{h^+}^2/m_{H^+}^2)$ is the one-loop suppression factor. Consistency with neutrino oscillation data requires the product of *f* and *Y* to be small, which can be realized by adopting $\mathcal{O}(1)$ values for *Y* and very small *f* couplings, *f* ≪ 1, or *vice versa*. Since our goal is to study the LHC signals of lepton number violation. we consider the latter scenario, $f \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $Y \ll 1$, as the LNV interactions exclusively arise from the *f*-dependent couplings of η^+ in conjunction with the cubic scalar coupling μ .

As discussed previously in section [3,](#page-4-0) the lepton number violating signature is characterized by two same-sign charged leptons plus jets, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} +$ jets. In the Zee model, such a final state can arise from LNV decays of the charged scalar h^+ on account of its η^+ admixture thanks to the cubic scalar coupling μ of eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-8-1). Expanding the first term of the Lagrangian in eq. (4.2) , and using eq. (4.1) , the LNV interaction terms for the h^+ can be expressed as follows:

$$
-\mathcal{L}_Y \supset 2\sin\varphi \ h^+[f_{e\mu}(\bar{\nu_\mu^c}e_L - \bar{\nu_e^c}\mu_L) + f_{e\tau}(\bar{\nu_\tau^c}e_L - \bar{\nu_e^c}\tau_L) + f_{\mu\tau}(\bar{\nu_\mu^c}\tau_L - \bar{\nu_\tau^c}\mu_L)] + h.c. \quad (4.3)
$$

We focus on the region of parameter space where $f_{e\mu} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) > f_{e\tau}, f_{\mu\tau}$, ignoring the implications from the latter two couplings for our collider study. This is preferable since $f_{e\tau}$ and $f_{\mu\tau}$ would lead to signatures with τ leptons in the final state. Both leptonic and hadronic decay modes of the *τ* result in neutrinos which can carry away an unknown lepton number, impeding the reconstruction of the total lepton number for the fnal state. On the other hand, $f_{e\mu}$ would allow LNV decays of h^{\pm} into the same-sign electron-muon pair plus

Figure 5. Feynman diagrams at the Born-level illustrating the leading *s*-channel (*left panel*) and sub-leading *t*-channel (*right panel*) production modes for singly charged Higgs h^{\pm} in the Zee model, leading to LNV signatures $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} + \text{jets}.$

jets, $h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} (W^{\mp} \to j j)$, which can be fully reconstructed. It should be noted that from the expression for the neutrino mass matrix m_{ν} given above, for any given value of f_{eu} one can fnd a choice of other parameters of the model where neutrino oscillation data can be fitted [\[19\]](#page-15-8). We also note that within our scenario $(Y \ll 1)$, $f_{e\mu}$ is most stringently constrained by the lepton-hadron universality tests [\[101,](#page-19-13) [102\]](#page-19-14), which imposes the updated upper limit $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|^2 \leq 0.02 (m_h + / \text{TeV})^2$. We shall impose this constraint in our analysis.

We next focus on the production of h^{\pm} at the LHC. By virtue of its mixing with the charged doublet Higgs H_2^+ which has Yukawa couplings to quarks, h^+ can be resonantly produced in the quark fusion channel. The h^{\pm} field would decay predominantly into $\ell^{\pm} \nu$, which is however not useful for *L*-violation studies owing to the presence of neutrinos. However, h^{\pm} has a subleading decay into $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}W^{\mp}$, which gives significant event rates. For example, at $m_{h^{\pm}} = 1$ TeV, the branching ratios for the $h^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \nu$ and $e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} W^{\mp}$ decay modes are roughly 24% and 1.2%, respectively, considering $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi| \sim 0.1$ and $|(Y_{u/d})_{11} \cos \varphi| =$ $|(Y_{u/d})_{33} \cos \varphi| \sim 0.1$. Observable LNV signature can thus arise, even with the small branching ratio of order 1\%, in the resonant h^{\pm} production channel $pp \to h^{\pm} \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} W^{\mp}$ with the *W*[∓] boson decaying hadronically. Sub-leading contributions emerge from h^{\pm} mediation in the *t*-channel. Representative Feynman diagrams at the Born-level for *s*- and *t*-channel h^{\pm} exchange are shown in fgure [5.](#page-9-0) It is worth pointing out that the topology of the right panel diagram of fgure [5](#page-9-0) is the so-called vector-scalar exchange contribution for neutrinoless double beta decay [\[103\]](#page-19-15), if the fnal state leptons are two electrons. We consider both production modes in the present analysis. In order to avoid the stringent favor constraints from meson decays [\[104–](#page-19-16)[106\]](#page-20-0), all entries for $Y_{u/d}$ are set to zero, except for first $(Y_{u/d})_{11}$)and third $(Y_{u/d})_{33}$ generation diagonal entries.^{[2](#page-9-1)} Thus, in the present scenario, the charged Higgs h^{\pm} contributes to leptonic decay, credits to $f_{e\mu} = -f_{\mu e}$, and hadronic decay into first and third-generation quarks. Typically, this implies constraints from pion decay and nuclear *β* decay. However, h^{\pm} couples with charged and neutral leptons from different flavors due to the antisymmetric structure of *f*, leading to no interference with the *W* boson-mediated nuclear β decay. The constraints from beta decay are therefore very weak for h^{\pm} masses of

²To be somewhat more general, we chose $|(Y_q)_{33} \cos \varphi|$ to be 0.1 instead of zero.

Figure 6. Upper limits at 95% CL from dijet searches on $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ as a function of charged Higgs mass $m_{h^{\pm}}$ for the Zee model.

order TeV. Furthermore, pseudoscalar interactions are necessary to induce contributions to charged pion decay, which would be highly constraining. A pseudoscalar coupling of h^{\pm} of the form $y_p \cos \phi (\overline{u}\gamma_5 d) h^+$ would lead a constraint of $|y_p f_{e\mu} \cos \phi \sin \phi| \leq 5 \times 10^{-4} (m_h \pm / \text{TeV})^2$ from $\Gamma(\pi \to e\nu_\mu)/\Gamma(\pi \to \mu\nu_\mu)$ measurement [\[107\]](#page-20-1). This constraint can be evaded by taking $(Y_d)_{11} = (Y_u)_{11} \equiv (Y_q)_{11}$, in which case the interaction of h^{\pm} with the quarks are purely scalar with $y_p = 0$. Therefore, constraints from pion decay and β decay can be safely ignored. Under the previously discussed assumptions, the only constraints on the $u\bar{d}h^+$ couplings $(\propto |(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|)$ that govern h^{\pm} production at the LHC arise from resonant dijet searches at the LHC. We also note that the heavier charged Higgs boson H^{\pm} is expected to be almost degenerate to the heavier neutral scalar Higgs H^0 , and considering the stringent limits on the mass of H^0 , we choose heavier H^{\pm} such that they don't significantly impact the signal process.

Dijet searches at the LHC have been recast to a simplifed scenario featuring an axial vector mediator [\[108\]](#page-20-2). The search results are presented there as upper limits on the axial vector coupling to quarks and the mediator's mass. We translate them to the limits on the ${m_{h+}}$, $(Y_a)_{11}$ cos φ plane for the Zee model by comparing the production cross-sections for $pp \rightarrow jj$ at the leading order with those in the simplified axial vector model. In figure [6,](#page-10-0) we show the limits from dijet searches performed using LHC $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV data at $\frac{1}{s}$ $\mathcal{L} = 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ [\[109\]](#page-20-3) (brown) and $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ data at $\mathcal{L} = 37 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ [\[110\]](#page-20-4) (orange). The green and red (purple) contours show the limits from boosted dijet $+$ ISR searches [\[111\]](#page-20-5) and resolved dijet + γ (*j*)-ISR searches [\[112\]](#page-20-6). The aforesaid search analyses consider a sliding window-ft of the dijet invariant mass distribution to estimate the background, thus, making them sensitive below a certain width-to-mass ratio Γ_{h+}/m_{h+} . The dijet limits are valid up to $\Gamma_{h+}/m_{h+} \leq 15\%$, while the dijet + ISR search limits are valid only up to $\Gamma_{h^+}/m_{h^+} \leq 10\%$ [\[108\]](#page-20-2). We also show the limits from trigger-object-level (TLA) dijet [\[113\]](#page-20-7) (blue) and dijet angular analysis [\[110\]](#page-20-4) (black). The TLA dijet analysis focuses on two distinct selection criteria, $|y^*| < 0.3$ and $|y^*| < 0.6$, where $y^* = (y_1 - y_2)/2$ with y_1 and *y*² being the pseudorapidity of the highest and second-highest *p^T* jets at the trigger-level. The TLA dijet analysis with $|y^*| < 0.3$ is sensitive up to $\Gamma_{h^+}/m_{h^+} \leq 10\%$, while the TLA

$m_{h^{\pm}}$ [GeV]	$ f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi $	$ (Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi $	$\Gamma_{h^{\pm}}$ [GeV]	$\sigma(pp \to e^+ \mu^+ jj)$ [fb]
160	0.02	0.23	0.53	0.40
200	0.03	0.19	0.46	1.4
400	0.06	0.21	1.3	10.3
600	0.08	0.11	0.91	13.6
800	0.11	0.05	0.86	3.1
1030	0.15	0.11	1.7	7.2
1500	0.21	0.10	2.3	3.0
1900	0.27	0.12	3.5	2.1
3000	0.42	0.33	22	0.73
4000	0.57	0.55	77	0.16

Table 1. Highest allowed values for $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ from lepton-hadron universality constraints [\[101\]](#page-19-13) and $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ from dijet searches at the LHC [\[108](#page-20-2)[–113\]](#page-20-7) for several charged Higgs masses $m_{h^{\pm}}$ in the Zee model, with $f_{e\mu} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) > f_{e\tau}, f_{\mu\tau}, Y_{\ell\ell} \ll 1$, $(Y_q)_{11/33} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, and $(Y_q)_{22}$, $(Y_q)_{ij}$ $(i \neq j) = 0$. We also restrict to $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi| < 0.1$ and $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi| < 1$ in order to avoid perturbativity issues. The total decay width for the charged scalar is also shown along with the tree-level production rates for the LNV signal, $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} j j$, at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

dijet analysis assuming $|y^*| < 0.6$ is sensitive only up to $\Gamma_{h^+}/m_{h^+} \leq 7\%$ [\[108\]](#page-20-2). The angular dijet analysis is sensitive for wider resonances and is valid up to $\Gamma_{h+}/m_{h+} \leq 50\%$.

In table [1,](#page-11-0) we show the highest allowed values for $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ and $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ from lepton-hadron universality constraints (using the previously discussed inequality $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ $0.02(m_h[±]/TeV)²$ and dijet bounds (see figure [6\)](#page-10-0), respectively, for various charged Higgs masses. We note that the respective couplings have been restricted to $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi| < 0.1$ and $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi| < 1$ in order to avoid endangering perturbativity, thus making our results conservative estimates. The total decay width for h^{\pm} and the truth-level leading order cross-section for the LNV signal $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} j j$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, computed using MADGRAPH5AMC@NLO [\[93\]](#page-19-5), are also shown.

The $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}$ + jets signal events in the Zee model are simulated using the analysis setup described in section [3.](#page-4-0) Similar event selection criteria are also adopted, except that we consider two signal regions, one with $H_T \subset [300, 1125]$ GeV and another with $H_T > 1125$ GeV motivated by the CMS analysis for same-sign leptons plus jets [\[98\]](#page-19-10). We refer to the two signal regions as SRA and SRB, respectively. It is worth mentioning again that the requirement of low missing energy $(\not{E}_T < 50 \text{ GeV})$ is crucial to avoid neutrinos, which could carry away the lepton number.

In figure [7,](#page-12-0) we present the cross-sections for the LNV signal $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} +$ jets (blacksolid) at the $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV LHC. The signal cross-section involves a product of the production rate of h^{\pm} , which is primarily influenced by $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ and $m_{h^{\pm}}$, the partial decay width of $h^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \nu$, which is determined by $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|, |(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|, (Y_q)_{33}$ $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|, |(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|, (Y_q)_{33}$ $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|, |(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|, (Y_q)_{33}$ ³, and $m_{h^{\pm}}$, and the signal efficiencies in the signal regions SRA and SRB. While performing the collider analysis,

³Adopting a conservative approach, we set $|(Y_q)_{33} \cos \varphi| = 0.1$ while performing the analysis.

Figure 7. Cross-section for same-sign dilepton plus jets production $pp \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} + \text{jets}$ (blacksolid) as a function of the charged Higgs mass m_h [±] at the $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV LHC. The 95% confidence level limits for the high-luminosity LHC with 3 ab[−]¹ (dashed) are shown for signal regions SRA $[H_T \subset [300, 1125]$ GeV] (red) and SRB $[H_T > 1125$ GeV] (blue).

we consider the highest values of $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ consistent with the dijet bounds, as shown in table [1.](#page-11-0) Likewise, we consider the highest values of $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ from the lepton-hadron universality constraints, listed in table [1,](#page-11-0) for $m_h^{\pm} \lesssim 800$ GeV. In the heavy h^{\pm} regime, $m_{h\pm} \gtrsim 800$ GeV, $|f_{e\mu} \sin \phi| \geq 0.1$ is consistent with these constraints. However, as mentioned previously, adopting a conservative approach, we restrict $|f_{e\mu} \sin \phi| \lesssim 0.1$ in order to avoid any perturbativity issues. Therefore, we fix $|f_{e\mu} \sin \phi| = 0.1$ in the $m_h^{\pm} \gtrsim 800$ GeV region while performing the collider analysis, whose results are shown in figure [7.](#page-12-0) The characteristics observed in the lower $m_{h^{\pm}}$ region in figure [7](#page-12-0) can be attributed to the features in the upper limits $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ from dijet searches, as shown in figure [6.](#page-10-0) We reiterate that the leading contribution to the LNV signal arises from resonant h^{\pm} production in the *s*-channel. Note that the resonant charged Higgs production cross-section is found to be roughly consistent with that given in ref. [\[114\]](#page-20-8) for the case of two Higgs doublet model. The projected sensitivities at the HL-LHC in SRA (red-dashed) and SRB (blue-dashed), is computed by extrapolating the CMS limits [\[98\]](#page-19-10) for the respective signal regions through luminosity scaling. Signal regions SRA and SRB play a complementary role, with the former being optimal at lower charged Higgs mass, $m_{h^{\pm}} \leq 800$ GeV.

We observe that the LNV signature $pp \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} +$ jets in the Zee model can be probed at the HL-LHC in signal region SRA for $m_{h^{\pm}} \subset [300, 1550]$ GeV, and in SRB for $m_{h^{\pm}} \subset [500, 4800]$ GeV, at 95% CL. It is important to note that the LNV signal features a relatively small missing transverse energy \not{E}_T irrespective of $m_{h^{\pm}}$, resulting from hadronization of the *b* and light flavored quarks. As discussed previously, smaller $\not\!\!E_T$ values are a characteristic feature of the LNV signature, since the same-sign dilepton plus jets signal will be typically accompanied by neutrinos in the absence of lepton number violating couplings leading to relatively higher \not{E}_T distributions. It is also worth noting that h^{\pm} can be probed at the LHC in DY production, $pp \to \gamma^*/Z \to h^{\pm}h^{\mp} \to \ell^{\pm}\ell'^{\mp} + \rlap{\,/}E_T$. However, this channel is weakly constrained at the LHC $[19]$ by stau $(\tilde{\tau})$ pair production searches [\[115\]](#page-20-9).

Figure 8. Projected exclusion regions in the plane of $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ and $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ in the Zee model from searches in the lepton number violating $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} +$ jets final state in signal region SRA (blue-shaded) and SRB (red-shaded) at the HL-LHC at 95% CL. Three diferent charged Higgs masses are considered, $m_{h^{\pm}} = (0.5, 1, 1.5)$ TeV. Current upper limits on $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ from lepton-hadron universality (LHU) constraints, $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|^2 \leq 0.02 \times (m_{h\pm}/\text{TeV})^2$ [\[101\]](#page-19-13), and on $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ from dijet searches at the LHC (see fgure [6\)](#page-10-0) are shown (grey-shaded).

We cast the results into the plane of $|f_{e\mu} \sin \varphi|$ and $|(Y_q)_{11} \cos \varphi|$ in figure [8](#page-13-1) to evaluate the projected reach at the HL-LHC for three different charged Higgs masses, $m_{h^{\pm}} = 0.5, 1, 1.5$ TeV. The grey-shaded region represents the parameter space excluded by current constraints. The blue and red-shaded areas fall within the projected reach of LNV searches in the $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}$ + jets channel at the HL-LHC at 95% CL for signal regions SRA and SRB, respectively. We observe that the HL-LHC will be able to extend the reach further beyond the current sensitivity. Besides, as discussed previously, at lower charged Higgs masses, $m_{h\pm} \sim 500$ GeV, SRA is comparatively more sensitive than the SRB. Their relative impact is reversed in the case of $m_{h^{\pm}} \sim 1$ and 1.5 TeV.

5 Summary

Whether lepton number is a broken symmetry of nature or not is one of the profound questions in the SM. It strongly correlates with the question regarding the nature of neutrinos, credits to the black box theorem. Various SM extensions have attempted to address these issues. The type-II seesaw model and the Zee model are compelling minimal extensions that generate small Majorana masses for the neutrinos implying LNV by two units. In a favorable range of parameters, the new scalar particles predicted by these models can be accessed at the LHC while being consistent with neutrino oscillation data.

In this paper we analyzed the HL-LHC prospects for lepton number violation signature, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm}$ + jets, arising in the type-II seesaw model and the Zee model through detailed collider studies. In the type-II seesaw model, we considered three leading production channels for $\delta^{\pm\pm}$ that result in final states with *L*-violation, namely Drell-Yan production of double and single-charged scalars, $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} \delta^{\mp}$, pair production of double charged scalars $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm}$, and VBF production $pp \to \delta^{\pm \pm} j j$. We performed a collider study using the signal regions from the current CMS analysis [\[98\]](#page-19-10) for the same-sign dilepton plus jets. The upper limits on the signal cross-sections at the HL-LHC are obtained from the CMS measurements through luminosity rescaling. Searches in the $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} +$ jets results in a compelling signature for lepton number violation at the HL-LHC at 95% CL, at intermediate $v_{\Delta} \sim 10^{-4}$ GeV. The

search potential of the LNV signal is also shown to be complementary to the sensitivity of the standard $\delta^{\pm \pm}$ searches in the 4 ℓ and 4 W final states.

An analogous search strategy is adopted to analyze the HL-LHC sensitivity for the lepton number violating signal, $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} +$ jets, in the Zee model of neutrino mass. The LNV signal originates from decays of h^{\pm} , with the leading production process being resonant h^{\pm} production via quark fusion. Here again, we observe that the HL-LHC will be able to probe the LNV signal at 95% CL through searches in the $pp \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} +$ jets channel, for a wide range of charged Higgs masses, $m_{h^{\pm}} \subset [0.3, 4.8]$ TeV. Notably, our results clearly demonstrate that the *L*-violating signature, $pp \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\prime \pm} + \text{jets}$, in the type-II seesaw and the Zee Model, has the potential to be discovered at the HL-LHC.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant number DE-SC0016013. Some computing for this project was performed at the High Performance Computing Center at Oklahoma State University, supported in part through the National Science Foundation grant OAC-1531128.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(CC-BY4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] S. Weinberg, *Baryon and Lepton Nonconserving Processes*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.1566)* **43** (1979) 1566 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/144673)].
- [2] P. Minkowski, $\mu \to e\gamma$ *at a Rate of One Out of* 10⁹ *Muon Decays?*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(77)90435-X)* **67** (1977) 421 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/4994)].
- [3] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, *Complex Spinors and Unifed Theories*, *Conf. Proc. C* **790927** (1979) 315 [[arXiv:1306.4669](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.4669)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/9686)].
- [4] S.L. Glashow, *The Future of Elementary Particle Physics*, *[NATO Sci. Ser. B](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-7197-7_15)* **61** (1980) 687 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/144466)].
- [5] T. Yanagida, *Horizontal gauge symmetry and masses of neutrinos*, *Conf. Proc. C* **7902131** (1979) 95 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/143150)].
- [6] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.912)* **44** (1980) 912 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/143802)].
- [7] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, *Neutrino Mass Problem and Gauge Hierarchy*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90825-4)* **94** [\(1980\) 61](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90825-4) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/152871)].
- [8] J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in* SU(2) × U(1) *Theories*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.2227)* **22** [\(1980\) 2227](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.2227) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/153987)].
- [9] T.P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, *Neutrino Masses, Mixings and Oscillations in* SU(2) × U(1) *Models of Electroweak Interactions*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.2860)* **22** (1980) 2860 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/9562)].
- [10] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino Masses and Mixings in Gauge Models with Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.165)* **23** (1981) 165 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/154264)].
- [11] R. Foot, H. Lew, X.G. He and G.C. Joshi, *Seesaw Neutrino Masses Induced by a Triplet of Leptons*, *[Z. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01415558)* **44** (1989) 441 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/24434)].
- [12] E. Ma, *Pathways to naturally small neutrino masses*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1171)* **81** (1998) 1171 [[hep-ph/9805219](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9805219)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/469994)].
- [13] A. Zee, *A Theory of Lepton Number Violation, Neutrino Majorana Mass, and Oscillation*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90349-4) Lett. B* **93** [\(1980\) 389](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90349-4) [*Erratum ibid.* **95** (1980) 461] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/152341)].
- [14] L.J. Hall and M. Suzuki, *Explicit R-Parity Breaking in Supersymmetric Models*, *[Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90513-3)* **231** [\(1984\) 419](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90513-3) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/190286)].
- [15] A. Zee, *Quantum Numbers of Majorana Neutrino Masses*, *[Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90475-X)* **264** (1986) 99 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/218115)].
- [16] K.S. Babu, *Model of 'Calculable' Majorana Neutrino Masses*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)91584-5)* **203** (1988) 132 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/22952)].
- [17] L.M. Krauss, S. Nasri and M. Trodden, *A model for neutrino masses and dark matter*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.085002) Rev. D* **67** [\(2003\) 085002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.085002) [[hep-ph/0210389](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0210389)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/600744)].
- [18] Y. Cai, T. Han, T. Li and R. Ruiz, *Lepton Number Violation: Seesaw Models and Their Collider Tests*, *[Front. in Phys.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2018.00040)* **6** (2018) 40 [[arXiv:1711.02180](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.02180)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1634858)].
- [19] K.S. Babu, P.S.B. Dev, S. Jana and A. Thapa, *Non-Standard Interactions in Radiative Neutrino Mass Models*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2020\) 006](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)006) [[arXiv:1907.09498](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09498)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1745937)].
- [20] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Baryogenesis Without Grand Unifcation*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(86)91126-3)* **174** [\(1986\) 45](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(86)91126-3) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/226949)].
- [21] W.H. Furry, *On transition probabilities in double beta-disintegration*, *Phys. Rev.* **56** [\(1939\) 1184](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.56.1184) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/42670)].
- [22] W. Rodejohann, *Neutrino-less Double Beta Decay and Particle Physics*, *[Int. J. Mod. Phys. E](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301311020186)* **20** [\(2011\) 1833](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301311020186) [[arXiv:1106.1334](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.1334)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/912926)].
- [23] W.-Y. Keung and G. Senjanović, *Majorana Neutrinos and the Production of the Right-handed Charged Gauge Boson*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.1427)* **50** (1983) 1427 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/189726)].
- [24] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, *Lepton Number as the Fourth Color*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.275)* **10** (1974) 275 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/89207)].
- [25] R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, *Left-Right Gauge Symmetry and an Isoconjugate Model of CP Violation*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.11.566)* **11** (1975) 566 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/89314)].
- [26] R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, *A Natural Left-Right Symmetry*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.11.2558)* **11** (1975) 2558 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/90706)].
- [27] A. Ferrari et al., *Sensitivity study for new gauge bosons and right-handed Majorana neutrinos in pp collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 14$ *TeV, Phys. Rev. D* **62** [\(2000\) 013001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.013001) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/527652)].
- [28] S.N. Gninenko, M.M. Kirsanov, N.V. Krasnikov and V.A. Matveev, *Detection of heavy Majorana neutrinos and right-handed bosons*, *[Phys. Atom. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063778807030039)* **70** (2007) 441 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/726817)].
- [29] A. Maiezza, M. Nemevšek, F. Nesti and G. Senjanović, *Left-Right Symmetry at LHC*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.055022) Rev. D* **82** [\(2010\) 055022](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.055022) [[arXiv:1005.5160](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.5160)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/856391)].
- [30] M. Nemevšek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanović and Y. Zhang, *First Limits on Left-Right Symmetry Scale from LHC Data*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** [\(2011\) 115014](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.115014) [[arXiv:1103.1627](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.1627)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/891670)].
- [31] C.-Y. Chen and P.S.B. Dev, *Multi-Lepton Collider Signatures of Heavy Dirac and Majorana Neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 [\(2012\) 093018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.093018) [[arXiv:1112.6419](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.6419)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1082994)].
- [32] J. Chakrabortty, J. Gluza, R. Sevillano and R. Szafron, *Left-Right Symmetry at LHC and Precise 1-Loop Low Energy Data*, *JHEP* **07** [\(2012\) 038](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)038) [[arXiv:1204.0736](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.0736)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1102920)].
- [33] J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra and F.R. Joaquim, *Measuring heavy neutrino couplings at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** [\(2012\) 073005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.073005) [[arXiv:1207.4193](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.4193)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1122964)].
- [34] T. Han, I. Lewis, R. Ruiz and Z.-G. Si, *Lepton Number Violation and W*′ *Chiral Couplings at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** [\(2013\) 035011](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.035011) [*Erratum ibid.* **87** (2013) 039906] [[arXiv:1211.6447](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.6447)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1204603)].
- [35] C.-Y. Chen, P.S.B. Dev and R.N. Mohapatra, *Probing Heavy-Light Neutrino Mixing in Left-Right Seesaw Models at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** [\(2013\) 033014](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033014) [[arXiv:1306.2342](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2342)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1238099)].
- [36] P.S.B. Dev, A. Pilaftsis and U.-K. Yang, *New Production Mechanism for Heavy Neutrinos at the LHC*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.081801)* **112** (2014) 081801 [[arXiv:1308.2209](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2209)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1247268)].
- [37] B. Dutta et al., *Exploring the doubly charged Higgs boson of the left-right symmetric model using vector boson fusionlike events at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** [\(2014\) 055015](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.055015) [[arXiv:1404.0685](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.0685)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1288485)].
- [38] J. Gluza and T. Jeliński, *Heavy neutrinos and the* $pp \rightarrow llij$ *CMS data, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.077)* **748** [\(2015\) 125](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.077) [[arXiv:1504.05568](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05568)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1362510)].
- [39] J.N. Ng, A. de la Puente and B.W.-P. Pan, *Search for Heavy Right-Handed Neutrinos at the LHC and Beyond in the Same-Sign Same-Flavor Leptons Final State*, *JHEP* **12** [\(2015\) 172](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2015)172) [[arXiv:1505.01934](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.01934)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1368933)].
- [40] A. Maiezza, M. Nemevšek and F. Nesti, *Lepton Number Violation in Higgs Decay at LHC*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.081802)* **115** (2015) 081802 [[arXiv:1503.06834](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.06834)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1355365)].
- [41] F.F. Deppisch, P.S. Bhupal Dev and A. Pilaftsis, *Neutrinos and Collider Physics*, *[New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/7/075019)* **17** [\(2015\) 075019](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/7/075019) [[arXiv:1502.06541](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.06541)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1345828)].
- [42] C. Degrande, O. Mattelaer, R. Ruiz and J. Turner, *Fully-Automated Precision Predictions for Heavy Neutrino Production Mechanisms at Hadron Colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** [\(2016\) 053002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.053002) [[arXiv:1602.06957](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.06957)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1423064)].
- [43] P.S.B. Dev, R.N. Mohapatra and Y. Zhang, *Probing the Higgs Sector of the Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model at Future Hadron Colliders*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2016\) 174](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)174) [[arXiv:1602.05947](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.05947)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1422205)].
- [44] A. Roitgrund and G. Eilam, *Search for like-sign dileptons plus two jets signal in the framework of the manifest left-right symmetric model*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2021\) 031](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)031) [*Erratum ibid.* **03** (2021) 029] [[arXiv:1704.07772](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.07772)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1594921)].
- [45] M. Nemevšek, F. Nesti and G. Popara, *Keung-Senjanović process at the LHC: From lepton number violation to displaced vertices to invisible decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** [\(2018\) 115018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.115018) [[arXiv:1801.05813](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.05813)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1648774)].
- [46] D.A. Dicus, D.D. Karatas and P. Roy, *Lepton nonconservation at supercollider energies*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.2033) Rev. D* **44** [\(1991\) 2033](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.2033) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/314680)].
- [47] A. Datta, M. Guchait and A. Pilaftsis, *Probing lepton number violation via majorana neutrinos at hadron supercolliders*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.3195)* **50** (1994) 3195 [[hep-ph/9311257](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9311257)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/360271)].
- [48] A. Ali, A.V. Borisov and N.B. Zamorin, *Majorana neutrinos and same sign dilepton production at LHC and in rare meson decays*, *[Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100702)* **21** (2001) 123 [[hep-ph/0104123](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0104123)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/555398)].
- [49] T. Han and B. Zhang, *Signatures for Majorana neutrinos at hadron colliders*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.171804)* **97** [\(2006\) 171804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.171804) [[hep-ph/0604064](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604064)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/714000)].
- [50] J. Kersten and A.Y. Smirnov, *Right-Handed Neutrinos at CERN LHC and the Mechanism of Neutrino Mass Generation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** [\(2007\) 073005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.073005) [[arXiv:0705.3221](https://arxiv.org/abs/0705.3221)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/751174)].
- [51] F. del Águila, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra and R. Pittau, *Heavy neutrino signals at large hadron colliders*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2007\) 047](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/10/047) [[hep-ph/0703261](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703261)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/747071)].
- [52] A. Atre, T. Han, S. Pascoli and B. Zhang, *The Search for Heavy Majorana Neutrinos*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/030)* **05** [\(2009\) 030](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/030) [[arXiv:0901.3589](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.3589)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/811669)].
- [53] D. Alva, T. Han and R. Ruiz, *Heavy Majorana neutrinos from W γ fusion at hadron colliders*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2015\) 072](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)072) [[arXiv:1411.7305](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.7305)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1330296)].
- [54] A. Das and N. Okada, *Improved bounds on the heavy neutrino productions at the LHC*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.033003) Rev. D* **93** [\(2016\) 033003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.033003) [[arXiv:1510.04790](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.04790)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1398351)].
- [55] M. Drewes, J. Klarić and P. Klose, *On lepton number violation in heavy neutrino decays at colliders*, *JHEP* **11** [\(2019\) 032](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)032) [[arXiv:1907.13034](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.13034)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1747016)].
- [56] B. Fuks et al., *Majorana neutrinos in same-sign W*±*W*[±] *scattering at the LHC: Breaking the TeV barrier*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [\(2021\) 055005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.055005) [[arXiv:2011.02547](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.02547)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1828493)].
- [57] A. Pilaftsis, *Radiatively induced neutrino masses and large Higgs neutrino couplings in the standard model with Majorana felds*, *[Z. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01482590)* **55** (1992) 275 [[hep-ph/9901206](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9901206)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/322331)].
- [58] A.G. Akeroyd, M. Aoki and H. Sugiyama, *Probing Majorana Phases and Neutrino Mass Spectrum in the Higgs Triplet Model at the CERN LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** [\(2008\) 075010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.075010) [[arXiv:0712.4019](https://arxiv.org/abs/0712.4019)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/771441)].
- [59] P. Fileviez Perez et al., *Testing a Neutrino Mass Generation Mechanism at the LHC*, *[Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.071301) D* **78** [\(2008\) 071301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.071301) [[arXiv:0803.3450](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.3450)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/781945)].
- [60] P. Fileviez Perez et al., *Neutrino Masses and the CERN LHC: Testing Type II Seesaw*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.015018) Rev. D* **78** [\(2008\) 015018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.015018) [[arXiv:0805.3536](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3536)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/786465)].
- [61] A. Melfo et al., *Type II Seesaw at LHC: The Roadmap*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** [\(2012\) 055018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.055018) [[arXiv:1108.4416](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.4416)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/924708)].
- [62] S. Ashanujjaman and K. Ghosh, *Revisiting type-II see-saw: present limits and future prospects at LHC*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2022\) 195](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)195) [[arXiv:2108.10952](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.10952)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1912049)].
- [63] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for doubly charged Higgs boson production in multi-lepton fnal states with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5661-z)* **78** [\(2018\) 199](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5661-z) [[arXiv:1710.09748](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.09748)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1632760)].
- [64] CMS collaboration, *A search for doubly-charged Higgs boson production in three and four lepton final states at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV*, [CMS-PAS-HIG-16-036,](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2242956) CERN, Geneva (2017).
- [65] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for doubly charged Higgs boson production in multi-lepton fnal states using* $139 f^{b-1}$ *of proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11578-9) *[Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11578-9)* **83** (2023) 605 [[arXiv:2211.07505](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.07505)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2181753)].
- [66] N. Quintero, *Lepton-number-violating decays of heavy favors induced by doubly-charged Higgs boson*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** [\(2013\) 056005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.056005) [[arXiv:1212.3016](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3016)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1207281)].
- [67] B. Fuks et al., *Probing the Weinberg operator at colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [\(2021\) 115014](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.115014) [[arXiv:2012.09882](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09882)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1837435)].
- [68] M. Aoki, K. Enomoto and S. Kanemura, *Probing charged lepton number violation via ℓ* ±*ℓ* ′±*W*∓*W*∓, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** [\(2020\) 115019](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.115019) [[arXiv:2002.12265](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.12265)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1782680)].
- [69] J. Harz, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, T. Shen and S. Urrutia-Quiroga, *TeV-scale Lepton Number Violation: Connecting Leptogenesis, Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay, and Colliders*, [arXiv:2106.10838](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.10838) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1869537)].
- [70] M.L. Graesser et al., *Uncovering a chirally suppressed mechanism of* 0*νββ decay with LHC searches*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2022\) 034](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)034) [[arXiv:2202.01237](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.01237)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2026263)].
- [71] J.C. Helo, M. Hirsch, S.G. Kovalenko and H. Päs, *Neutrinoless double beta decay and lepton number violation at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** [\(2013\) 011901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.011901) [[arXiv:1303.0899](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.0899)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1222497)].
- [72] J.C. Helo, M. Hirsch, H. Päs and S.G. Kovalenko, *Short-range mechanisms of neutrinoless double beta decay at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** [\(2013\) 073011](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.073011) [[arXiv:1307.4849](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.4849)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1243432)].
- [73] F. del Águila, M. Chala, A. Santamaria and J. Wudka, *Discriminating between lepton number violating scalars using events with four and three charged leptons at the LHC*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.07.014)* **725** [\(2013\) 310](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.07.014) [[arXiv:1305.3904](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.3904)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1233724)].
- [74] F. del Águila and M. Chala, *LHC bounds on Lepton Number Violation mediated by doubly and singly-charged scalars*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2014\) 027](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2014)027) [[arXiv:1311.1510](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.1510)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1263664)].
- [75] T. Peng, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf and P. Winslow, *TeV lepton number violation: From neutrinoless double-β decay to the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** [\(2016\) 093002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.093002) [[arXiv:1508.04444](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.04444)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1388511)].
- [76] R. Cepedello, M. Hirsch and J.C. Helo, *Lepton number violating phenomenology of* $d = 7$ *neutrino mass models*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2018\) 009](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2018)009) [[arXiv:1709.03397](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.03397)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1622764)].
- [77] E. Carquin, N.A. Neill, J.C. Helo and M. Hirsch, *Exotic colored fermions and lepton number violation at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** [\(2019\) 115028](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.115028) [[arXiv:1904.07257](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.07257)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1730043)].
- [78] R. Ruiz, *Doubly charged Higgs boson production at hadron colliders II: a Zee-Babu case study*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2022\) 200](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)200) [[arXiv:2206.14833](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.14833)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2103908)].
- [79] D. Aristizabal Sierra and D. Restrepo, *Leptonic Charged Higgs Decays in the Zee Model*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/036)* **08** [\(2006\) 036](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/036) [[hep-ph/0604012](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604012)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/713693)].
- [80] J. Herrero-García, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad and J. Wirén, *Full parameter scan of the Zee model: exploring Higgs lepton favor violation*, *JHEP* **04** [\(2017\) 130](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)130) [[arXiv:1701.05345](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.05345)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1509928)].
- [81] K.S. Babu, S. Jana and M. Lindner, *Large Neutrino Magnetic Moments in the Light of Recent Experiments*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2020\) 040](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2020)040) [[arXiv:2007.04291](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.04291)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1805780)].
- [82] R.K. Barman, R. Dcruz and A. Thapa, *Neutrino masses and magnetic moments of electron and muon in the Zee Model*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2022\) 183](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)183) [[arXiv:2112.04523](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.04523)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1986819)].
- [83] J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrinoless Double beta Decay in* SU(2) × U(1) *Theories*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.2951) Rev. D* **25** [\(1982\) 2951](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.2951) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/171180)].
- [84] M. Duerr, M. Lindner and A. Merle, *On the Quantitative Impact of the Schechter-Valle Theorem*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2011\) 091](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2011)091) [[arXiv:1105.0901](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0901)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/898045)].
- [85] GERDA collaboration, *Final Results of GERDA on the Search for Neutrinoless Double-β Decay*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.252502)* **125** (2020) 252502 [[arXiv:2009.06079](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.06079)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1817003)].
- [86] H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al., *Latest results from the Heidelberg-Moscow double beta decay experiment, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100500170022)* 12 (2001) 147 [[hep-ph/0103062](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0103062)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/540672)].
- [87] EXO-200 collaboration, *Search for Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay in* ¹³⁶*Xe with EXO-200*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.032505)* **109** (2012) 032505 [[arXiv:1205.5608](https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.5608)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1116144)].
- [88] V. Alenkov et al., *First Results from the AMoRE-Pilot neutrinoless double beta decay experiment*, *[Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7279-1)* **79** (2019) 791 [[arXiv:1903.09483](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.09483)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1726391)].
- [89] LEGEND collaboration, *The Large Enriched Germanium Experiment for Neutrinoless ββ Decay: LEGEND-1000 Preconceptual Design Report*, $arXiv:2107.11462$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1892243)].
- [90] J. Chakrabortty, H.Z. Devi, S. Goswami and S. Patra, *Neutrinoless double-β decay in TeV scale Left-Right symmetric models*, *JHEP* **08** [\(2012\) 008](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)008) [[arXiv:1204.2527](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.2527)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1108146)].
- [91] P.S.B. Dev, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf and Y. Zhang, *Doubly-Charged Scalars in the Type-II Seesaw Mechanism: Fundamental Symmetry Tests and High-Energy Searches*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.055013)* **98** (2018) [055013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.055013) [[arXiv:1806.08499](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.08499)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1679212)].
- [92] S. Kanemura and K. Yagyu, *Radiative corrections to electroweak parameters in the Higgs triplet model and implication with the recent Higgs boson searches*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** [\(2012\) 115009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.115009) [[arXiv:1201.6287](https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.6287)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1086587)].
- [93] J. Alwall et al., *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order diferential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **07** [\(2014\) 079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079) [[arXiv:1405.0301](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.0301)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1293923)].
- [94] B. Fuks, M. Nemevšek and R. Ruiz, *Doubly Charged Higgs Boson Production at Hadron Colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** [\(2020\) 075022](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.075022) [[arXiv:1912.08975](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.08975)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1771850)].
- [95] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *A Brief Introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *[Comput. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) Commun.* **178** [\(2008\) 852](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) [[arXiv:0710.3820](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3820)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/764903)].
- [96] DELPHES 3 collaboration, *DELPHES 3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2014\) 057](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2014)057) [[arXiv:1307.6346](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.6346)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1244313)].
- [97] M. Cepeda et al., *Report from Working Group 2: Higgs Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC*, *[CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr.](https://doi.org/10.23731/CYRM-2019-007.221)* **7** (2019) 221 [[arXiv:1902.00134](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.00134)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1718163)].
- [98] CMS collaboration, *Search for physics beyond the standard model in events with jets and two* $same-sign$ or at least three charged leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV*, *[Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8168-3) [Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8168-3)* **80** (2020) 752 [[arXiv:2001.10086](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.10086)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1777617)].
- [99] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for doubly and singly charged Higgs bosons decaying into vector bosons in multi-lepton final states with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, \text{ JHEP}$ **06** [\(2021\) 146](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)146) [[arXiv:2101.11961](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.11961)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1843269)].
- [100] I. Esteban et al., *Global analysis of three-favour neutrino oscillations: synergies and tensions in the determination of* θ_{23} , δ_{CP} , and the mass ordering, JHEP 01 [\(2019\) 106](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)106) [[arXiv:1811.05487](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.05487)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1703673)].
- [101] Particle Data Group collaboration, *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2022** [\(2022\) 083C01](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2106994)].
- [102] M. Nebot, J.F. Oliver, D. Palao and A. Santamaria, *Prospects for the Zee-Babu Model at the CERN LHC and low energy experiments*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** [\(2008\) 093013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.093013) [[arXiv:0711.0483](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0483)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/766680)].
- [103] K.S. Babu and R.N. Mohapatra, *New vector-scalar contributions to neutrinoless double beta decay and constraints on R-parity violation*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.2276)* **75** (1995) 2276 [[hep-ph/9506354](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9506354)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/396346)].
- [104] FlaviaNet Working Group on Kaon Decays collaboration, *Precision tests of the Standard Model with leptonic and semileptonic kaon decays*, in the proceedings of the *5th International Workshop on* e^+e^- *Collisions from* ϕ *to* ψ , Frascati, Italy, 07–10 April 2008 [[arXiv:0801.1817](https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.1817)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/777275)].
- [105] F. Mahmoudi, T. Hurth and S. Neshatpour, *Present Status of* $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ *Anomalies*, *[Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2017.03.008) [Part. Phys. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2017.03.008)* **285-286** (2017) 39 [[arXiv:1611.05060](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05060)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1498323)].
- [106] HFLAV collaboration, *Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2021*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** [\(2023\) 052008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.052008) [[arXiv:2206.07501](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07501)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2100284)].
- [107] B.A. Campbell and D.W. Maybury, *Constraints on scalar couplings from* $\pi^{\pm} \to l^{\pm} \nu_l$, *[Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.12.015) Phys. B* **709** [\(2005\) 419](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.12.015) [[hep-ph/0303046](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0303046)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/614592)].
- [108] ATLAS collaboration, *Constraints on mediator-based dark matter and scalar dark energy models using* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV pp collision data collected by the ATLAS detector, <i>JHEP* **05** [\(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)142) [142](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)142) [[arXiv:1903.01400](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.01400)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1723267)].
- [109] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for new phenomena in the dijet mass distribution using* $p - p$ *collision data at* $\sqrt{s} = 8$ *TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D* **91** [\(2015\) 052007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052007) [[arXiv:1407.1376](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.1376)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1305096)].
- [110] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for new phenomena in dijet events using* 37 *fb*−¹ *of pp collision data collected at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D* **96** [\(2017\) 052004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.052004) [[arXiv:1703.09127](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.09127)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1519428)].
- [111] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for light resonances decaying to boosted quark pairs and produced in association with a photon or a jet in proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.09.062)* **788** (2019) 316 [[arXiv:1801.08769](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08769)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1650592)].
- [112] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for new light resonances decaying to jet pairs and produced in association with a photon or a jet in proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2016-070,](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2206221) CERN, Geneva (2016).
- [113] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for low-mass dijet resonances using trigger-level jets with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.081801)* **121** (2018) 081801 [[arXiv:1804.03496](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.03496)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1667040)].
- [114] D. Egana-Ugrinovic, S. Homiller and P. Meade, *Multi-Higgs Production Probes Higgs Flavor*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** [\(2021\) 115005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.115005) [[arXiv:2101.04119](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04119)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1840374)].
- [115] CMS collaboration, *Search for supersymmetry in events with a τ lepton pair and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV*, *JHEP* 11 [\(2018\) 151](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2018)151) [[arXiv:1807.02048](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.02048)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1681016)].