PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: September 29, 2012 Revised: December 31, 2012 Accepted: March 13, 2013 PUBLISHED: April 2, 2013

# Fermi-like liquid from Einstein-DBI-dilaton system

### Shesansu Sekhar Pal

Centre of Excellence in Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences, SOA University, Bhubaneswar, 751030, India

E-mail: [shesansu@gmail.com](mailto:shesansu@gmail.com)

Abstract: We have obtained an expression of the entropy density depending on the scale transformation of the spatial directions in the field theory. It takes the following form in  $d + 1$  dimensional bulk spacetime:  $s \sim T$  $\int_H^{\delta(d-1)-\theta}$ , where z and  $T_H$  are the dynamical exponent and temperature in the field theory, respectively.  $\theta$  is related to the scaling violation exponent, whereas  $\delta$  gives us the information about the scaling behavior of the spatial field theoretic direction. This we demonstrate by finding solutions to the Einstein-DBI-dilaton system in generic spacetime dimensions. Upon restricting to  $d = 3$ , we show the linear temperature dependence of the specific heat and inverse quadratic temperature dependence of the resistivity for  $z = 2$ ,  $\theta = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$ , which resembles that of the Fermilike liquid. Whereas for  $z = 2$ ,  $\theta = -2$  and  $\delta = 0$  gives us a solution that is conformal to  $AdS_2 \otimes R^2$ , which resembles with the non-Fermi-like liquid. Moreover, it shows the logarithmic violation of the entanglement entropy when the entangling region is of the strip type.

Keywords: Gauge-gravity correspondence, Holography and condensed matter physics (AdS/CMT)

ArXiv ePrint: [1209.3559](http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.3559)

# Contents



# JHEP04 (2013) 007 JHEP04(2013)007

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>1 Introduction

There has been a lot of activity in trying to understand the scale invariant gravitational solution, which asymptotes to AdS at UV and at IR, it can behave either like  $AdS_2$  or Lifshitz type. Recently, a non-scale invariant gravitational solution found in [\[1\]](#page-33-0) and [\[2\]](#page-33-1) has been interpreted in [\[3\]](#page-33-2) to give the compressible state of the matter which exhibits the hidden Fermi surfaces, using holography  $[4–7]$  $[4–7]$ . In this context, it is suggested that the field theory directions and the invariant interval of the bulk scale in the following way

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
t \to \lambda^z t, \quad x_i \to \lambda^\delta x_i, \quad r \to \frac{r}{\lambda}, \quad ds \to \lambda^\gamma ds, \tag{1.1}
$$

where z is the dynamical exponent,  $\gamma$  is the scaling violation exponent, which is related to the hyperscaling violation exponent as in [\[3](#page-33-2)], and more importantly, the spatial directions scale linearly, i.e.,  $\delta = 1$  $\delta = 1$ . Note that  $\delta$  can take only two values,  $\delta$  namely,  $\delta = 0, 1$ .

In this paper, we shall construct explicit solutions with vanishing  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and nonvanishing  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and study its consequences. We construct such bulk solutions with the help of gravity,  $U(1)$  gauge field and a scalar field. In order to do so, we have considered a space filling brane, whose action is described by the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action. Upon considering the back reaction of the DBI action and that of the scalar field on to the geometry in  $d+1$  dimensional bulk spacetime, makes the metric looks as

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = r^{-2\gamma} \left[ -r^{2z} dt^2 + r^{2\delta} dx^i dx^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right] \equiv r^2 ds_L^2.
$$
 (1.2)

It is easy to notice that the metric can be written as a spacetime which is conformal to the Lifshitz spacetime [\[17](#page-33-5)]. In which case, the geometry,  $ds_L^2$ , scales as [\[18](#page-33-6)[–20](#page-33-7)] and [\[21\]](#page-34-0)

$$
t \to \lambda^z t
$$
,  $x_i \to \lambda^{\delta} x_i$ ,  $r \to \frac{r}{\lambda}$ , for  $\delta = 0, 1$ . (1.3)

For  $\delta = 0$ , this particular "Lifshitz spacetime" can be re-written as an  $AdS_2 \times R^2$ . In fact, for this choice of,  $\delta$ , the geometry  $ds_L^2$  is not in the sense of [\[17\]](#page-33-5) because of the scaling behavior of the spatial directions.<sup>[2](#page-2-1)</sup> For an earlier study of the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton system see e.g., [\[1,](#page-33-0) [2,](#page-33-1) [23](#page-34-1), [24\]](#page-34-2) and [\[25\]](#page-34-3).

Let us recall from [\[3\]](#page-33-2), a theory which exhibits the scaling violation exponent for  $\delta = 1$ should see a reduced entropy. In fact, for  $d-1$  number of spatial directions with  $\gamma =$  $\theta/(d-1) = (d-2)/(d-1)$ , the entropy should go as  $s \sim T$  $\frac{d-1-\theta}{H^2}$ , where  $T_H$  is the Hawking temperature. However, for  $\delta = 0$  and  $\gamma = -1$ , there do not arises any change in the entropy. In fact, the entropy behaves like that of a scale invariant solution.<sup>[3](#page-2-2)</sup> It is due to the fact that in  $d+1$  dimensional spacetime the complete solution can be written as a solution that is conformal to  $AdS_2 \times R^{d-1}$  solution and reads as

$$
ds^{2} = R^{2}r^{-2\gamma/z} \left[ -r^{2}f(r)dt^{2} + dx^{i}dx^{j}\delta_{ij} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}f(r)} \right], \quad f(r) = 1 - (r_{h}/r)^{\frac{z + (1 - \gamma)(d - 1)}{z}}.
$$
 (1.4)

It is easy to see that the entropy density goes as  $s \sim T$  $\int_H^{\frac{-\gamma(d-1)}{z}}$ . The temperature dependence of the entropy for these two cases in  $d+1$  dimensional spacetime can be summarized as follows:

$$
s \sim \begin{cases} T_H^{\frac{d-1-\theta}{z}} & \text{for } \delta = 1\\ T_H^{-\frac{\gamma(d-1)}{z}} & \text{for } \delta = 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (1.5)

Upon combining the formulas together in  $d+1$  dimensional bulk spacetime dimensions, the formula for the entropy density with the scaling violation exponent,  $\theta \equiv \gamma(d-1)$ , and

<span id="page-2-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See appendix A for further explanation of eq.  $(1.1)$  and references  $[8]$ –[\[16\]](#page-33-9) for further studies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Moreover, the Lifshitz spacetime as defined in [\[17](#page-33-5)] suffers from the null curvature singularity and are unstable [\[22](#page-34-4)].

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For this choice of  $\delta$ , with the boundary at  $r = \infty$ , implies  $\gamma < 0$ .

the spatial scaling dimension,  $\delta$ , can be written as

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
s \sim T_H^{\frac{\delta(d-1)-\theta}{z}}.\tag{1.6}
$$

We see there exists a non-zero entropy density for  $\delta = 1$  case in the limit of  $z \to \infty$  for finite  $\theta$  in the zero temperature limit. However, for  $\delta = 0$  case, we get the entropy density as  $s \sim T_H^{-\theta/z}$ . Note that this expression of the entropy density, eq. [\(1.6\)](#page-3-0), vanishes in the limit of  $z \to \infty$  and  $\theta \to \infty$  by keeping the ratio  $(-\theta/z)$  fixed [\[26\]](#page-34-5) at low temperature.<sup>[4](#page-3-1)</sup>

The specific heat in this case becomes

$$
c_v = T_H \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial T_H}\right)_V \sim \left[\frac{\delta(d-1) - \theta}{z}\right] T_H^{\frac{\delta(d-1) - \theta}{z}}.\tag{1.7}
$$

Note that for  $\delta = 0$ , in order to have positive specific heat, which is required for stability, should have negative  $\theta/z$ .

Let us restrict the dimensionality of the spacetime to  $3 + 1$ . In this case, the solution is conformal to the  $AdS_2 \times R^2$  solution, see eq. [\(3.8\)](#page-8-1), and has  $\gamma = -1$  and  $\delta = 0$ . For this case, the specific heat and the longitudinal conductivity goes as

$$
c_v \sim T_H^{2/z}, \quad \sigma \sim T_H^{-2/z}.\tag{1.8}
$$

For  $z = 2$ , the conductivity resembles with the non-Fermi liquid. Moreover, for such a choice of  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , we do see the logarithmic violation of the entanglement entropy when the entangling region is of the strip type [\[61](#page-35-0), [62\]](#page-36-0).

Moving on to the  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$  case, we see the existence of a Fermi-like liquid, which follows by doing an explicit computation of the transport and the thermodynamic quantity: the longitudinal conductivity as well as the specific heat. We found that, depending on the choice of z, the specific heat and the longitudinal conductivity can have the linear and the inverse quadratic dependence on the temperature, respectively. This we demonstrate by finding an exact black hole solution to a  $3 + 1$  dimensional Einstein-DBI-dilaton system. In which case, the spacetime asymptotes to a Lifshitz spacetime with a non-trivial profile to the scalar field

$$
ds^{2} = -r^{2z} f(r) dt^{2} + r^{2} (dx^{2} + dy^{2}) + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} f(r)}, \quad \phi(r) \sim \log r, \quad f(r) = 1 - (r_{h}/r)^{z+2} \tag{1.9}
$$

and for some non-trivial form of the  $U(1)$  gauge field field strength such that it vanishes at IR in the zero temperature limit. In this case, the specific heat and the conductivity takes the following form

$$
c_V \sim T^{2/z}, \quad \sigma \sim T^{-4/z}.\tag{1.10}
$$

The Fermi-like liquid behavior follows when the dynamical exponent takes a specific value,  $z = 2$ . More importantly, the entropy in the zero temperature limit vanishes (for finite z), suggesting the compressible nature of the configuration. In this case, the computation of the entanglement entropy for a strip does not show up the necessary logarithmic term, as

<span id="page-3-1"></span><sup>4</sup>More on the expression of the entropy is discussed in section 4.

expected from the result of [\[3\]](#page-33-2). Further studies related to the Fermi liquid or the presence of Fermi surfaces are reported e.g., in [\[28\]](#page-34-6)–[\[40](#page-34-7)].

In the absence of the scalar field, we find an electrically charged black hole solution in arbitrary spacetime dimension at UV whose form precisely matches with that of the solution found for the Born-Infeld black holes in [\[47,](#page-35-1) [48](#page-35-2)] but not the dyonic black hole solution in  $3 + 1$  dimensional spacetime. For these type of black hole solutions there exits a non-zero entropy even at zero temperature. This particular property is similar in nature to that of the Reissner-Nordstrom (RN) black hole.

The findings of the paper for the Einstein-DBI-dilaton system in  $d + 1$  dimensional spacetime are summarized in table [1.](#page-5-0)

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we write down the effective action and its equation of motion. In section 3, we shall present the solution at IR. In particular, the solution that shows the (non)Fermi-like liquid behavior. In section 4, we generalize the solutions at IR to arbitrary spacetime dimensions. In section 5, we find both the electrically charged black hole and a dyonic solution for trivial and non-trivial scalar field. In section 6 and 7, we compute the conductivity as well as the entanglement entropy, respectively. And finally, we conclude in section 8. Some of the details are relegated to the appendices.

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>2 The action

The action that we consider contains metric, the abelian gauge field and the scalar field as the degrees of freedom. In particular, the action involving the gauge field is the non-linear generalization of the Maxwell action, namely the Dirac-Born-Infeld action. The exact form of the action is<sup>[5](#page-4-1)</sup>

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{d+1}x \left[ \sqrt{-g} \left( R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi \partial^M \phi - V(\phi) \right) - T_b Z_1(\phi) \sqrt{-det \left( [g] Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F \right)_{ab}} \right], \quad (2.1)
$$

where  $[q]_{ab} = \partial_a X^M \partial_b X^N q_{MN}$  is the induced metric on to the world volume of the brane.  $T_b$  and  $\Lambda$  are the tension of the brane, and cosmological constant, respectively.  $F = dA$ is the two-form field strength. Since, we are considering the brane to fill the entire space, means  $[g]_{ab} = g_{ab}$ . The action as written down in eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-4-2) is in Einstein frame. The constant  $\lambda$  is a dimension full object and has the dimension length<sup>2</sup> and in string theory it is identified with  $\lambda = 2\pi l_s^2$ , where  $l_s$  is the string length [\[60](#page-35-3)]. It is there to make the determinant dimensionless. The indices  $a, b$  are the world volume ones whereas the  $M, N$ etc denote the spacetime ones. In the present case both kind of indices can take  $d + 1$ values. Note that for small values of field strength, one can Taylor expand the determinant and obtain the Maxwell action.[6](#page-4-3)

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See [\[70\]](#page-36-1), where the authors used a related action to study the holographic QCD in the Veneziano limit. <sup>6</sup>The term  $det(gZ_2 + \lambda F)$  can be expanded as  $det(gZ_2) + det(\lambda F) +$  $\frac{1}{(d+1)!}$  $\epsilon^{a_1 \cdots a_{d+1}} \epsilon^{b_1 \cdots b_{d+1}} (gZ_2)_{a_1b_1} \cdots (gZ_2)_{a_{d-1}b_{d-1}} F_{a_d b_d} F_{a_{d+1}b_{d+1}} + \cdots$ . The ellipses denote higher even powers of  $F$ , which can be ignored in the dilute regime. So, it is the quadratic in  $F$  that gives the Maxwell action with a dilaton dependent Yang-Mills coupling.

Solutions at IR	Solutions at UV
For $g_{MN} \neq 0$ , $F_{MN} \neq 0$ and $\phi = 0$	For $g_{MN} \neq 0$ , $F_{MN} \neq 0$ and $\phi = 0$ , eq. (5.3)
	(a) generates charged AdS black hole solution
	in any arbitrary spacetime dimensions;
	the entropy density in the vanishing
	temperature limit remains non-zero,
	$s = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} T_b \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{4\Lambda^2 - T_b^2}}, \quad \text{as } T_H \to 0;$
	The chemical potential is not a continuous
	function of the charge density.
	No Log structure in the
	entanglement entropy.
it generates $AdS_2 \times R^{d-1}$ , eq. (3.4)	(b) Dyonic AdS black hole solution in $3 + 1$
	dimensional spacetime, eq. $(5.30)$ ;
	the entropy density in the vanishing
Does not show log structure in the	temperature limit remains non-zero,
entanglement entropy for $d = 2$ [59].	$s = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} T_b \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2}}{\sqrt{4\Lambda^2 - T_h^2}}, \text{ as } T_H \to 0.$
	No Log structure in the
	entanglement entropy.
For $g_{MN} \neq 0$ , $F_{MN} \neq 0$ and $\phi \neq 0$ ,	For $g_{MN} \neq 0$ , $F_{MN} \neq 0$ and $\phi \neq 0$ , eq. (5.43)
(a) Lifshitz solution eq. $(3.17)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ ,	Generates electrically charged black holes
$\beta \neq 0$ in 3 + 1 dimensional spacetime;	in generic $d+1$ dimensional spacetime.
the entropy density vanishes as	The specific heat can become negative
temperature vanishes, $s \sim T_H^{2/z}$ ;	for $\delta_1 > 1/2$ .
The specific heat, $c_V \sim T_H^{2/z}$ ;	
The longitudinal conductivity, $\sigma \sim T_H^{-4/z}$ ;	
No Log structure in the	
entanglement entropy.	
(b) Hyper scaling violating solution eq. $(3.8)$	
but without decreasing the entropy with	
$\alpha \neq 0, \ \beta = 0$ in 3 + 1 dimensional	
spacetime; the entropy density vanishes as	
temperature vanishes, $s \sim T_H^{2/z}$ ;	
The specific heat, $c_v \sim T_H^{2/z}$ ;	
The longitudinal conductivity, $\sigma \sim T_H^{-2/z}$ ;	
Shows Log structure in the	
entanglement entropy.	

Table 1. The summary of the solution of the Einstein-DBI-Dilaton system with two parameters  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  and the potential,  $V(\phi)$ , as defined in eq. [\(2.6\)](#page-6-0) and eq. [\(2.7\)](#page-6-1). The entanglement entropy is

The equation of motion of the metric component that follows from it takes the following form

<span id="page-5-0"></span>obtained for a strip.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
R_{MN} - \frac{2\Lambda}{(d-1)} g_{MN} - \frac{g_{MN}}{(d-1)} V(\phi) \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi -
$$
  

$$
- \frac{T_b Z_1(\phi) Z_2(\phi)}{4(d-1)} \frac{\sqrt{-det(g Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F)}_{ab}}{\sqrt{-g}} \times
$$
  

$$
\times \left[ \left( g Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F \right)^{-1} + \left( g Z_2(\phi) - \lambda F \right)^{-1} \right]^{KL} \left[ g_{MN} g_{KL} - (d-1) g_{MK} g_{NL} \right] = 0.
$$
 (2.2)

The gauge field equation of motion is

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\partial_M \Big[ Z_1(\phi) \sqrt{-\det(g \ Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F)_a} \left( \left( g \ Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F \right)^{-1} - \left( g \ Z_2(\phi) - \lambda F \right)^{-1} \right)^{MN} \Big] = 0 \tag{2.3}
$$

It follows trivially that the gauge field can be fully determined in terms of the metric components and the dilaton. Finally, the equation of motion of the scalar field

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\partial_M(\sqrt{-g}\partial^M\phi) - \sqrt{-g}\frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi} - T_b \frac{dZ_1(\phi)}{d\phi} \sqrt{-det(g Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F)}_{ab}
$$

$$
-\frac{T_b}{2}Z_1(\phi)\sqrt{-det(g Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F)}_{ab}\frac{dZ_2(\phi)}{d\phi}\left(g Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F\right)^{-1MN}g_{MN} = 0. \tag{2.4}
$$

Let us consider an ansatz where the metric, the abelian field strength and the dilaton to be of the following form

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{rr}(r)dr^2 + g_{xx}(r)dx_i^2, \quad A = A_t(r)dt, \quad F = A'_t dr \wedge dt, \quad \phi = \phi(r). \tag{2.5}
$$

In this, r, coordinate system, the UV is at  $r \to \infty$ . The IR is at  $r = r_h$  for black holes or at  $r = 0$  otherwise. At IR, we define the following form of the functions

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
Z_1(\phi) = exp(-\alpha\phi), \quad Z_2(\phi) = exp(\beta\phi), \tag{2.6}
$$

and we choose the potential as

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
V(\phi) = m_1 \exp(m_2 \phi), \qquad (2.7)
$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are constants. In which case, we shall see the dilaton goes logarithmically. Hence, diverges at IR. With this structure of the ansatz, there exists several exact solutions.

Given such a choice of the metric as written in eq.  $(2.5)$ , the various non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor are

$$
R_{tt} = \frac{g_{tt}''}{2g_{rr}} + (d-1)\frac{g_{tt}'g_{xx}'}{4g_{rr}g_{xx}} - \frac{g_{tt}'^2}{4g_{rr}g_{tt}} - \frac{g_{tt}'g_{rr}'}{4g_{rr}^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \bigg[ -\frac{g_{xx}''}{2g_{rr}} - (d-3)\frac{g_{xx}'^2}{4g_{rr}g_{xx}} + \frac{g_{xx}'g_{rr}'}{4g_{rr}^2} - \frac{g_{tt}'g_{xx}'}{4g_{rr}g_{tt}} \bigg],
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{rr} = -(d-1)\frac{g_{xx}''}{2g_{xx}} - \frac{g_{tt}''}{2g_{tt}} + (d-1)\frac{g_{xx}'^2}{4g_{xx}^2} + (d-1)\frac{g_{rr}'g_{xx}'}{4g_{rr}g_{xx}} + \frac{g_{tt}'^2}{4g_{tt}^2} + \frac{g_{tt}'g_{rr}'}{4g_{rr}g_{tt}} \quad (2.8)
$$

Solving the equation of motion of the gauge field gives

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
\lambda A'_t = \frac{\rho Z_2 \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}, \quad \Longrightarrow g_{tt} g_{rr} Z_2^2 - \lambda^2 A'^2_t = \frac{g_{tt} g_{rr} g_{xx}^{(d-1)} Z_1^2 Z_2^{d+1}}{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}} \tag{2.9}
$$

where  $\rho$  is the constant of integration and interpreted as the charge density. The equation of motion of the scalar field can be simplified as

$$
\partial_r \left( \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}}{g_{rr}}} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2} \phi' \right) - \frac{dV}{d\phi} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2} - \left[ \frac{dZ_1}{d\phi} + \frac{Z_1}{Z_2} \left( \frac{d-1}{2} \right) \frac{dZ_2}{d\phi} \right] \times
$$
  

$$
T_b Z_2^{(d-1)/2} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr} Z_2^2 - \lambda^2 A_t'^2} - T_b Z_1 \frac{Z_2^{(d+1)/2} g_{tt} g_{rr} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2}}{\sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr} Z_2^2 - \lambda^2 A_t'^2}} \frac{dZ_2}{d\phi} = 0. \tag{2.10}
$$

Now using the solution of the gauge field, the equation of motion of the scalar field becomes

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\partial_r \left( \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}}{g_{rr}}} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2} \phi' \right) - \frac{dV}{d\phi} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2} - T_b \frac{dZ_2}{d\phi} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}} \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}} \tag{2.11}
$$

$$
-\left[\frac{dZ_1}{d\phi} + \frac{Z_1}{Z_2}\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)\frac{dZ_2}{d\phi}\right] \left(\frac{T_b\sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}}g_{xx}^{d-1}Z_1Z_2^d}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2Z_2^{d-1}g_{xx}^{d-1}}}\right) = 0.
$$

Finally, the equation of motion of the metric component can be expressed, explicitly, as follows

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
R_{tt} + \frac{V + 2\Lambda}{d - 1} g_{tt} - T_b \frac{(d - 3)}{2(d - 1)} \frac{Z_2 g_{tt}}{g_{xx}^{(d - 1)/2}} \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d - 1} g_{xx}^{d - 1}} + \frac{T_b}{2} \frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^d g_{tt} g_{xx}^{(d - 1)/2}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d - 1} g_{xx}^{d - 1}}} = 0, \qquad (2.12)
$$

$$
R_{ij} - \frac{V + 2\Lambda}{d - 1} g_{xx} \delta_{ij} - T_b \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d - 1} \frac{Z_2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d - 1} g_{xx}^{d - 1}}}{g_{xx}^{(d - 3)/2}} = 0, \qquad (2.13)
$$

$$
R_{rr} - \frac{V + 2\Lambda}{d - 1} g_{rr} - \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 + T_b \frac{(d - 3)g_{rr}}{2(d - 1)} \frac{Z_2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d - 1} g_{xx}^{d - 1}}}{g_{xx}^{(d - 1)/2}} - \frac{T_b}{2} Z_1^2 Z_2^d \frac{g_{rr} g_{xx}^{(d - 1)/2}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d - 1} g_{xx}^{d - 1}}} = 0 \qquad (2.14)
$$

The equations of motion of  $A_t(r)$  is integrable and gives rise to one independent parameter,  $\rho$ . There exists 4 unknown functions:  $g_{tt}(r)$ ,  $g_{rr}(r)$ ,  $g_{xx}(r)$  and  $\phi(r)$ , and as many equations. Hence, there exists a solution. In the action, we have defined 3 functions,  $Z_1(\phi)$ ,  $Z_2(\phi)$  and  $V(\phi)$ , which has got 4 parameters, those are  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and there are extra 2 parameters,  $T_b$  and  $\Lambda$ . So all total, we have 7 parameters and only 3 are independent.

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>3 Exact solution at IR:  $AdS_2 \times R^{d-1}$

Considering a special case for which the potential energy is trivial,  $V(\phi) = 0$ , along with constant  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , i.e.,  $Z_1 = 1 = Z_2$ , the dilaton can be taken as trivial. In which case, it is expected that the solution near the IR end should take the following form  $AdS_2 \times R^{d-1}$ and the explicit form of it looks as

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = -\frac{r^2}{R_2^2}dt^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{r^2}dr^2 + c_0^2 \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad A = \frac{e_d}{R_2^2}r \, dt,\tag{3.1}
$$

where  $R_2$  is the size of the  $AdS_2$  spacetime and we have set  $\lambda = 1$ , for convenience. The tension of the brane and the cosmological constant is determined as

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
T_b = \frac{2}{e_d^2} \sqrt{R_2^4 - e_d^2}, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{T_b R_2^2}{2\sqrt{R_2^4 - e_d^2}} = -\frac{R_2^2}{e_d^2}.
$$
\n(3.2)

It is easy to notice that for real valued tension,  $T_b$ , the brane requires the constraint  $R_2^4 \geq e_d^2$  and such a condition is easily met by looking at the equation of motion of the gauge field. The constant  $e_d$  is determined in terms of the charge density,  $\rho$ , as

$$
e_d = \frac{\rho R_2^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + c_0^{2(d-1)}}}.\tag{3.3}
$$

The finite temperature solution at IR with only non-zero electric field in any arbitrary  $d+1$ spacetime dimensions

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = -\frac{r^2}{R_2^2} \left(1 - \frac{r_h}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{r^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_h}{r}\right)} dr^2 + c_0^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad A = \frac{\rho \left(r - r_h\right)}{\sqrt{c_0^{2(d-1)} + \rho^2}} dt, \tag{3.4}
$$

with the tension of the brane and the cosmological constant as written in eq.  $(3.2)$ .

If we want to turn on a constant magnetic field along with an electric field for which the 1-form gauge potential takes the following form  $A = \frac{e_d}{R^2}$  $\frac{e_d}{R_2^2} r dt + \frac{B}{2}$  $\frac{B}{2}(x_1dx_2-x_2dx_1), \text{ then}$ the finite temperature solution at IR, let us say in  $3 + 1$  spacetime dimensions, takes the following form

$$
ds^{2} = -\frac{r^{2}}{R_{2}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{r_{h}}{r}\right) dt^{2} + \frac{R_{2}^{2}}{r^{2} \left(1 - \frac{r_{h}}{r}\right)} dr^{2} + c_{0}^{2} (dx^{2} + dy^{2}), \quad A_{t} = \frac{\rho(r - r_{h})}{\sqrt{c_{0}^{4} + B^{2} + \rho^{2}}}.
$$
 (3.5)

The tension of the brane and the cosmological constant takes the following form

$$
T_b = \frac{2c_0^2\sqrt{c_0^4 + B^2 + \rho^2}}{R_2^2(B^2 + \rho^2)}, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{c_0^4 + B^2 + \rho^2}{R_2^2(B^2 + \rho^2)}.
$$
\n(3.6)

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>3.1 A black hole solution for  $\beta = 0, \ \gamma = -1, \ \delta = 0$

In  $3 + 1$  dimensional bulk spacetime dimension, there exists a black hole solution at IR, which is conformal to  $AdS_2 \times R^2$ . In order to construct such a black hole solution, we choose the potential as

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
V(\phi) = m_1 \exp(m_2 \phi), \qquad (3.7)
$$

where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are constants. The solution reads as

$$
ds^{2} = r^{2} \left[ -r^{2z} f(r) dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} f(r)} \right], \qquad F = \frac{\rho r^{z+1}}{\lambda \sqrt{1 + \rho^{2}}} dr \wedge dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\phi = 2\sqrt{z+1} Log r, \qquad m_{1} = -\frac{2(2+z)}{\rho^{2}} [z + (z+1)\rho^{2}],
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{b} = 2z \frac{(z+2)}{\rho^{2}} \sqrt{1 + \rho^{2}}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z+1}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta = 0, \qquad \Lambda = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{2} = 2\beta - \alpha = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{z+1}}, \qquad f(r) = 1 - \left(\frac{r_{h}}{r}\right)^{2+z},
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{1} = \frac{1}{r^{2}}, \qquad Z_{2} = 1, \qquad (3.8)
$$

where z is the dynamical exponent. The constant  $\rho$  is the charge density. In this case, the number of independent and non-vanishing parameters are two,  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$ .

Let us calculate the Hawking temperature associated to the black hole solution as written in eq.  $(3.8)$ . It is calculated from the following formula

$$
\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^a \varepsilon^b \nabla_a \varepsilon_b, \quad T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}, \tag{3.9}
$$

where the null vector  $\varepsilon^a$  defines the horizon,  $(\varepsilon^a \varepsilon_a)_{r_h} = 0$  and the temperature,  $T_H$ , is evaluated on the horizon. For a spacetime of the form:  $ds_{d+1}^2 = -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{rr}(r)dr^2 +$  $g_{xx}(r)dx^idx_i$ , the Hawking temperature of the system essentially becomes

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{g'_{tt}}{\sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}}} \right)_{r_h},\tag{3.10}
$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to the radial coordinate,  $r$ . Doing the calculation for the solution eq. [\(3.8\)](#page-8-1), we find the temperature as

$$
T_H = \frac{2+z}{4\pi} r_h^z.
$$
\n(3.11)

The entropy density which is the area of the horizon divided by  $4G$  gives

$$
s = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \left(\frac{4\pi}{2+z}\right)^{\frac{2}{z}} T_{\tilde{H}}^{\frac{2}{z}},
$$
\n(3.12)

where the Newton's constant G is related to the gravitational coupling  $\kappa$  as  $4G = \frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi}$  $rac{\kappa^2}{2\pi}$ . It is interesting to note that the entropy density vanishes as the temperature vanishes, for finite positive dynamical exponent. There follows, the specific heat,  $c_v = T_H \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial T_H} \right)$  $\frac{\partial s}{\partial T_H}\Big)_{\rho} \sim T_H^{2/z}.$ 

Let us show the vanishing of the entropy density even in the limit of  $z \to \infty$ . This essentially follows from the argument of [\[26](#page-34-5)] and is shown by considering a double scaling limit:  $z \to \infty$  and  $\theta \to \infty$  limit with the ratio  $(-\theta/z)$  kept fixed and positive for finite spacetime dimension. For  $\delta = 0$ , let us assume that the spacetime scales according to eq.  $(1.1)$ . For simplicity, let us consider the following  $d+1$  dimensional spacetime

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = r^{-2\gamma} \left[ -r^{2z} f(r) dt^2 + dx_i^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} \right], \quad f(r) = 1 - (r_h/r)^{\zeta}, \tag{3.13}
$$

where  $r_h$  is the horizon and  $\zeta$  is a constant. In this case the Hawking temperature goes as  $T_H \sim r_h^z$ , whereas the entropy goes as  $S \sim r_h^{-\gamma(d-1)} \sim T_H^{-(d-1)\gamma/z} = T_H^{-\theta/z}$ . From this expression of the entropy, it follows that the entropy vanishes in the  $z \to \infty$  and  $\theta \to \infty$ limit with the ratio  $\left(-\frac{\theta}{z}\right)$  kept fixed and positive at low temperature.

For finite  $\theta$  and  $z \to \infty$ , the vanishing of the entropy density at low temperature is subtle. In what follows, for finite  $\theta$ , we shall be taking z finite as well.

### <span id="page-10-0"></span>3.1.1 Null energy condition

Given the choice of our action in eq.  $(2.1)$ , the energy-momentum tensor takes the following form

$$
T_{MN} = \partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi - g_{MN} \left[ V + 2\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{T_b}{2\sqrt{-g}} Z_1 Z_2 \sqrt{-det(Z_{2}g + \lambda F)} \left[ (Z_{2}g + \lambda F) + (Z_{2}g - \lambda F) \right]^{KL} g_{KM} g_{NL} \quad (3.14)
$$

Demanding that the system we are dealing with should satisfy the null energy condition,  $T_{MN}u^Mu^N \geq 0$  for some null vectors  $u^M$  gives us the restriction on the Ricci tensor as  $R_{MN}u^Mu^N \geq 0$ . By considering the two possible choices for the null vectors as  $u^t = 1/\sqrt{g_{tt}}, u^r = 1/\sqrt{g_{rr}}, u^i = 0$  and  $u^t = 1/\sqrt{g_{tt}}, u^{x_1x_1} = 1/\sqrt{g_{x_1x_1}}, u^r = 0$  and setting the rest of the vectors to zero, gives the following conditions for the metric of the type

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = -r^{2(z+1)}dt^2 + r^2dx^idx_i + dr^2, \quad \Rightarrow (z+1)(d-1) \ge 0, \quad z(d+z-1) \ge 0. \tag{3.15}
$$

We obtain such a form of the metric in the zero temperature limit of eq.  $(3.8)$ . Upon solving the inequality, we find the most interesting restriction that is

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
d \ge 2 \quad z \ge 0,\tag{3.16}
$$

whereas the other possibilities are not that interesting because either the dimensionality of the spacetime or the dynamical exponent could become negative.

# <span id="page-10-1"></span>3.2 Lifshitz solution:  $(\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \delta = 1)$

In order to generate a Lifshitz solution, we shall consider the case where all the degrees of freedom are non-trivial i.e., they do not vanish, as well as the functions  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are not set to unity. But we shall take a trivial potential energy,  $V = 0$  with non-zero cosmological constant,  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . For simplicity, we shall be solving the equations of motion in  $3 + 1$ dimensional bulk spacetime dimensions. In this case, the solution reads as

$$
ds_{3+1}^{2} = -r^{2z} f(r) dt^{2} + r^{2} (dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2}) + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} f(r)}, \quad f(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{h}^{z+2}}{r^{z+2}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\phi(r) = 2\sqrt{z-1} \text{ Log } r,
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{1} = \frac{1}{r^{4}},
$$
  
\n
$$
F = \frac{\rho r^{z+1}}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^{2} \rho^{2}}} dr \wedge dt
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{b} = 2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^{2} \rho^{2}}}{\lambda^{2} \rho^{2}} (z^{2} + z - 2),
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha = \frac{2}{\sqrt{z-1}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z-1}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma = 0,
$$
  
\n(3.17)

where the dynamical exponent z should always be bigger than unity,  $z > 1$ . In this case, the number non-vanishing and independent parameters are two,  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$ . The Hawking temperature, in this case, turns out to be

$$
T_H = \frac{(z+2)}{4\pi} r_h^z.
$$
\n(3.18)

With the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density given as  $s = \kappa^2/(2\pi) \left(\frac{4\pi}{(z+2)}\right)^{2/z} T_H^{2/z}$ . From this expression of the entropy density, it follows trivially that for finite and positive dynamical exponent the entropy vanishes as temperature vanishes. The specific heat,  $c_v = T_H \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial T_I} \right)$  $\frac{\partial s}{\partial T_H}$ )<sub> $\rho$ </sub> ~  $T_H^{2/z}$ . It is interesting to note that for a specific choice of the dynamical exponent,  $z = 2$ , the specific heat has a linear temperature dependence.

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>4 Solutions at IR in arbitrary spacetime dimensions

In this section, we shall generalize the solutions found in the previous section to arbitrary spacetime dimensions. Instead of giving the details, let us write down the solution in  $d+1$ dimensional spacetime, at IR, to the eq.  $(2.11)$  and eq.  $(2.12)$ –eq.  $(2.14)$  with the scaling symmetry as written down in eq.  $(1.1)$ .

Conformal to  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$ , i.e., for  $\delta = 0, \ \gamma \neq 0$ : the solution reads as

$$
ds_{d+1,\delta=0}^{2} = r^{-2\gamma} \left[ -r^{2z} f(r) dt^{2} + dx^{i} dx^{j} \delta_{ij} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} f(r)} \right], \qquad f(r) = 1 - (r_{h}/r)^{\eta},
$$
  
\n
$$
\phi(r) = \sqrt{2\gamma(d-1)(\gamma-z)} Log r, \qquad \eta = z - \gamma(d-1), \qquad \Lambda = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha = (d-1)^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{8(\gamma-z)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta = (d-3) \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2(d-1)(\gamma-z)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{b} = \frac{2z}{\rho^{2}} [z - \gamma(d-1)] \sqrt{1+\rho^{2}},
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{1} = -\frac{(z - \gamma(d-1))}{\rho^{2}} [2z(1+\rho^{2}) - \gamma \rho^{2}(d-1)],
$$
  
\n
$$
V(r) = -\frac{(z - \gamma(d-1))}{\rho^{2}} [2z + (2z + \gamma(1-d))\rho^{2}]r^{2\gamma}, \qquad m_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{(d-1)(\gamma-z)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
A'_{t} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}} r^{z-1-\gamma(d-1)}
$$
(4.1)

For this solution the Hawking temperature and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density becomes

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
T_H = \frac{\eta}{4\pi} r_h^z, \quad s \sim \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} T_H^{\frac{-\gamma(d-1)}{z}}.
$$
 (4.2)

The boundary is at  $r = \infty$ , which means  $\gamma < 0$  and  $z - \gamma > 0$ . These two conditions suggests that  $\gamma < 0$  and  $z \geq 0$ . The null energy condition (NEC) gives the constraint as

 $\gamma(\gamma - z) \geq 0$  and  $z(z - \gamma(d - 1)) \geq 0$ . It means for  $d > 1$ , the NEC suggests to consider  $z \geq 0$  also.

To get the solution for  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$ , we need to set  $\gamma = 0$ , in which case there exists a non-zero entropy density and studied in detail e.g., in [\[30](#page-34-8)].

Conformal to Lifshitz solution, i.e., for  $\delta = 1, \gamma \neq 0$ : the solution reads as

$$
ds_{d+1,\delta=1}^{2} = r^{-2\gamma} \left[ -r^{2z} f(r) dt^{2} + r^{2} dx^{i} dx^{j} \delta_{ij} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} f(r)} \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
f(r) = 1 - (r_{h}/r)^{\eta},
$$
  
\n
$$
\phi(r) = \sqrt{2(1-\gamma)(d-1)(z-\gamma-1)} Log r, \quad \eta = z + (1-\gamma)(d-1), \quad \Lambda = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha = [d+1+\gamma(1-d)] \sqrt{\frac{(d-1)}{8(1-\gamma)(z-\gamma-1)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta = \frac{(d-1+\gamma(3-d))}{\sqrt{2(d-1)(1-\gamma)(z-\gamma-1)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{b} = \frac{2(z-1)}{\rho^{2}} [z-\gamma(d-1)+d-1] \sqrt{1+\rho^{2}},
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\gamma}{\sqrt{(d-1)(1-\gamma)(z-\gamma-1)}},
$$
  
\n
$$
m_{1} = -\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} [z+(1-\gamma)(d-1)][2z(1+\rho^{2})-2+\rho^{2}(\gamma(1-d)+d-3)],
$$
  
\n
$$
V(r) = -\frac{(z+(1-\gamma)(d-1))}{\rho^{2}} [2z(1+\rho^{2})-2+(d-3+\gamma(1-d))\rho^{2}]r^{2\gamma},
$$
  
\n
$$
A'_{t} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}} r^{z+d-2-\gamma(d-1)}
$$
  
\n(4.3)

In order to have the boundary at  $r = \infty$ , we must impose the following conditions  $z - \gamma > 0$  and  $1 - \gamma > 0$ . For  $d > 1$ , the reality of the solution implies  $z - \gamma > 1$ . The NEC of the zero temperature limit of the solution imposes the following conditions  $(d-1)(1-\gamma)(z-1-\gamma) \geq 0$  and  $(z-1)(z+(d-1)(1-\gamma)) \geq 0$ . Combining all these constraints we have for  $d > 1$  as  $1 - \gamma > 0$ ,  $z - \gamma > 1$  and  $z > 1$ .

Now the Hawking temperature and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density becomes

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
T_H = \frac{\eta}{4\pi} r_h^z, \quad s \sim \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} T_H^{\frac{(1-\gamma)(d-1)}{z}}.
$$
 (4.4)

Lifshitz solution, i.e., for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ : the solution reads for trivial potential,  $V(\phi) = 0$ , as

$$
ds_{d+1}^{2} = -r^{2(z+1)}f(r)dt^{2} + r^{2}dx_{i}^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}f(r)}, \qquad f(r) = 1 - \left(\frac{r_{h}}{r}\right)^{z+d},
$$
  
\n
$$
\phi(r) = \sqrt{2z(d-1)} Log r, \qquad Z_{1} = r^{-\frac{(d^{2}-1)}{2}}, \qquad Z_{2} = r^{d-1},
$$
  
\n
$$
F = \frac{\rho r^{d+z-1}}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^{2}\rho^{2}}}dr \wedge dt, \qquad T_{b} = 2z(d+z)\frac{\sqrt{1 + \rho^{2}}}{\rho^{2}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\Lambda = -\left[\frac{(d^{2} + dz - z - 1)\rho^{2} + 2z(d+z)(1 + \rho^{2})}{2\rho^{2}}\right], \qquad (4.5)
$$

where the dynamical exponent z should always be bigger than zero,  $z \geq 0$  for  $d > 1$ , which follows from the NEC. The entropy density of the solution goes as  $s \sim T$  $\sum_{H}^{(d-1)}$ , where  $T_H$ is the Hawking temperature.

General expression of the entropy: the entropy densities in these cases, eq.  $(4.2)$ , eq. [\(4.4\)](#page-12-0) and eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-10-2) can be written together for any choice of  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  as

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
s \sim \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \, T_H^{\frac{(\delta - \gamma)(d - 1)}{z}}.\tag{4.6}
$$

Let us redefine,  $\gamma = \frac{\theta}{(d-1)}$ , in which case the above mentioned entropy density can be re-written as

$$
s \sim \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} T_H^{\frac{\delta(d-1)-\theta}{z}},\tag{4.7}
$$

which is the expression of the entropy density as suggested in eq.  $(1.6)$ . In fact this form of the entropy density generalizes the one as suggested for  $\delta = 1$  case in [\[3\]](#page-33-2).

Let us recall that in scale invariant model with dynamical exponent  $z$ , the entropy density goes as  $s \sim \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} T$  $\int_H^{\frac{(d-1)}{z}}$ . Upon comparing with eq. [\(4.6\)](#page-13-2), it follows that as far as the entropy density is concerned there won't be any distinction between the scale symmetry violating or preserving theories for

$$
\delta = 1 + \gamma. \tag{4.8}
$$

This equation is satisfied for two choices: (1)  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\delta = 1$  and (2)  $\gamma = -1$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , as  $\delta$  can take only two values. The first type belongs to the Lifshitz solution whereas the second type belongs to the solution that are conformal to  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$ .

### <span id="page-13-0"></span>5 Black hole solution at UV

Let us construct a black hole solution for a specific choice of the functions that appear in the action eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-4-2), namely, we set  $Z_1 = 1 = Z_2$  and the potential energy as  $V = 0$ . For this choice of the functions, it follows that the solution of the scalar field can be taken as trivial i.e.,  $\phi = 0$ , in which case the gauge field takes the following form

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
\lambda A_t' = \frac{\rho \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + g_{xx}^{d-1}}}.
$$
\n(5.1)

The equation of motion of the metric component reduces to

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
R_{MN} - \frac{2\Lambda}{(d-1)}g_{MN} - \frac{T_b}{4(d-1)}\frac{\sqrt{-det(g + \lambda F)_{ab}}}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[ (g + \lambda F)^{-1} + (g - \lambda F)^{-1} \right]^{KL} \quad (5.2)
$$

$$
[g_{MN}g_{KL} - (d-1)g_{MK}g_{NL}] = 0.
$$

Let us assume that the geometry, asymptotically, approach the AdS spacetime. We consider the following form of the spacetime for explicit calculations

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2}[-f(r)dt^2 + dx_i^2] + \frac{R^2dr^2}{r^2f(r)},
$$
\n(5.3)

where  $R$  is the size of the AdS spacetime. Let us substitute this ansatz into the equations of motion of the metric eq. [\(5.2\)](#page-13-3), then there arises two second order differential equations. One from the  $g_{tt}$  and the other from the  $g_{rr}$  component. In fact these two differential equations are not independent, the precise relation is  $\frac{2R^4}{r^2f} \times$  eq. [\(2.12\)](#page-7-3) =  $-2r^2 \times$  eq. [\(2.14\)](#page-7-3). So we left with only one second order differential equation, which reads as

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
r^{2} f'' + (d+3)rf' + 2df + \frac{4\Lambda R^{2}}{d-1} + \frac{T_{b}R^{2}(r/R)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{\rho^{2} + \frac{r^{2(d-1)}}{R^{2(d-1)}}}} - \frac{d-3}{d-1}T_{b}R^{2}\frac{r^{d-1}}{R^{d-1}}\sqrt{\rho^{2} + \frac{r^{2(d-1)}}{R^{2(d-1)}}} = 0.
$$
 (5.4)

Now, this equation can be reduced to a first order differential equation, which essen-tially follows from eq. [\(2.13\)](#page-7-3) and the precise relation is  $-\frac{R^4}{r}$  $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial r \partial r}$  (eq. (2.[13\)](#page-7-3)) = eq. [\(5.4\)](#page-14-0). Finally, the equation of motion that follows from eq. [\(2.13\)](#page-7-3)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
rf'(r) + df(r) + \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{d-1} + \frac{T_b R^2}{d-1} \frac{r^{1-d}}{R^{1-d}} \sqrt{\rho^2 + \frac{r^{2(d-1)}}{R^{2(d-1)}}} = 0.
$$
\n(5.5)

On solving this differential equation for the generic choice of the dimension gives

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
f(r) = \frac{c_1}{r^d} - \frac{2\Lambda R^2}{d(d-1)} - \frac{T_b R^2 \rho}{(d-1)} \frac{r^{1-d}}{R^{1-d}} \quad {}_2F_1\bigg[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{2d-1}{2(d-1)}, -\frac{r^{2(d-1)}}{R^{2(d-1)}\rho^2}\bigg]. \tag{5.6}
$$

To get a feel of the solution, in what follows, we shall try to solve it for few specific choices of the spacetime dimension. Let us assume that the geometry asymptotes to  $AdS_3$ , i.e., we set  $d = 2$ , in which case the solution is

$$
ds_3^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2}[-f(r)dt^2 + dx^2] + \frac{R^2 dr^2}{r^2 f(r)}, \text{ with}
$$
  

$$
f(r) = \frac{c_1}{r^2} - \Lambda R^2 - T_b R^2 \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + R^2 \rho^2}}{2r} - \frac{R^4 T_b \rho^2}{2r^2} Log \left(r + \sqrt{r^2 + R^2 \rho^2}\right), \quad (5.7)
$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant. The horizon,  $r_h$ , is determined as the location for which  $f(r_h) = 0$ . For  $AdS_4$ , the solution looks as

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
ds_4^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2}[-f(r)dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2] + \frac{R^2 dr^2}{r^2 f(r)},
$$
  

$$
f(r) = \frac{c_1}{r^3} - \frac{1}{3}R^2\Lambda - \frac{1}{6r^2}T_bR^2\sqrt{r^4 + R^4\rho^2} - \frac{1}{3r^2}T_b\rho R^4 \ {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{4}, -\frac{r^4}{R^4\rho^2}\right].
$$
 (5.8)

Similarly, solving for an  $AdS_5$  spacetime, we find

$$
ds_5^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2}[-f(r)dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2] + \frac{R^2 dr^2}{r^2 f(r)},
$$
  

$$
f(r) = \frac{c_1}{r^4} - \frac{1}{6}R^2\Lambda - \frac{1}{12r^3}T_bR^2\sqrt{r^6 + R^6\rho^2} - \frac{1}{4r^3}T_b\rho R^5{}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{6}, -\frac{r^6}{R^6\rho^2}\right],
$$
(5.9)

where  ${}_2F_1[a, b, c, x]$  is the hypergeometric function. In order to fix the constant,  $c_1$ , we need to do an expansion in the small charge density,  $\rho$ , limit and compare it with the RN black

hole solution. From which we can identify the constant  $c_1$  as the mass density of the black hole,  $c_1 \propto -M$ . The explicit identification is presented towards the end of this section.

The gauge field that supports the AdS spacetime, from eq. [\(5.1\)](#page-13-4), follows as

$$
A_t(r) = \frac{r}{\lambda} \left[ 2F_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{2d-1}{2(d-1)}, -\frac{(r/R)^{2(d-1)}}{\rho^2} \right] + \frac{2\kappa^2}{\lambda T_b} \Phi. \right] \tag{5.10}
$$

The constant quantity,  $\Phi$ , is determined by requiring that the gauge field should vanish at the horizon,  $A_t(r_h) = 0$ , in order to keep the norm of the gauge potential finite at the horizon. The chemical potential is determined by

$$
\mu = \int_{r_h}^{\infty} A'_t = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{r_h}^{\infty} \frac{\rho R^{d-1}}{\sqrt{r^{2(d-1)} + \rho^2 R^{2(d-1)}}}
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\rho_t^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}{(d-2)\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-3d}{2-2d}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2d-2}\right) - r_h \, {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{1-2d}{2-2d}, -\frac{r_h^{2(d-1)}}{\rho_t^2}\right] \right),$  (5.11)

where  $\rho_t \equiv \rho R^{d-1}$ . This particular form of the gauge potential, hence the chemical potential, matches precisely with the one computed in the probe approximation in [\[41\]](#page-35-5) i.e., without taking the back reaction of the gauge field onto the geometry. We can determine whether this particular state corresponds to a compressible phase or not by simple looking at the continuity of the chemical potential with respect to the charge density.

$$
\frac{d\mu}{d\rho} = \frac{R}{\lambda(d-1)^2} \left( \frac{\rho^{\frac{2-d}{d-1}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{4-3d}{2-2d}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2d-2}\right) + \frac{r_h \rho(d-1)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - R^{2-2d} r_h^{2d-2}}} -r_h(d-1) \, _2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{1-2d}{2-2d}, \frac{r_h^{2(d-1)}R^{2(1-d)}}{\rho^2}\right] \right). \tag{5.12}
$$

At a very specific value of the charge density, namely,  $\rho = (r_h/R)^{d-1}$ , the above derivative has a singularity. So, we conclude that the dual field theory of the Einstein-DBI system does not show up the necessary feature to be part of the compressible phase of matter.

The temperature of the  $d+1$  dimensional black hole can be computed from the formula as written in eq.  $(3.10)$  as

$$
T_H = -\frac{r_h}{(d-1)4\pi} \left[ 2\Lambda + T_b \ r_h^{1-d} \sqrt{\rho^2 R^{2(d-1)} + r_h^{2(d-1)}} \ \right],\tag{5.13}
$$

where we have used eq. [\(5.5\)](#page-14-1) to find the derivative of the function  $f(r)$ . The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density becomes

$$
s = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \left(\frac{r_h}{R}\right)^{d-1}.\tag{5.14}
$$

Let us find the entropy in a limit for which the temperature of the black hole vanishes,  $T_H = 0$ , for a non-zero size of the horizon,  $r_h \neq 0$ . This happen when the size of the horizon takes the following form

$$
r_h^{d-1} = \frac{T_b \rho R^{d-1}}{\sqrt{4\Lambda^2 - T_b^2}}.\tag{5.15}
$$

In this case the entropy density becomes

$$
s_{\text{ext}} = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \frac{T_b \rho}{\sqrt{4\Lambda^2 - T_b^2}} \quad \neq 0. \tag{5.16}
$$

It means even for the non-linearly generalized Einstein-Maxwell action that is the Einstein-DBI action has a non-zero entropy at zero temperature. Moreover, the existence of non-zero entropy or the non-zero horizon size at zero temperature suggests an upper bound on the tension of the brane  $T_b^2 < 4\Lambda^2$  and is consistent with the solution found in eq.  $(3.2)$  for  $AdS_2$ .

The specific heat  $C_v = T_H \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial T_H} \right)$  $\frac{\partial s}{\partial T_H}$ <sub> $\rho$ </sub> that follows

$$
C_v = \frac{2(d-1)\pi R^{3-d}r_h^d\sqrt{\rho^2 R^{2(d-1)} + r_h^{2(d-1)}}[2\Lambda r_h^d + T_b r_h\sqrt{\rho^2 R^{2(d-1)} + r_h^{2(d-1)}}]}{\kappa^2[R^2r_h^d(T_b r_h^d + 2\Lambda r_h\sqrt{\rho^2 R^{2(d-1)} + r_h^{2(d-1)}}) - (d-2)T_b\rho^2 R^{2d}r_h^2]}
$$
(5.17)

In our notation  $T_b$  is positive and we are dealing with spacetimes of negative cosmological constant, which means there exists a range of values of the charge density for which the solution has got positive specific heat. In this range of charge densities, the system looks to be thermodynamically stable. In fact, for a choice like,  $d = 3$ ,  $R = 1 = r_h$ , the specific heat,  $C_v = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{1+\rho^2}[2\Lambda + T_b\sqrt{1+\rho^2}]}{2\sqrt{1+\rho^2}[2\Lambda + T_b\sqrt{1+\rho^2}]}$  $\frac{\kappa^2[T_b(1-\rho^2)+2\Lambda\sqrt{1+\rho^2}]}{k^2}$ . For small charge density, it becomes  $C_v = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa^2} + \frac{8\pi T_b}{\kappa^2 [T_b + 2\Lambda]} \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho)^4.$ 

Let us fix the precise relation between the constant  $c_1$  and the mass density  $M$ , in order to do so, let us use the thermodynamic relation  $dM = T_H ds + \Phi d\rho$ . Since the charge density is constant means the mass of the black hole can be found from  $M = \int dr_h T_H \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r_h}\right)$  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial r_h}$ ). Doing the above integral along with the use of the following relations for Hypergeometric functions

$$
(a - b) \, _2F_1[a, b, c, x] = a \, _2F_1[1 + a, b, c, x] - b \, _2F_1[a, 1 + b, c, x],
$$
  
\n
$$
_2F_1[a, b, b, x] = (1 - x)^{-a},
$$
\n(5.18)

gives the mass as

$$
-2\kappa^2 R^{d-1} M = \frac{2\Lambda}{d} r_h^d + T_b \rho R^{d-1} r_{h 2} F_1 \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{1-2d}{2-2d}, -\frac{r_h^{2(d-1)}}{\rho^2 R^{2(d-1)}} \right].
$$
 (5.19)

Recall that the constant  $c_1$  is determined from the condition,  $f(r_h) = 0$ , which means

$$
c_1 = \frac{2\Lambda R^2 r_h^d}{d(d-1)} + \frac{T_b \rho R^{d+1}}{(d-1)} r_h \, {}_2F_1\left[ \, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{1-2d}{2-2d}, -\frac{r_h^{2(d-1)}}{\rho^2 R^{2(d-1)}} \right].
$$
 (5.20)

On comparing these two expressions, we find  $c_1 = -\frac{2\kappa^2}{(d-1)}R^{d+1}M$ .

### <span id="page-17-0"></span>5.0.1 Stability

The thermodynamic stability condition as suggested by the Gubser-Mitra conjecture [\[42\]](#page-35-6) requires that

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
det\left(\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial(s,\rho)^2}\right) = \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial s^2} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial \rho^2} - \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial s} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \rho}\right)^2 > 0.
$$
 (5.21)

Let us define few dimensionless objects with subscript  $0: T_{b0} = T_b \kappa^{4/(d-1)}, s_0 = s \kappa^2$ and  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \kappa^{4/(d-1)}$  and then upon computing eq. [\(5.21\)](#page-17-2) gives for small  $s_0/\rho \equiv X \ll 1$ 

$$
2^{\frac{(2-4d)}{(d-1)}} \pi^{-2\frac{(d+1)}{(d-1)}} \frac{R^2 T_{b0}^2}{(d-1)^2 (2d-1)} (X\rho)^{\frac{(4-2d)}{(d-1)}} \left[ (d+1) \pi^{\frac{2}{d-1}} X^2 - (8d-4) \pi^{\frac{2d}{d-1}} + \cdots \right].
$$
 (5.22)

It just follows that the coefficient of the leading term is negative, which suggests that it is unstable. Let us look at the sign of the specific heat as argued in [\[42](#page-35-6)]. The positive specific heat requires:  $\frac{1}{(\partial M/\partial s)^3} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial s^2} > 0$ . Upon doing the calculation using the above mentioned dimensionless variables in  $3 + 1$  dimensional spacetime for small X, means high charge density limit

$$
\frac{1}{(\partial M/\partial s)^3} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial s^2} \simeq -\frac{\kappa^6}{R^2 \rho^2 T_{b0}^2} \left[ 16\pi - 64 \frac{\Lambda_0}{T_{b0}} X + \mathcal{O}(X)^2 \right]. \tag{5.23}
$$

In the low charge density limit,  $\rho/s_0 \equiv Y \ll 1$ , the quantity

$$
\frac{1}{(\partial M/\partial s)^3} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial s^2} \simeq \frac{64\pi^3 \kappa^6}{R^2 s_0^2 (T_{b0} + 2\Lambda_0)^2} + \mathcal{O}(Y)^2
$$
(5.24)

For asymptotically AdS spacetime, it means the specific heat is positive for small charge density, which makes the analysis consistent as done in the previous section. So to conclude the specific heat is positive and the quantity det  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial (s,a)}\right)$  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial (s,\rho)^2}\right)$  is positive for  $T_{b0} + 2\Lambda_0 < 0$  in the small charge density limit. Whereas in the limit of the ratio of large charge density to entropy density makes  $\frac{1}{(\partial M/\partial s)^3} \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial s^2}$  negative, which is again consistent with the fact that in the large charge density limit the specific heat becomes negative, implying instability.

### <span id="page-17-1"></span>5.1 Dyonic solution

In this case we turn on both the electric field along with a magnetic field in  $3+1$  spacetime dimensions. The magnetic field is considered to be constant, for simplicity. Hence, the explicit structure of the field strength and the metric is

<span id="page-17-3"></span>
$$
F = A'_t(r)dr \wedge dt + Bdx \wedge dy, \quad ds_{3+1}^2 = -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{xx}(r)(dx^2 + dy^2) + g_{rr}(r)dr^2.
$$
 (5.25)

Let us solve the equation of motion associated to the gauge field and is given as

<span id="page-17-4"></span>
$$
\lambda A'_t = \frac{\rho Z_2 \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 (Z_2^2 g_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)}}, \quad \Longrightarrow g_{tt} g_{rr} Z_2^2 - \lambda^2 A'^2_t = \frac{g_{tt} g_{rr} (Z_2^2 g_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 B^2) Z_1^2 Z_2^2}{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 (Z_2^2 g_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)}.
$$
\n
$$
(5.26)
$$

With a non-trivial magnetic and electric field the equation of motion of the metric components gets modified and are given as

$$
R_{tt} + \frac{V + 2\Lambda}{2} g_{tt} + \frac{T_b}{2} \frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^2 g_{tt} g_{xx}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 (Z_2^2 g_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)}} = 0, \qquad (5.27)
$$

$$
R_{ij} - \frac{V + 2\Lambda}{2} g_{xx} \delta_{ij} - T_b \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2} Z_2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 (Z_2^2 g_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)} = 0, \qquad (5.28)
$$

$$
R_{rr} - \frac{V + 2\Lambda}{2}g_{rr} - \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 - \frac{T_b}{2}Z_1^2 Z_2^3 \frac{g_{rr}g_{xx}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2(Z_2^2 g_{xx}^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)}} = 0.
$$
 (5.29)

Now, we shall consider a specific configuration for which the potential energy is taken as trivial,  $V = 0$  and  $Z_1 = 1 = Z_2$ . In this case, again, the trivial dilaton profile is a solution,  $\phi = 0$ , to the equation of motion. In order to find the black hole solution, let us demand that the solution asymptotically looks as an AdS spacetime. In which case, the following ansatz to the metric solves the equations of motion

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
ds_{3+1}^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2} \left[ -f(r)dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 \right] + \frac{R^2}{r^2} \frac{dr^2}{f(r)},
$$
\n(5.30)

with some form for the function  $f(r)$ . From the  $g_{tt}$  and the  $g_{rr}$  part of the metric components we find the following second order differential equation for the function  $f(r)$ 

$$
r^{2} f''(r) + 6rf(r) + 6f(r) + 2R^{2}\Lambda + \frac{T_{b}r^{2}R^{2}}{\sqrt{r^{4} + R^{4}(\rho^{2} + \lambda^{2}B^{2})}} = 0,
$$
 (5.31)

whereas from the  $g_{xx}$  component of the metric, we find the following first order differential equation for  $f(r)$ 

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
rf'(r) + 3f(r) + \Lambda R^2 + \frac{T_b R^2}{2r^2} \sqrt{r^4 + R^4(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)} = 0.
$$
 (5.32)

One can easily check that these two differential equations are not independent of each other. On solving the first order differential equation, we find the function,  $f(r)$ , has the following form

$$
f(r) = \frac{c_1}{r^3} - \frac{\Lambda R^2}{3} - T_b R^2 \frac{\sqrt{r^4 + R^4(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)}}{6r^2} - \frac{T_b R^4 \sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2}}{3r^2} {}_2F_1 \left[ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{4}, -\frac{r^4}{R^4(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)} \right].
$$
 (5.33)

It is easy to see that this solution in the zero magnetic field limit reproduces eq. [\(5.8\)](#page-14-2). As was done for the solution eq. [\(5.8\)](#page-14-2), we can identify the constant  $c_1 \propto -M$ , as the mass density of the black hole. The horizon is determined from the zero's of the function,  $f(r_h) = 0$  and the entropy density is given as  $s = (2\pi/\kappa^2)(r_h/R)^2$ .

The temperature of such a dyonic solution is

$$
T_H = -\frac{r_h}{8\pi} \left[ 2\Lambda + \frac{T_b}{r_h^2} \sqrt{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2) R^4 + r_h^4} \right],\tag{5.34}
$$

and the entropy in the extremal limit takes the following form

$$
s_{\text{ext}} = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} \frac{T_b \sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2}}{\sqrt{4\Lambda^2 - T_b^2}} \quad \neq 0. \tag{5.35}
$$

There arises an interesting question: Is it possible that  $4\Lambda^2 = T_b^2$ ? In which case the entropy in the extremal limit diverges. In order to answer such a question, let us look at the relation between the cosmological constant and the tension of the brane. From the condition of the vanishing temperature, there follows

$$
\frac{4\Lambda^2}{T_b^2} = 1 + \frac{R^4(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)}{r_h^4} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{4\Lambda^2}{T_b^2} \quad > \quad 1. \tag{5.36}
$$

So, in the vanishing temperature limit the magnitude of the cosmological constant should be bigger than half the tension of the brane.

The chemical potential for such a dyonic solution is determined as

$$
\mu = \int_{r_h}^{\infty} dr \, A_t' = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{r_h}^{\infty} dr \frac{\rho R^2}{\sqrt{r^4 + (\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2) R^4}} \n= \frac{\rho R^2}{\lambda} \left( \frac{4}{R(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)^{1/4} \sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma^2 \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) - r_h \, {}_2F_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, -\frac{r_h^4}{R^4(\rho^2 + \lambda^2 B^2)} \right] \right),
$$
\n(5.37)

### <span id="page-19-0"></span>5.2 Connection with the BI black hole

Recently, an electrically charged black hole solution is found in arbitrary spacetime dimension with the Born-Infeld (BI) matter  $[47, 48]$  $[47, 48]$ , see also  $[49]$ – $[56]$  $[56]$ . It is certainly interesting to find the connection between the Einstein-DBI black hole solutions for trivial dilaton with that of the Einstein-BI black holes in the presence of a cosmological constant. The BI matter and the DBI matter is described

$$
S_{BI} = \int \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 + \alpha F^{MN} F_{MN}}, \quad S_{DBI} = \int \sqrt{-det(g + \lambda F)_{MN}} \quad (5.38)
$$

where  $\alpha$  is a parameter. For small  $\alpha$  we see the Maxwellian structure.

Generically, the DBI action is completely different from the BI action,<sup>[7](#page-19-1)</sup> but in a specific situation they can coincide. This happen only when the  $U(1)$  field strength has got one non-vanishing component. Let us illustrate this point by considering two non-vanishing component of the field strength,  $F = A'_t(r)dr \wedge dt + Bdx \wedge dy$ , in  $d+1$  dimensional spacetime,  $ds_{d+1}^2 = -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{xx}(r)(dx^2 + dy^2) + g_{xx}(r)dz_i^2 + g_{rr}(r)dr^2$ .

On computing the BI and the DBI matter

$$
S_{\rm BI} = \int \sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha \frac{A_t'^2}{g_{tt}g_{rr}} + 2\alpha \frac{B^2}{g_{xx}^2}},
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{\rm DBI} = \int \sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 A_t'^2}{g_{tt}g_{rr}} + \frac{\lambda^2 B^2}{g_{xx}^2} - \frac{\lambda^4 B^2 A_t'^2}{g_{tt}g_{rr}g_{xx}^2}}.
$$
\n(5.39)

<span id="page-19-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Upon expanding the determinant in the DBI matter for arbitrary spacetime dimension  $det(q + \lambda F)_{MN} =$  $det(q)_{MN} + det(\lambda F)_{MN} + \cdots$ , where the ellipses stands for various even powers of F. Upon restricting to  $3+1$  dimensional spacetime, the  $det(F)_{MN} \propto F \wedge F$  and this term is absent in the action of the BI matter.

So, there follows that either for zero magnetic field or for zero charge density,  $A'_t = 0$ , both BI and DBI gives the same action for  $2\alpha = \lambda^2$ . Hence, its only the electrically charged black hole solution is same as found in [\[47,](#page-35-1) [48\]](#page-35-2), but not the dyonic solution. This we illustrate by finding the exact solution to the Einstein-cosmological constant-BI action in appendix B.

### <span id="page-20-0"></span>5.3 Solution with non-trivial scalar field

In this section, we shall find the solution at UV with a non-trivial dilaton profile. In order to do so, we shall write down the equation of motion of the metric in the following form

$$
R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}R - \frac{1}{2}\partial_M\phi\partial_N\phi
$$
  
+
$$
\frac{1}{2}g_{MN}\left(2\Lambda + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{KL}\partial_K\phi\partial_L\phi\right) + \frac{T_b}{4}Z_1(\phi)Z_2(\phi)
$$
  

$$
\times \frac{\sqrt{-det(Z_{2}g + \lambda F)_{ab}}}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[ \left(g\ Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F\right)^{-1KL} + \left(g\ Z_2(\phi) + \lambda F\right)^{-1LK} \right] g_{KM}g_{NL} = 0
$$
 (5.40)

and the rest of the equations of motion are as written down in eq.  $(2.3)$  and eq.  $(2.4)$ . We shall consider the ansatz as well as the functions as written down in eq.  $(2.5)$ , eq.  $(2.6)$ and eq. [\(2.7\)](#page-6-1), respectively. Using this ansatz for the metric, the dilaton and the solution for the gauge field is as written down in eq.  $(2.9)$ , we find the equations of motion of the metric component reduces to

<span id="page-20-2"></span>
$$
R_{tt} + \frac{1}{2}g_{tt}R - \frac{1}{2}g_{tt}\left(2\Lambda + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{KL}\partial_K\phi\partial_L\phi\right) - \frac{T_b}{2}\frac{Z_2g_{tt}}{g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2}}\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1}g_{xx}^{d-1}} = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}g_{xx}R + \frac{1}{2}g_{xx}\left(2\Lambda + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{KL}\partial_K\phi\partial_L\phi\right) + \frac{T_b}{2}\frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^d g_{xx}^{(d+1)/2}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}} = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{rr} - \frac{1}{2}g_{rr}R - \frac{\phi'^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}g_{rr}\left(2\Lambda + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{KL}\partial_K\phi\partial_L\phi\right) + \frac{T_b}{2}\frac{Z_2g_{rr}}{g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2}}\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}} = 0,
$$
\n(5.41)

Now using the first and the last equations of eq. [\(5.41\)](#page-20-2), we find

<span id="page-20-3"></span>
$$
R_{rr} + \frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}} R_{tt} = \frac{\phi'^2}{2}.
$$
\n(5.42)

In what follows, we shall use eq.  $(5.42)$ , the second and the last equation of eq.  $(5.41)$ to solve the equations of motion of the metric. Let us take the following explicit (asymptotically non-AdS spacetime) ansatz to the metric and dilaton

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = -r^2 f(r) dt^2 + \frac{e^{2\Theta(r)} dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + r^2 dx^i dx_i, \quad \phi = \delta \text{ Log } r,
$$
 (5.43)

where  $\delta$  is a constant.<sup>[8](#page-21-0)</sup> Upon substituting such a form of the metric into eq. [\(5.42\)](#page-20-3) gives<sup>[9](#page-21-1)</sup>

<span id="page-21-2"></span>
$$
\phi'^2 = \frac{2(d-1)}{r} \Theta'.
$$
\n(5.44)

For a logarithmic dilaton, it means the function  $\Theta$  should better be logarithmic,  $\Theta(r)$  =  $\delta_1$  Log r. Moreover, one can show that the only relevant equation that we need to solve is a first order differential equation, which follows from the last equation of eq. [\(5.41\)](#page-20-2) for the following choice of the constants.

$$
\alpha = -\sqrt{\frac{(d-1)\delta_1}{2}}, \quad \beta = m_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{2\delta_1}{(d-1)}}, \quad \delta = \sqrt{2(d-1)\delta_1}, \quad \Lambda = 0, \quad V = m_1 \ r^{-2\delta_1}.
$$
\n(5.45)

In which case the function,  $f(r)$ , satisfies the following differential equation

$$
rf'(r) + (d - \delta_1)f(r) + \frac{m_1}{(d-1)} + \frac{T_b}{(d-1)}r^{1-d}\sqrt{\rho^2 + r^{2(d-1)}} = 0.
$$
 (5.46)

On solving this differntial equation, we find the solution as

<span id="page-21-3"></span>
$$
f(r) = \frac{c_1}{r^{d-\delta_1}} - \frac{m_1}{(d-\delta_1)(d-1)}
$$
  
 
$$
-\frac{T_b}{(d-1)(\delta_1-1)} \rho r^{1-d} {}_2F_1 \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-\delta_1}{2(d-1)}, \frac{2d-1-\delta_1}{2(d-1)}, -\frac{r^{2(d-1)}}{\rho^2} \right], \quad (5.47)
$$

where  $c_1$  is the constant of integration and can identified with the mass density of the solution. The gauge field is

$$
\lambda A'_t(r) = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + r^{2(d-1)}}} r^{-\delta_1}.
$$
\n(5.48)

In the  $\delta_1 \rightarrow 0$  limit, this solution reduces to the solution as written in eq. [\(5.6\)](#page-14-3) provided we set  $m_1 = 2\Lambda$ .

The Hawking temperature and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy density of such black hole becomes

$$
T_H = -\frac{r_h^{1-2\delta_1}}{4\pi(d-1)} \left[ m_1 + T_b r_h^{1-d} \sqrt{\rho^2 + r_h^{2(d-1)}} \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
s = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^2} r_h^{d-1},
$$
\n(5.49)

where  $r_h$  is the horizon and determined from the zero of the function  $f(r_h) = 0$ . The specific heat becomes

$$
C_v = \frac{2(d-1)\pi r_h^d \sqrt{\rho^2 + r_h^{2(d-1)}} [m_1 r_h^d + T_b r_h \sqrt{\rho^2 + r_h^{2(d-1)}}]}{\kappa^2 \left[ T_b r_h^{2d} (1 - 2\delta_1) + T_b r_h^2 \rho^2 (2 - d - 2\delta_1) + m_1 r_h^{d+1} (1 - 2\delta_1) \sqrt{\rho^2 + r_h^{2(d-1)}} \right]}.
$$
(5.50)

<span id="page-21-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Such a form of the solution is adopted for a  $2 + 1$  dimensional Einstein-BI-dilaton system in [\[43](#page-35-9)] following [\[44](#page-35-10)].

<span id="page-21-1"></span> $9H$ ad we taken an asymptotically AdS spacetime anstz to the geometry then eq. [\(5.44\)](#page-21-2) would have given a constant dilatonic solution, which we derived in the previous subsection.

The positivity of the specific heat forces some choice of the constant,  $\delta_1$ . In order to see it, let us set  $r_h = 1$ , for simplicity. On expanding the specific heat for small charge density

$$
C_v = \frac{2(d-1)\pi}{(1-2\delta_1)\kappa^2} + \frac{2(d-1)^2 T_b \pi}{(T_b+m_1)(1-2\delta_1)^2 \kappa^2} \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).
$$
 (5.51)

It is highly plausible to set a restriction on the parameter as  $\delta_1$  < 1/2, whereas in the high charge density limit, the specific heat,  $C_v = \frac{2\pi(d-1)r_h^{d-1}}{\kappa^2(2-d-2\delta_1)} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{-2})$ . Once again demanding the positivity of the specific heat requires us to set the constraint as  $\delta_1 < 1-d/2$ . So, it follows that for high d, the constant  $\delta_1$  can become negative.

### <span id="page-22-0"></span>5.4 Dyonic dilaton solution

In this case with a constant magnetic field and the gauge field strength as written in eq.  $(5.25)$  gives the solution for the field strength as written down in eq.  $(5.26)$ . The ansatz for the geometry is assumed to be of the form as written in eq. [\(5.43\)](#page-20-1). Without giving the details for the metric and the dilaton equations of motion, we simply give the solution

$$
ds_{3+1}^{2} = -r^{2} f(r) dt^{2} + r^{2} (dx^{2} + dy^{2}) + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)}, \qquad \phi = 2 \text{ Log } r, \qquad \Theta = \text{Log } r,
$$
  
\n
$$
V = \frac{m_{1}}{r^{2}}, \qquad \alpha = -\frac{1}{1 + \lambda^{2} B^{2}}, \qquad \beta = m_{2} = -1, \qquad \Lambda = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
f(r) = \frac{c_{1}}{r^{2}} - \frac{m_{1}}{4} + \frac{T_{b}}{4r^{2} \alpha} \sqrt{\rho^{2} + \frac{(1 + \lambda^{2} B^{2})}{r^{4 \alpha}}} - \frac{T_{b} \rho}{4r^{2} \alpha} Tanh^{-1} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{(1 + \lambda^{2} B^{2})}{\rho^{2} r^{4 \alpha}}} \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda A'_{t}(r) = \frac{\rho}{r \sqrt{\rho^{2} + (1 + \lambda^{2} B^{2}) r^{-4 \alpha}}}.
$$
\n(5.52)

In the limit of vanishing magnetic field,  $B \to 0$ , we reproduce the solution for the charged black hole as written in the previous section in  $3 + 1$  dimensional spaetime in the limit of  $\delta_1 = 1$ .

### <span id="page-22-1"></span>6 Application: DC conductivity

As an application of the solutions found in the previous sections, we shall study various properties of the action as written in eq.  $(2.1)$ . To begin with, we shall calculate the dc conductivity. To do the computations, we shall use the flow equation technique of  $[45]$ , which is done in  $[57]$  and  $[58]$  for the DBI system. In order to do the computations, let us first fluctuate both the metric and the gauge field components. For simplicity, we shall restrict the fluctuation to  $g_{tx}$  and  $A_x$  component. Also  $g_{tx}$  and  $A_x$  and are assumed to be functions of time,  $t$  and  $r$ . The time dependence of the fluctuating fields comes e.g. in the metric fluctuation as  $g_{tx}(r)e^{-i\omega t}$ . In what follows, we shall not be computing the conductivity for those solutions which has a non-zero magnetic field. Doing the above mentioned fluctuations,<sup>[10](#page-22-2)</sup> we find that the  $x - r$  component of the equation of motion as

<span id="page-22-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>We shall work in the radial gauge:  $A_r = 0$ ,  $g_{Mr} = 0$ . It follows that even after fixing the gauge choice there exists some residual symmetry that of the U(1) gauge invariance and the diffeomorphism invariance.

written in eq.  $(2.2)$  gives the following relation between the metric fluctuation and the gauge field fluctuation

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}Z_2^2 - \lambda^2 A_t'^2} (g'_{xx}g_{tx} - g_{xx}g'_{tx}) + \lambda^2 T_b Z_1 Z_2^{\frac{d-1}{2}} g_{xx} A_x A_t' \sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}} = 0, \qquad (6.1)
$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to  $r$  and we have done the Fourier transformation with respect to  $e^{-i\omega t}$ , means we have set the momentum to zero. Also, in doing the computation, we have used the following result to the Ricci tensor  $R_{xr}$  =  $i\omega$  $\frac{i\omega}{2g_{tt}g_{xx}}(g'_{xx}g_{tx}-g_{xx}g'_{tx}).$ 

Let us expand the gauge field part of the action as written in eq.  $(2.1)$  to quadratic order in the gauge field,  $A_x$ , using eq. [\(6.1\)](#page-23-0) results in

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
S_A^{(2)} = -\frac{\lambda^2 T_b}{4\kappa^2} \int \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}}} \times \frac{4\lambda^2 T_b A_t^{d-1} \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \right) \times \left[ g_{tt} A_x^{d-1} - A_x^2 \left( \omega^2 g_{rr} + \frac{2\lambda^2 T_b A_t^{d-1} \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \right) \right].
$$
\n(6.2)

The equation of motion that follows from it takes the following form

$$
\partial_r \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}}} g_{tt} A'_x \right] + \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx} \sqrt{g_{tt} g_{rr}}} \left( \omega^2 g_{rr} + \frac{2 \lambda^2 T_b A_t'^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \right) A_x = 0. \tag{6.3}
$$

Let us compute the current at some choice of the radial coordinate,  $r = r_c$ , from eq. [\(6.2\)](#page-23-1)

<span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
J^{x}(r_{c}) = -\frac{\lambda^{2}T_{b}}{2\kappa^{2}} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\rho^{2} + Z_{1}^{2}Z_{2}^{d-1}g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_{2}g_{xx}\sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}}}g_{tt}A_{x}' \right]_{r=r_{c}}.
$$
 (6.4)

Now assuming that the Ohm's law holds at any choice of the the radial slice,  $r_c$ , gives

<span id="page-23-3"></span>
$$
J^{x}(r_{c}) = \sigma^{xx}(r_{c}, \omega) E_{x}(r_{c}) = \sigma^{xx}(r_{c}, \omega) i \omega A_{x}(r_{c}), \qquad (6.5)
$$

where in the second equality we have expressed all the quantities in the Fourier space. In order to see such a form of the Ohm's law, we assume that the retarded correlator at any

In cases where there exists metric, U(1) gauge field and a scalar field, the precise form of the residual symmetry is written down in eq.  $(79)$ – $(81)$  of  $[40]$ . In our case, we can still take that diffeomorphism invariance because for small value of the field strength, i.e., in the dilute limit, the DBI action reduces to the Maxwell action. Moreover, when the momentum is along the x-direction, there exists two different kind of modes depending on the  $y \to -y$ . Here we are considering the fluctuations that are part of the longitudinal mode [\[40\]](#page-34-7). In doing the analysis, we have set some of the fluctuating fields to zero a la [\[46\]](#page-35-14). In fact, it is very interesting to do the detailed analysis by keeping all the fluctuating degrees of freedom which we defer for future.

radial slice is given, by generalizing eq. (29) of [\[45](#page-35-11)]

$$
G_R(r_c, k_\mu) = -\frac{\Pi(r_c, k_\mu)}{A_x(r_c, k_\mu)},\tag{6.6}
$$

where  $\Pi(r_c, k_\mu)$  is the momentum associated to the field  $A_x$ , evaluated at  $r_c$ . In this case, the transport quantity, which is the conductivity, at  $r_c$  is related to the retarded correlator as  $\sigma(r_c, k_\mu) = iG_R(r_c, k_\mu)/\omega = -i\Pi(r_c, k_\mu)/A_x(r_c, k_\mu)$ . Note that the current in eq. [\(6.4\)](#page-23-2) is nothing but the momentum associated to  $A_x$ , hence there follows the Ohm's law at slice  $r_c$ , eq.  $(6.5)$ .

Let us evaluate the flow equation of the conductivity as we change the slice from  $r_c$  to  $r_c + \delta r_c$  in the limit  $\delta r_c \rightarrow 0$ . In which case, the resulting flow equation becomes

$$
\partial_{r_c} \sigma^{xx}(r_c, \omega) = -i\omega \sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}(r_c)}{g_{tt}(r_c)}} \left[ \Sigma_A(r_c) - \frac{(\sigma^{xx}(r_c, \omega))^2}{\Sigma_A(r_c)} + \frac{4\lambda^2 T_b^2 \rho^2}{4\kappa^2} \frac{Z_2(r_c)g_{tt}(r_c)}{g_{xx}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}(r_c)} \right], \quad (6.7)
$$

where  $\Sigma_A = 2 \frac{\lambda^2 T_b}{4\kappa^2}$  $\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}$  $\frac{Z_1Z_2}Z_2g_{xx}}{Z_2g_{xx}}$ . At the horizon, the time component of the metric vanishes,  $g_{tt}(r_h) = 0$ , which means as we take the limit  $r_c \rightarrow r_h$ , we need to impose a regularity condition on the conductivity at the horizon and the condition reads

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\sigma^{xx}(r_h) = \Sigma_A(r_h) = 2\frac{\lambda^2 T_b}{4\kappa^2} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + Z_1^2 Z_2^{d-1} g_{xx}^{d-1}}}{Z_2 g_{xx}} \right]_{r_h}.
$$
\n(6.8)

It is interesting to note that at the horizon the in-falling boundary condition for the gauge field,  $A_x$ , follows naturally combining the form of the conductivity at the horizon eq. [\(6.8\)](#page-24-0) and the Ohm's law at the horizon, i.e.,  $J^x(r_h) = \sigma^{xx}(r_h) i \omega A_x(r_h)$ . In order to see it, let us use eq. [\(6.4\)](#page-23-2) and eq. [\(6.8\)](#page-24-0) in the Ohm's law. Then it follows that the derivative of the gauge field is related to the gauge field at the horizon as

$$
A'_x(r_h) = -i\omega \left[ \sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}}} A_x \right]_{r_h}.
$$
 (6.9)

Integrating this gives us the desired in-falling form of the gauge field at the horizon, namely

$$
A_x(r_h) = e^{-i\omega \int^{r_h} dr \sqrt{\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}}}}.
$$
\n(6.10)

It is very easy to convince that in the zero frequency limit, i.e., the DC conductivity remains same over the entire range of the radial coordinate, which suggests that it does not run. Now given the form of the DC conductivity as in eq. [\(6.8\)](#page-24-0), there follows the following temperature dependence for different solutions

$$
\sigma^{xx} = \frac{\lambda^2 T_b}{2\kappa^2} \sqrt{1+\rho^2} \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{z+2}{4\pi}\right)^{(2/z)} T_H^{-\frac{2}{z}} & \text{for eq. (3.8)}\\ \left(\frac{z+2}{4\pi}\right)^{4/z} T_H^{-4/z} & \text{for eq. (3.17).} \end{cases}
$$
(6.11)

Let us recall that the longitudinal conductivity for the non-Fermi liquid (NFL) state of the matter has the inverse temperature dependence. Now at IR, we do generate such a behavior of the conductivity, if we choose the dynamical exponent  $z = 2$  for the solution eq.  $(3.8)$  whereas  $z = 4$  for the solution written in eq.  $(3.17)$ . Such a behavior of the lon-gitudinal conductivity has been found in [\[69](#page-36-2)] for  $z = 2$ , in the probe brane approximation. However, for  $z = 2$ , we find that the solution as written in eq. [\(3.17\)](#page-10-2) has the longitudinal conductivity,  $\sigma^{xx} \sim T_H^{-2}$  and that of eq. [\(3.8\)](#page-8-1) has the longitudinal conductivity,  $\sigma^{xx} \sim T_H^{-1}$ . This implies that as far as the conductivity is concerned, by tuning the parameters like  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$ , we can describe either the FL or the NFL state in a 2 + 1 dimensional field theory. Such a crossover was found in a  $3+1$  dimensional field theory using a magnetic field in [\[58\]](#page-35-13).

# <span id="page-25-0"></span>7 Entanglement entropy

The entanglement entropy of a d dimensional field theory or a  $d+1$  dimensional gravitational system is determined by finding a  $d-1$  dimensional minimal spacelike hypersurface,  $\gamma_A$ , that extremizes the area of the hypersurface [\[61](#page-35-0), [62\]](#page-36-0). The explicit formula for the entanglement entropy as suggested in [\[61](#page-35-0), [62](#page-36-0)] takes the following form:  $S_A = \frac{\text{Area of } \gamma_a}{4G_N}$  $\frac{\frac{9a}{4G_N}}{4G_N},$ where  $G_N$  is the Newton's constant in  $d+1$  dimensional gravitational system. Various aspects of the entanglement entropy is further studied e.g., in [\[63](#page-36-3)]–[\[68\]](#page-36-4).

In order to do the computation, let us move to a coordinate system  $u$  for which the boundary is at  $u = 0$  and assume that the bulk spacetime takes the following form

$$
ds_{d+1}^{2} = -g_{tt}(u)dt^{2} + g_{xx}(u)dx_{i}^{2} + g_{uu}(u)du^{2}.
$$
\n(7.1)

In which case the geometry of the  $d-1$  dimensional hypersurface takes the following form

$$
ds_{d-1}^2 = \left[ g_{uu}(u) \left( \frac{du}{dx_1} \right)^2 + g_{xx}(u) \right] dx_1^2 + g_{xx}(u) (dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_{d-1}^2), \tag{7.2}
$$

where the precise nature of the hypersurface is determined by the function  $u(x_1)$ . On computing the area of the hypersurface,  $\gamma_A$ , we find

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma_A) = \int dx_2 \cdots \int dx_{d-1} \int du \ g_{xx}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \sqrt{g_{uu} + g_{xx}(dx_1/du)^2}.
$$
 (7.3)

In order to carry out the integral of  $x_2$  to  $x_{d-1}$ , let us assume, for simplicity, the hypersurface has the shape of a strip. In which case, we assume that  $-\ell \leq x_1 \leq \ell$  and  $0 \leq (x_2, \dots, x_{d-1}) \leq L$ . Finally, performing the above mentioned integrals result in

$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma_A) = L^{d-2} \int_{-\ell}^{\ell} dx_1 \; g_{xx}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \; \sqrt{g_{xx} + g_{uu}(du/dx_1)^2}.
$$
 (7.4)

Extremizing the surface area gives the following solution to the function  $u(x_1)$ 

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
\frac{du}{dx_1} = \frac{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d(u) - g_{xx}(u)g_{xx}^{d-1}(u_\star)}}{g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(u_\star)\sqrt{g_{uu}(u)}},\tag{7.5}
$$

where the turning point,  $u_\star$ , is determined as the point where  $(\frac{dx_1}{du})_{u_\star}$  diverges. The length along  $x_1$  is

<span id="page-26-2"></span>
$$
\ell = \int_0^{u_\star} du \frac{g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(u_\star) \sqrt{g_{uu}(u)}}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d(u) - g_{xx}(u)g_{xx}^{d-1}(u_\star)}} = g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(u_\star) \int_0^{u_\star} du \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}(u)}{g_{xx}^d(u)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{g_{xx}^{d-1}(u_\star)}{g_{xx}^{d-1}(u)}}}
$$
(7.6)

Finally, substituting this form of the function,  $u(x_1)$ , from eq. [\(7.5\)](#page-25-1) into the area gives

<span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma_A) = L^{d-2} \int_{\epsilon}^{u_{\star}} du \; \frac{g_{xx}^{d-1}(u) \sqrt{g_{uu}(u)}}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d(u) - g_{xx}(u)g_{xx}^{d-1}(u_{\star})}} = L^{d-2} \int_{\epsilon}^{u_{\star}} du \; \frac{\sqrt{g_{xx}^{d-2}(u)g_{uu}(u)}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{g_{xx}^{d-1}(u_{\star})}{g_{xx}^{d-1}(u)}}}, (7.7)
$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the UV-cutoff which will regulate the presence of the divergence while approaching the boundary, i.e., taking the  $u \to 0$  limit. Generically, to perform the u integration is not easy. So, to evaluate the area, let us use eq. [\(7.3\)](#page-25-2), instead. After doing the integrals of  $x_2$  to  $x_{d-1}$ , we obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma_A) = L^{d-2} \int_{\epsilon}^{u_{\star}} du \ g_{xx}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \sqrt{g_{xx}(dx_1/du)^2 + g_{uu}} \tag{7.8}
$$

and assume that close to the boundary, the velocity,  $dx_1/du \rightarrow 0$ , is small. It means we can do a Taylor series expansion there. The leading order term gives

$$
\mathcal{A}_{UV}(\gamma_A) \simeq L^{d-2} \int_{\epsilon} du \left[ g_{xx}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \sqrt{g_{uu}} + \mathcal{O}(dx_1/du)^2 \right],\tag{7.9}
$$

whereas away from the boundary,  $u \to u_*$ , the velocity,  $dx_1/du \to \infty$ . This diverging nature essentially follows from eq. [\(7.5\)](#page-25-1). In which case, we can approximate the area as

$$
\mathcal{A}_{IR}(\gamma_A) \simeq L^{d-2} \int_{u_F}^{u_{\star}} du \left[ g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \frac{dx_1}{du} + \mathcal{O}(du/dx_1)^2 \right]
$$

$$
= L^{d-2} \int_{u_F}^{u_{\star}} du \frac{g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(u_{\star}) \sqrt{g_{uu}(u)}}{\sqrt{g_{xx}(u)}} + \cdots
$$
(7.10)

In going to the second line we have made another assumption:  $g_{xx}(u_{\star})/g_{xx}(u) \ll 1$  in the range  $u_F \leq u \lt u_{\star}$ . In which case, the velocity can be approximated as

d−1

$$
\frac{dx_1}{du} \approx \frac{g_{xx}^{\frac{a-1}{2}}(u_\star)\sqrt{g_{uu}(u)}}{g_{xx}^{d/2}(u)}.\tag{7.11}
$$

Now let us ask the question: Under what condition, we get the desired area law and the log violation of it? In order to answer both the questions, we must impose the following conditions

$$
g_{xx}^{d-2}g_{uu} \sim u^{2(1-d)}
$$
, and  $\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{xx}} \sim 1/u^2$ . (7.12)

On solving these conditions, there follows

<span id="page-26-1"></span>
$$
g_{xx}(u) \sim R^2 u^{-\frac{2(d-2)}{d-1}}, \quad g_{uu}(u) \sim R^2 u^{-\frac{2(2d-3)}{d-1}}.
$$
 (7.13)

Note that the overall constant factor is not determined. It is easy to see that our assumption,  $g_{xx}(u_{\star})/g_{xx}(u_F) \ll 1$ , holds for  $u_F < u_{\star}$ . The parameter  $u_F$  in the boundary field theory is interpreted as the scale of the Fermi surface. Finally, the area at the UV and IR takes the following form

$$
\mathcal{A}_{UV} \simeq \frac{R^{d-1}}{d-2} \left(\frac{L}{\epsilon}\right)^{d-2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{IR} \simeq R^{d-1} \left(\frac{L}{u_{\star}}\right)^{d-2} \text{ Log}\left(\frac{u_{\star}}{u_{F}}\right). \tag{7.14}
$$

Since the  $g_{tt}(u)$  component of the metric is not fixed by the entanglement entropy, it means the bulk geometry that gives the log violation of the area law, within the assumptions as mentioned above, should have the following form

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = R^2 \left[ -g_{tt}(u)dt^2 + \Sigma_x(u_\star)u^{-\frac{2(d-2)}{d-1}}dx_i^2 + \Sigma_u(u_\star)u^{-\frac{2(2d-3)}{d-1}}du^2 \right],\tag{7.15}
$$

where  $\Sigma_x$  and  $\Sigma_u$  are constants.<sup>[11](#page-27-0)</sup> Using a different coordinate system  $u = 1/r^2$  the geometry becomes

$$
ds^{2} = R^{2} \left[ -g_{tt}(r)dt^{2} + \Sigma_{x}(u_{\star})r^{\frac{4(d-2)}{d-1}}dx_{i}^{2} + 4\Sigma_{u}(u_{\star})r^{\frac{2(d-3)}{d-1}}dr^{2} \right],
$$
 (7.17)

which for  $d = 3$  with a re-definition of the time t, spatial coordinate  $x_i$  and R gives the geometry as

$$
ds_{3+1}^2 = R^2 \left[ -g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + r^2(dx^2 + dy^2) + dr^2 \right].
$$
 (7.18)

For a specific choice of the  $g_{tt}(r)$  component of the metric and setting  $R = 1$  gives the zero temperature limit of the geometry as written in eq. [\(3.8\)](#page-8-1).

**A comment:** the result presented in [\[3\]](#page-33-2), namely,  $\theta = d - 2$ , which gives the logarithmic violation of the entanglement entropy can easily be derived from eq. [\(7.7\)](#page-26-0) using the geome-try as written down in eq. [\(1.2\)](#page-2-3), for  $\delta = 1$ . Upon working in a coordinate system for which the boundary is at  $r = \infty$ , the entanglement entropy for a strip takes the following form

$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma_A) = L^{d-2} \int_{r_*}^{\Lambda} dr \; \frac{g_{xx}^{d-1}(r)\sqrt{g_{rr}(r)}}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d(r) - g_{xx}(r)g_{xx}^{d-1}(r_*)}} = L^{d-2} \int_{r_*}^{\Lambda} dr \; \frac{\sqrt{g_{xx}^{d-2}(r)g_{rr}(r)}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{g_{xx}^{d-1}(r_*)}{g_{xx}^{d-1}(r)}}}, \quad (7.19)
$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the UV-cutoff which will regulate the presence of the divergence, while taking the  $r \to \infty$  limit. Essentially, the form of the expression of the entanglement entropy for both the  $r$  and  $u$  coordinate system remains the same except the limits of the integral.

$$
\ell = \int_0^1 dt \frac{t^{d-3}}{\sqrt{1 - t^{2(d-2)}}}.
$$
\n(7.16)

<span id="page-27-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>For  $\Sigma_x(u_\star) \sim u_\star^{\frac{2}{1-d}}, \Sigma_u(u_\star) \sim u_\star^{\frac{2(d-2)}{d-1}},$  it just simply follows that  $\ell \sim u_\star$ . Note that the  $\Sigma$ 's can be absorbed into t, x and  $R$ , in which case there exists a caveat. Even though the geometry as written in eq. [\(7.13\)](#page-26-1) gives us the necessary area law at the UV and the logarithmic violation of it at IR, but the length of the strip along  $x_1$  direction is independent of the location of  $u<sub>\tau</sub>$  or  $u<sub>F</sub>$ . In order to see it, let us use the form of  $g_{xx}$  and  $g_{uu}$  in eq. [\(7.6\)](#page-26-2) and after a change of variable, the integral can be re-written as

If we impose the condition that there should be a logarithmic term in the entanglement entropy means we need to set

<span id="page-28-1"></span>
$$
g_{xx}^{d-2}g_{rr} \sim 1/r^2. \tag{7.20}
$$

Upon using eq.  $(1.2)$ , there follows the condition

$$
\delta(d-2) = \gamma(d-1) \equiv \theta,\tag{7.21}
$$

which for  $\delta = 1$ , reproduces the result of [\[3](#page-33-2)]. Note that this method does not work for  $\delta = 0$  case. Because for  $\delta = 0$ , we need to set  $\gamma = 0$ , which implies that the geometry should be  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$ , and it is known from the previous studies, this geometry does not give any logarithmic violation of the entanglement entropy [\[59\]](#page-35-4). Let us illustrate this case in detail.

The failure of the applicability of the formula eq. [\(7.20\)](#page-28-1) for  $\delta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 0$  can be seen as follows. Recall that for  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$  spacetime the metric component  $g_{xx}$  is constant, which means the turning point  $u<sub>\star</sub>$  has to be determined, carefully. In which case, the velocity and the length of the strip along  $x_1$  direction becomes

$$
\frac{dx_1}{du} = \frac{c_0 \sqrt{g_{uu}(u)}}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d - g_{xx}c_0^2}}, \quad \ell = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d - g_{xx}c_0^2}} \int_0^{u_\star} du \sqrt{g_{uu}(u)},\tag{7.22}
$$

where the constant  $c_0$  is fixed by requiring the condition that as  $u \to u_*$ , the velocity diverges, i.e.,  $(\frac{dx_1}{du})_{u_{\star}} \to \infty$ . Finally, the area of the hypersurface becomes

$$
\mathcal{A} = L^{d-2} \frac{g_{xx}^{d-1}}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^d - g_{xx}c_0^2}} \int_0^{u_{\star}} du \sqrt{g_{uu}(u)} = \frac{L^{d-2} g_{xx}^{d-1} \ell}{c_0}.
$$
 (7.23)

The velocity diverges only when  $c_0^2 = g_{xx}^{d-1}$ , which means the area of the hypersur- $fce<sup>12</sup>$  $fce<sup>12</sup>$  $fce<sup>12</sup>$  becomes  $d-1$ 

$$
\mathcal{A} = L^{d-2} \ell g_{xx}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.
$$
\n
$$
(7.24)
$$

### <span id="page-28-0"></span>8 Conclusion

In this paper, we have obtained the geometry both at IR and UV, by considering the back reaction of a space filling D-brane in the presence of a scalar field, i.e., new solutions to Einstein-DBI-dilaton system.

At IR, we suggest a form of the entropy density, which has the following temperature dependence

$$
s \sim T_H^{\frac{\delta(d-1)-\theta}{z}}.\tag{8.1}
$$

This particular form of the entropy density differs from that given<sup>[13](#page-28-3)</sup> in [\[3](#page-33-2)] essentially because of the way the spatial field theoretic directions scale under scaling transformation.

<span id="page-28-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Since the metric component  $g_{xx}$  is constant means it can absorbed into the coordinate  $x_i$ , in which case the area simply becomes  $\mathcal{A} = L^{d-2} \ell$ .

<span id="page-28-3"></span> $13$ Which is a special case of this formula. Note that the above form of the entropy density is backed up by finding the explicit solutions in generic spacetime dimensions.

It is described by a parameter,  $\delta$ , which can take only two values 0 or 1. In [\[3](#page-33-2)] only the linearly scaling behavior of the spatial field theoretic directions were considered. Here, we have considered the other case, namely, when it does not scale.<sup>[14](#page-29-0)</sup> The null energy condition suggests that the scaling violating exponent,  $\theta$ , should be negative<sup>[15](#page-29-1)</sup> and the dynamical exponent, z, should be positive.

The most notable solution for  $\theta = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$  shows the Fermi-like liquid behavior in  $3 + 1$  dimensional gravitational description. In this case we generate the black hole solution for Lifshitz spacetime. For a specific choice of the dynamical exponent,  $z = 2$ , the longitudinal conductivity and the specific heat goes as inverse quadratic and linear in temperature, respectively. Even though the geometry and the  $U(1)$  gauge field is scaling invariant but the presence of a non-trivial dilaton profile breaks it. The field strength vanishes whereas the dilaton diverges, which goes logarithmically.<sup>[16](#page-29-2)</sup> For generic  $\theta = \gamma(d-1)$ and  $\delta = 1$ , the finite temperature metric looks as

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = r^{-2\gamma} \left[ -r^{2z} f(r) dt^2 + r^2 dx^i dx^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} \right], \quad f(r) = 1 - (r_h/r)^{z + (1 - \gamma)(d - 1)} \tag{8.2}
$$

For  $\delta = 0$ ,  $z = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 0$ , the solution is very promising in  $3 + 1$  dimensional spacetime, in the sense, that it gives not only the linear temperature dependence of the resistivity but it gives the logarithmic violation of the entanglement entropy. In which case, the geometry is conformal to  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$  and the finite temperature geometry reads as

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = R^2 u^{-2\gamma/z} \left[ -u^2 f(u) dt^2 + dx^i dx^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{du^2}{u^2 f(u)} \right], \quad f(u) = 1 - (u_h/u)^{\frac{z + (1 - \gamma)(d - 1)}{z}}
$$
\n(8.3)

where we have reinstated the size,  $R$ , of the  $AdS_2$ .

Moving onto the solution at UV, in the presence of the dilaton there exists an electrically charged black hole solution in any arbitrary spacetime dimensions, whose geometry reads as

$$
ds_{d+1}^2 = -r^2 f(r) dt^2 + r^2 dx^i dx^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{dr^2}{r^{2(1-\delta_1)} f(r)}
$$
(8.4)

and the form  $f(r)$  is written in eq. [\(5.47\)](#page-21-3). The positivity of the specific heat at low charge density suggests to have a restriction on the parameter,  $\delta_1$  < 1/2. In 3 + 1 dimensional spacetime, we found a dyonic black hole solution. In the limit of  $\delta_1 \to 0$ , we do reproduce an asymptotically charged  $AdS_{d+1}$  spacetime. Interestingly, it is only the electrically charged black hole solution are same as that obtained for the BI black holes. These black holes, both with and without the dilaton field, have some non-zero entropy even in the zero temperature limit. We leave the detailed study of the thermodynamics for future investigations. Instead of considering the space filling Dd-brane action, it would be interesting to study the lower

<span id="page-29-0"></span> $14$  This case is also discussed in [\[26\]](#page-34-5) and [\[40](#page-34-7)].

<span id="page-29-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Which essentially mean this formula for the entropy density does not work when both  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  vanishes, which is the case for  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$  because this particular case is subtle.

<span id="page-29-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>We leave the study of the dispersion relation obeyed by these solutions, along the lines of [\[41\]](#page-35-5) and [\[73\]](#page-36-5), for future research.

dimensional brane action along the lines of [\[71\]](#page-36-6) with the massive embeddings [\[72](#page-36-7)], whose solution as well as the rich thermodynamics, we leave for future research.

There exist one more avenue for future research that is to find solution that interpolates between the UV solution eq. [\(5.43\)](#page-20-1) and IR solution eq. [\(1.2\)](#page-2-3) found in this work.

### Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Bum-Hoon Lee, Shibaji Roy and Tadashi Takayanagi for their useful comments, especially to Sean A. Hartnoll, Elias Kiritsis and the anonymous referee for several useful comments and suggestions. Thanks are to Saha institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata and CQUeST, Sogang university, Seoul for their generous help and support at different stages of this work.

# <span id="page-30-0"></span>A Scaling behavior

In this section, we shall generalize the scaling behavior of  $AdS_2 \otimes R^{d-1}$  geometry and the hyperscaling violating geometry as studied e.g., in [\[3\]](#page-33-2). Essentially, we are combining these two different kind of spacetimes and obtain the most general scaling behavior of the spacetime that respects the rotational and translational symmetry.

Let us write down the most general geometry based on these symmetries but in a restricted sense. Essentially, the metric components follows a power law type behavior and for simplicity, we take the metric components to be functions of the radial coordinate only

$$
ds_D^2 = R^2 r^{2a} \left[ -r^{2b} dt^2 + r^{2c} dx_i^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^{2d}} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, D-2.
$$
 (A.1)

For non-zero, c, we can re-write the geometry by defining a new coordinate via  $u = r^c$ 

$$
ds_D^2 = R^2 u^{2a/c} \left[ -u^{2b/c} dt^2 + u^2 dx_i^2 + \frac{du^2}{u^{2(c+d)/c}} \right],
$$
 (A.2)

where we have re-defined t,  $x_i$  and R as well. Now, demand that under  $u \to \lambda^{\alpha} u$ , time, spatial coordinates,  $x_i$  and the metric scales as

$$
t \to \lambda^z t, \quad x_i \to \lambda^\delta x_i, \quad ds \to \lambda^\beta ds. \tag{A.3}
$$

In which case, the exponents  $a/c$ ,  $b/c$  and  $d/c$  are related to  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  and z as

$$
a/c = -\frac{1}{\alpha}(\delta + \alpha - \beta), \quad b/c = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\delta + \alpha - z), \quad \frac{(c+d)}{c} = -\delta/\alpha \tag{A.4}
$$

and the geometry becomes

$$
ds_D^2 = R^2 u^{-2\frac{(\delta + \alpha - \beta)}{\alpha}} \left[ -u^{\frac{2(\delta + \alpha - z)}{\alpha}} dt^2 + u^2 dx_i^2 + du^2 u^{2\delta/\alpha} \right]. \tag{A.5}
$$

Note that  $\alpha$  can not be set to zero, in fact, for any other value of it, we can redefine δ, β and z and bring the geometry to the following form

$$
ds_D^2 = R^2 u^{-2(1+\tilde{\delta}-\tilde{\beta})} \left[ -u^{2(1+\tilde{\delta}-\tilde{z})} dt^2 + u^2 dx_i^2 + du^2 u^{2\tilde{\delta}} \right]
$$
  
=  $R^2 u^{2\tilde{\beta}} \left[ -u^{-2\tilde{z}} dt^2 + u^{-2\tilde{\delta}} dx_i^2 + \frac{du^2}{u^2} \right],$  (A.6)

where  $\tilde{\delta} = \delta/\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = \beta/\alpha$  and  $\tilde{z} = z/\alpha$ . Since, we are interested to understand the scaling behavior of the geometry, it is better not to set  $\alpha$  to zero. Now, it just follows that under

$$
u \to \lambda u, \quad t \to \lambda^{\tilde{z}} t, \quad x_i \to \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}} x_i, \quad ds \to \lambda^{\tilde{\beta}} ds. \tag{A.7}
$$

Even though, we started out with a geometry which has non-linear scaling behavior of the radial coordinate,  $u$ , but after redefinition of the exponents, the radial coordinate has been set to scale linearly. Moreover, for  $\tilde{\delta} \neq 0$ , we can redefine the coordinate  $u^{\tilde{\delta}} = 1/\rho$  also t,  $x_i$  and R to make the  $x_i$ 's scale linearly in  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $x_i \to \lambda x_i$ . In fact, the hyperscaling violating geometry as written down in [\[3\]](#page-33-2) has been re-written in [\[8\]](#page-33-8) for which the radial coordinate scales linearly. So, we finally end up with two different choices of  $\tilde{\delta}$ . Those are zero and unity.

Let us record the curvature invariants of eq.  $(1.2)$  in  $d = 3$ 

Ricci scalar = 
$$
2r^{2\gamma}[z(3\gamma - 2\delta) - 3(\gamma - \delta)^2 - z^2]
$$
,  
\n
$$
R^{MN}R_{MN} = r^{4\gamma} \Big[ (z^2 - z\gamma + 2\delta(\delta - \gamma))^2 + 2(\gamma - \delta)^2(z - 2\gamma + 2\delta)^2 + (z - \gamma)^2(z - 2\gamma + 2\delta)^2 \Big],
$$
\n
$$
R^{MNKL}R_{MNKL} = 4r^{4\gamma} \Big[ z^4 - 2z^3\gamma - 4z\gamma(\gamma - \delta)^2 + z^2(3\gamma^2 - 4\gamma\delta + 2\delta^2) + (\gamma - \delta)^2(3\gamma^2 - 2\gamma\delta + 3\delta^2) \Big]
$$
\n(4.8)

These invariants suggests that for  $\gamma < 0$  the geometry is singular at IR, which is the case for the scale symmetry violating solutions.

### <span id="page-31-0"></span>B Dyonic-BI

In this section, we shall find the exact solution to the Einstein-Hilbert- cosmological constant action along with the BI action. In particular, with an electric and constant magnetic field. On finding the solution we shall see the difference of this solution with that found in section 5.1, namely, the Einstein-Hilbert- cosmological constant action with the DBI term. The BI action is described as

$$
S_{BI} = -T \int \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 + \alpha F^{MN} F_{MN}}.
$$
 (B.1)

For small value of  $\alpha$  this action reduces to the Maxwellian action. From the study of the Einstein-Maxwell-cosmological constant system in  $3 + 1$  dimensional spacetime, it is known that given an electrically charged solution with charge density  $\rho$ , we can obtain a dyonic solution by doing the following substitution:  $\rho^2 \to \rho^2 + B^2$ , where B is the constant magnetic field. However, this simple substitution does not work for the Einstein-Hilbertcosmological constant-BI system, which we demonstrate below.

The full action is

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{3+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - 2\Lambda - T\sqrt{1 + \alpha F^{MN} F_{MN}} \right). \tag{B.2}
$$

The equation of motion for the metric and the gauge field are

$$
R_{MN} - \Lambda g_{MN} - \frac{Tg_{MN}}{2\sqrt{1 + \alpha F^2}} - \frac{T\alpha g^{KL} F_{MK} F_{NL}}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha F^2}} = 0, \quad \partial_M \left(\frac{\sqrt{-g} F^{MN}}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha F^2}}\right) = 0, \quad (B.3)
$$

where we use a short hand notation  $F^2 = F^{MN}F_{MN}$ . Let us consider the following ansatz for the gauge field and the metric

$$
ds^{2} = -g_{tt}(r)dt^{2} + g_{xx}(r)(dx^{2} + dy^{2}) + g_{rr}(r)dr^{2} \quad F = A'_{t}(r)dr \wedge dt + Bdx \wedge dy. \quad (B.4)
$$

Substituting such a choice of the gauge field strength into the equation of motion gives

$$
A'_{t}(r) = \rho \frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}g_{rr}(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha B^2)}}{g_{xx}(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha \rho^2)},
$$
\n(B.5)

where  $\rho$  is the constant of the integration and we interpret it as the charge density. The equations of motion of the metric components are

$$
R_{tt} + \Lambda g_{tt} + \frac{T}{2} \frac{g_{tt}(g_{xx}^4 - 4\alpha^2 \rho^2 B^2)}{g_{xx}^2 \sqrt{(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha B^2)(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha \rho^2)}} = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{xx} - \Lambda g_{xx} - \frac{T}{2g_{xx}} \sqrt{(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha B^2)(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha \rho^2)} = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
R_{rr} - \Lambda g_{rr} - \frac{T}{2} \frac{g_{rr}(g_{xx}^4 - 4\alpha^2 \rho^2 B^2)}{g_{xx}^2 \sqrt{(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha B^2)(g_{xx}^2 + 2\alpha \rho^2)}} = 0.
$$
 (B.6)

Let us demand that the asymptotic solution to the above equations of motion is AdS, which means we can set the metric as

$$
ds^{2} = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2} \left[-f(r)dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2}\right] + \frac{R^{2}dr^{2}}{r^{2}f(r)}.
$$
 (B.7)

Upon substituting it into the above equations of motion, one can easily convince that the  $g_{tt}$  and the  $g_{rr}$  component of the equations of motion are not independent of each other and it reads as

$$
r^{2}f'' + 6rf' + 6f + 2\Lambda R^{2} + TR^{2}\frac{(r^{8} - 4B^{2}R^{8}\alpha^{2}\rho^{2})}{r^{4}\sqrt{(r^{4} + 2\alpha R^{4}B^{2})(r^{4} + 2\alpha R^{4}\rho^{2})}} = 0
$$
 (B.8)

In fact the equation of motion associated to  $g_{xx}$  gives

$$
rf' + 3f + \Lambda R^2 + TR^2 \frac{\sqrt{(r^4 + 2\alpha R^4 B^2)(r^4 + 2\alpha R^4 \rho^2)}}{2r^4} = 0.
$$
 (B.9)

It is easy to convince that these two differential equations are not independent of each other. Moreover, by comparing this differential equation for  $f(r)$  with that of the DBI case as in eq. [\(5.32\)](#page-18-1), we can easily convince that they are not same as far as the dyonic solution is concerned. As a result, it simply follows that given an electrically charged solution of the Einstein-Hilbert-cosmological constant-BI system with charge density  $\rho$  can not give a dyonic solution by the simple substitution formula:  $\rho^2 \to \rho^2 + B^2$ .

### References

- <span id="page-33-0"></span>[1] C. Charmousis, B. Gouteraux, B. Kim, E. Kiritsis and R. Meyer, Effective holographic theories for low-temperature condensed matter systems, JHEP 11 [\(2010\) 151](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2010)151) [[arXiv:1005.4690](http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4690)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1005.4690)].
- <span id="page-33-1"></span>[2] B. Gouteraux and E. Kiritsis, Generalized holographic quantum criticality at finite density, JHEP 12 [\(2011\) 036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2011)036) [[arXiv:1107.2116](http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.2116)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1107.2116)].
- <span id="page-33-2"></span>[3] L. Huijse, S. Sachdev and B. Swingle, Hidden Fermi surfaces in compressible states of gauge-gravity duality, Phys. Rev. B  $85$  [\(2012\) 035121](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035121) [[arXiv:1112.0573](http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0573)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1112.0573)].
- <span id="page-33-3"></span>[4] J.M. Maldacena, The large-N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [[Int. J. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) 38 (1999) 1113] [[hep-th/9711200](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711200)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9711200)].
- [5] S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3) **B 428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802109)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9802109)].
- [6] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802150)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9802150)].
- <span id="page-33-4"></span>[7] O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J.M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, Large-N field theories, string theory and gravity, [Phys. Rept.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00083-6) 323 (2000) 183 [[hep-th/9905111](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9905111)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9905111)].
- <span id="page-33-8"></span>[8] X. Dong, S. Harrison, S. Kachru, G. Torroba and H. Wang, Aspects of holography for theories with hyperscaling violation, JHEP  $06$  [\(2012\) 041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)041) [[arXiv:1201.1905](http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.1905)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1201.1905)].
- [9] K. Narayan, On Lifshitz scaling and hyperscaling violation in string theory, Phys. Rev. D 85 [\(2012\) 106006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.106006) [[arXiv:1202.5935](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.5935)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1202.5935)].
- [10] B.S. Kim, Schrödinger holography with and without hyperscaling violation, JHEP 06 [\(2012\) 116](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)116) [[arXiv:1202.6062](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.6062)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1202.6062)].
- [11] H. Singh, Lifshitz/Schrödinger Dp-branes and dynamical exponents, JHEP  $07$  [\(2012\) 082](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)082) [[arXiv:1202.6533](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.6533)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1202.6533)].
- [12] P. Dey and S. Roy, Lifshitz-like space-time from intersecting branes in string/M theory, JHEP 06 [\(2012\) 129](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)129) [[arXiv:1203.5381](http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.5381)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1203.5381)].
- [13] E. Perlmutter, Hyperscaling violation from supergravity, JHEP 06 [\(2012\) 165](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)165)  $\left[$ [arXiv:1205.0242](http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.0242) $\right]$  $\left[$ IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1205.0242) $\right]$ .
- [14] M. Ammon, M. Kaminski and A. Karch, Hyperscaling-violation on probe D-branes, JHEP 11 [\(2012\) 028](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)028) [[arXiv:1207.1726](http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1726)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1207.1726)].
- [15] M. Alishahiha and H. Yavartanoo, On holography with hyperscaling violation, JHEP 11 [\(2012\) 034](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)034) [[arXiv:1208.6197](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.6197)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1208.6197)].
- <span id="page-33-9"></span>[16] M. Alishahiha, E. O Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, Charged black branes with hyperscaling violating factor, JHEP 11 [\(2012\) 137](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)137) [[arXiv:1209.3946](http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.3946)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1209.3946)].
- <span id="page-33-5"></span>[17] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, *Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points*, Phys. Rev. D 78 [\(2008\) 106005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.106005) [[arXiv:0808.1725](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1725)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0808.1725)].
- <span id="page-33-6"></span>[18] M. Taylor, *Non-relativistic holography*,  $\arXiv:0812.0530$  $\arXiv:0812.0530$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0812.0530)].
- [19] S.S. Pal, Anisotropic gravity solutions in AdS/CMT, [arXiv:0901.0599](http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0599) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0901.0599)].
- <span id="page-33-7"></span>[20] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh and M. Shmakova, Generalized attractor points in gauged supergravity, Phys. Rev. **D 84** [\(2011\) 046003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.046003) [[arXiv:1104.2884](http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.2884)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1104.2884)].
- <span id="page-34-0"></span>[21] K. Inbasekar and P.K. Tripathy, Generalized attractors in five-dimensional gauged supergravity, JHEP  $09$  [\(2012\) 003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2012)003) [[arXiv:1206.3887](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3887)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1206.3887)].
- <span id="page-34-4"></span>[22] G.T. Horowitz and B. Way, Lifshitz singularities, Phys. Rev. D 85 [\(2012\) 046008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.046008) [[arXiv:1111.1243](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1243)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1111.1243)].
- <span id="page-34-1"></span>[23] S.S. Gubser and F.D. Rocha, *Peculiar properties of a charged dilatonic black hole in AdS*<sub>5</sub>, Phys. Rev. D 81 [\(2010\) 046001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.046001) [[arXiv:0911.2898](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.2898)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0911.2898)].
- <span id="page-34-2"></span>[24] K. Goldstein, S. Kachru, S. Prakash and S.P. Trivedi, Holography of charged dilaton black holes, JHEP 08 [\(2010\) 078](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2010)078) [[arXiv:0911.3586](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3586)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0911.3586)].
- <span id="page-34-3"></span>[25] C.-M. Chen and D.-W. Pang, Holography of charged dilaton black holes in general dimensions, JHEP  $06$  [\(2010\) 093](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)093) [[arXiv:1003.5064](http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.5064)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1003.5064)].
- <span id="page-34-5"></span>[26] S.A. Hartnoll and E. Shaghoulian, Spectral weight in holographic scaling geometries, JHEP 07 [\(2012\) 078](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)078) [[arXiv:1203.4236](http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.4236)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1203.4236)].
- [27] N. Ogawa, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, Holographic Fermi surfaces and entanglement entropy, JHEP 01 [\(2012\) 125](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)125) [[arXiv:1111.1023](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1023)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1111.1023)].
- <span id="page-34-6"></span>[28] S.-S. Lee, A non-Fermi liquid from a charged black hole: a critical Fermi ball, Phys. Rev. D 79 [\(2009\) 086006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.086006) [[arXiv:0809.3402](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.3402)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0809.3402)].
- [29] H. Liu, J. McGreevy and D. Vegh, Non-Fermi liquids from holography, Phys. Rev. D 83 [\(2011\) 065029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.065029) [[arXiv:0903.2477](http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2477)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0903.2477)].
- <span id="page-34-8"></span>[30] T. Faulkner, H. Liu, J. McGreevy and D. Vegh, Emergent quantum criticality, Fermi surfaces and  $AdS_2$ , Phys. Rev. **D 83** [\(2011\) 125002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.125002) [[arXiv:0907.2694](http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2694)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0907.2694)].
- [31] M. Cubrovic, J. Zaanen and K. Schalm, String theory, quantum phase transitions and the emergent Fermi-liquid, Science 325 [\(2009\) 439](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1174962) [[arXiv:0904.1993](http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1993)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0904.1993)].
- [32] S.A. Hartnoll and A. Tavanfar, Electron stars for holographic metallic criticality, Phys. Rev. D 83 [\(2011\) 046003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.046003) [[arXiv:1008.2828](http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.2828)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1008.2828)].
- [33] K. Jensen, S. Kachru, A. Karch, J. Polchinski and E. Silverstein, Towards a holographic marginal Fermi liquid, Phys. Rev. D  $84$  [\(2011\) 126002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.126002) [[arXiv:1105.1772](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1772)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1105.1772)].
- [34] N. Iizuka, N. Kundu, P. Narayan and S.P. Trivedi, *Holographic Fermi and non-Fermi liquids* with transitions in dilaton gravity, JHEP  $01$  [\(2012\) 094](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)094) [[arXiv:1105.1162](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1162)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1105.1162)].
- [35] J.P. Gauntlett, J. Sonner and D. Waldram, Universal fermionic spectral functions from string theory, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.241601)  $107$  (2011) 241601 [[arXiv:1106.4694](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.4694)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1106.4694)].
- [36] O. DeWolfe, S.S. Gubser and C. Rosen, Fermi surfaces in maximal gauged supergravity, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.251601) 108 (2012) 251601 [[arXiv:1112.3036](http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3036)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1112.3036)].
- [37] D. Guarrera and J. McGreevy, Holographic Fermi surfaces and bulk dipole couplings, [arXiv:1102.3908](http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.3908) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1102.3908)].
- [38] N. Iqbal, H. Liu and M. Mezei, Lectures on holographic non-Fermi liquids and quantum phase transitions,  $arXiv:1110.3814$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1110.3814)].
- [39] S.A. Hartnoll and D.M. Hofman, Locally critical resistivities from Umklapp scattering, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.241601) 108 (2012) 241601 [[arXiv:1201.3917](http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3917)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1201.3917)].
- <span id="page-34-7"></span>[40] R.J. Anantua, S.A. Hartnoll, V.L. Martin and D.M. Ramirez, The Pauli exclusion principle at strong coupling: holographic matter and momentum space, JHEP 03 [\(2013\) 104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2013)104) [[arXiv:1210.1590](http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.1590)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1210.1590)].
- <span id="page-35-5"></span>[41] A. Karch, D. Son and A. Starinets, Zero sound from holography,  $arXiv:0806.3796$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0806.3796)].
- <span id="page-35-6"></span>[42] S.S. Gubser and I. Mitra, The evolution of unstable black holes in Anti-de Sitter space, JHEP 08 [\(2001\) 018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/08/018) [[hep-th/0011127](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0011127)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0011127)].
- <span id="page-35-9"></span>[43] R. Yamazaki and D. Ida, Black holes in three-dimensional Einstein-Born-Infeld dilaton theory, Phys. Rev. D 64 [\(2001\) 024009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.024009) [[gr-qc/0105092](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0105092)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+gr-qc/0105092)].
- <span id="page-35-10"></span>[44] G. Clement and D. Gal'tsov, Solitons and black holes in Einstein-Born-Infeld dilaton theory, Phys. Rev. **D 62** [\(2000\) 124013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.124013) [[hep-th/0007228](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0007228)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0007228)].
- <span id="page-35-11"></span>[45] N. Igbal and H. Liu, Universality of the hydrodynamic limit in AdS/CFT and the membrane paradigm, Phys. Rev. D 79 [\(2009\) 025023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.025023) [[arXiv:0809.3808](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.3808)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0809.3808)].
- <span id="page-35-14"></span>[46] S.A. Hartnoll, C.P. Herzog and G.T. Horowitz, Holographic superconductors, JHEP 12 [\(2008\) 015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/015) [[arXiv:0810.1563](http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.1563)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0810.1563)].
- <span id="page-35-1"></span>[47] T.K. Dey, Born-Infeld black holes in the presence of a cosmological constant, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.047) **B 595** (2004) 484 [[hep-th/0406169](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0406169)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0406169)].
- <span id="page-35-2"></span>[48] M. Cataldo and A. Garcia, Three dimensional black hole coupled to the Born-Infeld electrodynamics, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00441-4) B 456 (1999) 28 [[hep-th/9903257](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903257)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9903257)].
- <span id="page-35-7"></span>[49] S. Fernando and D. Krug, Charged black hole solutions in Einstein-Born-Infeld gravity with a cosmological constant, [Gen. Rel. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1021315214180) 35 (2003) 129 [[hep-th/0306120](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0306120)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0306120)].
- [50] R.-G. Cai, D.-W. Pang and A. Wang, Born-Infeld black holes in (A)dS spaces, Phys. Rev. **D 70** [\(2004\) 124034](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.124034) [[hep-th/0410158](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0410158)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0410158)].
- [51] A. Sheykhi and N. Riazi, *Thermodynamics of black holes in*  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Einstein-Born-Infeld dilaton gravity, Phys. Rev. D 75 [\(2007\) 024021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.024021) [[hep-th/0610085](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0610085)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0610085)].
- [52] S. Fernando, Thermodynamics of Born-Infeld-Anti-de Sitter black holes in the grand canonical ensemble, Phys. Rev.  $D$  74 [\(2006\) 104032](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.104032) [[hep-th/0608040](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0608040)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0608040)].
- [53] Y.S. Myung, Y.-W. Kim and Y.-J. Park, Thermodynamics of Einstein-Born-Infeld black holes in three dimensions, Phys. Rev.  $\overline{D}$  78 [\(2008\) 044020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.044020) [[arXiv:0804.0301](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0301)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0804.0301)].
- [54] Y.S. Myung, Y.-W. Kim and Y.-J. Park, Thermodynamics and phase transitions in the Born-Infeld-Anti-de Sitter black holes, Phys. Rev. D 78 [\(2008\) 084002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.084002) [[arXiv:0805.0187](http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.0187)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0805.0187)].
- [55] S. Banerjee, A note on charged black holes in AdS space and the dual gauge theories, Phys. Rev. **D 82** [\(2010\) 106008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.106008) [[arXiv:1009.1780](http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1780)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1009.1780)].
- <span id="page-35-8"></span>[56] Y.S. Myung and T. Moon, *Hairy mass bound in the Einstein-Born-Infeld black hole*, Phys. Rev. D 86 [\(2012\) 084047](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.084047) [[arXiv:1201.1173](http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.1173)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1201.1173)].
- <span id="page-35-12"></span>[57] B.-H. Lee, S.S. Pal and S.-J. Sin, RG flow of transport quantities, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X12500716) A 27 (2012) 1250071 [[arXiv:1108.5577](http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5577)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1108.5577)].
- <span id="page-35-13"></span>[58] S.S. Pal, Approximate strange metallic behavior in AdS, [arXiv:1202.3555](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.3555) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1202.3555)].
- <span id="page-35-4"></span>[59] B. Swingle, Entanglement entropy at finite density from extremal black holes, [arXiv:0908.1737](http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1737) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0908.1737)].
- <span id="page-35-3"></span>[60] R.C. Myers, Dielectric branes, JHEP 12 [\(1999\) 022](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/12/022) [[hep-th/9910053](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9910053)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9910053)].
- <span id="page-35-0"></span>[61] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602) 96 (2006) 181602 [[hep-th/0603001](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0603001)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0603001)].
- <span id="page-36-0"></span>[62] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Aspects of holographic entanglement entropy, JHEP 08 [\(2006\) 045](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/045) [[hep-th/0605073](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605073)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0605073)].
- <span id="page-36-3"></span>[63] W. Li, T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, Some no-go theorems for string duals of non-relativistic Lifshitz-like theories, JHEP 10 [\(2009\) 015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/015) [[arXiv:0908.0363](http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.0363)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0908.0363)].
- [64] M. Headrick, Entanglement Renyi entropies in holographic theories, Phys. Rev. D 82 [\(2010\) 126010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.126010) [[arXiv:1006.0047](http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.0047)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1006.0047)].
- [65] J. de Boer, M. Kulaxizi and A. Parnachev, Holographic entanglement entropy in Lovelock gravities, JHEP 07 [\(2011\) 109](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2011)109) [[arXiv:1101.5781](http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.5781)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1101.5781)].
- [66] H. Casini, M. Huerta and R.C. Myers, Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy, JHEP 05 [\(2011\) 036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)036) [[arXiv:1102.0440](http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.0440)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1102.0440)].
- [67] R.C. Myers and A. Singh, *Entanglement entropy for singular surfaces, JHEP* **09** [\(2012\) 013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2012)013) [[arXiv:1206.5225](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.5225)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1206.5225)].
- <span id="page-36-4"></span>[68] M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev and K. Schalm, On holographic entanglement entropy of charged matter, JHEP 10 [\(2012\) 098](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)098) [[arXiv:1208.2937](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.2937)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1208.2937)].
- <span id="page-36-2"></span>[69] S.A. Hartnoll, J. Polchinski, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Towards strange metallic holography, JHEP 04 [\(2010\) 120](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2010)120) [[arXiv:0912.1061](http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.1061)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0912.1061)].
- <span id="page-36-1"></span>[70] M. Jarvinen and E. Kiritsis, Holographic models for QCD in the Veneziano limit, JHEP 03 [\(2012\) 002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2012)002) [[arXiv:1112.1261](http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.1261)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1112.1261)].
- <span id="page-36-6"></span>[71] S.B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, Hierarchies from fluxes in string  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$  $compactifications, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097] [NSPIRE].$
- <span id="page-36-7"></span>[72] D. Mateos, R.C. Myers and R.M. Thomson, Holographic viscosity of fundamental matter, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.101601) 98 (2007) 101601 [[hep-th/0610184](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0610184)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0610184)].
- <span id="page-36-5"></span>[73] D.K. Brattan, R.A. Davison, S.A. Gentle and A. O'Bannon, Collective excitations of holographic quantum liquids in a magnetic field, JHEP 11 [\(2012\) 084](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)084) [[arXiv:1209.0009](http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.0009)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1209.0009)].