

RECEIVED: April 18, 2014 ACCEPTED: May 27, 2014 PUBLISHED: June 12, 2014

Non-Kaehler heterotic string solutions with non-zero fluxes and non-constant dilaton

Marisa Fernández, a Stefan Ivanov, b,c Luis Ugarte d and Dimiter Vassilev e

^a Universidad del País Vasco,

 $Facultad\ de\ Ciencia\ y\ Tecnología,\ Departamento\ de\ Matemáticas,$

Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

^b University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski",

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,

Blvd. James Bourchier 5, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: marisa.fernandez@ehu.es, ivanovsp@fmi.uni-sofia.bg, ugarte@unizar.es, vassilev@math.unm.edu

ABSTRACT: Conformally compact and complete smooth solutions to the Strominger system with non vanishing flux, non-trivial instanton and non-constant dilaton using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection on 6-dimensional non-Kähler nilmanifold are presented. In the conformally compact case the dilaton is determined by the real slices of the elliptic Weierstrass function. The dilaton of non-compact complete solutions is given by the fundamental solution of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^4 . All solutions satisfy the heterotic equations of motion up to the first order of α' .

KEYWORDS: Flux compactifications, Superstrings and Heterotic Strings

ARXIV EPRINT: 1402.6107

^cInstitute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Bulgaria

^dDepartamento de Matemáticas — I.U.M.A., Universidad de Zaragoza, Campus Plaza San Francisco, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

^e Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87131-0001, U.S.A.

C	ontents	
1	Introduction	1
2	Motivation from heterotic string theory	3
	2.1 The geometric model	6
3	The anomaly cancellation and the non-constant dilaton	8
	3.1 Two-step nilmanifolds with Abelian complex structure	8
	3.2 Non-constant dilaton in 6-D	9
	3.3 The first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection	10
4	A conformally compact solution with negative α'	12
	4.1 The instanton	12
	4.2 A solution with negative α'	13
5	Complete solution with positive α'	15
	5.1 The instanton	15
	5.2 A solution with positive α'	16
6	Contraction and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3	17
7	Conclusions	18

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is the explicit construction of smooth six-dimensional non-Kähler solution to the Strominger system with a non-constant dilaton.

A model for string theory proposed in [1] involves a ten dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times M^6$ which is the product of a Lorentzian spacetime with a six-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold M. The latter was equipped with an SU(3) Yang-Mills connection, Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau instanton, of the Calabi-Yau metric. In a key paper Strominger [2] systematically considered a generalization of this construction allowing a background with a non-zero torsion, H-flux, which is motivated by physical significance. This led to a system of differential equations known as the $Strominger\ system$, which specifies the geometric inner space M to be a complex (non-Kähler) conformally balanced 6-manifold with holomorphically trivial canonical bundle equipped, in addition, with an instanton bundle E compatible with the Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation condition. The latter also involves the first Pontrjagin form of a linear connection whose determination is a part of the problem. An important problem considered in the past thirty years is to provide "backgrounds" and solutions of the Strominger system. Several connections have been used in order to satisfy the anomaly

condition, such as, the Levi-Civita connection [2, 3], the Chern connection [2, 4, 5, 10], the (+)-connection [6, 7], and the (-)-connection [8, 9] etc...

A smooth compact solution was first found by Li & Yau [4] and Fu & Yau [5, 10]. In [5, 10], developing the ideas of [11], the authors considered compact non-Kähler 6manifolds which are \mathbb{T}^2 -bundles over a Calabi-Yau 4-manifold using the first Pontrjagin form of the Chern connection. Thus, [5, 10] showed existence of a balanced metric while satisfying the Hermitian-Yang-Mills equations and the anomaly equation. In this case, the difficulty to satisfy anomaly cancellation condition turns into a non-linear PDE of Monge-Ampère type for the dilaton function. We note that when M is a Kähler manifold the flux H vanishes, the dilaton is constant and Strominger's system is solved by the Calabi-Yau metric [12] and the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau instanton [13, 14]. In particular, the non-Kähler case can be considered as a generalization of Calabi's conjecture for the case of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau threefolds. Since the choice of the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection is preferable by physical reasons [9], in [15, 16] the authors considered the smooth compact non-Kähler model of a T²-bundle over a Calabi-Yau four manifold but with the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection in the anomaly cancellation. It was shown in [15, 16] that the PDE system for the dilaton function gives rise to a single PDE which is of the Laplace type for which a solution exists provided the natural compatibility condition holds.

Non-compact solutions can have different physical interpretation in string theory [17–20]. They may be a local models of a compact solutions or correspond to the supergravity descriptions of the solitonic objects of the theory [21]. A class of non-compact smooth solutions to the Strominger system on a \mathbb{T}^2 bundle over the non-compact Eguchi-Hanson space is considered in [21] where the non-linear equation for the dilaton imposed by the anomaly cancellation with the first Pontrjagin form of the Chern connection is solved.

In this paper we construct smooth solutions with non vanishing flux and non-constant dilaton to the Strominger system using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection on 6-dimensional complete non-compact manifold equipped with conformally balanced Hermitian structures coupled with carefully chosen instanton bundle. The source of the construction is the already constructed smooth compact solutions to the Strominger system with constant dilaton on nilmanifods presented in [22] and the ideas of [3] and [11]. In particular, [3] posed the question of solving the anomaly condition for compact supersymmetric geometries that are two-torus bundles over either conformally \mathbb{T}^4 or K3 manifold. Our explicit complete smooth examples are attempts in the former case.

Our first result, section 4.2, is a family of examples of complete non-Kähler \mathbb{T}^2 bundles over conformally compact asymptotically hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{T}^4 with conformal boundary at infinity a flat torus \mathbb{T}^3 . Using the first Pontrjagin form of the (–)-connection together with the first Pontrjagin form of a carefully chosen instanton we arrived via the anomaly cancellation to a single highly non-linear PDE for the dilaton function. Assuming that the dilaton depends only on one of the independent variables we reduce the equation to Weierstrass' equation and find a solution to the Strominger system with a negative α' . This allows us to determine the dilaton as a real slice of an elliptic function of order two, $f = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\alpha^2 \mathcal{P})$ where \mathcal{P} is the Weierstrass' elliptic function with pole of order two

at the origin, $z = \int^{\mathcal{P}} \frac{d\mathcal{P}}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}-a)(\mathcal{P}+a)}}$. The positive parameter a depends on the group H_5 and the magnitude of α' . The solution seems to share some analytical features with the 5-brane solutions involving negative string tensions studied earlier [23–29]. This and the natural appearance of elliptic functions suggest there could be a relation with the F-theory/heterotic duality principles.

The above noted relation to 5-branes is also present in our second family of examples. In section 5.2 we present smooth non-compact but complete solutions to the Strominger system using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection with positive α' on certain \mathbb{T}^2 bundles over \mathbb{R}^4 with non-vanishing torsion, non-trivial instanton and non-constant dilaton. We construct an instanton whose first Pontrjagin form together with the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection imposes via the anomaly cancellation a system of two equations of Laplace type on the dilaton. The non-constant dilaton function of our smooth non-compact complete solutions is determined by a harmonic function, the fundamental solution of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^4 .

All our solutions satisfy the heterotic equations of motions up to the first order of α' .

Conventions: the connection 1-forms ω_{ji} of a metric connection ∇ , $\nabla g = 0$ with respect to a local orthonormal basis $\{E_1, \ldots, E_d\}$ are given by $\omega_{ji}(E_k) = g(\nabla_{E_k} E_j, E_i)$, since we write $\nabla_X E_j = \omega_j^s(X) E_s$.

The curvature 2-forms Ω^i_j of ∇ are given in terms of the connection 1-forms ω^i_j by $\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$, $\Omega_{ji} = d\omega_{ji} + \omega_{ki} \wedge \omega_{jk}$, $R^l_{ijk} = \Omega^l_k(E_i, E_j)$, $R_{ijkl} = R^s_{ijk}g_{ls}$. The first Pontrjagin class is represented by the 4-form $8\pi^2 p_1(\nabla) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le d} \Omega^i_j \wedge \Omega^i_j$.

2 Motivation from heterotic string theory

The bosonic fields of the ten-dimensional supergravity which arises as low energy effective theory of the heterotic string are the spacetime metric g, the NS three-form field strength (flux) H, the dilaton ϕ and the gauge connection A with curvature 2-form F^A . The bosonic geometry is of the form $\mathbb{R}^{1,9-d} \times M^d$, where the bosonic fields are non-trivial only on M^d , $d \leq 8$. We consider the two connections $\nabla^{\pm} = \nabla^g \pm \frac{1}{2}H$, where ∇^g is the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric g. Both connections preserve the metric, $\nabla^{\pm}g = 0$ and have totally skew-symmetric torsion $\pm H$, respectively. We denote by R^g , R^{\pm} the corresponding curvature.

We consider the heterotic supergravity theory with an α' expansion where $1/2\pi\alpha'$ is the heterotic string tension. The bosonic part of the ten-dimensional supergravity action in the string frame is ([9, 30], $R = R^-$)

$$S = \frac{1}{2k^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[Scal^g + 4(\nabla^g \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 - \frac{\alpha'}{4} \left(\text{Tr}|F^A|^2 \right) - \text{Tr}|R|^2 \right) \right]. \tag{2.1}$$

The string frame field equations (the equations of motion induced from the action (2.1)) of the heterotic string up to the first order of α' in sigma model perturbation theory are [30, 31]

(we use the notations in [32])

$$Ric_{ij}^{g} - \frac{1}{4}H_{imn}H_{j}^{mn} + 2\nabla_{i}^{g}\nabla_{j}^{g}\phi - \frac{\alpha'}{4}\Big[(F^{A})_{imab}(F^{A})_{j}^{mab} - R_{imnq}R_{j}^{mnq}\Big] = 0;$$

$$\nabla_{i}^{g}(e^{-2\phi}H_{jk}^{i}) = 0; \qquad \nabla_{i}^{+}(e^{-2\phi}(F^{A})_{j}^{i}) = 0.$$
(2.2)

The field equation of the dilaton ϕ is implied from the first two equations above.

The Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation mechanism requires that the three-form Bianchi identity receives an α' correction of the form

$$dH = \frac{\alpha'}{4} 8\pi^2 (p_1(M^d) - p_1(E)) = \frac{\alpha'}{4} \Big(\operatorname{Tr}(R \wedge R) - \operatorname{Tr}(F^A \wedge F^A) \Big), \tag{2.3}$$

where $p_1(M^d)$ and $p_1(E)$ are the first Pontrjagin forms of M^d with respect to a connection ∇ with curvature R and the vector bundle E with connection A, respectively.

A class of heterotic-string backgrounds for which the Bianchi identity of the three-form H receives a correction of type (2.3) are those with (2,0) world-volume supersymmetry. Such models were considered in [33]. The target-space geometry of (2,0)-supersymmetric sigma models has been extensively investigated in [2, 33, 34]. Recently, there is revived interest in these models [3, 6, 32, 35-37] as string backgrounds and in connection with heterotic-string compactifications with fluxes [4, 5, 10, 38-50].

Equations (2.3), (2.1) and (2.2) involve a subtlety due to the choice of the connection ∇ on M^d since anomalies can be canceled independently of the choice [8]. Different connections correspond to different regularization schemes in the two-dimensional worldsheet non-linear sigma model. Hence the background fields given for the particular choice of ∇ must be related to those for a different choice by a field redefinition [51]. Connections on M^d proposed to investigate the anomaly cancellation (2.3) are ∇^g [2, 3], ∇^+ [6, 7, 22], ∇^- [8, 9, 23–25, 32, 38, 52–54], Chern connection ∇^c when d = 6 [2, 4, 5, 10, 42].

A heterotic geometry preserves supersymmetry if and only if, in 10 dimensions, there exists at least one Majorana-Weyl spinor ϵ such that the following Killing-spinor equations hold [2, 9]

$$\delta_{\lambda} = \nabla_{m} \epsilon = \left(\nabla_{m}^{g} + \frac{1}{4} H_{mnp} \Gamma^{np}\right) \epsilon = \nabla^{+} \epsilon = 0$$

$$\delta_{\Psi} = \left(\Gamma^{m} \partial_{m} \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{mnp} \Gamma^{mnp}\right) \epsilon = (d\phi - \frac{1}{2} H) \cdot \epsilon = 0$$

$$\delta_{\xi} = F_{mn}^{A} \Gamma^{mn} \epsilon = F^{A} \cdot \epsilon = 0,$$
(2.4)

where λ, Ψ, ξ are the gravitino, the dilatino and the gaugino, fields, respectively, Γ_i generate the Clifford algebra $\{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_i\} = 2g_{ij}$ and \cdot means Clifford action of forms on spinors.

The system of Killing spinor equations (2.4) together with the anomaly cancellation condition (2.3) is known as the *Strominger system* [2, 4]. The last equation in (2.4) is the instanton condition which means that the curvature F^A is contained in a Lie algebra of a Lie group which is a stabilizer of a non-trivial spinor. In dimension 6 this group is SU(3) and the last equation in (2.4) is the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau instanton. The

SU(3)-instanton means that the trace of F^A with respect to the Kähler 2 form as well as the (2,0)+(0,2)-part of F^A vanish simultaneously. The real expression of the SU(3)-instanton condition on a six dimensional Hermitian manifold (M,g,J) is given by

$$(F^{A})_{j}^{i}(JE_{k}, JE_{l}) = (F^{A})_{j}^{i}(E_{k}, E_{l}), \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{6} (F^{A})_{j}^{i}(E_{k}, JE_{k}) = 0.$$
 (2.5)

The first compact torsional solutions for the heterotic/type I string were obtained via duality from M-theory compactifications on $K3 \times K3$ proposed in [55]. The metric was first written down on the orientifold limit in [55] and such backgrounds have since been studied (see [39, 40] and references therein). The metric and the H-flux are derived by applying a chain of supergravity dualities and the resulting geometry in the heterotic theory is a \mathbb{T}^2 bundle over a K3.

Compact smooth examples in dimension six solving (2.4) and (2.3) with non-zero flux H and non-constant dilaton were constructed by Li and Yau [4] for U(4) and U(5) principal bundles taking $R = R^c$ -the curvature of the Chern connection in (2.3). Non-Kähler compact solutions of (2.4) and (2.3) on some torus bundles over Calabi-Yau 4-manifold (K3 surfaces or complex torus) are presented by Yau et al. [5, 10, 42] using the Chern connection in (2.3). Compact solutions, up to two loops, in dimension six with non-zero flux H and non-constant dilaton involving the (-)-connection are investigated in [15, 16]. Compact examples solving (2.4) and (2.3) with nonzero field strength, non-trivial instanton, constant dilaton and taking $R = R^+$, were constructed in [6, 7, 22].

In the presence of a curvature term $\text{Tr}(R \wedge R)$ the solution of the Strominger system (2.4), (2.3) obey the second and the third equations of motion (the second and the third equations in (2.2)) but do not always satisfy the Einstein equations of motion (see [22] where a sufficient quadratic condition on R is found). It was proved in [56] that (2.4) and (2.3) imply (2.2) if and only if R is an instanton in dimensions 5,6,7,8, (see [54] for higher dimensions). In particular, in dimension 6, R is required to be an SU(3)-instanton.

The physically relevant connection on the tangent bundle to be considered in (2.3), (2.1), (2.2) is the (-)-connection [8, 9]. One reason is that the curvature R^- of the (-)-connection is an instanton up to the first order of α' which is a consequence of the first equation in (2.4), (2.3) and the well known identity

$$R_{ijkl}^{+} - R_{klij}^{-} = \frac{1}{2} dH_{ijkl}. \tag{2.6}$$

Indeed, (2.3) together with (2.6) imply $R_{ijkl}^+ - R_{klij}^- = O(\alpha')$ and the first equation in (2.4) yields that the holonomy group of ∇^+ is contained in SU(n), i.e. the curvature 2-form $R_{ij}^+ \subset \mathfrak{su}(n)$ and therefore R^- satisfies the instanton condition (2.5) up to the first order of α' . Hence, a solution to the Strominger system with first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection always satisfies the heterotic equations of motion (2.2) up to the first order of α' (see e.g. [54] and references therein).

We remark that in the case of compact Hermitian manifold with holomorphically trivial canonical bundle, the vanishing theorem from [57, 58] shows that R^- is an instanton if and only if the manifold is Kähler. Indeed, (2.6) yields that if R^- is an instanton then the

trace of dH with respect to the Kähler form vanishes since the holonomy group of ∇^+ is contained in $\mathfrak{su}(3)$. Hence, the function h defined in [57, 58] as the trace of dH vanishes which implies, due to [57, Corollary 4.2], that there are no holomorphic top-forms unless the manifold is Kähler.

Concerning the Chern connection, it is shown in [54] that the curvature of the Chern connection is an instanton up to zeros order of α' if and only if the *H*-flux vanishes and the manifold is Kähler. The proof in [54] relies on a point-wise identity established in [57] and therefore the result is purely local.

2.1 The geometric model

Necessary and sufficient conditions to have a solution to the system of gravitino and dilatino equations (the first two equations in (2.4)) in dimension 2n were derived by Strominger in [2] involving the notion of SU(n)-structure and then studied by many authors [3-6, 10, 32, 36-40, 42, 52].

The gravitino equation, the first equation in (2.4) shows that there exists a parallel spinor with respect to the (+)-connection. This reduces the structure group SO(2n) to a subgroup of SU(n) since the holonomy group of ∇^+ reduces to a subgroup of SU(n), i.e., the manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold admitting a linear connection having totally skew-symmetric torsion which preserves both the almost Hermitian structure and a non-vanishing (n,0)-form (complex volume form).

The dilatino equation, the second identity in (2.4), yields that the almost complex structure is integrable and the trace of the torsion 3-form with respect to the Kähler form is an exact 1-form. Strominger shows in [2] the existence of a unique Hermitian connection with skew-symmetric torsion on any Hermitian manifolds writing explicitly the torsion 3-form from the exterior derivative of the Kähler form (∇^+ in our notations). He also shows that the ∇^+ -parallel complex volume form supplies a holomorphic complex volume form whose norm determines the dilaton.

Next we detail the model in dimension six which is the focus of the paper. Let (M, J, g) be a Hermitian 6-manifold with Riemannian metric g and a complex structure J. The Kähler form F and the Lee form θ are defined by $F_{ij} = g_{is}J_j^s$, $\theta_i = (\delta F)_s J_i^s = \frac{1}{2}dF_{ist}F^{st}$, respectively, where * is the Hodge operator and δ is the co-differential, $\delta = -*d*$. The flux H, i.e., the torsion of the connection ∇^+ preserving the Hermitian structure (J,g) is given by [2]

$$H = T = d^c F$$
, where $d^c F_{ijk} = -J_i^l J_j^m J_k^n dF_{lmn}$. (2.7)

Clearly, (2.7) determines the connection ∇^+ uniquely since $\nabla^+ g = 0$.

An SU(3)-structure is determined by an additional non-degenerate (3,0)-form $\Psi = \Psi^+ + \sqrt{-1} \Psi^-$, or equivalently by a non-trivial spinor, satisfying the compatibility conditions $F \wedge \Psi^{\pm} = 0$, $\Psi^+ \wedge \Psi^- = \frac{2}{3}F \wedge F \wedge F$. The subgroup of SO(6) fixing the forms F and Ψ simultaneously is SU(3). The Lie algebra of SU(3) is denoted $\mathfrak{su}(3)$.

The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of solutions to the first two equations in (2.4) derived by Strominger [2] imply that the 6-manifold should be a complex conformally balanced manifold (the Lee form $\theta = 2d\phi$) with non-vanishing holomorphic

volume form Ψ satisfying an additional condition. In terms of the five torsion classes on dimension six, described in [59], the Strominger condition is interpreted in [6] as follows (see [52] for a slightly different expression):

$$2F dF + \Psi^+ d\Psi^+ = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where \Box denotes the interior multiplication. Another very useful interpretation of this condition was proposed in [4]. If the dilaton is constant (the Lee form $\theta = 0$) then the Strominger condition reads

$$dF \wedge F = d\Psi^{+} = d\Psi^{-} = 0.$$
 (2.9)

Compact examples of the latter on nilmanifolds were presented in [60, 61] and examples via evolution equations were given in [62].

A very promising geometric model in dimension six was proposed by Goldstein and Prokushkin in [11] as a certain \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over a Calabi-Yau surface, which we explain next. Let Γ_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, be two closed 2-forms on a Calabi-Yau surface M^4 with anti-self-dual (1,1)-part, which represent integral cohomology classes. Denote by ω_1 and by $\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3$ the (closed) Kähler form and the holomorphic volume form on M^4 , respectively. Then, there is a (non-Kähler) 6-dimensional manifold M^6 , which is the total space of a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over M^4 , and it has an SU(3)-structure

$$g = g_{CY} + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2, F = \omega_1 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2,
\Psi^+ = \omega_2 \wedge \eta_1 - \omega_3 \wedge \eta_2, \Psi^- = \omega_2 \wedge \eta_2 + \omega_3 \wedge \eta_1,$$
(2.10)

where η_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, is a 1-form on M^6 such that $d\eta_i = \Gamma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$. From the construction it is easy to check that the SU(3) structure (2.10) satisfies (2.9) and therefore it solves the first two Killing spinor equations in (2.4) with constant dilaton.

For any smooth function f on M^4 , the SU(3)-structure on M^6 given by

$$F = e^{2f}\omega_1 + \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2, \quad \Psi^+ = e^{2f} \left[\omega_2 \wedge \eta_1 - \omega_3 \wedge \eta_2 \right], \quad \Psi^- = e^{2f} \left[\omega_2 \wedge \eta_2 + \omega_3 \wedge \eta_1 \right]$$

satisfies (2.8) and therefore it solves the first two Killing spinor equations in (2.4) with non-constant dilaton $\phi = 2f$. The metric has the form

$$g_f = e^{2f}g_{cu} + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2.$$

This ansatz guaranties solution to the first two equations in (2.4). To achieve a smooth solution to the Strominger system we still have to determine an auxiliary vector bundle with an instanton and a linear connection on M^6 in order to satisfy the anomaly cancellation condition (2.3). Taking the first Pontrjagin form of the Chern connection [4, 5, 10, 42] leads to an equation of Monge-Ampère type for the dilaton function, while it is reduced to a PDE of Laplace type for the dilaton when using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection [15, 16].

The \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over a K3 surface construction with connection 1-forms of anti-self-dual curvature was used in [4, 5, 10, 42] to produce the first compact smooth solutions in dimension 6 solving the heterotic supersymmetry equations (2.4) with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton together with the anomaly cancellation (2.3) with the first Pontrjagin form of the Chern connection.

3 The anomaly cancellation and the non-constant dilaton

We apply the construction from section 2.1 to special non-Kähler 2-step nilmanifolds which are \mathbb{T}^2 -bundles over \mathbb{T}^4 with connection 1-forms of anti-self-dual curvature on the four torus and using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection in investigating the anomaly cancellation (2.3) with non-constant dilaton.

3.1 Two-step nilmanifolds with Abelian complex structure

In this subsection we show, due to considerations in [60], that the 2-step nilmanifolds which are \mathbb{T}^2 bundles over \mathbb{T}^4 with connection 1-forms of anti-self-dual curvature are precisely the balanced Hermitian structures with Abelian complex structure, i.e. [JX, JY] = [X, Y].

The invariant balanced Hermitian structures on compact 6-dimensional nilmanifolds which are a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over a 4-torus, according to [60, Theorem 2.11], are parametrized by one of the following three sets of equations

the following three sets of equations
$$de^{1} = de^{2} = de^{3} = de^{4} = 0, \quad de^{5} = t(e^{13} - e^{24}), \quad de^{6} = t(e^{14} + e^{23}), \tag{3.1}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$;

$$\begin{cases}
de^{1} = de^{2} = de^{3} = de^{4} = 0, \\
de^{5} = \frac{t}{s}(\rho + b^{2})e^{13} - \frac{t}{s}(\rho - b^{2})e^{24}, \\
de^{6} = -2t(e^{12} - e^{34}) + \frac{t}{s}(\rho - b^{2})e^{14} + \frac{t}{s}(\rho + b^{2})e^{23},
\end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where $\rho \in \{0,1\}, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s,t \in \mathbb{R}^*$;

$$\begin{cases} de^{1} = de^{2} = de^{3} = de^{4} = 0, \\ de^{5} = sY \left[2b^{2}u_{1}|u| \left(e^{12} - e^{34} \right) - b^{2}tu_{1}|u|Y \left(e^{13} + e^{24} \right) + 2\rho su_{1} \left(e^{13} - e^{24} \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2su_{2} \left(\left(\rho - b^{2} \right) e^{14} + \left(\rho + b^{2} \right) e^{23} \right) \right], \\ de^{6} = sY \left[2(2s^{2} - b^{2}u_{2})|u| \left(e^{12} - e^{34} \right) + b^{2}tu_{2}|u|Y \left(e^{13} + e^{24} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 2\rho su_{2} \left(e^{13} - e^{24} \right) + 2su_{1} \left(\left(\rho - b^{2} \right) e^{14} + \left(\rho + b^{2} \right) e^{23} \right) \right], \end{cases}$$

$$(3.3)$$

where $\rho \in \{0,1\}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $s^2 > |u|^2 > 0$, and where $Y = \frac{2\sqrt{s^2 - |u|^2}}{|u|t}$.

In all the cases the balanced structure (J, F) is given in the standard form, i.e.

$$Je^1 = -e^2, Je^3 = -e^4, Je^5 = -e^6, F = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}.$$
 (3.4)

The nilpotent Lie algebras (nilmanifolds) underlying the families (3.1)–(3.3) are \mathfrak{h}_k , $2 \leq k \leq 6$ (see [60] for a description). However, in order to apply the construction from section 2.1 a further analysis is needed. The curvature of the bundle is determined by the 2-forms de^5 and de^6 in the structure equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3). Taking into account that $s, t, u, Y \neq 0$ we have that $de^5, de^6 \in \langle e^{12} - e^{34}, e^{13} + e^{24}, e^{14} - e^{23} \rangle$ if and only if the

balanced Hermitian structure is given by (3.2) or (3.3) with $\rho = 0$. The latter condition means that J is an Abelian complex structure. Finally, when $\rho = 0$ we can take $b \in \{0, 1\}$ since the corresponding balanced Hermitian structures are isomorphic. The case b = 0, resp. b = 1, corresponds to structures on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3 , resp. \mathfrak{h}_5 . As a result of the preceding arguments we obtain the following fact.

Let (J, F) be an invariant balanced Hermitian structure on a 6-dimensional 2-step nilmanifold M. Then, M is the total space of a \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle over \mathbb{T}^4 of anti-self-dual curvature if and only if the complex structure J is Abelian. Moreover, in such case the Lie algebra underlying M is isomorphic to \mathfrak{h}_3 or \mathfrak{h}_5 .

Notice that \mathfrak{h}_3 is the Lie algebra underlying the nilmanifold given by the product of the 5-dimensional generalized Heisenberg nilmanifold by S^1 , whereas \mathfrak{h}_5 is the Lie algebra underlying the Iwasawa manifold. It is important to note that the holonomy of the (+)-connection of any balanced structure (J, F) with J Abelian is a subgroup of SU(2), hence inside SU(3), [60].

3.2 Non-constant dilaton in 6-D

Here we consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_5 , which we describe below. We shall construct a background with non-constant dilaton with non-trivial instanton and flux. By a contraction, this will also give analogous solutions on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3 as we shall explain later in section 6.

The structure equations of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_5 are

$$de^{1} = de^{2} = de^{3} = de^{4} = 0, de^{5} = \frac{t}{s} (e^{13} + e^{24}),$$

$$de^{6} = -2t (e^{12} - e^{34}) - \frac{t}{s} (e^{14} - e^{23}),$$
(3.5)

where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^*$. We note that the structure equations (3.5) are obtained from the family (3.2) taking there $\rho = 0$ and b = 1. The corresponding Lie group H_5 can be considered as a \mathbb{R}^2 -bundle over \mathbb{R}^4 . Moreover, the balanced structure (J, F) on \mathfrak{h}_5 is given in the standard form given by (3.4).

Let f be a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^4 . Following [11] we consider the metric \bar{g} on \mathfrak{h}_5 for which the basis of 1-forms

$$\bar{e}^1 = e^f e^1, \quad \bar{e}^2 = e^f e^2, \quad \bar{e}^3 = e^f e^3, \quad \bar{e}^4 = e^f e^4, \quad \bar{e}^5 = e^5, \quad \bar{e}^6 = e^6$$
 (3.6)

is orthonormal.

The Kähler form of the new Hermitian structure (\bar{q}, J) is given by

$$\bar{F} = \bar{e}^{12} + \bar{e}^{34} + \bar{e}^{56} = e^{2f}(e^{12} + e^{34}) + e^{56},$$

where $df = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i e^i$, i.e., in local coordinates $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$. Furthermore,

$$d\bar{F} = 2e^{-f}f_3\bar{e}^{123} + 2e^{-f}f_4\bar{e}^{124} + 2te^{-2f}\bar{e}^{125} + 2e^{-f}f_1\bar{e}^{134} + \frac{t}{s}e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{136} + \frac{t}{s}e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{145} + 2e^{-f}f_2\bar{e}^{234} - \frac{t}{s}e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{235} + \frac{t}{s}e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{246} - 2te^{-2f}\bar{e}^{345}.$$

According to (2.7), the torsion 3-form \bar{T} is represented by

$$\bar{T} = d^c \bar{F} = 2e^{-f} f_4 \bar{e}^{123} - 2e^{-f} f_3 \bar{e}^{124} - 2te^{-2f} \bar{e}^{126} + 2e^{-f} f_2 \bar{e}^{134} + \frac{t}{s} e^{-2f} \bar{e}^{135}
- \frac{t}{s} e^{-2f} \bar{e}^{146} - 2e^{-f} f_1 \bar{e}^{234} + \frac{t}{s} e^{-2f} \bar{e}^{236} + \frac{t}{s} e^{-2f} \bar{e}^{245} + 2te^{-2f} \bar{e}^{346}.$$
(3.7)

At this point we define the constant

$$\kappa^2 = 1/2 \left(2 + 1/s^2 \right). \tag{3.8}$$

Letting $f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, a short calculation gives

$$d\bar{T} = -e^{-4f} \left[\triangle e^{2f} + 4t^2 \left(2 + \frac{1}{s^2} \right) \right] \bar{e}^{1234} = -\left[\triangle e^{2f} + 8t^2 \kappa^2 \right] e^{1234}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\triangle e^{2f} = (e^{2f})_{11} + (e^{2f})_{22} + (e^{2f})_{33} + (e^{2f})_{44}$ is the standard Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^4 .

Taking the trace in (2.6) and using (3.9) together with the fact that the holonomy of ∇^+ is contained in SU(3) allows us to conclude that R^- satisfies the instanton condition (2.5), i.e, the (-)-connection is an instanton if and only if the torsion 3-form is closed, $d\bar{T} = 0$. The latter is equivalent to the fact that the dilaton function f satisfies the identity

$$\Delta e^{2f} + 8t^2 \kappa^2 = 0. {(3.10)}$$

3.3 The first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection

The (-)-connection of the Hermitian structure (\bar{g}, J) is defined by the formula $\nabla^- = \nabla^{\bar{g}} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{T}$, where $\nabla^{\bar{g}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric \bar{g} and the torsion is determined in (3.7).

Using the metric \bar{g} , let $\{\bar{e}_1,\ldots,\bar{e}_6\}$ be the dual to $\{\bar{e}^1,\ldots,\bar{e}^6\}$ orthonormal basis. From Koszul's formula, we have that the Levi-Civita connection 1-forms $(\omega^{\bar{g}})^{\bar{i}}_{\bar{j}}$ are given by

$$(\omega^{\bar{g}})_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}}(\bar{e}_k) = -\frac{1}{2} \Big(\bar{g}(\bar{e}_i, [\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_k]) - \bar{g}(\bar{e}_k, [\bar{e}_i, \bar{e}_j]) + \bar{g}(\bar{e}_j, [\bar{e}_k, \bar{e}_i]) \Big)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \Big(d\bar{e}^i(\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_k) - d\bar{e}^k(\bar{e}_i, \bar{e}_j) + d\bar{e}^j(\bar{e}_k, \bar{e}_i) \Big)$$
(3.11)

taking into account $\bar{g}(\bar{e}_i, [\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_k]) = -d\bar{e}^i(\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_k)$. With the help of (3.11) we compute the expressions for the connection 1-forms $(\omega^-)^{\bar{i}}_{\bar{j}}$ of the connection ∇^- ,

$$(\omega^{-})_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}} = (\omega^{\bar{g}})_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{T})_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}}, \quad \text{where} \quad (\bar{T})_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}}(\bar{e}_{k}) = \bar{T}(\bar{e}_{i}, \bar{e}_{j}, \bar{e}_{k}).$$
 (3.12)

Now, (3.12), (3.11) and (3.7) show that the non-zero connection 1-forms $(\omega^-)^{\bar{i}}_{\bar{i}}$ are given

in terms of the basis $\{\bar{e}^1, \dots, \bar{e}^6\}$ by

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{2}}^{\overline{1}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{2} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{1} \, \overline{e}^{2} + f_{4} \, \overline{e}^{3} - f_{3} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{3}}^{\overline{1}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{3} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{4} \, \overline{e}^{2} - f_{1} \, \overline{e}^{3} + f_{2} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{4}}^{\overline{1}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{4} \, \overline{e}^{1} + f_{3} \, \overline{e}^{2} - f_{2} \, \overline{e}^{3} - f_{1} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{1}} = e^{-2f} 2t \left[\overline{e}^{2} + \frac{1}{2s} \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{2}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{4} \, \overline{e}^{1} + f_{3} \, \overline{e}^{2} - f_{2} \, \overline{e}^{3} - f_{1} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{2}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{4} \, \overline{e}^{1} + f_{3} \, \overline{e}^{2} - f_{2} \, \overline{e}^{3} - f_{1} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{2}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} + f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{3} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-2f} 2t \left[-\overline{e}^{1} - \frac{1}{2s} \, \overline{e}^{3} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} + f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{1} - f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right] ,$$

$$(\omega^{-})_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{5}} = e^{-f} \left[f_{5} \, \overline{e}^{2} - \overline{e}^{4} \right]$$

A long straightforward calculation using (3.13) gives in terms of the basis $\{\bar{e}^1, \dots, \bar{e}^6\}$ the following formulas for the curvature 2-forms of ∇^-

$$\begin{split} (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{2}}^{\overline{1}} &= - \left(f_{11} + f_{22} + 2f_{3}^{2} + 2f_{4}^{2} + 4t^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{12} \\ &+ \left(f_{14} - f_{23} + 2f_{2}f_{3} - 2f_{1}f_{4} \right) e^{-2f} (\overline{e}^{13} + \overline{e}^{24}) \\ &- \left(f_{13} + f_{24} - 2f_{1}f_{3} - 2f_{2}f_{4} + 2t^{2}/se^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f} (\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{23}) \\ &- \left(2f_{1}^{2} + 2f_{2}^{2} + f_{33} + f_{44} + 2t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{34}, \\ (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{3}}^{\overline{1}} &= - \left(f_{14} + f_{23} - 2f_{2}f_{3} - 2f_{1}f_{4} \right) e^{-2f} (\overline{e}^{12} - \overline{e}^{34}) \\ &- \left(f_{11} + 2f_{2}^{2} + f_{33} + 2f_{4}^{2} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{13} \\ &+ \left(f_{12} - 2f_{1}f_{2} - f_{34} + 2f_{3}f_{4} \right) e^{-2f} (\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{23}) \\ &+ \left(2f_{1}^{2} + f_{22} + 2f_{3}^{2} + f_{44} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} + 4t^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{24}, \\ (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{4}}^{\overline{4}} &= \left(f_{13} - f_{24} - 2f_{1}f_{3} + 2f_{2}f_{4} - 2t^{2}/se^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f} (\overline{e}^{12} - \overline{e}^{34}) \\ &- \left(f_{12} - 2f_{1}f_{2} + f_{34} - 2f_{3}f_{4} \right) e^{-2f} (\overline{e}^{13} + \overline{e}^{24}) \\ &- \left(f_{11} + 2f_{2}^{2} + 2f_{3}^{2} + f_{44} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{14} \\ &- \left(2f_{1}^{2} + f_{22} + f_{33} + 2f_{4}^{2} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{14} \\ &- \left(2f_{1}^{2} + f_{22} + f_{33} + 2f_{4}^{2} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}\overline{e}^{23}, \\ (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{5}} &= 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[f_{4}(\overline{e}^{12} - \overline{e}^{34}) + f_{1}(e^{13} + e^{24}) - f_{2}(\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{23}) \right], \\ (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{5}} &= 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[\left(f_{3} - 2f_{1}s \right) (\overline{e}^{12} - \overline{e}^{34}) \\ &- \left(f_{12} - 2f_{1}f_{3} + 2f_{2}f_{4} + 2t^{2}/se^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}(\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{23}) \right], \\ (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{3}}^{\overline{5}} &= \left(f_{13} - f_{24} - 2f_{1}f_{3} + 2f_{2}f_{4} + 2t^{2}/se^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}(\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{23}) \\ &- \left(f_{11} + 2f_{2}^{2} + 2f_{3}^{2} + f_{44} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}(\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{23}) \right], \\ (\Omega^{-})_{\overline{3}}^{\overline{5}} &= \left(f_{13} - f_{24} - 2f_{1}f_{3} + 2f_{2}f_{4} + 2t^{2}/se^{-2f} \right) e^{-2f}(\overline{e}^{14} - \overline{e}^{24}) \\ &- \left(f_{12} - 2f_{1}f_{2} + f_{34} - 2f_{3}f_{4} \right) e^{-2f}(\overline{e}^{13} + \overline{e}^{24})$$

$$\begin{split} &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{4}}^{\overline{2}} = (f_{14} + f_{23} - 2f_{2}f_{3} - 2f_{1}f_{4})e^{-2f}(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) \\ &\quad + (f_{11} + 2f_{2}^{2} + f_{33} + 2f_{4}^{2} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f} + 4t^{2}e^{-2f})e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{13} \\ &\quad - (f_{12} - 2f_{1}f_{2} - f_{34} + 2f_{3}f_{4})e^{-2f}(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \\ &\quad - (2f_{1}^{2} + f_{22} + 2f_{3}^{2} + f_{44} + t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f})e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{24}, \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{2}} = 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[-f_{3}(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + f_{2}(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) + f_{1}(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right], \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{2}} = 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[(f_{4} - 2f_{2}s)(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) \\ &\quad + (f_{1} - 2f_{3}s)(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) - (f_{2} + 2f_{4}s)(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right], \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{4}}^{\overline{3}} = -(f_{11} + f_{22} + 2f_{3}^{2} + 2f_{4}^{2} + 2t^{2}/s^{2}e^{-2f})e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{12} \\ &\quad + (f_{14} - f_{23} + 2f_{2}f_{3} - 2f_{1}f_{4})e^{-2f}(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) \\ &\quad - (f_{13} + f_{24} - 2f_{1}f_{3} - 2f_{2}f_{4} - 2t^{2}/se^{-2f})e^{-2f}(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \\ &\quad - (2f_{1}^{2} + 2f_{2}^{2} + f_{33} + f_{44} + 4t^{2}e^{-2f})e^{-2f}\bar{e}^{34}, \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{5}}^{\overline{3}} = 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[f_{2}(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + f_{3}(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) + f_{4}(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right], \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{3}} = 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[-(f_{1} + 2f_{3}s)(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) \\ &\quad + (f_{4} + 2f_{2}s)(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) - (f_{3} - 2f_{1}s)(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right], \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{4}} = 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[-(f_{1}(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + f_{4}(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) - (f_{3} - 2f_{1}s)(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right], \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{4}} = 2e^{-3f}t/s \left[-(f_{2} + 2f_{4}s)(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) \\ &\quad - (f_{3} + 2f_{1}s)(\bar{e}^{13} + \bar{e}^{24}) - (f_{4} - 2f_{2}s)(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right], \\ &(\Omega^-)_{\overline{6}}^{\overline{5}} = 2e^{-4f}t^{2}/s^{2} \left[-(\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + 2s(\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}) \right]. \\ \end{cases}$$

After another lengthy calculation using the formulas for the curvature 2-form of ∇^- we see that the first Pontrjagin form of ∇^- is a scalar multiple of e^{1234} given by

$$\pi^{2} p_{1}(\nabla^{-}) = \left[\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \left(\det(f_{ij}) + (f_{i}^{2} f_{j})_{j} + (f_{i} f_{j}^{2})_{i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (f_{i}^{3})_{i} - \frac{3}{2} t^{2} \kappa^{2} \triangle e^{-2f} \right] e^{1234}.$$
(3.14)

Note that even though the curvature 2-forms of ∇^- are quadratic in the gradient of the dilaton, remarkably, the Pontrjagin form of ∇^- is also quadratic in these terms.

4 A conformally compact solution with negative α'

Here we formulate and give the proof of our first main result. Recall that H_5 is the connected simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_5 defined by (3.5).

4.1 The instanton

We begin by defining the instanton. Let A_{λ} , $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be the linear connection on H_5 whose non-zero 1-forms are given as follows

$$\begin{split} (\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}} &= -(\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}} = -(\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{4}}^{\bar{3}} = (\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{3}}^{\bar{4}} = -\lambda_{1}\,\bar{e}^{6}, \\ (\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{3}}^{\bar{1}} &= -(\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{3}} = (\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{4}}^{\bar{2}} = -(\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{2}}^{\bar{4}} = -\lambda_{2}\,\bar{e}^{6}, \\ (\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{4}}^{\bar{1}} &= -(\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{4}} = -(\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{3}}^{\bar{2}} = (\omega^{A_{\lambda}})_{\bar{2}}^{\bar{3}} = -\lambda_{3}\,\bar{e}^{6}. \end{split}$$

A direct calculation shows that the non-zero curvature forms $(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{i}}_{\bar{j}}$ of the connection A_{λ} are:

$$\begin{split} (\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{1}}_{\bar{2}} &= -(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{2}}_{\bar{1}} = -(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{3}}_{\bar{4}} = (\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{4}}_{\bar{3}} \\ &= -\lambda_1 \, d\bar{e}^6 = 2t\lambda_1 e^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + \frac{t}{s} \lambda_1 e^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}), \\ (\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{1}}_{\bar{3}} &= -(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{3}}_{\bar{1}} = (\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{2}}_{\bar{4}} = -(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{4}}_{\bar{2}} \\ &= -\lambda_2 \, d\bar{e}^6 = 2t\lambda_2 e^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + \frac{t}{s} \lambda_2 e^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}), \\ (\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{1}}_{\bar{4}} &= -(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{4}}_{\bar{1}} = -(\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{2}}_{\bar{3}} = (\Omega^{A_{\lambda}})^{\bar{3}}_{\bar{2}} \\ &= -\lambda_3 \, d\bar{e}^6 = 2t\lambda_3 e^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}) + \frac{t}{s} \lambda_3 e^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{14} - \bar{e}^{23}). \end{split}$$

It is straightforward to see that A_{λ} satisfies (2.5) and therefore it is an SU(3)-instanton. After another lengthy calculation we see $8\pi^2 p_1(A_{\lambda}) = -4t^2(4+1/s^2)|\lambda|^2 e^{-4f} \bar{e}^{1234}$, which in view of (3.8) and (3.6) implies that A_{λ} is an SU(3)-instanton which preserves the metric. Furthermore, the first Pontrjagin form of A_{λ} is

$$8\pi^2 p_1(A_\lambda) = -8t^2 (1+\kappa^2)|\lambda|^2 e^{1234}, \qquad |\lambda|^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2. \tag{4.1}$$

4.2 A solution with negative α'

We turn to our first main result. Suppose that the function f depends on one variable, say $f = f(x^1)$. Using $(f'' - 2f'^2) e^{-2f} = -\frac{1}{2} (e^{-2f})''$ we have from (3.14)

$$8\pi^2 p_1(\nabla^-) = 4\left(2f'^3 - 3t^2\kappa^2 \left(e^{-2f}\right)'\right)' e^{1234}.$$
 (4.2)

Furthermore, from (3.9)

$$d\bar{T} = -\left(\left(e^{2f}\right)'' + 8t^2\kappa^2\right)e^{1234}. (4.3)$$

In view of (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) the anomaly cancellation condition (2.3), i.e., $d\bar{T} = \frac{\alpha'}{4}8\pi^2\Big(p_1(\nabla^-) - p_1(A_\lambda)\Big)$, takes the form of a single ODE for the function f

$$\left(\left(e^{2f} \right)' - 3\alpha' t^2 \kappa^2 \left(e^{-2f} \right)' + 2\alpha' f'^3 \right)' + 8t^2 \kappa^2 + 2\alpha' t^2 (1 + \kappa^2) |\lambda|^2 = 0.$$
 (4.4)

For a negative α' we choose κ^2 or $|\lambda|^2$ so that $8t^2\kappa^2 + 2\alpha't^2(1+\kappa^2)|\lambda|^2 = 0$, i.e., we let

$$\alpha' = -\alpha^2$$
, $4\kappa^2 = \alpha^2(1 + \kappa^2)|\lambda|^2$.

which simplifies (4.4) to the ordinary differential equation

$$(e^{2f})' + 3\alpha^2 t^2 \kappa^2 (e^{-2f})' - 2\alpha^2 f'^3 = A = const.$$
 (4.5)

At this point we let $u = \alpha^{-2}e^{2f}$. With this substitution the left-hand side of (4.5) becomes

$$\left(e^{2f}\right)' - 3\alpha't^2 \left(e^{-2f}\right)' + 2\alpha'f'^3 = \frac{\alpha^2 u'}{4u^3} \left(4u^3 - 12\frac{t^2\kappa^2}{\alpha^2}u - u'^2\right).$$

For A = 0 consider the following ordinary differential equation for the positive function $u = u(x^1)$,

$$u'^{2} = 4u^{3} - 12\frac{t^{2}\kappa^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}u = 4u(u - a)(u + a), \qquad a = \kappa |t|\sqrt{3}/\alpha.$$
(4.6)

Equation (4.6) can also be considered in the complex plane by replacing the real derivative with the complex derivative which turns it into the Weierstrass' equation

$$\left(\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dz}\right)^{2} = 4\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{P} - a\right)\left(\mathcal{P} + a\right)$$

for the doubly periodic Weierstrass \mathcal{P} function with a pole at the origin where it has the expansion

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{a^2}{5}z^2 + bz^6 + \cdots,$$

(no z^4 term and only even powers). In addition, as well known [63] and [64], letting τ_{\pm} be the basic half-periods such that tau_+ is real and τ_- is purely imaginary we have that \mathcal{P} is real valued on the lines $\Re \mathfrak{e} z = m\tau_+$ or $\Im \mathfrak{m} z = im\tau_-$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, in the fundamental region centered at the origin, where \mathcal{P} has a pole of order two, we have that $\mathcal{P}(z)$ decreases from $+\infty$ to a to 0 to -a to $-\infty$ as z varies along the sides of the half-period rectangle from 0 to τ_+ to τ_+ to τ_- to 0.

Thus, $u(x^1) = \mathcal{P}(x^1)$ defines a non-negative $2\tau_+$ -periodic function with singularities at the points $2n\tau_+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which solves the real equation (4.6). From the Laurent expansion of the Weierstrass' function it follows

$$u(x_1) = \frac{1}{(x^1)^2} \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{5} (x^1)^4 + \cdots \right).$$

By construction, $f = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\alpha^2 u)$ is a periodic function with singularities on the real line which is a solution to equation (4.4) sufficient for the anomaly cancellation condition. Therefore the SU(3) structure defined by \bar{F} and the non-degenerate (3,0) form $\bar{\Psi} = (\bar{e}^1 + i\bar{e}^2) \wedge (\bar{e}^3 + i\bar{e}^4) \wedge (\bar{e}^5 + i\bar{e}^6)$ descends to the 6-dimensional nilmanifold $M^6 = \Gamma \backslash H_5$ with singularity, determined by the singularity of u, where H_5 is the 2-step nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_5 , defined by (3.5), and Γ is a lattice with the same period as f, i.e., $2\tau_+$ in all variables. In fact, as seen from the asymptotic behavior of u, M^6 is the total space of a \mathbb{T}^2 bundle over the asymptotically hyperbolic manifold M^4 with metric

$$\bar{g}_H = u(x^1) \left((dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2 \right),$$

which is a conformally compact 4-torus with conformal boundary at infinity a flat 3-torus. Thus, we conclude that there is a complete solution with non-constant dilaton, non-trivial instanton and flux and with a negative α' parameter.

To summarize, we proved the following. The conformally compact manifold $M^6 = (\Gamma \backslash H_5, \bar{g}, J, \nabla^-, A_\lambda, f)$ is a Hermitian manifold which solves the Strominger system with non-constant dilaton f, non-trivial flux $H = \bar{T}$, non-flat instanton A_λ using the first Pontrjagin form of ∇^- and negative α' . The dilaton f depends on one variable and is determined as a real slice of the Weierstrass' elliptic function.

Furthermore, the conformally compact manifold $M^6 = (\Gamma \backslash H_5, \bar{g}, J, \nabla^-, A_\lambda, f)$ satisfies the heterotic equations of motion (2.2) up to first order of α' since the (–)-connection is an instanton up to the first order of α' .

A few remarks are in order. First, since the function u has a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry determined by the symmetry with respect to the line $x^1 = \tau_+$ we also obtain a solution on the quotient M^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 .

Second, the function $v(x_1) = \mathcal{P}(\tau_+ + ix_1)$, which is the restriction of \mathcal{P} to the line $\mathfrak{Re} z = \tau_+$ leads to a solution "equivalent" to the one described above, taking into account the invariance under translation in x_1 . Indeed, clearly $(v')^2 = -\left(\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dz}\right)^2$ hence v satisfies

$$(v')^2 = -4v(v-a)(v+a), \qquad a = \kappa |t|\sqrt{3}/\alpha.$$

The above equation is (4.6) with the substitution $u = \frac{a^2}{v}$ which shows that we obtain the same six dimensional SU(3) structure. We note that v is an even non-negative periodic function with period $2i\tau_-$ (without a loss of generality $i\tau_- > 0$) such that $v(-i\tau_-) = v(i\tau_-) = 0$, v(0) = a and v increases on the interval $(-i\tau_-, 0)$.

5 Complete solution with positive α'

Here we prove our second main result.

5.1 The instanton

Let us consider a connection $A_{a,d}$ depending on parameters $a, d \in \mathbb{R}, d \neq 0$, whose non-zero connection 1-forms $(\omega^{A_{a,d}})^{\bar{i}}_{\bar{j}}$ in the basis $\{\bar{e}^1, \ldots, \bar{e}^6\}$ are as follows

$$\begin{split} &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}} = e^{-f} \left[f_2 \, \bar{e}^1 - f_1 \, \bar{e}^2 + f_4 \, \bar{e}^3 - f_3 \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{3}}^{\bar{1}} = e^{-f} \left[f_3 \, \bar{e}^1 - f_4 \, \bar{e}^2 - f_1 \, \bar{e}^3 + f_2 \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{4}}^{\bar{1}} = e^{-f} \left[f_4 \, \bar{e}^1 + f_3 \, \bar{e}^2 - f_2 \, \bar{e}^3 - f_1 \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{6}}^{\bar{1}} = a e^{-2f} \left[2 \, \bar{e}^2 + 1/d \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{3}}^{\bar{2}} = e^{-f} \left[f_4 \, \bar{e}^1 + f_3 \, \bar{e}^2 - f_2 \, \bar{e}^3 - f_1 \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{4}}^{\bar{2}} = e^{-f} \left[-f_3 \, \bar{e}^1 + f_4 \, \bar{e}^2 + f_1 \, \bar{e}^3 - f_2 \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{6}}^{\bar{2}} = -a \, e^{-2f} \left[2 \, \bar{e}^1 + \frac{1}{d} \bar{e}^3 \right] \,, \\ &(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{4}}^{\bar{3}} = e^{-f} \left[f_2 \, \bar{e}^1 - f_1 \, \bar{e}^2 + f_4 \, \bar{e}^3 - f_3 \, \bar{e}^4 \right] \,, \end{split}$$

$$(\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{5}}^{\bar{3}} = \frac{a}{d} e^{-2f} \bar{e}^{1}, \qquad (\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{6}}^{\bar{3}} = a e^{-2f} \left[\frac{1}{d} \bar{e}^{2} - 2 \bar{e}^{4} \right], (\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{5}}^{\bar{4}} = \frac{a}{d} e^{-2f} \bar{e}^{2}, \qquad (\omega^{A_{a,d}})_{\bar{6}}^{\bar{4}} = -a e^{-2f} \left[\frac{1}{d} \bar{e}^{1} - 2 \bar{e}^{3} \right].$$
(5.1)

Observe that the connection 1-forms of $A_{a,d}$ are given by (3.13) replacing t with a and s with d. Taking also into account (3.10) it follows that $A_{a,d}$ is an instanton with respect to the SU(3) structure defined with the help of the basis (3.6) if and only if the dilaton function satisfies

$$\triangle e^{2f} = -8\tau^2 a^2, \qquad \tau^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 + \frac{1}{d^2} \right).$$
 (5.2)

In particular, $A_{a,d}$ is an instanton with respect to the SU(3) structure determined by the basis (3.6) if

$$e^{2f} = h(x) - a^2 \tau^2 |x|^2, (5.3)$$

where h is a harmonic function on \mathbb{R}^4 .

5.2 A solution with positive α'

The expression (3.14) yield that the difference between the first Pontrjagin forms of ∇^- and $A_{a,d}$ is given by the formula

$$8\pi^{2}\left(p_{1}(\nabla^{-}) - p_{1}(A_{a,d})\right) = \frac{12}{d^{2}s^{2}}\left[a^{2}(1+2d^{2})s^{2} - d^{2}(1+2s^{2})t^{2}\right]e^{-6f}\left(2|f|^{2} - \Delta f\right)\bar{e}^{1234}$$

$$= -24\left(t^{2}\kappa^{2} - a^{2}\tau^{2}\right)e^{-4f}\left(\Delta e^{-2f}\right)\bar{e}^{1234}$$

$$= -24\left(t^{2}\kappa^{2} - a^{2}\tau^{2}\right)\left(\Delta e^{-2f}\right)e^{1234}.$$
(5.4)

On the other hand recalling (3.9) and taking into account (5.4), we have that the anomaly cancellation condition

$$d\bar{T} - \frac{\alpha'}{4}8\pi^2 \Big(p_1(\nabla^-) - p_1(A_{a,d}) \Big) = -\left[\triangle e^{2f} + 8t^2\kappa^2 - 3\alpha'(t^2\kappa^2 - a^2\tau^2) \triangle e^{-2f} \right] e^{1234} = 0$$

simplifies to the single equation for the dilaton $\triangle e^{2f} + 8t^2\kappa^2 - 3\alpha'(t^2\kappa^2 - a^2\tau^2)\triangle e^{-2f} = 0$. Thus, a non-trivial dilaton is given by (5.3) which satisfies the equation

$$(t^{2}\kappa^{2} - a^{2}\tau^{2})\left(8 - 3\alpha'\triangle e^{-2f}\right) = 0.$$
 (5.5)

We analyze the solution in the next two cases.

Case 1. Here $t^2\kappa^2 - a^2\tau^2 = 0$, hence by (5.4) the anomaly condition is trivially satisfied for any α' , provided the torsion is closed. In this case the solution is given by the solutions of (5.2). Furthermore, taking into account (3.10), both ∇^- and $A_{a,d}$ are instantons. For example, a particular case of (5.3) is the solution

$$e^{2f} = a^2 \tau^2 (1 - |x|^2)$$

defined in the unit ball.

Notice that in this case we also obtain a solution of the type II theory, see [6] for the case of the Iwasawa manifold and [3, Section VII] for the general case of two flat directions fibered over a four dimensional base M_0 .

Case 2. Here $t^2\kappa^2 - a^2\tau^2 \neq 0$, hence the anomaly condition is non-trivial.

We need to solve the system of the two equations (5.2) and (5.5). To get a solution we take a = 0 in (5.2) and arrive to the next two equations for the dilaton f:

$$\triangle e^{2f} = 0, \qquad \triangle e^{-2f} = 8/(3\alpha').$$

Hence the solution with a singularity is given by

$$e^{2f} = \frac{3\alpha'}{|x-b|^2}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}^4,$$
 (5.6)

As a result of the above arguments we obtain a non-compact solution with non-constant dilaton, non-trivial instanton and flux with positive α' . This solution is similar to the multi-instanton solution considered in [18]. Taking into account that H_5 is a \mathbb{R}^2 -bundle over \mathbb{R}^4 , and using logarithmic radial coordinates near the singularity as in [18] it follows that the 4-D metric induced on \mathbb{R}^4 is actually complete. In fact, taking the singularity at the origin, in the coordinate $q = \sqrt{3\alpha'}/2 \ln(|x|^2/3\alpha') = -\sqrt{3\alpha'} f$, we have that the dilaton and the 4-D metric can be expressed as follows

$$\bar{g}_H = \sum_{i=1}^4 e^{2f} (e^i)^2 = dq^2 + 3\alpha' ds_3^2, \qquad f = -q\sqrt{3\alpha'},$$

where ds_3^2 is the metric on the unit three-dimensional sphere in the four dimensional Euclidean space. The completeness of the horizontal metric implies that the metric

$$\bar{g} = \bar{g}_H + (e^5)^2 + (e^6)^2$$

is also complete. In summary, we proved the following. The non-compact simply connected manifold $(H_5, \bar{g}, J, \nabla^-, A_{0,d})$ is a complete Hermitian manifold which solves the Strominger system with non-constant dilaton f determined by (5.6), non-zero flux $H = \bar{T}$ and non-flat instanton $A_{0,d}$ using the first Pontrjagin form of ∇^- and positive α' .

The complete manifold $(H_5, \bar{g}, J, \nabla^-, A_{0,d})$ described above also solves the heterotic equations of motion (2.2) up to the first order of α' .

6 Contraction and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3

Taking into account that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3 is a contraction of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_5 we can obtain solutions for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3 from the solution on \mathfrak{h}_5 . Indeed, letting $\frac{t}{s} \to 0$ in (3.5) we obtain

$$de^1 = de^2 = de^3 = de^4 = 0$$
, $de^5 = 0$, $de^6 = -2t(e^{12} - e^{34})$

which are the structure equations of \mathfrak{h}_3 .

Correspondingly, using equations (3.6) we obtain the structure equations

$$\begin{split} d\bar{e}^1 &= -e^{-f} \left(f_2 \, \bar{e}^{12} + f_3 \, \bar{e}^{13} + f_4 \, \bar{e}^{14} \right), \qquad d\bar{e}^2 = e^{-f} \left(f_1 \, \bar{e}^{12} - f_3 \, \bar{e}^{23} - f_4 \, \bar{e}^{24} \right), \\ d\bar{e}^3 &= e^{-f} \left(f_1 \, \bar{e}^{13} + f_2 \, \bar{e}^{23} - f_4 \, \bar{e}^{34} \right), \qquad d\bar{e}^4 = e^{-f} \left(f_1 \, \bar{e}^{14} + f_2 \, \bar{e}^{24} + f_3 \, \bar{e}^{34} \right), \\ d\bar{e}^5 &= 0, \qquad d\bar{e}^6 = -2te^{-2f} (\bar{e}^{12} - \bar{e}^{34}). \end{split}$$

As before, we consider the ∇^- connection described in (3.13). We define the connection A_a by letting the parameter $d \to \infty$ in (5.1), or equivalently $\frac{a}{d} \to 0$. All remaining calculation in section 3.2 are valid by taking the above described limits. As a result, section 4.2 and section 5.2 give solutions with non-constant dilaton on \mathfrak{h}_3 .

Remark 6.1 It can be checked from the expression for the curvature 2-forms of ∇^- using (2.6) and (3.9) that the connection ∇^+ is an SU(3)-instanton if and only if f is a constant function and $\frac{t}{s} \to 0$, i.e. the connection ∇^+ is an SU(3)-instanton if and only if f is constant and the group is H_3 .

7 Conclusions

We construct explicit conformally compact complete smooth supersymmetric solutions to the heterotic equations of motion (2.2) up to first order of α' with non vanishing flux, non-trivial instanton, non-constant dilaton and negative string tension α' . It is a known that for warped compactification there must be some branes with negative tension [29]. It was argued in [24, 25] (see also [26–28] for earlier discussions) that a negative tension brane in heterotic string theory could be understood as a T-dual of the Atiyah-Hitchin [65] manifold. We solve the Strominger system with negative string tension α' using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection. The non-constant dilaton depends on one variable and is determined by a real slices of Weierstrass' elliptic function. This suggests there could be a relation with the algebraic geometry and F-theory/heterotic duality principle. The source of the construction is the already constructed smooth compact solutions to the Strominger system with constant dilaton on nilmanifods presented in [22] and the ideas of [3] and [11]. In particular, [3] posed the question of solving the anomaly condition for compact supersymmetric geometries that are two-torus bundles over either conformally \mathbb{T}^4 or K3 manifold. Our result is explicit complete smooth example of the former case with negative α' .

We also present smooth non-compact complete solutions to the heterotic equations of motion (2.2) up to first order of α' . To this end, we investigate the Strominger system using the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection with positive string tension on certain \mathbb{T}^2 bundles over \mathbb{R}^4 with non-vanishing flux, non-trivial instanton and non-constant dilaton. We construct an instanton whose first Pontrjagin form together with the first Pontrjagin form of the (-)-connection imposes via the anomaly cancellation a system of two equations of Laplace type on the dilaton. The non-constant dilaton function of our smooth non-compact complete solutions is determined by a harmonic function, the fundamental solution of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^4 . In the special case of a trivial \mathbb{T}^2 bundle, i.e., on the product of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ we recover the "symmetric background solution" from [18].

Acknowledgments

The work was partially supported through Project MICINN (Spain) MTM2011-28326-C02-01/02, and Project of UPV/EHU ref. UFI11/52. DV was partially supported by Simons

Foundation grant #279381. S.I. is partially supported by Contract 168/2014 with the Sofia University "St. Kl. Ohridski".

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] P. Candelas, G.T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 258** (1985) 46 [INSPIRE].
- [2] A. Strominger, Superstrings with torsion, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986) 253 [INSPIRE].
- [3] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli and D. Waldram, Superstrings with intrinsic torsion, Phys. Rev. **D** 69 (2004) 086002 [hep-th/0302158] [INSPIRE].
- [4] J. Li, S.-T. Yau, The existence of supersymmetric string theory with torsion, J. Diff. Geom. **70** (2005) 143.
- [5] J.-X. Fu and S.-T. Yau, Existence of supersymmetric Hermitian metrics with torsion on non-Kähler manifolds, hep-th/0509028 [INSPIRE].
- [6] G. Lopes Cardoso et al., Non-Kähler string backgrounds and their five torsion classes, Nucl. Phys. B 652 (2003) 5 [hep-th/0211118] [INSPIRE].
- [7] K. Dasgupta, H. Firouzjahi and R. Gwyn, On the warped heterotic axion, JHEP 06 (2008) 056 [arXiv:0803.3828] [INSPIRE].
- [8] C.M. Hull, Anomalies, ambiguities and superstrings, Phys. Lett. B 167 (1986) 51 [INSPIRE].
- [9] E.A. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, The quartic effective action of the heterotic string and supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 439 [INSPIRE].
- [10] J.-X. Fu and S.-T. Yau, The theory of superstring with flux on non-Kähler manifolds and the complex Monge-Ampere equation, J. Diff. Geom. 78 (2009) 369 [hep-th/0604063] [INSPIRE].
- [11] E. Goldstein and S. Prokushkin, Geometric model for complex nonKähler manifolds with SU(3) structure, Commun. Math. Phys. 251 (2004) 65 [hep-th/0212307] [INSPIRE].
- [12] S.-T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978) 339.
- [13] K. Uhlenbeck, S.-T. Yau, On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986) S257.
- [14] S.K. Donaldson, Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **50** (1985) 1 [INSPIRE].
- [15] K. Becker and S. Sethi, Torsional heterotic geometries, Nucl. Phys. B 820 (2009) 1 [arXiv:0903.3769] [INSPIRE].
- [16] K. Becker, C. Bertinato, Y.-C. Chung and G. Guo, Supersymmetry breaking, heterotic strings and fluxes, Nucl. Phys. B 823 (2009) 428 [arXiv:0904.2932] [INSPIRE].
- [17] C.G. Callan Jr., J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Worldbrane actions for string solitons, Nucl. Phys. B 367 (1991) 60 [INSPIRE].
- [18] C.G. Callan Jr., J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, World sheet approach to heterotic instantons and solitons, Nucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 611 [INSPIRE].

- [19] M.J. Duff and J.X. Lu, Elementary five-brane solutions of D = 10 supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 354 (1991) 141 [INSPIRE].
- [20] A. Strominger, Heterotic solitons, Nucl. Phys. B 343 (1990) 167 [Erratum ibid. B 353 (1991) 565] [INSPIRE].
- [21] J.-X. Fu, L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, Local heterotic torsional models, Commun. Math. Phys. 289 (2009) 1151 [arXiv:0806.2392] [INSPIRE].
- [22] M. Fernández, S. Ivanov, L. Ugarte and R. Villacampa, Non-Kähler heterotic string compactifications with non-zero fluxes and constant dilaton, Commun. Math. Phys. 288 (2009) 677 [arXiv:0804.1648] [INSPIRE].
- [23] T. Kimura and S. Mizoguchi, Chiral generations on intersecting 5-branes in heterotic string theory, JHEP 04 (2010) 028 [arXiv:0912.1334] [INSPIRE].
- [24] H. Imazato, S. Mizoguchi and M. Yata, *Taub-NUT crystal*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 26** (2011) 5143 [arXiv:1107.3557] [INSPIRE].
- [25] S. Mizoguchi and M. Yata, Family unification via quasi-Nambu-Goldstone fermions in string theory, PTEP 2013 (2013) 053B01 [arXiv:1211.6135] [INSPIRE].
- [26] A. Sen, A Note on enhanced gauge symmetries in M and string theory, JHEP **09** (1997) 001 [hep-th/9707123] [INSPIRE].
- [27] A. Hanany and B. Kol, On orientifolds, discrete torsion, branes and M-theory, JHEP 06 (2000) 013 [hep-th/0003025] [INSPIRE].
- [28] A. Hanany and B. Pioline, (Anti-)instantons and the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold, JHEP 07 (2000) 001 [hep-th/0005160] [INSPIRE].
- [29] S.B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, *Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 66** (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [INSPIRE].
- [30] C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, The two loop β -function for σ models with torsion, Phys. Lett. **B** 191 (1987) 115 [INSPIRE].
- [31] C.M. Hull, Compactifications of the heterotic superstring, Phys. Lett. B 178 (1986) 357 [INSPIRE].
- [32] J. Gillard, G. Papadopoulos and D. Tsimpis, Anomaly, fluxes and (2,0) heterotic string compactifications, JHEP **06** (2003) 035 [hep-th/0304126] [INSPIRE].
- [33] C.M. Hull and E. Witten, Supersymmetric σ-models and the heterotic string, Phys. Lett. B 160 (1985) 398 [INSPIRE].
- [34] P.S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, Ultraviolet behavior of two-dimensional supersymmetric nonlinear σ models, Nucl. Phys. B 289 (1987) 264 [INSPIRE].
- [35] B. de Wit, D.J. Smit and N.D. Hari Dass, Residual supersymmetry of compactified D = 10 supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 283 (1987) 165 [INSPIRE].
- [36] J.P. Gauntlett, N. Kim, D. Martelli and D. Waldram, Five-branes wrapped on SLAG three cycles and related geometry, JHEP 11 (2001) 018 [hep-th/0110034] [INSPIRE].
- [37] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, S. Pakis and D. Waldram, G structures and wrapped NS5-branes, Commun. Math. Phys. 247 (2004) 421 [hep-th/0205050] [INSPIRE].
- [38] G. Lopes Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata and D. Lüst, BPS action and superpotential for heterotic string compactifications with fluxes, JHEP 10 (2003) 004 [hep-th/0306088] [INSPIRE].
- [39] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta and P.S. Green, Compactifications of heterotic theory on nonKähler complex manifolds. 1, JHEP 04 (2003) 007 [hep-th/0301161] [INSPIRE].

- [40] K. Becker, M. Becker, P.S. Green, K. Dasgupta and E. Sharpe, Compactifications of heterotic strings on non-Kähler complex manifolds. 2, Nucl. Phys. B 678 (2004) 19 [hep-th/0310058] [INSPIRE].
- [41] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta and S. Prokushkin, *Properties of heterotic vacua from superpotentials*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 666** (2003) 144 [hep-th/0304001] [INSPIRE].
- [42] K. Becker, M. Becker, J.-X. Fu, L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, Anomaly cancellation and smooth non-Kähler solutions in heterotic string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 751 (2006) 108 [hep-th/0604137] [INSPIRE].
- [43] U. Gran and G. Papadopoulos, Solution of heterotic Killing spinor equations and special geometry, AIP Conf. Proc. 1093 (2009) 144 [arXiv:0811.1539] [INSPIRE].
- [44] U. Gran, G. Papadopoulos and D. Roest, Supersymmetric heterotic string backgrounds, Phys. Lett. B 656 (2007) 119 [arXiv:0706.4407] [INSPIRE].
- [45] U. Gran, G. Papadopoulos, D. Roest and P. Sloane, Geometry of all supersymmetric type-I backgrounds, JHEP 08 (2007) 074 [hep-th/0703143] [INSPIRE].
- [46] U. Gran, P. Lohrmann and G. Papadopoulos, The spinorial geometry of supersymmetric heterotic string backgrounds, JHEP 02 (2006) 063 [hep-th/0510176] [INSPIRE].
- [47] G. Papadopoulos, New half supersymmetric solutions of the heterotic string, Class. Quant. Grav. 26 (2009) 135001 [arXiv:0809.1156] [INSPIRE].
- [48] B. Andreas and M. Garcia-Fernandez, Heterotic non-Kähler geometries via polystable bundles on Calabi-Yau threefolds, J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012) 183 [arXiv:1011.6246] [INSPIRE].
- [49] B. Andreas and M. Garcia-Fernandez, Solutions of the Strominger system via stable bundles on Calabi-Yau threefolds, Commun. Math. Phys. 315 (2012) 153 [arXiv:1008.1018] [INSPIRE].
- [50] I. Biswas and A. Mukherjee, Solutions of Strominger system from unitary representations of cocompact lattices of SL(2, C), Commun. Math. Phys. **322** (2013) 373 [arXiv:1301.0375] [INSPIRE].
- [51] A. Sen, (2,0) supersymmetry and space-time supersymmetry in the heterotic string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 278 (1986) 289 [INSPIRE].
- [52] P. Ivanov and S. Ivanov, SU(3) instantons and G_2 , Spin(7) heterotic string solitons, Commun. Math. Phys. 259 (2005) 79 [math/0312094] [INSPIRE].
- [53] T. Kimura and P. Yi, Comments on heterotic flux compactifications, JHEP 07 (2006) 030 [hep-th/0605247] [INSPIRE].
- [54] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, Non-Kähler heterotic rotations, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 15 (2011) 131 [arXiv:1010.4031] [INSPIRE].
- [55] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh and S. Sethi, *M theory, orientifolds and G-flux*, *JHEP* **08** (1999) 023 [hep-th/9908088] [INSPIRE].
- [56] S. Ivanov, Heterotic supersymmetry, anomaly cancellation and equations of motion, Phys. Lett. B 685 (2010) 190 [arXiv:0908.2927] [INSPIRE].
- [57] S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, Vanishing theorems and string backgrounds, Class. Quant. Grav. 18 (2001) 1089 [math/0010038] [INSPIRE].
- [58] S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, A no go theorem for string warped compactifications, Phys. Lett. B 497 (2001) 309 [hep-th/0008232] [INSPIRE].
- [59] S. Chiossi and S. Salamon, The intrinsic torsion of SU(3) and G_2 -structures, World Scientific, Singapore (2002).

- [60] L. Ugarte and R. Villacampa, Balanced hermitian geometry on 6-dimensional nilmanifolds, arXiv:1104.5524 [INSPIRE].
- [61] L. Ugarte and R. Villacampa, Non-nilpotent complex geometry of nilmanifolds and heterotic supersymmetry, arXiv:0912.5110 [INSPIRE].
- [62] M. Fernández, A. Tomassini, L. Ugarte and R. Villacampa, *Balanced Hermitian metrics from* SU(2)-structures, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 033507 [INSPIRE].
- [63] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions*. *Volumes I, II and III*, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Melbourne, U.S.A. (1981).
- [64] L.V. Ahlfors, Complex analysis: an introduction of the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable, McGraw-Hill Book Co., U.S.A. (1966).
- [65] M.F. Atiyah and N. Hitchin, *The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles*, M.B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton U.S.A. (1988).