

Holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropies from hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair

Jie Ren  and Dao-Quan Sun

*School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University,
Guangzhou, 510275, China*

E-mail: renjie7@mail.sysu.edu.cn, sundq@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: We study holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropies from a family of hyperbolic black holes in an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton (EMD) system under the BPS condition. We calculate the thermodynamic quantities of these hyperbolic black holes. We find a remarkably simple formula of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy that unifies (interpolates) 11 cases embeddable to 10 or 11 dimensional supergravity. It reproduces many known results in the literature, and gives new results with distinctive features. We show that the supersymmetric version of the modular entropy and the capacity of entanglement cannot be mapped to thermal quantities, due to the dependence of the temperature and the chemical potential by the BPS condition. We also calculate the entanglement spectrum. We derive the potential of the EMD system from a $V = 0$ solution and obtain two neutral solutions with scalar hair as a byproduct.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Black Holes, Black Holes in String Theory, Supergravity Models

ARXIV EPRINT: [2404.05638](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.05638)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Supersymmetric Rényi entropy from EMD systems	3
3	Exporing the entanglement data	9
3.1	Modular entropy and capacity of entanglement	9
3.2	Entanglement spectrum	10
4	Generating the potential and a byproduct	13
4.1	Generating $V(\phi)$ from the $V = 0$ solution	13
4.2	Two neutral solutions with scalar hair in AdS ₄	15
5	Summary and discussion	17
A	Special cases of $D = 4, 5, 6, 7$ supergravities	17
B	Fayet-Iliopoulos gauged supergravity	19
C	The IR geometry for $\alpha = \alpha_*$	20

1 Introduction

The Rényi entropy is a one-parameter generalization of the entanglement entropy, quantifying the degree of entanglement between two subsystems of a quantum system $A \cup B$:

$$S_n = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \text{Tr}[\rho_A^n], \quad (1.1)$$

where $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB}$ is the reduced density operator of A . The Rényi entropy can be computed by the replica method with the path integral on an n -fold cover of the original Euclidean geometry branched around the entanglement surface ∂A [1, 2]. The supersymmetric extension of the Rényi entropy was introduced in [3] and studied in various dimensions [4–17]. The supersymmetric Rényi entropy is defined as

$$S_n^{\text{susy}} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left| \frac{Z_n^{\text{susy}}}{(Z_1^{\text{susy}})^n} \right|, \quad (1.2)$$

where Z_n^{susy} is the supersymmetric partition function on an n -branched manifold by turning on an R -symmetry background field to preserve supersymmetries. An advantage of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy is that it can be calculated using the localization technique [18–22]. By taking the strong coupling and large N limit, it is matched to the holographic calculations by gravity.

The AdS/CFT correspondence offers a convenient way to calculate the Rényi entropy for CFTs in the ground state with a spherical entangling surface [23, 24]. The ordinary

Rényi entropy can be mapped to the thermal entropy in the $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$ with the temperature $T = 1/(2\pi Ln)$, where L is the radius of the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{d-1} . In terms of thermal partition functions,

$$Z[\mathbb{S}^d] = Z[\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}]. \tag{1.3}$$

Similarly, the supersymmetric Rényi entropy can be mapped to a twisted thermal partition function. The holographic dual to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$ is a hyperbolic black hole (up to a Wick rotation). The supersymmetric Rényi entropy can be calculated in terms of charged hyperbolic black holes under the Bogomol’nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) condition. The charged Rényi entropy is a generalization of the Rényi entropy, and it takes into account the distribution of a conserved charge across the entangled states [25]. While the holographic charged Rényi entropy can be calculated by means of charged hyperbolic black holes, the BPS condition imposes a constraint between the temperature and the chemical potential of the black hole.

In this paper, we compute holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropy by means of charged hyperbolic black holes in an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton (EMD) system that interpolates truncations of the following top-down models under the BPS condition.

- AdS₅/CFT₄: $U(1)^3$ truncations of $D = 5$ gauged supergravity embeddable to AdS₅ × S⁵, near-horizon limit of rotating D3-brane in 10D type IIB supergravity. The CFT dual is $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills (SYM) theory [26]. Three special cases can be reduced to an EMD system (including when the dilaton vanishes).
- AdS₄/CFT₃: $U(1)^4$ truncations of $D = 4$ gauged supergravity embeddable to AdS₄ × S⁷, near-horizon limit of rotating M2-brane in 11D supergravity. The CFT dual is the ABJM model [27]. Four special cases can be reduced to an EMD system (including when the dilaton vanishes).
- AdS₇/CFT₆: $U(1)^2$ truncations of $D = 7$ gauged supergravity embeddable to AdS₇ × S⁴, near-horizon limit of rotating M5-brane in 11D supergravity. The CFT dual is 6D (2, 0) superconformal field theory. Two special cases can be reduced to an EMD system.
- AdS₆/CFT₅: Romans F(4) gauged supergravity [28] coupled to matter embeddable to a warped AdS₆ × S⁴ background of massive IIA supergravity [29, 30] or IIB supergravity [31]. Two special cases can be reduced to an EMD system.

The metrics of these $D = 4, 5, 6, 7$ supergravity theories are summarized in appendix A. Among these solutions, there are 11 cases of EMD truncations. Interestingly, they can be interpolated by a single EMD system. When the interpolating parameter α takes special values for AdS_{d+1} , the EMD system coincides with top-down models. When α does not take these special values, the EMD system in AdS₄ still belongs to supergravity. Then we can treat the EMD system in AdS₄ as a bottom-up model, since the supersymmetry is gauged in the bulk and global in the boundary.

We find a remarkably simple formula of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy that unifies (interpolates) the above 11 special cases; see (2.27) below. Among these 11 cases of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy, many have appeared in the literature in SCFT and gravity calculations, and some have not appeared in the literature and have new features.

We also calculate the capacity of entanglement [32] and the entanglement spectrum [33], which are entanglement data expressed in different ways than the Rényi entropy. The capacity of entanglement as a quantum information measure different from entanglement entropy has been studied broadly [34–37]. In this paper, we generalize the capacity of entanglement to the supersymmetric capacity of entanglement and show that it cannot be mapped to the standard heat capacity of the thermal CFT on hyperbolic space, due to the dependence of the temperature and the chemical potential by the BPS condition. This is different from the previous results of the non-supersymmetric capacity of entanglement. We also calculate the entanglement spectrum, which are eigenvalues of ρ_A . We write the entanglement spectrum as convolutions of generalized hypergeometric functions.

At first glance, the dilaton potential in our model looks a little cumbersome. However, we demonstrate that this is the most natural way to add a cosmological constant to the Horowitz-Strominger solution [38] found in 1991. We derive the potential of the EMD system starting with the $V = 0$ solution under reasonable assumptions. As a byproduct, we obtain two nontrivial neutral limits as hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we take advantage of an EMD system to calculate the supersymmetric Rényi entropy, and obtain a simple result that interpolates 11 special cases from top-down truncations. In section 3, we study the capacity of entanglement and the entanglement spectrum. In section 4, we derive the potential of the scalar field. In section 5, we conclude with some open questions. In appendix A, we review consistent truncations of $D = 10$ and $D = 11$ solutions in supergravity. In appendix B, we review the FI-gauged supergravity. In appendix C, we give a special IR geometry.

2 Supersymmetric Rényi entropy from EMD systems

We start with an EMD system that has large intersections with supergravities. In fact, this system in AdS_4 or in general dimensions was rediscovered many times [39–45]. We will give an elegant explanation in section 4.1 that the dilaton potential $V(\phi)$ is naturally generated from a $V = 0$ solution. The $(d + 1)$ -dimensional action is

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{4} e^{-\alpha\phi} F^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $d \geq 3$, $F = dA$, and α is a parameter. We have set $16\pi G = 1$. The potential of the dilaton field is

$$V(\phi) = v_1 e^{-\frac{2(d-2)}{(d-1)\alpha}\phi} + v_2 e^{\frac{(d-1)\alpha^2 - 2(d-2)}{2(d-1)\alpha}\phi} + v_3 e^{\alpha\phi}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= -\frac{(d-1)^2 [d(d-1)\alpha^2 - 2(d-2)^2] \alpha^2}{[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]^2 L^2}, \\ v_2 &= -\frac{8(d-1)^3 (d-2) \alpha^2}{[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]^2 L^2}, \\ v_3 &= -\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)^2 [2d - (d-1)\alpha^2]}{[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]^2 L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

As a justification of this potential, table 1 below shows that it reproduces 11 EMD systems of especial physical significance. The potential can be written in terms of a superpotential $W(\phi)$ [46]:

$$V(\phi) = W'(\phi)^2 - \frac{d}{2(d-1)}W^2, \tag{2.4}$$

$$W(\phi) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}(d-1)(d-2)}{[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]L} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\phi} + \frac{(d-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2)} e^{-\frac{d-2}{(d-1)\alpha}\phi} \right). \tag{2.5}$$

The $\phi \rightarrow 0$ behavior of $V(\phi)$ is

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{d(d-1)}{L^2} - \frac{d-2}{L^2}\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\phi^3), \tag{2.6}$$

where the first term is the cosmological constant, and the second term shows that the mass of the scalar field satisfies $m^2L^2 = -2(d-2)$. The scaling dimension of the scalar operator dual to the scalar field ϕ satisfies $\Delta(\Delta-d) = m^2L^2$, which has two solutions $\Delta_{\pm} = 2, d-2$. Recall that the alternative quantization exists when $-d^2/4 \leq m^2 \leq -d^2/4 + 1$; the alternative quantization exists only in $d = 3$. The mass is above the BF bound for all d except that the mass saturates the BF bound in $d = 4$.

The above EMD system admits an analytic solution [39–41]:

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{g(r)}dr^2 + U(r)d\Sigma_{d-1,k}^2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$A = 2\sqrt{\frac{(d-1)bc}{2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2}} \left(\frac{1}{r_h^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \right) dt, \tag{2.8}$$

$$e^{\alpha\phi} = \left(1 - \frac{b}{r^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2}}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $d\Sigma_{d-1,k}^2$ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{d-1} ($k = -1$), plane \mathbb{R}^{d-1} ($k = 0$), or sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} ($k = 1$) of unit radius. The functions in the metric are

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left(k - \frac{c}{r^{d-2}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{b}{r^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{2(d-2) - (d-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2}} + \frac{r^2}{L^2} \left(1 - \frac{b}{r^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)\alpha^2}{(d-2)[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]}}, \\ g &= f(r) \left(1 - \frac{b}{r^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{2(d-3)(d-1)\alpha^2}{(d-2)[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]}}, \\ U &= r^2 \left(1 - \frac{b}{r^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)\alpha^2}{(d-2)[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The system is invariant under $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ and $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$; we assume $\alpha \geq 0$. When $\alpha = 0$, we obtain the Reissner-Nördstrom-AdS (RN-AdS) black hole. Later we take $k = -1$ for hyperbolic black holes. We set $L = 1$ in the following.

The mass, temperature, entropy, chemical potential, and charge are given by [47, 48]¹

$$M = \frac{(d-1)V_{\Sigma}}{16\pi G} \left(c + k \frac{2(d-2) - (d-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2} b \right), \tag{2.11}$$

¹For a derivation of the mass by holographic renormalization in AdS₄, see appendix A of [49].

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{f'g'}}{4\pi} \Big|_{r=r_h}, \quad S = \frac{V_\Sigma}{4G} U(r_h)^{(d-1)/2}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mu = 2\sqrt{\frac{(d-1)bc}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}} \frac{1}{r_h^{d-2}}, \quad Q = 2(d-2)V_\Sigma\sqrt{\frac{(d-1)bc}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}}, \quad (2.13)$$

where V_Σ is the volume of \mathbb{H}^{d-1} , regulated by integrating out to a maximum radius R of this hyperbolic space [24]:

$$V_\Sigma \simeq \frac{\Omega_{d-2}}{d-2} \left[\frac{R^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-2}} - \frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2(d-4)} \frac{R^{d-4}}{\delta^{d-4}} + \dots \right], \quad (2.14)$$

where $\Omega_{d-2} = 2\pi^{(d-1)/2}/\Gamma((d-2)/2)$ is the area of \mathbb{S}^{d-2} . The cutoff δ is related to the UV cutoff in the dual CFT, consistent with the area law of the entanglement entropy. We have checked that the first law of thermodynamics $dM = TdS + \mu dQ$ is satisfied by (2.11)–(2.13). In the grand canonical ensemble, we use the grand potential $\Omega = M - TS - \mu Q$, by which the first law of thermodynamics is

$$d\Omega = -SdT - Qd\mu. \quad (2.15)$$

The heat capacity at fixed chemical potential is

$$C_\mu = T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_\mu = -T \frac{\partial^2 \Omega(T, \mu)}{\partial T^2} \Big|_\mu. \quad (2.16)$$

In the following, we focus on the hyperbolic black holes under the ‘‘BPS condition’’

$$c = -b. \quad (2.17)$$

Recall that the BPS condition for a solution in supergravity is the condition that the Killing spinor equation has nontrivial solutions.² When it is satisfied, the black hole and the corresponding state in the dual CFT preserve a fraction of supersymmetry. This EMD system is related to consistent truncations of supergravity in the following way.

- When the parameters α and d take the values in table 1, we have checked that (2.17) is exactly the BPS condition for all 11 cases according to previous studies on $D = 4, 5, 6, 7$ supergravity solutions [6, 14, 17] as consistent truncations of $D = 10$ and $D = 11$ supergravities. In these cases, the EMD system is a top-down model.
- For AdS_4 , the system belongs to supergravity for all α . The EMD system is obtained by turning off one of two $U(1)$ gauge fields in an FI-gauged supergravity; see appendix B. Here (2.17) is the BPS condition according to [51]. The system is a bottom-up model that interpolates four top-down models.
- For AdS_5 and higher dimensions, the system may belong to the so-called fake supergravity [52, 53], in which we treat (2.17) as a BPS-like condition.

²The technical details to solve the Killing spinor equation can be found in [50], for example.

We need to distinguish the extremal limit and the BPS limit for hyperbolic black holes in AdS. For the (asymptotically flat) RN black hole, these two limits coincide. However, in the AdS case, they are not the same.

- The extremal limit of a black hole is reached when (i) two horizons merge to a degenerate horizon, or (ii) the horizon moves towards the spacetime singularity. In case (i) we obtain an AdS_2 factor in the extremal limit. In case (ii) we may obtain hyperscaling-violating geometries.
- The BPS limit is different from the extremal limit for hyperbolic black holes in AdS. By taking the BPS limit, we can still vary the temperature. For a certain range of α , the extremal limit can be taken, and the temperature reaches zero.

For the solution (2.7)–(2.10), the gauge field is imaginary under the BPS condition $c = -b$. Nevertheless, all thermodynamic quantities are well defined, and the gauge field is real in the Euclidean signature, the same as for the hyperbolic RN-AdS black hole [25]. The curvature singularity is at $r = 0$ and $r^{d-2} = b$, and the parameter b can be either positive or negative. The horizon of the black hole is determined by $f(r_h) = 0$, from which the parameter b is expressed in terms of r_h :

$$b = r_h^{d-2} - r_h^{\frac{(d-2)^2[2d-(d-1)\alpha^2]}{2(d-2)^2-d(d-1)\alpha^2}}. \quad (2.18)$$

The temperature is given by

$$T = \frac{2(d-1)(d-2)r_h^p - 2(d-2)^2 + (d-1)\alpha^2}{2\pi[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]}, \quad (2.19)$$

where we have used (2.18) to replace b with r_h , and

$$p = \frac{(d-2)[2(d-2) + (d-1)\alpha^2]}{2(d-2)^2 - (d-1)\alpha^2}. \quad (2.20)$$

From (2.19), we conclude that the temperature can reach zero only if $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_*$, where

$$\alpha_* = (d-2)\sqrt{\frac{2}{d-1}}. \quad (2.21)$$

There are three distinctive classes as follows:

- $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_*$. The temperature reaches zero when

$$r_h = \left(\frac{d-2}{d-1} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2(d-2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}}. \quad (2.22)$$

At zero temperature, the IR geometry is $\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$. Notice that the $\alpha = 0$ case is the RN- AdS_{d+1} black hole.

- $\alpha = \alpha_*$. The horizon size is $r_h = L$. The temperature is $T = \sqrt{2(1-b)}/4\pi$. The temperature reaches zero when $b = 1$. At zero temperature, the IR geometry has a curvature singularity. See appendix C for the IR geometry.
- $\alpha > \alpha_*$. The temperature cannot reach zero. There is a minimal temperature at $r_h = 0$.

After a conformal mapping, the Rényi entropy with the entangling surface being a sphere can be calculated from the thermodynamics of the CFT living on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$ [23, 24]. By the AdS/CFT correspondence, the supersymmetric Rényi entropy can be calculated in terms of the hyperbolic black holes under the BPS condition. We have

$$\text{Tr}[\rho_A^n] = \frac{Z(T_0/n)}{Z(T_0)^n}, \tag{2.23}$$

where $Z(T) = \text{Tr}[e^{H/T}]$ is the thermal partition function of the hyperbolic black hole at temperature T . For the entangling surface with radius L , we have $T_0 = 1/(2\pi L)$ and $T = T_0/n$. With the grand potential $\Omega = \beta^{-1}I = -T \log Z$ of black holes, where I is the Euclidean on-shell action and $\beta = 1/T$, the supersymmetric Rényi entropy is given by

$$S_n = \frac{n}{1-n} \frac{1}{T_0} [\Omega(T_0, \mu_0) - \Omega(T_0/n, \mu)]. \tag{2.24}$$

The integral representation of S_n is [4]

$$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \int_n^1 \partial_{n'} \left(\frac{\log Z(T_0/n', \mu)}{n'} \right) dn' = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{T_0} \int_{T_0/n}^{T_0} \left(S + Q \frac{d\mu}{dT} \right) dT. \tag{2.25}$$

Note that T and μ are not independent due to BPS condition.

For our hyperbolic black holes, the grand potential under the condition $c = -b$ is

$$\Omega = M - TS - \mu Q = -\frac{V_\Sigma}{16\pi G} r_h^{\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)^2 - (d-1)\alpha^2}}. \tag{2.26}$$

The supersymmetric Rényi entropies are given by

$$S_n = \frac{V_\Sigma}{4G} \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{(d-2)n+1}{(d-1)n} - \frac{(n-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2)n} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}} \right]. \tag{2.27}$$

The entanglement entropy is

$$S_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} S_n = \frac{V_\Sigma}{4G} \quad (= 4\pi V_\Sigma), \tag{2.28}$$

which is the same for all α . To have a well-defined S_n for all n , we need $\alpha \leq \alpha_*$. For $d = 3, 4, 5, 6$, the values of α_* are as follows.

	AdS ₄	AdS ₅	AdS ₆	AdS ₇	
α_*	1	$4/\sqrt{6}$	$3/\sqrt{2}$	$8/\sqrt{10}$	(2.29)

As we will see in the next subsection, an inequality of the Rényi entropy is always violated when $\alpha > \alpha_*$.

For 11 special cases belonging to top-down models, we list them with their supersymmetric Rényi entropies in table 1. For AdS₄ and AdS₅, $\alpha = 0$ gives the RN-AdS black hole. We observe distinctive features as follows. The Rényi entropies reproduce known results (A) and (B) while giving new features (C) and (D).

- (A) RN-AdS₄, 2-charge black hole in AdS₅, 2-charge black hole in AdS₆: The SCFT calculations of S_n have been performed by the localization technique and they match the holographic result [3–6, 8, 9, 17].

AdS_{d+1}	α	Name	Metric	Supersymmetric Rényi entropy	Cases
AdS_4 ($d = 3$)	$\alpha = 0$	RN- AdS_4	$H_{1,2,3,4} = H$	$S_n = \frac{3n+1}{4n} S_1$	A [3–5]
	$\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}$	3-charge	$H_{1,2,3} = H, H_4 = 1$	$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{n+2}{3n} \right)^{3/2} \right] S_1$	C
	$\alpha = 1$	2-charge	$H_{1,2} = H, H_{3,4} = 1$	$S_n = S_1$	C
	$\alpha = \sqrt{3}$	1-charge	$H_1 = H, H_{2,3,4} = 1$	$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2-n}{n} \right)^{1/2} \right] S_1$	C, D
AdS_5 ($d = 4$)	$\alpha = 0$	RN- AdS_5	$H_{1,2,3} = H$	$S_n = \frac{19n^2 + 7n + 1}{27n^2} S_1$	B [6, 10]
	$\alpha = 2/\sqrt{6}$	2-charge	$H_{1,2} = H, H_3 = 1$	$S_n = \frac{3n+1}{4n} S_1$	A, B [6]
	$\alpha = 4/\sqrt{6}$	1-charge	$H_1 = H, H_{2,3} = 1$	$S_n = S_1$	B [6]
AdS_6 ($d = 5$)	$\alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$	2-charge	$H_1 = H_2 = H$	$S_n = \frac{19n^2 + 7n + 1}{27n^2} S_1$	A [8, 9, 17]
	$\alpha = 5/\sqrt{10}$	1-charge	$H_1 = H, H_2 = 1$	$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{n+2}{3n} \right)^{3/2} \right] S_1$	C
AdS_7 ($d = 6$)	$\alpha = 2/\sqrt{10}$	2-charge	$H_1 = H_2 = H$	$S_n = \frac{175n^3 + 67n^2 + 13n + 1}{256n} S_1$	B [14]
	$\alpha = 6/\sqrt{15}$	1-charge	$H_1 = H, H_2 = 1$	$S_n = \frac{3n+1}{4n} S_1$	B [14]

Table 1. “Periodic table” of top-down supergravity models and special cases of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy. The naming convention and the metrics are in appendix A. (A) The S_n was calculated by the localization method in the SCFT and matches the holographic result. (B) The S_n was calculated for free fields, and matches the holographic result. (C) To our knowledge, no SCFT calculation is known. (D) It violates Rényi entropic inequalities.

(B) All cases in AdS_5 and AdS_7 : The S_n was calculated by the heat kernel method for free fields, and they match the holographic result [6, 10, 14].

(C) 1-, 2-, and 3-charge black holes in AdS_4 and 1-charge black hole in AdS_6 : These cases have not been compared with SCFT calculations. The holographic result can be obtained by special cases of [17].³

(D) 1-charge black hole in AdS_4 is peculiar. When $d = 3$, $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$, we have $r_h = \sqrt{n/(2-n)}$, which is real only when $0 < n < 2$. This is the only case in which $\alpha > \alpha_*$ among the 11 cases.

³In a private communication, we learned that Yang Zhou has obtained the S_n for the 1-, 2-, and 3-charge black holes in AdS_4 , though these findings were not published.

As a remark, the BPS condition (2.17) significantly simplifies the thermodynamic quantities. If the parameters b and c are arbitrary, no explicit solution is available for the Rényi entropy S_n . Another condition in which S_n is explicitly solvable is $c = 0$, which was studied in detail in [49], based on a nontrivial neutral limit of these black holes [45].

3 Exporing the entanglement data

3.1 Modular entropy and capacity of entanglement

The Rényi entropy as an information-theoretic quantity is related to the thermal entropy on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$. The inequalities for the Rényi entropy have been proposed in quantum information [54] and studied holographically [24]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S_n \right) \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} ((n-1)S_n) \leq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The first one corresponds to the positivity of the modular entropy, and the second one corresponds to the positivity of the specific heat. The modular entropy has a geometric interpretation [55]. The capacity of entanglement as an important measure of quantum information was originally introduced in [32], and then studied in holography [35]. For the supersymmetric Rényi entropy calculated by hyperbolic holes, we find that these inequalities are not satisfied when $\alpha > \alpha_*$.

In terms of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy, we define the supersymmetric modular entropy as

$$\tilde{S}_n^{\text{susy}} = n^2 \partial_n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S_n^{\text{susy}} \right), \tag{3.3}$$

and the supersymmetric capacity of entanglement as

$$C_E^{\text{susy}}(n) = n^2 \partial_n^2 [(1-n)S_n^{\text{susy}}]. \tag{3.4}$$

When $n \rightarrow 1$, $C_E^{\text{susy}}(1) = \langle (-\log \rho_A)^2 \rangle - \langle -\log \rho_A \rangle^2$ gives the quantum fluctuation with respect to the original state ρ_A .

We find that the modular entropy $\tilde{S}_n^{\text{susy}}$ no longer equals the thermal entropy of the hyperbolic black hole due to the fact that the BPS condition puts a constraint on the temperature and the chemical potential. Similarly, the capacity of entanglement $C_E^{\text{susy}}(n)$ cannot map to the heat capacity C_μ of the thermal CFT on hyperbolic space, unlike the non-supersymmetric capacity of entanglement. More precisely,

$$\tilde{S}_n^{\text{susy}} = S + Q \frac{d\mu}{dT} \tag{3.5}$$

$$C_E^{\text{susy}}(n) = C_\mu + 3T \frac{dQ}{dT} \frac{d\mu}{dT} + TQ \frac{d^2\mu}{dT^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

The latter was obtained as follows. From (2.24) and (3.4), by $T = T_0/n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_E^{\text{susy}}(n) &= -\frac{d}{dT} \left[T^2 \frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{1}{T} \Omega(T, \mu) \right) \right] = -T \frac{d^2}{dT^2} \Omega(T, \mu) \\ &= C_\mu - 2T \frac{\partial^2 \Omega(T, \mu)}{\partial T \partial \mu} \frac{d\mu}{dT} - T \frac{\partial^2 \Omega(T, \mu)}{\partial \mu^2} \left(\frac{d\mu}{dT} \right)^2 - T \frac{\partial \Omega(T, \mu)}{\partial \mu} \frac{d^2\mu}{dT^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where the chemical potential μ and the charge Q depend on the temperature T for supersymmetric states.

From (2.27) and (3.4), we obtain the capacity of entanglement

$$C_E(n) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{(d-2)n+1}{(d-1)n} - \frac{(n-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2)n} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}-2} C_E(1), \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$C_E(1) = \left(\frac{d-2}{d-1} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2(d-2)} \right) S_1. \quad (3.9)$$

For 11 special cases belonging to top-down models, we list their supersymmetric capacity of entanglement in table 2. The ratio $C_E(1)/S_1$ of the capacity of entanglement yields universal information characterizing the dual CFTs [36]. From above result, we can see that the ratio $C_E(1)/S_1$ of the supersymmetric capacity of entanglement is different from the ratio $C_E(1)/S_1 = 1$ of the non-supersymmetric capacity of entanglement for neutral black holes [36, 37].

We can define a heat capacity for black holes under the BPS condition

$$C_{\text{BPS}} = T \frac{dS}{dT} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{(d-2)n+1}{(d-1)n} - \frac{(n-1)\alpha^2}{2(d-2)n} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}-1} S_1. \quad (3.10)$$

It can be verified that the above result equals $(1/n)\tilde{S}_n$, where \tilde{S}_n is calculated by (3.3).

3.2 Entanglement spectrum

The Rényi entropy S_n for all n determines the entanglement spectrum, which is the eigenvalue distribution of the reduced density matrix ρ_A . The holographic result of S_n obtained in the last section is analytic at $n = \infty$. Assuming this analyticity, the entanglement spectrum must include both discrete and continuous parts, with one discrete eigenvalue λ_1 being the largest eigenvalue of the continuous spectrum [24]. Thus, the Rényi entropy can be written as

$$S_n = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \text{Tr}[\rho^n] = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[d_1 \lambda_1^n + \int_0^{\lambda_1} \bar{\rho}(\lambda) \lambda^n d\lambda \right], \quad (3.11)$$

where $\bar{\rho}(\lambda)$ is the continuous part of the entanglement spectrum $\rho(\lambda)$. By writing the discrete part into $\rho(\lambda)$ via a Dirac delta function, the Rényi entropy satisfies

$$e^{(1-n)S_n} = \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} e^{-(n+1)t} \rho(e^{-t}) dt, \quad (3.12)$$

where λ is reparameterized as $\lambda = e^{-t}$, and $\lambda_1 = e^{-t_1}$. This is essentially a Laplace transform with n being the parameter. Thus, the spectrum can be obtained from an inverse Laplace transform,

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}^{-1} [e^{(1-n)S_n}, n, t] |_{t=-\log \lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma-iT}^{\gamma+iT} e^{(1-n)S_n} e^{nt} dn, \quad (3.13)$$

where the integral is taken over a vertical line with $\text{Re}(s) = \gamma$, and γ is a real number ensuring no singularity on the right side of this line.

AdS_{d+1}	α	Name	S_n	$C_E(t)$ ($t \equiv 1/n = 2\pi T$)
AdS_4 ($d = 3$)	$\alpha = 0$	RN- AdS_4	$\frac{3n+1}{4n}S_1$	$C_E(t) = \frac{1}{2}tS_1$
	$\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}$	3-charge	$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{n+2}{3n} \right)^{3/2} \right] S_1$	$C_E(t) = t(3+6t)^{-1/2}S_1$
	$\alpha = 1$	2-charge	$S_n = S_1$	$C_E(t) = 0$
	$\alpha = \sqrt{3}$	1-charge	$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2-n}{n} \right)^{1/2} \right] S_1$	$C_E(t) = -t(-1+2t)^{-3/2}S_1$
AdS_5 ($d = 4$)	$\alpha = 0$	RN- AdS_5	$S_n = \frac{19n^2+7n+1}{27n^2}S_1$	$C_E(t) = \frac{2}{9}t(2+t)S_1$
	$\alpha = 2/\sqrt{6}$	2-charge	$S_n = \frac{3n+1}{4n}S_1$	$C_E(t) = \frac{1}{2}tS_1$
	$\alpha = 4/\sqrt{6}$	1-charge	$S_n = S_1$	$C_E(t) = 0$
AdS_6 ($d = 5$)	$\alpha = 1/\sqrt{2}$	2-charge	$S_n = \frac{19n^2+7n+1}{27n^2}S_1$	$C_E(t) = \frac{2}{9}t(2+t)S_1$
	$\alpha = 5/\sqrt{10}$	1-charge	$S_n = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{n+2}{3n} \right)^{3/2} \right] S_1$	$C_E(t) = t(3+6t)^{-1/2}S_1$
AdS_7 ($d = 6$)	$\alpha = 2/\sqrt{10}$	2-charge	$S_n = \frac{175n^3+67n^2+13n+1}{256n}S_1$	$C_E(t) = \frac{3}{64}t(3+t)^2S_1$
	$\alpha = 6/\sqrt{15}$	1-charge	$S_n = \frac{3n+1}{4n}S_1$	$C_E(t) = \frac{1}{2}tS_1$

Table 2. Special cases of the supersymmetric entanglement of capacity.

Assuming that the Rényi entropy can be expanded near $n = \infty$ as

$$S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i n^{-i} = s_0 + \frac{s_1}{n} + \frac{s_2}{n^2} + \dots, \quad (3.14)$$

where the constant term is related to the largest eigenvalue of the spectrum by $\lambda_1 = e^{-s_0}$. For the Rényi entropy given by (2.27), the coefficients of the first two terms are

$$s_0 = \frac{V_\Sigma}{4G} \left[1 - \left(\frac{d-2}{d-1} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2(d-2)} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}} \right], \quad (3.15)$$

$$s_1 = s_0 - \frac{V_\Sigma}{4G} \frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2} \left(\frac{d-2}{d-1} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2(d-2)} \right)^{\frac{2(d-1)(d-2)}{2(d-2)+(d-1)\alpha^2}}.$$

From table 1, we can see that the series terminates at finite orders of n^{-1} in many cases.

In the following, we will give a way to express the entanglement spectrum, which is the inverse Laplace transform of

$$e^{(1-n)S_n} = e^{s_0-s_1} e^{-s_0 n} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i n^{-i} \right), \quad u_i = s_i - s_{i+1}. \quad (3.16)$$

By the convolution theorem, we obtain

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{e^{s_0-s_1}}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}^{-1}[e^{-s_0 n}] * \mathcal{L}^{-1}[e^{u_1 n^{-1}}] * \mathcal{L}^{-1}[e^{u_2 n^{-2}}] * \dots \quad (3.17)$$

The convolution satisfies commutativity and associativity. The inverse Laplace transform can be done term by term. The results are

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}^{-1}[e^{-s_0 n}] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \delta(t - s_0) = \delta(\lambda - \lambda_1), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[e^{-s_i n^{-i}}] = \delta(t) + \frac{u_i t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} {}_0F_i\left(1 + \frac{1}{i}, 1 + \frac{2}{i}, \dots, 2; \frac{u_i t^i}{i^i}\right), \quad (3.19)$$

where ${}_0F_i$ is a generalized hypergeometric function. Generally, it is unlikely to write a closed form for the above convolutions. If the large- n expansion of S_n terminates as $S_n = s_0 + s_1/n$, which happens three times in table 1, a closed form expression can be obtained in the following. The function ${}_0F_1$ can be expressed in terms of the modified Bessel function of the first kind:

$${}_0F_1(; 2; z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} I_1(2\sqrt{z}). \quad (3.20)$$

As a consequence, the entanglement spectrum for $S_n = s_0 + s_1/n$ is

$$\rho(\lambda) = e^{s_0-s_1} \delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda) + \frac{s_1 \theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda)}{\lambda \sqrt{s_1 \ln(\lambda_1/\lambda)}} I_1\left(2\sqrt{s_1 \ln(\lambda_1/\lambda)}\right), \quad (3.21)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. When $s_0 = s_1$, this reproduces the well-known result of the entanglement spectrum for 2D CFTs [56].

As a comparison, the entanglement spectrum is expressed in terms an infinite sum [49] (by the approach in [57])

$$\rho(\lambda) = e^{s_0-s_1} \left(\delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda) + \frac{\theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_{i+1}}{i!} (\ln(\lambda_1/\lambda))^i \right), \quad (3.22)$$

where the coefficients $\{v_i\}$ can be calculated order by order by expanding

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i n^{-i}\right) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i n^{-i}. \quad (3.23)$$

The sum (3.22) captures the low-lying part of the spectrum [49], where “low-lying” represents the spectrum with lower energy, or with λ closer to the largest eigenvalue [33].

For $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the spectrum can be approximated by the saddle point method. The saddle point n_0 is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} ((n-1)S_n) \Big|_{n_0} + \ln \lambda = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

and the integral (3.13) is approximated by

$$\rho(\lambda \rightarrow 0) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} e^{(1-n)S_n} \left[2\pi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} ((1-n)S_n) \right]^{-1/2} \Big|_{n_0} = \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} e^{(1-n)S_n} \left[2\pi \frac{C_E(n)}{n^2} \right]^{-1/2} \Big|_{n_0}. \quad (3.25)$$

This shows a relation between the capacity of entanglement and the entanglement spectrum at the vicinity of the saddle point.

4 Generating the potential and a byproduct

4.1 Generating $V(\phi)$ from the $V = 0$ solution

We will give a way to obtain the potential (2.2) and the solution (2.7)–(2.10) *without any manual input of unknown functions*. As a consequence, the potential (2.2) can be generated from the $V = 0$ solution that was found in [38].

It was known that the potential can be generated from a given function in the metric or the scalar field, and this method was used to construct many scalar potentials [42, 43, 58–60]. Generally, all parameters in the metric will enter the potential by this procedure. On the one hand, the parameters in the potential are model parameters, i.e., parameters in the Lagrangian. On the other hand, the solution parameters have integration constants such as mass and chemical potential that are not expected in the potential [43]. Therefore, it is nontrivial for these solution parameters to not be in the potential. In other words, requiring a parameter in the solution to not be in the potential gives a constraint on the potential. An observation in [45] is that if we choose this parameter to be the spatial curvature k with reasonable assumptions, the potential will be completely determined. We generalize the appendix A of [45] to arbitrary dimensions in the following.

We consider the neutral solution for simplicity. The action is

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right), \quad (4.1)$$

where $V(\phi)$ is the potential of the scalar field ϕ . We consider the following metric ansatz:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\mathcal{A}(\bar{r})}(-h(\bar{r})dt^2 + d\Sigma_{d-1,k}^2) + \frac{e^{2\mathcal{B}(\bar{r})}}{h(\bar{r})}d\bar{r}^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where \bar{r} is the AdS radial coordinate, and the metric for the $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere, plane, and hyperbolic space can be written as

$$d\Sigma_{d-1,k}^2 = \frac{dx^2}{1-kx^2} + x^2 d\Omega_{d-2}^2, \quad (4.3)$$

where $d\Omega_{d-2}^2$ is a $(d-2)$ -dimensional sphere of unit radius. There is one gauge degree of freedom in the unknown functions $\mathcal{A}(\bar{r})$, $\mathcal{B}(\bar{r})$, $h(\bar{r})$, and $\phi(\bar{r})$, and it will be fixed by $\phi = \bar{r}$.

Equations of motion are obtained by the action (4.1) with the metric ansatz (4.2). The Einstein's equation gives

$$\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}' = \frac{1}{2(d-2)}\phi'^2 + \mathcal{A}'', \quad (4.4)$$

$$(e^{d\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}}h')' + 2(d-2)e^{(d-2)\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}}k = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The first equation comes from G_{tt} and $G_{\bar{r}\bar{r}}$, and the second equation comes from $G_{\bar{r}\bar{r}}$ and G_{xx} . Solving the potential from $G_{\bar{r}\bar{r}}$ gives

$$V = \left[\frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 h - d(d-1)\mathcal{A}'^2 h - (d-1)\mathcal{A}'h' \right] e^{-2\mathcal{B}} + (d-1)(d-2)e^{-2\mathcal{A}}k. \quad (4.6)$$

Other equations can be derived from (4.4), (4.5), and (4.6). Starting with a given $\mathcal{A}(\bar{r})$, we can obtain $V(\bar{r})$ in the following way:

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{(4.4)} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{(4.5)} h \xrightarrow{(4.6)} V. \tag{4.7}$$

The function $\mathcal{A}(\bar{r})$ plays the role of a generating function. Finally, replacing the function $V(\bar{r})$ with $V(\phi)$ gives the potential. A caveat is that only careful choices of \mathcal{A} can we obtain a relatively simple $V(\phi)$.

The potential V solved by (4.7) generally depends on k . We require that the potential V is independent of k , to have fewer parameters than the solution. The terms dependent on k must cancel:

$$V = V^{(0)} + V^{(k)}, \quad V^{(k)} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

We need an additional constraint: the function h depends on k , and other functions are independent of k . In other words, for a given potential V , the only difference between the $k = 0$ solution and the $k \neq 0$ solution is some terms $h^{(k)}$ in h . The motivation for this constraint is that if it is satisfied, the equation (4.5) for h is a linear equation, which we can take advantage of. The following will be based on the above constraints.

We decompose h into a k -independent part and a k -dependent part: $h(\bar{r}) = h^{(0)} + h^{(k)}$, where $h^{(0)}$ is the solution of h at $k = 0$. Similarly, we decompose the equation of motion into a part at $k = 0$ and a part dependent on k . The part at $k = 0$ requires that \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , and $h^{(0)}$ satisfy the equations of motion with $V = V^{(0)}$, and the part dependent on k requires that $h^{(k)}$ satisfy the equations of motion with $V = 0$. As a consequence, the $V = V^{(0)}$, $k = 0$ solution and the $V = 0$, $k = 1$ solution share the same generating function \mathcal{A} (as well as \mathcal{B} and ϕ). Start with a solution with $V = 0$ and $k = 1$ as a seed, and we can use the procedure (4.7) with $h = 1$ and $k = 0$ to obtain the potential $V(\phi)$.

The solution of h from (4.5) is given by

$$h = \int e^{-dA+B} \left(-2(d-2)k \int e^{(d-2)A+B} d\bar{r} + C_2 \right) d\bar{r} + C_1, \tag{4.9}$$

where $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ gives the solution for the system with $V = 0$. The general $V(\phi)$ solution will contain the two integration constants coming from the second-order linear equation for h . If we take $C_1 = 1$ and $C_2 \propto k$, we can obtain the potential $V(\phi)$ as (2.2).

Now it boils down to solving the system with $V = 0$. This is nontrivial, but has been achieved in [38]. (The AdS₄ case was in [61] earlier.) We shall not repeat the details. However, we use a more convenient coordinate system to show that the equations of motion are solvable when $V = 0$. The metric ansatz is

$$ds^2 = -e^{2A} h dt^2 + e^{2B} \left(\frac{dr^2}{h} + r^2 d\Sigma_{d-1,k}^2 \right), \tag{4.10}$$

with the gauge [62]

$$A + (d-2)B = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

The independent equations are

$$\phi'^2 + 2(d-1) \left(B'' + (d-2)B'^2 + \frac{d-1}{r}B' \right) = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

$$h'' - \left(2(d-1) - \frac{d-3}{r} \right) h' - 2 \left((d-1)B'' + \frac{(d-1)^2}{r}B' + \frac{(d-2)}{r^2} \right) h + \frac{2(d-2)k}{r^2} = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

$$V = -e^{-2B} \left(h'' + \frac{3d-5}{r}h' + \frac{2(d-2)^2}{r^2}h - \frac{2(d-2)^2}{r^2}k \right). \quad (4.14)$$

The last equation shows that the function h is easily solvable when $V = 0$. Then B can be solved by the second equation, and A by the first equation.

Once we obtained the metric, converting from the metric (4.10) to (4.2), we obtain the generating function

$$e^A = b \left(e^{\frac{d-2}{(d-1)\alpha}\bar{r}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\bar{r}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{d-2}}. \quad (4.15)$$

Alternatively, we can also use the solution of $\phi(r)$ as the generating function. Let $V_\alpha(\phi)$ be the potential (2.2) with parameter α , a more general potential than (2.2) is

$$V(\phi) = V_\alpha(\phi) + V_{\text{extra}}, \quad (4.16)$$

where V_{extra} comes from a nonzero C_2 in (4.9) at $k = 0$. This potential has already been obtained in [43] by treating the solution of $\phi(r)$ as an ansatz. Here, we treat the $V = 0$ solution as a seed to generate the general potential, and emphasize its naturalness. The extra terms in (4.16) involve hypergeometric functions and look cumbersome. However, in the AdS₄ case, a six-exponential potential with a simple structure can be obtained as (4.18) below.

4.2 Two neutral solutions with scalar hair in AdS₄

It was observed in [45] that there are two neutral limits of the hyperbolic black holes (2.7)–(2.10): a trivial neutral limit $b = 0$ where we obtain the hyperbolic Schwarzschild-AdS black hole, and a nontrivial neutral limit $c = 0$ where we obtain a hairy black hole. As a consequence, the hyperbolic black hole spontaneously develops a scalar hair below a critical temperature. This was used to analytically study phase transitions of the Rényi entropy [49]. In the following, we obtain a different neutral hyperbolic black hole with scalar hair for the same system (2.1) with (2.2).

Start with a more general action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{4}e^{-\alpha\phi}(F^1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}e^{\phi/\alpha}(F^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right). \quad (4.17)$$

Let $V_\alpha(\phi)$ be the three-exponential potential (2.2), and we consider the following six-exponential potential [45]⁴

$$V(\phi) = (1 + \beta)V_\alpha(\phi) - \beta V_{-\alpha}(\phi). \quad (4.18)$$

⁴Earlier works on deriving a six-exponential potential were [42, 43], and various properties were studied in [63–65], for example.

The solution of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, gauge fields $A_\mu^{1,2}$, and dilaton field ϕ is

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 + U(r)d\Sigma_{2,k}^2, \quad (4.19)$$

$$A^1 = 2\gamma\sqrt{\frac{bc}{1+\alpha^2}}\left(\frac{1}{r_h} - \frac{1}{r}\right)dt, \quad (4.20)$$

$$A^2 = 2\alpha\sqrt{\frac{(\gamma^2-1)bc}{1+\alpha^2}}\left(\frac{1}{r_h-b} - \frac{1}{r-b}\right)dt, \quad (4.21)$$

$$e^{\alpha\phi} = \left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}}, \quad (4.22)$$

with

$$f = \left(k - \frac{c}{r}\right)\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}} + (1+\beta)\frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}} + (\gamma^2-1)\frac{bc}{r^2}\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{-\frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}} - \beta\frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1 + \frac{1-3\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}\frac{b}{r} + \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-3\alpha^2)}{(1+\alpha^2)^2}\frac{b^2}{r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}}, \quad (4.23)$$

$$U = r^2\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}}. \quad (4.24)$$

The solution has parameters b , c and γ in addition to α and β . By taking $\gamma = 1$, we obtain an EMD system with a six-exponential potential of the dilaton. The curvature singularity is at $r = 0$ and $r = b$. The mass is given by

$$M = \frac{V_\Sigma}{8\pi G}\left(c + k\frac{1-\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}b - \beta\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-3\alpha^2)(3-\alpha^2)}{2(1+\alpha^2)^3L^2}b^3\right). \quad (4.25)$$

The trivial neutral limit of this solution is $b = 0$, where the scalar field ϕ vanishes, and the potential becomes the cosmological constant. We are interested in the nontrivial neutral limit $c = 0$ later.

In [42, 43] and [44], it was found that there are two analytic solutions to the same system with potential $V_\alpha(\phi)$, and this was explained in [51] (see also [64]). Here we give a simple explanation as follows. The six-exponential potential has an additional parameter β . By taking $\beta = 0$, we obtain a solution for the three-exponential potential $V_\alpha(\phi)$; by taking $\beta = -1$, $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ and $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$, we obtain a different solution for the same potential. Thus, the nontrivial neutral limit $c = 0$ gives two different hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair. One neutral solution was studied in [45, 49], and the other one is given by

$$f(r) = \left[-1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}\left(1 + \frac{1-3\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}\frac{b}{r} + \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-3\alpha^2)}{(1+\alpha^2)^2}\frac{b^2}{r^2}\right)\right]\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}}, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\phi = -\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha^2}\ln\left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right). \quad (4.27)$$

The boundary condition for the scalar field corresponds to a multi-trace deformation in the dual CFT [66]. The boundary conditions corresponding to the triple-trace deformation are different for the two solutions, while boundary conditions corresponding to double-trace deformation are the same for the two solutions.

5 Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have calculated the supersymmetric Rényi entropies (with a spherical entangling surface) from a class of hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair. Our findings are summarized as follows:

- By employing a class of hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair, we have explicitly obtained the holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropies. Our results not only corroborate many established outcomes, but also introduce additional findings with distinctive properties.
- We have calculated the supersymmetric capacity of entanglement and showed that it cannot be mapped to the heat capacity of hyperbolic black holes due to the fact that the BPS condition gives a constraint between the temperature and the chemical potential.
- From the Rényi entropies that are analytic at $n = \infty$, we have calculated the entanglement spectrum as convolutions of generalized hypergeometric functions.
- We have shown that the potential of the EMD system can be generated from a $V(\phi) = 0$ solution.
- There are two nontrivial neutral limits of the EMD system, giving hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair. Scalar condensation may happen at sufficiently low temperatures.

The following topics need further investigation: (i) The CFT calculations for the models in table 1. (ii) Violation of inequalities when $\alpha > \alpha_*$, especially the 1-charge black hole in AdS_4 as a top-down special case. (iii) The geometric interpretation of the supersymmetric Rényi entropy. (iv) Whether there are phase transitions for this class of hyperbolic black hole solutions.

Acknowledgments

J.R. thanks Xiaoxuan Bai, Antonio Gallerati, Song He, Masato Nozawa, Yi Pang, Chiara Toldo, and Yang Zhou for helpful communications. This work was supported in part by the NSF of China under Grant No. 11905298, and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University under Grant No. 23qnp61.

A Special cases of $D = 4, 5, 6, 7$ supergravities

In STU supergravities, there are $U(1)^4$ gauge fields in AdS_4 , $U(1)^3$ gauge fields in AdS_5 , and $U(1)^2$ gauge fields in AdS_7 [67]. Special cases of them can be reduced to EMD systems. They are 1-charge, 2-charge, and 3-charge black holes in AdS_4 ; 1-charge and 2-charge black holes in AdS_5 ; and 1-charge black hole in AdS_7 .

The AdS_4 Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\vec{\phi})^2 + 8g^2(\cosh\phi_1 + \cosh\phi_2 + \cosh\phi_3) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^4 e^{\vec{a}_i\cdot\vec{\phi}}(F_{(2)}^i)^2, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\vec{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$, $\vec{a}_1 = (1, 1, 1)$, $\vec{a}_2 = (1, -1, -1)$, $\vec{a}_3 = (-1, 1, -1)$, and $\vec{a}_4 = (-1, -1, 1)$. More details can be found in [67]. The solution is given by [68, 69]

$$ds^2 = -(H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4)^{-1/2} f dt^2 + (H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4)^{1/2} (f^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Sigma_{2,k}^2), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$X_i = H_i^{-1} (H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4)^{1/4}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$A_{(1)}^i = \sqrt{k} (1 - H_i^{-1}) \coth \beta_i dt, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

with $X_i = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{\phi}}$, and

$$f = k - \frac{\mu}{r} + 4g^2 r^2 (H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4), \quad H_i = 1 + \frac{\mu \sinh^2 \beta_i}{kr}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We call this general solution (1+1+1+1)-charge black hole, or 4-charge black hole in AdS₄ if there is no confusion. For special cases, the following naming convention is used in the literature.

H_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$)	Name
$H_1 = H_2 = H_3, H_4 \neq 1$	(3+1)-charge black hole in AdS ₄
$H_1 = H_2, H_3 = H_4 \neq 1$	(2+2)-charge black hole in AdS ₄
$H_1 = H_2 = H_3 = H, H_4 = 1$	3-charge black hole in AdS ₄
$H_1 = H_2 = H, H_3 = H_4 = 1$	2-charge black hole in AdS ₄
$H_1 = H, H_2 = H_3 = H_4 = 1$	1-charge black hole in AdS ₄
$H_1 = H_2 = H_3 = H_4 = H$	RN-AdS ₄ black hole

The AdS₅ Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \vec{\varphi})^2 + 4g^2 \sum_i X_i^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 X_i^{-2} (F_{(2)}^i)^2. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The solution is [70]

$$ds^2 = -(H_1 H_2 H_3)^{-2/3} f dt^2 + (H_1 H_2 H_3)^{1/3} (f^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Sigma_{3,k}^2), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$X_i = H_i^{-1} (H_1 H_2 H_3)^{1/3}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$A_{(1)}^i = \sqrt{k} (1 - H_i^{-1}) \coth \beta_i dt, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

with

$$f = k - \frac{\mu}{r^2} + g^2 r^2 (H_1 H_2 H_3), \quad H_i = 1 + \frac{\mu \sinh^2 \beta_i}{kr^2}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We call this general solution (1+1+1)-charge black hole, or 3-charge black hole in AdS₅ if there is no confusion. For special cases, we have (2+1)-charge black hole, 2-charge black hole, 1-charge black hole in AdS₅. When $H_1 = H_2 = H_3$, we have the RN-AdS₅ black hole.

The static AdS₆ black hole metric is [17, 71]

$$ds^2 = -\frac{9}{2} (H_1 H_2)^{-3/4} f dt^2 + (H_1 H_2)^{1/4} (f^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Sigma_{4,k}^2), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$X_i = H_i^{-1} (H_1 H_2)^{3/8}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$A_{(1)}^i = \sqrt{k} \coth \beta_i (1 - H_i^{-1}) dt, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

with

$$f(r) = k - \frac{\mu}{r^3} + \frac{2}{9}r^2 H_1 H_2, \quad H_i = 1 + \frac{\mu \sinh^2 \beta_i}{kr^3}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Here, $i = 1, 2$. We call this solution (1+1)-charge black hole in AdS₆. Special cases are 2-charge black hole and 1-charge black hole in AdS₆. Note that the 2-charge case ($H_1 = H_2$) is not the RN-AdS₆ black hole.

The AdS₇ Lagrangian is

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\bar{\varphi})^2 - g^2V - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^2 e^{\bar{a}_i\cdot\bar{\varphi}}(F_{(2)}^i)^2. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

The solution is [67]

$$uds_7^2 = -(H_1 H_2)^{-4/5} f dt^2 + (H_1 H_2)^{1/5} (f^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{5,k}^2), \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$X_i = H_i^{-1} (H_1 H_2)^{2/5}, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$A_{(1)}^i = \sqrt{k} \coth \beta_i (1 - H_i^{-1}) dt, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

with

$$f = k - \frac{\mu}{r^4} + \frac{1}{4}g^2 r^2 H_1 H_2, \quad H_i = 1 + \frac{\mu \sinh^2 \beta_i}{kr^4}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

We call this solution (1+1)-charge black hole in AdS₇. Special cases are 2-charge black hole and 1-charge black hole in AdS₇. Note that the 2-charge case ($H_1 = H_2$) is not the RN-AdS₇ black hole.

For EMD truncations of these solutions, we need to shift the radial coordinate $r \rightarrow r - \mu \sinh^2 \beta$ to obtain the solutions (2.7)–(2.10).

B Fayet-Iliopoulos gauged supergravity

We briefly review the $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 4$ supergravity with Abelian Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) gaugings that can be reduced to EMD systems. See [51] for more details and references. For n_V number of abelian vector multiplets [72], the model describes $n_V + 1$ vector fields $A_\mu^I (I = 0, 1, \dots, n_V)$ and $n_s = n_V$ complex scalars fields $z^\alpha (\alpha = 1, \dots, n_s)$. These scalars parametrize an n_V -dimensional Hodge-Kähler manifold, which is the base of a symplectic bundle with covariantly holomorphic section

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} X^I \\ F_I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\alpha}}\mathcal{V} = \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}\mathcal{V} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\bar{\alpha}}\mathcal{K})\mathcal{V} = 0, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where \mathcal{V} obeys the symplectic constraint $\langle \mathcal{V}, \bar{\mathcal{V}} \rangle \equiv X^I \bar{F}_I - F_I \bar{X}^I = i$ and $\langle \mathcal{V}, \partial_\alpha \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0$; $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(z^\alpha, \bar{z}^\alpha)$ is the Kähler potential, and \mathcal{D}_α denotes the Kähler covariant derivative. Writing

$$\mathcal{V} = e^{K/2} v, \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} Z^I \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^I} F(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where v is the holomorphic symplectic vector. In appropriate symplectic frame, we assume the existence of prepotential F that is a homogeneous function of degree two.

The bosonic gauged Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(R - 2V) \star 1 - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge \star d\bar{z}^\beta + \frac{1}{2} I_{IJ} F^I \wedge \star F^J + \frac{1}{2} R_{IJ} F^I \wedge F^J. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where the $n_V + 1$ vector field strengths are $F^I = dA^I$; $I_{IJ} = \text{Im}\mathcal{N}_{IJ}$, $R_{IJ} = \text{Re}\mathcal{N}_{IJ}$, where \mathcal{N}_{IJ} is defined by the relations $F_I = \mathcal{N}_{IJ} X^J$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{F}_I = \mathcal{N}_{IJ} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{X}^J$. The scalar potential is

$$V = -2g_I g_J \left(I^{IJ} + 8\bar{X}^I X^J \right), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where I^{IJ} is the inverse of I_{IJ} , and g_I is the FI coupling constants.

We consider the following prepotential of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity with one complex scalar ($n_V = 1$):

$$F(X) = -\frac{i}{4} (X^0)^n (X^1)^{2-n}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The values of the parameter $n = 1, 1/2$, and $3/2$ correspond to special cases of STU supergravity. This is a truncation of the STU model with the prepotential

$$F_{\text{STU}}(X) = -\frac{i}{4} \sqrt{X^0 X^1 X^2 X^3}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Setting $Z^0 = 1$ and $Z^1 = z$, the symplectic vector is $v = (1, z, -\frac{i}{4} n z^{2-n}, -\frac{i}{4} (2-n) z^{1-n})^T$. The system (4.17) is obtained by further truncating the theory to a single real scalar $z = \bar{z}$ and the purely electrically charged case $F^I \wedge F^J = 0$.

C The IR geometry for $\alpha = \alpha_*$

In the special case $\alpha = \alpha_* := (d-2)\sqrt{\frac{2}{d-1}}$, the black hole under the BPS condition has a distinctive IR geometry at zero temperature: it has a curvature singularity. For comparison, when $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_*$, the IR geometry at zero temperature is $\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{H}^{d-1}$, i.e., it has a degenerate horizon.

When $\alpha = \alpha_*$, the IR limit of the geometry at zero temperature is

$$ds^2 = (r-1)^{\frac{2}{d-1}} \left(-(r-1)dt^2 + \frac{dr^3}{(r-1)^3} + d\Sigma_{d-1}^2 \right). \quad (\text{C.1})$$

By the change of variables

$$\tilde{r} = (r-1)^{-1/2}, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

the IR geometry is written as

$$ds^2 = \tilde{r}^{\frac{2\theta}{d-1}} \left(-\frac{dt^2}{\tilde{r}^{2z}} + \frac{d\tilde{r}^2 + d\Sigma_{d-1}^2}{\tilde{r}^2} \right), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

which is a hyperscaling-violating geometry with the spatial part being \mathbb{H}^{d-1} . The Lifshitz scaling exponent z and the hyperscaling violation exponent θ are

$$z = 2, \quad \theta = d - 3. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

In particular, when $d = 3$, it is a Lifshitz geometry with the spatial part being \mathbb{H}^{d-1} .

It is interesting to make a comparison to the planar black hole solutions of the same EMD system (2.1). The IR geometries of extremal planar black holes are [45]:

- $0 < \alpha < (d-2)\sqrt{\frac{2}{d(d-1)}}$. The IR geometry is $\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.
- $\alpha = (d-2)\sqrt{\frac{2}{d(d-1)}}$. The IR geometry is conformal to $\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ [73, 74].
- $\alpha > (d-2)\sqrt{\frac{2}{d(d-1)}}$. The extremal limit of the EMD system (2.1) is the same as an Einstein-scalar system. The IR geometry is a hyperscaling-violating geometry.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] P. Calabrese and J.L. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0406** (2004) P06002 [[hep-th/0405152](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0405152)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1028083)].
- [2] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory*, *J. Phys. A* **42** (2009) 504005 [[arXiv:0905.4013](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.4013)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/807586)].
- [3] T. Nishioka and I. Yaakov, *Supersymmetric Rényi Entropy*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 155 [[arXiv:1306.2958](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2958)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1111111)].
- [4] X. Huang, S.-J. Rey and Y. Zhou, *Three-dimensional SCFT on conic space as hologram of charged topological black hole*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 127 [[arXiv:1401.5421](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5421)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1191111)].
- [5] T. Nishioka, *The Gravity Dual of Supersymmetric Rényi Entropy*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 061 [[arXiv:1401.6764](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.6764)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1191111)].
- [6] X. Huang and Y. Zhou, *$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills on conic space as hologram of STU topological black hole*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 068 [[arXiv:1408.3393](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.3393)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/125010)].
- [7] M. Crossley, E. Dyer and J. Sonner, *Super-Rényi entropy & Wilson loops for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 001 [[arXiv:1409.0542](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0542)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/125010)].
- [8] L.F. Alday, P. Richmond and J. Sparks, *The holographic supersymmetric Rényi entropy in five dimensions*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 102 [[arXiv:1410.0899](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.0899)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/125010)].
- [9] N. Hama, T. Nishioka and T. Ugajin, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy in five dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 048 [[arXiv:1410.2206](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.2206)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/125010)].
- [10] Y. Zhou, *Universal Features of Four-Dimensional Superconformal Field Theory on Conic Space*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 052 [[arXiv:1506.06512](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.06512)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/135010)].
- [11] A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy in CFT_2 and AdS_3* , *JHEP* **01** (2016) 042 [[arXiv:1510.08872](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.08872)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/135010)].
- [12] J. Nian and Y. Zhou, *Rényi entropy of a free $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet and its supersymmetric counterpart*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 125010 [[arXiv:1511.00313](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.00313)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/135010)].
- [13] H. Mori, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 058 [[arXiv:1512.02829](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02829)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/135010)].
- [14] Y. Zhou, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy and Weyl anomalies in six-dimensional $(2,0)$ theories*, *JHEP* **06** (2016) 064 [[arXiv:1512.03008](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03008)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/135010)].
- [15] T. Nishioka and I. Yaakov, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy and defect operators*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 071 [[arXiv:1612.02894](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.02894)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/135010)].

- [16] S. Yankielowicz and Y. Zhou, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy and Anomalies in 6d (1,0) SCFTs*, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 128 [[arXiv:1702.03518](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] S.M. Hosseini, C. Toldo and I. Yaakov, *Supersymmetric Rényi entropy and charged hyperbolic black holes*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 131 [[arXiv:1912.04868](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71 [[arXiv:0712.2824](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, *Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter*, *JHEP* **03** (2010) 089 [[arXiv:0909.4559](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] D.L. Jafferis, *The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 159 [[arXiv:1012.3210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, *Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere*, *JHEP* **03** (2011) 127 [[arXiv:1012.3512](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, *SUSY Gauge Theories on Squashed Three-Spheres*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 014 [[arXiv:1102.4716](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] H. Casini, M. Huerta and R.C. Myers, *Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 036 [[arXiv:1102.0440](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] L.-Y. Hung, R.C. Myers, M. Smolkin and A. Yale, *Holographic Calculations of Rényi Entropy*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 047 [[arXiv:1110.1084](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] A. Belin et al., *Holographic Charged Rényi Entropies*, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 059 [[arXiv:1310.4180](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] J.M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [[hep-th/9711200](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091 [[arXiv:0806.1218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] L.J. Romans, *The $F(4)$ Gauged Supergravity in Six-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **269** (1986) 691 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] K.A. Intriligator, D.R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, *Five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories and degenerations of Calabi-Yau spaces*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **497** (1997) 56 [[hep-th/9702198](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] M. Cvetič, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *Gauged six-dimensional supergravity from massive type IIA*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 5226 [[hep-th/9906221](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] J. Jeong, O. Kelekci and E. O. Colgain, *An alternative IIB embedding of $F(4)$ gauged supergravity*, *JHEP* **05** (2013) 079 [[arXiv:1302.2105](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] H. Yao and X.-L. Qi, *Entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum of the Kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 080501 [[arXiv:1001.1165](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] H. Li and F. Haldane, *Entanglement Spectrum as a Generalization of Entanglement Entropy: Identification of Topological Order in Non-Abelian Fractional Quantum Hall Effect States*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 010504 [[arXiv:0805.0332](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] Y.O. Nakagawa and S. Furukawa, *Capacity of entanglement and the distribution of density matrix eigenvalues in gapless systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **96** (2017) 205108 [[arXiv:1708.08924](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [35] Y. Nakaguchi and T. Nishioka, *A holographic proof of Rényi entropic inequalities*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 129 [[arXiv:1606.08443](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] J. De Boer, J. Järvelä and E. Keski-Vakkuri, *Aspects of capacity of entanglement*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 066012 [[arXiv:1807.07357](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] D.-Q. Sun, *Extended Holographic Rényi Entropy and hyperbolic black hole with scalar hair*, [arXiv:2305.00157](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] G.T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, *Black strings and p-branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360** (1991) 197 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] C.J. Gao and S.N. Zhang, *Dilaton black holes in de Sitter or Anti-de Sitter universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 124019 [[hep-th/0411104](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] C.J. Gao and S.N. Zhang, *Higher dimensional dilaton black holes with cosmological constant*, *Phys. Lett. B* **605** (2005) 185 [[hep-th/0411105](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] C.-J. Gao and S.-N. Zhang, *Topological black holes in dilaton gravity theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **612** (2005) 127 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] A. Anabalón, *Exact Black Holes and Universality in the Backreaction of non-linear Sigma Models with a potential in (A)dS₄*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 127 [[arXiv:1204.2720](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] X.-H. Feng, H. Lü and Q. Wen, *Scalar Hairy Black Holes in General Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 044014 [[arXiv:1312.5374](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] F. Faedo, D. Klemm and M. Nozawa, *Hairy black holes in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 045 [[arXiv:1505.02986](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] J. Ren, *Analytic solutions of neutral hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 086023 [[arXiv:1910.06344](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] H. Lü, *Charged dilatonic ads black holes and magnetic $AdS_{D-2} \times R^2$ vacua*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 112 [[arXiv:1306.2386](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] A. Sheykhi, M.H. Dehghani and S.H. Hendi, *Thermodynamic instability of charged dilaton black holes in AdS spaces*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 084040 [[arXiv:0912.4199](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] S.H. Hendi, A. Sheykhi and M.H. Dehghani, *Thermodynamics of higher dimensional topological charged AdS black branes in dilaton gravity*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 703 [[arXiv:1002.0202](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] X. Bai and J. Ren, *Holographic Rényi entropies from hyperbolic black holes with scalar hair*, *JHEP* **12** (2022) 038 [[arXiv:2210.03732](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] L.J. Romans, *Supersymmetric, cold and lukewarm black holes in cosmological Einstein-Maxwell theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **383** (1992) 395 [[hep-th/9203018](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] M. Nozawa and T. Torii, *New family of C metrics in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 064064 [[arXiv:2211.06517](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] D.Z. Freedman, C. Nunez, M. Schnabl and K. Skenderis, *Fake supergravity and domain wall stability*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 104027 [[hep-th/0312055](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] A. Celi et al., *On the fakeness of fake supergravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 045009 [[hep-th/0410126](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] C. Beck and F. Schlögl, *Thermodynamics of chaotic systems*, Cambridge University Press (1993) [[DOI:10.1017/CB09780511524585](#)].

- [55] X. Dong, *The Gravity Dual of Rényi Entropy*, *Nature Commun.* **7** (2016) 12472 [[arXiv:1601.06788](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] P. Calabrese and A. Lefevre, *Entanglement spectrum in one-dimensional systems*, *Phys. Rev. A* **78** (2008) 032329 [[arXiv:0806.3059](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] A. Belin, A. Maloney and S. Matsuura, *Holographic Phases of Rényi Entropies*, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 050 [[arXiv:1306.2640](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] S.S. Gubser and A. Nellore, *Mimicking the QCD equation of state with a dual black hole*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 086007 [[arXiv:0804.0434](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] D. Li, S. He, M. Huang and Q.-S. Yan, *Thermodynamics of deformed AdS₅ model with a positive/negative quadratic correction in graviton-dilaton system*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 041 [[arXiv:1103.5389](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] R.-G. Cai, S. He and D. Li, *A hQCD model and its phase diagram in Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton system*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 033 [[arXiv:1201.0820](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] D. Garfinkle, G.T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, *Charged black holes in string theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 3140 [*Erratum ibid.* **45** (1992) 3888] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] M.J. Duff, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *The black branes of M theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **382** (1996) 73 [[hep-th/9604052](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] A. Anabalón, D. Astefanesei, D. Choque and J.D. Edelstein, *Phase transitions of neutral planar hairy AdS black holes*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 129 [[arXiv:1912.03318](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] A. Anabalón, D. Astefanesei, A. Gallerati and M. Trigiante, *Hairy Black Holes and Duality in an Extended Supergravity Model*, *JHEP* **04** (2018) 058 [[arXiv:1712.06971](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] A. Anabalón, D. Astefanesei, A. Gallerati and M. Trigiante, *New non-extremal and BPS hairy black holes in gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity*, *JHEP* **04** (2021) 047 [[arXiv:2012.09877](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] E. Witten, *Multitrace operators, boundary conditions, and AdS/CFT correspondence*, [hep-th/0112258](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] M. Cvetič et al., *Embedding AdS black holes in ten-dimensions and eleven-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **558** (1999) 96 [[hep-th/9903214](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] M.J. Duff and J.T. Liu, *Anti-de Sitter black holes in gauged $N = 8$ supergravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **554** (1999) 237 [[hep-th/9901149](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] W.A. Sabra, *Anti-de Sitter BPS black holes in $N = 2$ gauged supergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **458** (1999) 36 [[hep-th/9903143](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] K. Behrndt, M. Cvetič and W.A. Sabra, *Nonextreme black holes of five-dimensional $N = 2$ AdS supergravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **553** (1999) 317 [[hep-th/9810227](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] D.D.K. Chow, *Single-rotation two-charge black holes in gauged supergravity*, [arXiv:1108.5139](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] L. Andrianopoli et al., *$N = 2$ supergravity and $N = 2$ superYang-Mills theory on general scalar manifolds: Symplectic covariance, gaugings and the momentum map*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **23** (1997) 111 [[hep-th/9605032](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [73] S.S. Gubser and J. Ren, *Analytic fermionic Green's functions from holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 046004 [[arXiv:1204.6315](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] B. Goutéraux, *Charge transport in holography with momentum dissipation*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 181 [[arXiv:1401.5436](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].