Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: March 2, 2021 REVISED: May 19, 2021 ACCEPTED: May 20, 2021 PUBLISHED: June 3, 2021

# Marginal deformations of a class of $AdS_3 \mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$ holographic backgrounds

# Salomon Zacarías

Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic

E-mail: zacarias.salomon84@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: We discuss marginal deformations of warped  $AdS_3 \times S^2$  solutions preserving small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry in massive IIA and eleven-dimensional supergravity and obtain a whole family of new solutions. We characterise these new backgrounds by studying some observables like the quantised charges, associated Hannany-Witten brane set-ups and the holographic central charge, the latter is shown to be invariant under the deformation. The study of the preservation of supersymmetry shows that the new backgrounds support a dynamical SU(2) structure on the internal five-dimensional space.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, D-branes, Supergravity Models

ARXIV EPRINT: 2102.05681





# Contents

1	Introduction and summary	1
<b>2</b>	The seed $AdS_3 \mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$ holographic backgrounds	3
3	The marginally deformed backgrounds3.1Quantised charges and brane set-ups	<b>4</b> 6
4	The deformation in eleven dimensions	8
5	Holographic central charge	10
6	Comments on supersymmetry and G-structure of the solutions	11
7	Conclusions	14
$\mathbf{A}$	Supersymmetry conventions	15

#### 1 Introduction and summary

Supersymmetric solutions with  $AdS_{p+1}$  factors in type II and eleven-dimensional supergravities play a prominent role in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence since they provide a holographic description of p-dimensional superconformal field theories (SCFTs) at strong coupling [1]. The discovery of this holographic duality has ever since triggered a number of efforts to construct and classify AdS vacua for any dimension allowed and preserving various amounts of (super)symmetries that have been used to study and characterise SCFTs.

More recently, the case of  $AdS_3$  backgrounds has gained a lot of attention. There are several motivations for this. For instance, the near horizon geometries of five-dimensional extremal black holes have  $AdS_3$  factors. Using SCFT<sub>2</sub> data it is then possible to understand microscopic features of black holes, like their entropy by computing the central charge of the SCFT<sub>2</sub> [2], among other aspects (see for instance [3–7]). On the other hand, twodimensional SCFTs are special on their own since they can, in certain cases, be fully solvable due to the structure of the superconformal algebra. It is therefore interesting to explore deeply each side of this dual pair in order to shed some light on new phenomena via holography. For a sample of works regarding  $AdS_3$  supersymmetric backgrounds in ten and eleven-dimensional supergravity preserving different amounts of supersymmetry and their holographic applications see [8–41].

Moreover, on the geometrical side, attempts to constructing and classifying supersymmetric  $AdS_3$  solutions have been mostly focused on the G-structure formalism [42] for which one extracts geometric constraints for the fields of the solutions according to the number of (super)symmetries and geometrical structures, etc, we impose in the internal space. It has also been considered back-reacting D-brane arrangements which are known to produce AdS solutions in the near horizon limit [34, 36], among others. However, these efforts have been non-exhaustive due to the many choices we have on the number of supersymmetries, and superconformal algebras, supported by the solutions constraining the internal space submanifolds. Thus the approach has been focussed on searching and classifying all supergravity solutions preserving given amounts of supersymmetry, choices of internal structures, etc. This program has allowed to expand significatively our knowledge of new string backgrounds which may have very interesting applications in the context of holography. In this vein, another possibility to explore the landscape of  $AdS_3$  vacua is to consider AdS-preserving deformations of well-known supergravity solutions. Depending on the details of the deformation these solutions may preserve supersymmetry whilst changing the structure of the internal space, and in some cases escape from presently known classifications of supergravity solutions.

In this work we will use TsT transformations [43] and the analog to eleven dimensions [44] in order to generate a larger class of warped AdS<sub>3</sub> supersymmetric solutions. The seed backgrounds we will consider are a subclass of the solutions constructed in [22] which are solutions of massive IIA supergravity of the warped form  $AdS_3 \times S^2 \times CY_2$  foliated over an interval, the two-sphere realising geometrically the  $SU(2)_R$  R-charge of the solution. They are given in terms of three linear functions, preserve small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry and an SU(2) structure in the internal five-dimensional space, analogously, for these solutions, an SU(3) structure in the seven-dimensional space transverse to  $AdS_3$ . The above solutions appear in the near horizon limit of  $D_2$ - $D_4$ -NS5- $D_6$ - $D_8$  brane arrangements.  $D_2$ and  $D_6$  branes are colour branes and are suspended between the NS5 branes whilst  $D_4$  and  $D_8$  correspond to localised sources and provide flavour groups attached to the gauge nodes which leave the dual quiver CFT anomaly free [23, 24]. For vanishing Romans mass, the uplift to eleven dimensions of the above solution gives rise to a class of  $AdS_3 \times S^3/Z_k \times CY_2$ foliated over an interval, which preserve the same amount of supersymmetry and internal structure group [31]. The brane configuration for this solution involves M2 branes and KK monopoles suspended between M5' branes as well as extra flavour M5 branes.

Given the internal symmetries of the seed solutions above, we have two choices which produce inequivalent backgrounds after TsT transformations. Namely, if we consider or not the azimutal direction inside the  $S^2$  for the process. In the latter case we are left with solutions for which supersymmetry is fully preserved. Of course more generic supersymmetric solutions can be generated by a sequence of TsT's not involving the U(1) inside the  $S^2$ , but we will explore this more generic case in the future. For the ten-dimensional solutions, we study the brane configurations that we propose generate our solutions in the near-horizon limit. Holographically, these new backgrounds are dual to marginal deformations of the seed (undeformed) SCFT, both theories having the same central charge in the holographic limit. The latter can be understood since their degrees of freedom, in the aforementioned limit, are associated to the weighted volume of the internal spaces (to be defined below). The deformation changed the internal space enriching the geometric structure but left invariant its weighted volume. We prove this using the holographic calculation and left the specification of the SCFT for a forthcoming publication.

The content of this paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we start by briefly reviewing the seed solutions in [22]. We then proceed to apply the TsT transformation in section 3 in order to obtain the new family of backgrounds in massive IIA. We study the quantised charges and present brane configurations which we argue give rise to our solutions in the near horizon limit. In section 4 we study the eleven-dimensional analog of the TsT transformation for the solutions in section 2 with vanishing romans mass uplifted to eleven dimensions. One of the solutions obtained correspond to the uplift of the TsT-deformed IIA solution in the massless case. We then prove the invariance of the central charge under the deformation in section 5 using the holographic computation. Finally, In section 6 we study the preservation of supersymmetry for the solutions obtained in sections 3 and 4. This analysis suggest the new supersymmetric solutions support a dynamical SU(2) structure in the internal five-dimensional space. Some comments and final remarks are addressed in section 7. In appendix A we give our conventions for supersymmetry.

# 2 The seed $AdS_3 \mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$ holographic backgrounds

In this section we shall briefly review the AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions in massive IIA supergravity preserving small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry obtained in [22]. They will constitute our starting point from which we will obtain the marginally deformed solutions via a transformation involving dualities.

The solutions in [22] are of the warped form  $AdS_3 \times S^2 \times M_5$ , supporting an SU(2) structure on  $M_5$ , equivalently, for these solutions, an SU(3) structure in seven dimensions. Moreover, the five-dimensional space  $M_5$  locally splits into a four-dimensional piece  $M_4$  and an interval. There are two classes of solutions. In this work we will concentrate on a subclass of *class* I solutions for which  $M_4$  is (conformally) CY<sub>2</sub>. From now on we will consider CY<sub>2</sub> = T<sup>4</sup>. The NS sector of the solution in the string frame reads

$$ds^{2} = \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}} \left( ds^{2}_{\text{AdS}_{3}} + \frac{h_{8}h_{4}}{f_{1}} ds^{2}_{\text{S}^{2}} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} ds^{2}_{T^{4}} + \frac{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}}{u} d\rho^{2},$$

$$e^{\Phi} = \frac{2h^{1/4}_{4}}{h^{3/4}_{8}} \sqrt{\frac{u}{f_{1}}}, \qquad B_{2} = f_{2} \, d\text{vol}_{\text{S}^{2}},$$
(2.1)

where the functions  $u, h_4, h_8$  are functions of  $\rho$  only. This is supported with the following RR field strengths

$$F_{0} = h_{8}', \quad F_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( h_{8} - \frac{h_{8}' u u'}{f_{1}} \right) d \operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}}, \quad F_{8} = \star_{10} F_{2}, \quad F_{10} = -\star_{10} F_{0},$$

$$F_{4} = -\left( \left( \frac{u u'}{2\hat{h}_{4}} \right)' + 2h_{8} \right) d\rho \wedge d \operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{AdS}_{3}} - h_{4}' d \operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^{4}}, \qquad F_{6} = -\star_{10} F_{4},$$

$$(2.2)$$

where  $' = \partial_{\rho}$  and

$$f_1 = 4h_4h_8 + (u')^2, \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\rho + \frac{uu'}{f_1}\right).$$
 (2.3)

	$0 \le \rho \le 2\pi$	$2\pi j \le \rho \le 2\pi (j+1)$	$2\pi P \le \rho \le 2\pi (P+1)$
$h_8$	$\frac{\nu_0}{2\pi}\rho$	$\mu_j + \frac{\nu_j}{2\pi}(\rho - 2\pi j)$	$\mu_P - \frac{\mu_P}{2\pi}(\rho - 2P\pi)$
$h_4$	$rac{eta_0}{2\pi} ho$	$\alpha_j + \frac{\beta_j}{2\pi}(\rho - 2\pi j)$	$\alpha_P - \frac{\alpha_P}{2\pi}(\rho - 2P\pi)$
u		$rac{b_0}{2\pi} ho$	

**Table 1**. Piece-wise continuous functions satisfying the conditions in eq. (2.5). The value of  $u(\rho)$  is the same in all intervals, as required by supersymmetry.

The above background is a supersymmetric solution of massive type IIA supergravity provided

$$h_4''(\rho) = 0, \quad h_8''(\rho) = 0, \quad u''(\rho) = 0,$$
(2.4)

the first two away from localised sources. The  $\rho$  coordinate parametrising the interval can be taken to be of finite range. This imposes additional constraints on the various functions of the solution. We require for  $0 \le \rho \le 2\pi(P+1)$  that<sup>1</sup>

$$h_8|_{\rho=0} = h_8|_{\rho=2\pi(P+1)} = h_4|_{\rho=0} = h_4|_{\rho=2\pi(P+1)} = 0.$$
(2.5)

The metric functions obeying the above conditions are then explicitly given in table 1.

The set of constants  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j, \mu_j, \nu_j, b_0)$  for  $j = 0, \dots P$  parametrising the piece-wise continuous functions above are subject to certain constraints imposing continuity of the NS sector along the  $\rho$  intervals. The conditions are

$$\alpha_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_j, \quad \mu_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \nu_j.$$
(2.6)

The supergravity solution is trustable whenever these constants as well as the number P have large values.

### 3 The marginally deformed backgrounds

In this section we will construct a family of solutions corresponding to deformations of the supergravity solutions in eqs. (2.1)–(2.2). Such deformations are built upon a sequence of T dualities and a change of coordinates [43]. The resulting backgrounds are considered to be holographic duals of the marginally deformed SCFTs dual to the original (undeformed) backgrounds.

In order to proceed, we first pick a two-torus in the geometry. For the solution in eq. (2.1) there are two options which will produce inequivalent solutions. They correspond to  $U(1)_{\varphi} \times U(1)_{x_i}$  and  $U(1)_{x_i} \times U(1)_{x_j}$  invariant sub-sectors, where  $\varphi$  is the azimuthal angle inside the S<sup>2</sup> and  $x_i$  the coordinates on T<sup>4</sup>. The deformation is achieved by performing a T-duality in one of the coordinates, a shift with parameter  $\lambda$  in the second and T duality back in the first. The solutions obtained will describe a family of solutions in terms of the functions  $u, h_4, h_8$  and the parameter  $\lambda$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For other choices where some of these conditions are relaxed see [24, 35].

In the first case  $T^2: (\varphi, x_1)$ , following the T duality rules in [45], the above procedure generates the following background

$$ds^{2} = \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}} \left( ds^{2}_{AdS_{3}} + \frac{h_{8}h_{4}}{f_{1}} d\theta^{2} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} (dx^{2}_{2} + dx^{2}_{3} + dx^{2}_{4}) + \frac{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}}{u} d\rho^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{1} + \lambda^{2} \sin^{2}\theta h_{4}u} \left( u\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}} \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} f_{1}(dx_{1} - \lambda f_{2}\sin\theta d\theta)^{2} \right),$$

$$e^{\Phi} = \frac{2h_{4}^{1/4}}{h_{8}^{3/4}} \sqrt{\frac{u}{f_{1} + \lambda^{2}h_{4}u\sin^{2}\theta}},$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{\lambda h_{4}u\sin^{2}\theta}{(dx_{1} - \lambda f_{2}\sin\theta d\theta) \wedge d(z + f_{2}dy_{2})},$$
(3.1)

$$\begin{split} B_2 &= \frac{1}{f_1 + \lambda^2 h_4 u \sin^2 \theta} (dx_1 - \lambda f_2 \sin \theta d\theta) \wedge d\varphi + f_2 a \operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^2}, \\ F_0 &= h'_8, \qquad F_2 = \frac{\gamma h_4 u \sin^2 \theta h'_8}{f_1 + \lambda^2 h_4 u \sin^2 \theta} (dx_1 - \lambda f_2 \sin \theta d\theta) \wedge d\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \left( h_8 - \frac{h'_8 u u'}{f_1} \right) d\operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^2} \\ F_4 &= -\left( \left( \frac{u u'}{2\hat{h}_4} \right)' + 2h_8 \right) d\rho \wedge d\operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{AdS}_3} - h'_4 d\operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^4} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma (h_4 - \rho h'_4) \sin \theta d\theta \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4, \end{split}$$

where the higher fluxes are obtained via the lower ones as indicated in eq. (2.2). The background in eq. (3.1) is a solution of massive IIA supergravity if conditions in eq. (2.4) are imposed. We notice the original solution is recovered after turning off the deformation parameter, as expected.

For the second case  $T^2$ :  $(x_3, x_4)$ , the procedure outlined above produces the following background

$$\begin{split} ds^{2} &= \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}} \left( ds^{2}_{\mathrm{AdS}_{3}} + \frac{h_{8}h_{4}}{f_{1}} ds^{2}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}}{u} d\rho^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} dx^{2}_{1,2} + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} dx^{2}_{3,4}, \\ e^{\Phi} &= \frac{2h_{4}^{1/4}}{h_{8}^{3/4}} \sqrt{\frac{u}{(1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}})f_{1}}}, \qquad B_{2} = f_{2}d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} - \lambda \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}} \frac{dx_{3} \wedge dx_{4}}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}}, \\ F_{0} &= h'_{8}, \qquad F_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( h_{8} - \frac{h'_{8}uu'}{f_{1}} \right) d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} - \lambda h'_{4} dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2} - \lambda \frac{h_{4}h'_{8}}{h_{8}(1 - \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}})} dx_{3} \wedge dx_{4}, \quad (3.2) \\ F_{4} &= -\left( \left( \frac{uu'}{2h_{4}} \right)' + 2h_{8} \right) d\rho \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{AdS}_{3}} - \frac{h'_{4}}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^{4}} + \lambda \left( \frac{h_{4}}{2h_{8}(1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}})} \left( h_{8} - \frac{uu'h'_{8}}{f_{1}} \right) dx_{3} \wedge dx_{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left( h_{4} - \frac{uu'h'_{4}}{f_{1}} \right) dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2} \right) \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}}, \end{split}$$

which is a solution of massive IIA supergravity if conditions in eq. (2.4) are imposed. We notice since the S<sup>2</sup> is a spectator subspace for this deformation, we expect  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry will be fully preserved as we will explicitly show in section 6.

#### 3.1 Quantised charges and brane set-ups

In this section we will study the Page charges of the deformed backgrounds. Throughout, we shall use the following definitions<sup>2</sup>  $Q_{D_p} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{7-p}} \int_{\Sigma_{8-p}} \hat{f}_{8-p}$ , where  $\hat{f} = e^{-B\wedge}f$ , here f denotes the magnetic (internal) part of the RR polyform F.

We start with the solution in eq. (3.1) and consider the following non-trivial cycles of the geometry

$$\Sigma_2 = S^2, \quad \Sigma_4 = T^4, \quad \Sigma_3 = (\rho, S^2), \quad \Sigma_6 = (S^2, T^4), \quad \Sigma_4' = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \theta), \quad \Sigma_3' = (x_1, \rho, \varphi).$$
(3.3)

The Page charges read

$$Q_{\rm NS5} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Sigma_3} H_3, \qquad Q_{\rm NS5'} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Sigma'_3} H_3, \qquad Q_{D_8} = 2\pi h'_8, \qquad \qquad Q_{D_6} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_2} \hat{f}_2$$
$$Q_{D_4} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\Sigma_4} \hat{f}_4, \qquad \qquad Q_{D'_4} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\Sigma'_4} \hat{f}_4, \qquad \qquad Q_{D_2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int_{\Sigma_6} \hat{f}_6. \tag{3.4}$$

If we allow large gauge transformations  $B_2 \rightarrow B_2 + \pi k \, d\text{vol}_{S^2}$ , the Page fluxes are those in eq. (3.1) except for

$$\hat{f}_{2} = -\frac{1}{2}(h_{8} - h_{8}'(\rho - 2\pi k))d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}},$$

$$\hat{f}_{6} = \frac{1}{2}(h_{4} - h_{4}'(\rho - 2\pi k))d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^{4}}.$$
(3.5)

The charges in eq. (3.4) computed in  $2\pi k \leq \rho \leq 2\pi (k+1)$  are explicitly,

$$Q_{D_8} = \nu_k, \qquad Q_{D_6} = \mu_k, \qquad Q_{D_4} = \beta_k, \qquad Q_{D_2} = \alpha_k, Q_{D'_4} = \lambda(k\beta_k - \alpha_k) \qquad Q_{\text{NS5}} = 1, \qquad Q_{\text{NS5'}} = 0.$$
(3.6)

We notice that for finite  $\rho \in [0, 2\pi(P+1)]$  we have P+1 parallel NS5 branes. From the above expressions we see in particular that no extra NS5' branes were generated by the deformation. In addition, the above charges are well-defined as long as the set of constants  $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \mu_k, \nu_k$  as well as the combination  $\lambda(k\beta_k - \alpha_k), \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

As we pointed out before, the first two conditions in eq. (2.4) must be satisfied by the solutions everywhere except at points were we have localised sources. At those points, we have a change in gradient of the piece-wise linear functions proportional to  $h''_{4,8}$  pointing the possible existence of a source for  $D_p$  branes via the modified Bianchi identities  $d\hat{f} = j_s$ . From table 1 we obtain

$$h_{4}'' = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left(\frac{\beta_{k-1} - \beta_{k}}{2\pi}\right) \delta(\rho - 2\pi k), \qquad h_{8}'' = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left(\frac{\nu_{k-1} - \nu_{k}}{2\pi}\right) \delta(\rho - 2\pi k). \tag{3.7}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We will be using units such that  $g_s = \alpha' = 1$ .

	t	x	r	θ	$\phi$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	ρ	$N_{D_p}$
D <sub>8</sub>	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	$\nu_{k-1} - \nu_k$
D <sub>6</sub>	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	$\mu_k$
$D'_4$	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\lambda k N_{D_4}$
D <sub>4</sub>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\beta_{k-1} - \beta_k$
$D_2$	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\alpha_k$
NS5	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	

**Table 2**. Brane configuration which in the near horizon limit gives the solution in eq. (3.1). We show the world-volume directions the branes are suspended as well as their number in the k-th interval.

Using this information as well as the Page fluxes of the solution we compute

$$d\hat{f}_0 = 2\pi h_8'' d\rho, \tag{3.8}$$

$$d\hat{f}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\pi k)h_8''d\rho \wedge d\text{vol}_{S^2} = 0, \qquad (3.9)$$

$$d\hat{f}_4 = h_4'' d\rho \wedge \left( d\text{vol}_{\text{T}^4} + \lambda \frac{\rho}{2} \sin\theta d\theta \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4 \right)$$
(3.10)

$$d\hat{f}_{6} = \frac{h_{4}''}{2}(\rho - 2\pi k)d\rho \wedge d\text{vol}_{\text{S}^{2}} \wedge d\text{vol}_{\text{T}^{4}} = 0$$
(3.11)

where we have used  $x\delta(x) = 0$ . From this we conclude that  $D_4$ ,  $D'_4$  as well as  $D_8$ , having non-zero sources, correspond to flavour branes whilst  $D_6$  and  $D_2$  are colour ones. Thus in addition to the D-branes of the seed solution, the deformation has induced (semi-localised) flavour  $Q_{D'_4}$  branes. The brane configuration, before the near horizon limit is taken, we argue is associated to the solution above is shown in table 2.

For the second solution, we consider the following cycles

$$\Sigma'_{2} = (x_{1}, x_{2}), \quad \Sigma_{4} = T^{4}, \quad \Sigma'_{4} = (S^{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}), \quad \Sigma_{6} = (S^{2}, T^{4}), \quad \Sigma'_{3} = (\rho, x_{3}, x_{4}), \quad (3.12)$$

and non-trivial Page forms

$$\hat{f}_{2} = -\frac{1}{2}(h_{8} - h_{8}'(\rho - 2\pi k))d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} + \lambda h_{4}'dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2},$$

$$\hat{f}_{4} = h_{4}'d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^{4}} - \frac{\lambda}{2}(h_{4} - h_{4}'(\rho - 2\pi k))d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} \wedge dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2},$$

$$\hat{f}_{6} = -\frac{1}{2}(h_{4} - h_{4}'(\rho - 2\pi k))d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^{2}} \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^{4}}.$$
(3.13)

An analysis as detailed above shows that in addition to the D-branes of the seed solution, the generated Page charges after the transformation ( $\lambda$ -dependent) are given by

$$Q_{\mathbf{D}_6'} = \lambda \beta_k, \quad Q_{\mathbf{D}_4'} = \lambda \alpha_k. \tag{3.14}$$

	t	x	r	θ	$\phi$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	ρ	$N_{D_p}$
D <sub>8</sub>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	$\nu_{k-1} - \nu_k$
D <sub>6</sub>	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	$\mu_k$
$D'_6$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	$\lambda N_{D_4}$
$D'_4$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	$\lambda N_{D_2}$
D <sub>4</sub>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\beta_{k-1} - \beta_k$
D <sub>2</sub>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\alpha_k$
NS5	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	٠	•	

**Table 3.** Brane configuration which in the near horizon limit gives the solution in eq. (3.2). We also show the world-volume directions the branes are suspended as well as their number in the k-th interval. We see the  $D'_6$  and  $D'_4$  branes are wrapped on  $T^2$ :  $(x_3, x_4)$ .

This implies the quantisation conditions  $\lambda \beta_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which requieres rational  $\lambda$ . In order to determine if the above charges correspond to colour or flavour branes, we compute

$$d\hat{f}_{2} = \lambda h_{4}'' d\rho \wedge dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho - 2k\pi) h_{8}'' d\rho \wedge d\text{vol}_{\text{S}^{2}}$$
(3.15)

$$d\hat{f}_4 = h_4'' d\rho \wedge d\operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{T}^4} - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\rho - 2k\pi) h_4'' d\rho \wedge d\operatorname{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^2} \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$
(3.16)

Using then (3.7) we find that the effect of the deformation was to add  $Q_{D'_4}$  colour and  $Q_{D'_6}$  flavour branes respectively. Therefore the original D<sub>4</sub>-NS5-D<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>8</sub>-NS5-D<sub>6</sub> brane arrangements are modified by the addition of D'<sub>4</sub> branes extended along  $(t, x, x_3, x_4, \rho)$  as well as semi-localised D'<sub>6</sub> branes in (AdS<sub>3</sub>, S<sup>2</sup>,  $x_3, x_4$ ) wrapped on T<sup>2</sup> :  $(x_3, x_4)$ . The brane set-up corresponding to this configuration is summarised in table 3.

## 4 The deformation in eleven dimensions

In this section we will study a generalisation to eleven dimensional supergravity of the TsT transformation studied in the previous section. The seed solutions will be the uplift of the background in eq. (2.1)-(2.2) for vanishing Romans mass. The backgrounds obtained will correspond to a family of supersymmetric solutions which are out of a subclass of the classification for AdS<sub>3</sub> eleven dimensional solutions studied in [31].

In order to proceed, we consider a vanishing Romans mass in the solution of eq. (2.1)-(2.2) which lead us to consider  $h_8 = k$ . The uplift of this solution to eleven dimensions was first constructed in [31]. For latter use we will present some details here. We determine the three and one-form potentials to be

$$A_3 = \left(\frac{uu'}{2h_4} + 2k\rho\right) d\operatorname{vol}_{\operatorname{AdS}_3} + h'_4 x_1 d\operatorname{vol}_{\operatorname{T}^3}, \quad A_1 = \frac{k}{2}\cos\theta d\varphi.$$
(4.1)

We notice the 3-form potential above is not globally well-defined. This would be the case if  $h'_4$  were a continuous function. Using the usual KK anzats eq. (A.2), the eleven-dimensional

solution raeds

$$ds_{11}^2 = \Upsilon \left( \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_4 k}} ds_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 + \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{k}} ds_{\text{T}^4}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{h_4 k}}{u} d\rho^2 + \frac{k^2}{\Upsilon^3} ds_{\text{S}^3/\text{Z}_k}^2 \right), \tag{4.2}$$

$$G_4 = dC_3 = d\left(\left(\frac{uu'}{2h_4} + 2\rho k\right) d\text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_3} + 2k\left(-\rho + 2\pi k + \frac{uu'}{f_1}\right) d\text{vol}_{\text{S}^3/\text{Z}_k}\right) + h'_4 d\text{vol}_{\text{T}^4},$$

where

$$ds_{\mathbf{S}^3/\mathbf{Z}_k}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( ds_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2 + \left( \frac{2}{k} dx_{11} + \cos\theta d\varphi \right)^2 \right), \quad \Upsilon = \frac{f_1^{1/3} \sqrt{k}}{(4u\sqrt{h_4})^{1/3}}.$$
 (4.3)

This solution preserves small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry and supports an SU(2) structure. We will now generalise this class of solutions by performing an SL(3,R) transformation of coordinates.

For a solution which is SL(3,R) invariant we use the anzats

$$ds_{11}^{2} = \Delta^{-1/6} g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + \Delta^{1/3} M_{ab} \mathcal{D} \phi^{a} \mathcal{D} \phi^{b},$$

$$C_{3} = C_{(0)} \mathcal{D} \phi^{1} \wedge \mathcal{D} \phi^{2} \wedge \mathcal{D} \phi^{3} + \frac{1}{2} C_{(1)ab} \wedge \mathcal{D} \phi^{a} \wedge \mathcal{D} \phi^{b} + C_{(2)a} \wedge \mathcal{D} \phi^{a} + C_{(3)}, \qquad (4.4)$$

$$\mathcal{D} \phi^{a} = d\phi^{a} + A^{a}_{\mu} dx^{\mu},$$

where the a, b indices correspond to the three-torus directions,  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the transverse eight dimensional metric and detM=1. We have two possible choices for which we can apply the transformation. Namely  $T^3:(x_2, x_3, x_4)$  and  $T^3:(x_3, x_4, x_{11})$ .

In the first case, the background in eq. (4.2) can be bring into the form of eq. (4.4) provided we identify

$$\begin{aligned} A^{a}_{\mu} &= 0, \qquad M_{ab} = \Delta^{-1/3} \left(\frac{h_{4}f_{1}}{4u}\right)^{1/3} \delta_{ab}, \qquad \Delta = \frac{h_{4}f_{1}}{4u} \\ C_{(0)} &= -h'_{4}x_{1}, \qquad C_{(3)} = -\left(\frac{uu'}{2h_{4}} + 2k\rho\right) d\text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_{3}} + B_{2} \wedge dx_{11}, \\ C_{(1)ab} &= C_{(2)a} = 0, \qquad (4.5) \\ \Delta^{-1/6}g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} &= \sqrt{k} \left(\frac{f_{1}}{4\sqrt{h_{4}u}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{h_{4}k}} \left(ds^{2}_{\text{AdS}_{3}} + \frac{h_{4}k}{f_{1}} ds^{2}_{\text{S}^{2}}\right) + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{k}} dx^{2}_{1} + \frac{\sqrt{h_{4}k}}{u} d\rho^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{k}{4} \left(\frac{16u^{2}h_{4}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{2}{k} dx_{11} + \cos\theta d\varphi\right)^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

We then use the transformation rules spelled out in [44] to obtain the new background parametrised by  $\lambda$ . The transformation for the one-form  $A^a$ , using (4.5), gives  $\tilde{A}^a = A^a + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\epsilon^{abc}C_{(1)bc} = 0$  and therefore  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\phi^a = \mathcal{D}\phi^a = d\phi^a$ . On the other hand, the non-trivial transformation associated to  $\tau = -C_{(0)} + i\Delta^{1/2}$  reads  $\tilde{\tau} = \tau/(1+\lambda\tau)$ , from which we obtain

$$\tilde{\Delta} = G^2 \Delta, \quad \tilde{C}_{(0)} = G\left(C_{(0)} - \lambda(C_{(0)}^2 + \Delta)\right), \quad G = \left(1 + 2\lambda C_{(0)} - \lambda^2(C_{(0)}^2 + \Delta)\right)^{-1}.$$
 (4.6)

The deformed background then reads

$$ds_{11}^{2} = G^{-1/3} \left[ \Upsilon \left( \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_{4}k}} ds_{\mathrm{AdS}_{3}}^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{k}} dx_{1}^{2} + \frac{\sqrt{h_{4}k}}{u} d\rho^{2} + \frac{k^{2}}{\Upsilon^{3}} ds_{\mathrm{S}^{3}/\mathrm{Z}_{k}}^{2} \right) + G\Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{k}} ds_{\mathrm{T}^{3}}^{2} \right],$$
  

$$G_{4} = dC_{3},$$
(4.7)

where

$$C_{3} = -\left(\frac{uu'}{2h_{4}} + 2k\rho + \lambda \frac{x_{1}}{2h_{4}} \left(h_{4}f_{1} + uu'h'_{4}\right)\right) d\text{vol}_{\text{AdS}_{3}} + \tilde{C}_{0} d\text{vol}_{\text{T}^{3}} + 4k\left(f_{2} - \lambda \frac{x_{1}}{2} \left(h_{4} - \frac{uu'h'_{4}}{f_{1}}\right)\right) d\text{vol}_{\text{S}^{3}/\text{Z}_{k}}.$$
(4.8)

This background is a solution of 11d supergravity when conditions in eq. (2.4) are imposed, and reduces to the undeformed solution for  $\lambda = 0$ , as expected.

In the second case  $T^3$ :  $(x_3, x_4, x_{11})$ , the solution obtained following the procedure spelled out above corresponds to the uplift to eleven dimensions of the solution in eq. (3.2). The eleven-dimensional background reads

$$ds_{11}^{2} = \Upsilon (1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}})^{1/3} \left( \frac{u}{\sqrt{h_{4}k}} ds_{AdS_{3}}^{2} + \frac{h_{8}h_{4}}{f_{1}} ds_{S^{2}}^{2} + \frac{\sqrt{h_{4}h_{8}}}{u} d\rho^{2} + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} \left( dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2} \right) + (4.9) \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} \left( dx_{3}^{2} + dx_{4}^{2} \right) + \frac{k^{2}}{4\Upsilon^{3}} \left( ds_{S^{2}}^{2} + \frac{Dy^{2}}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}}} \right) \right), \\ G_{4} = - \left( \partial_{\rho} \left( \frac{uu'}{2h_{4}} \right) + 2k \right) d\rho \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{AdS_{3}} - \frac{h'_{4}}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{4}}} d\mathrm{vol}_{T^{4}} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{h'_{4}}{\left( 1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}} \right)^{2}} d\rho \wedge dx_{3} \wedge dx_{4} \wedge Dy \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{h_{4}}{1 + \lambda^{2} \frac{h_{4}}{k}} dx_{3} \wedge dx_{4} + \left( h_{4} - \frac{uu'h'_{4}}{f_{1}} \right) dx_{1} \wedge dx_{2} \right) \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{S^{2}} + \frac{k}{2} \partial_{\rho} f_{2} d\rho \wedge d\mathrm{vol}_{S^{2}} \wedge Dy,$$

where

$$Dy = \frac{2}{k}dy + \cos\theta d\varphi - \frac{2}{k}\lambda x_1 h'_4 dx_2, \qquad (4.10)$$

and  $\Upsilon$  was defined in eq. (4.3). This background is a solution of 11d supergravity when conditions in eq. (2.4) are imposed. In section 6 we will show that the solutions presented in this section preserve  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry supporting a dynamical SU(2) structure.

Before to close this section, it is worth noticing that the solutions in eqs. (4.7) and (4.9) can be used as seed solutions in order to generate other families of supersymmetric solutions. For instance, after appropriate analytical continuations we can generate solutions with  $AdS_3/Z_k \times S^3$  factors which further reduction to IIA along the Hopf-fibre direction of  $AdS_3$  will generate new  $AdS_2 \times S^3$  solutions in IIA supergravity, which can be further extended to massive IIA, generalising those studied in [31], etc.

# 5 Holographic central charge

The main goal of this section will be to compute the central charge characterising the new family of solutions. For the seed solutions this was done in [24, 31] and using the

analysis of the spin-2 spectrum in [29]. A generic result involving the deformations discussed above is that they leave the internal space volume transverse to  $AdS_3$  -weighted by the dilaton- invariant. We then anticipate the central charges will be the same before and after the deformation.

In order to see this explicitly, we consider the metric of the solutions written in the following way

$$ds^{2} = a(r, \vec{y}\,\hat{\mathbf{i}}) \left( dx_{1,d}^{2} + b(r)dr^{2} \right) + g_{ij}(r, \vec{y})dy^{i}dy^{j},$$
(5.1)

where  $x_{1,d}$  parametrises  $\mathcal{M}^{1,d}$  Minkowski space and  $g_{ij}$  the metric of the internal space. The holographic central charge is then given by the following expression [46]

$$c_{\rm hol} = \frac{d^d}{G_N} \frac{b(r)^{d/2} H^{\frac{2d+1}{2}}}{H'^d},$$
(5.2)

where

$$H = \left(\int d\vec{y} \sqrt{e^{-4\Phi} \det(g_{ij})a^d}\right).$$
(5.3)

For the ten dimensional solution, since the deformations acted on the internal space of the solutions, we clearly see the quantities  $a(r, \vec{y}\hat{1}), b(r)$  in eq. (5.1) are spectator under the deformations. In addition, we find that

$$e^{\tilde{\Phi}} = \frac{e^{\Phi}}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 \det(g_{_{T^2}})}}, \quad \tilde{g}_{_{T^2}} = \frac{g_{_{T^2}}}{1 + \lambda^2 \det(g_{_{T^2}})}$$
(5.4)

where tilde denotes fields after the deformation. It is then easy to see that  $e^{-4\tilde{\Phi}} \det(\tilde{g}_{ij})\tilde{a}^d = e^{-4\Phi} \det(g_{ij})a^d$ , giving  $\tilde{c}_{hol} = c_{hol}$  as anticipated. This result goes through for the elevendimensional solutions after considering the relation between the ten and eleven-dimensional quantities in the KK anzats (A.2) and  $H = \left(\int d\vec{y}\sqrt{\det(\hat{g}_{ij})\hat{a}^d}\right)$ , where quantities with hat are eleven-dimensional ones.

After we have characterised the backgrounds by computing their central charges, the goal is to compare them with the central charges obtained from the putative dual field theories to these solutions, in the holographic limit. Some comments are in order. For instance, in the case of the field theory read off from the brane configuration in table 3, we can achieve an anomaly free quiver field theory following the rules in [23, 24]. Nevertheless, this gives a central charge that is apparently changing due to the extra gauge and flavour group insertions. We would expect cancelations among them that will give the same central charge as before the deformation, or that their contributions are sub-leading in the holographic limit. We will elaborate more on this in a forthcoming publication.

#### 6 Comments on supersymmetry and G-structure of the solutions

In this section we will study the supersymmetries preserved by the supergravity solutions in eqs. (3.1), (3.2) and (4.7), (4.9), based on the explicit form of the Killing spinors of the original solution (2.1). The conventions we follow for supersymmetry are detailed in appendix A. The solution in eq. (2.1) preserves small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry by construction. In the conventional approach, this implies the existence of two algebraic conditions on the ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl (MW) spinor ensuring the vanishing of the supersymmetry variations.

In order to see this explicitly, we decompose the ten-dimensional gamma matrices as follows

$$\Gamma^{\alpha} = \sigma_1 \otimes \rho^{\alpha} \otimes \mathbb{I}, \qquad \Gamma^{\mu} = \sigma_2 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \gamma^{\mu}, \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\rho^{\alpha}$  and  $\gamma^{\mu}$  are three and seven-dimensional gamma matrices respectively and the  $\sigma_i$ are the usual Pauli matrices. In this notation the chirality matrix is  $\Gamma^{11} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I}$ . After plugging the solution in eq. (2.1) (in the natural frame) into eq. (A.11) we find the MW Killing spinor takes the form

$$\epsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \zeta \otimes \chi_1, \qquad \epsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \zeta \otimes \chi_2, \tag{6.2}$$

where  $\zeta$  is the AdS<sub>3</sub> Killing spinor and

$$\chi_{1,2} = e^{\frac{A}{2}} e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_2\gamma^7} e^{\frac{\varphi}{2}\gamma^{67}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\arctan 2\frac{\sqrt{h_4h_8}}{u'}\gamma^{67}\sigma_3} \chi_{(0)1,2}, \qquad A = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{u}{\sqrt{h_4h_8}}, \tag{6.3}$$

a seven-dimensional spinor satisfying the projection conditions

$$\gamma^{1234}\chi_{1,2} = -\chi_{1,2}, \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1}} \left(2\sqrt{h_4 h_8}\gamma^5 \sigma_1 + u'\gamma^{567} i\sigma_2\right)\chi_{1,2} = \chi_{1,2} \tag{6.4}$$

where the indices on the gamma matrices  $1, \ldots 4, 5$  and 6, 7 are flat indices corresponding to the  $M_5 = (T^4, \rho)$  and  $S^2$  directions respectively. The purpose of this section, is to find the number of spinor components which are compatible with the TsT transformation.

Since the deformation involves a sequence of T dualities, a condition for preserving Killing spinors reduces to their invariance by the action of the Kosmann-Lie derivative along the Killing vector K associated to the isometric direction we picked to perform the duality  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa} \epsilon = 0$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the Killing spinor of the un-dualised solution. By considering  $K = \partial_y$ , the above condition reduces to  $\partial_y \epsilon = 0$  [47]. Moreover, invariance under the change of coordinates in the second direction also requires independence of it on the spinor. Therefore, supersymmetry is compatible with TsT transformations as long as the spinor is uncharged under the directions used for the transformation [48].

For the first solution in eq. (3.1), there is a residual  $U(1)_{\varphi}$  which we may think of as a candidate R-charge for  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2)$  preserved supersymmetry. However, the spinors (6.2) are charged under this coordinate and T duality along this direction will project out this dependence. The residual  $U(1)_{\varphi}$  is therefore a global symmetry and supersymmetry is completely broken. In other words, compatibility with the TsT transformation imposes the projection condition  $\gamma^{67}\chi_{1,2} = 0$  breaking all supersymmetries. Despite the breaking of supersymmetries, this solution is interesting in its own since it still solves the BPS condition in eq. (2.4).

For the second marginally deformed solution in eq. (3.2), the spinor is independent of the T<sup>4</sup> directions, so we ensure supersymmetry is fully preserved. To be more precise, working with the supersymmetry transformations for the solution in eq. (3.2), we find

$$\delta \tilde{\lambda}_{1} = e^{-\arctan\lambda \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}} \gamma^{34}}} \delta \lambda_{1}, \qquad \delta \tilde{\lambda}_{2} = \delta \lambda_{2},$$
  
$$\delta \tilde{\psi}_{\mu 1} = e^{-\arctan\lambda \sqrt{\frac{h_{4}}{h_{8}} \gamma^{34}}} \delta \psi_{\mu 1}, \qquad \delta \tilde{\psi}_{\mu 2} = \delta \psi_{\mu 2},$$
  
(6.5)

where tilde denotes fields after the transformation, provided we identify

$$\tilde{\chi}_1 = e^{-\arctan\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{h_8}} \gamma^{34} \chi_1, \qquad \tilde{\chi}_2 = \chi_2, \tag{6.6}$$

ensuring supersymmetry is preserved as the original solution does. This is along the lines of the generic result in [48], which in addition showed that the entire information of the transformation is encoded in an antisymmetric bi-vector associated to classical r-matrices solving the Yang-Baxter equation.

Let us now turn to the G-structure characterising the above background. To begin with, the solutions in [24] were constructed by imposing that they support an SU(2) structure on the five-dimensional internal space  $M_5$  transverse to  $AdS_3 \times S^2$ . For these solutions, this implies that the internal five-dimensional spinors are globally parallel. The deformed Killing spinors allow for a point-dependent phase between the undeformed spinors defining a dynamical SU(2) structure. To be more precise, given the rotation of the internal spinor under TsT eq. (6.6), the transformed MW spinor takes the form (6.2) with the internal spinor transformed accordingly  $\chi_{1,2} \to \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}$ . In addition, the seven-dimensional spinor can be further decomposed into  $S^2 \times M_5$  factors according to eq. (6.3). Namely,  $\chi_{1,2} = e^{\frac{A}{2}} \xi \otimes \eta_{1,2}$ , where  $\xi$  is a Killing spinor on the S<sup>2</sup> charged under SU(2)<sub>R</sub>. In a common basis, the five-dimensional spinors can in general be written as

$$\eta_1 = \eta, \quad \eta_2 = a\eta + b\eta^c + \frac{c}{2}\overline{\omega}\eta, \tag{6.7}$$

where a, b and c are complex and real coefficients respectively and  $\omega$  a complex one-form. An SU(2) structure on M<sub>5</sub> fixes c = 0. In addition, without loss of generality, we can choose for these solutions b = 0 [22]. Class I solutions are further characterised by a=1and therefore

$$\eta_1 = \eta, \quad \eta_2 = \eta. \tag{6.8}$$

Using (6.6) the TsT MW spinors are given by

$$\epsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \zeta \otimes \tilde{\chi}_1, \quad \epsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \zeta \otimes \tilde{\chi}_2, \tag{6.9}$$

where using the 2+5 split of the internal spinors  $\chi_{1,2}$  and gamma matrices, we find

$$\tilde{\eta}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 \frac{h_4}{h_8}}} \left( \eta - \lambda \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{h_8}} \, \gamma_{(5)}^{34} \eta \right), \qquad \tilde{\eta}_2 = \eta.$$
(6.10)

where  $\gamma_{(5)}$  are five dimensional gamma matrices. It is then easy to see the first projection in eq. (6.4) implies that  $\gamma_{(5)}^{12}\eta = \gamma_{(5)}^{34}\eta = i\eta$ . Using this information the spinor in eq. (6.10) reads

$$\tilde{\eta}_1 = e^{-i\arctan\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{h_4}{h_8}} \eta, \qquad \tilde{\eta}_2 = \eta.$$
(6.11)

The two spinors then differ by a point-dependent phase defining an SU(2) structure that we will refer to it as dynamical. Notice a solution with an identity structure on  $M_5$  can be obtained if the TsT transformation involves the  $\rho$  direction. For this to be possible we need  $\rho$  to be an isometry such that all warping factors in the solution are constant.<sup>3</sup> Moreover we could have also analysed the G-structure of the solution in terms of the sevendimensional spinor. In this case the seed solution supports an SU(3) structure. It would then be possible to understand the fate of the seven-dimensional G-structure following [49]. The analysis of this section suggest this may give a dynamical SU(3) structure, and will provide a new example of AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions with dynamical SU(3) structure. We plan to report on this in a forthcoming publication.

For the eleven dimensional solutions in eqs. (4.7) and (4.9) the preserved Majorana Killing spinors can be ascertained just as we did for the ten dimensional case. Namely, the preserved Killing spinors are those which are independent of the directions along which we performed the transformation. Using the relation between the eleven and ten-dimensional spinors (A.8) together with (A.4) we easily see that small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry is preserved. Once again whenever the deformation parameter is turned off we recover the undeformed Majorana Killing spinor defining an SU(2) structure. In the case at hand we have a dynamical SU(2) structure instead.

#### 7 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented new solutions in massive IIA and eleven-dimensional supergravity obtained via TsT transformations and the analog in eleven dimensions. The solutions obtained preserve small  $\mathcal{N} = (0,4)$  supersymmetry and support a dynamical SU(2) structure on the five-dimensional internal submanifold of the solution, as long as we do not use the azimuthal angle inside the  $S^2$  in the procedure. The new backgrounds in ten and eleven dimensional supergravity constitute a whole family of solutions parametrised by the deformation parameter  $\lambda$  and linear functions satisfying the conditions in eq. (2.4). To the best of our knowledge, a complete classification of these solutions is still missing in the literature. One can in principle follow the same procedure as the one outlined in [22] for the SU(2) structure case. That is to say construct bispinors out of seven-dimensional spinors supporting a (dynamical) SU(2) structure in the internal five-dimensional space and obtain geometrical constraints in the form of the solution from the differential conditions implied by supersymmetry. Moreover, in terms of seven-dimensional G-structure, the seed solutions support an SU(3) structure. The analysis we followed in section 6 suggests this becomes a dynamical SU(3) structure after the transformation. Progress on classification of  $AdS_3$  geometries supporting a dynamical SU(3) structure was recently reported in [37].

For the ten-dimensional solutions, we studied the Page charges and associated brane configurations. We showed that depending on the two-torus chosen, the deformation adds either colour or flavour branes or both to the seed configuration. Holographically, The backgrounds obtained correspond to marginal deformations of the SCFT dual to the seed solutions. We verified this by computing the central charge of the deformed backgrounds,

 $<sup>^{3}\</sup>mathrm{I}$  thank the anonymous referee for pointing this out.

showing they are the same before and after the transformation. In the field theory side, we can engineer a dual quiver quantum field theory with the information obtained from the Hannany-Witten brane set-ups associated to the solutions. The specification of the dual quantum field theories and more field theory aspects of the solutions are left for a forthcoming publication.

#### Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Carlos Núñez for many useful discussions. I also thank Yolanda Lozano, Niall Macpherson, Anayeli Ramirez and Stefano Speziali for comments and correspondence.

#### A Supersymmetry conventions

In this appendix we will set the conventions for supersymmetry. We find useful to review how to obtain the ten-dimensional supersymmetry variations from the eleven dimensional one by dimensional reduction.

Let us start with the supersymmetry variation for the gravitino in eleven-dimensional supergravity. It is

$$\delta \hat{\psi}_{M} = \left[ \nabla_{M} + \frac{1}{288} \left( \hat{\Gamma}_{M}^{N_{1}...N_{4}} - 8\delta_{M}^{N_{1}} \hat{\Gamma}^{N_{2}N_{3}N_{4}} \right) \hat{F}_{N_{1}...N_{4}} \right] \hat{\epsilon}, \tag{A.1}$$

where  $\nabla_M = \partial_M + \frac{1}{4} \omega_M^{BC} \hat{\Gamma}_{BC}$ . We will study the reduction of the above supersymmetry variation along  $x^{11} = z$ . From now on objects with hat will denote eleven dimensional quantities. To proceed, we use the usual ansatz for the string frame metric and three-form potential, which read

$$ds_{11}^2 = \eta_{AB} E_{N_1}^A E_{N_2}^B dx^{N_1} dx^{N_2} = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\Phi} ds_{10}^2 + e^{\frac{4}{3}\Phi} (dz + A_1)^2,$$
  

$$C_3 = A_3 + B_2 \wedge dz,$$
(A.2)

where  $\Phi$  is the dilaton and  $M, N = (\mu, z), A = (a, z)$  are curved and tangent space indices respectively. The spin-connection components of the above geometry are thus given by

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{ab} = e^{\frac{\Phi}{3}} \left( \omega_{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \eta_{c[a} \partial_{b]} \phi e^c \right) - \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}\Phi} F_{ab} e^z,$$

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{za} = \frac{2}{3} e^{\frac{\Phi}{3}} \partial_a \Phi e^z + \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}\Phi} F_{ab} e^b,$$
(A.3)

where  $F_2 = 2\partial A_1$ . The dimensional reduction of the eleven-dimensional gravitino  $\hat{\psi}_M$  generates a ten-dimensional gravitino and the dilatino as follows

$$\hat{\psi}_{\mu} = e^{\frac{\Phi}{6}} \left( \psi_{\mu} - \frac{1}{6} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu} \lambda \right), \qquad \hat{\psi}_{z} = \frac{1}{3} e^{\frac{\Phi}{6}} \hat{\Gamma}^{z} \lambda.$$
(A.4)

In the same vein, the  $\hat{F}_4 = 4\partial C_3$  field strength contains two pieces the components of which are  $\hat{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  and  $\hat{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma z}$ . Using flat indices we identify

$$\hat{F}_{abcd} = E_a^{N_1} E_b^{N_2} E_c^{N_3} E_d^{N_4} \hat{F}_{N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4} = 4e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} \left(\partial_{[a}A_{bcd]} - A_{[a}H_{bcd]}\right) = e^{\frac{4}{3}\Phi} F_{abcd},$$

$$\hat{F}_{abcz} = E_a^{N_1} E_b^{N_2} E_c^{N_3} E_z^z \hat{F}_{N_1 N_2 N_3 z} = e^{\frac{\Phi}{3}} H_{abc},$$
(A.5)

where  $H = 3\partial B_2$ . Moreover, the dimensional reduction of eq. (A.1) generates the terms

$$\begin{split} \delta\hat{\psi}_{z} &= \partial_{z}\hat{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \omega_{z\ ab}\hat{\Gamma}^{ab} + 2\omega_{z\ za}\hat{\Gamma}^{za} \right) \hat{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{288} \left( \hat{\Gamma}^{ABCD}_{z} - 8\delta^{A}_{z}\hat{\Gamma}^{BCD} \right) \hat{F}_{ABCD} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}e^{\Phi/6}\hat{\Gamma}^{z} \left( \partial\!\!\!/\phi - \frac{3}{4\cdot 2!}e^{\Phi} \not\!\!/_{2}\hat{\Gamma}^{z} - \frac{1}{12} \not\!\!/_{3}\hat{\Gamma}^{z} + \frac{1}{4\cdot 4!}e^{\Phi} \not\!\!/_{4} \right) \hat{\epsilon}, \end{split} \tag{A.6}$$

$$\delta\hat{\psi}_{a} &= E^{\mu}_{a}\partial_{\mu}\hat{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4}\omega_{aBC}\hat{\Gamma}^{BC}\hat{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{288} \left( \hat{\Gamma}^{BCDE}_{a} - 12\delta^{B}_{a}\hat{\Gamma}^{CDE} \right) \hat{F}_{BCDE}\hat{\epsilon} \\ &= e^{\frac{\Phi}{6}} \left( \left( e^{\mu}_{a}\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4}\omega_{abc}\hat{\Gamma}^{bc} - \frac{1}{6}\partial_{a}\Phi - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\Gamma}^{c}_{a}\partial_{c}\Phi + \frac{1}{4}e^{\Phi}F_{ac}\hat{\Gamma}^{cz} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{288}e^{\Phi}\hat{\Gamma}_{a}\not\!\!/_{4} - \frac{1}{24}e^{\Phi}\hat{\Gamma}^{bcd}F_{abcd} + \frac{1}{72}\hat{\Gamma}_{a}\not\!\!/_{3}\hat{\Gamma}^{z} - \frac{1}{8}\not\!\!/_{\mu}\hat{\Gamma}^{z} \right)\hat{\epsilon}, \tag{A.7}$$

$$\hat{\epsilon} = e^{-\frac{\Phi}{6}} \epsilon. \tag{A.8}$$

We then decompose the eleven-dimensional Gamma matrices in terms of the tendimensional ones in the following way

$$\hat{\Gamma}^a = \Gamma^a \sigma_1, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^z = \sigma_3, \quad a = 1, \dots 10, \tag{A.9}$$

which is related to the decomposition of the ten-dimensional Majorana spinor into its chiral components

$$\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.10}$$

satisfying  $\Gamma^{11}\epsilon = -\sigma_3\epsilon$ . Using (A.4)–(A.9) we then identify the supersymmetry variations for the dilatino and gravitino. For non-zero Romans mass, the expressions obtained can be slightly generalised to

$$\delta\lambda = \partial \!\!\!/ \Phi \epsilon - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3!} \not \!\!/ \!\!\!/ \sigma_3 \epsilon + \frac{e^{\Phi}}{4} \left( 5F_0 \sigma_1 + \frac{3}{2!} \not \!\!/ F_2 i \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{4!} \not \!\!/ F_4 \sigma_1 \right) \epsilon,$$

$$\delta\Psi_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu} \epsilon - \frac{1}{8} \not \!\!/ _{\mu} \sigma_3 \epsilon + \frac{e^{\Phi}}{8} \left( 5F_0 \sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2!} \not \!\!/ _2 i \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{4!} \not \!\!/ _4 \sigma_1 \right) \Gamma_{\mu} \epsilon.$$
(A.11)

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

### References

- J.M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113] [hep-th/9711200]
   [INSPIRE].
- [2] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, Phys. Lett. B 379 (1996) 99 [hep-th/9601029] [INSPIRE].

- [3] J.M. Maldacena, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Black hole entropy in M-theory, JHEP 12 (1997) 002 [hep-th/9711053] [INSPIRE].
- [4] R. Minasian, G.W. Moore and D. Tsimpis, Calabi-Yau black holes and (0,4) σ models, Commun. Math. Phys. 209 (2000) 325 [hep-th/9904217] [INSPIRE].
- [5] A. Castro, J.L. Davis, P. Kraus and F. Larsen, String Theory Effects on Five-Dimensional Black Hole Physics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23 (2008) 613 [arXiv:0801.1863] [INSPIRE].
- [6] C. Vafa, Black holes and Calabi-Yau threefolds, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 207 [hep-th/9711067] [INSPIRE].
- [7] C. Couzens, H. het Lam, K. Mayer and S. Vandoren, Black Holes and (0,4) SCFTs from Type IIB on K3, JHEP 08 (2019) 043 [arXiv:1904.05361] [INSPIRE].
- [8] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, G structures, fluxes and calibrations in M-theory, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 085014 [hep-th/0306225] [INSPIRE].
- J.P. Gauntlett, O.A.P. Mac Conamhna, T. Mateos and D. Waldram, Supersymmetric AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions of type IIB supergravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 171601 [hep-th/0606221]
   [INSPIRE].
- P. Figueras, O.A.P. Mac Conamhna and E. O Colgáin, Global geometry of the supersymmetric AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> correspondence in M-theory, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 046007 [hep-th/0703275] [INSPIRE].
- [11] A. Donos, J.P. Gauntlett and J. Sparks,  $AdS_3 \times (S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1)$  Solutions of Type IIB String Theory, Class. Quant. Grav. 26 (2009) 065009 [arXiv:0810.1379] [INSPIRE].
- [12] E.Ó Colgáin, J.-B. Wu and H. Yavartanoo, Supersymmetric  $AdS_3 \times S^2$  M-theory geometries with fluxes, JHEP 08 (2010) 114 [arXiv:1005.4527] [INSPIRE].
- [13] J. Jeong, E.Ó Colgáin and K. Yoshida, SUSY properties of warped AdS<sub>3</sub>, JHEP 06 (2014) 036 [arXiv:1402.3807] [INSPIRE].
- [14] Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson, J. Montero and E.Ó. Colgáin, New  $AdS_3 \times S^2$  T-duals with  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry, JHEP 08 (2015) 121 [arXiv:1507.02659] [INSPIRE].
- [15] Ö. Kelekci, Y. Lozano, J. Montero, E.Ó. Colgáin and M. Park, Large superconformal near-horizons from M-theory, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 086010 [arXiv:1602.02802] [INSPIRE].
- [16] C. Couzens, C. Lawrie, D. Martelli, S. Schäfer-Nameki and J.-M. Wong, F-theory and AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>, JHEP 08 (2017) 043 [arXiv:1705.04679] [INSPIRE].
- [17] C. Couzens, D. Martelli and S. Schäfer-Nameki, *F-theory and AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>* (2,0), *JHEP* 06 (2018) 008 [arXiv:1712.07631] [INSPIRE].
- [18] L. Eberhardt, Supersymmetric AdS<sub>3</sub> supergravity backgrounds and holography, JHEP 02 (2018) 087 [arXiv:1710.09826] [INSPIRE].
- [19] G. Dibitetto and N. Petri, Surface defects in the D4 D8 brane system, JHEP 01 (2019)
   193 [arXiv:1807.07768] [INSPIRE].
- [20] N.T. Macpherson, Type II solutions on  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$  with large superconformal symmetry, JHEP 05 (2019) 089 [arXiv:1812.10172] [INSPIRE].
- [21] N.S. Deger, C. Eloy and H. Samtleben,  $\mathcal{N} = (8,0)$  AdS vacua of three-dimensional supergravity, JHEP 10 (2019) 145 [arXiv:1907.12764] [INSPIRE].

- [22] Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson, C. Núñez and A. Ramirez,  $AdS_3$  solutions in Massive IIA with small  $\mathcal{N} = (4,0)$  supersymmetry, JHEP **01** (2020) 129 [arXiv:1908.09851] [INSPIRE].
- [23] Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson, C. Núñez and A. Ramirez, 1/4 BPS solutions and the AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> correspondence, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 026014 [arXiv:1909.09636] [INSPIRE].
- [24] Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson, C. Núñez and A. Ramirez, Two dimensional N = (0,4) quivers dual to AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions in massive IIA, JHEP 01 (2020) 140 [arXiv:1909.10510] [INSPIRE].
- [25] Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson, C. Núñez and A. Ramirez, AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions in massive IIA, defect CFTs and T-duality, JHEP 12 (2019) 013 [arXiv:1909.11669] [INSPIRE].
- [26] C. Couzens,  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 2) AdS_3$  solutions of type IIB and F-theory with generic fluxes, JHEP 04 (2021) 038 [arXiv:1911.04439] [INSPIRE].
- [27] C. Couzens, H. het Lam and K. Mayer, Twisted  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SCFTs and their AdS<sub>3</sub> duals, JHEP 03 (2020) 032 [arXiv:1912.07605] [INSPIRE].
- [28] K. Filippas, Non-integrability on AdS<sub>3</sub> supergravity backgrounds, JHEP 02 (2020) 027 [arXiv:1910.12981] [INSPIRE].
- [29] S. Speziali, Spin 2 fluctuations in 1/4 BPS AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>, JHEP 03 (2020) 079
   [arXiv:1910.14390] [INSPIRE].
- [30] A. Legramandi and N.T. Macpherson,  $AdS_3$  solutions with from  $\mathcal{N} = (3,0)$  from  $S^3 \times S^3$  fibrations, Fortsch. Phys. 68 (2020) 2000014 [arXiv:1912.10509] [INSPIRE].
- [31] Y. Lozano, C. Núñez, A. Ramirez and S. Speziali, *M*-strings and  $AdS_3$  solutions to *M*-theory with small  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  supersymmetry, JHEP 08 (2020) 118 [arXiv:2005.06561] [INSPIRE].
- [32] F. Farakos, G. Tringas and T. Van Riet, No-scale and scale-separated flux vacua from IIA on G2 orientifolds, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 659 [arXiv:2005.05246] [INSPIRE].
- [33] C. Couzens, H. het Lam, K. Mayer and S. Vandoren, Anomalies of (0,4) SCFTs from F-theory, JHEP 08 (2020) 060 [arXiv:2006.07380] [INSPIRE].
- [34] F. Faedo, Y. Lozano and N. Petri, Searching for surface defect CFTs within AdS<sub>3</sub>, JHEP 11 (2020) 052 [arXiv:2007.16167] [INSPIRE].
- [35] K. Filippas, Holography for 2D  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  quantum field theory, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 086003 [arXiv:2008.00314] [INSPIRE].
- [36] G. Dibitetto and N. Petri, AdS<sub>3</sub> from M-branes at conical singularities, JHEP 01 (2021) 129 [arXiv:2010.12323] [INSPIRE].
- [37] A. Passias and D. Prins, On supersymmetric AdS<sub>3</sub> solutions of Type II, arXiv:2011.00008 [INSPIRE].
- [38] C. Eloy, Kaluza-Klein spectrometry for AdS<sub>3</sub> vacua, arXiv:2011.11658 [INSPIRE].
- [39] K.S. Rigatos, Non-integrability in AdS<sub>3</sub> vacua, JHEP 02 (2021) 032 [arXiv:2011.08224]
   [INSPIRE].
- [40] F. Faedo, Y. Lozano and N. Petri, New  $\mathcal{N} = (0, 4)$  AdS<sub>3</sub> near-horizons in Type IIB, JHEP 04 (2021) 028 [arXiv:2012.07148] [INSPIRE].
- [41] A. Legramandi, G.L. Monaco and N.T. Macpherson,  $All \mathcal{N} = (8,0) AdS_3$  solutions in 10 and 11 dimensions, arXiv:2012.10507 [INSPIRE].
- [42] M. Graña, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. Tomasiello, Generalized structures of N = 1 vacua, JHEP 11 (2005) 020 [hep-th/0505212] [INSPIRE].

- [43] O. Lunin and J.M. Maldacena, Deforming field theories with U(1) × U(1) global symmetry and their gravity duals, JHEP 05 (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086] [INSPIRE].
- [44] J.P. Gauntlett, S. Lee, T. Mateos and D. Waldram, Marginal deformations of field theories with AdS<sub>4</sub> duals, JHEP 08 (2005) 030 [hep-th/0505207] [INSPIRE].
- [45] S. Kachru, M.B. Schulz, P.K. Tripathy and S.P. Trivedi, New supersymmetric string compactifications, JHEP 03 (2003) 061 [hep-th/0211182] [INSPIRE].
- [46] N.T. Macpherson, C. Núñez, L.A. Pando Zayas, V.G.J. Rodgers and C.A. Whiting, Type IIB supergravity solutions with AdS<sub>5</sub> from Abelian and non-Abelian T dualities, JHEP 02 (2015) 040 [arXiv:1410.2650] [INSPIRE].
- [47] Ö. Kelekci, Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson and E.Ó. Colgáin, Supersymmetry and non-Abelian T-duality in type-II supergravity, Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 035014 [arXiv:1409.7406]
   [INSPIRE].
- [48] D. Orlando, S. Reffert, Y. Sekiguchi and K. Yoshida, Killing spinors from classical r-matrices, J. Phys. A 51 (2018) 395401 [arXiv:1805.00948] [INSPIRE].
- [49] G. Dibitetto, G. Lo Monaco, A. Passias, N. Petri and A. Tomasiello, AdS<sub>3</sub> Solutions with Exceptional Supersymmetry, Fortsch. Phys. 66 (2018) 1800060 [arXiv:1807.06602]
   [INSPIRE].