

RECEIVED: October 3, 2022
REVISED: March 23, 2023
ACCEPTED: April 14, 2023
PUBLISHED: May 5, 2023

# Complete NLO operators in the Higgs effective field theory

## Hao Sun, $^{a,b}$ Ming-Lei Xiao $^{c,d}$ and Jiang-Hao Yu $^{a,b,e,f,g}$

- <sup>a</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China
- <sup>b</sup>School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P.R. China
- <sup>c</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, U.S.A.
- <sup>d</sup>High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, U.S.A.
- <sup>e</sup> Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
- f School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China
- $^g$  International Centre for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific, Beijing/Hangzhou, China

E-mail: sunhao@itp.ac.cn, minglei.xiao@northwestern.edu, jhyu@itp.ac.cn

ABSTRACT: We enumerate the complete and independent sets of operators at the next-to-leading order (NLO) in the Higgs effective field theory (HEFT), based on the Young tensor technique on the Lorentz, gauge, and flavor structures. The operator-amplitude correspondence tells a type of operator forms the on-shell amplitude basis, and for operators involved in Nambu-Goldstone bosons, the amplitude basis is further reduced to the subspace satisfying the Adler zero condition in the soft momentum limit. Different from dynamical fields, the spurion should not enter into the Lorentz sector, instead, it only plays the role of forming the SU(2) invariant together with other dynamical fields. With these new treatments, for the first time, we could obtain the 224 (7704) operators for one (three) generation fermions, 295 (11307) with right-handed neutrinos, and find there were 8 (11) terms of operators missing and many redundant operators can be removed in the effective theory without (with) right-handed neutrinos.

Keywords: Chiral Lagrangian, Effective Field Theories, Strongly Interacting Higgs

ARXIV EPRINT: 2206.07722

C	onte	nts			
1	Inti	$\operatorname{oduct}$	ion	1	
<b>2</b>	Ele	ctrowe	eak chiral Lagrangian with light Higgs	4	
	2.1		ing blocks and coset construction	4	
	2.2	Chira	l Lagrangian and power counting	7	
3	HE	10			
	3.1	Opera	ator amplitude correspondence	11	
	3.2	Adler	zero condition and spurions	14	
	3.3	P-bas	sis operator and examples	16	
4	Cor	$_{ m nplete}$	e bosonic operator list at NLO	27	
5	Complete NLO operators involving in fermion				
	5.1	Fermi	ion current operators	30	
		5.1.1	Quark current operators	30	
		5.1.2	Lepton-current operators	31	
	5.2	Four-	fermion operators	32	
		5.2.1	Pure quark operators	32	
		5.2.2	Pure lepton operators	35	
		5.2.3	Mixed quark-lepton operators	36	
		5.2.4	Baryon-number-violating operators	38	
6	Cor	clusio	on	39	
$\mathbf{A}$	Cor	versio	on between 2- and 4-spinors	40	
В	3 Comparison with the literature on NLO operators				
	B.1	Boson	nic operators	41	
	B.2	44			
		B.2.1	Fermion-current operators	45	
		B.2.2	Four-fermion operators	47	
$\mathbf{C}$	On-	shell a	amplitude basis	52	

#### 1 Introduction

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has confirmed the success of the Standard Model (SM) with the discovery of the SM-like Higgs boson and the non-observation of new particles below the TeV scale. The separated scales between the SM particles and new physics suggests that effective field theory (EFT) is quite a suitable framework to parametrize new physics effects based on the SM degrees of freedom. Pioneered by Weinberg [1], starting

from the degrees of freedom at a low energy scale, one writes down the most general possible Lagrangian, including all terms consistent with presumed symmetry principle, with proper power counting rules. In this bottom-up approach, the Wilson coefficients of the effective operators are totally free and independent parameters in which all kinds of Lorentz-invariant new physics effects are encoded and parameterized.

With the assumption that new physics decouples at a high energy scale and the electroweak (EW) symmetry is in the unbroken phase, the standard model effective field theory (SMEFT) is appreciated and widely adapted in the description of the new physics in the EFT framework. In the SMEFT, starting from the fields and symmetries of the SM, one can write down all possible operators order by order according to the canonical dimension power counting. Since Weinberg wrote down the dimension 5 operator [2], lots of progress has been made in writing down complete and independent operators up to the mass dimension 9 in the SMEFT [2–11], the low-energy effective field theory (LEFT) [12–15], the standard model effective field theory with right-handed neutrinos ( $\nu$ SMEFT) and the low-energy effective field theory with right-handed neutrinos ( $\nu$ LEFT) [16–20]. A general algorithm, implemented in a Mathematica package ABC4EFT [21], has been proposed to construct the independent and complete SMEFT operator bases up to any mass dimension.

However, there are still new physics scenarios that cannot be described within the SMEFT framework due to the existence of the non-decoupling effects. In these scenarios, the heavy particles obtain their physical masses predominantly from the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs boson, and thus it is not possible to integrate out the heavy particle in the unbroken phase. On the other hand, since these non-decoupling effects can only be systematically described in the EW broken phase, it is necessary to adapt the non-linear realization of the EW symmetry provided by the electroweak chiral Lagrangian with the light Higgs boson (H-EWChL) [22–27], also known as the Higgs effective field theory (HEFT) [28– 40]. The HEFT provides a more general realization of the EW symmetry breaking, which includes the SMEFT as a particular case [41–46]. The electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) patterns determine the nature of the Higgs boson, such as the fundamental Higgs, Nambu-Goldstone Higgs, Coleman-Weinberg Higgs, and tadpole-induced Higgs [42]. Among all four scenarios, only the elementary Higgs and the Nambu-Goldstone Higgs scenarios can be described within the SMEFT framework, while all four scenarios can be unified into the HEFT framework, in which the Higgs boson belongs to the singlet under the global symmetry.

In the SMEFT the symmetry breaking is manifestly realized under the Higgs mechanism, in which the Higgs boson makes part of an  $SU(2)_L$  doublet together with the three electroweak Nambu-Goldstone fields, and the doublet Higgs is transformed linearly under the EW gauge symmetry. On the other hand, the HEFT does not make any assumption about the nature of the Higgs field and the pattern of the EWSB. The HEFT provides a general description of the EWSB sector, in terms of the three Nambu-Goldstone bosons (NGBs) transformed non-linearly under the EW symmetry, the spurion parametrizing the custodial symmetry breaking, and the observed Higgs boson as an EW singlet field. Inspired by the chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [1, 47, 48] in quantum chromodynamics, the HEFT operators have been written order by order with the power counting [1, 29, 31, 33–

35, 49–52] of the chiral dimensions using the coset structure [53, 54]. The electroweak chiral Lagrangian has been constructed up to the next-to-leading-order (NLO) [28–40], and the one-loop renormalization has been investigated systematically [55–58]. However, writing down the complete operator basis including flavor structure has not yet been finished.

In this work, we revisit the HEFT operator basis and write down the complete list of the effective operators via the Young tensor method developed in refs. [7, 9, 11, 21], with certain improvements on the NGBs and spurion. Compared with the previous works, we need the following new treatments:

- For operators involved in the NGBs, the operator basis written by the Young tensor method needs to satisfy additional constraints from the shift symmetry of the NGBs. Based on the operator-amplitude correspondence, we impose the Adler zero condition on the contact amplitude basis and select the amplitudes satisfying the soft theorem in the soft momentum limit [59–67].
- For operators involved in the spurions, the spurion, as the frozen degree of freedom of dynamical field to parametrize the custodial symmetry breaking, does not enter into the Lorentz sector but plays the role of forming the group invariant under the custodial symmetry together with other SM field degrees of freedom. We remove the spurion from the Lorentz construction but keep the spurion to be involved in the gauge and flavor structure. Different from the dynamical field treatment, we also need to remove the self-contraction of the spurions in effective operators because they do not have physical effects.

With the new treatments on the NGB and spurion, we obtain the complete and independent set of operators for both one-generation and three-generation fermions in the HEFT without (with) the right-handed neutrinos. Comparing with the NLO operators listed in [30, 38, 40], we find that there were 8(11) terms of operators missing, corresponding to 422(665) operators for three generation fermions, in the HEFT without (with) sterile neutrinos, and identify that there were many redundant operators that should be removed. Furthermore, the flavor structure was not considered and properly treated in the literature, while we list the complete NLO operators with the complete flavor structure using the flavor tensor. Finally, we obtain the on-shell amplitude basis for the NLO operators, listed in appendix C, which would be useful for investigating the scattering amplitudes in the HEFT framework.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the essential parts of electroweak chiral Lagrangian: field contents, symmetry, and the power counting rules. Based on the operator-amplitude correspondence, we utilize the Young tensor method to write down the operator basis and impose the Adler zero condition for the operators involved in the NGBs in section 3. In section 4 we list the complete bosonic operators while in section 5 we list the complete NLO operators involved in three-generation fermions. Finally, we conclude in section 6. In appendix A, we summarise the conversion rules between 2-component and 4-component spinors. In appendix B we list the operators comparing with the literature and appendix C shows the complete on-shell amplitude basis.

#### 2 Electroweak chiral Lagrangian with light Higgs

In this section, we lay out the main ingredients of the H-EWChL (HEFT): the particle content (build blocks), the global and local symmetries, and the power-counting rules. We also write down the leading order (LO) Lagrangian and organize the NLO terms based on the power-counting rules.

#### 2.1 Building blocks and coset construction

First let us briefly review the Callan-Coleman-Wess-Zumino (CCWZ) construction [53, 54] for the symmetry breaking pattern  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ , which provides a systematic way to write effective Lagrangians that allow the manifestation of the symmetries of the theory. By the definition of the coset group, any group element of the global symmetry  $\mathfrak{g}[\alpha_A] \in \mathcal{G}$  can be decomposed as the product

$$\mathfrak{g}[\alpha_A] = e^{i\alpha_A T^A} = e^{if_{\hat{a}}[\alpha]\hat{T}^{\hat{a}}} \cdot e^{if_a[\alpha]T^a}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $T^a$  and  $\hat{T}^{\hat{a}}$  are the unbroken and broken generators, respectively, and  $f_{a,\hat{a}}[\alpha] = \alpha_{a,\hat{a}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ . Since the fields along the coset directions are in a one-to-one correspondence with the NGBs, we promote the corresponding parameters to dynamical fields by defining the field matrix

$$\Omega(\Pi) \equiv \exp\left[\frac{i}{2f}\Pi(x)\right], \quad \text{with} \quad \Pi(x) = \Pi_{\hat{a}}T^{\hat{a}}.$$
(2.2)

Let us determine how  $\Omega(\Pi)$  and  $\Pi$  transform under an element of the group  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ . Using the decomposition relation above, under the action of a general element  $\mathfrak{g}[\alpha_A] \in \mathcal{G}$ , the  $\Omega(\Pi)$  transforms

$$\mathfrak{g} \cdot \Omega(\Pi) = \Omega(\Pi^{(\mathfrak{g})}) \cdot \mathfrak{h}(\Pi; \mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{h}(\Pi; \mathfrak{g}) = \exp(i\zeta^a[\Pi; \mathfrak{g}]T^a)$$
 (2.3)

with the compensating transformation  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}$  and equivalently

$$\Omega(\Pi) \to \Omega(\Pi^{(\mathfrak{g})}) = \mathfrak{g}\Omega(\Pi)\mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\Pi;\mathfrak{g}).$$
 (2.4)

In the particular cases of restricting the general transformation  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the subgroup transformation  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}}$ , the above transformation on the Goldstone matrix tells the explicit form of the  $\Pi^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ :

$$\Pi_{\hat{a}} \to \Pi_{\hat{a}}^{(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}})} = \left(e^{i\alpha_a T_\pi^a}\right)_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}} \Pi_{\hat{b}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\Pi; \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\Pi_{\hat{a}} \to \Pi_{\hat{a}}^{(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}})} = \Pi_{\hat{a}} + 2f\alpha_{\hat{a}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha \frac{\Pi^2}{f} + \alpha \frac{\Pi^3}{f^2} + \cdots\right).$$
(2.6)

Thus the NGBs  $\Pi$  transform linearly under the subgroup  $\mathcal{H}$  while non-linearly with the shift symmetry under the coset  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ .

Applying the above general construction to the electroweak symmetry breaking, the chiral symmetry breaking pattern  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R$  to the custodial symmetry  $\mathcal{H} =$ 

 $\mathrm{SU}(2)_V$  [1, 47, 48] is identified and the Goldstone matrix  $\Omega$  can be written in a block-diagonal form

$$\Omega(\Pi) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \xi_L(\Pi) & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_R(\Pi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2v}\phi_I \tau^I\right] & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2v}\phi_I \tau^I\right] \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with } \xi_L(\Pi) = \xi_R(\Pi)^{\dagger} \equiv u(\Pi), \tag{2.7}$$

with the NGBs  $\phi^I$  (I=1,2,3). Identifying  $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{diag}(\mathfrak{g}_L(\alpha_L),\mathfrak{g}_R(\alpha_R))$  along with  $\mathfrak{g}_{L,R}(\alpha_{L,R})=\exp(\frac{i}{2}\alpha_{L,R}^I\tau_I)$ , the Goldstone matrix transformation can be further reduced to

$$\xi_L(\Pi) \to \xi_L(\Pi^{(\mathfrak{g}_L)}) = \mathfrak{g}_L \xi(\Pi) \mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\Pi; \mathfrak{g}_L, \mathfrak{g}_R),$$
 (2.8)

$$\xi_R(\Pi) \to \xi_R(\Pi^{(\mathfrak{g}_R)}) = \mathfrak{g}_R \xi(\Pi) \mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\Pi; \mathfrak{g}_L, \mathfrak{g}_R).$$
 (2.9)

For the symmetric coset<sup>1</sup>, the compensating transformation  $\mathfrak{h}^{-1}(\Pi; \mathfrak{g}_L, \mathfrak{g}_R)$  is the same for the chiral relations since they are related by the automorphism symmetry. Thus combining the two chiral relations would remove the  $\mathfrak{h}$  and obtain a simpler form with the canonical Goldstone matrix

$$\mathbf{U}(\Pi) \equiv \xi_R(\Pi)\xi_L^{\dagger}(\Pi) = u^2(\Pi) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{v}\phi_I \tau^I\right] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_R \mathbf{U}(\Pi)\mathfrak{g}_L^{\dagger}. \tag{2.10}$$

Promoting the global symmetry G to the local one, we introduce the auxiliary  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_R$  matrix gauge fields  $\hat{W}_{\mu}$  and  $\hat{B}_{\mu}$ . The covariant derivative of the **U** is defined as

$$D_{\mu}\mathbf{U} = \partial_{\mu}\mathbf{U} - i\hat{W}^{\mu}\mathbf{U} + i\mathbf{U}\hat{B}^{\mu}, \tag{2.11}$$

where  $\hat{W}^{\mu}$  and  $\hat{B}^{\mu}$  respects the transformation above

$$\hat{W}^{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{L} \hat{W}^{\mu} \mathfrak{g}_{L}^{\dagger} + i \mathfrak{g}_{L} \partial^{\mu} \mathfrak{g}_{L}^{\dagger}, \qquad \hat{B}^{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{R} \hat{B}^{\mu} \mathfrak{g}_{R}^{\dagger} + i \mathfrak{g}_{R} \partial^{\mu} \mathfrak{g}_{R}^{\dagger}, \qquad (2.12)$$

and the field strength tensors

$$\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\hat{W}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\hat{W}_{\mu} - i\left[\hat{W}_{\mu}, \hat{W}_{\nu}\right] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{L}\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}_{L}^{\dagger}, \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\hat{B}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\hat{B}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\hat{B}_{\mu} - i\left[\hat{B}_{\mu}, \hat{B}_{\nu}\right] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{R}\hat{B}_{\mu\nu}\mathfrak{g}_{R}^{\dagger}. \tag{2.14}$$

The gauge fields are incorporated in the same way as the left and right sources in the ChPT, and the SM gauge fields are recovered through the identification

$$\hat{W}^{\mu} = -g\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}\vec{W}^{\mu}, \qquad \hat{B}^{\mu} = -g'\frac{\sigma_3}{2}B^{\mu} = -g'\mathcal{T}_R B^{\mu}, \qquad (2.15)$$

which explicitly breaks the  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry group while preserving the  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetry. Here the right-handed spurion  $\mathcal{T}_R = \frac{\sigma^3}{2}$  is introduced to represent the explicit breaking of custodial symmetry.

$$\mathcal{R}: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_a \to +T_a \\ T_{\hat{a}} \to -T_{\hat{a}} \end{array} \right..$$

For chiral symmetry breaking, the automorphism corresponds to the parity operator, whose eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$  for vector and axial-vector generators, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The coset space  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  is identified to be symmetric if there exists an automorphism or "grading" symmetry  $\mathcal{R}$ , under which the broken generators change sign

The SM fermion multiplets  $\psi_{L,R} = P_{L,R}\psi$  can be incorporated into the SU(2)<sub>L</sub> and SU(2)<sub>R</sub> doublets respectively:<sup>2</sup>

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L Q_L , \qquad Q_R = \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ d_R \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_R Q_R , \qquad (2.16)$$

$$L_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L L_L, \qquad L_R = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ e_R \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_R L_R, \qquad (2.17)$$

and the fermion covariant derivative transformation takes the form  $D_{\mu}\psi_{L,R} \to \mathfrak{g}_{L,R}D_{\mu}\psi_{L,R}$ . Since the right-handed fermions are the  $SU(2)_R$  doublets, the  $U(1)_Y$  symmetry of fermions is promoted to the  $U(1)_X$  symmetry, where X = (B-L)/2 is half of the baryon number B minus the lepton number L. The fermion masses are incorporated through the Yukawa terms that explicitly break the symmetry via the right-handed spurion field

$$v\overline{\psi_L}\mathbf{U}(\Pi)\mathcal{Y}_R\psi_R + h.c. \text{ with } \mathcal{Y}_R \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_R\mathcal{Y}_R\mathfrak{g}_R^{\dagger}.$$
 (2.18)

In terms of the spurion field  $\mathcal{T}_R = \sigma^3/2$ , the Yukawa couplings take the form

$$\mathcal{Y}_{R}^{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(y_{u} + y_{d}) + \mathcal{T}_{R}(y_{u} - y_{d}), \qquad \mathcal{Y}_{R}^{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y_{v} + y_{e}) + \mathcal{T}_{R}(y_{v} - y_{e}), \qquad (2.19)$$

where  $y_v = 0$  if no right-handed neutrinos.

In summary, the following building blocks are introduced to keep the Lagrangian formally invariant under the global symmetry  $\mathcal{G}$  transformation:

$$\mathbf{U}, \quad \psi_L, \quad \psi_R, \quad \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{B}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{G}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{T}_R, \quad \mathcal{Y}_R.$$
 (2.20)

It is also customary to take different building blocks transformed under the unbroken group  $\mathcal{H}$  [33, 34, 39] by dressing the above building blocks with the  $u(\Pi)$ , such that

$$u_{\mu} = iu (D_{\mu}U)^{\dagger} u = -iu^{\dagger}D_{\mu}Uu^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}u_{\mu}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger}$$
 (2.21)

$$f_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} = u^{\dagger} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} u \pm u \hat{B}^{\mu\nu} u^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}} f_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger} \tag{2.22}$$

$$\mathcal{T} = u \mathcal{T}_R u^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger}$$
 (2.23)

$$u^{\dagger}\psi_{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}u^{\dagger}\psi_{L}$$
 (2.24)

$$u\psi_R \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}u\psi_R$$
 (2.25)

$$\mathcal{Y} = u \mathcal{Y}_R u^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{Y} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\dagger}$$
 (2.26)

very similar to the building blocks in the QCD chiral perturbation theory. It is also very convenient to take the form of the building blocks transformed under the group

$$L_R = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ e_R \end{array}\right)$$

would recover the SM spectrum.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{\phantom{a}}^2$ Note that the right-handed neutrinos can be naturally included in the doublet  $L_R$ . Removing right-handed neutrino degree of freedom

 $SU(2)_L$  [28–32, 35–38, 40] such that

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mu}(x) = i\mathbf{U}(x)D_{\mu}\mathbf{U}(x)^{\dagger}, \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{L}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathfrak{g}_{L}^{\dagger}$$
 (2.27)

$$\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \mathfrak{g}_L^{\dagger}$$
 (2.28)

$$\hat{B}_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \hat{B}_{\mu\nu}$$
 (2.29)

$$\hat{B}_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \hat{B}_{\mu\nu} \qquad (2.29)$$

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U}\mathcal{T}_R \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L \mathbf{T} \mathfrak{g}_L^{\dagger} \qquad (2.30)$$

$$\psi_L \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L \psi_L \tag{2.31}$$

$$\mathbf{U}\psi_R \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L \mathbf{U}\psi_R$$
 (2.32)

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{U} \mathcal{Y}_R \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L \mathbf{Y} \mathfrak{g}_L^{\dagger} \tag{2.33}$$

In this work, we would like to adapt the building blocks transforming under the  $SU(2)_L$ symmetry, although different choices would give rise to the equivalent operator set.

#### Chiral Lagrangian and power counting

In terms of the above building blocks, the effective chiral Lagrangian is organized as an infinite series expansion in powers of momenta in the low energy

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{d_{\chi}=2} \mathcal{L}_{d_{\chi}} = \mathcal{L}_{2} + \text{NLO terms} + \text{terms of higher order}, \qquad (2.34)$$

where the effective operators are counted by the chiral dimension  $d_{\chi}$  instead of the canonical dimension  $d_c$ .

The structure of the LO Lagrangian determines the power-counting rules of the electroweak chiral Lagrangian. Let us take the LO Lagrangian of the HEFT as

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^{a}G^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\langle W_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}\rangle - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{s}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}\theta_{s}G_{\mu\nu}^{a}\tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu} 
+ \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}h\partial^{\mu}h - V(h) + \frac{v^{2}}{4}\langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{C}(h) + \frac{v^{2}}{4}\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{T}(h) 
+ i\bar{Q}_{L}\mathcal{D}Q_{L} + i\bar{Q}_{R}\mathcal{D}Q_{R} + i\bar{L}_{L}\mathcal{D}L_{L} + i\bar{L}_{R}\mathcal{D}L_{R} 
- \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{Q}_{L}\mathbf{U}\mathcal{Y}_{Q}(h)Q_{R}) + h.c.) - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{L}_{L}\mathbf{U}\mathcal{Y}_{L}(h)L_{R} + h.c.),$$
(2.35)

where  $\langle ... \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(...)$  represents the SU(2)<sub>L</sub> trace. The first line describes the dynamic terms of the gauge bosons and the theta term, and the second line contains the Higgs dynamic terms, the Higgs potential, the NGBs dynamic term, and the custodial symmetry breaking NGB term. The third and fourth lines contain dynamic terms and the mass terms of fermions. Since the Higgs boson belongs to the singlet under the global symmetry, the Higgs potential should be a polynomial function of the h field that

$$V(h) \sim 1 + a_1 \frac{h}{v} + a_2 \frac{h^2}{v^2} + O\left(\frac{h^3}{v^3}\right).$$
 (2.36)

Note that the canonical dimension is compensated by the electroweak scale v. the dimensionless function  $\mathcal{F}_{C,T}(h)$  of the Higgs field h appearing in the NGBs dynamic term takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}(h) = 1 + b_1 \frac{h}{v} + b_2 \frac{h^2}{v^2} + O\left(\frac{h^3}{v^3}\right). \tag{2.37}$$

This function does not appear in the dynamic terms of fermions and the singlet Higgs h because they can be removed by field redefinition or equation of motion [30].

As in [34, 35, 39], the usual custodial breaking term  $\langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle$  sometimes is classified into the NLO expansion in the chiral expansion since it is not present in the SM at the tree level. However, this would miss certain non-decoupling scenarios within the weak dynamics. It is possible that the custodial symmetry breaking could be triggered by new physics at the electroweak scale, such as the new VEV from the triplet scalar, the tadpole-induced Higgs, etc. Although the custodial breaking effect is limited to be small from the electroweak precision data, to incorporate non-decoupling new physics scenarios into the HEFT, we put it to be at the LO and adapt the standard chiral dimension counting on the spurion fields as shown in the following.<sup>3</sup>

The power-counting scheme of the HEFT is similar to the power-counting rules in the chiral perturbation theory [1, 47, 48], with certain improvements [1, 29, 31, 33–35, 49–51]. To be consistent, we define the LO Lagrangian of the HEFT carries the chiral dimension 2, thus all the building block's chiral dimensions are determined. First the NGBs matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  is determined to be chiral-dimensionless,<sup>4</sup> while the covariant derivative  $D_{\mu}$  carries the chiral dimension 1, thus  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$  is of the chiral dimension 1, which implies that the dynamic term of NGBs carries the chiral dimension 2.

For the gauge bosons, the external gauge sources  $\hat{W}^{\mu}$  and  $\hat{B}^{\mu}$ , appeared in the covariant derivative  $D_{\mu}$ , have the chiral dimension 1, and their corresponding field strength tensors have the chiral dimension 2. Since all the gauge boson masses gv have chiral dimension 1, the gauge coupling constant g, g' also carries the chiral dimension 1 while the gauge boson fields  $W^{\mu}$  and  $B^{\mu}$  are chiral-dimensionless.

The custodial symmetry breaking term is organized into the LO Lagrangian and thus the spurion  $\mathbf{T}$  carries no chiral dimension. The physical Higgs h always appears with the VEV in the denominator as the compensator and thus has no chiral dimension as well, while the fermions have the chiral dimension 1/2. In particular, since the fermion masses yv have chiral dimension 1, the Yukawa couplings  $\mathcal{Y}$  would give rise to the chiral dimension 1.

The power counting rules on the chiral dimension are also consistent with the loop expansion [29, 31, 34, 35, 50]. The above power counting on the gauge and Yukawa couplings implies that

$$\frac{p^2}{16\pi^2\mathbf{v}^2} \sim \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2}, \, \frac{y^2}{(4\pi)^2}, \, \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \ll 1.$$
 (2.38)

A similar argument applies to the case that all the SM gauge bosons and fermions are weakly coupled to a strong sector. Thus the types of operators containing the fermion bilinear  $(\bar{\psi}\psi)^n$  and/or the field strength  $X^nUh$  would arise at NLO where they come with explicit factors of the couplings  $y^n$  and/or  $g^n$ . Each fermion bilinear and each field strength tensor would shift the chiral dimension by 1.

Based on the above, a general term in the HEFT can be denoted by

$$\kappa^{k_i} \psi^{F_i} X^{V_i}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{U} h D^{d_i} , \qquad (2.39)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Adapting the spurion with no chiral dimension would give rise to the operator sets with more LO + NLO operators than the ones with the spurion with chiral dimensions, for example, in refs. [34, 35, 39].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Similar to the Higgs boson, its canonical dimension is compensated by the electroweak scale.

Classes	$\mathcal{N}_{ ext{type}}$	$\mathcal{N}_{ ext{term}}$	$\mathcal{N}_{ ext{operator}}$
$UhD^4$	3+6+0+0	15	15
$X^2Uh$	6+4+0+0	10	10
$XUhD^2$	2+6+0+0	8	8
$X^3$	4+2+0+0	6	6
$\psi^2 UhD$	4+8+0+0	13(16)	$13n_f^2  (16n_f^2)$
$\psi^2 U h D^2$	6+10+0+0	60(80)	$60n_f^2  (80n_f^2)$
$\psi^2 U h X$	7 + 7 + 0 + 0	22(28)	$22n_f^2  (28n_f^2)$
$\psi^4$	12 + 24 + 4 + 8	117(160)	$\frac{1}{4}n_f^2(31 - 6n_f + 335n_f^2)  (n_f^2(9 - 2n_f + 125n_f^2))$
Total	123	261(313)	$\frac{335n_f^4}{4} - \frac{3n_f^3}{2} + \frac{411n_f^2}{4} + 39  (39 + 133n_f^2 - 2n_f^2 - 2n_f^3 + 125n_f^4)$
			$\mathcal{N}_{\text{operatrs}}(n_f = 1) = 224(295),  \mathcal{N}_{\text{operatrs}}(n_f = 3) = 7704(11307)$

**Table 1.** We present the complete statistics of the NLO operators. The types of operators are separated into four categories  $(C-B, \not C-B, B-\not C, \not C-B)$ . The numbers of terms and operators are also listed for the SM without (with) the right-handed sterile neutrinos.

$\psi^4$	sub-types	Number	$n_f = 1$	$n_f = 3$
$(\overline{L}L)^2$	$L_L^{\dagger \ 2} L_R^{\ 2} + h.c.:  n_f^{\ 2} (n_f^{\ 2} + 1)$ $L_L^{\ 2} L_L^{\dagger \ 2}:  \frac{1}{2} n_f^{\ 2} (3n_f^{\ 2} + 2n_f + 1)$ $L_R^{\ 2} L_R^{\dagger \ 2}:  \frac{1}{4} n_f^{\ 2} (n_f^{\ 2} + 2n_f + 1)$ $L_L L_L^{\dagger} L_R L_R^{\dagger}:  2n_f^{\ 4}$	$\frac{1}{4}n_f^2(19n_f^2 + 6n_f + 7)$	8	441
$(\overline{L}L)(\overline{Q}Q)$	$Q_{L}^{\dagger}Q_{R}L_{L}^{\dagger}L_{R} + h.c.:  12n_{f}^{4}$ $Q_{L}^{\dagger}Q_{L}L_{L}^{\dagger}L_{L}:  6n_{f}^{4}$ $Q_{R}^{\dagger}Q_{R}L_{L}^{\dagger}L_{L}:  6n_{f}^{4}$ $Q_{L}^{\dagger}Q_{L}L_{R}^{\dagger}L_{R}:  2n_{f}^{4}$ $Q_{R}^{\dagger}Q_{R}L_{R}^{\dagger}L_{R}:  2n_{f}^{4}$ $Q_{L}^{\dagger}Q_{R}L_{L}^{\dagger}L_{R} + h.c.:  6n_{f}^{4}$	$34n_f{}^4$	34	2754
$(\overline{Q}Q)^2$	$Q_L^{\dagger 2}Q_R^2 + h.c.:  4n_f^2(3n_f^2 + 1)$ $Q_L^{\dagger 2}Q_L^2:  n_f^2(3n_f + 1)$ $Q_R^{\dagger 2}Q_R^2:  n_f^2(3n_f + 1)$ $Q_L^{\dagger}Q_LQ_R^{\dagger}Q_R:  12n_f^4$	$n_f^2(30n_f^2+6)$	36	2484
$Q^3L$	$L_L Q_L^3 + h.c.$ : $4n_f^4$ $L_L Q_L Q_R^2 + h.c.$ : $2n_f^3 (3n_f - 1)$ $L_R Q_R Q_L^2 + h.c.$ : $n_f^3 (3n_f - 1)$ $L_R Q_R^3 + h.c.$ : $2n_f^4$	$n_f^2 (15n_f^2 - 3n_f)$	12	1134

**Table 2**. The numbers of the independent operators in class  $\psi^4$  without right-handed neutrino in detail, where  $(\overline{L}L)^2$  is the pure lepton sector,  $(\overline{L}L)(\overline{Q}Q)$  is the mixed quark-lepton sector,  $(\overline{Q}Q)^2$  is the pure quark sector, and  $Q^3L$  is the baryon-number-violating sector.

where there are a fixed number  $k_i$  of the gauge or Yukawa couplings  $\kappa$ ,  $F_i$  fermion fields  $\psi$ ,  $V_i$  field-strength tensor  $X_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $d_i$  covariant derivatives D, and an arbitrary number of both the NGBs U and the Higgs boson h. The total chiral dimension of the term determines the loop order  $L_i$ 

$$d_{\chi} + d_i + k_i + \frac{F_i}{2} + V_i = 2L_i + 2. \tag{2.40}$$

In this work, we focus on the NLO terms, which include effective operators with the chiral dimension 4. We classify them into the bosonic sector and the fermionic sector, with the operator types of each<sup>5</sup>

boson sector: 
$$UhD^4$$
,  $X^2Uh$ ,  $XUhD^2$ ,  $X^3$ , (2.41)

fermion sector: 
$$\psi^2 UhD$$
,  $\psi^2 UhD^2$ ,  $\psi^2 UhX$ ,  $\psi^4$ . (2.42)

Operators of each type are presented in section 4 and section 5, with three generation fermions, included. Based on the custodial symmetry and the baryon number symmetry, the types of operators can be further separated into four categories:

- custodial symmetry preserving (C), baryon number preserving (B);
- custodial symmetry violating  $(\mathcal{C})$ , baryon number preserving (B);
- custodial symmetry preserving (C), baryon number violating (B);
- custodial symmetry violating (♥), baryon number violating (₱).

Furthermore, we also list the operators without (with) the right-handed sterile neutrinos. The numbers of operators in each type are listed in table 1. The specific operators are listed in section 4 and section 5, of which the comparison with the operators in [30, 38, 40] is presented in appendix B, and the on-shell amplitude form of these operators are given in appendix C. Although the one-flavor operators has been presented in literature such as [28–40], there are still several operators missing as discussed below. In particular, the numbers of the independent operators in the four-fermion sector without right-handed neutrino are presented in table 2, which, together with the numbers of other classes in table 1, are consistent with the  $U(1)_X$ -conserving result counted by the Hilbert series in refs. [68, 69].

#### 3 HEFT operator bases

Based on the section 2, we obtain the building blocks and their group representations under the Lorentz and internal symmetries as shown in table 3. With these building blocks, we can utilize the group theoretic techniques developed in refs. [7, 9, 11, 21], the so-called Young tensor method, to write down the Lorentz, gauge, and flavor structures of the EFT operators. At the same time, if an operator involves in the NGBs, the building block  $\mathbf{V}^{\mu}$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The class of triple gauge bosons is of the chiral dimension 6 according to the power-counting rules presented in this subsection, but it is presented here for the convenience of comparing with other literature.

building blocks	spinor-helicity	Lorentz group	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$SU(3)_C$	$d_{\chi}$
$L_L$	$L_{L\alpha}$	$(\frac{1}{2},0)$	Fundamental	Singlet	$\frac{1}{2}$
$L_R$	$L_R{}^{\dot{lpha}}$	$(0,\frac{1}{2})$	Fundamental	Singlet	$\frac{1}{2}$
$Q_L$	$Q_{L_{lpha}}$	$(\frac{1}{2},0)$	Fundamental	Fundamental	$\frac{1}{2}$
$Q_R$	$Q_R{}^{\dot{lpha}}$	$(0,\frac{1}{2})$	Fundamental	Fundamental	$\frac{1}{2}$
$W_L$	$W_{L}{}^{I}_{lphaeta} au^{I}$	(1,0)	Adjoint	Singlet	1
$W_R$	$W_R{}^{I\dot{lpha}\dot{eta}} au^I$	(0,1)	Adjoint	Singlet	1
$G_L$	$G_{Llphaeta}$	(1,0)	Singlet	Adjoint	1
$G_R$	$G_R{}^{\dot{lpha}\dot{eta}}$	(0,1)	Singlet	Adjoint	1
$B_L$	$B_{L_{lphaeta}}$	(1,0)	Singlet	Singlet	1
$B_R$	$B_R{}^{\dot{lpha}\dot{eta}}$	(0,1)	Singlet	Singlet	1
$\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \sim D^{\mu} \Pi$	$(D\phi^I)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta}\tau^I$	$(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$	Adjoint	Singlet	1
$D^{\mu}$	$D_{lpha\dot{eta}}$	$(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$	Singlet	Singlet	1
T	$\mathbf{T}^T au^I$	(0,0)	Adjoint	Singlet	0

Table 3. The building blocks of the HEFT and their group representations under the Lorentz and internal symmetries. From the soft recursion relation of the NGBs, the building block  $V^{\mu}$  can be replaced by the linearized one  $D^{\mu}\Pi$ .

the such operator should satisfy an additional condition on the Lorentz structure: the shift symmetry in eq. (2.6), correspondingly, the Adler zero condition on the contact on-shell amplitude. Let us expand the nonlinear field  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$  as

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mu} = i\mathbf{U}D_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{v} \left[ D_{\mu}\Pi + \mathcal{O}\left(D_{\mu}\Pi \cdot \frac{\Pi^{2}}{v^{2}}\right) \right], \tag{3.1}$$

from which we see that the leading term  $D_{\mu}\Pi$  would also respect the shift symmetry. From the soft recursion relation for the NGBs [63–66], operators involving in  $D_{\mu}\Pi$  could recover all physical effects for the one involving in  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$ . Thus from the on-shell point of view, the two descriptions should be equivalent. Therefore, in this section, we would like to use the  $D_{\mu}\Pi$  as the building block and build the one-to-one correspondence between them in the operator

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mu} \leftrightarrow D_{\mu} \Pi \equiv D_{\mu} \phi^{I} \tau^{I} \,, \tag{3.2}$$

and from now on we use the indice I, J to denote the SU(2) indices. The operator involved in  $D_{\mu}\Pi$  would also respect the Adler zero condition. In the following, we will simply review this Young tensor method and present some examples of the construction of the HEFT operators.

#### 3.1 Operator amplitude correspondence

An effective operator can be decomposed into the Lorentz, gauge, and flavor structures, each of which should be the singlet of the Lorentz group and the gauge groups. All the independent Lorentz (gauge) structures form a basis of a linear space, called the Lorentz (gauge) basis.

There exists a correspondence relation between the effective operators and the on-shell amplitudes. To see that, considering a general building block composed of a field of any helicity  $\Phi$  and a number of derivatives D, it takes the form that

$$(D^{r-|h|}\Phi)_{\alpha^{(1)}\dots\alpha^{(r-h)}\dot{\alpha}^{(1)}\dots\dot{\alpha}^{(\alpha+h)}} \equiv \begin{cases} D_{\alpha^{(1)}\dot{\alpha}^{(1)}}\dots D_{\alpha^{(r+h)}\dot{\alpha}^{(r+h)}}\Phi_{\alpha^{(r+h+1)}\dots\alpha^{(r-h)}}, & h < 0 \\ D_{\alpha^{(1)}\dot{\alpha}^{(1)}}\dots D_{\alpha^{(r+h)}\dot{\alpha}^{(r+h)}}\Phi_{\dot{\alpha}^{(r-h+1)}\dots\dot{\alpha}^{(r+h)}}, & h > 0 \end{cases},$$
(3.3)

where h is the helicity of the particle and r is the half number of the spinor indices of this building block, by which the number of derivative  $n_D = r - |h|$  is completely determined. Since all operators are Lorentz scalars, all the spinor indices appearing in the effective operators should be contracted by the tensor  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  or  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ . The numbers of needed  $\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon}$  are n = (r - h)/2 and  $\tilde{n} = (r + h)/2$ , respectively. Thus the Lorentz part of a general effective operator involving several building blocks such as that in eq. (3.3) takes the form that

$$\mathcal{O} = \epsilon^{\otimes n} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\otimes \tilde{n}} \prod_{i} (D^{r_i - |h_i|}) \Phi_i. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, a general light-like momentum can be expressed using the spinor helicity formalism as  $p_i^{\mu} = \lambda_i^{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^{\mu} \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}}$  up to a U(1) little group transformation:

$$\lambda_i \to e^{-i\varphi/2}\lambda_i, \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_i \to e^{i\varphi/2}\tilde{\lambda}_i,$$
 (3.5)

where  $\varphi$  denotes the U(1) phase. Under this transformation, amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}$  transforms as  $\mathcal{M} \to e^{ih_i\varphi}\mathcal{M}$  for the *i*-th particle. Thus a massless particle of helicity  $h_i$  contributes the amplitude a factor  $\lambda_i^{r_i-h_i}\tilde{\lambda}_i^{r_i+h_i}$ , where  $r_i$  is the half number of the spinor indices in the factor. Similarly, all spinor indices should be contracted by the  $\epsilon/\tilde{\epsilon}$ , and the numbers of them are the same with  $n/\tilde{n}$  mentioned previously. Making the following identification,

$$\lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_{j\alpha} = \langle ij \rangle, \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_{i\dot{\beta}} \tilde{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}} = [ij],$$
 (3.6)

we obtain that an amplitude involving in several particle  $\Phi_i$  with the helicity  $h_i$  takes the form that

$$\mathcal{M} = \underbrace{\prod \langle ij \rangle}_{n} \underbrace{\prod [kl]}_{\tilde{n}} = \epsilon^{\otimes n} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\otimes \tilde{n}} \prod \lambda_{i}^{r_{i} - h_{i}} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{r_{i} + h_{i}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Compared with eq. (3.4), it is natural to make the following translations,

$$\lambda_{i}^{r_{i}\pm1}\tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{r_{I}\mp1} \Leftrightarrow D^{r_{i}-1}X_{L/R_{i}},$$

$$\lambda_{i}^{r_{i}\pm1/2}\tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{r_{i}\mp1/2} \Leftrightarrow D^{r_{i}-1/2}\psi_{i}^{(\dagger)},$$

$$\lambda_{i}^{r_{i}}\tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{r_{i}} \Leftrightarrow D^{r_{i}}\phi_{i},$$
(3.8)

which provides the correspondence between the Lorentz part of the effective operators to contact on-shell amplitudes.

One advantage of this operator-amplitude correspondence is that the on-shell reduction techniques of the spinor helicity can be utilized to further eliminate the redundancies other than the equation of motion, such as the momentum conservation

$$\sum_{i} \langle li \rangle [ik] = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

as discussed in [7]. After the removal of all kinds of redundancies, the remaining independent Lorentz structures can be related to a set of so-called semi-standard Young tableaux (SSYTs). The shape of these Young tableaux shapes is determined by the field content of each type:

where N is the total number of fields. Such Young diagrams are called the primary Young diagrams and filling in numbers in such diagrams tells the independent Lorentz structures in each type of operators [7, 9, 11, 21, 70, 71]. The filling of the primary Young diagrams follows the rule that numbers in every column increase and in every row weakly increase, and the number of each particle index is determined by

$$#i = \frac{1}{2}n_D + \sum_{h_i > 0} (|h_i| - 2h_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots N,$$
(3.11)

where  $n_D$  is the number of derivatives of this type.

In practice, the above process is reversed to construct independent Lorentz structures: given a type of operator, we can directly fill in the primary Young diagram following the rule given above to get SSYTs, by which the independent and complete Lorentz basis is obtained, called the y-basis. The construction is discussed in detail in [21]. The gauge structure also forms the gauge y-basis, which can be constructed by the similar Young tableau method, as discussed in [21]. Combining the Lorentz and gauge basis together, the general form of an effective operator is

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T} \bigotimes_{n} \epsilon \bigotimes_{\tilde{n}} \tilde{\epsilon} \prod_{i} (D^{r_{i} - h_{i}}) \Phi_{i}, \qquad (3.12)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}$  denotes the gauge factor and the rest corresponds to the on-shell amplitude as shown in eq. (3.4). The y-basis by filling the primary Young diagrams are usually polynomials. For convenience, the m-basis is introduced by a non-singular linear transformation of the y-basis [21]. In the m-basis, both the Lorentz and gauge structures are monomials, which constitute the monomial form of an operator.

Besides, the correspondence between fields and spinors implies that all fermions in this work are represented by Weyl spinors  $\psi$ , and the relation between them and Dirac spinors  $\Psi$  is that

$$\Psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{\Psi}_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0, \psi_L^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{\Psi}_R = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_R^{\dagger}, 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.13)

The transformation of bilinears between different forms of spinors can be found in appendix A or ref. [9].

#### 3.2 Adler zero condition and spurions

The above procedure on the operator construction is suitable for the generic Lorentz-invariant EFT with arbitrary internal symmetry up to arbitrary mass dimension. However, in the exceptional EFTs [72], such as the theory containing the Goldstone boson and/or spurion, additional treatment on the Lorentz basis is needed. As mentioned at the beginning of this section, the building block  $\mathbf{V}^{\mu}$  in the HEFT indicates the Goldstone nature of the longitudinal gauge bosons, satisfying the shift symmetry due to the existence of derivative in the such building block. Similarly, the building block  $D_{\mu}\phi$  in effective operators respects the shift symmetry. From the operator amplitude correspondence, an operator involving the Goldstone boson corresponds to the on-shell amplitude satisfying the Adler zero condition in the soft momentum limit [59–66]. The Adler zero condition [59, 60] states that the on-shell scattering amplitudes containing the NGBs must vanish when one external momentum p of the NGBs is taken to be soft

$$\mathcal{M}(p) \sim p \quad \text{for} \quad p \to 0.$$
 (3.14)

Although this condition is trivially satisfied in the HEFT operator basis with the building block  $V^{\mu}$  and/or  $D_{\mu}\phi$ , the on-shell amplitude basis obtained in the above subsection does not automatically satisfy this condition, and thus we need to impose the Adler zero condition explicitly in the Lorentz sector for the on-shell amplitude involving in the Goldstone bosons. There are attempts [66, 67] to enumerate independent operators in the chiral perturbation theory via imposing Adler zero condition on the corresponding amplitude basis for NGBs. In particular, the procedure in ref. [67] could be applied to on-shell amplitudes involving external sources such as fermions and gauge bosons in the HEFT. In the following, we present the procedure of imposing the Adler zero condition which is also shown in ref. [67].

Let us consider a type of operator with N particles, including at least one NGB. Based on the Young tensor method above, the Lorentz basis can be expressed as the N-point on-shell amplitudes  $\{\mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}, i=1,2,\ldots d_N\}$ , where  $d_N$  is the dimension of this Lorentz basis. In terms of such basis, any general amplitude takes the form that

$$\mathcal{M}^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_N} c_i \mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $c_i$  are coefficients in the  $\mathcal{B}$  basis. If this amplitude satisfies the Adler zero condition, it vanishes when an external pion momentum  $p_{\pi}$  becomes soft:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(N)}(p_{\pi} \to 0) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{d_N} c_i \mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}(p_{\pi} \to 0). \tag{3.16}$$

Here  $\mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}(p_\pi \to 0)$  becomes (N-1)-point on-shell amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_i^{(N-1)} \equiv \mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}(p_\pi \to 0)$ , which are generally redundant in the Lorentz basis without the pion particle. Defining the Lorentz basis of the (N-1)-point amplitudes  $\{\mathcal{B}_i^{(N-1)}, i=1,2,\ldots d_{N-1}\}$ , where  $d_{N-1}$  is the dimension of this Lorentz basis, the amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_i^{(N-1)}$  can be expanded by this complete and independent basis,

$$\mathcal{M}_{i}^{(N-1)} \equiv \mathcal{B}_{i}^{(N)}(p_{\pi} \to 0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{N-1}} b_{ij} \mathcal{B}_{j}^{(N-1)}.$$
 (3.17)

Furthermore, since any (N-1)-point amplitudes can be decomposed using the N-point basis  $\{\mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}, i=1,2,\ldots d_N\}$ , we can expand the N-1-point basis  $\mathcal{B}_i^{(N-1)}$  as

$$\mathcal{B}_{j}^{(N-1)} = \sum_{l=1}^{d_{N}} f_{jl} \mathcal{B}_{l}^{(N)}.$$
(3.18)

Using the eq. (3.17) and eq. (3.18), the Adler zero condition in eq. (3.16) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{d_N} c_i \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d_{N-1}} b_{ij} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{d_N} f_{jl} \mathcal{B}_l^{(N)} \right) \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{d_N} \left( \sum_{l=i}^{d_N} c_i \mathcal{K}_{il} \right) \mathcal{B}_l^{(N)}, \tag{3.19}$$

where we identify

$$\mathcal{K}_{il} = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{N-1}} b_{ij} f_{jl} \tag{3.20}$$

is the product of the expansion matrice  $b_{ij}$  and  $f_{jl}$  in the eq. (3.17) and eq. (3.18), respectively. Since the basis  $\{\mathcal{B}_i^{(N)}, i=1,2,\ldots d_N\}$  are independent, this equation holds only if all the coefficients vanish,

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{d_N} c_i \mathcal{K}_{ij}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots d_N).$$
 (3.21)

This is a system of linear equations about  $c_i$ , whose solutions span the subspace satisfying the Adler zero condition, which constitutes the amplitude basis with Goldstone bosons. In sum, for a type of operator, we first treat the NGBs as ordinary scalars and write down all the relevant on-shell amplitudes, then impose the Adler zero condition on such amplitudes to eliminate the unwanted Lorentz structures, and thus obtain the Lorentz basis.

Besides, the spurions are introduced to describe the symmetry breaking, in this case, the breaking of the custodial/weak SU(2) symmetry. They are treated as vacuum expectation values of a dynamical degree of freedom and thus do not enter the Lorentz sector. As mentioned previously, we are using the only spurion  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U}\mathcal{T}_R\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}$  in the adjoint representation 3 of the SU(2) as the source of symmetry breaking. The spurions and the dynamical fields, such as the SM fields in this case, together form an SU(2) singlet operator, in which one should prevent any self-contracted singlet factor that only consists of the spurions because they do not have physical effects. For example, consider an operator with two spurions, which form a  $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3}$  tensor that decomposes

$$\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J} = \mathbf{T}^{2}\delta^{IJ} + \mathbf{T}^{[I}\mathbf{T}^{J]} + \mathbf{T}^{(I}\mathbf{T}^{J)},$$
  

$$\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{5}.$$
(3.22)

The first term should be neglected since the factor  $\mathbf{T}^2$  is just an irrelevant constant although it is symmetric for the two spurions. The second term vanishes due to the anti-symmetry behavior of the two spurions,  $^6$  which will be carefully treated in the next section. Therefore,

$$\epsilon^{IJK}\mathbf{T}^I\mathbf{T}^JA^K=\mathbf{Tr}(\mathbf{TT}A)=\mathbf{Tr}(\mathbf{U}\frac{\sigma^3}{2}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U}\frac{\sigma^3}{2}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}A)=\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{Tr}(A)=0\,,$$

where A is any building block of the adjoint representation of the SU(2) group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This can also be seen from the identification

the only non-trivial term is the symmetric traceless tensor, indicating the dynamical fields form a 5 multiplet of the broken SU(2) group. Indeed, in the decomposition of the SU(2) adjoint representation product, for any number of the spurions, only the highest weight combination should be considered, which we pick out using the technique of the gauge j-basis developed in ref. [73].

#### 3.3 P-basis operator and examples

The above discussion does not consider the operators involved in identical particles. Considering a type of operator containing n identical particles  $\phi$  with the flavor number  $n_f$ , we identify the operator as the n-rank tensor under the flavor group  $\bigotimes_n SU(n_f)$ . Based on the Schur-Weyl theorem, the representation space of this group can be divided into the subspaces furnishing the irreducible representations of the symmetry group  $S_n$ . Thus we re-organized the y-basis or m-basis to a new basis, in which operators satisfy the specific permutation symmetry, thus are called the p-basis. If the p-basis contain operators with the mixed flavor symmetry such as  $\square$ , the irreducible subspace of  $\bigotimes_n SU(n_f)$  marked by this Young diagram has multiplicity equal to the dimension of the irreducible representation of symmetry group  $S_n$  presented by the same Young diagram. It can be proved that these irreducible subspaces are isomorphic to each other [21], and only one of them needs to be reserved. After this, the remaining operators form the so-called f-basis or p'-basis.

Here, we will illustrate the construction of the Lorentz basis, gauge basis, and the conversion among various bases using several examples. The first one is the type  $\phi^2 h^2 D^4 \mathbf{T}^2$ , which is of the class  $UhD^4$ . There are 6 particles  $h, h, \phi, \phi, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}$ , labeled by indices 1-6 respectively, and 4 derivatives in this type, among which, the 2 Higgs, 2 NGBs and 2 spurions are identical particles.

As mentioned in the previous subsection, we neglect the spurions **T**'s from the type during the construction of the Lorentz basis, and thus the particles considered in the y-basis construction are  $h, h, \phi, \phi$ , in which the last two must satisfy the Adler zero condition. It is straightforward to get that  $n = \tilde{n} = 2$ , thus the primary Young diagram of this type takes the form that

$$\boxed{ \qquad \qquad } \tag{3.23}$$

The numbers of the 4 indices are the same, #i = 2, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, thus there are only 3 SSYTs that

whose corresponding amplitude in the y-basis is

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(y)} = \langle 34 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{(y)} = \langle 24 \rangle^{2} [24]^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{3}^{(y)} = -\langle 24 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle [24] [34].$$
(3.25)

The Adler zero condition tells that when the momenta  $p_3, p_4$  go to zero, the amplitudes become zero in the soft momentum limit. We check that all the 3 bases above satisfy that because the Adler zero condition makes the 4-point amplitudes become 2-point amplitudes, which are all zero.

The Y-basis can be translated to the operator form via the amplitude-operator correspondences,

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(y)} = 4(D_{\nu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi)(D^{\mu}\phi), 
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{(y)} = 4(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi)(D_{\nu}\phi), 
\mathcal{B}_{3}^{(y)} = 4(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi)(D_{\nu}\phi) + 4(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi)(D_{\nu}\phi),$$
(3.26)

from which we can construct the Lorentz m-basis

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi)(D_{\nu}\phi)\mathbf{TT}, 
\mathcal{B}_{2}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi)(D_{\nu}\phi)\mathbf{TT}, 
\mathcal{B}_{3}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi)(D_{\nu}\phi)\mathbf{TT},$$
(3.27)

with the transformation matrix

$$\mathcal{K}^{(my)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.28}$$

where the spurions **T** have been multiplied back to be consistent with the gauge basis we are going to deal with in the following, and to form the ultimate tensor product space of the Lorentz and gauge structure.

The gauge basis is simple in this type. The SU(3) structure is trivial. There are only 3 SSYTs of SU(2) that

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} i_3 & j_3 & i_4 & j_4 \\ i_5 & j_5 & i_6 & j_6 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i_5 & j_5 \\ i_4 & j_4 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \frac{\begin{vmatrix} i_3 & j_3 & i_5 & j_5 \\ i_4 & j_4 & i_6 & j_6 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i_4 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_4 & j_5 & i_6 & j_6 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \frac{\begin{vmatrix} i_3 & j_3 & i_4 & i_5 \\ j_4 & j_5 & i_6 & j_6 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i_4 & j_5 & i_6 & j_6 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad (3.29)$$

which corresponds to the gauge y-basis

$$\mathcal{T}_{(SU(2),1}^{(y)} = \epsilon^{i_3i_5} \epsilon^{j_3j_5} \epsilon^{i_4i_6} \epsilon^{j_4j_6} \tau^{I_3}{}^{l_3}{}^{l_3} \epsilon_{l_3i_3} \tau^{I_4}{}^{l_4}{}^{l_4} \epsilon_{l_4i_4} \tau^{I_5}{}^{l_5}{}^{l_5} \epsilon_{l_5i_5} \tau^{I_6}{}^{l_6}{}^{l_6} \epsilon_{l_6i_6} 
= 4\delta^{I_3I_5} \delta^{I_4I_6}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),2}^{(y)} = \epsilon^{i_3i_4} \epsilon^{j_3j_4} \epsilon^{i_5i_6} \epsilon^{j_5j_6} \tau^{I_3}{}^{l_3}{}^{l_3} \epsilon_{l_3i_3} \tau^{I_4}{}^{l_4}{}^{l_4} \epsilon_{l_4i_4} \tau^{I_5}{}^{l_5}{}^{l_5} \epsilon_{l_5i_5} \tau^{I_6}{}^{l_6}{}^{l_6} \epsilon_{l_6i_6} 
= 4\delta^{I_3I_4} \delta^{I_5I_6}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),3}^{(y)} = \epsilon^{i_3j_4} \epsilon^{j_3j_5} \epsilon^{i_4i_6} \epsilon^{i_5j_6} \tau^{I_3}{}^{l_3}{}^{l_3} \epsilon_{l_3i_3} \tau^{I_4}{}^{l_4} \epsilon_{l_4i_4} \tau^{I_5}{}^{l_5} \epsilon_{l_5i_5} \tau^{I_6}{}^{l_6} \epsilon_{l_6i_6} 
= -2\delta^{I_3I_6} \delta^{I_4I_5} + 2\delta^{I_3I_5} \delta^{I_4I_6}.$$
(3.30)

The m-basis is chosen to be

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),1}^{(m)} = \delta^{I_3 I_5} \delta^{I_4 I_6}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),2}^{(m)} = \delta^{I_3 I_4} \delta^{I_5 I_6}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),3}^{(m)} = \delta^{I_3 I_6} \delta^{I_4 I_5}, \quad (3.31)$$

with the transformation matrix

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(my)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0\\ \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.32}$$

Because of identical spurions in this operator, the m-basis in eq. (3.31) should be further reduced to remove the self-contraction of the two spurions. This is performed using the gauge j-basis decomposition of the two spurions:  $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{5}$ . Picking up the basis with the gauge quantum number  $\mathbf{5}$  would select the subspace with the highest weight of the  $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$  gauge basis,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(j)} = -3\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),1}^{(m)} + 2\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),2}^{(m)} - 3\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),3}^{(m)} = -3\delta^{I_3I_5}\delta^{I_4I_6} + 2\delta^{I_3I_4}\delta^{I_5I_6} - 3\delta^{I_3I_6}\delta^{I_4I_5}, \ (3.33)$$

which is dimension 1 and, for convenience, is denoted by the j-basis of the spurions.

The tensor product of the Lorentz and gauge m-basis (3.26) and (3.31) gives all the independent operators that

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{5}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{6}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{I}, 
\mathcal{O}_{8}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{I}, 
\mathcal{O}_{6}^{(m)} = (D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{I}.$$
(3.34)

which is the m-basis of the operators. Due to the repeated fields, we need to convert them to the physical basis, the p-basis. Furthermore, it is obvious that not all operators above are independent, for example, the first 3 and the last 3 operators are actually the same, since the permutation of spurions does not change anything, and the operators  $\mathcal{O}_{4-6}^{(m)}$  contain spurion self-contractions and should be eliminated. Thus, we need to take the tensor product of Lorentz m-basis (3.26) and gauge j-basis (3.33) to get the operator j-basis with the spurion self-contraction removed,

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(j)} = -3(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J} + 2(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J} 
-3(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{I}, 
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(j)} = -3(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J} + 2(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J} 
-3(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{I}, 
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{(j)} = -3(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J} + 2(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J} 
-3(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{I}.$$
(3.35)

To obtain the p-basis, we need the generators of the  $S_2$  group, which characterizes the permutation properties of all repeated fields  $h, \phi, \mathbf{T}$ . By the way, the two generators of  $S_2$  are identical, we present one of them in the rest of this paper. In the Lorentz y-basis, the

generators of the  $S_2(h)$  and  $S_2(\phi)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(h)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(\phi)$ , respectively, can be obtained by permuting the y-basis (3.25), which takes the form that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(h) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}[(1,2)] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(\phi) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}[(3,4)] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.36)$$

while these generators after transforming to the Lorentz m-basis are

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(h) = \mathcal{K}^{(my)} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(h) \mathcal{K}^{(my)^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(\phi) = \mathcal{K}^{(my)} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(\phi) \mathcal{K}^{(my)^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.37)

and since the spurion does not carry Lorentz structure, the generator of the  $S_2(\mathbf{T})$  takes

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{I}_{3\times 3}.$$
(3.38)

As for the SU(2) structure, the generators  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(h)$  is the identity matrix  $\mathbf{I}_{3\times3}$ , since h is the SU(2) singlet, while the others  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(\phi), \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T})$  can be obtained by permuting the gauge m-basis eq. (3.31) directly,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(\phi) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}[(3,4)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}[(5,6)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.39}$$

At the same time, the generators in the 1-dimension j-basis in eq. (3.33) are just identities,

$$\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(h) = \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\phi) = \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\mathbf{T}) = 1. \tag{3.40}$$

The complete generators of the operator m-basis in eq. (3.34) are

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.43)

while those of the j-basis in eq. (3.35) are just the generators of Lorentz m-basis,

$$\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(h) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(h), \qquad \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\phi) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(\phi), \qquad \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}(\mathbf{T}). \tag{3.44}$$

In this type all particles carry no flavor number, thus only the symmetrical representations  $\square$  in the flavor structure are physical. The idempotent element  $\mathcal{Y}[12,34,56]$  of this operators m-basis in eq. (3.34) takes the form that

$$\mathcal{Y}[12,34,56] = \mathcal{Y}[12]\mathcal{Y}[34]\mathcal{Y}[56] 
= (\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} + \mathcal{D}^{(m)}(h))(\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} + \mathcal{D}^{(m)}(\phi))(\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} + \mathcal{D}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T})) 
= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ -4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -8 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.45)

This idempotent element has rank 4, thus this subspace has dimension 4. It is actually arbitrary to choose the 4 independent operators, in this example, we prefer choosing independent operators projected by the 1st, 2nd, 4th, and 5th rows in the idempotent

element because they are monomials by coincidence,

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(p)} = 4\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(m)} + 4\mathcal{O}_{7}^{(m)} = 8(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(p)} = 4\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(m)} + 4\mathcal{O}_{8}^{(m)} = 8(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{(p)} = 8\mathcal{O}_{4}^{(m)} = 8(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{(p)} = 8\mathcal{O}_{5}^{(m)} = 8(D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{J}.$$
(3.46)

However, the last 2 contain spurion self-contractions, thus should be eliminated. This elimination can be seen if we directly use the generator of the operator j-basis in eq. (3.44) to calculate the idempotent element of them

$$\mathcal{Y}'[\underline{12},\underline{34},\underline{56}] = \mathcal{Y}'[\underline{12}]\mathcal{Y}'[\underline{34}]\mathcal{Y}'[\underline{56}] = (\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} + \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(h))(\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} + \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\phi))(\mathbf{I}_{3\times3} + \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\mathbf{T}))$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ -4 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.47}$$

which is of rank 2, thus there are only 2 independent operators. Though it is arbitrary to choose these 2 operators from the j-basis, we choose  $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}^{(j)}$  here and also denote them as the final p-basis

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}[12, 34, 56](D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)h(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}, 
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}[12, 34, 56](D^{\mu}h)(D^{\nu}h)(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D_{\nu}\phi^{J})\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J},$$
(3.48)

which correspond to the operators  $\mathcal{O}_{12,13}^{UhD^4}$  in next section 4 and their explicit form are given by  $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}^{(j)}$ . Several comments are in order. First, this is consistent with the observation of the original result (3.46), although the 2 operators  $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}^{(j)}$  are not the same with the  $\mathcal{O}_{1,2}^{(p)}$ . Second, usually operators in the p-basis are polynomials, thus in this work, we always write the p-basis with the action of the idempotent elements to avoid the complication of writing the complete polynomials. In the rest of this paper, we will omit the idempotent elements in the type that the identical particles carry no flavor number, as in this example.

The second example is taken to be the type  $Q_L^{\dagger}Q_LQ_R^{\dagger}Q_R\mathbf{T}$ , which was missing in previous works ref. [38]. The shape of the primary Young diagram is

$$\boxed{ }$$
, (3.49)

and there is only one SSYT

The corresponding operator form is

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(y)} = \psi_{1}{}^{\alpha}\psi_{2\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{3\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}_{4}^{\dot{\beta}} = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\delta}}\psi_{1\gamma}\psi_{2\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{3}^{\dot{\delta}}\bar{\psi}_{4}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\psi_{1}\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\psi}_{3})(\psi_{2}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{\psi}_{4}). \tag{3.51}$$

Thus the Lorentz m-basis is just the y-basis,  $\mathcal{B}_1^{(m)} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(y)}$ . As for the SU(3) gauge y-basis, the primary Young diagram is

$$(3.52)$$

and there are 2 SSYTs

They correspond to the y-basis

$$\mathcal{T}_{SU(3),1}^{(y)} = \epsilon_{i_1 i_3 j_3} \epsilon_{i_2 i_4 j_4} \epsilon^{i_3 j_3 k_3} \epsilon^{i_4 j_4 k_4} = 36 \delta_{i_1}^{k_3} \delta_{i_2}^{k_4}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(3),1}^{(y)} = \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \epsilon_{j_3 i_4 j_4} \epsilon^{i_3 j_3 k_3} \epsilon^{i_4 j_4 k_4} = 18 (\delta_{i_1}^{k_4} \delta_{i_2}^{k_3} - \delta_{i_1}^{k_3} \delta_{i_2}^{k_4}),$$
(3.54)

and the m-basis can be taken as

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(3),1}^{(m)} = \delta_{i_1}^{k_3} \delta_{i_2}^{k_4}, \qquad \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(3),1}^{(m)} = \delta_{i_1}^{k_4} \delta_{i_2}^{k_3}, \tag{3.55}$$

with the transformation matrix

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{SU}(3)}^{(my)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{36} & 0\\ \frac{1}{36} & \frac{1}{18} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.56}$$

The primary Young diagram of the SU(2) group is

$$\boxed{\qquad \qquad }, \tag{3.57}$$

and there are 3 SSYTs that

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 \\ i_4 & i_5 & j_5 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i_4 & i_5 & j_5 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \frac{\begin{vmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & i_4 \\ i_3 & i_5 & j_5 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i_2 & i_5 & j_5 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \frac{\begin{vmatrix} i_1 & i_3 & i_4 \\ i_2 & i_5 & j_5 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i_2 & i_5 & j_5 \end{vmatrix}}.$$
(3.58)

The gauge y-basis reads

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),1}^{(y)} = \epsilon_{i_1 i_4} \epsilon_{i_3 j_3} \epsilon_{i_2 i_5} \tau^{I_5}{}_{l_5}^{i_5} \epsilon^{l_5 j_5} \epsilon^{i_2 j_2} \epsilon^{i_4 j_4} = \delta_{i_1}^{j_4} \tau^{I_5}{}_{i_3}^{j_2}, 
\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),2}^{(y)} = \epsilon_{i_1 i_3} \epsilon_{i_2 i_5} \epsilon_{i_4 j_5} \tau^{I_5}{}_{l_5}^{i_5} \epsilon^{l_5 j_5} \epsilon^{i_2 j_2} \epsilon^{i_4 j_4} = -\delta_{i_3}^{j_4} \tau^{I_5}{}_{i_1}^{j_2} + \delta_{i_1}^{j_4} \tau^{I_5}{}_{i_3}^{j_2}, 
\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),3}^{(y)} = \epsilon_{i_1 i_2} \epsilon_{i_3 i_5} \epsilon_{i_4 j_5} \tau^{I_5}{}_{l_5}^{i_5} \epsilon^{l_5 j_5} \epsilon^{i_2 j_2} \epsilon^{i_4 j_4} = -\delta_{i_1}^{j_2} \tau^{I_5}{}_{i_3}^{j_4}. \tag{3.59}$$

Thus we choose the gauge m-basis as

$$\mathcal{T}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),1} = \delta^{j_4}_{i_1} \tau^{I_5 j_2}_{i_3}, \qquad \mathcal{T}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),2} = \delta^{j_4}_{i_3} \tau^{I_5 j_2}_{i_1}, \qquad \mathcal{T}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),3} = \delta^{j_2}_{i_1} \tau^{I_5 j_4}_{i_3}, \tag{3.60}$$

with the transformation matrix

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(my)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.61}$$

The tensor product of the 2 space is of six-dimension, and all the 6 operators are physical since there are no repeated fields in this type, which are presented as  $\mathcal{O}_{29-34}^{Uh\psi^4}$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_{29}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{L_s}\gamma_\mu \mathbf{T}q_{L_p})(\overline{q}_{R_r}\gamma^\mu q_{R_t})\mathcal{F}_{29}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \tag{3.62}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{30}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^A \mathbf{T} q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^A q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{30}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \tag{3.63}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{31}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{L_S}\gamma_\mu q_{L_p})(\overline{q}_{R_T}\gamma^\mu \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{T} \mathbf{U} q_{R_t}) \mathcal{F}_{31}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \tag{3.64}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{32}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma\mu\lambda^A q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^\mu\lambda^A \mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{32}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \tag{3.65}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{33}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{L_S}\gamma_\mu \tau^I \mathbf{T} q_{L_p})(\overline{q}_{R_T}\gamma^\mu \mathbf{U}^\dagger \tau^I \mathbf{U} q_{R_t}) \mathcal{F}_{33}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \tag{3.66}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{34}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^A \tau^I \mathbf{T} q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^A \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \tau^I \mathbf{U} q_{Rt}) \mathcal{F}_{34}^{Uh\psi^4}(h). \tag{3.67}$$

The last example is the type  $Q_L^3 L_L \mathbf{T}^2$ , which is neglected in the previous literature. Neglecting the spurions, there are 4 fermions in this type,  $L_L, Q_L, Q_L, Q_L$ , and the primary Young diagrams of the Lorentz basis, the SU(2) gauge basis and the SU(3) gauge basis are

For the Lorentz basis, there are 2 SSYTs,

which corresponds to the y-basis that

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(y)} = (L_{L}Q_{L})(Q_{L}Q_{L}),$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{(y)} = \frac{1}{2}(L_{L}Q_{L})(Q_{L}Q_{L}) - \frac{1}{8}(L_{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Q_{L})(Q_{L}\sigma_{\mu\nu}Q_{L}).$$
(3.70)

We choose the m-basis as

$$\mathcal{B}_1^{(m)} = (L_L Q_L)(Q_L Q_L) \mathbf{TT}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_1^{(m)} = (L_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_L)(Q_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_L) \mathbf{TT}, \tag{3.71}$$

with the transformation matrix

$$\mathcal{K}^{(my)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.72}$$

where spurions have been multiplied back.

At the same time, we present the generators of  $S_3$  and  $S_2$ , which are of the symmetric groups of the  $Q_L$  and  $\mathbf{T}$ , respectively,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(Q_L)_1 = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}[(2,3)] = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 6 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(Q_L)_2 = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(y)}[(2,3,4)] = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\ -6 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.73}$$

where the  $S_3$  group has 2 different generators, and the generator of the **T** is the identity matrix.

The SU(3) group structure is simple in this type, there is only 1 SSYT,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.74}$$

and the corresponding y-basis is  $\mathcal{B}_1^{(y)} = \epsilon^{a_2 a_3 a_4}$ , which is also the m-basis. The generator of  $S_2$  in this SU(3) m-basis is trivial because the spurion does not carry SU(3) quantum number, while the generators of  $S_3$  takes the form that

$$\mathcal{D}_{SU(3)}^{(m)}(Q_L)_1 = -1, \quad \mathcal{D}_{SU(3)}^{(m)}(Q_L)_2 = 1. \tag{3.75}$$

As for the SU(2) group, there are 6 gauge SSYTs, thus 6 m-basis, and we present them directly,

$$\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),1}^{(m)} = \epsilon^{i_2 i_3} \epsilon^{i_4 m} \epsilon^{I_1 I_2 K} \tau^{K i_1}_{m}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),2}^{(m)} = \epsilon^{i_3 m} \epsilon^{i_4 l} \tau^{I_1 i_1}_{m} \tau^{I_2 i_2}_{n}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),3}^{(m)} = \delta^{I_1 I_2} \epsilon^{i_1 i_4} \epsilon^{i_2 i_3}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),4}^{(m)} = \epsilon^{i_1 m} \epsilon^{i_3 n} \tau^{I_1 i_4}_{n} \tau^{I_2 i_2}_{m}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),5}^{(m)} = \epsilon^{i_1 m} \epsilon^{i_2 i_4} \epsilon^{I_1 I_2 K} \tau^{K i_3}_{m}, 
\mathcal{T}_{SU(2),6}^{(m)} = \epsilon^{i_3 m} \epsilon^{i_4 n} \tau^{I_1 i_1}_{n} \tau^{I_2 i_2}_{m}.$$
(3.76)

Similar to the discussion near eq. (3.33), taking the gauge j-basis of the spurions, the highest-weight subspace of the SU(2) m-basis is of dimension-1, and we take the gauge j-basis with the gauge quantum number  $\mathbf{5}$ 

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(j)} = i\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),1}^{(m)} - \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),2}^{(m)} - \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),3}^{(m)} - 3\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),4}^{(m)} + 2i\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),5}^{(m)} - 2\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{SU}(2),6}^{(m)}.$$
(3.77)

The generators in the m-basis (3.76) are

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(Q_L)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.78}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}^{(m)}(Q_L)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ i & -1 & 0 & 0 & -i & 1 \\ -i & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.79}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{SU(2)}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ i & -1 & 1 & 1 & -2i & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.80)

while those in the j-basis (3.77) are just identities,

$$\mathcal{D}_{SU(2)}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 = \mathcal{D}_{SU(2)}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 = \mathcal{D}_{SU(2)}^{(j)}(\mathbf{T}) = 1.$$
(3.81)

The tensor product of the SU(3) m-basis, the SU(2) m-basis, and the Lorentz m-basis gives the operator m-basis, in which there are 12 operators,

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ki}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{IJK} \epsilon^{jk} \epsilon^{lm} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ki}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{IJK} \epsilon^{jk} \epsilon^{lm} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{m} \tau^{Jj}_{n} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{m} \tau^{Jj}_{n} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{5}^{(m)} = i \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{I} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{il} \epsilon^{jk} (L_{Lp_{i}} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{6}^{(m)} = i \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{I} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{il} \epsilon^{jk} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{im} \epsilon^{kn} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{8}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{im} \epsilon^{kn} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{9}^{(m)} = \tau^{Kk}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{IJK} \epsilon^{im} \epsilon^{jl} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{10}^{(m)} = \tau^{Kk}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{IJK} \epsilon^{im} \epsilon^{jl} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{11}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{12}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{12}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}). \\
\mathcal{O}_{12}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}). \\
\mathcal{O}_{12}^{(m)} = \tau^{Ii}_{n} \tau^{Jj}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}). \\$$

To remove the spurion self-contractions above, we take the SU(2) j-basis in eq. (3.77), the operator j-basis is obtained after the tensor product

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(j)} = i\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(m)} - \mathcal{O}_{3}^{(m)} - \mathcal{O}_{5}^{(m)} - 3\mathcal{O}_{7}^{(m)} + 2i\mathcal{O}_{9}^{(m)} - 2\mathcal{O}_{11}^{(m)}, 
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(j)} = i\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(m)} - \mathcal{O}_{4}^{(m)} - \mathcal{O}_{6}^{(m)} - 3\mathcal{O}_{8}^{(m)} + 2i\mathcal{O}_{10}^{(m)} - 2\mathcal{O}_{12}^{(m)}.$$
(3.83)

Following the procedure of converting the m-basis to the f-basis [7, 9, 11, 21], we present the resulting 4 operators in the f-basis projected from the operator m-basis in eq. (3.82)

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}^{\left[2\right]34}, \quad \left[5\right]6 \tau_{n}^{Ii} \tau_{n}^{Jj} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}^{\left[2\right]3}, \quad \left[5\right]6 \tau_{n}^{Ii} \tau_{n}^{Jj} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}^{\left[2\right]3}, \quad \left[5\right]6 i \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{I} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{il} \epsilon^{jk} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}), \\
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{(p)} = \mathcal{Y}^{\left[2\right]3}, \quad \left[5\right]6 \tau_{n}^{Ii} \tau_{n}^{Jj} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lp_{i}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr_{aj}}) (Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lt_{cl}}). \quad (3.84)$$

To further eliminate the spurion self-contraction, we take the idempotent elements in the j-basis in eq. (3.83). Since the generators in eq. (3.81) are identities in the SU(2) j-basis in eq. (3.77), the generators in the operator j-basis in eq. (3.83) are

$$\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 = \mathcal{D}^{(j)}_{SU(3)}(Q_L)_1 \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{B}}(Q_L)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 6 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.85}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 = \mathcal{D}^{(j)}_{SU(3)}(Q_L)_1 \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{B}}(Q_L)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\ -6 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.86}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{T}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.87}$$

The 3 idempotent elements are

$$\mathcal{Y}_{[23]4,[56]} = \mathcal{Y}_{[23]4} \mathcal{Y}_{[56]}, \qquad \mathcal{Y}_{[4]3,[56]} = \mathcal{Y}_{[4]3} \mathcal{Y}_{[56]}, \qquad \mathcal{Y}_{[2]3,[56]} = \mathcal{Y}_{[4]3} \mathcal{Y}_{[56]}, \qquad (3.88)$$

where the idempotent element of the  $S_2$  is calculated in the same way as the first example in eq. (3.45),

$$\mathcal{Y}[56] = \mathbf{I}_{3\times 3} + \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\mathbf{T}), \tag{3.89}$$

but those of the  $S_3$  are a little bit complicated, and we give their expressions in terms of generators,

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{Y}^{\left[2\right]3\mid4\mid}_{2\times2} = \\ &\mathbf{I}_{2\times2} + \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 + \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1}^{-1} \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2, & (3.90) \\ &\mathcal{Y}^{\left[2\right\mid3\right]}_{\frac{3}{4}} = \\ &\mathbf{I}_{2\times2} - \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 - \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1^{-1} \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1^{-1} \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1^{-1} \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_1 \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2^{-1} \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{(j)}(Q_L)_2. & (3.92) \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain the idempotent elements that

$$\mathcal{Y}[234,56] = \mathcal{Y}[2,56] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{Y}[23,56] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.93}$$

in which the second one is of rank 1. It implies there is only one operator in the f-basis, with the flavor symmetry  $\square$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_{140}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}] \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ln} \epsilon^{km} ((\mathbf{T}l_L^T)_{pm} C(\mathbf{T}q_L)_{ran}) (q_L^T{}_{rak} Cq_{Ltcl}) \mathcal{F}_{140}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \qquad (3.94)$$

which is missing in the previous literature such as the ref. [40]. Several comments are in order. First, the particle indices 2, 3, 4 in the idempotent element of the f-basis operators,

have been replaced with the flavor indices r, s, t, which is the convention of writing the operators with repeated fields carrying flavor numbers in this paper. Second, unlike the  $Q^3L$  operators, the operators projected by  $\mathcal{Y}[234,56]$  and  $\mathcal{Y}[2,56]$  are all eliminated in this type. Thus only certain flavor symmetry is allowed for this type of operator.

In summary, the Young tensor method first constructs effective operators in the on-shell y-basis, which is obtained by filling the primary Young diagram. If such operators contain the NGBs, the Adler zero condition needs to be imposed on the on-shell amplitude. After that, transforming the y-basis to the m-basis obtain monomial operators for both Lorentz and gauge structures, with the spurion included in the operators. Finally, repeated fields should be considered for both the dynamical fields and the spurion in the operators. In this stage, all physical operators are classified by permutations of repeated fields, this basis is the p-basis. For operators involving the flavor structure, the p-basis should be reduced to the f-basis respecting the flavor symmetry. In this work, we always write operators in the p/f-basis with the idempotent elements  $\mathcal Y$  of the symmetric group in front of them to indicate their permutation property, but in the case that repeated field have flavor number 1, the idempotent elements are omitted.

#### 4 Complete bosonic operator list at NLO

The NLO bosonic operators are divided into the following types:  $UhD^4$ ,  $X^2Uh$ ,  $XUhD^2$ ,  $X^3$ . Although the Higgs boson h in the HEFT is gauge singlet and thus can appear in the effective operators freely by the means of the dimensionless function  $\mathcal{F}$  in eq. (2.37), there are cases that the number of h can not be arbitrary. In this section, the full list of NLO bosonic operators will be presented, and we will explain the explicit form of function  $\mathcal{F}$  in every type. The previously missing operators are marked in red.

**Type:**  $UhD^4$  This type includes the operators with 4 derivatives applied on the NGBs and the Higgs, thus the building blocks contain  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$ , h, and the spurion  $\mathbf{T}$ . The contractions of SU(2) group among  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$  are represented by the matrix trace  $\langle \ldots \rangle$ . In particular, the operator  $\mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^4}$  in this type is missing in ref. [38].

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle^{2} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{2}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{3}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{4}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{4}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{5}^{UhD^{4}} = (\langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle)^{2} \mathcal{F}_{5}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \frac{D^{\nu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{6}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \frac{D^{\nu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{7}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \frac{D^{\nu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{8}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{9}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \frac{D^{\nu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{9}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \frac{hD^{\mu}D^{\nu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{10}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{11}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \frac{D_{\nu}hD^{\nu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{11}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\nu} \rangle \frac{hD^{\mu}D^{\nu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{12}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{13}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \frac{D_{\nu}hD^{\nu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{13}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \frac{hD^{\nu}hD_{\nu}D^{\mu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{12}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{13}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \frac{D^{\nu}hD^{\nu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{13}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \frac{hD^{\nu}hD_{\nu}D^{\mu}h}{v^{3}} \mathcal{F}_{14}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{15}^{UhD^{4}} = \frac{h^{2}(D_{\mu}D_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{15}^{UhD^{4}}(h). \qquad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \frac{hD^{\nu}hD_{\nu}D^{\mu}h}{v^{3}} \mathcal{F}_{14}^{UhD^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{15}^{UhD^{4}} = \frac{h^{2}(D_{\mu}D_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{15}^{UhD^{4}}(h). \qquad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{4}} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{\mu}D^{\mu}h} \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{\mu}D^{\mu}h} \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{\mu}D^{\mu}h} \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{\mu}D^{\mu}h} \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{\mu}D^{\mu}h} \mathcal{O}_{14}^{UhD^{\mu}D^{\mu}h} \mathcal{$$

The function  $\mathcal{F}_i^{UhD^4}$  in this type takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{UhD^4}(h) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{UhD^4}(\frac{h}{v})^n, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 15).$$
(4.2)

Type  $X^2Uh$ : the operators of this type involve two gauge field strength tensors with two gauge coupling constants to be consistent with the power counting. We recombine the building blocks  $X_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu}$  as

$$X_L = \frac{1}{2}(X - i\tilde{X}), \qquad X_R = \frac{1}{2}(X + i\tilde{X}), \qquad X = B, W, G,$$
 (4.3)

by which, we obtain the 10 operators of this type:

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{X^{2}Uh} = g'^{2}B_{L}^{\mu\nu}B_{L\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{X^{2}Uh} = g'^{2}B_{R}^{\mu\nu}B_{R\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{2}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{X^{2}Uh} = g_{s}^{2}\langle G_{L\mu\nu}G_{L}^{\mu\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{3}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{4}^{X^{2}Uh} = g_{s}^{2}\langle G_{R\mu\nu}G_{R}^{\mu\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{4}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{5}^{X^{2}Uh} = g^{2}\langle W_{L\mu\nu}W_{L}^{\mu\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{5}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{X^{2}Uh} = g^{2}\langle W_{R\mu\nu}W_{R}^{\mu\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{6}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{X^{2}Uh} = gg'B_{L\mu\nu}\langle W_{L}^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{7}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{X^{2}Uh} = gg'B_{R\mu\nu}\langle W_{R}^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{8}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{9}^{X^{2}Uh} = g^{2}\langle W_{L\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\rangle^{2}\mathcal{F}_{9}^{X^{2}Uh}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{X^{2}Uh} = g^{2}\langle W_{R\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\rangle^{2}\mathcal{F}_{10}^{X^{2}Uh}(h). \qquad (4.4)$$

The  $\mathcal{F}$  in this type takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{X^{2}Uh}(h) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}^{X^{2}Uh} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^{n}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 10).$$
(4.5)

**Type**  $XUhD^2$ : the operators in this type involve one gauge field strength tensor and two derivatives. Operators  $\mathcal{O}_{2,4,8}^{XUhD^2}$  are missing in ref. [38]. It should be noted that the first term in the expansion of the  $\mathcal{F}$  function in this type is h/v instead of 1. The full list of operators of this type is:

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle W_{L\mu\nu}[\mathbf{V}^{\mu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{1}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle W_{R\mu\nu}[\mathbf{V}^{\mu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{2}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{XUhD^{2}} = g'B_{L\mu\nu}\langle \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}^{\mu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{3}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{4}^{XUhD^{2}} = g'B_{R\mu\nu}\langle \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}^{\mu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{4}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{5}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle W_{L\mu\nu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{5}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle W_{R\mu\nu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\nu} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{6}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle W_{L\mu\nu}\mathbf{T} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}^{\mu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{7}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[W_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}}(h). \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle W_{L\mu\nu}\mathbf{T} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}^{\mu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{7}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[W_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}}(h). \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle \mathbf{W}_{L\mu\nu}\mathbf{T} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{W}_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[W_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}}(h). \\
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle \mathbf{W}_{L\mu\nu}\mathbf{T} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{W}_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}} = g\langle \mathbf{W}_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T}[W_{R\mu\nu}, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}] \rangle \mathcal{F}_{8}^{XUhD^{2}}(h).$$

The  $\mathcal{F}$  function takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{XUhD^{2}}(h) = \frac{h}{v} + \sum_{n=2} c_{n}^{XUhD^{2}} (\frac{h}{v})^{n}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 8).$$
(4.7)

Note that the derivative can not only apply on the NGBs, generating operators above but also on the Higgs boson h, which, however, gives no more operators. The argument is as follows: if a  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$  in the operators above is replaced by  $D_{\mu}h$ , the operators would have at least two h's, since there is at least 1 h in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus the operators would contain the repeated field h and spin statistics need to be applied. Because the h carries no flavor number, only the operators containing the symmetric h's are physical in the f-basis, while the Young tensor method utilized in this paper implies that such operators do not exist in this type. Considering this, several extra operators that appeared in ref. [38] of this type are actually redundant.

**Type**  $X^3$ : in this type, the operators are built of three gauge field strengths, and there are only 6 operators:

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{X^{3}} = f^{ABC} G_{L}{}^{A}{}_{\mu\nu} G_{L}{}^{C\mu\lambda} G_{L}{}^{B\nu}{}_{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{X^{3}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{X^{3}} = f^{ABC} G_{R\mu\nu}^{A} G_{R}^{C\mu\lambda} G_{R}^{B\nu}{}_{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{2}^{X^{3}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3}^{X^{3}} = \epsilon^{IJK} W_{L\mu\nu}^{I} W_{L}^{K\nu\lambda} W_{L}^{J\nu}{}_{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{3}^{x^{3}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{4}^{X^{3}} = \epsilon^{IJK} W_{R\mu\nu}^{I} W_{R}^{K\nu\lambda} W_{R}^{J\nu}{}_{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{4}^{X^{3}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{5}^{X^{3}} = \epsilon^{IJK} \mathbf{T}^{K} B_{L\mu\nu} W_{L}^{J\lambda\mu} W_{L\lambda}^{I\nu} \mathcal{F}_{5}^{X^{3}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{X^{3}} = \epsilon^{IJK} \mathbf{T}^{K} B_{R\mu\nu} W_{R}^{J\lambda\mu} W_{R\lambda}^{I\nu} \mathcal{F}_{6}^{X^{3}}(h). \\
(4.8)$$

The  $\mathcal{F}$  function in this type takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{X^3}(h) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{X^3} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^n, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 6).$$
 (4.9)

#### 5 Complete NLO operators involving in fermion

The fermion sector contains the operators composed by a two-fermion current  $\bar{\psi}\Gamma\psi$  and several bosonic fields, called the two-fermion operators, and the operators composed by four fermions called the 4-fermion operators. Besides, the 4-fermion operators violating the baryon numbers are also presented in this section. Compared with previous works refs. [38, 40], there are 9(6) terms of operators in this sector missed for the HEFT with (without) the sterile neutrino, and we present them here,

$$\mathcal{O}_{33}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{L_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}q_{L_{p}})(\overline{q}_{R_{r}}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{t}})\mathcal{F}_{33}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{34}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{L_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}q_{L_{p}})(\overline{q}_{R_{r}}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{t}})\mathcal{F}_{34}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{89}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{l}_{L_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}l_{L_{p}})(\overline{l}_{R_{t}}\sigma^{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{R_{s}})\mathcal{F}_{89}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{107}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{l}_{L_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}l_{L_{p}})(\overline{q}_{L_{t}}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{L_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{107}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{113}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{l}_{R_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}l_{R_{p}})(\overline{q}_{R_{t}}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{L_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{113}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{119}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{l}_{R_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{R_{p}})(\overline{q}_{L_{t}}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{L_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{119}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{125}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{l}_{L_{s}}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}l_{L_{p}})(\overline{q}_{R_{t}}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{125}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{140}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}] \epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ln}\epsilon^{km}((\mathbf{T}l_{L}^{T})_{pm}C(\mathbf{T}q_{L})_{ran})(q_{L_{rak}}^{T_{c}}Cq_{L_{tcl}})\mathcal{F}_{159}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{160}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}] \epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{km}\epsilon^{ln}((\mathbf{T}l_{R}^{T})_{pm}C(\mathbf{T}q_{R})_{ran})(q_{R_{sbk}}^{T_{c}}Cq_{R_{tcl}})\mathcal{F}_{160}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h).$$
(5.1)

The missing operators are marked in red color and the operators involving right-handed neutrinos are presented in gray color. Besides, previous works only consider the fermion operators with flavor number 1, while here we consider the general flavor structures. Note that the last two terms of operators above only appear when there are three generation fermions because only the mixed flavor symmetry structure is allowed.

#### 5.1 Fermion current operators

#### 5.1.1 Quark current operators

**Type**  $\psi^2 UhD$ : In this type, the operators are composed of a fermion-current and a single derivative. The full list is

$$\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{T}]q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{3}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}q_{Lr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{4}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{5}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{6}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{T}]\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{7}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\overline{q}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{8}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h). \\ (5.2)$$

The  $\mathcal{F}$  functions in this type take the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}^{\psi^{2}UhD} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^{n}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 8).$$
 (5.3)

Type  $\psi^2 UhD^2$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{V}_{\nu}]\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{V}_{\nu}]\rangle\mathcal{F}_{3}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{4}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{5}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{6}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{T},\mathbf{V}_{\mu}]\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{7}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}[\mathbf{T},\mathbf{V}_{\mu}]\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{8}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{V}_{\nu}]\rangle\mathcal{F}_{9}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{11}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\frac{D^{\mu}h}{v}\mathcal{F}_{11}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (D^{\mu}\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{12}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad (5.5) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{13}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{T}]\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\frac{D^{\mu}h}{v}\mathcal{F}_{13}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (D^{\mu}\overline{q}_{L_{p}}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{T}]\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\mathcal{F}_{14}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\frac{D^{\mu}h}{v}\mathcal{F}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (D^{\mu}\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{17}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\frac{D^{\mu}h}{v}\mathcal{F}_{17}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{18}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (D^{\mu}\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{18}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{19}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\frac{D_{\mu}hD^{\mu}h}{v^{2}}\mathcal{F}_{19}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \quad \mathcal{O}_{20}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} &= (\overline{q}_{L_{p}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R_{r}})\frac{D_{\mu}hD^{\mu}h}{v^{2}}\mathcal{F}_{20}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h). \quad (5.6) \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  functions in this type takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = 1 + \sum_{n=1} c_{n}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^{n}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 20).$$
 (5.7)

**Type**  $\psi^2 UhX$ : This type is similar with the type  $\psi^2 UhD^2$ , operators which are listed as following:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g'(\overline{q}_{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})B_{R\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), & \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g'(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})B_{R\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g_{s}(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{R\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{3}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), & \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g_{s}(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{R\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{4}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}W_{R}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{5}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), & \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{T},W_{R}]\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{6}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}W_{R}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{7}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), & \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\psi^{2}UhX} &= g(\overline{q}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}W_{R}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{8}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h). \\ &(5.8) \end{split}$$

The  $\mathcal{F}$  functions in this type are that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = 1 + \sum_{n} c_{n}^{\psi^{2}UhX} (\frac{h}{v})^{n}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 8).$$
 (5.9)

#### 5.1.2 Lepton-current operators

The lepton-current operators are similar to the quark-current operators with the quark fields replaced by the lepton fields. With this analogy, all previous operators can be transformed into lepton-current operators. For example,

$$\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{2}UhD} \Rightarrow (\bar{l}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Lr})\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle \mathcal{F}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{19}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} \Rightarrow (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\frac{D_{\mu}hD^{\mu}h}{v^{2}}\mathcal{F}_{39}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h).$$
(5.10)

However, it should be emphasized that the quarks have SU(3) quantum numbers while leptons do not. Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{7,8}^{\psi^2 UhX}$  have no correspondences in lepton-current operators. Here we present them classified by classes.

### $\psi^2 UhD$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}l_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{9}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Lr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{11}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{T}]l_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{11}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{12}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{13}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{13}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{14}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{T}]\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h).$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h).$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h).$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h).$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15}^{\psi^{2}UhD} = (\bar{l}_{Rp}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{16}^{\psi^{2}UhD}(h).$$

#### $\psi^2 UhD^2$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{21}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{21}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{22}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{V}_{\nu}]\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{22}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{23}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{V}_{\nu}]\rangle\mathcal{F}_{23}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{24}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{24}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{25}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{25}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{26}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{26}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{27}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{T},\mathbf{V}_{\mu}]\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\nu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{27}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{28}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}[\mathbf{T},\mathbf{V}_{\mu}]\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{29}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{29}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu},\mathbf{V}_{\nu}]\rangle\mathcal{F}_{29}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{30}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}^{\mu}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{30}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{31}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{32}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{32}^{\psi^2UhD^2} &= (\bar{l}_{L_p}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{32}^{\psi^2UhD^2}(h), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{33}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu}, \mathbf{T}]Ul_{Rr}) \frac{D^{\mu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{33}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{34}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (D^{\mu}\bar{l}_{Lp}[\mathbf{V}_{\mu}, \mathbf{T}]Ul_{Rr}) \mathcal{F}_{34}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{35}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle \frac{D^{\mu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{35}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{36}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (D^{\mu}\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle \mathcal{F}_{36}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{37}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}Ul_{Rr})\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle \frac{D^{\mu}h}{v} \mathcal{F}_{37}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{38}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (D^{\mu}\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}Ul_{Rr})\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle \mathcal{F}_{38}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{39}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr}) \frac{D_{\mu}hD^{\mu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{39}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{40}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}Ul_{Rr}) \frac{D_{\mu}hD^{\mu}h}{v^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{40}^{\psi^{2}UhD^{2}}(h). \qquad (5.12)$$

#### $\psi^2 UhX$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = g'(\bar{l}_{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})B_{R\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{9}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = g'(\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})B_{R\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_{10}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{11}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = g(\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}W_{R}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{11}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = g(\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}[\mathbf{T},W_{R}]\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{12}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{13}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = g(\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}W_{R}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{13}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{\psi^{2}UhX} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})\langle\mathbf{T}W_{R}\rangle\mathcal{F}_{14}^{\psi^{2}UhX}(h).$$

$$(5.13)$$

#### 5.2 Four-fermion operators

The four-fermion operators are divided into four classes: pure quark operators, pure lepton operators, mixed quark-lepton operators, and baryon-number-violating operators. In this sector, the operators presented in [30, 38, 40] are not complete, and in the following, we will list all the four-fermion operators with the flavor structures. In appendix B, the detailed comparisons of literature and our result are tabulated. The missing operators are marked in red color and the operators involving right-handed neutrinos are presented in gray color.

#### 5.2.1 Pure quark operators

## Type $Q_L^{\dagger 2}Q_R^2$ :

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{O}_{1}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{1}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{2}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{2}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{3}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{3}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{4}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{4}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{5}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{5}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{6}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{6}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{7}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{7}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{8}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{8}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{9}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{11}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{11}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{12}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{12}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{12}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{12}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{12}^{Uh\psi^{4}}=\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p_{Ir}},\overline{s_{I}t}](\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{10}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),\\ &\mathcal{O}_{12}^{$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{13}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{13}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{14}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{14}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{15}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{15}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{16}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{16}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{17}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{17}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{18}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{19}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{19}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\lambda^{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{19}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{20}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{20}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{21}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{21}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{21}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{21}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{23}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{23}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{24}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{23}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{24}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{23}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{24}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{pr}^{pr}, \stackrel{s}{\underset{t}{\stackrel{!}{=}}} (\overline{q}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rs})(\overline{q}_{Lr}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{23}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{Uh\psi^4} = 1 + \sum_{n=1} c_n^{Uh\psi^4} (\frac{h}{v})^n, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 24).$$
 (5.18)

## Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_L Q_R^\dagger Q_R$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{25}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{25}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{26}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}U^{\dagger}\tau^{I}Uq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{26}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{27}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{27}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{28}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}\tau^{I}Uq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{26}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{29}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{29}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{30}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{30}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{31}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{31}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{32}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{32}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{33}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}q_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}U^{\dagger}\tau^{I}Uq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{33}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{34}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}\tau^{I}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}\tau^{I}Uq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{34}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{35}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{35}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{35}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{35}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{A}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{A}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}Tq_{Lp})(\overline{q}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}U^{\dagger}TUq_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{36}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}Tq_{Lp})(\overline$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}^{Uh\psi^{4}} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^{n}, \quad (i = 25, 26, \dots, 36).$$
 (5.21)

## Type ${Q_L^{\dagger}}^2 {Q_L}^2 \& {Q_R^{\dagger}}^2 {Q_R}^2$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{3h}^{Jh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{37}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{3h}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}r^{1}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}r^{1}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{3h}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{30}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[E],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{30}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{40}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}r^{1}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}r^{1}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{40}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{41}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}r^{1}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{41}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{42}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma_{\mu}r^{1}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{41}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{43}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{42}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{43}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{42}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{43}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{44}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{44}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{47}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Ls})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{48}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Lp})(q_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Ls})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{48}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Rp})(q_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{48}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Rp})(q_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{50}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}q_{Rp})(q_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{45}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{51}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Rs}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rp})(q_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{55}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{51}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Rs}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rp})(q_{Rt}\gamma_{\mu}U^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{50}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{51}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{[EP],[a]}[q_{Rs}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rp})(q_{Rt}\gamma_{\mu}U^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{Uh\psi^4} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{Uh\psi^4} \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^n, \quad (i = 36, 37, \dots, 60).$$
 (5.26)

#### 5.2.2 Pure lepton operators

Types  $L_L^{\dagger \ 2} L_R^{\ 2}, L_L^{\ 2} L_L^{\dagger \ 2}, L_R^{\ 2} L_R^{\dagger \ 2}, L_L L_R^{\dagger} L_R L_L^{\dagger}$ :

$$O_{61}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{Y}_{EPP,EPP}^{UEP,EPP}^{UEP,EPP}^{ULP,EPP}^{ULP,EPP}^{ULP,EPP}^{ULP,EPP}^{ULP,EPP}^{Uh\psi^4}(h),$$

$$O_{62}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{Y}_{EPP,EPP}^{UEP,EPP}^{ULP,E$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{87}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\bar{l}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rs})\mathcal{F}_{87}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{88}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Lp})(\bar{l}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rs})\mathcal{F}_{88}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{89}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}l_{Lp})(\bar{l}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rs})\mathcal{F}_{89}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{90}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\bar{l}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rs})\mathcal{F}_{90}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h),$$
(5.31)

where

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{Uh\psi^4} = 1 + \sum_{n=1} c_n^{Uh\psi^4} (\frac{h}{v})^n, \quad (i = 61, 62, \dots, 90).$$
 (5.32)

#### 5.2.3 Mixed quark-lepton operators

#### Type: $Q_L^{\dagger}Q_RL_L^{\dagger}L_R$

$$\mathcal{O}_{91}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{91}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{92}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{92}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{93}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\tau^I\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\tau^I\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{93}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{94}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\tau^I\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tau^I\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{94}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{95}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{95}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{96}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{96}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{97}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{97}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{98}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{98}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{99}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\tau^I\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\tau^I\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{99}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{100}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\tau^I\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tau^I\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{100}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{101}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{101}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{101}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\tau^I\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{101}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{102}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{101}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{102}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\bar{l}_{Lp}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rr})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{102}^{Uh\psi^4}(h).$$
(5.34)

### Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_L L_L^\dagger L_L \& Q_R^\dagger Q_R L_R^\dagger L_R$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{103}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{O}_{103}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{104}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}l_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{Lr})\mathcal{O}_{104}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{105}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{105}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{106}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{106}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{107}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{107}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{108}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{108}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{108}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{O}_{109}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{100}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{O}_{109}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{110}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})\mathcal{O}_{110}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{110}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})\mathcal{O}_{100}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \\ \mathcal{O}_{110}^{Uh\psi^{4}} &= (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{111}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{111}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{112}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{112}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{113}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}Q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{113}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{114}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}Q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{114}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h).$$
(5.35)

### Type $L_L^{\dagger} L_L Q_R^{\dagger} Q_R \& L_R^{\dagger} L_R Q_L^{\dagger} Q_L$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{115}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{115}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{116}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Ls}\gamma^{\mu}\tau^{I}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{116}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{117}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{117}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{118}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{118}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{119}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{119}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{120}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Rs}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{Rp})(\bar{q}_{Lt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{T}q_{Lr})\mathcal{F}_{120}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{121}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rs}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{121}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{123}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathcal{T}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rs}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{123}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{123}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{123}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{124}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{124}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{125}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = (\bar{l}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}L_{Lp})(\bar{q}_{Rt}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rr})\mathcal{F}_{126}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h).$$
(5.36)

### Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_R L_R^\dagger L_L$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{127}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Lp})(\overline{l}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{127}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{128}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}l_{Lp})(\overline{l}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{128}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{129}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\overline{l}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{129}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{130}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Lp})(\overline{l}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{130}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{131}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\tau^{I}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\overline{l}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{131}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), 
\mathcal{O}_{132}^{Uh\psi^4} = (\overline{q}_{Ls}\gamma_{\mu}\mathbf{T}l_{Lp})(\overline{l}_{Rr}\gamma^{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{Rt})\mathcal{F}_{132}^{Uh\psi^4}(h),$$

$$(5.37)$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  functions of all these types are of the same form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}^{Uh\psi^{4}} (\frac{h}{v})^{n}, \quad (i = 91, 92, \dots, 132).$$
 (5.38)

#### 5.2.4 Baryon-number-violating operators

Types  $L_L Q_L^3, L_L Q_L Q_R^2, L_R Q_R Q_L^2, L_R Q_R^3$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{133}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square \square \square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{jl} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{Ltel}) \mathcal{F}_{134}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{134}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{jl} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{Ltel}) \mathcal{F}_{134}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{135}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{jl} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{Ltel}) \mathcal{F}_{135}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{135}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{Ltel}) \mathcal{F}_{135}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{137}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ij} e^{km} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{DL}) \mathcal{F}_{137}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ij} e^{km} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{DL}) \mathcal{F}_{137}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ij} e^{km} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{DL}) \mathcal{F}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ij} e^{km} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{DL}) \mathcal{F}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} (IL_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{DL}) \mathcal{F}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) (q_{L}^{T}_{sbk} Cq_{DL}) \mathcal{F}_{139}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{141}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{Lraj}) \mathcal{F}_{14}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{141}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} (L_{L}^{T}_{pl} Cq_{L} Cq_{Rsbk}) (q_{L}^{T}_{raj} Cq^{\mu} Cq_{Rtel}) \mathcal{F}_{149}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{141}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} ((Tl_{L}^{T})_{pm} Cq_{L} Cq_{Rsbk}) (q_{L}^{T}_{raj} Cq^{\mu} Cq_{Rtel}) \mathcal{F}_{149}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{144}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} ((Tl_{L}^{T})_{pm} Cq_{L} C(q_{Rsbk}) (q_{L}^{T}_{raj} Cq^{\mu} C(q_{Rtel})) \mathcal{F}_{144}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{144}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square} e^{abc} e^{ik} e^{ik} ((Tl_{L}^{T})_{pm} Cq_{L} C(q_{Rsbk}) (q_{L}^{T}_{raj} Cq^{\mu} C(q_{Rtel})) \mathcal{F}_{149}^{Uh\psi^4}(h), \\
\mathcal{O}_{145}^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{V}_{\square$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{157}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{Ts} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} ((\mathbf{U}l_{R}^{T}{}_{p})_{i} C \sigma_{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{U}q_{Rra})_{j}) ((\mathbf{U}q_{R}^{T}{}_{sb})_{k} C \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R})_{tcm}) \mathcal{F}_{157}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \tag{5.46}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{158}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{Ts} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} ((\mathbf{U}l_{R}^{T}{}_{p})_{i} C (\mathbf{U}q_{Rra})_{j}) ((\mathbf{U}q_{R}^{T}{}_{sb})_{k} C (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R})_{tcm}) \mathcal{F}_{158}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \tag{5.46}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{159}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{Ts} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} ((\mathbf{U}l_{R}^{T}{}_{p})_{i} C (\mathbf{U}q_{Rra})_{j}) ((\mathbf{U}q_{R}^{T}{}_{sb})_{k} C (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R})_{tcm}) \mathcal{F}_{159}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \tag{5.47}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{160}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{Ts} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} ((\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}l_{R}^{T})_{pm} C (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}q_{R})_{ran}) ((\mathbf{U}q_{R}^{T}{}_{sb})_{k} C (\mathbf{U}q_{Rtc})_{l}) \mathcal{F}_{160}^{Uh\psi^{4}}(h), \tag{5.47}$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  functions in this type takes the form that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i}^{Uh\psi^{4}} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}^{Uh\psi^{4}} (\frac{h}{v})^{n}, \quad (i = 133, \dots, 160).$$
 (5.48)

#### 6 Conclusion

In this work, we present the complete and independent sets of the HEFT operators at the next-to-leading order, enumerating all the 224 (7704) operators for one (three) generation fermions without right-handed neutrino, and the 295 (11307) operators for one (three) generation fermions with right-handed neutrino, for the first time. Compared with the results in literature [30, 38, 40], we find that there were 8 (11) terms of operators missing, corresponding to 422(665) operators for three generation fermions, without (with) right-handed sterile neutrinos, and there were many redundant operators. Furthermore, the numbers of the HEFT operators are consistent with the counting result via the Hilbert series obtained in refs. [68, 69]. Comparison with the literature is presented in the appendix B in detail.

Although the Young tensor method has been developed to obtain the operator basis for generic EFTs up to any mass dimension [21], the operators involving in the Goldstone bosons and the spurion fields, appear in the HEFT, need further treatments. According to the operator-amplitude correspondence, for a type of operator, the on-shell amplitudes form a complete and independent basis in the Lorentz space, and if such operators involve in the Goldstone bosons, applying the soft theorem on the amplitude would pick up the Lorentz subspace satisfying the Adler zero condition in the soft momentum limit. All the HEFT operators have been rewritten with the on-shell contact amplitudes, as shown in appendix C.

In the HEFT, the spurion field is also quite special because it is not the dynamical degree of freedom but it transforms as the adjoint representation under the SU(2) symmetry. The spurion field is introduced to parametrize the custodial symmetry breaking through freezing the dynamical field degree of freedom, and thus it could only form the SU(2) invariant together with other dynamical fields in the operator. Thus we remove the spurion from the Lorentz tensor construction but keep the spurion to be involved in the gauge and flavor tensor construction.

The complete sets of operator basis, investigated in this work, would benefit various phenomenological studies below the electroweak symmetry breaking scale, especially phenomenologies involved in the three-generation fermions. On the other hand, when

performing the matching between the UV non-decoupling physics and the HEFT operators, the complete HEFT basis is necessarily needed during the matching procedure. Furthermore, based on the power counting rules, the one-loop renormalization of the HEFT [55–58], including the renormalization group equations, can be organized systematically using the complete operator basis. With the one-loop renormalization on the NLO operators, the next-to-next-to-leading-order operators would be quite relevant, and we leave the discussion in the coming work.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Xiaochuan Lu and other authors of ref. [68] for valuable correspondence on the total numbers of the 4-fermion operators in the HEFT without right-handed neutrinos. J.-H.Y. and H. S. are supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12022514, No. 11875003, and No. 12047503, and National Key Research and Development Program of China Grant No. 2020YFC2201501, No. 2021YFA0718304, and CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research YSBR-006, the Key Research Program of the CAS Grant No. XDPB15. M.-L.X. is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357 at Argonne and No.DE-SC0010143 at Northwestern.

#### A Conversion between 2- and 4-spinors

We use  $\Psi$  to denote the 4-component spinors, whose conjugate is denoted by  $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}$ . Denoting the 2-component left-handed spinors by  $\chi, \xi$ , and the 2-component right-handed spinors are denoted by  $\chi^{\dagger}, \xi^{\dagger}$ . Thus the 4-component spinors can be expressed by the 2-component spinors,

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{\alpha} \\ \chi^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{\alpha}, \, \xi^{\dagger}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.1}$$

Then Dirac bilinears can be expressed by 2-components spinors,

$$\bar{\Psi}_{1}\Psi_{2} = \chi_{1}^{\alpha}\xi_{2\alpha} + \xi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\chi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}},$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi_{2} = \chi_{1}^{\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu}\chi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} + \xi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\xi_{2\alpha},$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{1}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi_{2} = \chi_{1}^{\alpha}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}^{\beta}\xi_{2\beta} + \xi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\chi_{2}^{\dot{\beta}},$$

$$\Psi_{1}^{T}C\Psi_{2} = \xi_{1}^{\alpha}\xi_{2\alpha} + \chi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\chi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}},$$

$$\Psi_{1}^{T}C\gamma^{\mu}\Psi_{2} = \xi_{1}^{\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu}\chi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} + \chi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\xi_{2\alpha},$$

$$\Psi_{1}^{T}C\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Psi_{2} = \xi_{1}^{\alpha}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}^{\beta}\xi_{2\beta} + \chi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\chi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\beta}},$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{1}C\bar{\Psi}_{2}^{T} = \xi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\xi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} + \chi_{1}^{\alpha}\chi_{2\alpha},$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}C\bar{\Psi}_{2}^{T} = \chi_{1}^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\xi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} + \xi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\chi_{2\alpha},$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{1}\sigma^{\mu\nu}C\bar{\Psi}_{2}^{T} = \xi_{1\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\xi_{2}^{\dagger\dot{\beta}} + \chi_{1}^{\alpha}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}^{\beta}\chi_{2\beta},$$
(A.2)

where the gamma matrices in the equations above take the form

$$\gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\beta} \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

$$\begin{split} C &= i \gamma^0 \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & -\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \,, \\ \sigma^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = \begin{pmatrix} (\sigma^{\mu\nu})_\alpha{}^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \,. \end{split} \tag{A.3}$$

In this work, the left- and right-handed fermions are defined as

$$q_L = \begin{pmatrix} Q_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad l_L = \begin{pmatrix} L_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad q_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q_R \end{pmatrix}, \qquad l_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ L_R \end{pmatrix},$$
 (A.4)

and their conjugates are

$$\bar{q}_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0, Q_L^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{l}_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0, L_L^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{q}_R = \begin{pmatrix} Q_R^{\dagger}, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{l}_R = \begin{pmatrix} L_R^{\dagger}, 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A.5)

According to these correspondences, we can convert the 2-component notations adopted in this paper to 4-component notations, for example, the operator  $\mathcal{O}_1^{Uh\psi^4}$  can be expressed in a 4-component notation that

$$\mathcal{O}_1^{Uh\psi^4} = \mathcal{Y}[\underline{p_r}, \underline{s_t}](\bar{q}_{L_p}\mathbf{U}q_{R_s})(\bar{q}_{L_r}\mathbf{U}q_{R_t})\mathcal{F}_1^{Uh\psi^4}(h). \tag{A.6}$$

#### B Comparison with the literature on NLO operators

In this appendix we present the comparison with the NLO operators obtained in this work and previous literature such as [30, 38] and [40].<sup>7</sup> The operators in this section follow the conventions that: first,  $D_{\mu}\Pi = D_{\mu}\phi^I\tau^I$  are used to represent the NGBs building block  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$ , as discussed in section 3.2. The operator involved in the  $D_{\mu}\phi^I$  would be equivalent to the one with the  $\mathbf{V}_{\mu}$ , which can be seen from the soft-recursion relation for the NGBs. Second, the physical Higgs h and its dimensionless function  $\mathcal{F}$  are omitted in all the operators here. For comparison, all the operators that were missing are marked in red in the following.

#### B.1 Bosonic operators

The following notations and conversions among this work and previous ones are utilized for comparison.

• The field degrees of freedom of gauge bosons in this work are chosen to be

$$X_{L\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(X_{\mu\nu} + i\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu}), \qquad X_{R\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(X_{\mu\nu} - i\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu}),$$
 (B.1)

where  $\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}X^{\rho\sigma}$ . Thus for the operators involved in the gauge bosons, we follow the convention that  $X_L$  corresponds to X and  $X_R$  corresponds to  $\tilde{X}$ .

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$ Refs. [34, 35] also present the NLO operator basis, but a different power counting on the spurion is adopted. So the LO + NLO operators in total in refs. [34, 35] should be a subset of the operator basis listed here.

• If there are many derivatives in a type of operator, the Lorentz structure could be complicated, and thus there are different choices of the independent Lorentz basis. For the type  $D^4h^4$ , the Lorentz structure chosen in this paper is  $(hD_{\mu}D_{\nu}h)(hD^{\mu}D^{\nu}h)$ , while in ref. [30] the form  $D_{\mu}hD_{\nu}hD^{\mu}hD^{\nu}h$  was chosen. They are different but can be converted to each other by integration-by-part(IBP):

$$(D_{\mu}hD_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}hD^{\nu}h) = -(hD_{\mu}D_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}hD^{\nu}h) - (hD_{\nu}h)(D^{2}hD^{\nu}h) - (hD_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}hD_{\mu}D^{\nu}h) = (hD_{\mu}D_{\nu}h)(hD^{\mu}D^{\nu}h) + (hD_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}hD_{\mu}D^{\nu}h) - (hD_{\nu}h)(D^{\mu}hD_{\mu}D^{\nu}h) = (hD_{\mu}D_{\nu}h)(hD^{\mu}D^{\nu}h) + \cdots,$$
(B.2)

where terms involving the d'Alembert operator  $D^2$  are eliminated, this procedure can be completed by the ABC4EFT code automatically.

• Because the choice of the invariant tensors in the gauge group could be arbitrary, there are several operators which are not exactly the same as their correspondences in previous literature. For example, the operator  $\mathcal{O}_8^{XUhD^2}$  corresponds to the  $\mathcal{O}_{XU12}$  in the ref. [30] via

$$\mathcal{O}_{XU12} \leftrightarrow ig\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle W^{\mu\nu}\tau_L \rangle \langle \tau_L[L^{\lambda}, L^{\rho}] \rangle F_{XU12}(h)$$

$$\leftrightarrow \epsilon_{JLM} \mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^J W_R^{I\mu\nu} D_{\mu} \phi^L D_{\nu} \phi^M , \qquad (B.3)$$

but is of a different form. This equivalence can be checked via group tensor identities. For the SU(2) group, there is the identity:

$$\delta_{IJ}\epsilon_{KLM} - \delta_{IK}\epsilon_{JLM} + \delta_{IL}\epsilon_{JKM} - \delta_{IM}\epsilon_{JKL} = 0.$$
 (B.4)

Contracting with building blocks  $\mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^J X_{\mu\nu}^K D_\mu \phi^L D_\nu \phi^M$ , the first one is spurion self-contraction, which should be eliminated, the second one is of the form of  $\mathcal{O}_{XU12}$ , and the third one is of the form of  $\mathcal{O}_7^{X^2Uh}$ . The last one can be converted to the third one by

$$\delta_{IM}\epsilon_{JKL}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}X_{\mu\nu}^{K}D_{\mu}\phi^{L}D_{\nu}\phi^{M}$$

$$=\delta_{IM}\epsilon_{JKL}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}X_{\mu\nu}^{K}D_{\nu}\phi^{M}D_{\mu}\phi^{L} \quad ([D_{\mu}\phi^{L}, D_{\nu}\phi^{M}] \to 0)$$

$$=-\delta_{IL}\epsilon_{JKM}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}X_{\mu\nu}^{K}D_{\mu}\phi^{L}D_{\nu}\phi^{M} \quad (L \leftrightarrow M, X_{\mu\nu} = -X_{\nu\mu}). \tag{B.5}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{XU12} \propto \mathcal{O}_8^{XUhD^2}$ , as expected.

Most of the identifications of the operators in this paper and the previous literature in this appendix are realized in this way. Next, we list the complete operator list.

Type  $UhD^4$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{UhD^4}$	Operators	[30]	[38]
1	$\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{J}\right)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D^{ u}\phi^{J}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D1}$	$\mathcal{P}_6$
2	$\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I} ight)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{I} ight)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{J} ight)\left(D^{ u}\phi^{J} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D2}$	$\mathcal{P}_{11}$
3	$\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{K}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{K}\right)\left(D^{ u}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{J}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D5}$	$\mathcal{P}_{24}$
4	$\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{K}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I} ight)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{J} ight)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{I} ight)\left(D^{ u}\phi^{K} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D4}$	$\mathcal{P}_{23}$
5	$\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{K}\mathbf{T}^{M}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{\nu}\phi^{K}\right)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{J}\right)\left(D^{\nu}\phi^{M}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D3}$	$\mathcal{P}_{26}$
6	$\mathbf{T}^{J}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{J}\right)\left(D^{ u}h\right)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D12}$	$\mathcal{S}_6$
7	$\mathbf{T}^{J}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D^{ u}h ight)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{J}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D13}$	$\mathcal{S}_5$
8	$\epsilon^{ ext{JKM}}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{K}\left(D_{ u}\phi^{J}\right)\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{M}\right)\left(D^{ u}h ight)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D6}$	$\mathcal{P}_{18}$
9	$\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{K}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{\nu}\phi^{K}\right)\left(D^{\nu}h\right)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{J}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D14}$	$\mathcal{S}_{15}$
10	$h\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D^{\mu}D^{ u}h\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D8}$	$\mathcal{P}_8$
11	$(D_{ u}h)\left(D_{\mu}\phi^I ight)\left(D^{ u}h ight)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^I ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D7}$	$\mathcal{P}_{20}$
12	$h\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}\right)\left(D_{ u}\phi^{J}\right)\left(D^{\mu}D^{ u}h\right)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D10}$	$\mathcal{P}_{22}$
13	$\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}\left(D_{ u}h ight)\left(D_{\mu}\phi^{I} ight)\left(D^{ u}h ight)\left(D^{\mu}\phi^{J} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D9}$	$\mathcal{P}_{21}$
14	$h\mathbf{T}^{I}\left(D_{\mu}\hat{\phi^{I}}\right)\left(D^{\nu}h ight)\left(D_{ u}\hat{D^{\mu}}h ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D15}$	
15	$h^{\hat{2}}\left(D_{\mu}\stackrel{'}{D_{ u}}h ight)\left(D^{\mu}D^{ u}h ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{D11}$	$\mathcal{P}_{DH}$

### Type $X^2Uh$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{X^2Uh}$	Operators	[30]	[38]
1	$B_L^{\mu\nu}B_{L\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{Xh1}$	$\mathcal{P}_B$
2	$B_R^{\mu\nu}B_{R\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{Xh4}$	$\mathcal{S}_{ ilde{B}}$
3	$G_L^{A\mu u}G_L^{A}_{L\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{Xh3}$	$\mathcal{P}_G$
4	$G_R^{A\mu u}G_{R\mu u}^A$	$\mathcal{O}_{Xh6}$	$\mathcal{S}_{ ilde{G}}$
5	$W_L^{I\mu u}W_{L\mu u}^{\dot{I}}$	$\mathcal{O}_{Xh2}$	$\mathcal{P}_W$
6	$W_R^{I\mu u}W_{R\mu u}^{I^{'}}$	$\mathcal{O}_{Xh5}$	$\mathcal{S}_{ ilde{W}}$
7	$\mathbf{T}^I B_{L\mu u} W_L^{I\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{XU1}$	$\mathcal{P}_1$
8	$\mathbf{T}^I B_{R\mu\nu} W_R^{I\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{XU4}$	$\mathcal{S}_1$
9	$\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}W_{L\mu u}^{I}W_{L}^{J\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{XU2}$	
10	$\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}W_{R\mu u}^{I^{'}}W_{R}^{J\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{XU5}$	

### Type $XUhD^2$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{XUhD^2}$	Operators	[30]	[38]
1	$\epsilon^{IJK} h W_L^{I\nu\mu} (D_\mu \phi^J) (D_\nu \phi^K)$	$O_{XU8}$	$P_3$
2	$\epsilon^{IJK} h W_R^{K u\mu}(D_\mu \phi^I)(D_ u \phi^J)$	$O_{XU11}$	
3	$\epsilon^{IJK} h \mathbf{T}^K B_L^{\nu\mu} (D_\mu \phi^I) (D_\nu \phi^J)$	$O_{XU7}$	$P_2$
4	$\epsilon^{IJK} h \mathbf{T}^K B_R^{\nu\mu} (D_\mu \phi^I) (D_\nu \phi^J)$	$O_{XU10}$	

5	$h\mathbf{T}^J W_L^{I u\mu}(D_ u\phi^I)(D_\mu\phi^J)$	$O_{XU6}$	$S_4$
6	$h\mathbf{T}^JW_R^{I u\mu}(D_ u\phi^I)(D_\mu\phi^J)$	$O_{XU3}$	$P_{14}$
7	$\epsilon^{JKM} h \mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^K W_L^{I\nu\mu} (D_{\nu} \phi^J) (D_{\mu} \phi^M)$	$O_{XU9}$	$P_{13}$
8	$\epsilon^{JKM} h \mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^M W_R^{K\nu\mu} (D_{\nu} \phi^I) (D_{\mu} \phi^J)$	$O_{XU12}$	

Type $X^3$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{X^3}$	Operators	[30]
1	$f^{ABC}G^{A}_{L\mu\nu}G^{C\mu\lambda}_{L}G^{B\nu}_{L\lambda}$	$\mathcal{P}_{GGG}$
2	$f^{ABC}\mathcal{D}^{A}_{R\mu u}\mathcal{D}^{C\mu\lambda}_{R}G^{B u}_{R\lambda}$	$\mathcal{S}_{G ilde{G}G}$
3	$\epsilon^{IJK}W^I_{L\mu u}W^{K\mu\lambda}_LW^{J u}_{L\lambda}$	$\mathcal{P}_{WWW}$
4	$\epsilon^{IJK}W_{R\mu u}^{I^{'}}W_{R}^{K\mu\lambda}W_{R\lambda}^{J u}$	$\mathcal{P}_{W ilde{W}W}$
5	$\epsilon^{IJK} \mathbf{T}^K B_{L\mu\nu} W_L^{J\lambda\mu} W_{L\lambda}^{I\nu}$	
6	$\epsilon^{IJK} \mathbf{T}^K B_{R\mu\nu} W_R^{J\lambda\mu} W_{R\lambda}^{I\nu}$	

#### **B.2** Fermionic operators

For the operators involved in the fermions, the treatment of the spurion fields would be complicated.

• There are 3 useful identities have been proven to be useful for the comparison:

$$[\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V}_{\mu}] = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{IJK} \langle \mathbf{T} \sigma^{I} \rangle \langle \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \sigma^{J} \rangle \sigma^{K}, \qquad (B.6)$$

$$\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V}_{\mu}\} = \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \mathbf{I}, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}\langle\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V}_{\mu}\rangle - \mathbf{V}_{\mu}, \qquad (B.8)$$

where  $\langle ... \rangle$  represents matrix trace. For example, the correspondence of  $\mathcal{O}_{10}^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{18}^Q$  can be verified as following:

$$\mathcal{N}_{18}^{Q}: \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} = (\mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \mathbf{T}) \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{T} \to \mathbf{T} \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{T}$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \mathbf{T} \to \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle \mathbf{T}$$

$$\to \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} \mathbf{T}^{K} (D_{\mu} \phi^{I}) (D^{\mu} \phi^{J}). \tag{B.9}$$

• In type  $\psi^2 UhD^2$ , operators  $\mathcal{O}_9^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$  are quite different from their correspondences  $\mathcal{N}_{17}^Q$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_{20}^Q$  in [38]. To verify this relation, recombine the  $\mathcal{N}_{17}^Q$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_{20}^Q$  as

$$\mathcal{N}_{17}^Q + \mathcal{N}_{20}^Q \to \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_{\mu} \rangle \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \rangle ,$$
 (B.10)

$$\mathcal{N}_{17}^{Q} - \mathcal{N}_{20}^{Q} \to \langle \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V} \rangle \epsilon^{IJK} \langle \mathbf{T} \sigma^{I} \rangle \langle \mathbf{V}^{\mu} \sigma^{J} \rangle \sigma^{K} . \tag{B.11}$$

It is straightforward to figure out that  $\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{N}_{17}^Q - \mathcal{N}_{20}^Q$ . As for the first combination, it actually can be converted to  $\mathcal{O}_9^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$ , since there is the equation that

$$\epsilon^{IJK}\tau^{Lj}_{\ \ i} = -\epsilon^{ILM}\epsilon^{MKJ}\delta^j_i - \epsilon^{IKM}\epsilon^{MLJ}\delta^j_i - \epsilon^{JIM}\epsilon^{MLK}\delta^j_i \ . \eqno(B.12)$$

The right hand has the expression that  $-2(\delta^{IK}\delta^{LJ} + \delta^{IJ}\delta^{KL})\delta^j_i$ , one of them corresponds to spurion self-contraction, and the other corresponds to operator  $\mathcal{O}_9^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$ .

• In the case of 4-fermion operators, Fierz identities in appendix A are used for correspondence,

$$\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_I)_{ij}(\sigma_I)_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{jl},$$

$$\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_I)_{ij}(\sigma_I)_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{jl},$$

$$\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_A)_{ij}(\lambda_A)_{kl} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\delta_{jl},$$

$$\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_A)_{ij}(\lambda_A)_{kl} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\delta_{jl},$$

$$\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} = \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{ij}(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu})_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl},$$

$$\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} = \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{ij}(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu})_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}.$$
(B.13)

For example,

$$R_{1}^{Q} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{3}^{\psi^{4}Uh} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\psi^{4}Uh}$$

$$R_{2}^{Q} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\psi^{4}Uh} + \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{4}Uh} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{3}^{\psi^{4}Uh} - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\psi^{4}Uh}$$

$$R_{5}^{Q} = \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\psi^{4}Uh} + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{4}Uh} - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{O}_{3}^{\psi^{4}Uh} - \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\psi^{4}Uh}$$

$$R_{6}^{Q} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\psi^{4}Uh} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\psi^{4}Uh}$$
(B.14)

• As fermions' number increases, there is a general case not considered in previous literature, which gives extra independent operators. Because the product of  $\delta$  symbol  $\delta_i^i \delta_l^k$  is invariant tensor under SU(2) group, it satisfies the relation that

$$\tau^{I_{j}^{i}}\delta^{k}_{l} + \tau^{I_{l}^{k}}\delta^{i}_{j} + \tau^{I_{j}^{k}}\delta^{i}_{l} + \tau^{I_{l}^{i}}\delta^{k}_{j} = 0$$
 (B.15)

Thus there are 3 independent invariant tensors of this kind. This fact implies that in many types involving spurions such as  $Q_L^{\dagger}Q_LQ_R^{\dagger}Q_R$ ,  $Q_L^{\dagger}Q_L^LL_L^{\dagger}L_L$  and so on, operators considered before are not complete, in which only 2 independent tensors were considered before.

#### **B.2.1** Fermion-current operators

Type  $\psi^2 UhD$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{\psi^2 UhD}$	Operators	[30]	[38]
1	$ au^{Ii}{}_j h(Q_L^{\dagger aj} \sigma^\mu Q_{Lai})(D_\mu \phi^I)$	$O_{\psi V3}$	
2	$h\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{L}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lai})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})$	$O_{\psi V1}$	$N_5^Q$
3	$\epsilon^{IJK} \tau^{Ki}{}_j h \mathbf{T}^J (Q_L^{\dagger aj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Lai}) (D_{\mu} \phi^I)$	$O_{\psi V3}^{\dagger}$	

```
4 \qquad \tau^{Ji}{}_{j}h\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{L}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lai})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}) \qquad O_{\psi V2}
5 \qquad \tau^{Ii}{}_{j}h(Q_{R}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rai})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}) \qquad O_{\psi V6} \quad N_{2}^{Q}
6 \qquad h\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{R}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rai})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}) \qquad O_{\psi V4} \quad N_{6}^{Q}
7 \qquad \epsilon^{IJK}\tau^{Ki}{}_{j}h\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{R}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rai})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}) \qquad O_{\psi V6}^{\dagger} \quad N_{4}^{Q}
8 \qquad \tau^{Ji}{}_{j}h\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{R}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rai})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I}) \qquad O_{\psi V5} \quad N_{8}^{Q}
```

#### Type $\psi^2 UhD^2$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{\psi^2 UhD^2}$	Operators	[30]	[38]
1	$(Q_L^{\dagger ai}Q_{Rai})(D_\mu\phi^I)(D^\mu\phi^I)$	$O_{\psi S1}$	$N_{15}^Q$
2	$ au^{Kj}{}_i\epsilon^{IJK}(Q_L^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Raj})(D_ u\phi^I)(D_\mu\phi^J)$	$O_{\psi T4}$	$N_{27}^Q$
3	$\epsilon^{IJK} \mathbf{T}^K (Q_L^{\dagger ai} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Raj}) (D_{\nu} \phi^I) (D_{\mu} \phi^J)$	$O_{\psi T1}$	$N_{28}^Q$
4	$ au^{Jj}{}_i \mathbf{T}^I (Q_L^{\dagger ai} Q_{Raj}) (D_\mu \phi^I) (D^\mu \phi^J)$	$O_{\psi S5}$	$N_{19}^{ ilde{Q}}$
5	$ au^{Jj}{}_i \mathbf{T}^I (Q_L^{\dagger ai} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Raj}) (D_{ u} \phi^I) (D_{\mu} \phi^J)$	$O_{\psi T3}$	$N_{25}^Q$
6	$ au^{Jj}{}_i \mathbf{T}^J (Q_L^{\dagger ai} Q_{Raj}) (D_\mu \phi^I) (D^\mu \phi^I)$	$O_{\psi S2}$	$N_{16}^Q$
7	$\tau^{Mj}{}_i\epsilon^{JKM}\mathbf{T}^I\mathbf{T}^K(Q_L^{\dagger ai}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Raj})(D_{\nu}\phi^I)(D_{\mu}\phi^J)$	$O_{\psi T2}$	$N_{26}^Q$
8	$ au^{Mj}{}_i\epsilon^{JKM}\mathbf{T}^I\mathbf{T}^K(Q_L^{\dagger ai}Q_{Raj})(D_\mu\phi^I)(D^\mu\phi^J)$	$O_{\psi S6}$	$N_{20}^Q$
9	$\tau^{Kj}{}_{i}\epsilon^{IJM}\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{K}(Q_{L}^{\dagger ai}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Raj})(D_{\nu}\phi^{I})(D_{\mu}\phi^{M})$	$O_{\psi S3}$	$N_{17}^Q$
10	$ au^{Kj}{}_{i}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}\mathbf{T}^{K}(Q_{L}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Raj})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})(D^{\mu}\phi^{J})$	$O_{\psi S4}$	$N_{18}^{Q}$
11	$ au^{Ij}{}_i(D^\mu h)(Q_L^{\dagger ai}Q_{Raj})(D_\mu\phi^I)$	$O_{\psi S12}$	$N_{11}^Q$
12	$ au^{Ij}{}_i h((D^\mu Q_L^{\dagger ai})Q_{Raj})(D_\mu \phi^I)$	$O_{\psi T9}$	$N_{21}^Q$
13	${\tau^{Kj}}_i \epsilon^{IJK} \mathbf{T}^J(D^\mu h) (Q_L^{\dagger ai} Q_{Raj}) (D_\mu \phi^I)$	$O_{\psi S13}$	$N_{13}^Q$
14	$ au^{Kj}{}_i\epsilon^{IJK}\mathbf{T}^J((D^\mu Q_L^{\dagger ai})Q_{Raj})(D_\mu\phi^I)$	$O_{\psi T10}$	$N_{22}^Q$
15	$\mathbf{T}^I(D^\mu h)(Q_L^{\dagger ai}Q_{Rai})(D_\mu\phi^I)$	$O_{\psi S11}$	$N_{12}^Q$
16	$h\mathbf{T}^I((D^\mu Q_L^{\dagger ai})Q_{Rai})(D_\mu\phi^I)$	$O_{\psi T7}$	$N_{23}^Q$
17	$ au^{Jj}{}_{i}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(D^{\mu}h)(Q_{L}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Raj})(D_{\mu}\phi^{I})$	$O_{\psi S10}$	$N_{14}^{ ilde{Q}}$
18	$ au^{Jj}{}_i\mathbf{T}^I\mathbf{T}^Jh((D^\mu Q_L^{\dagger ai})Q_{Raj})(D_\mu\phi^I)$	$O_{\psi T8}$	$N_{24}^Q$
19	$(D_{\mu}h)(D^{\mu}h)(Q_L^{\dagger ai}Q_{Rai})$	$O_{\psi S14}$	$N_{9}^{Q}$
20	$\tau^{Ij}{}_i\mathbf{T}^I(D_\mu h)(D^\mu h)(Q_L^{\dagger ai}Q_{Raj})$	$O_{\psi S15}$	$N_{10}^Q$

#### Type $\psi^2 X U h$ :

$\mathcal{O}_i^{\psi^2 UhX}$	Operators	[30]	[38]
1	$B_{R\mu u}(Q_L^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Rai})$	$O_{\psi X1}$	$N_{29}^Q$
2	$ au^{Ij}{}_i \mathbf{T}^I B_{R\mu\nu} (Q_L^{\dagger ai} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Raj})$	$O_{\psi X2}$	$N_{30}^Q$
3	$\lambda^{Ab}{}_a G^A_{R\mu u} (Q^{\dagger ai}_L ar{\sigma}^{\mu u} Q_{Rai})$	$O_{\psi X7}$	$N_{31}^Q$
4	$\lambda^{Ab}{}_a  au^{Ij}{}_i \mathbf{T}^I G^A_{R\mu\nu} (Q^{\dagger ai}_L \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Raj})$	$O_{\psi X8}$	$N_{32}^Q$
5	$\mathbf{T}^I W^I_{R\mu u} (Q^{\dagger ai}_L ar{\sigma}^{\mu u} Q_{Rai})$	$O_{\psi X3}$	$N_{34}^Q$
6	$\tau^{Kj}{}_{i}\epsilon^{IJK}\mathbf{T}^{J}W^{I}_{R\mu\nu}(Q^{\dagger ai}_{L}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Raj})$	$O_{\psi X6}$	$N_{35}^Q$
7	$ au^{Ij}{}_iW^I_{R\mu u}(Q^{\dagger ai}_Lar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Raj})$	$O_{\psi X5}$	$N_{33}^Q$
8	$ au^{Jj}{}_{i}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}\overset{\cdot}{W}^{I}_{R\mu u}(Q^{\dagger ai}_{L}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Raj})$	$O_{\psi X4}$	$N_{36}^Q$

B.2.2 Four-fermion operators Type  $Q_L^{\dagger \ ^2}Q_R^{\ ^2}$ :

$\mathcal{O}_{1-24}^{Uh\psi^4}$	Operator	[38]
1	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[ p \mid r  ight], \left[ s \mid t  ight]}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}Q_{Rtbj})$	$R_1^Q$
2	$\mathcal{Y}^{[\![\!p\!]\!r],[\!s\!]\!t]}(Q_{Ln}^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}Q_{Rtbj})$	
3	$\mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}$ , st $(Q_{Ln}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsaj}Q_{Rtbi})$	$R_2^Q \ R_6^Q$
4	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[p ight]r}, \overline{s}^{t}](Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsaj}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}Q_{Rtbi})$	$R_6^Q$
5	$\mathcal{Y}[\![\!rac{r}{r}\!]\!,\![\!rac{s}{t}\!]\!](Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}Q_{Rtbj})$	
6	$\mathcal{Y}[\![rac{p}{r},rac{s}{t}]\!](\overline{Q}_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}Q_{Rtbj})$	
7	$\mathcal{Y}_{[\![rac{p}{r}\!], rac{s}{t}\!]}^{[\![rac{p}{r}\!], rac{s}{t}\!]}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsaj}Q_{Rtbi})$	
8	$\mathcal{Y}[\![\!rac{p}{r}\!],\![\!rac{s}{t}\!]\!](\overline{Q}_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsaj}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}Q_{Rtbi})$	
9	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{pr}],\overline{st}]  au^{Ik}{}_j \mathbf{T}^I (Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai} Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj}) (Q_{Rsak} Q_{Rtbi})$	$R_7^Q$
10	$\mathcal{Y}^{[p]r}$ , $[\mathbf{x}^{it}]$ $\mathbf{T}^{I}$ $(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bi})(Q_{Rsak}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}Q_{Rtbi})$	$R_7^Q$ $R_3^Q$
11	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[\!\left[\!\left[p ight]\!\right],\left[\!\left[\!s ight]\!\right]}  au^{Ik}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}Q_{Rtbi})$	
12	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[\overline{p}_{T},rac{s}{t} ight]} au^{Tk}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}Q_{Rtbi})$	
13	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{\overline{p_!r}}, \overline{s_!}]  au^{II}_j \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}Q_{Rtbl})$	
14	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[p ight]r}, \left[rac{s}{t} ight] au^{II}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}Q_{Rtbl})$	
15	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p}]$ , $\overline{s}$ $t$ $j$ $\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}Q_{Rtbi})$	
16	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{p}_r], \underline{\Box}_j \mathbf{T}^{Ik}_j \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} Q_{Rtbi})$	
17	$\mathcal{Y}[\frac{p}{r}], \underbrace{\mathbb{F}[}_{r}]  au^{Il}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai}Q_{Rtbl})$	
18	$\mathcal{Y}[p]_{r}, \overset{\square}{s[t]}  au^{Il}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai} ar{\sigma}^{\mu  u} Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsai} ar{\sigma}_{\mu  u} Q_{Rtbl})$	
19	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{p}_r, \underline{s}_t]  au^{Ik}{}_j \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}Q_{Rtbi})$	
20	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{P}_r], \overline{\mathbb{I}}_i \overline{T^{Ik}}_j \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu  u} Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu  u} Q_{Rtbi})$	
21	$\mathcal{Y}^{\square}_{[p r]}, \overline{s t } \tau^{Ik}{}_{i}\tau^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}Q_{Rtbl})$	$R_4^Q$
22	$\mathcal{Y}[pr,st] \tau^{Ik}{}_i \tau^{Jl}{}_j \mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^J (Q_{Ln}^{\dagger ai} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Ln}^{\dagger bj}) (Q_{Rsak} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} Q_{Rtbl})$	$R_4^Q$ $R_8^Q$
23	$\mathcal{Y}[\![\!rac{p}{r}\!],\![\!rac{s}{t}\!]\!] au^{Ik}{}_{i} au^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}Q_{Rtbl})$	
24	$\mathcal{Y}_{[r]}^{[p]}, \bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{j}}}}} \tau^{I\bar{k}}{}_{i}\tau^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lp}^{\dagger ai}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Lr}^{\dagger bj})(Q_{Rsak}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}Q_{Rtbl})$	

# Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_L Q_R^\dagger Q_R$ :

$\mathcal{O}^{Uh\psi^4}_{25-36}$	Operator	[38]
25	$(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtbj})$	$R_{17}^Q$
26	$(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bi}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtbk})$	$R_{21}^Q$
27	$(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ci})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtcj})$	$R_{22}^Q$
28	$(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ck})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtck})$	$R_{26}^Q$
29	$ au^{Ii}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_\mu Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rtbj})$	$R_{19}^Q$
30	$ au^{Ii}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_\mu Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ck})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rtcj})$	$R_{24}^Q$

```
31 \qquad \tau^{Il}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bi}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtbl}) \qquad R_{23}^{Q}
32 \qquad \tau^{Il}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ck})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtcl}) \qquad R_{18}^{Q}
33 \qquad \tau^{Ii}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtbk})
34 \qquad \tau^{Ii}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ck})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtck})
35 \qquad \tau^{Ii}{}_{j}\tau^{Jl}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ck})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtcl}) \qquad R_{20}^{Q}
36 \qquad \tau^{Il}{}_{j}\tau^{Ji}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ck})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger aj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtcl}) \qquad R_{25}^{Q}
```

# Type ${Q_L^{\dagger}}^2 {Q_L}^2 \& {Q_R^{\dagger}}^2 {Q_R}^2$ :

$\mathcal{O}_{37-60}^{Uh\psi^4}$	Operator	[38]
37	$\mathcal{Y}^{[p]r}, [s]t](Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bj})$	$R_9^Q$
38	$\mathcal{Y}^{[\![\!p]\!]r}, \overline{s}^{[\![\!]\!]t}](Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger aj})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bi})$	$R_{12}^{Q}$
39	$\mathcal{Y}[p]_{r}, [s]_{t}](Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bj})$	
40	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[\!\!{\stackrel{ extstyle }{\stackrel{ extstyle }{\stackrel{\stackrel{ extstyle }{\stackrel{ extstyle }{\stackrel{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }{\stackrel{ extstyle }}{\stackrel{ extstyle }}}{ exts$	
41	$\mathcal{Y}^{[p]r, [\overline{s}]} \overline{ au}^{Ij}_l \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lpai} \sigma^\mu Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Lrbj} \sigma_\mu Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	$R_{10}^Q$
42	$\mathcal{Y}[pr, \frac{s}{t}]  au^{Ij}{}_l \mathbf{T}^I(Q_{Lpai} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Lrbj} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	
43	$\mathcal{Y}_{[\underline{p}]\underline{r}, [\underline{s}]\underline{t}]} \tau^{Ii} {}_{l} \mathbf{T}^{I} (Q_{Lpai} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Ls}^{\dagger aj}) (Q_{Lrbj} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	
44	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{p}_{r}, \underline{s}\underline{t}] \tau^{Ij}{}_{l}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	
45	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p}_{r}], \overline{st}] \tau^{Ii}{}_{l}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger aj})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	
46	$\mathcal{Y}^{\square}_{r}, rac{s}{t}  au^{Ij}{}_{l} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	
47	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{pr},\underline{\overline{st}}]\underline{\overline{\tau}^{Ii}}_{k} au^{Jj}_{l}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bl})$	$R_{11}^Q$
48	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[rac{p}{r},\left[rac{s}{t} ight]} au^{Ii}{}_{k} au^{Jj}{}_{l}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger aar{k}})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger bar{l}})$	
49	$\mathcal{Y}_{[p]r}^{[p]r}$ , $\mathcal{Y}_{[p]r}^{[ai}$ , $\mathcal{Y}_{Rp}^{[ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsai})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbj})$	$R_{13}^Q$
50	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[\!\left[p ight]r},\left[s ight]t ight]}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsaj})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbi})$	$R_{16}^{Q}$
51	$\mathcal{Y}[\![rac{p}{r},rac{s}{t}](Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsai})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbj})$	
52	$\mathcal{Y}[\![\!]\!]\![\![\!]\!]\![Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsaj})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbi})$	
53	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{pr}, \overline{st}] \overline{\tau}^{Ik}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rsak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rtbi})$	$R_{14}^Q$
54	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[p ight]r}, \left[rac{s}{t} ight] au^{Ik}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbi})$	
55	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[p\right]r}, \overline{\stackrel{s}{\stackrel{l}{\mid t}}} \tau^{Il}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsai})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbl})$	
56	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{p}_{r}], \overline{st}]  au^{Ik}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rsak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rtbi})$	
57	$\mathcal{Y}^{[p]}_{[r],[st]}  au^{Il}{}_j \mathbf{T}^I(Q^{\dagger ai}_{Rp} \sigma^\mu Q_{Rsai})(Q^{\dagger bj}_{Rr} \sigma_\mu Q_{Rtbl})$	
58	$\mathcal{Y}^{\left[\!\!\! ight.\hspace{-0.1cm} ight.\hspace{-0.1cm} ight]}_{r},_{\left[\!\!\! ight.\hspace{-0.1cm} ight.\hspace{-0.1cm} ight]} au^{Ik}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbi})$	
59	$\mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}, \overline{st} \overline{t}^{Ik}{}_{i}\tau^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbl})$	$R_{15}^Q$
60	$\mathcal{Y}[\![\![\frac{r}{r}\!],\![\frac{s}{t}\!]\!]\tau^{Ik}{}_{i}\tau^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(Q_{Rp}^{\dagger ai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rsak})(Q_{Rr}^{\dagger bj}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rtbl})$	

Pure lepton operators: including types  $L_L^{\dagger 2}L_L^2, L_L^2L_L^{\dagger 2}, L_R^2L_R^{\dagger 2}, L_LL_R^{\dagger}L_RL_L^{\dagger}$ .

$\mathcal{O}_{61-90}^{Uh\psi^4}$	Operator	[38]
61	$\mathcal{Y}[ ilde{p}r,  ilde{s}t](L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsi}L_{Rtj})$	$R_1^l$
62	$\mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}, \overline{st}](L_{Lp}^{\dagger i} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \nu} L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} L_{Rtj})$	$R_8^l$

```
\mathcal{Y}[p]_{r}, s](L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsi}L_{Rtj})
63
                                                \mathcal{Y}_{r}^{p}, \frac{s}{t}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsi}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}L_{Rtj})
64
                                           \mathcal{Y}^{[p]r}, \overline{s}^{[t]} \tau^{Ik}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lp}^{\dagger i} L_{Lr}^{\dagger j}) (L_{Rsk} L_{Rti})
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_9^l
65
                                              \mathcal{Y}^{[p]r}, \frac{s}{t} \tau^{Ik}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lp}^{\dagger i} L_{Lr}^{\dagger j}) (L_{Rsk} L_{Rti})
66
                                  \mathcal{Y}_{[p]r, [\frac{s}{t}]} \tau^{Ik}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lp}^{\dagger i} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} L_{Lr}^{\dagger j}) (L_{Rsk} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} L_{Rti})
67
                                              \mathcal{Y}_{r}^{\square}, \underline{s}_{t} \underline{t} \tau^{Ik}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lp}^{\dagger i} L_{Lr}^{\dagger j}) (L_{Rsk} L_{Rti})
68
                                  \mathcal{Y}_{r}^{[p],[s]t]} \tau^{Ik}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lp}^{\dagger i} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} L_{Lr}^{\dagger j}) (L_{Rsk} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} L_{Rti})
69
                                                 \mathcal{Y}\left[\frac{p}{r},\frac{s}{t}\right]\tau^{Ik}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsk}L_{Rti})
70
                                \mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}, \underbrace{[st]}_{st} \tau^{Ik}{}_{i}\tau^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsk}L_{Rtl})
                                                                                                                                                                                                        R_{10}^{l}
71
                                                                    {}^{Ik}{}_{i}\tau^{Jl}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Lr}^{\dagger j})(L_{Rsk}L_{Rtl})
72
                                               \overline{\mathcal{Y}}^{\overline{[p_r]}, \underline{st}}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger i})(L_{Lrj}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Lt}^{\dagger j})
73
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_2^l
                                                     \mathcal{Y}[_{r}^{p},]_{t}^{s}](L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger i})(L_{Lrj}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Lt}^{\dagger j})
74
                                     \mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}, \underbrace{\overset{\square}{st}}_{l} \tau_{l}^{Ij} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Ls}^{\dagger i}) (L_{Lrj} \sigma^{\mu} L_{Lt}^{\dagger l}) \\ \mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}, \underbrace{\overset{\square}{s}}_{t} \tau_{l}^{Ij} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Ls}^{\dagger i}) (L_{Lrj} \sigma^{\mu} L_{Lt}^{\dagger l})
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_4^l
75
76
                                        \mathcal{Y}[\underline{p}_r, \underline{s}^{\perp}] \tau_l^{Ij} \mathbf{T}^I (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Ls}^{\dagger i}) (L_{Lrj} \sigma^{\mu} L_{Lt}^{\dagger l})
77
                        \mathcal{Y}^{[pr]}, \overline{st} \tau^{Ii} \tau^{Ij} \tau^{I} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Ls}^{\dagger k}) (L_{Lrj} \sigma^{\mu} L_{Lt}^{\dagger l})
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_5^l
78
                                              \mathcal{Y}[pr, st] (L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger i}) (L_{Rrj}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rt}^{\dagger j})
79
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_3^l
                                                    \mathcal{Y}[P, s](L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger i})(L_{Rrj}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rt}^{\dagger j})
80
                                   \mathcal{Y}^{[p]r}, \overline{s}^{it}] \overline{\tau}^{Ik}{}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Rpi} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Rs}^{\dagger k}) (L_{Rrj} \sigma^{\mu} L_{Rt}^{\dagger i})
                                                                                                                                                                                                       R_{11}^{l}
81
                                     \mathcal{Y}^{[pr]} = \int_{s}^{s} \tau^{Ik} \tau^{Ik} T^{I}(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(L_{Rrj}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rt}^{\dagger i})
82
                                     \mathcal{Y}[p]_{r}, \overline{s[s]} \tau^{Ik}_{j} \mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(L_{Rrj}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rt}^{\dagger i})
83
                       84
                                                                                                                                                                                                        R_{12}^{l}
                                                                 (L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger i})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rtj})
(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger k}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rti})
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_6^l
85
                                                                                                                                                                                                        R_{15}^{l}
86
                                                      \tau^{Ii}{}_k\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^\mu L_{Rtj})
                                                                                                                                                                                                         R_7^l
87
                                                      \tau^{Ii}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rtk})
                                                                                                                                                                                                        R_{13}^l
88
                                                      \tau^{Il}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I (L_{Lpi} \sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger k}) (L_{Rr}^{\dagger i} \sigma^\mu L_{Rtl})
89
                                          \tau^{Ii}{}_{j}\tau^{Jl}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}L_{Rtl})
                                                                                                                                                                                                       R_{14}^{l}
90
```

### Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_R L_L^\dagger L_R$ :

$\mathcal{O}_{91-102}^{Uh\psi^4}$	Operator	[38]
91	$(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Rri})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}Q_{Rtak})$	$R_1^{Ql}$
92	$(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}L_{Rri})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Rtak})$	$R_6^{Ql}$
93	$(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger aj}Q_{Rtai})$	$R_2^{Ql}$
94	$(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}ar{\sigma}_{\mu u}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger aj}ar{\sigma}^{\mu u}Q_{Rtai})$	$R_5^{Ql}$
95	$ au^{II}{}_k\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Rri})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}Q_{Rtal})$	$R_3^{Ql}$
96	$ au^{II}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I (L^{\dagger i}_{Lp} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} L_{Rri}) (Q^{\dagger ak}_{Ls} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Rtal})$	$R_4^{Ql}$
97	$ au^{Ij}{}_i\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}Q_{Rtak})$	$R_{25}^{Ql}$

```
\begin{array}{lll} 98 & \tau^{Ij}{}_{i}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Rtak}) & R_{28}^{Ql} \\ 99 & \tau^{Ij}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}Q_{Rtai}) & R_{24}^{Ql} \\ 100 & \tau^{Ij}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Rtai}) & R_{29}^{Ql} \\ 101 & \tau^{Il}{}_{i}\tau^{Jj}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}Q_{Rtal}) & R_{26}^{Ql} \\ 102 & \tau^{Il}{}_{i}\tau^{Jj}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(L_{Lp}^{\dagger i}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}L_{Rrj})(Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Rtal}) & R_{27}^{Ql} \end{array}
```

# Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_L L_L^\dagger L_L \& Q_R^\dagger Q_R L_R^\dagger L_R$ :

$\mathcal{O}^{Uh\psi^4}_{103-114}$	Operator	[38]
103	$(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_7^{Ql}$
104	$(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger j})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger ai})$	$R_{13}^{Ql}$
105	$ au^{Ii}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Ls}^{\dagger k}) (Q_{Lraj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Lt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_{11}^{Ql}$
106	$ au^{Ij}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Lt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_9^{Ql}$
107	$ au^{Ii}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger j})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Lt}^{\dagger al})$	
108	$ au^{Ii}{}_k au^{Jj}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I\mathbf{T}^J(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Lt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{12}^{Ql}$
109	$(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_8^{Ql}$
110	$(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger j})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rt}^{\dagger ai})$	$R_{32}^{Ql}$
111	$ au^{Ii}{}_k\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Rpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_{30}^{QL}$
112	$ au^{Ij}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Rpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Rs}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{10}^{Ql}$
113	$ au^{Ii}{}_{l}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger j})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rt}^{\dagger al})$	
114	$\tau^{Ii}{}_k\tau^{Jj}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I\mathbf{T}^J(L_{Rpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{31}^{Ql}$

## Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_L L_R^\dagger L_R \& Q_R^\dagger Q_R L_L^\dagger L_L$ :

$\mathcal{O}^{Uh\psi^4}_{115-126}$	Operator	[38]
115	$(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_{15}^{Ql}$
116	$(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Lrai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lt}^{\dagger ak})$	$R_{35}^{Ql}$
117	$ au^{Ij}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Rpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Rs}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Lt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{17}^{Ql}$
118	$ au^{Ij}{}_{i}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Rpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Lk}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{33}^{Ql}$
119	$ au^{Ik}{}_l\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Rpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Rs}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Lrai}\sigma^\mu Q_{Ll}^{\dagger al})$	
120	$ au^{Ik}{}_i  au^{Jj}{}_l \mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^J (L_{Rpi} \sigma_\mu L_{Rs}^{\dagger k}) (Q_{Lraj} \sigma^\mu Q_{Lt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{34}^{Ql}$
121	$(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger i})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_{14}^{Ql}$
122	$(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Rrai}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rt}^{\dagger ak})$	$R_{20}^{Ql}$
123	$ au^{Ii}{}_k\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rt}^{\dagger aj})$	$R_{16}^{Ql}$
124	$ au^{Ii}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Rraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rk}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{18}^{Qj}$
125	$ au^{Il}{}_k\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger k})(Q_{Rrai}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rl}^{\dagger al})$	
126	$ au^{Ii}{}_j  au^{Jl}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I \mathbf{T}^J (L_{Lpi} \sigma_\mu L_{Ls}^{\dagger k}) (Q_{Rraj} \sigma^\mu Q_{Rt}^{\dagger al})$	$R_{19}^{Ql}$

# Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_R L_R^\dagger L_L$ :

$\mathcal{O}^{Uh\psi^4}_{127-132}$	Operator	[38]
127	$(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ai})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtaj})$	$R_{21}^{Ql}$
128	$(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtak})$	$R_{23}^{Ql}$

129	$ au^{Ii}{}_k\mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}\sigma_\mu Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^\mu Q_{Rtaj})$	$R_{22}^{Ql}$
130	$ au^{Il}{}_k \mathbf{T}^I (L_{Lpi} \sigma_\mu Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak}) (L_{Rr}^{\dagger i} \sigma^\mu Q_{Rtal})$	$R_{38}^{Ql}$
131	$ au^{Ii}{}_{j}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtak})$	$R_{36}^{Ql}$
132	$\tau^{Ii}{}_{j}\tau^{Jl}{}_{k}\mathbf{T}^{I}\mathbf{T}^{J}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Ls}^{\dagger ak})(L_{Rr}^{\dagger j}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtal})$	$R_{37}^{Ql}$

Type  $Q^3L$ : literature [40] considering only 1 generation, so operators obtained here have a surjection relation with operators in [40].

$\mathcal{O}^{Uh\psi^4}_{133-160}$	Operator	[40]
133	$\mathcal{Y}^{[r]s]t} = \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (L_{Lpi} Q_{Lraj}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Ltcl})$	$R_1$
134	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}] \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (L_{Lpi} Q_{Lraj}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Ltcl})$	
135	$\mathcal{Y}^{\square}_{[r]} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (L_{Lpi} Q_{Lraj}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Ltcl})$	
136	$\mathcal{Y}^{[r]st}$ $\tau^{II}_{m}\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{km}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}Q_{Lraj})(Q_{Lsbk}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Q_{Ltcl})$	$R_2$
137	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}_{s}]\tau^{Il}{}_{m}\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{km}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi}Q_{Lraj})(Q_{Lsbk}Q_{Ltcl})$	
138	$\mathcal{Y}_{t}^{[r]s} \bar{\tau}^{Il}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} Q_{Lraj})(Q_{Lsbk} \sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{Ltcl})$	
139	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}]_{\substack{s \ t}}  au^{Il} m \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^I(L_{Lpi}Q_{Lraj})(Q_{Lsbk}Q_{Ltcl})$	
140	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}] \tau^{Ii}_{m} \tau^{Jj}_{n} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lpi} Q_{Lraj}) (Q_{Lsbk} Q_{Ltcl})$	
141	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{s}\underline{t}]\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ik}\epsilon^{jl}(L_{Lpi}\sigma_{\mu}Q_{Rsbk})(Q_{Lraj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtcl})$	$R^5$
142	$\mathcal{Y}[s] \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rsbk}) (Q_{Lraj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	
143	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{s}t]  au^{Ii}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{jl} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rsbk})(Q_{Lraj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_6$
144	$\mathcal{Y}_{t}^{s} T^{Ii}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{jl} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rsbk})(Q_{Lraj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	
145	$\mathcal{Y}_{[s]}^{[s]}  au^{Il}{}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rsbk}) (Q_{Lraj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_7$
146	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{\underline{s}}] \tau^{Ii}{}_{m} \tau^{Jj}{}_{n} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Lpi} \sigma_{\mu} Q_{Rsbk}) (Q_{Lraj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_8$
147	$\mathcal{Y}$ $[\underline{s}]_{\epsilon} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (Q_{Lpai} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Rsk}) (Q_{Lrbj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_9$
148	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{s}] \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (Q_{Lpai} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Rsk}) (Q_{Lrbj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	
149	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{s}\underline{t}]\tau^{Ii}{}_{m}\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{jk}\epsilon^{lm}\mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai}\sigma_{\mu}L_{Rsk})(Q_{Lrbj}\sigma^{\mu}Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_{11}$
150	$\mathcal{Y}_{t}^{s}   \tau^{Ii}{}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{jl} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Rsk})(Q_{Lrbj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_{10}$
151	$\mathcal{Y}[\bar{s}] \tau^{Ii}{}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{jK} \epsilon^{Lm} \mathbf{T}^{I}(Q_{Lpai} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Rsk})(Q_{Lrbj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	
152	$\mathcal{Y}[\bar{s}] \tau^{Ii}_{m} \tau^{Jj}_{n} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{km} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (Q_{Lpai} \sigma_{\mu} L_{Rsk}) (Q_{Lrbj} \sigma^{\mu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_{12}$
153	$\mathcal{Y}[\overline{rst}]\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ik}\epsilon^{jl}(L_{Rpi}Q_{Rraj})(Q_{Rsbk}Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_3$
154	$\mathcal{Y}[r] \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (L_{Rpi} Q_{Rraj}) (Q_{Rsbk} Q_{Rtcl})$	
155	$\mathcal{Y}^{\square}_{[r]} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ik} \epsilon^{jl} (L_{Rpi} Q_{Rraj}) (Q_{Rsbk} Q_{Rtcl})$	
156	$\mathcal{Y}[r] \tau^{II} {}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I} (L_{Rpi} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} Q_{Rraj}) (Q_{Rsbk} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} Q_{Rtcl})$	$R_4$
157	$\mathcal{Y}\left[\frac{r s}{t}\right]\tau^{Il}{}_{m}\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{km}\mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Rpi}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}Q_{Rraj})(Q_{Rsbk}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}Q_{Rtcl})$	
158	$\mathcal{Y}[\underline{r}] \tau^{Il}{}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Rpi}Q_{Rraj})(Q_{Rsbk}Q_{Rtcl})$	
159	$\mathcal{Y}_{[s]}^{[r]} \tau^{Il}{}_{m} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{km} \mathbf{T}^{I}(L_{Rpi}Q_{Rraj})(Q_{Rsbk}Q_{Rtcl})$	
160	$\mathcal{Y}_{t}^{[r]s} \tau^{Ii}_{m} \tau^{Jj}_{n} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{ln} \mathbf{T}^{I} \mathbf{T}^{J} (L_{Rpi} Q_{Rraj}) (Q_{Rsbk} Q_{Rtcl})$	

#### C On-shell amplitude basis

In this section, we present operators' amplitude basis, in which spurions are treated as part of gauge factors and are written as  $\mathbf{T}_i = \mathbf{T}^{I_i}$ .

### Type $UhD^4$ :

$\phi^4$	$(\delta^{I_1 I_3} \delta^{I_2 I_4}) \langle 34 \rangle^2 [34]^2$
	$(\delta^{I_1 I_3} \delta^{I_2 I_4}) \langle 34 \rangle^2 [34]^2$
/4m2	$(\delta^{I_1 I_4} \delta^{I_2 I_5} \delta^{I_3 I_6} \mathbf{T}_5 \mathbf{T}_6) \langle 34 \rangle^2 [34]^2$
$\phi^4 {f T}^2$	$\delta^{I_1I_4}\delta^{I_2I_5}\delta^{I_3I_6}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)\langle 24\rangle^2[24]^2$
$\phi^4 {f T}^4$	$(\delta^{I_1I_5}\delta^{I_2I_6}\delta^{I_3I_7}\delta^{I_4I_8}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6\mathbf{T}_7\mathbf{T}_8)\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$h\phi^3\mathbf{T}$	$(\delta^{I_2I_4}\delta^{I_3I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$n\varphi$ 1	$\delta^{I_2I_4}\delta^{I_3I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)\langle 24\rangle^2[24]^2$
$h\phi^3\mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta^{I_2I_5}\epsilon^{I_3I_4I_6}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)\langle 24\rangle^2[24]^2$
$h\phi^3\mathbf{T}^3$	$(\delta^{I_2I_5}\delta^{I_3I_6}\delta^{I_4I_7}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6\mathbf{T}_7)\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$h^2\phi^2$	$(\delta^{I_3I_4})\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$\mu \phi$	$(\delta^{I_3I_4})\langle 24\rangle^2[24]^2$
$h^2\phi^2\mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta^{I_3I_5}\delta^{I_4I_6}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$n \varphi 1$	$\delta^{I_3I_5}\delta^{I_4I_6}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$h^3 \phi \mathbf{T}$	$(\delta^{I_4I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)\langle 34\rangle^2[34]^2$
$h^4$	$\langle 34 \rangle^2 [34]^2$

### Type $X^2Uh$ :

$B_L^2$	$\langle 12 \rangle^2$
$B_R^2$	$[12]^2$
$G_L^{\ 2}$	$(\delta^{A_1 A_2})\langle 12 \rangle^2$
$G_R^2$	$(\delta^{A_1 A_2})[12]^2$
$W_L^2$	$(\delta^{I_1I_2})\langle 12\rangle^2$
$W_R^2$	$(\delta^{I_1I_2})[12]^2$
$B_L W_L \mathbf{T}$	$(\delta^{I_2I_3}\mathbf{T}_3)\langle 12\rangle^2$
$B_RW_R\mathbf{T}$	$(\delta^{I_2I_3}\mathbf{T}_3)[12]^2$
$W_L^2 \mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta^{I_1I_3}\delta^{I_2I_4}\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_4)\langle 12\rangle^2$
$W_R^2 \mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta^{I_1I_3}\delta^{I_2I_4}\mathbf{T}_3\mathbf{T}_4)[12]^2$

### Type $XUhD^2$ :

	$(\epsilon^{I_1I_3I_4})[34]\langle 13\rangle\langle 14\rangle$
$h\phi^2W_R$	$(\epsilon^{I_2I_3I_4})[24][34]\langle 23\rangle$

$B_L h \phi^2 \mathbf{T}$	$(\epsilon^{I_3I_4I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)[34]\langle 13\rangle\langle 14\rangle$
$h\phi^2 B_R \mathbf{T}$	$(\epsilon^{I_2I_3I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)[24][34]\langle 23\rangle$
$W_L h \phi^2 \mathbf{T}$	$(\delta^{I_1I_4}\delta^{I_3I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)[34]\langle 13\rangle\langle 14\rangle$
$h\phi^2W_R\mathbf{T}$	$(\delta^{I_2I_4}\delta^{I_3I_5}\mathbf{T}_5)[24][34]\langle 23\rangle$
$W_L h \phi^2 \mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta^{I_1I_5}\epsilon^{I_3I_4I_6}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)[34]\langle 13\rangle\langle 14\rangle$
$h\phi^2W_R\mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta^{I_2I_5}\epsilon^{I_3I_4I_6}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)[24][34]\langle 23\rangle$

## Type $X^3$ :

$X^3$	$ \begin{array}{c} (f^{A_1 A_2 A_3})\langle 12\rangle\langle 13\rangle\langle 23\rangle \\ (f^{A_1 A_2 A_3})[12][13][23] \\ (\epsilon^{I_1 I_2 I_3})\langle 12\rangle\langle 13\rangle\langle 23\rangle \end{array} $
	$ \begin{array}{l} (\epsilon^{I_1 I_2 I_3})[12][13][23] \\ (\epsilon^{I_2 I_3 I_4} \mathbf{T}_4) \langle 12 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \end{array} $
	$(\epsilon^{I_2I_3I_4}\mathbf{T}_4)[12][13][23]$

### Type $\psi^2 UhD$ :

$Q_L h \phi Q_L^\dagger$	$(\delta_{a_4}^{a_1}(\tau^{I_3})_{i_4}^{i_1})[34]\langle 13\rangle$
$Q_L h \phi Q_L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}$	$(\delta_{i_4}^{i_1}\delta_{a_4}^{a_1}\delta^{I_5I_3}\mathbf{T}_5)[34]\langle 13\rangle$
$\varphi_L n \varphi \varphi_L 1$	$\left(\delta_{a_4}^{a_1} \epsilon^{I_3 I_5 K} (\tau^K)_{i_4}^{i_1} \mathbf{T}_5) [34] \langle 13 \rangle$
$Q_L h \phi Q_L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}^2$	$\left(\delta_{a_4}^{a_1}\delta^{I_3I_6}\delta^{I_5M}(\tau^M)_{i_4}^{i_1}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6\right)[34]\langle 13\rangle$
$Q_R^\dagger h \phi Q_R$	$(\delta_{a_1}^{a_4}(\tau^{I_3})_{i_1}^{i_4})[34]\langle 13\rangle$
$\overline{Q_R^{\dagger}h\phi Q_R\mathbf{T}}$	$(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_4}\delta^{I_5I_3}\mathbf{T}_5)[34]\langle 13\rangle$
	$\left(\delta_{a_1}^{a_4} \epsilon^{I_3 I_5 K} (\tau^K)_{i_1}^{i_4} \mathbf{T}_5) [34] \langle 13 \rangle$
$Q_R^{\dagger} h \phi Q_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta_{a_1}^{a_4}\delta^{I_3I_6}\delta^{I_5M}(\tau^M)_{i_1}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)[34]\langle 13\rangle$

## Type $\psi^2 UhD^2$ :

$\phi^2 Q_L^\dagger Q_R$	$(\delta_{i3}^{i4}\delta_{a3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_1I_2})\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$
	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4} \epsilon^{I_1 I_2 K} (\tau^K)_{i_3}^{i_4}) [34] \langle 24 \rangle [24]$
	$\left(\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_5M}\epsilon^{I_1I_2M}\mathbf{T}_5\right)[34]\langle 24\rangle[24]$
$\phi^2 Q_L^\dagger Q_R {f T}$	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_1M}\delta^{I_2I_5}(\tau^M)_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5)\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$
$\varphi \in L \otimes R 1$	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4} \delta^{I_1 M} \delta^{I_2 I_5} (\tau^M)_{i_3}^{i_4} \mathbf{T}_5) [34] \langle 24 \rangle [24]$
	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_1I_2}(\tau^{I_5})_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5)[34]\langle 3,4\rangle[34]$
	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_2N}\epsilon^{I_1I_5N}(\tau^{I_6})_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)[34]\langle 24\rangle[24]$
$\phi^2 Q_L^{\dagger} Q_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_1I_5}\epsilon^{I_2I_6N}(\tau^N)\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_{6i_3}^{i_4})\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$
	$\left(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_1I_5}\epsilon^{I_2I_6N}(\tau^N)_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)[34]\langle 24\rangle[24]\right)$
$\phi^2 Q_L^{\dagger} Q_R \mathbf{T}^3$	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_1I_5}\delta^{I_2I_6}(\tau^{I_7})_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6\mathbf{T}_7)\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$
$h\phi Q_L^\dagger Q_R$	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\tau^{I_2})_{i_3}^{i_4})\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$
$m\varphi Q_L Q_R$	$\left(-\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\tau^{I_2})_{i_3}^{i_4}\right)[34]\langle 24\rangle[24]$

$h\phi Q_L^\dagger Q_R {f T}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{a_3}^{a_4} \epsilon^{I_2 I_5 K} (\tau^K)_{i_3}^{i_4} \mathbf{T}_5) \langle 34 \rangle [34]^2 \\ (-\delta_{a_3}^{a_4} \epsilon^{I_2 I_5 K} (\tau^K)_{i_3}^{i_4} \mathbf{T}_5) [34] \langle 24 \rangle [24] \\ (\delta_{a_3}^{a_4} \delta_{i_3}^{i_4} \delta^{I_5 I_2} \mathbf{T}_5) \langle 34 \rangle [34]^2 \\ (-\delta_{a_3}^{a_4} \delta_{i_3}^{i_4} \delta^{I_5 I_2} \mathbf{T}_5) [34] \langle 24 \rangle [24] \end{array} $
$h\phi Q_L^{\dagger}Q_R\mathbf{T}^2$	$\frac{(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_2I_5}(\tau^{I_6})_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)\langle 34\rangle[34]^2}{(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}\delta^{I_2I_5}(\tau^{I_6})_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5\mathbf{T}_6)[34]\langle 24\rangle[24]}$
$h^2 Q_L^{\dagger} Q_R$	$(\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$
$h^2Q_L^\dagger Q_R {f T}$	$(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\tau^{I_5})_{i_3}^{i_4}\mathbf{T}_5)\langle 34\rangle[34]^2$

# Type $\psi^2 UhX$ :

$Q_L^\dagger Q_R B_R$	$(-\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}\delta_{a_1}^{a_2})[13][23]$
$Q_L^\dagger Q_R B_R {f T}$	$(-\delta_{a_1}^{a_2}(\tau^{I_4})_{i_1}^{i_2}\mathbf{T}_4)[13][23]$
$Q_L^\dagger Q_R G_R$	$(-\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}(\lambda^{A_3})_{a_2}^{a_1})[13][23]$
$Q_L^{\dagger}Q_RG_R\mathbf{T}$	$(-(\lambda^{A_3})_{a_2}^{a_1}(\tau^{I_4})_{i_1}^{i_2}\mathbf{T}_4)[13][23]$
$Q_L^{\dagger}Q_RW_R$	$(-\delta_{a_1}^{a_2}(\tau^{I_3})_{i_1}^{i_2})[13][23]$
$Q_L^\dagger Q_R W_R {f T}$	$(-\delta_{a_1}^{a_2} \epsilon^{I_3 I_4 K} (\tau^K)_{i_1}^{i_2} \mathbf{T}_4)[13][23]$
	$\left( -\delta_{i_1}^{i_2} \delta_{a_1}^{a_2} \delta^{I_3 I_4} \mathbf{T}_4 \right) [13][23]$
$Q_L^{\dagger}Q_RW_R\mathbf{T}^2$	$\left(\delta_{a_1}^{a_2}\delta^{I_3I_4}\delta^{I_5M}(\tau^M)_{i_1}^{i_2}\mathbf{T}_4\mathbf{T}_5)[13][23]\right)$

# Type $Q_L^{\dagger \ 2}Q_R^{\ 2}$ :

	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{1}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{f_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{f_{2}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{4}})[13][24]$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24]$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta_{i_1}\delta_{i_2}\delta_{a_1}\delta_{a_2})[12][34]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{i_4}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_4}^{a_4})[13][24]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_2}^{i_3}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2})[12][34]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_2}^{i_3}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_3}\delta_{i_4}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[12][34]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_3}\delta_{i_4}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24]$
${Q_L^\dagger}^2 {Q_R}^2$	$C_{f_1 f_2, f_3 f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_2}^{i_3} \delta_{i_1}^{i_4} \delta_{a_1}^{a_3} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24]$
L	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{1}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}})[12][34]$
	$\begin{bmatrix} C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24] \\ C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_2}^{i_3}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[12][34] \\ C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_3}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24] \end{bmatrix}$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[\tilde{1},\tilde{1}],[\tilde{1},1]}(\delta_{i_2}^{i_3}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[12][34]$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[\hat{1},\hat{1}],[\hat{1},1]}(\delta_{i_2}^{i_3}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4})[13][24]$
	$ C ^{2 \cdot ^{2 }}$ (\$\frac{1}{2} \tag{3} \frac{1}{2} \tag{7} \tag{1} \tag{1} \tag{1} \tag{1} \tag{1} \tag{1}
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[3f_4]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_2}\delta_{a_2}^{a_2}(1_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_2})[12][34]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_3})[13][24]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_4}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_3})[12][34]$
	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,3]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{2}})[13][24]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[13][24]$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_3})[13][24]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_3})[13][24]$ $C_{[2],[1,1]}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_3}\delta_{i_3}\delta_{i_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_4})[12][34]$
. 2	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_2}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_2})[13][24]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[12][34]$ $C_{f_2f_3,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_3}\delta_{a_3}^{a_3}\delta_{a_4}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_4})[13][24]$
${Q_L^\dagger}^2 {Q_R}^2 {f T}$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[i_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[13][24]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{3}}^{i_{3}})[13][24]$
	$C_{f_1 f_2, f_3 f_4}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4} \delta_{a_2}^{a_3} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_2}^{i_3})[12][34]$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_2}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(1_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_2})[12][34]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_3})[13][24]$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_4})[12][34]$
	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[12][34]$
	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[13][24]$

	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]} (\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]} (\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{2}}^{a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[13][24]$
${Q_L^\dagger}^2 {Q_R}^2 {f T}^2$	$ \begin{vmatrix} C_{f_{12}f_{34}f_{56}}^{[2],[2],[2]} (\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[12][34] \\ C_{f_{12}f_{34}f_{56}}^{[2],[2],[2]} (\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[13][24] \\ C_{f_{12}f_{34}f_{56}}^{[1,1],[1,1],[2]} (\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[12][34] \\ C_{f_{12}f_{34}f_{56}}^{[1,1],[1,1],[2]} (\delta_{a_1}^{a_3}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[13][24] \end{vmatrix} $

Type:  $Q_L^\dagger Q_L Q_R^\dagger Q_R$ 

$Q_L Q_R^\dagger Q_L^\dagger Q_R$	$ \begin{array}{l} (\delta_{i3}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i2}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a3}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a2}^{a_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (i_{1}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i3}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a1}\delta_{a2}^{a_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i3}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i3}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a3}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a2}^{a_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i3}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i2}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a1}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a3}^{a_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (i_{1}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i3}^{i_{4}}\delta_{a1}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a3}^{a_{3}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $
$Q_L Q_R^\dagger Q_L^\dagger Q_R {f T}$	$ \begin{array}{l} (\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}\delta_{a_2}^{a_1}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (i_2^{i_1}\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (i_1^{i_1}\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_2}^{a_1}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $
$Q_L Q_R^\dagger Q_L^\dagger Q_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$ \frac{(\delta_{i_3} \delta_{a_2} \delta_{a_3} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_2} (\mathbf{T}_6 \tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12 \rangle}{(\delta_{a_2}^{a_1} \delta_{a_3}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_2}^{i_1} (\mathbf{T}_6 \tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12 \rangle} $ $ (\delta_{a_2}^{a_1} \delta_{a_3}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_4}^{i_2} (\mathbf{T}_6 \tau)_{i_3}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12 \rangle $

# Type ${Q_L^\dagger}^2 {Q_L}^2 \& {Q_R^\dagger}^2 {Q_R}^2$

$Q_L{}^2Q_L^{\dagger \; 2}$	$ \begin{array}{c} C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]} \left(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}\right)[34]\langle12\rangle \\ C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]} \left(\delta_{i_{4}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}\right)[34]\langle12\rangle \\ C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]} \left(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}\right)[34]\langle12\rangle \\ C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]} \left(\delta_{i_{4}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}\right)[34]\langle12\rangle \end{array}$
$Q_L{}^2 Q_L^{\dagger \ ^2} {f T}$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$Q_L{}^2Q_L^{\dagger}{}^2\mathbf{T}^2$	$ \begin{vmatrix} C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{vmatrix} $
${Q_R^\dagger}^2 {Q_R}^2$	$ \begin{array}{c} C^{[2],[2]}_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_1}_{i_3}\delta^{i_2}_{i_4}\delta^{a_1}_{a_3}\delta^{a_2}_{a_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ C^{[2],[2]}_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_1}_{i_4}\delta^{i_2}_{i_3}\delta^{a_1}_{a_3}\delta^{a_2}_{a_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ C^{[1,1],[1,1]}_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_1}_{i_3}\delta^{i_2}_{i_4}\delta^{a_1}_{a_3}\delta^{a_2}_{a_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ C^{[1,1],[1,1]}_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_1}_{i_4}\delta^{i_2}_{i_3}\delta^{a_1}_{a_3}\delta^{a_2}_{a_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $

${Q_R^\dagger}^2 {Q_R}^2 {f T}$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$Q_R^{\dagger \ 2}Q_R^{\ 2}\mathbf{T}^2$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}(\mathbf{T}_{6}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{a_{3}}^{a_{1}}\delta_{a_{4}}^{a_{2}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}(\mathbf{T}_{6}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$

#### Pure lepton:

${L_L^\dagger}^2 L_R{}^2$	$ \begin{array}{c} C^{[2],[2]}_{f_1,f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_3}_{i_1}\delta^{i_4}_{i_2})[12][34] \\ C^{[2],[2]}_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_3}_{i_1}\delta^{i_4}_{i_2})[13][24] \\ C^{[1,1],[1,1]}_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_3}_{i_1}\delta^{i_4}_{i_2})[12][34] \\ C^{[1,1],[1,1]}_{f_1,f_2,f_3f_4}(\delta^{i_3}_{i_1}\delta^{i_4}_{i_2})[13][24] \end{array} $
$L_L^{\dagger2}L_R{}^2{f T}$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[13][24]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[13][24]$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})[12][34]$
$L_L^{\dagger \ 2} L_R^{\ 2} {f T}^2$	$C^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\mathbf{T},\tau)^{i_3}(\mathbf{T},\tau)^{i_4})[12][24]$
$L_L{}^2L_L^{\dagger}{}^2$	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}(o_{i_3}o_{i_4})[34](12) \ C^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta^{i_1}\delta^{i_2})[24]/12 $
$L_L{}^2L_L^{\dagger2}{f T}$	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[1,1],[2]}(\delta_{i_3}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_L{}^2L_L^{\dagger}{}^2{f T}^2$	$C_{f_1f_2,f_3f_4}^{[2],[2]}((\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_R^{\dagger \ 2} L_R^{\ 2}$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}((\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{3}}^{i_{1}}(\mathbf{T}_{6}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{2}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[1,1]}(\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\delta_{f_{3}}^{i_{3}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{4}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
${L_R^\dagger}^2 L_R{}^2 \mathbf{T}$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[1,1]} (\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12 \rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1],[2]} (\delta_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12 \rangle$
$L_R^{\dagger \ 2} L_R^{\ 2} \mathbf{T}^2$	$C_{f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4}^{[2],[2]}((\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_L L_R^{\dagger} L_L^{\dagger} L_R$	$C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],f_{3}f_{4}}(\langle \mathbf{T}_{5}\tau \rangle_{i_{2}}^{i_{3}})\langle \mathbf{T}_{5}\tau \rangle_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $C_{f_{1}f_{2},f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2],[2]}(\langle \mathbf{T}_{5}\tau \rangle_{i_{1}}^{i_{3}}(\mathbf{T}_{6}\tau)_{i_{2}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $(\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$ $(\delta_{i_{2}}^{i_{1}}\delta_{i_{3}}^{i_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_L L_R^\dagger L_L^\dagger L_R {f T}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $

	$\left(\delta_{i_2}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle\right.$
$L_L L_R^\dagger L_L^\dagger L_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$((\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle$

# Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_R L_L^\dagger L_R$ :

$L_L^\dagger L_R Q_L^\dagger Q_R$	$ \begin{array}{l} (\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})[12][34] \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})[13][24] \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_2}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})[12][34] \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_2}\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})[13][24] \end{array} $
$L_L^\dagger L_R Q_L^\dagger Q_R {f T}$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline (\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[12][34] \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[13][24] \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_2})[12][34] \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_2})[13][24] \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_2})[12][34] \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_2})[13][24] \end{array} $
$L_L^{\dagger} L_R Q_L^{\dagger} Q_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$C_{f_{56}}^{[2]}(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_4}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_3}^{i_2})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{56}}^{[2]}(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_4}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_3}^{i_2})[13][24]$

# Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_L L_L^\dagger L_L \& Q_R^\dagger Q_R L_R^\dagger L_R$ :

$L_L Q_L L_L^\dagger Q_L^\dagger$	$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{i_3}^{i_1} \delta_{i_4}^{i_2} \delta_{a_4}^{a_2}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \\ (\delta_{i_4}^{i_1} \delta_{i_3}^{i_2} \delta_{a_4}^{a_2}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \end{array} $
$L_L Q_L L_L^\dagger Q_L^\dagger \mathbf{T}$	$ \frac{\left(\delta_{i_4}^{i_2}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1}\right)[34]\langle 12\rangle}{\left(\delta_{i_3}^{i_1}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2}\right)[34]\langle 12\rangle}{\left(\delta_{i_3}^{i_2}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2}\right)[34]\langle 12\rangle} $
$L_L Q_L L_L^{\dagger} Q_L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}^2$	$ \frac{(\delta_{i_3}^{i_2}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle}{(\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle} $
$-L_R^{\dagger}Q_R^{\dagger}L_RQ_R$	$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{i_1}^{i_3} \delta_{i_2}^{i_4} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_4} \delta_{i_2}^{i_3} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \end{array} $
$L_R^\dagger Q_R^\dagger L_R Q_R {f T}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{i_2}^{i_4} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \\ (\delta_{i_3}^{i_3} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_2}^{i_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \end{array} $
$L_R^{\dagger}Q_R^{\dagger}L_RQ_R\mathbf{T}^2$	$\frac{\left  (\delta_{i_2}^{i_3} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_1}^{i_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \right }{\left  (\delta_{a_2}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_1}^{i_4} (\mathbf{T}_6 \tau)_{i_2}^{i_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle}$

# Type $L_L^\dagger L_L Q_R^\dagger Q_R \& L_R^\dagger L_R Q_L^\dagger Q_L$ :

$L_R^{\dagger}Q_LL_RQ_L^{\dagger}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{i_4}^{i_2} \delta_{i_1}^{i_3} \delta_{a_4}^{a_2}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_2} \delta_{i_4}^{i_3} \delta_{a_4}^{a_2}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \end{array} $
$L_R^\dagger Q_L L_R Q_L^\dagger \mathbf{T}$	$ \begin{array}{l} (\delta_{i_1}^{i_3}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i_4}^{i_3}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ (\delta_{i_1}^{i_2}\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_4}^{i_3})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $
$L_R^{\dagger}Q_LL_RQ_L^{\dagger}\mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta_{a_4}^{a_2}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_1}^{i_3}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_4}^{i_2})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_L Q_R^\dagger L_L^\dagger Q_R$	$ \begin{array}{l} (\delta_{i_2}^{i_4} \delta_{i_3}^{i_1} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \\ (\delta_{i_2}^{i_1} \delta_{i_3}^{i_4} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4}) [34] \langle 12 \rangle \end{array} $

	$(\delta_{i_3}^{i_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_L Q_R^\dagger L_L^\dagger Q_R \mathbf{T}$	$\left( \delta_{i_3}^{i_4} \delta_{a_2}^{a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{i_2}^{i_1} \right) [34] \langle 12 \rangle$
	$(\delta_{i_2}^{i_1}\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$L_L Q_R^\dagger L_L^\dagger Q_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$(\delta_{a_2}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle$

# Type $Q_L^\dagger Q_R L_R^\dagger L_L$ :

$ \begin{array}{c} (\delta_{i_3}^{i_1}\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})[34]\langle 12 \rangle \\ (\delta_{i_2}^{i_1}\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4})[34]\langle 12 \rangle \end{array} $
$ \frac{(\delta_{i_2}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle}{(\delta_{i_2}^{i_1}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle} $
$\frac{(\delta_{i_3}^{i_4}\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle}{(\delta_{a_3}^{a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_{i_2}^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_{i_3}^{i_4})}$

# Type $Q^3L$ :

	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[3]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$ $C_{\epsilon}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$
$L_L Q_L^{\ 3}$	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[1,1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$
	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[1,1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[3]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_{r,\tau})^{i_4})\langle 13\rangle\langle 24\rangle$
	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[3]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})\langle 13\rangle\langle 24\rangle$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$
$L_L Q_L{}^3{\bf T}$	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$
	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_1})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$
	$C^{[1,1,1]}(\epsilon_{i_1i_2}\epsilon_{i_3}m_{\epsilon}a_2a_3a_4(\mathbf{T}_{\tau\tau})i_4)/12\backslash/34\backslash$
$L_L Q_L^3 \mathbf{T}^2$	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{i_4n}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_6\tau)_n^{i_2})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})[34]/12\rangle$
	$\frac{I_{2I3I4}}{C_{c}^{[2]} \left( \epsilon^{i_1i_3} \epsilon^{i_2i_4} \epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4} \right) [34] \langle 12 \rangle}$
$L_L Q_L Q_R^{\ 2}$	$ \begin{array}{c} C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2]}(\epsilon^{i_{3}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{4}i_{4}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{3}s_{4}}(\mathbf{I}_{5}\tau)_{m}^{[1]}(\mathbf{I}_{6}\tau)_{n}^{[2]})\langle 12\rangle\langle 34\rangle \\ \\ C_{f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ \\ C_{f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ \\ C_{f_{2}f_{5}}^{[2]}(\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{i_{3}m}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{m}^{i_{1}})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $
	$C_{\epsilon}^{[2]} \left( \epsilon^{i_2 i_4} \epsilon^{i_3 m} \epsilon^{a_2 a_3 a_4} (\mathbf{T}_5 \tau)_{-\pi}^{i_1} \right) [34] \langle 12 \rangle$
$L_L Q_L {Q_R}^2 \mathbf{T}$	
_ •_ •-	
$L_L Q_L Q_R^2 \mathbf{T}^2$	$ C^{[1,1]}  (-i_3 m_{-i_4} n_{-a_2} a_3 a_4 (T_{-a_2} n_{-a_3} n_{-a_4} (T_{-a_2} n_{-a_3} n_{-a_4} n_{-a_4} n_{-a_5} $
	$\frac{C_{f_3f_4}(c + c + c + (1_{5}^{T})_m(1_{6}^{T})_n)[3_{4}^{T}]^{12}}{C_{f_3f_4}(c + c + c + (1_{5}^{T})_m(1_{6}^{T})_n)[3_{4}^{T}]^{12}}$
$Q_L^2 L_R Q_R$	
	$ \begin{array}{c} C_{f_3f_4}^{[1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \\ C_{f_{12}}^{[2]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle \end{array} $
	$C_{f_{12}}^{[2]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$Q_L^2 L_R Q_R \mathbf{T}$	$C_{f_{12},1}^{[\bar{1},\bar{1}]}(\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
	$C_{f_{12}}^{[\bar{1},\bar{1}]}(\epsilon^{i_2i_3}\epsilon^{i_4m}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_1})[34]\langle 12\rangle$
$Q_L^2 L_R Q_R \mathbf{T}^2$	$C^{[1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{i_4n}\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_4}(\mathbf{T}_{\tau\tau})^{i_1}(\mathbf{T}_{a\tau})^{i_2})[34]/12$
	$C_{f_3f_4}^{[3]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})[12][34]$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_3}\epsilon^{i_2i_4}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4})[12][34]$
$L_R Q_R^{\ 3}$	$C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}})[12][34]$
	$C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{3}}\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}})[12][34]$ $C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[3]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}}\epsilon^{i_{3}m}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{m}^{i_{4}})[13][24]$
	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[3]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})[13][24]$ $C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})[12][34]$
$L_R Q_R^{4} {f T}$	12/3/4
Zn&n I	$C_{f_2f_3f_4}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_1i_2}\epsilon^{i_3m}\epsilon^{a_2a_3a_4}(\mathbf{T}_5\tau)_m^{i_4})[12][34]$

$$C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[2,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{2}i_{4}}\epsilon^{i_{3}m}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{m}^{i_{1}})[12][34]$$

$$C_{f_{2}f_{3}f_{4}}^{[1,1,1]}(\epsilon^{i_{1}i_{2}}\epsilon^{i_{3}m}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{m}^{i_{4}})[12][34]$$

$$L_{R}Q_{R}^{4}\mathbf{T}^{2} C_{f_{234}f_{56}}^{[2,1],[2]}(\epsilon^{i_{3}m}\epsilon^{i_{4}n}\epsilon^{a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}}(\mathbf{T}_{5}\tau)_{m}^{i_{1}}(\mathbf{T}_{6}\tau)_{n}^{i_{2}})[12][34]$$

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. SCOAP<sup>3</sup> supports the goals of the International Year of Basic Sciences for Sustainable Development.

#### References

- [1] S. Weinberg, Phenomenological Lagrangians, Physica A 96 (1979) 327 [INSPIRE].
- [2] S. Weinberg, Baryon and Lepton Nonconserving Processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1566 [INSPIRE].
- [3] W. Buchmuller and D. Wyler, Effective Lagrangian Analysis of New Interactions and Flavor Conservation, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 621 [INSPIRE].
- [4] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak and J. Rosiek, *Dimension-Six Terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian*, *JHEP* **10** (2010) 085 [arXiv:1008.4884] [INSPIRE].
- [5] L. Lehman, Extending the Standard Model Effective Field Theory with the Complete Set of Dimension-7 Operators, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 125023 [arXiv:1410.4193] [INSPIRE].
- [6] Y. Liao and X.-D. Ma, Renormalization Group Evolution of Dimension-seven Baryon- and Lepton-number-violating Operators, JHEP 11 (2016) 043 [arXiv:1607.07309] [INSPIRE].
- [7] H.-L. Li et al., Complete set of dimension-eight operators in the standard model effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 015026 [arXiv:2005.00008] [INSPIRE].
- [8] C.W. Murphy, Dimension-8 operators in the Standard Model Eective Field Theory, JHEP 10 (2020) 174 [arXiv:2005.00059] [INSPIRE].
- [9] H.-L. Li et al., Complete set of dimension-nine operators in the standard model effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 015025 [arXiv:2007.07899] [INSPIRE].
- [10] Y. Liao and X.-D. Ma, An explicit construction of the dimension-9 operator basis in the standard model effective field theory, JHEP 11 (2020) 152 [arXiv:2007.08125] [INSPIRE].
- [11] H.-L. Li, J. Shu, M.-L. Xiao and J.-H. Yu, Depicting the Landscape of Generic Effective Field Theories, arXiv:2012.11615 [INSPIRE].
- [12] E.E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar and P. Stoffer, Low-Energy Effective Field Theory below the Electroweak Scale: Operators and Matching, JHEP 03 (2018) 016 [arXiv:1709.04486] [INSPIRE].
- [13] Y. Liao, X.-D. Ma and Q.-Y. Wang, Extending low energy effective field theory with a complete set of dimension-7 operators, JHEP 08 (2020) 162 [arXiv:2005.08013] [INSPIRE].
- [14] H.-L. Li et al., Low energy effective field theory operator basis at  $d \le 9$ , JHEP **06** (2021) 138 [arXiv:2012.09188] [INSPIRE].
- [15] C.W. Murphy, Low-Energy Effective Field Theory below the Electroweak Scale: Dimension-8 Operators, JHEP 04 (2021) 101 [arXiv:2012.13291] [INSPIRE].

- [16] F. del Aguila, S. Bar-Shalom, A. Soni and J. Wudka, Heavy Majorana Neutrinos in the Effective Lagrangian Description: Application to Hadron Colliders, Phys. Lett. B 670 (2009) 399 [arXiv:0806.0876] [INSPIRE].
- [17] A. Aparici, K. Kim, A. Santamaria and J. Wudka, Right-handed neutrino magnetic moments, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 013010 [arXiv:0904.3244] [INSPIRE].
- [18] S. Bhattacharya and J. Wudka, Dimension-seven operators in the standard model with right handed neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 055022 [Erratum ibid. 95 (2017) 039904] [arXiv:1505.05264] [INSPIRE].
- [19] Y. Liao and X.-D. Ma, Operators up to Dimension Seven in Standard Model Effective Field Theory Extended with Sterile Neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 015012 [arXiv:1612.04527] [INSPIRE].
- [20] H.-L. Li et al., Operator bases in effective field theories with sterile neutrinos:  $d \le 9$ , JHEP 11 (2021) 003 [arXiv:2105.09329] [INSPIRE].
- [21] H.-L. Li et al., Operators for generic effective field theory at any dimension: on-shell amplitude basis construction, JHEP 04 (2022) 140 [arXiv:2201.04639] [INSPIRE].
- [22] T. Appelquist and C.W. Bernard, Strongly Interacting Higgs Bosons, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 200 [INSPIRE].
- [23] A.C. Longhitano, Heavy Higgs Bosons in the Weinberg-Salam Model, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 1166 [INSPIRE].
- [24] A.C. Longhitano, Low-Energy Impact of a Heavy Higgs Boson Sector, Nucl. Phys. B 188 (1981) 118 [INSPIRE].
- [25] F. Feruglio, The Chiral approach to the electroweak interactions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) 4937 [hep-ph/9301281] [INSPIRE].
- [26] M.J. Herrero and E. Ruiz Morales, The Electroweak chiral Lagrangian for the Standard Model with a heavy Higgs, Nucl. Phys. B 418 (1994) 431 [hep-ph/9308276] [INSPIRE].
- [27] M.J. Herrero and E. Ruiz Morales, Nondecoupling effects of the SM higgs boson to one loop, Nucl. Phys. B 437 (1995) 319 [hep-ph/9411207] [INSPIRE].
- [28] B. Grinstein and M. Trott, A Higgs-Higgs bound state due to new physics at a TeV, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 073002 [arXiv:0704.1505] [INSPIRE].
- [29] G. Buchalla and O. Cata, Effective Theory of a Dynamically Broken Electroweak Standard Model at NLO, JHEP 07 (2012) 101 [arXiv:1203.6510] [INSPIRE].
- [30] G. Buchalla, O. Catà and C. Krause, Complete Electroweak Chiral Lagrangian with a Light Higgs at NLO, Nucl. Phys. B 880 (2014) 552 [arXiv:1307.5017] [INSPIRE].
- [31] G. Buchalla, O. Catá and C. Krause, On the Power Counting in Effective Field Theories, Phys. Lett. B 731 (2014) 80 [arXiv:1312.5624] [INSPIRE].
- [32] M.B. Gavela et al., *CP violation with a dynamical Higgs*, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 044 [arXiv:1406.6367] [INSPIRE].
- [33] A. Pich, I. Rosell, J. Santos and J.J. Sanz-Cillero, Low-energy signals of strongly-coupled electroweak symmetry-breaking scenarios, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 055041 [arXiv:1510.03114] [INSPIRE].
- [34] A. Pich, I. Rosell, J. Santos and J.J. Sanz-Cillero, Fingerprints of heavy scales in electroweak effective Lagrangians, JHEP 04 (2017) 012 [arXiv:1609.06659] [INSPIRE].

- [35] C. Krause et al., Colorful Imprints of Heavy States in the Electroweak Effective Theory, JHEP 05 (2019) 092 [arXiv:1810.10544] [INSPIRE].
- [36] R. Alonso et al., The Effective Chiral Lagrangian for a Light Dynamical "Higgs Particle", Phys. Lett. B 722 (2013) 330 [Erratum ibid. 726 (2013) 926] [arXiv:1212.3305] [INSPIRE].
- [37] I. Brivio et al., Disentangling a dynamical Higgs, JHEP **03** (2014) 024 [arXiv:1311.1823] [INSPIRE].
- [38] I. Brivio, J. Gonzalez-Fraile, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia and L. Merlo, *The complete HEFT Lagrangian after the LHC Run I, Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 416 [arXiv:1604.06801] [INSPIRE].
- [39] A. Pich, Effective Field Theory with Nambu-Goldstone Modes, in Effective Field Theory in Particle Physics and Cosmology: Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School: Volume 108, July 2017, Oxford Academic (2020) [D0I:10.1093/oso/9780198855743.003.0003] [arXiv:1804.05664] [INSPIRE].
- [40] L. Merlo, S. Saa and M. Sacristán-Barbero, Baryon Non-Invariant Couplings in Higgs Effective Field Theory, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 185 [arXiv:1612.04832] [INSPIRE].
- [41] A. Falkowski and R. Rattazzi, Which EFT, JHEP 10 (2019) 255 [arXiv:1902.05936] [INSPIRE].
- [42] P. Agrawal et al., Determining the shape of the Higgs potential at future colliders, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 075023 [arXiv:1907.02078] [INSPIRE].
- [43] T. Cohen, N. Craig, X. Lu and D. Sutherland, Is SMEFT Enough?, JHEP 03 (2021) 237 [arXiv:2008.08597] [INSPIRE].
- [44] I. Banta et al., Non-decoupling new particles, JHEP **02** (2022) 029 [arXiv:2110.02967] [INSPIRE].
- [45] R. Alonso and M. West, Roads to the Standard Model, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 096028 [arXiv:2109.13290] [INSPIRE].
- [46] R. Gómez-Ambrosio, F.J. Llanes-Estrada, A. Salas-Bernárdez and J.J. Sanz-Cillero, Distinguishing electroweak EFTs with  $W_LW_L \rightarrow n \times h$ , Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 053004 [arXiv:2204.01763] [INSPIRE].
- [47] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Chiral Perturbation Theory to One Loop, Annals Phys.* **158** (1984) 142 [INSPIRE].
- [48] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Chiral Perturbation Theory: Expansions in the Mass of the Strange Quark, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465 [INSPIRE].
- [49] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Chiral Quarks and the Nonrelativistic Quark Model, Nucl. Phys. B 234 (1984) 189 [INSPIRE].
- [50] J. Hirn and J. Stern, Lepton-number violation and right-handed neutrinos in Higgs-less effective theories, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 056001 [hep-ph/0504277] [INSPIRE].
- [51] G. Buchalla, O. Cata, A. Celis and C. Krause, Comment on "Analysis of General Power Counting Rules in Effective Field Theory", arXiv:1603.03062 [INSPIRE].
- [52] B.M. Gavela, E.E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar and L. Merlo, Analysis of General Power Counting Rules in Effective Field Theory, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 485 [arXiv:1601.07551] [INSPIRE].
- [53] S.R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. 1, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2239 [INSPIRE].

- [54] C.G. Callan Jr., S.R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. 2, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2247 [INSPIRE].
- [55] F.-K. Guo, P. Ruiz-Femenía and J.J. Sanz-Cillero, One loop renormalization of the electroweak chiral Lagrangian with a light Higgs boson, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 074005 [arXiv:1506.04204] [INSPIRE].
- [56] R. Alonso, K. Kanshin and S. Saa, Renormalization group evolution of Higgs effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 035010 [arXiv:1710.06848] [INSPIRE].
- [57] G. Buchalla et al., Complete One-Loop Renormalization of the Higgs-Electroweak Chiral Lagrangian, Nucl. Phys. B 928 (2018) 93 [arXiv:1710.06412] [INSPIRE].
- [58] G. Buchalla et al., Higgs-electroweak chiral Lagrangian: One-loop renormalization group equations, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 076005 [arXiv:2004.11348] [INSPIRE].
- [59] S.L. Adler, Consistency conditions on the strong interactions implied by a partially conserved axial vector current, Phys. Rev. 137 (1965) B1022 [INSPIRE].
- [60] S.L. Adler, Consistency conditions on the strong interactions implied by a partially conserved axial-vector current. II, Phys. Rev. 139 (1965) B1638 [INSPIRE].
- [61] I. Low, Adler's zero and effective Lagrangians for nonlinearly realized symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 105017 [arXiv:1412.2145] [INSPIRE].
- [62] I. Low, Minimally symmetric Higgs boson, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 116005 [arXiv:1412.2146] [INSPIRE].
- [63] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny and J. Trnka, Effective Field Theories from Soft Limits of Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 221602 [arXiv:1412.4095] [INSPIRE].
- [64] C. Cheung et al., On-Shell Recursion Relations for Effective Field Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 041601 [arXiv:1509.03309] [INSPIRE].
- [65] I. Low and Z. Yin, Soft Bootstrap and Effective Field Theories, JHEP 11 (2019) 078 [arXiv:1904.12859] [INSPIRE].
- [66] L. Dai, I. Low, T. Mehen and A. Mohapatra, Operator Counting and Soft Blocks in Chiral Perturbation Theory, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 116011 [arXiv:2009.01819] [INSPIRE].
- [67] J.S.M.-L.X. Ian Low and Y.-H. Zheng, Amplitude/Operator Basis in Chiral Perturbation Theory.
- [68] L. Gráf et al., Hilbert series, the Higgs mechanism, and HEFT, JHEP 02 (2023) 064 [arXiv:2211.06275] [INSPIRE].
- [69] H. Sun, Y.-N. Wang and J.-H. Yu, Hilbert Series and Operator Counting on the Higgs Effective Field Theory, arXiv: 2211.11598 [INSPIRE].
- [70] B. Henning and T. Melia, Conformal-helicity duality & the Hilbert space of free CFTs, arXiv:1902.06747 [INSPIRE].
- [71] B. Henning and T. Melia, Constructing effective field theories via their harmonics, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 016015 [arXiv:1902.06754] [INSPIRE].
- [72] C. Cheung et al., A Periodic Table of Effective Field Theories, JHEP **02** (2017) 020 [arXiv:1611.03137] [INSPIRE].
- [73] H.-L. Li, Y.-H. Ni, M.-L. Xiao and J.-H. Yu, The bottom-up EFT: complete UV resonances of the SMEFT operators, JHEP 11 (2022) 170 [arXiv:2204.03660] [INSPIRE].