PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: January 9, 2013 Accepted: January 27, 2013 PUBLISHED: February 26, 2013

# Features of warped geometry in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling

# Sayantan Choudhury<sup>a</sup> and Soumitra SenGupta<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Kolkata 700 108, India  $b$ Department of Theoretical Physics, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, 2A and 2B Raja S.C. Mullick Road, Kolkata - 700 032, India

E-mail: [sayanphysicsisi@gmail.com](mailto:sayanphysicsisi@gmail.com), [tpssg@iacs.res.in](mailto:tpssg@iacs.res.in)

Abstract: We study the role of the Gauss-Bonnet corrections and two loop higher genus contribution to the gravity action on the Kaluza-Klien modes and their interactions for different bulk fields which enable one to study various phenomenological implications of string loop corrected Gauss-Bonnet modified warped geometry model in one canvas. We have explicitly derived a phenomenological bound on the Gauss-Bonnet parameter so that the required Planck to TeV scale hierarchy can be achieved through the warp factor in the light of recently discovered Higgs like boson at 125 GeV. Moreover due to the presence of small perturbative Gauss-Bonnet as well as string loop corrections we have shown that the warping solution can be obtained for both de-Sitter and anti-de-Sitter bulk which is quite distinct from Randall-Sundrum scenario. Finally we have evaluated various interactions among these bulk fields and determined the coupling parameters and the Kaluza-Klien mode masses which is crucial to understand the phenomenology of a string two loop corrected Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet warp geometry.

Keywords: Phenomenology of Field Theories in Higher Dimensions, Strings and branes phenomenology

ArXiv ePrint: [1301.0918](http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.0918)



# Contents



# JHEP 02 (2013) 136 JHEP02(2013)136

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>1 Introduction

Warp geometry models have been extensively studied in recent years from both theoretical and phenomenological perspectives. The Randall Sundrum braneworld model (RS) [\[1,](#page-57-0) [2\]](#page-58-0), one of the pioneering warped geometry model, was proposed to resolve the long standing problem in connection with the fine tuning of the mass of Higgs (also known as gauge hierarchy or naturalness problem) in an otherwise successful Standard Model of elementary

particles. RS model has been studied extensively both in the context of collider physics [\[3](#page-58-1)– [51](#page-60-0)] as well as cosmological physics [\[52](#page-60-1)[–68](#page-61-0)]. In particle phenomenology, one of the important experimental signatures of such extra dimensional models is the search of the Kaluza-Klien (KK) gravitons in pp collision leading to dilepton decays in the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [\[69](#page-61-1)]. The couplings of the zero mode as well as the higher KK modes are determined by assuming the standard model fields to be confined on a 3-brane located at an orbifold fixed point. Such a picture is rooted in a string-inspired model where the standard model fields being open string-excitations are localized on a 3-brane. This led to the braneworld description of extra dimensional models with gravity only propagating in the bulk as a closed string excitation. But apart from graviton, string theory admits of various higher rank antisymmetric tensor excitations as closed string modes which can also propagate as a bulk field. It was found that remarkably such fields are heavily suppressed on the brane in such warped geometry model and thus offers a possible explanation of invisibility of these fields in current experiments [\[70](#page-61-2)[–73](#page-61-3)]. Subsequently going beyond the stringy description, the implications of the presence of standard model fields in the bulk were also studied in different variants of warped braneworld models. All these models in general assumed the 3-brane hypersurface to be flat. These models were subsequently generalized to include non-flat branes [\[74](#page-61-4)[–76\]](#page-61-5) and also braneworld with larger number of extra dimensions [\[77](#page-61-6)[–84\]](#page-62-0).

From a theoretical standpoint, warped geometry model has its underlying motivation in the backdrop of string theory where the throat geometry (Klevanov-Strassler) [\[85](#page-62-1)] solution exhibits warping character. However the Randall-Sundrum models captures the essence of such warped geometry models in a simple way and also drew the attention in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence as the Randall-Sundrum model is defined on a  $AdS_5$  slice [\[86](#page-62-2)[–94](#page-62-3)].

While the Randall-Sundrum model starts with Einstein's gravity in  $AdS_5$  manifold in five dimensional space-time, there have been efforts to include the higher curvature effects in the nature of the warped geometry [\[56](#page-60-2), [95](#page-62-4)[–100\]](#page-63-0). Such corrections originate naturally in string theory where power expansion in terms of inverse string tension yields the higher order corrections to pure Einstein's gravity [\[101](#page-63-1)[–106\]](#page-63-2). Supergravity, as the low energy limit of heterotic string theory yields the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) term as the leading order correction [\[56,](#page-60-2) [100](#page-63-0), [107](#page-63-3)] and therefore became an active area of interest as a modified theory of gravity. Various cosmological implications of GB correction have been studied extensively in the context of slow roll inflationary models [\[56](#page-60-2), [100](#page-63-0), [108](#page-63-4)[–111](#page-63-5)], initial singularities [\[112](#page-63-6)[–117\]](#page-64-0), tensor perturbations [\[118](#page-64-1)[–122\]](#page-64-2) etc. It has been shown that the positivity or negativity of the GB couplings as well as it's magnitude can be strongly constrained from the WMAP9 [\[123\]](#page-64-3) and PLANCK [\[124\]](#page-64-4) data. In a different context like black hole Physics it has been shown that GB correction suppresses graviton emission [\[125](#page-64-5)[–132\]](#page-64-6) and therefore the black hole becomes more stable [\[133](#page-64-7)[–140](#page-65-0)]. Moreover the correction to black hole entropy due to GB term has also been an active area of interest [\[141](#page-65-1)[–150\]](#page-65-2). Thus the Gauss-Bonnet gravity as a modified gravity theory has been studied exhaustively in different scenarios [\[151](#page-65-3)[–160](#page-66-0)] as a first step to include the higher curvature effects over Einstein's gravity. In addition to this string theory admits of higher loop corrections [\[102,](#page-63-7) [161](#page-66-1)[–164\]](#page-66-2) which is a further modification on Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet correction on Einstein's gravity.

In this work we investigate the role of the GB corrections and two loop higher genus contribution to the gravity action on the KK- modes and their interactions for different bulk fields which would enable one to study various phenomenological implications of string loop corrected Gauss-Bonnet modified warped geometry model in one canvas.

Considering the GB correction as a small perturbative correction over the original Einstein's action, we first derive the modified warp factor and brane tensions in a  $Z_2$ orbifolded model. We show that though the warp factor looks similar to RS model but the warp factor parameter which in RS model depends on the bulk cosmological constant now have solutions in two branches which are functions of the GB parameter  $\alpha_{(5)}$ , string loop correction parameter  $A_1$  and also depends on the extra dimensional coordinate. We determine a bound on the GB parameter so that the required Planck to TeV scale hierarchy can be achieved through the warp factor in the light of recently discovered Higgs like boson at 125 GeV. Moreover due to the presence of GB as well as string loop corrections, here we show that the warping solution can be obtained for both de-Sitter and anti-de-Sitter bulk. This feature is quite distinct from RS-scenario which is defined only on an  $AdS_5$  bulk in order to achieve a warped solution. After a detailed analysis of the character of the solutions of the warp factor and brane tensions we proceed to evaluate the zero mode and KK mode excitations of bulk graviton along with the 5-dimensional supergravity extension with bulk gravitino. In both the cases we find the profile of the bulk wave functions. We then extend our calculations with bulk scalar as well as bulk gauge field by addressing both abelian and non-abelian cases including dilaton coupling. Among other closed string modes, string theory admits of various higher rank antisymmetric tensor fields which are also possible candidates for bulk fields. We study the KK-modes and the profiles of the bulk wave functions for various antisymmetric tensor fields including the possible dilaton and axion couplings. It is followed by a detailed analysis of bulk fermions where the profile of both left and right chiral modes are determined in presence of the GB extended gravity model. We then evaluate various interactions among these bulk fields and determine the coupling parameters which is crucial to understand the phenomenology of a string two loop corrected Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet warp geometry. Finally we conclude by summarizing our results.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>2 Einstein Gauss-Bonnet warped geometry model with string loop correction in a 5-dimensional bulk spacetime

We start our discussion with a warped model on the topological bulk manifold  $\mathcal{M}_5 := \text{dS}_5/\text{AdS}_5 \otimes \text{S}^5$ . Consider the  $Ads_5$  slice in a two brane framework described by the following action:

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
S_{(5)} = S_{EH} + S_{GB} + S_{\text{loop}} + S_{\text{Bulk}} + S_{\text{Brane}}\,,\tag{2.1}
$$

where the contribution from the gravity sector is given by the *Einstein Hilbert*, *Gauss*-Bonnet and string two-loop correction [\[102\]](#page-63-7) coming from the interaction with dilatonic degrees of freedom via the Conformal Field Theory (CFT) in the bulk geometry such that,

$$
S_{EH} = \frac{M_{(5)}^3}{2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} R_{(5)}, \tag{2.2}
$$

$$
S_{GB} = \frac{\alpha_{(5)}M_{(5)}}{2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[ R^{ABCD(5)} R^{(5)}_{ABCD} - 4R^{AB(5)} R^{(5)}_{AB} + R^2_{(5)} \right],\tag{2.3}
$$

$$
S_{\text{loop}} = -\frac{\alpha_{(5)}A_1 M_{(5)}}{2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} e^{\theta_1 \phi} \left[ R^{ABCD(5)} R^{(5)}_{ABCD} - 4R^{AB(5)} R^{(5)}_{AB} + R^2_{(5)} \right] \tag{2.4}
$$

with  $A, B, C, D = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4(Extra\ Dimension)$  and a conformal two-loop coupling constant  $A_1$ . Other contributions come from bulk and two brane sector which are given as:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
S_{\text{Bulk}} = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{Bulk}}^{\text{field}} - 2\Lambda_{(5)} e^{\theta_2 \phi} \right],\tag{2.5}
$$

$$
S_{\text{Brane}} = \int d^5 x \sum_{i=1}^2 \sqrt{-g_{(5)}^{(i)}} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{\text{field}} - T_{(i)} e^{\theta_2 \phi} \right] \delta(y - y_{(i)}).
$$
 (2.6)

The  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Bulk}}^{\text{field}}$  represents the bulk field Lagrangian which may include different spin fields such as  $U(1)$  abelian gauge fields,  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge fields, spin  $1/2$  fermions, dilaton, pure bulk scalar, rank-3 (Kalb-Rammond) and rank-4 antisymmetric tensor fields. Throughout the article we use  $\alpha_{(5)}$  as Gauss-Bonnet coupling,  $A_1$  as two-loop conformal coupling and  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  for dilatonic coupling. In equation [\(2.6\)](#page-4-1) the brane index  $i = (1[hidden\ brane], 2[visible\ brane])$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{(i)}^{\text{field}}$  represents brane Lagrangian which contains brane fields. The bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  couples to the dilatonic degrees of freedom.

The background metric describing slice of the  $\text{dS}_5/\text{AdS}_5$  warped geometry is given by  $|1|$ ,

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
ds_{(5)}^2 = g_{AB} dx^A dx^B = e^{-2A(y)} \eta_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta} + r_c^2 dy^2,
$$
\n(2.7)

where  $r_c = e^{-B_0} (\sim \mathcal{O}(1))$  is the dimensionless quantity in the Planckian unit representing the compactification radius of extra dimension in a  $\frac{S^1}{Z_0}$  $\frac{S^1}{Z_2}$  orbifolding and it is expressed in terms of the stabilized radion  $B_0$ . Most importantly the compactification radius is assumed to be independent of four dimensional coordinates (by Poincare invariance) and extra dimensional coordinate (fifth dimension). Here the orbifold points are  $y_i = [0, \pi]$  and pereodic boundary condition is imposed in the closed interval  $-\pi \leq y \leq \pi$ . After orbifolding, the size of the extra dimensional interval is  $\pi r_c$ . Moreover in the above metric ansatz  $e^{-2A(y)}$  represents the warp factor and the Minkowski flat metric  $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = (-1, +1, +1, +1)$ . This will lead to dimensional reduction of the manifold  $dS_5 \to \left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{1,3} \otimes \frac{S^1}{Z_2}\right)$  $\mathrm{\frac{S^{1}}{Z_{2}}} \Big) \text{ or } \mathbf{AdS_{5}} \rightarrow \Big(\mathcal{M}^{1,3}\otimes \frac{\mathrm{S}^{1}}{\mathrm{Z_{2}}} \Big)$  $\frac{S^1}{Z_2}\Bigg)$ depending on the signature of the bulk cosmological constant.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>3 Warp factor and brane tension

Varying the action stated in equation  $(2.1)$  and neglecting the back reaction of all the other brane/bulk fields except gravity, the five dimensional Bulk Einstein's equation turns

out to be

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[ G_{AB}^{(5)} + \frac{\alpha_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^2} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right) H_{AB}^{(5)} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
- \frac{e^{\theta_2 \phi}}{M_{(5)}^3} \left[ \Lambda_{(5)} \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} g_{AB}^{(5)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 T_{(i)} \sqrt{-g_{(5)}^{(i)}} g_{\alpha \beta}^{(i)} \delta_A^{\alpha} \delta_B^{\beta} \delta(y - y_{(i)}) \right]
$$
(3.1)

where the five dimensional Einstein's tensor and the Gauss-Bonnet tensor [\[56](#page-60-2), [100](#page-63-0)] is given by

$$
G_{AB}^{(5)} = \left[ R_{AB}^{(5)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{AB}^{(5)} R_{(5)} \right],
$$
\n
$$
H_{AB}^{(5)} = 2R_{ACDE}^{(5)} R_B^{CDE(5)} - 4R_{ACBD}^{(5)} R_C^{CDE(5)} - 4R_{ACBD}^{(5)} R_B^{C(5)} + 2R_{AB}^{(5)} R_B^{(5)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{1} \left( 5 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} A B C D (5) P^{(5)} \right) - 4 P^{(5)} A D (5) P^{(5)} \right) + P^{(5)} A D (5)
$$
\n
$$
(3.2)
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
-\frac{1}{2}g_{AB}^{(5)}\left(R^{ABCD(5)}R_{ABCD}^{(5)}-4R^{AB(5)}R_{AB}^{(5)}+R_{(5)}^{2}\right).
$$
 (3.3)

Similarly varying equation [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) with respect to the dilaton field the gravidilaton equation of motion turns out to be

$$
\frac{\theta_2}{M_{(5)}^2} \sum_{i=1}^2 T_{(i)} \sqrt{-g_{(5)}^{(i)}} e^{\theta_2 \phi} \delta(y - y_{(i)})
$$
\n
$$
= \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left\{ \alpha_{(5)} A_1 \theta_1 \left[ R^{ABCD(5)} R^{(5)}_{ABCD} - 4 R^{AB(5)} R^{(5)}_{AB} + R^2_{(5)} \right] + 2 \frac{\Lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^2} \theta_2 e^{\theta_2 \phi} + \frac{\Box_{(5)} \phi}{M_{(5)}} \right\}
$$
\n(3.4)

where the five dimensional D'Alembertian operator is defined as  $\square_{(5)}\phi =$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}$  $\frac{1}{-g_{(5)}}\partial_A(\sqrt{-g_{(5)}}\partial_A^A\phi)$ . Now from the equation  $(3.1)$   $(A = \alpha, B = \beta)$  component of the Einstein's equation can be written as:

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{r_c^2} \left\{ 6 \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 - 3 \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} - \frac{8 \alpha_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^2} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^4 \right] \right\} \n+ \frac{4 \alpha_{(5)}}{r_c^4 M_{(5)}^2} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right) \left\{ 19 \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 - 5 \left( \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \right)^2 - 14 \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^4 \right\} \n= - \frac{e^{\theta_2 \phi}}{M_{(5)}^3} \left[ \Lambda_{(5)} + \frac{1}{r_c} \left( T_{(1)} \delta(y) + T_{(2)} \delta(y - \pi) \right) \right]
$$
\n(3.5)

and from  $(A = 4, B = 4)$  component we get

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{r_c^2} \left\{ 6 \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 - \frac{208\alpha_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^2} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right) \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^4 \right\} + \frac{144\alpha_{(5)}}{r_c^4 M_{(5)}^2} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right) \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^4
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{\Lambda_{(5)} e^{\theta_2 \phi}}{M_{(5)}^3}.
$$
\n(3.6)

To solve equation  $(3.5)$  and equation  $(3.6)$  we assume that the dilaton is weakly coupled to gravity (weak coupling  $\theta_1$ ) and the bulk cosmological constant (weak coupling  $\theta_2$ ) since the Gauss-Bonnet coupling is an outcome of perturbative correction to gravity at the quadratic order. In this context dilaton is function of extra dimension only in the bulk. Using this fact, the gravidilaton equation stated in equation  $(3.4)$  is simplified to the following expression:

$$
\alpha_{(5)}A_1\theta_1 \left[ -\frac{16}{r_c^4} \left\{ \left( \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \right) - 4 \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 \right\}^2 + \frac{16}{r_c^2} \left\{ \left( \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \right) - \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 \right\}^2 + \frac{16}{r_c^4} \left\{ 2 \left( \frac{d^2 A(y)}{dy^2} \right) - 5 \left( \frac{dA(y)}{dy} \right)^2 \right\}^2 + \frac{1}{M_{(5)}} \frac{d}{dy} \left( e^{-4A(y)} \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) + \frac{\theta_2 e^{\theta_2 \phi}}{M_{(5)}^2} \left[ 2\Lambda_{(5)} - \frac{1}{r_c} \left( T_{(1)} \delta(y) + T_{(2)} \delta(y - \pi) \right) \right] = 0 \tag{3.7}
$$

Now including the well known  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifolding symmetry at the leading order of  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\alpha_{(5)}$  we get

$$
\phi(y) = \sum_{p=1}^{2} \left( \frac{|y|}{\theta_p^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\theta_p} \right)
$$
 (3.8)

with  $\left\{\frac{\theta_{\bf p}}{\theta_{\bf q}} \to {\bf 1}\forall ({\bf p},{\bf q})\right\}$  and the corresponding warp factor turns out to be

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
A(y) := A_{\pm}(y) = k_{\pm}r_c|y|
$$
\n(3.9)

where

$$
k_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{3M_{(5)}^2}{16\alpha_{(5)}(1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi})} \left\{ 1 \pm \frac{1}{3r_c M_{(5)}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \sqrt{\left[\frac{9M_{(5)}^5}{r_c^2} + \left(208 - \frac{144}{r_c^2}\right) \alpha_{(5)} \left(1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi}\right) \Lambda_{(5)} e^{\theta_2 \phi} \right]} \right\}}
$$
(3.10)

along with a stringent constraint

$$
\alpha_{(5)}\Lambda_{(5)} \geq -\left[\frac{9M_{(5)}^5}{r_c^2\left(208 - \frac{144}{r_c^2}\right)\left(1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi}\right) e^{\theta_2 \phi}}\right].
$$
\n(3.11)

It may be observed that though the warp factor looks similar to RS warp factor [\[1\]](#page-57-0) but the parameter k is now defined over two different branches  $k_{+}$  and  $k_{-}$  and unlike RS scenario it is dependent on the extra dimensional coordinate y. It can be easily observed that after taking  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, A_1, \alpha_{(5)}) \rightarrow 0$  limit k<sub>−</sub> branch asymptotically reaches to Randall-Sundrum limit. On the contrary the  $k_{+}$  branch asymptotically diverges.

The brane tensions for the visible and hidden brane turn out to be

$$
T_{\rm hid}^{\mp} := T_{(1)} = \mp \left\{ \Lambda_{(5)} r_c + 6k_{\pm}^2 M_{(5)}^3 r_c e^{-\theta_2 \phi} \left[ 1 - \frac{4\alpha_{(5)} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right)}{3M_{(5)}^2} k_{\pm}^2 \left( r_c^2 + 7 \right) \right] \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{\rm vis}^{\pm} := T_{(2)} = \pm \left\{ \Lambda_{(5)} r_c + 6k_{\pm}^2 M_{(5)}^3 r_c e^{-\theta_2 \phi} \left[ 1 - \frac{4\alpha_{(5)} \left( 1 - A_1 e^{\theta_1 \phi} \right)}{3M_{(5)}^2} k_{\pm}^2 \left( r_c^2 + 7 \right) \right] \right\}.
$$
\n(3.12)

<span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Variation of  $k_{\pm}$  vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for [\(a\)](#page-7-0)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-7-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$ and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-7-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-7-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1, |A_1| = 0.04, \theta_1 = 0.05 \text{ and } \theta_2 = 0.04.$ 

Furthermore the modified four dimensional effective Planck mass in presence of Gauss-Bonnet perturbative coupling is given by

<span id="page-7-5"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
M_{PL} := M_{(4)} = \sqrt{M_{(5)}^3 r_c \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c |y|}}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{M^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}}} \sqrt{[1 - e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi}]}.
$$
 (3.13)

In figure [1](#page-7-4) we have plotted the behavior of characteristic parameter  $k_{\pm}$  with respect to Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for all possible signatures of five dimensional bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and two-loop conformal coupling coefficient  $A_1$ . For  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  the  $k_-\$ solution touches the  $\alpha_{(5)}$  axis at zero for  $\alpha_{(5)} < 0$  in figure [1](#page-7-4)[\(a\)](#page-7-0) and figure 1[\(b\)](#page-7-1) which is physically redundant. The only features that are accepted  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  < 0 situation where the k− solution is

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

$\alpha_{(5)}(>0)$	$\alpha_{(5)}$ ( $< 0$ )	$\Lambda_{(5)}$	$A_1$	$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	$r_c$	$k_{+}r_{c}$	$k_{-}r_{c}$	$A_+(\pi)$	$A_-(\pi)$
(for $k_{+}$ branch)	(for $k_{+}$ branch)	$(\text{in } M_{PL}^5)$			$\left  \left( \text{in } M_{PL}^{-1} \right) \right  \left( \text{in } M_{PL}^{-1} \right) \left  \left( \text{in } M_{PL}^{-1} \right) \right $					
	$(0.00460 - 0.00510) (-0.00160) - (-0.00170)$		0.04	0.05	0.04	0.996		$11.46 - 12.10$   $11.52 - 12.10$   $36.0 - 38.0$   $36.2 - 38.0$		
	$(0.00090 - 0.00100) (-0.00032) - (-0.00034)$		$-0.04$	0.05	0.04	0.996		$11.68 - 12.38 \mid 11.68 - 12.19 \mid 36.7 - 38.9 \mid 36.7 - 38.3 \mid$		
	$(0.00310 - 0.00340)$ $(-0.00220) - (-0.00260)$	$-1$	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.996		$11.49 - 12.19$   $11.49 - 12.16$   $36.1 - 38.3$   $36.1 - 38.2$		
	$(0.00064 - 0.00070)$ $(-0.00045) - (-0.00050)$	$-1$	$-0.04$	0.05	0.04	0.996		$11.52 - 12.13$   $11.71 - 12.38$   $36.2 - 38.1$   $36.8 - 38.9$		

Table 1. Allowed parameter space for  $k_+$  and  $k_-$  branch to produce Planck to TeV scale warping.

asymptotic in nature for  $\alpha_{(5)} < 0$  are clearly exhibited in figure [1](#page-7-4)[\(c\)](#page-7-2) and figure 1[\(d\).](#page-7-3) It is evident from the figure  $1(a)$  $1(a)$  and figure  $1(b)$  $1(b)$  is that the  $k_{+}$  solution shows the asymptotic behavior for  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$ ,  $\alpha_{(5)} > 0$  and non-zero for rest of the two situations. It is important to mention here that for all situations in figure  $1 \alpha_{(5)} \rightarrow 0$  $1 \alpha_{(5)} \rightarrow 0$  shows the well known Randal-Sundrum feature for k<sub>−</sub> branch ( $k_$  →  $k_{RS}$ ). On the other hand in the same limit  $k_+$  branch asymptotically diverges. The overall parameter space satisfies the criteria  $k_{\pm}r_c \simeq 12$  and  $A_{\pm}(\pm\pi) \simeq 36$ , which is a necessary requirement to solve the well known gauge hierarchy problem in the two brane set up are explicitly mentioned in table [1.](#page-8-0)

It is interesting to observe from the figures  $1(a)-1(d)$  $1(a)-1(d)$  $1(a)-1(d)$  that both  $k_{\pm}$  decreases with increase in the GB parameter  $\alpha_{(5)}$  which would cause a fall in the warping through the warp factor unless the value of the modulus  $r_c$  is changed accordingly. So if one wants to resolve the gauge hierarchy problem then a little hierarchy will enter through  $r_c$  (which is now greater than the RS value) due to the non-vanishing value of the GB coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . We shall see it's implications in the subsequent sections.

In figure [2](#page-9-0) explicitly shows the behavior of positive warp function  $A_{+}(y)$  with respect to the coordinate characterizing the extra dimension  $y$  for all possible signatures of five dimensional bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and two-loop conformal coupling coefficient  $A_1$ . In this context we use three positive fixed values of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ to explain the behavior of  $A_+(y)$ . It is important to mention here that that the point  $y = 0$  satisfies the no warping condition which is evident from equation [\(3.9\)](#page-6-0). If we tune the numerical value of Gauss-Bonnet coupling to a small value then  $A_+(\pm \pi) \simeq 36$ which can easily solve the well known hierarchy problem. As we increase the strength of the coupling then we see that  $A_+(\pm \pi) < 36$  and it is no longer possible to address the gauge hierarchy problem due to Gauss-Bonnet correction. This feature directly sets the constraint on Gauss-Bonnet coupling strength appearing as a perturbative correction on Einstein-Hillbert term in the gravity action.

Similarly figure [3](#page-10-2) explicitly shows the behavior of negative warp function  $A_-(y)$  with respect to the coordinate characterizing the extra dimension  $y$  for all possible signatures of five dimensional bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and two-loop conformal coupling coefficient  $A_1$ . The graphical behavior of negative warp function is significantly different from the positive one. But it is clear from the figure [2](#page-9-0) and figure [3](#page-10-2) that both the positive and negative bulk cosmological constant can solve the hierarchy problem in the perturbative regime. In this connection the most significant result comes from figure [2](#page-9-0) and figure [3](#page-10-2) the tiny parameter space between the red and green colored curve corresponds to the recently observed Higgs like scalar at 125 GeV.

<span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>

<span id="page-9-4"></span>**Figure 2.** Variation of warp function  $A_+$  vs extra dimensional coordinate y for [\(a\)](#page-9-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-9-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-9-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-9-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$  with three different sets of Gauss-Bonnet coupling coefficient  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . The region between red and green colored line corresponds to the recently discovered Higgs like boson at 125 GeV.

The graphical behavior of visible brane tension with respect to the Gauss-Bonnet Coupling for all signatures of five dimensional bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and twoloop conformal coupling co-efficient  $A_1$  is explicitly shown in figure [4.](#page-11-0) In the  $\alpha_{(5)} \to 0$  limit visible brane tension asymptotically follows Randall-Sundrum feature. It is important to mention here that in figure [4](#page-11-0) we have maintained the restriction for  $k_{+}$  branch  $\alpha_{(5)} > 0$ and for  $k_$  branch  $\alpha_{(5)}$  < 0 which is strictly valid throughout our article. Consequently the visible brane tension is always negative for negative Gauss-Bonnet coupling followed by the  $k_{+}$  branch and positive signature of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling followed by the  $k_{-}$  branch.

<span id="page-10-4"></span>

 $\circlearrowright$ 

<span id="page-10-5"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-2"></span>

<span id="page-10-6"></span>**Figure 3.** Variation of warp function  $A_{-}$  vs extra dimensional coordinate y fo[r\(a\)](#page-10-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-10-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-10-5)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-10-6)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$  with four different sets of Gauss-Bonnet coupling coefficient  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . The region between red and green colored line corresponds to the recently discovered Higgs like boson at 125 GeV.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>4 Analysis of bulk Kaluza-Klien spectrum and their coupling for different bulk fields in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling

In this section we elaborately discuss the technical details of the dimensional reduction technique of the bulk fields appearing in the bulk action via Kaluza-Klien spectrum analysis in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling in modified Randall-Sundrum scenario.

# <span id="page-10-1"></span>4.1 Bulk graviton field

In this context we are interested to find out the Kaluza-Klien spectrum of spin-2 bulk graviton field. To explore the characteristic features of bulk graviton we rescale the four

<span id="page-11-3"></span><span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>

<span id="page-11-4"></span>**Figure 4.** Variation of visible brane tension  $T_{vis}$  vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for [\(a\)](#page-11-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$ and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-11-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-11-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-11-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context we use  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$ .

dimensional counterpart of the five dimensional Randall-Sundrum metric stated in equa-tion [\(2.7\)](#page-4-2) in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . This induces the tensor perturbation in the gravity sector via the fluctuation through graviton degrees of freedom. Such spin-2 field content is the essential ingredient in the context of phenomenology of extra dimension studied from Randall-Sundrum two brane scenario. This picture is constructed out of the underlying assumption that gravity is the only candidate which propagates in the bulk via the bulk graviton. In this section we will concentrate solely on the graviton degrees of freedom. After rescaling the old four dimensional counterpart of the five dimensional metric can be recast as

$$
g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \left[ \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{K}_{(5)} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \right]
$$
(4.1)

where  $\mathcal{K}_{(5)} := \frac{2}{M_{(5)}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ represents the expansion parameter for tensor perturbation. Here in the context of perturbative graviton field theory we also use the fact that such expansion parameter is much smaller than unity. Consequently the total resulting metric for the tensor perturbation is given by

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
ds^2_{(5);new} = e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \left[ \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{K}_{(5)} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \right] dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta} + r_c^2 dy^2. \tag{4.2}
$$

Plugging the new metric stated in equation [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0) in the Einstein-Hilbert action via five dimensional Ricci scalar the five dimensional perturbative action for graviton can be written as

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
S_{EH} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ r_{c} e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggl\{ 1 + K_{(5)} Tr(\mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)) + \frac{K_{(5)}^{2}}{2} \Biggl[ \Biggl( Tr(\mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)) \Biggr)^2 - Tr(\mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{2}(x, y)) \Biggr] + K_{(5)}^{3} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha}^{(3)}(x, y) \mathbf{h}_{\beta}^{(2)}(x, y) \mathbf{h}_{\gamma}^{(2)}(x, y) \Biggr) \Biggl[ \frac{1}{r_{c}^{2}} \Biggl( -\frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{D}_{y} \Biggl( e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggl( \eta^{\alpha\beta} \Biggr) \Biggr) \Biggl[ \eta_{\beta\alpha} \overrightarrow{D}_{y} \Biggl( e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggr) + K_{(5)} \overrightarrow{D}_{y} \Biggl( e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \mathbf{h}_{\beta\alpha}(x, y) \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr\}
$$
  

$$
- \frac{1}{4} e^{4A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggl( \eta^{\alpha\lambda} + K_{(5)} \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\lambda}(x, y) \Biggr) \Biggl\{ \eta_{\beta\alpha} \overrightarrow{D}_{y} \Biggl( e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggr) + K_{(5)} \overrightarrow{D}_{y} \Biggl( e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \mathbf{h}_{\beta\alpha}(x, y) \Biggr) \Biggr\}
$$
  

$$
+ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggl( \eta^{\alpha\beta} + K_{(5)} \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \Biggr) \Biggl\{ - \frac{1}{2r_{c}^{2}} \Biggl( \eta_{\beta\alpha} \overrightarrow{D}_{y}^{2} \Biggl( e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr\}
$$
  

$$
+ \kappa_{(5)} \overrightarrow{D}_{y}^{2} \Biggl( e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \mathbf{h}_{\lambda\beta}(x, y) \Biggr) \Biggr\} + \frac{K_{(5)} \overrightarrow{D}_{y}^{2}} \Biggl( \eta^{\gamma\lambda} + K_{(
$$

where we introduce a new symbol  $\overrightarrow{D}_y := \frac{d}{dy}$ . Let the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the spin-2 graviton field is given by

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
 (4.4)

Now plugging equation  $(4.4)$  in equation  $(4.3)$  and including the transverse and traceless criteria of the graviton given by

$$
\mathbf{h}^{\mu}_{\mu}{}^{(n)} = 0 = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{h}^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta},
$$
  
\n
$$
\partial^{\mu} \mathbf{h}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} = 0 = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \mathbf{h}^{(n)}_{\beta\gamma}
$$
\n(4.5)

the leading order contribution to the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following expression:

$$
\mathbf{S}^{EH} \simeq \frac{M_{(5)}^3 \mathcal{K}_{(5)}^2}{2} \int d^4 x \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta} \, (n) (x) \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \left( m_n^{\mathbf{G}} \right)_\pm^2 \tag{4.6}
$$

In this context we impose the following orthonormalization condition of extra dimension dependent wave functions

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \ \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{G}}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn} \tag{4.7}
$$

The mass term of the graviton field is defined through the following differential equation as

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}\left(e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y)\right)=e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)}\left(m_n^{\mathbf{G}}\right)_\pm^2\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y). \tag{4.8}
$$

Introducing two new quantities  $z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} := \frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{G}})_\pm}{k+1}$  $(k_n^2)_{\pm} e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  and  $f_{\pm; \mathbf{G}}^n := e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{G}}^{(n)}$  the equation [\(4.8\)](#page-13-1) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order two as

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}}} + z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}}} + \left\{ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right)^2 - 4 \right\} \right] f_{\pm; \mathbf{G}}^n = 0 \tag{4.9}
$$

The analytical solution of this equation turns out to be

<span id="page-13-5"></span><span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{2A_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}}\left[\mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}) + \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\mathcal{Y}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{G}})\right].\tag{4.10}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm,\mathbf{G}}$  is the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\alpha_n^{\pm;G}$  is the integration constant to be determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. Self-adjointness and hermiticity of the differential operator appearing in equation [\(4.9\)](#page-13-2) demands that  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}(y)$ is continuous at the orbifold fixed points  $y_i = 0, \pi$ . Consequently we have

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=0}=0 \implies \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}} = \frac{\left[\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathbf{G}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{J}_{2}'\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathbf{G}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right) + \mathcal{J}_{2}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathbf{G}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}{\left[2\mathcal{Y}_{2}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathbf{G}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right) + \frac{(m_{n}^{\mathbf{G}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{Y}_{2}'\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathbf{G}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}.
$$
(4.11)

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \implies \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}} = \frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right)+x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\mathcal{J}_{2}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right)\right]}{\left[x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\mathcal{Y}_{2}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right)+\mathcal{Y}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right)\right]}
$$
(4.12)

where  $z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} = \frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{G}})_\pm}{k_n^{\pm}}$  $\frac{k_{n}^{G}(k_{\pm})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}$ . For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_{n}^{G})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\ll 1$  the mass spectrum for the graviton field is expected to be of the order of TeV scale i.e.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \simeq -\frac{\pi}{4} \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right)^2 e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.
$$
 (4.13)

Now using equation  $(4.13)$  and equation  $(4.11)$  we get

$$
\frac{\pi}{4} \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right)^2 e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi} = \frac{\left[ \mathcal{J}_2 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right) + x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \mathcal{J}_2' \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right) \right]}{\left[ \mathcal{Y}_2 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right) + x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \mathcal{Y}_2' \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right) \right]}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right) \simeq -\frac{\pi}{4} \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right)^2 e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi} \mathcal{Y}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \right) \approx 0 \tag{4.14}
$$

which is a transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}}$  and the roots of this equation gives the graviton field mass spectrum  $(m_n^{\mathbf{G}})_\pm$  in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . This leads to approximately the various Kaluza-Klien mode masses for the graviton field as,

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\left(m_n^{\mathbf{G}}\right)_{\pm} \approx \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi k_{\pm} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.\tag{4.15}
$$

This shows that for both  $A_+$  and  $A_-$ , unless the Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  is sufficiently small i.e. much much smaller than 0.005, the warp factor suppression will not be sufficient to produce warping of the oder of  $10^{-16}$ , and hence, then graviton KK-modes will be much higher than the TeV scale and beyond the scope of detection in LHC. The graphical behavior of KK mass spectrum of graviton mode in the first excited state with respect to the Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  $\alpha_{(5)}$  $\alpha_{(5)}$  is explicitly shown in figure 5 for all possible signatures of cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and the conformal factor  $A_1$  appearing in the string loop correction for the two branches of warping solution.

Now using equation [\(4.7\)](#page-13-4) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\n\sqrt{\left\{\left[\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\mathcal{Y}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right)\right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}}\left[\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\mathcal{Y}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}}.
$$
\n
$$
(4.16)
$$

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{G}})_\pm}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\alpha_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_2(z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}})$ is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{G}})$  in equation [\(4.10\)](#page-13-5) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}\right).
$$
\n(4.17)

Consequently the extra dimensional dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  is,

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c} \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)}}{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{G}})}{\mathcal{J}_2(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{G}})}.\tag{4.18}
$$

<span id="page-15-3"></span><span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** Variation of Kaluza Klien graviton mass  $(m_1^G)_\pm$  vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  in the first excited state for [\(a\)](#page-15-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-15-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-15-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ and [\(d\)](#page-15-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context we use  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$ .

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.8\)](#page-13-1) is

<span id="page-15-4"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(0)} = \frac{C_1}{4k_{\pm}r_c}e^{4A_{\pm}(y)} + C_2.
$$
\n(4.19)

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants. Now applying the boundary condition through the continuity of the wave function we get  $C_1 = 0$ . As a result the zero mode solution turns out to be  $\chi_{\pm;\phi}^{(0)} = C_2$ . Now applying the normalization condition the ground state massless zero mode wave function turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(0)} = C_2 = \sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}.\tag{4.20}
$$

The ground state obtained for graviton for our set up is exactly same as the massless graviton obtained in the context of Randall-Sundrum scenario.

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>4.2 Gravitino field

We now address the supersymmetric version of the above model in a 5-dimensional supergravity framework then the onshell supergravity multiplet consists of the vierbein  $(V_M^{\alpha})$ , the graviphoton degrees of freedom  $(B_M)$  and two simplectic-Majorana gravitinos  $(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(j)})_F$ with  $i = 1, 2$ . In a  $dS_{(5)}/AdS_{(5)}$  slice the five dimensional action for the spin  $\frac{3}{2}$  supersymmetric gravitino field in the context of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity can be written as [\[165](#page-66-3)[–167](#page-66-4)],

$$
S_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}} = -\frac{iM_{(5)}^3}{2} \int d^5 x \, Det(\mathcal{V}) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ \left( \bar{\mathbf{\Psi}}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(i)} \right)_M \Upsilon^{MNP} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}_N^{\mathbf{sG}} \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(j)} \right)_P \delta_{ij} - \frac{3}{2} \overrightarrow{D}_y A_{\pm}(y) \left( \bar{\mathbf{\Psi}}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(i)} \right)_M \Upsilon^{MNP} \Sigma_3^{ij} \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(j)} \right)_P \right]
$$
(4.21)

where the index i and j label the fundamental representation of the  $SU(2)_R$  automorphism group of the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry algebra in five dimensions. In this context

$$
\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{MNP} := \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^{[M} \otimes \gamma^N \otimes \gamma^{P]} = \sum_{p=permutation} \frac{(-1)^p}{3!} \gamma^M \otimes \gamma^N \otimes \gamma^P,
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{MN} := \frac{1}{2!} \gamma^{[M} \otimes \gamma^N] = \sum_{p=permutation} \frac{(-1)^p}{2!} \gamma^M \otimes \gamma^N
$$
(4.22)

are the antisymmetrized tensor product of five dimensional gamma matrices. Without loosing any physical information here we choose a physical gauge in which the graviphoton degrees of freedom vanishes. The gravitino supersymmetry transformation is given by

$$
\delta \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(i)} \right)_P (x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \overrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}_N^{\mathbf{sG}} \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{D}_y A_{\pm}(y) \gamma_N \Sigma_3^{ij} \right) \vartheta^j(x, y)
$$
(4.23)

where  $\Sigma_3 = diag(1, -1)$  and  $\vartheta^i$  is the symplectic-Majorana spinor which represents a parameter of supergravity transformation. We define the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  transformation of the symplectic-Majorana spinor as

$$
\vartheta^{i}(x, y) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Z}_{2}} \vartheta^{i}(\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{y}) := \sum_{j=1}^{2} \Sigma_{3}^{ij} \gamma_{5} \vartheta^{j}(\mathbf{y})
$$
(4.24)

for which local supersymmetry is intact due to  $\delta \left( \Psi_{\rm sG}^{(i)} \right)_P = 0$  subject to the Killing condition

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}_{N}^{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}\vartheta^{i}(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2}\overrightarrow{D}_{y}A_{\pm}(y)\sum_{j=1}^{2}\gamma_{N}\Sigma_{3}^{ij}\vartheta^{j}(x,y)
$$
\n(4.25)

which is always valid in non compact  $AdS_{(5)}$  bulk space. But after imposing  $\frac{S^{(1)}}{Z_2}$  $\frac{\mathbf{S}^{(1)}}{\mathbf{Z_2}}$  orbifold symmetry the surface term satisfies an extra condition  $\gamma_5 \theta^i = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sigma_j^{ij} \theta^j$  which implies that after orbifold compactification we have  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity theory instead of  $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity.

The Kaluza-Klien expansion of the five dimensional gravitino  $(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(j)})_P$  and five dimensional supergravity parameter  $(v^{i})$  are given by

$$
\left(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}\right)_{\mu}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}\right)_{\mu}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}^{(n);\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}
$$
(4.26)

$$
\left(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}\right)_4(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}\right)_4(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}^{(n);4\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}
$$
(4.27)

<span id="page-17-1"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\vartheta_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}}^{(n);\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}
$$
(4.28)

where henceforth we have omitted the  $SU(2)_R$  index i since we only consider  $i = 1$ . The remaining  $i = 2$  component is obtained by imposing symplectic-Majorana criteria. Here L, R stands for left and right chiral five dimensional gravitino which is responsible for chiral flipping along with a overall signature under the action of the chiral matrix  $\gamma_5$  i.e.  $\gamma_5(\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{sG}}) = \gamma_5 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(\Psi_{\mathbf{sG}}) = \pm (\Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L};\mathbf{sG}}).$  Throughout our analysis including the contribution from Gauss-Bonnet coupling we use  $\overrightarrow{D}_y A_{\pm}(y) = k_{\pm} r_c sgn(y)$  and  $\overrightarrow{D}_y^2 A_{\pm}(y) =$  $2k_{\pm}r_c\overrightarrow{D}_ysgn(y) = 2k_{\pm}r_c[\delta(y) - \delta(y-\pi)]$ . Consequently the supergravity transformation for  $i = 1$  turns out to be

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\delta \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}} \right)_{\mu} (x, y) = \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} + k_{\pm} r_c sgn(y) \gamma_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L}} \right) \vartheta(x, y), \tag{4.29}
$$

$$
\delta \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}} \right)_4(x, y) = \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_4 + \frac{1}{2} k_{\pm} r_c s g n(y) \gamma_5 \right) \vartheta(x, y). \tag{4.30}
$$

After substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion stated in equation [\(4.26\)](#page-17-0) and equation  $(4.28)$  in equation  $(4.29)$  the supergravity transformation for nth gravitino mode reduces to the following expressions:

$$
\delta \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L};\mathbf{sG}}^{(n)} \right)_{\mu} (x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \delta^{nk} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \vartheta_{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}(x) + \tilde{\gamma}_{\mu} \mathbf{d}^{(nk)} \vartheta_{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)}(x) \right),
$$
\n
$$
\delta \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{R};\mathbf{sG}}^{(n)} \right)_{\mu} (x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta^{nk} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \vartheta_{\mathbf{R}}^{(k)}(x).
$$
\n(4.31)

where  $\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu}$  is the four dimensional Minkowski gamma matrix. The expansion coefficients appearing as an outcome of dimensional reduction takes the following form:

$$
\mathbf{d}^{(nk)} := k_{\pm} r_c \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \; sgn(y) e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{sG}}^{(n); \mathbf{L}}(y) \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{sG}}^{(k); \mathbf{R}}(y). \tag{4.32}
$$

Consequently we have

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{4}}\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}(y) = \frac{1}{(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}})_\pm} \left(\pm \overrightarrow{\partial}_4 + \frac{k_\pm r_c}{2} sgn(y)\right) \chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y) \tag{4.33}
$$

and the  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity transformation for the fifth component of the gravitino field in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling can be recast in terms of the Kaluza-Klien modes as

$$
\delta \left( \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{sG}}^{(n)} \right)_4(x) := \pm \left( m_n^{\mathbf{sG}} \right)_\pm \vartheta_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x). \tag{4.34}
$$

This directly shows that under  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity transformation the nth Kaluza-Klien mode of the fifth component of the gravitino transform as a Goldstino realized in term of the parameter for the supergravity transformation  $\vartheta_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$ . This is usually known as *superHiggs* mechanism. Considering all these facts the four dimensional Kaluza-Klien gravitino can be redefined in terms of physical degrees of freedom as

$$
\widehat{\Psi_{\mu \text{ L;sG}}}^{(n)} := \left\{ (m_n^{\text{SG}})_\pm \left( \Psi_{\mu \text{ L;sG}}^{(n)} + \tilde{\gamma}_\mu \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\mathbf{d}^{(nk)}}{\left( m_k^{\text{SG}} \right)_\pm} \Psi_{4 \text{ R;sG}}^{(k)} \right) - \partial_\mu \Psi_{4 \text{ L;sG}}^{(n)} \right\},\
$$
\n
$$
\widehat{\Psi_{\mu \text{ R;sG}}}^{(n)} := \left\{ (m_n^{\text{SG}})_\pm \Psi_{\mu \text{ L;sG}}^{(n)} - \partial_\mu \Psi_{4 \text{ L;sG}}^{(n)} \right\}.
$$
\n(4.35)

which are invariant under  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity transformations. To find out the Kaluza-Klien spectrum for the bulk gravitino field we start with the master equation for the bulk five dimensional gravitino field, commonly known as Rarita-Schwinger equation which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{MNP}\overrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}_{N}^{SG} - \frac{3}{2}k_{\pm}sgn(y)\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{MP}\right] \left(\mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(i)}\right)_{P} &= 0, \\
\left(\bar{\mathbf{\Psi}}_{\mathbf{sG}}^{(i)}\right)_{M} \left[\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{MNP}\overleftarrow{\mathbf{D}}_{N}^{SG} - \frac{3}{2}k_{\pm}sgn(y)\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{MP}\right] &= 0.\n\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}
$$

After dimensional reduction the four dimensional effective action for rescaled four dimensional gravitino in the context of  $\mathcal{N}=1$  supergravity can be written as:

$$
S_{\Psi_{\mathbf{sG}}} = -\frac{iM_{(5)}^3}{2} \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{\alpha \ \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{sG}}^{(n);(i)}(x) \gamma^{\alpha \beta \sigma} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\beta} \Psi_{\sigma \ \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{sG}}^{(n);(j)}(x) \delta_{ij} \right. \\ - \left. \left( m_n^{\mathbf{sG}} \right)_{\pm} \overline{\Psi}_{\alpha \ \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{sG}}^{(n);(i)}(x) \gamma^{\alpha \sigma} \Psi_{\sigma \ \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{sG}}^{(n);(j)}(x) \right]
$$
(4.37)

and the effective Rarita-Schwinger equation in four dimension turns out to be

$$
\left[\gamma^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\beta} - \left(m_n^{\text{SG}}\right)_{\pm} \gamma^{\alpha\sigma}\right] \widehat{\Psi_{\sigma \ \text{L,R};\text{SG}}}^{(n)}(x) = 0, \widehat{\Psi_{\alpha \ \text{L,R};\text{SG}}}^{(n)}(x) \left[\gamma^{\alpha\beta\sigma}\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\beta} - \left(m_n^{\text{SG}}\right)_{\pm} \gamma^{\alpha\sigma}\right] = 0
$$
\n(4.38)

The extra dimension dependent Kaluza-Klien wave function for gravitino field is determined from the following two differential equations

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_y + \frac{1}{2}k_{\pm}r_csgn(y)\right)\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}(y) = \left(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}}\right)_{\pm}\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}(y)
$$
\n(4.39)

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_y - \frac{5}{2}k_{\pm}r_csgn(y)\right)\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}(y) = -\left(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}}\right)_{\pm}\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}(y)
$$
\n(4.40)

subject to the following boundary conditions:

<span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}(y_i)\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}(y_i) = 0, \qquad \chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}(y_i) = 0, \qquad \chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}(y_i) = 0 \qquad (4.41)
$$

where at  $y_i = 0, \pi$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold symmetry is imposed. This follows from the fact that left-handed or all right-handed fermionic wave functions are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  odd. In this context the

gravitino differential operator  $\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_y + \frac{1}{2}\right)$  $\frac{1}{2}k_{\pm}r_{c}sgn(y)\right)$  and  $\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{y}-\frac{5}{2}\right)$  $\frac{5}{2}k_{\pm}r_{c}sgn(y)\Big)$  are hermitian and the mass eigen values are real. Consequently  $\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y)$  is chosen to be real. Additionally we impose the following orthonormalization condition

<span id="page-19-4"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{-A_{\pm}(y)} \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{sG}}^{(n); \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}}(y) \chi_{\pm; \mathbf{sG}}^{(m); \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}}(y) = \delta^{nm}.
$$
 (4.42)

Now introducing two new variables  $z_n^{\pm;{\bf L},{\bf R}} := \frac{(m_n^{{\bf s}{\bf G}})_\pm}{k_+}$  $(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}^{(n)})$  and  $(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R};\mathbf{s}\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}) :=$  $e^{-A_{\pm}(y)}\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  equation [\(4.39\)](#page-18-0) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order two as

$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{L}} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{L}}} + z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{L}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{L}}} + \left\{ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{L}} \right)^2 - 4 \right\} \right] \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(z_n^{\pm; \mathbf{L}}) = 0 \tag{4.43}
$$

and the analytical solution for left chiral  $n \neq 0$  gravitino Kaluza-Klien modes turn out to be

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}) = \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}A_\pm(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n);\mathbf{sG}}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}) + \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{Y}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}) \right]. \tag{4.44}
$$

Substituting equation  $(4.39)$  and equation  $(4.40)$  the analytical solution for the right chiral  $n \neq 0$  gravitino Kaluza-Klien modes takes the following form:

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}) = \frac{r_c e^{\frac{3}{2}A_\pm(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n);\mathbf{SG}}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}) + \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{Y}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}) \right]. \tag{4.45}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\beta_n^{\pm;L,R}$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed points. Now applying the boundary condition on equation  $(4.44)$  and equation  $(4.45)$  we get

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}|_{y_i=0} = 0 \implies \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} = -\frac{\mathcal{J}_2\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}})_\pm}{k_\pm}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_2\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}})_\pm}{k_\pm}\right)}.
$$
(4.46)

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}|_{y_i=\pi} = 0 \implies \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} = -\frac{\mathcal{J}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right)}\tag{4.47}
$$

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}|_{y_i=0} = 0 \implies \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} = -\frac{\mathcal{J}_1\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_1\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{sG}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)}.
$$
(4.48)

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}|_{y_i=\pi} = 0 \implies \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} = -\frac{\mathcal{J}_1\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_1\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right)}\tag{4.49}
$$

where  $z_n^{\pm;{\bf L},{\bf R}}(\pi):=x_n^{\pm;{\bf L},{\bf R}}=\frac{(m_n^{{\bf s}{\bf G}})_\pm}{k_+}$  $\frac{(n-1)}{k_{\pm}}e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}$ . Now using equation  $(4.46)-(4.49)$  $(4.46)-(4.49)$  we get

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right)}\tag{4.50}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_1\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{R}} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_1\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{R}} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}\right)} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_1\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{R}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_1\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathbf{R}}\right)}
$$
(4.51)

which is an transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm;L,R}$  and the roots of this equation give the left and right chiral fermionic field mass spectrum  $\left(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)_+$  in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . This approximately leads to the various Kaluza-Klien mode masses for the gravitino field as,

$$
\left(m_n^{\text{SG}}\right)_{\pm} \approx \left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi k_{\pm} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.\tag{4.52}
$$

The gravitino mass spectrum exhibits similar feature as graviton mode. Now using equa-tion [\(4.42\)](#page-19-4) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}} \Bigg\{ \left[ \mathcal{J}_{2} \left( x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{Y}_{2} \left( x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \right) \right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{2} \left( x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi} \right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{Y}_{2} \left( x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi} \right) \right]^{2} \Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k_{\pm}r_{k})}} \left\{ \left[ \mathcal{J}_{1} \left( x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} \right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{Y}_{1} \left( x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} \right) \right]^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\n(4.53)

$$
\mathbf{V}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}} \Bigg\{ \left[ \mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}\right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}\right) \right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) \right]^{2} \Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
 (4.54)

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\rm s}\mathbf{G})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}})$ and  $\mathcal{Y}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}})$  are neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}})$  and  $\mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}})$  in equation [\(4.44\)](#page-19-0) and equation [\(4.45\)](#page-19-1). Hence the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}\right),\tag{4.55}
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}\right).
$$
\n(4.56)

Consequently the extra dimension dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{L}}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}) = \frac{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}e^{\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(y)}}{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}})}{\mathcal{J}_2(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L}})},\tag{4.57}
$$

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}^{(n);\mathbf{R}}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}) = \frac{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}e^{\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(y)}}{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}})}{\mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{R}})}.\tag{4.58}
$$

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.120\)](#page-34-0) turns out to be

$$
\chi^{(0);\mathbf{L}_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}}(y) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}A_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}}, \chi^{(0);\mathbf{R}_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}}(y) = \frac{e^{\frac{5}{2}A_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{R}}}.
$$
(4.59)

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  normalization constant for zero mode. Now applying the normalization condition we get  $\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}$  =  $\sqrt{(1-e^{-2k} \pm^{r_c \pi})}$  $\frac{z_{m_{\pm},c}^{m_{\pm},c}}{k_{\pm}r_{c}}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L}}$  =  $\sqrt{(e^{4k} \pm {r_c}\pi - 1)}$  $\frac{1}{2k_{\pm}r_c}$ . Consequently the ground state massless zero mode wave function for gravitino species turns out to be

$$
\chi^{(0);\mathbf{L}_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi})}{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}A_{\pm}(y)}, \chi^{(0);\mathbf{R}_{\pm;\mathbf{sG}}}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{(e^{4k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi} - 1)}{2k_{\pm}r_{c}}}e^{\frac{5}{2}A_{\pm}(y)}.
$$
 (4.60)

For each of the left and right chiral mode shows two fold characteristics due to the presence of two branches  $(k_{+})$  in the context of Gauss-Bonnet coupling induced string phenomenology. In the asymptotic limit  $\alpha_{(5)} \rightarrow 0$  the k<sub>−</sub> branch will reproduce the well known Randall-Sundrum behavior for both left and right chiral mode. Also the  $k_{+}$  branch gives us completely new informations about the warped phenomenology in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling. Most significantly the ground state obtained for gravitino in the brane is fixed, but in the bulk it goes with the extra dimensional coordinate  $y$ .

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>4.3 Bulk scalar field

The five dimensional action for bulk scalar field can be written as

$$
S_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[ g^{AB} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{A} \Phi(x, y) \right) \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{B} \Phi(x, y) \right) - m_{\Phi}^{2} \Phi^{2}(x, y) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \int d^{4}x \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} r_{c} \left[ e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \Phi(x, y) \right) \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu} \Phi(x, y) \right) \right.
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{r_{c}^{2}} \Phi(x, y) \overrightarrow{\partial}_{y} \left\{ e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{y} \Phi(x, y) \right) \right\} - m_{\Phi}^{2} e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \Phi^{2}(x, y) \right].
$$
 (4.61)

We choose the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the bulk scalar as,

<span id="page-21-2"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span>
$$
\Phi(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi^{(n)}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
\n(4.62)

Plugging equation  $(4.62)$  in equation  $(4.61)$  the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following form:

$$
S_{\Phi} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \eta^{\mu\nu} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \Phi^{(n)}(x) \right) \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu} \Phi^{(n)}(x) \right) - \left( m_n^{\Phi} \right)_\pm^2 \left( \Phi^{(n)}(x) \right)^2 \right] \tag{4.63}
$$

subject to the orthonormalization condition of extra dimension dependent wave functions

<span id="page-21-3"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \ \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn} \tag{4.64}
$$

and the mass term of the bulk scalar field is defined through the following differential equation as

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}\left(e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}(y)\right)+m_{\Phi}^2e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)}\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}(y)=e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)}\left(m_n^{\Phi}\right)^2_{\pm}\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}(y). \tag{4.65}
$$

Now introducing a new variable  $z_n^{\pm; \Phi} := \frac{(m_n^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}$  $\frac{k_{h}y_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  equation [\(4.65\)](#page-22-0) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order  $\nu_{\pm}^{\mathbf{\Phi}} := \sqrt{4 + \frac{m_{\Phi}^2}{k_{\pm}^2}}$  as

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm;\Phi} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;\Phi}} + z_n^{\pm;\Phi} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;\Phi}} + \left\{ \left( z_n^{\pm;\Phi} \right)^2 - \left( \nu_{\pm}^{\Phi} \right)^2 \right\} \right] \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{4.66}
$$

and the analytical solution turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{2A_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\mathbf{\Phi}}}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}}) + \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\mathbf{\Phi}}}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}}) \right]. \tag{4.67}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\alpha_n^{\pm;\Phi}$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. Self-adjointness and hermiticity of the differential operator appearing in equation [\(4.66\)](#page-22-1) demands that  $\overrightarrow{D}_y \chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\Phi}(y)$  is continuous at the orbifold fixed points  $y_i = 0, \pi$ . Consequently we have

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=0}=0 \implies \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\Phi} = \frac{\left[\frac{(m_{n}^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{J}'_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right) + 2\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}{\left[2\mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right) + \frac{(m_{n}^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{Y}'_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}.
$$
(4.68)

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \implies \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\Phi} = \frac{\left[2\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\right) + x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\right]}{\left[x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\right) + 2\mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\right]}
$$
(4.69)

where  $z_n^{\pm;\Phi}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm;\Phi} = \frac{(m_n^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}$  $\frac{(n\Phi_n^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}$ . For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\ll 1$  the mass spectrum for the bulk scalar field is expected to be of the order of TeV scale i.e.

$$
\frac{\left[x_n^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\mathcal{J}'_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)+2\mathcal{J}_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)\right]}{\left[2\mathcal{Y}_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)+x_n^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\mathcal{Y}'_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(\frac{(m_n^{\Phi})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]} = \frac{\left[2\mathcal{J}_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\right)+x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{J}'_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\right]}{\left[x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{Y}'_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\right)+2\mathcal{Y}_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\right]}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right)+x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{J}'_{\nu^{\Phi}_{\pm}}\left(x_n^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\approx 0
$$
\n
$$
(4.70)
$$

which is an transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm; \Phi}$  and the roots of this equation gives the scalar field mass spectrum  $(m_n^{\Phi})_{\pm}$  in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . This leads to approximately

$$
\left(m_n^{\Phi}\right)_{\pm} \approx \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi} - \frac{3}{4}\right)\pi k_{\pm}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}.\tag{4.71}
$$

Now using equation [\(4.64\)](#page-21-3) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\Phi} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\left\{\left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}}\left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}\mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\Phi}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}}.
$$
\n
$$
(4.72)
$$

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{Z}})_\pm}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the inegration constant  $\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\mathbf{\Phi}}} (z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}})$  is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}}(\vec{z}_{n}^{\pm;\Phi})$  in equation [\(4.74\)](#page-23-0) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\mathbf{\Phi}}}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{4 - \left(\nu_{\pm}^{\mathbf{\Phi}}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{\Phi}}\right)^{2}}}.
$$
\n(4.73)

Consequently the extra dimensional dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  turns out to be

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c} e^{2A_{\pm}(y)}}{\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{4 - (\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi})^2}{(x_n^{\pm;\Phi})^2}}\right) e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}(z_n^{\pm;\Phi})}{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}(x_n^{\pm;\Phi})}.
$$
(4.74)

In figure [6](#page-24-1) we have clearly depicted the behavior of the extra dimension dependent first excited state for all possible signatures of Gauss-Bonnet coupling for  $k_{+}$  and  $k_{-}$  branch for scalar field different from dilatonic degrees of freedom. The asymptotic behavior in the  $\alpha_{(5)} \rightarrow 0$  is different for two existing physical branches in the context of Gauss-Bonnet coupling induced string phenomenology. Most significantly in this free asymptotic limit  $k_$ branch reproduces the well known Randall-Sundrum feature. Additionally in figure [7](#page-25-0) we have plotted the graphical behavior of the extra dimension dependent wave function for  $k_{+}$ and k<sup>−</sup> branch corresponding the first excited state for two signatures of bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and negative two-loop conformal coupling  $A_1$  for three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . The behavior of the scalar wave function for the Kaluza Klien first excited state explicitly shows that the confinement of the scalar degrees of freedom in the  $\text{dS}_5/\text{AdS}_5$  bulk topological space is larger compared to the dilatonic degrees of freedom. If if include the possibility of self interaction via pure quartic coupling or through derivative coupling which we have elaborately discussed later induces the appearance of  $SU(2)$  Higgs doublet in the bulk topological space.

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.65\)](#page-22-0) turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(0)} = \frac{C_1}{4k_{\pm}r_c}e^{4A_{\pm}(y)} + C_2.
$$
\n(4.75)

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants. Now applying the boundary condition through the continuity of the wave function we get  $C_1 = 0$ . As a result the zero mode

<span id="page-24-4"></span><span id="page-24-3"></span><span id="page-24-2"></span><span id="page-24-1"></span>

**Figure 6.** Variation of  $\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(1)}(\pi)$  (=  $\chi_{\pm;vis}^{(1)}$ ) vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for [\(a\)](#page-24-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-24-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-24-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-24-5)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$ .

solution turns out to be  $\chi^{(0)}_{\pm;\Phi} = C_2$ . Now applying the normalization condition the ground state massless zero mode wave function turns out to be

<span id="page-24-5"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(0)} = C_2 = \sqrt{\frac{k_{\pm}r_c}{1 - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_c\pi}}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.\tag{4.76}
$$

The ground state obtained for bulk scalar field for our set up is exactly same as it is obtained in the context of Randall-Sundrum scenario. Additionally the ground sate obtained for the graviton and bulk scalar field is exactly identical.

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>4.4  $U(1)$  abelian gauge field

The five dimensional action for the pure  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge theory can be written as

<span id="page-24-6"></span>
$$
S_{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \, \mathcal{F}_{MN}(x, y) \mathcal{F}^{MN}(x, y) \tag{4.77}
$$

<span id="page-25-1"></span><span id="page-25-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Variation of  $\chi^{(1)}_{+;\Phi}(y)$  and  $\chi^{(1)}_{-;\Phi}(y)$  vs extra dimensional coordinate y for [\(a\)](#page-25-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$  and [\(b\)](#page-25-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$  respectively. In this context we use  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$  three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet Coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ .

where the five dimensional rank-2 antisymmetric  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge field strength tensor is given by

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}_{MN} := \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[M} \mathcal{A}_{N]}(x, y) \tag{4.78}
$$

with  $\mathcal{A}_M := (\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{A}_4)$ . This leads to the five dimensional Maxwell's equation

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{(5)}}} \overrightarrow{\partial}_N \left( \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \mathcal{F}^{MN}(x, y) \right) = 0. \tag{4.79}
$$

Equation [\(4.77\)](#page-24-6) does not involve the affine connection terms due to the antisymmetry of the  $U(1)$  abelian gauge field strength tensor. To find out effective four dimensional action through the Kaluza-Klien spectrum we assume that  $A_{\alpha}$  (satisfies Neumann boundary condition) and  $\mathcal{A}_4$  (satisfies Dirichlet boundary condition) are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  even and odd respectively. Depending on this crucial choice of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  parity the gauge-fermion interactions are preserved. It also ensures that  $A_4$  does not have zero mode in the four dimensional effective theory. This leads to

<span id="page-25-3"></span>
$$
S_{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^5 x \left[ \eta^{\mu \kappa} \eta^{\nu \lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa \lambda}(x, y) \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}(x, y) + 2e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \eta^{\nu \lambda} \overrightarrow{D_y} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}(x, y) \overrightarrow{D_y} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(x, y) \right] \tag{4.80}
$$

where we introduce a new symbol  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}} := \frac{d}{dy}$ . In equation [\(4.80\)](#page-25-3) we use the gauge degrees of freedom

$$
\mathcal{A}_4 = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{A}_4(x, y_{(i)}) = \overrightarrow{\partial}_4 \mathcal{A}(x, y_{(i)}) = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \mathcal{A}(x, y_{(i)}) = 0 \tag{4.81}
$$

where at the orbifolding  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry is imposed on  $y_{(i)}$ . This is consistent with the gauge invariant equation  $\oint d^4x \mathcal{A}_4 = 0$  which is the outcome of previous parity assignment. Consequently the theory on the 3-brane is completely free from  $A_4$  and the gauge invariance of the effective four dimensional gauge theory in intact. Let the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the  $A_\mu(x, y)$  gauge field is given by

<span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{(n)}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
\n(4.82)

Additionally the Gauss law constraint is given by

$$
\overrightarrow{\partial}_{4}\left(\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu}\mathcal{A}^{\mu}(x,y)\right) = 0 \implies \eta^{\mu\nu}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(n)}(x)\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y) = 0. \tag{4.83}
$$

an outcome But this implies for  $n = 0$  we have  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \chi^{(0)}_{\pm;\mathcal{A}} = 0$  and due the four dimensional  $U(1)$  gauge invariance this condition is not imposed on the zero mode  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{(0)}$ . On the other hand

$$
\eta^{\mu\nu}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(n)}(x) = 0 \qquad \forall \ n \neq 0 \tag{4.84}
$$

due to  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\downarrow}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{(n)} A(y) \neq 0$ . This is very important criteria satisfied by the massive vector particles in four dimensional flat Minkowski space. Now plugging equation  $(4.82)$  in equation [\(4.80\)](#page-25-3) the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following form:

$$
S_{\mathcal{A}} = -\int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\kappa} \eta^{\nu\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left( m_n^{\mathcal{A}} \right)_\pm^2 \eta^{\nu\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \right] \tag{4.85}
$$

where the effective four dimensional  $U(1)$  abelian gauge field strength is defined as  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x) := \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[\mu} \mathcal{A}^{(n)}_{\nu]}$  $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}_{\nu}(x)$ . In this context we impose the following orthonormalization condition of extra dimension dependent wave functions

<span id="page-26-3"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn} \tag{4.86}
$$

and the mass term of the gauge field is defined through the following differential equation as

<span id="page-26-1"></span>
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}\left(e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y)\right) = \left(m_n^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^2_{\pm}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y). \tag{4.87}
$$

Now introducing two new variables  $z_n^{\pm; A} :=$  $\left(m_n^{\mathcal{A}}\right)_+$  $\frac{d\widehat{x}_1}{k_{\pm}}e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  and  $f_n^{\pm;A} := e^{-A_{\pm}(y)}\chi_{\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}$  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}(y)$ equation [\(4.87\)](#page-26-1) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order 1 as

<span id="page-26-2"></span>
$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}}}^2 + z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}}} + \left\{ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}} \right)^2 - 1 \right\} \right] f_n^{\pm} = 0 \tag{4.88}
$$

and the analytical solution turns out to be

<span id="page-26-4"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{Y}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}) \right]. \tag{4.89}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. Self-adjointness and hermiticity of the differential operator appearing in equation [\(4.88\)](#page-26-2) demands that  $\overrightarrow{D_y} \chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\downarrow}$  $\sum_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y)$  is continious at the orbifold fixed points  $y_i = 0, \pi$ . Consequently we have

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}|_{y_i=0}=0 \implies \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}=-\frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_1\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)+\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{J}_1'\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}{\left[\mathcal{Y}_1\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)+\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{Y}_1'\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}.
$$
(4.90)

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}=-\frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right)+x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{J}_{1}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right)\right]}{\left[\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right)+x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right)\right]}
$$
(4.91)

where  $z_n^{\pm;A}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm;A} =$  $\left(m_n^\mathcal{A}\right)_\pm$  $\frac{(n_n^{\mathcal{A}})_\pm}{k_\pm} e^{k_\pm r_c \pi}$ . For  $e^{k_\pm r_c \pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_\pm}{k_\pm} \ll 1$  the mass spectrum for the gauge fields is expected to be of the order of TeV scale i.e.

<span id="page-27-1"></span><span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}} \simeq -\frac{\pi}{2\left[\ln\left(\frac{x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}}{2}\right) - k_{\pm}r_c\pi + \gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right]}\tag{4.92}
$$

where  $\gamma = 0.5772 = -\psi(1)$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. In general  $\psi(n + 1)$  is defined through the well known Gamma function as

$$
\Gamma(\epsilon - n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \psi(n+1) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \psi^2(n+1) - \psi'(n+1) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right] \tag{4.93}
$$

where

$$
\psi(n+1) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m} - \gamma,
$$
\n(4.94)

$$
\psi'(n+1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m^2}.\tag{4.95}
$$

Now using equation  $(4.92)$  and equation  $(4.90)$  we get

$$
\frac{\pi}{2\left[\ln\left(\frac{x_n^{\pm;A}}{2}\right) - k_{\pm}r_c\pi + \gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right]} \\
= \frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_1\left(x_n^{\pm;A}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right) + \frac{x_n^{\pm;A}}{2}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\left\{\mathcal{J}_0\left(x_n^{\pm;A}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right) - \mathcal{J}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;A}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)\right\}\right]}{\left[\mathcal{Y}_1\left(x_n^{\pm;A}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right) + \frac{x_n^{\pm;A}}{2}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\left\{\mathcal{Y}_0\left(x_n^{\pm;A}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right) - \mathcal{Y}_2\left(x_n^{\pm;A}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)\right\}\right]} \tag{4.96}
$$

which is an transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm;A}$  and the roots of this equation gives the gauge field mass spectrum  $(m_n^A)_{\pm}$  in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . This leads to approximately

$$
\left(m_n^{\mathcal{A}}\right)_{\pm} \approx \left(n \mp \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi k_{\pm} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.\tag{4.97}
$$

Once again as in case of graviton, Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  has to have an upper bound to detect their signature in TeV scale experiment.

Now using equation [\(4.86\)](#page-26-3) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\left\{\left[\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right)\right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}}\left[\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}}.
$$
\n(4.98)

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{A}})_\pm}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\alpha_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_1(z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{A}})$ is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}})$  in equation [\(4.89\)](#page-26-4) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}} \mathcal{J}_1\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}\right). \tag{4.99}
$$

Consequently the extra dimension dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  modes turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{\mathcal{A}_{\pm}(y)}\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{1}(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}})}{\mathcal{J}_{1}(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}})}.
$$
(4.100)

In figure [8](#page-29-0) we have explicitly shown the behavior of the extra dimension dependent first excited state for all possible signatures of Gauss-Bonnet coupling for  $k_+$  and  $k_-$  branch. Additionally in figure [9](#page-30-0) we have plotted the graphical behavior of the extra dimension dependent wave function for  $k_+$  and  $k_-$  branch corresponding the first excited state for two possible signatures of bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and negative two-loop conformal coupling  $A_1$  for three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ .

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.87\)](#page-26-1) turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(0)} = -\frac{C_1}{2k_{\pm}r_c}e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} + C_2.
$$
\n(4.101)

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants. Now applying the boundary condition through the continuity of the wave function we get  $C_1 = 0$ . As a result the zero mode solution turns out to be  $\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(0)} = C_2$ . Now applying the normalization condition the ground state massless zero mode wave function turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(0)} = C_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.\tag{4.102}
$$

# <span id="page-28-0"></span>4.5  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge field

The five dimensional action for the pure  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge theory can be written as

$$
S_{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \ \mathcal{F}_{AB}^a(x, y) \mathcal{F}_a^{AB}(x, y) \tag{4.103}
$$

<span id="page-29-3"></span><span id="page-29-2"></span><span id="page-29-1"></span><span id="page-29-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Variation of  $\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}(\pi)$  (=  $\chi_{\pm;vis}^{(1)}$ ) vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for [\(a\)](#page-29-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-29-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-29-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-29-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$ .

where the five dimensional rank-2 antisymmetric  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge field strength tensor is given by

<span id="page-29-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}_{MN}^{a} := \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[M} \mathcal{A}_{N]}^{a}(x, y) + g_{\mathcal{A}} f^{abc} \mathcal{A}_{Mb}(x, y) \mathcal{A}_{Nc}(x, y)
$$
(4.104)

with the matrix valued five dimensional non-abelian gauge field is defined as  $\mathcal{A}_{M}^{a}$  :=  $(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^a, \mathcal{A}_{4}^a)$ . Here the superscript a is used for  $\mathcal{SU}(N)$  non-abelian gauge index runs from  $a = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathcal{N}^2 - 1$ . Next applying the gauge constraint the action reduces to the following form

$$
S_{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^5 x \left[ \eta^{\mu \kappa} \eta^{\nu \lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa \lambda}^a(x, y) \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu; a}(x, y) - 2 \eta^{\nu \lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda a}(x, y) \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}} \left( e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^a(x, y) \right) \right].
$$
\n(4.105)

<span id="page-30-1"></span><span id="page-30-0"></span>

**Figure 9.** Variation of  $\chi^{(1)}_{+,A}(y)$  and  $\chi^{(1)}_{-,A}(y)$ vs extra dimensional coordinate y for [\(a\)](#page-30-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$  and [\(b\)](#page-30-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$  respectively. In this context we use  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$  three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet Coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ .

In this context the Kaluza-Klien decomposition of the  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge field  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a}(x,y)$  can be written as

<span id="page-30-3"></span><span id="page-30-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}^{a}_{\mu}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^{a;(n)}_{\mu}(x) \; \frac{\chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
 (4.106)

Substituting equation  $(4.106)$  in equation  $(4.107)$  we get

$$
S_{\mathcal{A}} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\left[ \mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu \right]}^{a;(n)}(x) \right)^2 \right. \\ \left. + 2 \frac{g_{\mathcal{A}}}{r_c^3} f^{abc} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} C_1^{(npq)} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\left[ \mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu \right]}^{a;(n)}(x) \right) \mathcal{A}_{b}^{\mu;(p)}(x) \mathcal{A}_{c}^{\mu;(q)}(x) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{g_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{r_c^2} f^{abc} f_{ade} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} C_2^{(nmpq)} \mathcal{A}_{\mu b}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{A}_{\mu c}^{(m)}(x) \mathcal{A}^{d\mu;(p)}(x) \mathcal{A}^{e\nu;(q)}(x) \right\} \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left( m_n^{\mathcal{A}} \right)_{\pm}^2 \eta^{\nu \lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{A}_{\lambda a}^{a;(n)}(x) \right] \tag{4.107}
$$

<span id="page-30-5"></span>where

<span id="page-30-4"></span>
$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}^{(npq)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(p)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(q)}(y)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}^{(nmpq)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(n)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(p)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(q)}(y) \tag{4.108}
$$

characterizes trilinear and quartic self interaction of  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge field. Most importantly the terms appearing in equation [\(4.108\)](#page-30-5) terms breaks the  $SU(N)$  non-abelian

<span id="page-31-1"></span>

$\begin{bmatrix} C_1^{(000)} & C_1^{(001)} & C_1^{(010)} & C_1^{(011)} & C_1^{(100)} & C_1^{(101)} & C_1^{(110)} & C_1^{(111)} \end{bmatrix}$				
0.398   0.214   0.214   0.113   0.214   0.113   0.113   0.068				

**Table 2.** Numerical values of  $C_1^{(npq)}$  for lowest lying modes of the trilinear  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge interaction for k<sup>−</sup> branch.

$\vert C_1^{(000)} \vert C_1^{(001)} \vert C_1^{(010)} \vert C_1^{(011)} \vert C_1^{(100)} \vert C_1^{(101)} \vert C_1^{(110)} \vert C_1^{(111)} \vert C_1^{(111)} \vert C_1^{(111)}$				
$\mid$ 0.398 $\mid$ 0.378 $\mid$ 0.378 $\mid$ 0.234 $\mid$ 0.378 $\mid$ 0.234 $\mid$ 0.234 $\mid$ 0.123 $\mid$				

**Table 3.** Numerical values of  $C_1^{(npq)}$  for lowest lying modes of the trilinear  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge interaction for  $k_{+}$  branch.

	$\left[\mathcal{C}_3^{(0000)}\middle \mathcal{C}_3^{(0001)}\middle \mathcal{C}_3^{(0011)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(0101)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(0101)}\middle \mathcal{C}_3^{(0110)}\middle \mathcal{C}_3^{(0111)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1000)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1001)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1011)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1011)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1101)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1110)}\middle \mathcal{C}_4^{(1$							
	$\mid 0.159\mid 0.115\mid 0.115\mid 0.094\mid 0.115\mid 0.094\mid 0.094\mid 0.045\mid 0.115\mid 0.094\mid 0.094\mid 0.045\mid 0.094\mid 0.045\mid 0.045\mid 0.045\mid 0.007\mid$							

**Table 4.** Numerical values of  $C_2^{(nmpq)}$  for lowest lying modes of the quartic  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge interaction for  $k_$  branch.

<span id="page-31-2"></span>

l Uc	$\sigma(0000)$ $\sigma(0001)$ $\sim$	(0010) l Uc	'Vc	یں ا		$\left  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(0011) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(0100) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(0101) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(0110) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(0111) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(1000) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(1001) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(1010) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(1011) \big  \bigcap_{\mathcal{O}}(1000) \big $ $\sim$	ی ا	$\sim$		$ _{\mathcal{O}}(1101) _{\mathcal{O}}(1110) _{\mathcal{O}}(1111)$	∣∪	
0.159 1	$0.142 \perp$					$\mid 0.142 \mid 0.108 \mid 0.142 \mid 0.108 \mid 0.108 \mid 0.087 \mid 0.142 \mid 0.108 \mid 0.108 \mid 0.087 \mid 0.108 \mid$				$\pm0.087\pm0.087$		0.056

**Table 5.** Numerical values of  $C_2^{(nmpq)}$  for lowest lying modes of the quartic  $SU(N)$  non-abelian gauge interaction for  $k_+$  branch.

gauge invariance in the four dimensional effective field theory. But the amount of  $SU(N)$ gauge breaking can be considerably small if the non-abelian  $SU(N)$  gauge coupling  $q_A$  is very small. In table [2–](#page-31-1)table [5](#page-31-2) we have tabulated the numerical values of the trilinear and quartic interaction for zero and lowest lying modes for  $k_-\,$  and  $k_+\,$  branch. During the evaluation of these interaction terms we use the previous results for  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge field theory since for both of the cases the results are exactly same.

# <span id="page-31-0"></span>4.6 Massive fermionic field

In this subsection we explore the profile of bulk fermion wave function where we begin with an action of such fermions coupled to a  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  bulk gauge field as described in the previous subsection. The five dimensional action for the massive fermionic field theory  $\left(\operatorname{spin}\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{type}\right)$  can be written as

$$
S_f = \int d^5x \left[ Det(V) \right] \left\{ i \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \gamma^{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}^{M} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{\mu}} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \delta_{M}^{\mu} - sgn(y) m_f \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}(x,y) + h.c. \right\}
$$
\n(4.109)

where  $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{\mu}} := \left( \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\mu}} + \Omega_{\mu} + ig_f A_{\mu} \right)$  represents the covariant derivative in presence  $\mathcal{U}(1)$ abelian gauge field and fermionic spin connection  $\Omega_{\mu} = \frac{1}{8}$  $\frac{1}{8}\omega_\mu^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}\left[\Gamma_{\hat{A}},\Gamma_{\hat{B}}\right]$ . Here  $\omega_\mu^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$  represents the gauge field respecting  $\mathcal{SO}(3,1)$  transformation on the vierbein coordinate. Most

importantly the 5D Gamma matrix is given by  $\Gamma^{\hat{A}} = (\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma_5 := \frac{i}{4!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} = i \gamma_4)$ satisfies the Clifford algebra anti-commutation relation  $\{\Gamma^{\hat{A}}, \Gamma^{\hat{B}}\} = 2\eta^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$  with  $\eta^{\hat{A}\hat{B}} =$  $diag(-1, +1, +1, +1, +1)$ . In this context

$$
g_{MN} := \left(\mathcal{V}^{\hat{A}}_M \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\hat{B}}_N\right) \eta_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} \tag{4.110}
$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_{M}^{\hat{A}}$  represents vierbein (inverse of fünfbein) characterized by the following conditions:

$$
\mathcal{V}_4^4 = 1, \quad \mathcal{V}_\mu^{\hat{A}} = e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \delta_\mu^{\hat{A}}, \quad Det(\mathcal{V}) = e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \tag{4.111}
$$

and  $\hat{A}, \hat{B}$  being tangent space indices. For our set up  $\mathcal{SO}(3,1)$  gauge field can be written in terms of the christoffel connection and vierbein degrees of freedom as

$$
\omega_{\mu}^{\hat{A}\hat{B}} := \frac{1}{2} g^{NP} \left( \mathcal{V}_{N}^{[\hat{A}} \partial_{[\mu} \mathcal{V}_{P]}^{\hat{B}]} + \frac{1}{2} g^{TS} \mathcal{V}_{N}^{[\hat{A}} \mathcal{V}_{T}^{\hat{B}]} \partial_{[S} \mathcal{V}_{P]}^{\hat{C}} \mathcal{V}_{\mu}^{\hat{D}} \eta_{\hat{C}\hat{D}} \right) = \mathcal{V}_{N}^{\hat{A}} \left( \mathcal{V}^{\hat{B}P} \Gamma_{MP}^{N} + \partial_{M} \mathcal{V}^{\hat{B}N} \right)
$$
\n(4.112)

In presence of Gauss-bonnet coupling  $\Omega_4 = 0$  and  $\Omega_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}e^{-A_{\pm}(y)}k_{\pm}r_{c}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}$ . It is important to mention here that the contribution to the action from the spin connection vanishes due to the presence of the hermitian conjugate counterpart is included. Here  $\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  represents the left and right chiral fermionic field defined as

$$
\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \Psi(x,y)
$$
\n(4.113)

where the left/right chiral projection operator is defined as  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$  which satisfies  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{R}} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L}} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{R}} = 0$ . To find the effective four dimensional action the Kaluza-Klien decomposition of the massive left/right chiral fermionic spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  type of field is given by

$$
\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\left(x,y\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) \frac{e^{2A_{\pm}}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y) \tag{4.114}
$$

where **L**, **R** represent the chirality of the massive fermionic fields and  $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y)$  characterizes two distinct set of complete orthonormal function satisfies the following orthonormalization criteria:

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L}}^{(m)\star}(y) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn},
$$
\n
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(m)\star}(y) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn}.
$$
\n(4.115)

<span id="page-32-0"></span>Due to the requirement of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  symmetry of the action,  $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y)$  and  $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}$  $\mathbf{L}^{(n)}(y)$  necessarily have opposite  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  parity. Without loosing any physical information we choose  $\hat{f}_{\text{L}}^{(n)}$  ${\bf L}^{(n)}(y)$  to be  ${\bf Z_2}$ even and  $\hat{f}_{\bf R}^{(n)}(y)$  to be  ${\bf Z_2}$  odd. Then the matter fields then refers to the zero mode fermionic

<span id="page-33-0"></span>

$\tau(000)$	$\tau^{(001)}$	$\tau(010)$	$\tau$ (011)	$\tau(100)$	$\tau(101)$	$\tau$ (110)	$\tau(111)$
$^{\perp}$ L.R	$L_{\rm L.R}$	$L_{LR}$	$L_{\rm L.R.}$	$^{\mu}$ L.R	$-L.R$	$L_{LR}$	$L_{LR}$
$(0.053/0.562)0.031/0.412)0.028/0.246)0.014/0.206)0.031/0.412)0.009/0.128)0.014/0.206)0.001/0.105$							

<span id="page-33-1"></span>**Table 6.** Numerical values of  $\mathcal{I}_{L,R}^{(nmp)}$  for lowest lying modes of the trilinear interaction between massive fermeonic field and  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge fields for  $k_$  branch.

$\tau^{(000)}$	$\tau^{(001)}$	$\tau^{(010)}$	$\tau^{(011)}$	$\tau$ (100)	$\tau(101)$	$\tau^{(110)}$	(111)
$^L$ L.R	$-L.R$	$^{\perp}$ L.R	$L_{\rm L.R.}$	$-L.R$	$^{\perp}$ L.R	$L_{\rm L.R.}$	$-L.R$
$(0.053/0.562 0.043/0.502 0.035/0.341 0.027/0.271 0.043/0.502 0.026/0.197 0.027/0.271 0.012/0.165$							

**Table 7.** Numerical values of  $\mathcal{I}_{L,R}^{(nmp)}$  for lowest lying modes of the trilinear interaction between massive fermeonic field and  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge fields for  $k_+$  branch.

<span id="page-33-2"></span>function  $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L}}^{(0)}$  $L^{(0)}$ . Consequently the action for the fermionic fields takes the following form

$$
S_{f} = \int d^{4}x \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \Big[ e^{-3A_{\pm}(y)} \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \right) - e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} sgn(y) m_{f} \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}(x,y) - \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \left( e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{4} + \overrightarrow{\partial}_{4} e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \right) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}(x,y) - g_{f} e^{-3A_{\pm}(y)} \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \mathcal{A} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \right) + h.c. \Big] - \int d^{4}x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[ \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) - m_{n}^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(x) + \frac{ig_{f}}{\sqrt{r_{c}}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(nmp)} \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) i \mathcal{A}^{(m)}(x) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}^{(p)}(x) \Big]
$$
(4.116)

where the trilinear interaction term between massive fermeonic field and  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge field is given by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(nmp)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)\star}(y) \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}(y) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(p)}(y). \tag{4.117}
$$

In table [6](#page-33-0) and table [7](#page-33-1) we have tabulated the numerical values of the trilinear interaction for zero and lowest lying modes.

Finally clubbing the contributions from the first and last term of the action stated in the second line of the equation  $(4.116)$  the compact form of the effective four dimensional action can be recast as

$$
S_f = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \bar{\Psi}^{(n)}_{\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}}(x) \overleftrightarrow{\Delta}^{(np)}_{DIRAC} \Psi^{(p)}_{\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}}(x)
$$
(4.118)

where the four dimensional covariant Dirac operator for the effective massive fermionic field theory interacting via electromagnetic (photon)  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge field is given by

$$
\overleftrightarrow{\Delta}_{DIRAC}^{(np)} := \left[ i \overleftrightarrow{D}_{COV}^{(np)} - m_n^{\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}} \delta^{np} \right],
$$
\n
$$
\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}_{COV}^{(np)} := \left( \delta^{np} \overleftrightarrow{\partial} + \frac{ig_f}{\sqrt{r_c}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}}^{(nmp)} \mathcal{A}^{(m)}(x) \right).
$$
\n(4.119)

Throughout the analysis we assume that the Majorana fermions do not contribute in the effective action. The fermionic Kaluza-Klien mass spectrum is determined from the following two fold differential equation

<span id="page-34-0"></span>
$$
\left(\pm\frac{1}{r_c}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_y - m_f\right)\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y) = -\left(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)_{\pm}\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y) \tag{4.120}
$$

subject to the following boundary conditions:

$$
\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(y_i)\hat{f}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y_i) = 0, \qquad \hat{f}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y_i) = 0
$$
\n(4.121)

where at  $y_i = 0, \pi$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifolding symmetry is imposed. This follows from the fact that left-handed or all right-handed fermionic wave functions are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  odd. In this context the fermionic differential operator  $\left(\pm\frac{1}{r_c}\right)$  $\frac{1}{r_c} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_y - m_f\Big)$  are hermitian and the mass eigen values are real. Consequently  $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(y)$  is chosen to be real.

Now introducing a new variable  $z_n^{\pm;L,R} := \frac{(m_n^{\mathrm{L,R}})_\pm}{k_\pm}$  $\frac{n}{k_{\pm}}$  / $\pm e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  equation [\(4.120\)](#page-34-0) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation as

$$
\left(\pm z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}}-\nu^{\pm}\right)\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})=-z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \left[ \left(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}} + \left(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)^2 - \nu^{\pm}\left(\nu^{\pm}\mp 1\right) \right] \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) = 0
$$
\n(4.122)

and the analytical solution for  $n \neq 0$  turns out to be

<span id="page-34-1"></span>
$$
\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) = \frac{z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}}{\mathcal{N}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(n)\sqrt{\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) + \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{Y}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \right].
$$
\n(4.123)

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\beta_n^{\pm;L,R}$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. In this context we use  $\nu^{\pm} := \frac{m_f}{k_1}$  $\frac{m_f}{k_{\pm}}.$ 

Now applying the boundary condition on equation [\(4.123\)](#page-34-1) we get

<span id="page-34-2"></span>
$$
\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}|_{y_i=0} = 0 \implies \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} = -\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)}.
$$
\n(4.124)

<span id="page-34-3"></span>
$$
\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}|_{y_i=\pi} = 0 \implies \beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} = -\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mp(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm})}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)}{\mathcal{Y}_{\mp(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm})}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)} \tag{4.125}
$$

where  $z_n^{\pm;{\bf L},{\bf R}}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm;{\bf L},{\bf R}} = \frac{(m_n^{{\bf L},{\bf R}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}$  $\frac{n}{k_{\pm}}e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}$ . Now using equation [\(4.124\)](#page-34-2) and equation  $(4.125)$  we get

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)}{\mathcal{V}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)}{\mathcal{V}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)}\tag{4.126}
$$

which is an transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm,\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  and the roots of this equation gives the left and right chiral fermionic field mass spectrum  $\left(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)$ ± in presence of perturbative Gasuss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . This leads to approximately

$$
\left(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)_{\pm} \approx \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\left[\nu^{\pm} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right] - \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi k_{\pm}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}.\tag{4.127}
$$

This again shows a similar feature as of the graviton modes.

Now using equation [\(4.115\)](#page-32-0) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}} \Bigg\{ \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{Y}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right) \right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \beta_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{Y}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) \right]^{2} \Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
\n(4.128)

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\beta_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mp(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm})}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})$  is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_{\mp(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm})}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})$  in equation [\(4.123\)](#page-34-1) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right).
$$
\n(4.129)

Consequently the extra dimension dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) = \frac{z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}}{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\sqrt{\left(m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)_{\pm}r_c}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}(z_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}})}{\mathcal{J}_{\mp\left(\frac{1}{2}+\nu^{\pm}\right)}\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}\right)}.
$$
\n(4.130)

In figure [10](#page-37-0) we have explicitly shown the behavior of the extra dimension dependent left chiral fermionic first excited state for all possible signatures of Gauss-Bonnet coupling for  $k_+$  and  $k_-$  branch. For  $\alpha_{(5)} \to 0$  the left chiral wave function corresponding to the  $k_$  branch falls faster than compared to the  $k_+$  branch for bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and all possible signatures of two-loop conformal coupling  $A_1$ . On the other hand just exactly opposite behavior is observed in the case of  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  including the information from all possible signatures of  $A_1$ . Additionally in figure [11](#page-38-0) we have plotted the graphical behavior of the extra dimension dependent wave function for  $k_{+}$  and  $k_{-}$ 

branch corresponding the first excited state for two signatures of  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and negative twoloop conformal coupling  $A_1$  including three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . It is clearly observed from the plot that the left chiral wave function for  $k_-\,$  branch is more localized than the  $k_{+}$  branch at the boundary of the visible brane. So from the phenomenological point of view figure [11a](#page-38-1) is not desirable. Similarly in figure [12](#page-39-0) we have explicitly depicted the behavior of the extra dimension dependent right chiral fermionic first excited state for all possible signatures of Gauss-Bonnet coupling for  $k_+$  and  $k_-$  branch which follows subsequently different behavior from its left chiral counterpart. Additionally in figure [13](#page-40-0) we have plotted the graphical behavior of the extra dimension dependent wave function for k<sup>−</sup> branch corresponding the first excited state for all possible signatures of bulk cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{(5)}$  and two-loop conformal coupling  $A_1$  for three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . The figure [11b](#page-38-2) and figure [13](#page-40-0) depicts that to achieve the localization of the left handed chiral mode on the boundary of the visible brane the branch k<sub>−</sub> is a favored choice as parameter. Additionally in  $\alpha_{(5)} \rightarrow 0$  limit the left/right chiral solution for k<sup>−</sup> branch exactly reproduces the Randall-Sundrum behavior compared to the rest of the physical situations.

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation  $(4.120)$  turns out to be

$$
\hat{f}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y) = \frac{e^{\pm \nu^{\pm} A_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}}.
$$
\n(4.131)

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}$  normalization constant for zero mode. Now applying the normalization condition we get  $\mathcal{N}_{(0)}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} = \sqrt{\frac{(1\pm 2\nu^{\pm})k_{\pm}r_{c}}{2\sqrt{e^{(1\pm 2\nu^{\pm})k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}}$  $\frac{(1\pm 2\nu^2)\kappa \pm r_c}{2\left[e^{(1\pm 2\nu^{\pm})k \pm r_c\pi}-1\right]}$  and the ground state massless zero mode wave function for fermionic species turns out to be

$$
\hat{f}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2\left[e^{(1\pm 2\nu^{\pm})k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi} - 1\right]}{(1\pm 2\nu^{\pm})k_{\pm}r_{c}}}e^{\pm \nu^{\pm}A_{\pm}(y)}.
$$
\n(4.132)

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>4.7 Bulk Kalb-Rammond antisymmetric tensor field

In the context of string theory closed string modes include antisymmetric tensor fields of different rank. The five dimensional action for rank-3 antisymmetric pure Kalb-Rammond tensor field can be written as [\[70](#page-61-2)]

<span id="page-36-1"></span>
$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \mathcal{H}_{MNL}(x, y) \mathcal{H}^{MNL}(x, y)
$$
(4.133)

where five dimensional action for rank-3 antisymmetric pure Kalb-Rammond field strength tensor is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{MNL} := \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[M} \mathcal{B}_{NL]}(x, y) \tag{4.134}
$$

with antisymmetric tensor potential  $\mathcal{B}_{NL} = -\mathcal{B}_{LN}$ , usually called "Neveu-Schwarz– Neveu-Schwarz" (NS-NS) two-form. For historical reasons the field B is also called "torsion" since, to lowest order, it can be identified with the antisymmetric part of the affine connection, in

<span id="page-37-3"></span><span id="page-37-2"></span><span id="page-37-1"></span><span id="page-37-0"></span>

<span id="page-37-4"></span>Figure 10. Variation of  $\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{L}}^{(1)}(\pi)$  (=  $\chi_{vis}^{(1)}$ ) vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for [\(a\)](#page-37-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-37-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-37-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-37-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$ .

the context of a non-Riemannian geometric structure. An alternative, often used, name is "Kalb-Ramond axion", in reference to the pseudo-scalar axionic field related to the Kalb-Rammond antisymmetric tensor field via space-time "duality" transformation is elaborately discussed in the next subsection.

Now applying the gauge fixing condition  $\mathcal{B}_{4\mu} = 0$  the action stated in equation [\(4.133\)](#page-36-1) takes the following form

<span id="page-37-5"></span>
$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^5 x \ r_c \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left[ \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \eta^{\lambda\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x, y) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, y) - \frac{3}{r_c^2} e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}(x, y) \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y}^2 \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \right]
$$
\n(4.135)

where we introduce a new symbol  $\overrightarrow{D_y} := \frac{d}{dy}$ . Let the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the Kalb-

<span id="page-38-1"></span><span id="page-38-0"></span>

**Figure 11.** Variation of  $\chi_{+,\mathbf{L}}^{(1)}(y)$  (=  $\hat{f}_{+,\mathbf{L}}^{(1)}(y)$ ) and  $\chi_{-,\mathbf{L}}^{(1)}(y)$  (=  $\hat{f}_{-,\mathbf{L}}^{(1)}(y)$ ) vs extra dimensional coordi-nate y for [\(a\)](#page-38-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$  and [\(b\)](#page-38-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$  respectively. In this context we use  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$  three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet Coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ .

Rammond antysmmetric NS-NS two form potential field is given by

<span id="page-38-3"></span><span id="page-38-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
\n(4.136)

Now plugging equation [\(4.136\)](#page-38-3) in equation [\(4.135\)](#page-37-5) the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following form:

$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \eta^{\lambda\gamma} \mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) \mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) + \left( M_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)^2_{\pm} \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \right] \tag{4.137}
$$

where the effective four dimensional Kalb-Rammond field strength is defined as  $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) :=$  $\overrightarrow{\partial}_{[\mu} \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\nu\lambda]}$  $\sum_{\nu\lambda}^{(n)}(x)$ . In this context we impose the following orthonormalization condition of extra dimension dependent wave functions

<span id="page-38-4"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \ \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn} \tag{4.138}
$$

and the mass term of the gauge field is defined through the following differential equation as

<span id="page-38-5"></span>
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y^2} \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left( m_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm}^2 \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y). \tag{4.139}
$$

Here the mass of the nth mode Kalb-Rammond antisymmetric field is given by  $(M_n^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm} =$  $\sqrt{3} \left( m_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm}$ . Now introducing a new variable  $z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} := \frac{\left( m_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}} e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  equation (4.87) can  $\left(m_n^{\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\pm}$  $\frac{k_{h}y_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  equation [\(4.87\)](#page-26-1) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order zero as

$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}}}^2 + z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}}} + \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right)^2 \right] \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{4.140}
$$

<span id="page-39-3"></span><span id="page-39-2"></span><span id="page-39-1"></span><span id="page-39-0"></span>

**Figure 12.** Variation of  $\chi_{\mathbf{R}}^{(1)}(\pi)$  (=  $\chi_{\pm;vis}^{(1)}$ ) vs Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  for [\(a\)](#page-39-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-39-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-39-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-39-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$ .

and the analytical solution turns out to be

<span id="page-39-5"></span><span id="page-39-4"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_0(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}) + \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{Y}_0(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}) \right]. \tag{4.141}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\alpha_n^{\pm;H}$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. Self-adjointness and hermiticity of the differential operator appearing in equation [\(4.160\)](#page-43-0) demands that  $\overrightarrow{D}_y^{\prime}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y)$  is continious

<span id="page-40-3"></span><span id="page-40-2"></span><span id="page-40-1"></span><span id="page-40-0"></span>

<span id="page-40-4"></span>**Figure 13.** Variation of  $\chi_{-,\mathbf{R}}^{(1)}(y) = \hat{f}_{-,\mathbf{R}}^{(1)}(y)$  vs extra dimensional coordinate y for [\(a\)](#page-40-1)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$ and  $A_1 > 0$ , [\(b\)](#page-40-2)  $\Lambda_{(5)} > 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ , [\(c\)](#page-40-3)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 > 0$  and [\(d\)](#page-40-4)  $\Lambda_{(5)} < 0$  and  $A_1 < 0$ . In this context we use  $B_0 = 0.002$ ,  $r_c = 0.996 \sim 1$ ,  $|A_1| = 0.04$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.05$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.04$  three distinct values of Gauss-Bonnet Coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ .

at the orbifold fixed points  $y_i = 0, \pi$ . Consequently we have

<span id="page-40-5"></span>
$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=0}=0 \implies \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}=-\frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)+\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{J}_{0}'\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}{\left[\mathcal{Y}_{0}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)+\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{Y}_{0}'\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}.
$$
(4.142)

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}=-\frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)+x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{J}_{0}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)\right]}{\left[\mathcal{Y}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)+x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{Y}_{0}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)\right]}
$$
(4.143)

where  $z_n^{\pm;H}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm;H} =$  $\left(m_n^{\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\pm}$  $\frac{h_n^{\mathcal{H}}_h}{k_{\pm}} e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}$ . For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{H}})_\pm}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the mass spectrum for the Kalb-Rammond fields is expected to be of the order of TeV scale i.e.

$$
\alpha_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \simeq x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.\tag{4.144}
$$

Now using equation  $(4.166)$  and equation  $(4.142)$  we get

$$
x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi} = \frac{\left[ \mathcal{J}_0 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right) - x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{J}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right) \right]}{\left[ \mathcal{Y}_0 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right) - x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{Y}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right) \right]} \qquad \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right) \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} e^{-2k_{\pm} r_c \pi} \approx 0 \tag{4.145}
$$

which is an transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm;H}$  and the roots of this equation gives the Kalb-Rammond field mass spectrum  $(m_h^{\mathcal{H}})_\pm$  in presence of perturbative Gasuss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . Now using equation [\(4.138\)](#page-38-4) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\left\{\left[\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{Y}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)\right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}}\left[\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{Y}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}}.
$$
\n(4.146)

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{H}})_\pm}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_0(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}})$  is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_0(z_n^{\pm;H})$  in equation [\(4.141\)](#page-39-5) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right) \approx \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}.\tag{4.147}
$$

Consequently the extra dimensional dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{2\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}}{\pi x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}} e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \mathcal{J}_0(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}). \tag{4.148}
$$

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.139\)](#page-38-5) turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(0)} = C_1|y| + C_2. \tag{4.149}
$$

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants. Now applying the boundary condition through the continuity of the wave function we get  $C_1 = 0$ . As a result the zero mode solution turns out to be  $\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(0)} = C_2$ . Now applying the normalization condition the ground state massless zero mode wave function turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(0)} = C_2 = \sqrt{\frac{k_{\pm} r_c}{e^{2k_{\pm} r_c \pi} - 1}} \approx \sqrt{k_{\pm} r_c} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.
$$
\n(4.150)

This give zero mode is heavily suppressed in the visible brane, though the warping will be reduced if one choses large Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ .

# <span id="page-42-0"></span>4.8 Bulk Kalb-Rammond antisymmetric tensor field with parity violating extension

The five dimensional action for rank-3 antisymmetric pure Kalb-Rammond tensor field can be extended with a parity violating term as [\[168](#page-66-5)],

<span id="page-42-1"></span>
$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{MNL}(x, y) \mathcal{H}^{MNL}(x, y) + 2\Theta_0 \epsilon^{ABMNL} \mathcal{B}_{AB}(x, y) \mathcal{H}_{MNL}(x, y) \right]
$$
(4.151)

where five dimensional action for rank-3 antisymmetric pure Kalb-Rammond field strength tensor is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{MNL} := \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[M} \mathcal{B}_{NL]}(x, y) \tag{4.152}
$$

with antisymmetric tensor potential  $\mathcal{B}_{NL} = -\mathcal{B}_{LN}$ . Here  $\Theta_0$  represents the axion Kalb-Rammond interaction strength. The parity violating term is a topological term invariant under the Kalb-Rammond gauge transformation:  $\delta \mathcal{B}_{MN} = \partial_{[M} \Lambda_{N]}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the gauge parameter. Now to get rid of massive vector modes on the brane we applying the gauge fixing condition  $\mathcal{B}_{4\mu} = 0$  and consequently the action stated in equation [\(4.151\)](#page-42-1) takes the following form

$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^5 x \ r_c e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left[ \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \eta^{\lambda\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x, y) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, y) - \frac{3}{r_c^2} e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}(x, y) \overrightarrow{D_y}^2 \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) + \frac{6\Theta_0}{r_c} e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \mathcal{E}^{4\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \overrightarrow{D_y} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}(x, y) \right]
$$
\n(4.153)

<span id="page-42-3"></span>where we introduce a new symbol  $\overrightarrow{D_y} := \frac{d}{dy}$  and the five dimensional Levi-Civita tensor  $(\epsilon^{ABMNL})$  is defined in terms of five dimensional Levi-Civita tensor density  $(\mathcal{E}^{ABMNL})$  as

$$
\epsilon^{ABMNL} := \frac{\mathcal{E}^{ABMNL}}{\sqrt{-g_{(5)}}}. \tag{4.154}
$$

Let the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the Kalb-Rammond antisymmetric NS-NS two form potential field is given by

<span id="page-42-4"></span><span id="page-42-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
\n(4.155)

Now plugging equation  $(4.155)$  in equation  $(4.153)$  the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following form:

$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \eta^{\lambda\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(n)} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(n)} + 3 \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \left( \frac{e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)}}{r_c^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{y}^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)} \right) \right] + 6 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \left( \frac{e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \Theta_{0}}{r_c^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{y}^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)} \right) \right].
$$
\n(4.156)

In this context we impose the following orthonormalization condition of extra dimension dependent wave functions

<span id="page-43-2"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \ \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn} \tag{4.157}
$$

It is interesting to mention here that the four dimensional effective action contains, apart from the kinetic term and the mass term  $(\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}\mathcal{B}^{(n)}\mu\nu)$  for the Kalb-Rammond field, an additional term of the form  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{(n)}$   $^{\mu\nu}$  where  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{(n)}$   $^{\mu\nu}$  =  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}$  is the *dual of Kalb*-Rammond field. On solving the equation of motion from this effective four dimensional action stated in equation [\(4.156\)](#page-42-4), it is quite straightforward to find the solution for the Kalb-Rammond field. It is pointed out in cite that the only non-trivial solution corresponds to self-dual or anti-dual Kalb-Rammond fields i.e,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}$  or  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} = -\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}$ . Such selfdual or anti self-dual conditions imply the reduction in the degrees of freedom of the Kalb-Rammond field has a five dimensional topological quantum field theoretic origin (TQFT). Then the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following expression:

$$
S_{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \eta^{\lambda\gamma} \mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) \mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) + \left( M_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm; \mathbf{SD/AD}}^2 \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \right]
$$
\n(4.158)

where the effective four dimensional Kalb-Rammond field strength is defined as  $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) :=$  $\overrightarrow{\partial}_{[\mu} \mathcal{B}^{(n)}_{\nu\lambda]}$  $\sum_{\nu\lambda|}^{(n)}(x)$ . Most importantly the mass term of the Kalb-Rammond field is defined through the following two fold differential equations as

<span id="page-43-1"></span>**Self-Dual KR:** 
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2} \overrightarrow{D_y} \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) + \frac{2\Theta_0}{r_c} \overrightarrow{D_y} \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left(m_n^{\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\pm;\mathbf{SD}}^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y)
$$
\n**Anti-Dual KR:** 
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2} \overrightarrow{D_y} \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) - \frac{2\Theta_0}{r_c} \overrightarrow{D_y} \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y) = e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left(m_n^{\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\pm;\mathbf{AD}}^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(y).
$$
\n(4.159)

It may be observed that now apart from the two possible branches  $k_{+}$  and  $k_{-}$ , the axion term  $\Theta_0$  has resulted into the decomposition of Kalb-Rammond field into self-dual and anti-self dual parts with different equation of motion. Here the mass of the nth mode self-dual and anti-dual Kalb-Rammond antisymmetric field is given by  $(M_n^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;SD/AD}$  =  $\sqrt{3} (m_n^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;\text{SD/AD}}$ . Now introducing a new variable  $z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{SD/AD}}$  :=  $\left(m_n^\mathcal{H}\right)_{\pm;\text{SD}/\text{AD}}$  $\frac{\pm_{;\textbf{SD}/\textbf{AD}}}{k_{\pm}}e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$ equation [\(4.159\)](#page-43-1) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order  $\nu := \frac{2\Theta_0}{k_{\pm}}$  as

# Self-Dual KR:

$$
\left[ \left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}}^2 + \left(1-\nu\right) z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}} + \left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)^2 \right] \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}^{(n)} = 0
$$

<span id="page-43-0"></span>Anti-Dual KR:

$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}}}^2 + \left( 1 + \nu \right) z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}}} + \left( z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} \right)^2 \right] \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}}^{(n)} = 0
$$
\n(4.160)

and the analytical solution turns out to be

<span id="page-44-0"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} &\textbf{Self-Dual KR:} \quad \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)^{\nu}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}} \left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}) + \left(\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\mathbf{SD}} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}})\right] \\ &\textbf{Anti-Dual KR:} \quad \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)^{-\nu}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}} \left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}) + \left(\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\mathbf{AD}} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}})\right] \end{aligned}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}/\mathbf{AD}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\left(\alpha_n^{\pm;H}\right)$  $SD/AD$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. Self-adjointness and hermiticity of the differential operator appearing in equation [\(4.160\)](#page-43-0) demands that  $\overrightarrow{D}_y \chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}/\mathbf{AD}}(y)$  is continuous at the orbifold fixed points  $y_i = 0, \pi$ . Consequently we have

# Self-Dual KR:

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{y} \chi_{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}}^{(n)} |_{y_i=0} = 0 \implies \left( \alpha_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}} \right)_{\mathbf{SD}} = -\frac{\left[ \nu \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left( \frac{\left( m_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm; \mathbf{SD}} }{k_{\pm}} \right) + \mathcal{J}_{\nu}^{\prime} \left( \frac{\left( m_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm; \mathbf{SD}} }{k_{\pm}} \right) \right]}{\left[ \nu \mathcal{Y}_{\nu} \left( \frac{\left( m_{n; \mathbf{SD}}^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm; \mathbf{SD}} }{k_{\pm}} \right) + \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{\prime} \left( \frac{\left( m_n^{\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\pm; \mathbf{SD}} }{k_{\pm}} \right) \right]}.
$$

(4.162)

.

(4.161)

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \implies \left(\alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\mathbf{SD}} = -\frac{\left[\nu\mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)+\mathcal{J}_{\nu}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)\right]}{\left[\nu\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)+\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)\right]}
$$
(4.163)

Anti - Dual KR:

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=0}=0 \implies (\alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbf{AD}} = -\frac{\left[-\nu \mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;\mathbf{AD}}}{k_{\pm}}\right) + \mathcal{J}_{\nu}'\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;\mathbf{AD}}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}{\left[-\nu \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;\mathbf{AD}}}{k_{\pm}}\right) + \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}'\left(\frac{(m_{n}^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;\mathbf{AD}}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}.
$$
\n(4.164)\n
$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \implies (\alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbf{AD}} = -\frac{\left[-\nu \mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right) + \mathcal{J}_{\nu}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)\right]}{\left[-\nu \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right) + \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)\right]} \tag{4.165}
$$

where  $z_n^{\pm;{\cal H};{\bf SD}/{\bf AD}}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm;{\cal H};{\bf SD}/{\bf AD}} =$  $\left(m_n^\mathcal{H}\right)_{\pm;\textbf{SD}/\textbf{AD}}$  $\frac{\pm_{\text{i}}\text{SD/AD}}{k_{\pm}}e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}$ . For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\left(m_n^\mathcal{H}\right)_{\pm;\textbf{SD}/\textbf{AD}}$  $\frac{\text{E-SD}/\text{AD}}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the mass spectrum for the Kalb-Rammond fields is expected to be of the order of TeV scale i.e.

<span id="page-45-0"></span>
$$
\text{Self-Dual KR:} \quad \left(\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\text{SD}} \simeq \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu - 2}(\nu - 1)!} \left(\frac{x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{SD}}}{2} e^{-2k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)^{\nu - 1}\right]^2
$$
\n
$$
\text{Anti-Dual KR:} \quad \left(\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)_{\text{AD}} \simeq \left[\frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{AD}}}{2} e^{-2k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)^{\nu + 1}\right]^2. \tag{4.166}
$$

Now using equation  $(4.166)$  and equation  $(4.142)$  we get

# Self-Dual KR:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu - 2}(\nu - 1)!} \left(\frac{x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}}}{2} e^{-2k_{\pm}r_c \pi} \right)^{\nu - 1} \right]^2
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{\left[\nu \mathcal{J}_\nu \left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c \pi} \right) + \mathcal{J}_\nu' \left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c \pi} \right)\right]}{\left[\nu \mathcal{Y}_\nu \left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c \pi} \right) + \mathcal{Y}_\nu' \left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c \pi} \right)\right]}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\nu - 1} \left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{SD}}\right) \approx 0
$$
\n(4.167)

Anti-Dual KR:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} - 2k_{\pm}r_c\pi}{2}\right)^{\nu+1}\right]^2
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{\left[-\nu \mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right) + \mathcal{J}_{\nu}'\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)\right]}{\left[-\nu \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right) + \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}'\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}} e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)\right]}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\nu+1}\left(x_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{AD}}\right) \approx 0
$$
\n(4.168)

which are transcendental equations of  $x_n^{\pm;H;SD/AD}$  and the roots of these equations give the Kalb-Rammond field mass spectrum  $(m_n^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;SD/AD}$  in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . Now using equation [\(4.157\)](#page-43-2) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$ mode reduces to the following expression

# Self-Dual KR:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} = \sqrt{\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} \right)^{2\nu} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} \right) + \left( \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\mathbf{SD}} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} \right) \right]^2 \right\}}
$$
(4.169)

# Anti-Dual KR:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} = \sqrt{\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} \right)^{-2\nu} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} \right) + \left( \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}} \right)_{\mathbf{AD}} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} \right) \right]^2 \right\}}.
$$
\n(4.170)

For  $e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^{\mathcal{H}})_{\pm;\text{SD/AD}}}{k_{\pm}} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\left(\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}\right)$  $SD/AD \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H};SD/AD})$  is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm; \mathcal{H};SD/AD})$  in equation [\(4.161\)](#page-44-0) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

#### Self-Dual KR:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} = \sqrt{\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} \right)^{2\nu} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}} \right) \right]^2 \right\}}
$$
(4.171)

Anti-Dual KR:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} = \sqrt{\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} \right)^{-2\nu} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left( z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}} \right) \right]^2 \right\}}.
$$
(4.172)

Consequently the extra dimensional dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  turns out to be

# Self-Dual KR:

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\left\{\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)^{2\nu} \left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}\right)\right]^2\right\}} \mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}})
$$

Anti-Dual KR:

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)^{-\nu}}{\sqrt{\left\{\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)^{-2\nu} \left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)\right]^2\right\}} \mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}).
$$
\n(4.173)

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.159\)](#page-43-1) turns out to be

**Self-Dual KR:** 
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}^{(0)} = \frac{C_2}{2\Theta_0 r_c} e^{2\Theta_0 r_c |y|} + C_1
$$
  
\n**Anti-Dual KR:** 
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}^{(0)} = -\frac{C_2}{2\Theta_0 r_c} e^{-2\Theta_0 r_c |y|} + C_1.
$$
 (4.174)

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants. Now applying the boundary condition through the continuity of the wave function we get  $C_2 = 0$ . As a result the zero mode solution turns out to be  $\chi_{\pm; H; S\mathbf{D}}^{(0)} = \chi_{\pm; H; A\mathbf{D}}^{(0)} = C_1$ . Now applying the normalization condition the ground state massless zero mode wave function turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{SD}}^{(0)} = \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}^{(0)} = C_1 = \sqrt{\frac{k_{\pm}r_c}{e^{2k_{\pm}r_c\pi} - 1}} \approx \sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}.
$$
 (4.175)

This is again heavily suppressed on the visible brane.

# <span id="page-47-0"></span>4.9 Bulk rank-4 antisymmetric tensor field

In five dimension we can have at most rank-3 antisymmetric tensor field with rank-4 antisymmetric tensor field strength whose five dimensional action can be written as [\[72\]](#page-61-7)

<span id="page-47-1"></span>
$$
S_{\mathcal{Z}} = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \, \mathcal{Z}_{MNAB}(x, y) \mathcal{Z}^{MNAB}(x, y) \tag{4.176}
$$

where five dimensional action for rank-4 antisymmetric field strength tensor is given by

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{MNAB} := \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[M} \mathcal{X}_{NAB]}(x, y) \tag{4.177}
$$

with antisymmetric rank-3 tensor potential  $\mathcal{X}_{NAB}$ , under the exchange of any two indices. It is usually called "Rammond- Rammond" (R-R) differential three-form generated from the Rammond-Rammond sector of the closed string excitation. Now applying the gauge fixing condition  $\mathcal{X}_{\mu\nu4} = 0$  the action stated in equation [\(4.176\)](#page-47-1) takes the following form

$$
S_{\mathcal{Z}} = \int d^5 x \left[ e^{4A_{\pm}(y)} \eta^{\mu \lambda} \eta^{\nu \rho} \eta^{\alpha \gamma} \eta^{\beta \delta} \mathcal{Z}_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(x, y) \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda \rho \gamma \delta}(x, y) + \frac{4}{r_c} e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \eta^{\mu \lambda} \eta^{\nu \rho} \eta^{\alpha \gamma} \left( \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \mathcal{X}_{\mu \nu \alpha}(x, y) \right) \left( \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \mathcal{X}_{\lambda \rho \gamma}(x, y) \right) \right]
$$
(4.178)

<span id="page-47-3"></span>where we introduce a new symbol  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}} := \frac{d}{dy}$ . Let the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the rank-4 antisymmetric R-R three form potential field is given by

<span id="page-47-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{X}_{\mu\nu\alpha}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{(n)}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}}.
$$
\n(4.179)

Now plugging equation  $(4.179)$  in equation  $(4.178)$  the effective four dimensional action reduces to the following form:

$$
S_{\mathcal{Z}} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \eta^{\beta\delta} \mathcal{Z}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(x) \mathcal{Z}^{(n)}_{\lambda\rho\gamma\delta}(x) + \left( M_n^{\mathcal{Z}} \right)^2_{\pm} \eta^{\mu\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \mathcal{X}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \mathcal{X}^{(n)}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \right]
$$
\n(4.180)

where the effective four dimensional Rammond-Rammond field strength is defined as  ${\mathcal Z}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x)\ :=\ \overrightarrow{\partial}_{[\mu}{\mathcal X}_{\nu\alpha\beta}^{(n)}$  $v_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x)$ . In this context we impose the following orthonormalization condition of extra dimension dependent wave functions

<span id="page-47-6"></span>
$$
\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{4A_{\pm}(y)} \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(m)}(y) \ \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y) = \delta^{mn} \tag{4.181}
$$

and the mass term of the gauge field is defined through the following differential equation as

<span id="page-47-4"></span>
$$
-\frac{1}{r_c^2} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \left( e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_y} \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y) \right) = e^{4A_{\pm}(y)} \left( m_n^{\mathcal{Z}} \right)_{\pm}^2 \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y). \tag{4.182}
$$

Here the mass of the nth mode Rammond-Rammond antisymmetric field is given by  $(M_n^{\mathcal{Z}})_\pm = 2 (m_n^{\mathcal{Z}})_\pm$ . Now introducing a new variable  $z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}} :=$  $\left(\overline{m_n^{\mathcal{Z}}}\right)_\pm$  $\frac{1}{k_{\pm}}e^{A_{\pm}(y)}$  equation [\(4.182\)](#page-47-4) can be recast in terms of Bessel differential equation of order one as

<span id="page-47-5"></span>
$$
\left[ \left( z_n^{\pm;Z} \right)^2 \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;Z}} + z_n^{\pm;Z} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z_n^{\pm;Z}} + \left\{ \left( z_n^{\pm;Z} \right)^2 - 1 \right\} \right] \chi_{\pm;Z}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{4.183}
$$

and the analytical solution turns out to be

<span id="page-48-2"></span>
$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{-A_{\pm}(y)}}{\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}} \left[ \mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}) + \alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}) \right]. \tag{4.184}
$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}$  be the normalization constant of the extra dimension dependent wave function and  $\alpha_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}$  is the integration constant determined from the orthonormalization condition and the continuity conditions at the orbifold fixed point. Self-adjointness and hermiticity of the differential operator appearing in equation [\(4.183\)](#page-47-5) demands that  $\overrightarrow{D}_y^{\prime} \chi_{\pm;\zeta}^{(n)}$  $\sum_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y)$  is continuous at the orbifold fixed points  $y_i = 0, \pi$ . Consequently we have

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=0}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}=\frac{\left[\frac{\left(m_{n}^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{J}_{1}'\left(\frac{\left(m_{n}^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)-\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(\frac{\left(m_{n}^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}{\left[\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(\frac{\left(m_{n}^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)-\frac{\left(m_{n}^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}'\left(\frac{\left(m_{n}^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}}{k_{\pm}}\right)\right]}.
$$
\n(4.185)

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{y}}\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}|_{y_{i}=\pi}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}=\frac{\left[\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right)-x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{J}_{1}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right)\right]}{\left[x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}'\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right)-\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right)\right]}
$$
(4.186)

where  $z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}(\pi) := x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}} =$  $\left(m_n^{\mathcal{Z}}\right)_{\pm}$  $\frac{(n_n^z)_\pm}{k_\pm}e^{k_\pm r_c \pi}$ . For  $e^{k_\pm r_c \pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^z)_\pm}{k_\pm} \ll 1$  the mass spectrum for the Rammond-Rammond fields is expected to be of the order of TeV scale i.e.

<span id="page-48-1"></span><span id="page-48-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_n^{\pm;Z} \simeq \frac{\pi}{32} \left( x_n^{\pm;Z} \right)^4 e^{-4k_{\pm} r_c \pi}.
$$
 (4.187)

Now using equation  $(4.187)$  and equation  $(4.185)$  we get

$$
\frac{\pi}{32} \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right)^4 e^{-4k_{\pm}r_c\pi} = \frac{\left[ \mathcal{J}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right) - x_n^{\pm;z} \mathcal{J}_1' \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right) \right]}{\left[ x_n^{\pm;z} \mathcal{Y}_1' \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right) - \mathcal{Y}_1 \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right) \right]}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_2 \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right) \simeq \frac{\pi}{32} \left( x_n^{\pm;H} \right)^4 e^{-4k_{\pm}r_c\pi} \mathcal{Y}_1' \left( x_n^{\pm;z} \right) \approx 0 \tag{4.188}
$$

which is an transcendental equation of  $x_n^{\pm;z}$  and the roots of this equation gives the gauge field mass spectrum  $(m_n^Z)_{\pm}$  in presence of perturbative Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . Now using equation [\(4.181\)](#page-47-6) the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode reduces to the following expression

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_{c}}}
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{\left\{\left[\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right)\right]^{2} - e^{-2k_{\pm}r_{c}}\left[\mathcal{J}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right) + \alpha_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{Y}_{1}\left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}e^{-k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}}.
$$
\n(4.189)

For  $e^{k \pm r_c \pi} \gg 1$ ,  $\frac{(m_n^z)_\pm}{k_\pm} \ll 1$  the integration constant  $\alpha_n^{\pm;z} \ll 1$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{Y}_1(z_n^{\pm;z})$  is neglected compared to  $\mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}})$  in equation [\(4.184\)](#page-48-2) and then the normalization constant for  $n \neq 0$  mode turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{N}_{(n)}^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}} = \frac{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}}{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c}} \mathcal{J}_1\left(x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}\right). \tag{4.190}
$$

Consequently the extra dimensional dependent wave function for  $n \neq 0$  turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\sqrt{k_{\pm}r_c} \ e^{-A_{\pm}(y)}}{e^{k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \frac{\mathcal{J}_1(z_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}})}{\mathcal{J}_1(x_n^{\pm;\mathcal{Z}})}.\tag{4.191}
$$

For massless  $n = 0$  mode the solution of the equation [\(4.182\)](#page-47-4) turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(0)} = -\frac{C_1}{2k_{\pm}r_c}e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} + C_2.
$$
\n(4.192)

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants. Now applying the boundary condition through the continuity of the wave function we get  $C_1 = 0$ . As a result the zero mode solution turns out to be  $\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(0)} = C_2$ . Now applying the normalization condition the ground state massless zero mode wave function turns out to be

$$
\chi_{\pm;\mathcal{Z}}^{(0)} = C_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2k_{\pm}r_c}{e^{4k_{\pm}r_c\pi} - 1}} \approx \sqrt{2k_{\pm}r_c}e^{-2k_{\pm}r_c\pi}.\tag{4.193}
$$

This give zero mode is heavily suppressed in the visible brane, though the warping will be reduced if one choses large Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . Moreover the zeroth mode is function of extra dimensional coordinate  $y$  appearing through the dilatonic contribution. It is interesting to note that the suppression of the zero mode on the visible brane increases with the rank of the field. This explains the reason of invisibility of these fields in our universe.

#### <span id="page-49-0"></span>5 Bulk-brane interaction in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling

In this section we elaborately discuss about the possible interaction picture between branebulk fields in the context of  $dS_5/ddS_5 \otimes S^5$  warped phenomenology and there consequences in presence of the five dimensional bulk Gauss-Bonnet coupling.

#### <span id="page-49-2"></span><span id="page-49-1"></span>5.1 Fermion interaction

#### 5.1.1 Brane standard model fields with bulk gravitons

The five dimensional action describing the interaction between bulk graviton and visible Standard Model fields dominated by fermionic contribution on the brane is given by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{SM}-\mathbf{G}} = -\frac{\mathcal{K}_{(5)}}{2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{SM}}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \delta(y - \pi)
$$
(5.1)

where  $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta}_{\mathbf{SM}}(x)$  represents the energy momentum or stress energy tensor containing all informations of Standard Model matter fields on the visible brane. In this context  $\mathcal{K}_{(5)}$  is the coupling strength describing the tensor fluctuation in the context of graviton phenomenology. After substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion for graviton degrees of freedom and rescaling the fields appropriately, the effective four dimensional action turns out to be

<span id="page-50-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{SM}-\mathbf{G}} = -\frac{\mathcal{K}_{(5)}}{2} \int d^4 x \ r_c \ e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{SM}}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \frac{\chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(y)}{\sqrt{r_c}} \delta(y-\pi)
$$

$$
= -\frac{\sqrt{r_c} \mathcal{K}_{(5)}}{2} \int d^4 x \ e^{-4A_{\pm}(\pi)} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{SM}}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(n)}(\pi)
$$

$$
= -\frac{\sqrt{k_{\pm} r_c} \mathcal{K}_{(5)}}{2} \int d^4 x \ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{SM}}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \left[ \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(x) + e^{k_{\pm} r_c \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(x) \right]. \tag{5.2}
$$

It is evident from equation [\(5.2\)](#page-50-1) that while the zero mode couples to the brane fields with usual gravitational coupling  $\sim 1/M_{PL}$  which we have taken as unity, the coupling of the KK modes are  $\sim e^{k_{\pm} r_c \pi} / M_{PL} \sim TeV^{-1}$  which is much larger than the coupling of massless graviton. Though such feature is also observed for the graviton KK modes in the usual RS model, here due to GB coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$ , the  $k_{\pm}$  will change. It may be seen from the figures that the values of  $k_{\pm}$  decrease with  $\alpha_{(5)}$  and hence the graviton KK mode couplings decrease due to GB interaction leading to the decrease in their detection signature in collider experiments unless one modifies the value of  $r_c$  to resolve the gauge hierarchy problem. Moreover equation  $(4.15)$  $(4.15)$  $(4.15)$  and figure 5 indicate that the decrease in  $k_{+}$  lead to increase in the masses for the graviton KK modes. Thus the absence of any signature of graviton KK modes, as reported by ATLAS data in dilepton decay processes, may be the result of GB coupling rather than any negative result for the warped geometry models. However in an alternative scenario if one modifies the value of  $r_c$  to obtain the desired Planck to TeV scale warping, then the KK mode graviton couplings with brane fields do not change from the RS values, but the graviton KK mode masses decrease from their counter part in RS model. In that case the non-vanishing GB coupling make the detectability of the signature of KK mode graviton through dilepton decay process more pronounced. Absence of any such signature, as reported by ATLAS collaborations, put question on the validity of GB extension in RS like warped geometry model.

#### <span id="page-50-0"></span>5.1.2 Brane fermions with bulk Kalb-Rammond field

The interaction between bulk Kalb-Rammond field with the fermions localized at visible brane is described by the following action:

<span id="page-50-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{H}} = -ig \int d^5x \, Det(\mathcal{V}) \, \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \gamma^{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}^{M} \sigma^{NL} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x,y) \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \delta^{\mu}_{M} \delta^{\nu}_{N} \delta^{\lambda}_{L} \delta(y-\pi) \tag{5.3}
$$

where  $\sigma^{NL} := \frac{i}{4} [\Gamma^N, \Gamma^L]$ . Substituting Kaluza-Klein expansion of the bulk Kalb-Rammond field in equation  $(5.3)$  we get

<span id="page-50-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{H}} = -\frac{ig}{\sqrt{r_c}} \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(\pi)} \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \mathcal{H}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) \chi^{(n)}_{\pm;\mathcal{H}}(\pi) \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) e^{-\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(\pi)} \tag{5.4}
$$

Now rescaling the fermionic fields via  $\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \to e^{-\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(\pi)}\overline{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x)$  equation [\(5.4\)](#page-50-3) takes the following form

Pure KR:

<span id="page-51-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{H}}^{Pure} = -i \int d^4x \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{M_{PL}}{g} e^{k_{\pm}r_{c}\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(0)}(x) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda_{\pi}}{g}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{0}(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}})}{x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H}}} \right] \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \tag{5.5}
$$

Topologically extended KR:

(a)Self Dual KR:

<span id="page-51-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{H}}^{\text{SD}} = -i \int d^4 x \bar{\Psi}_{\text{L},\text{R}}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{M_{PL}}{g} e^{k_{\pm} r_{c} \pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{\pm} r_{c} M_{PL}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{SD}}\right) \left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{SD}}\right)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\left\{\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{SD}}\right)^{2\nu} \left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\text{SD}}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}} \right] \Psi_{\text{L},\text{R}}(x), \tag{5.6}
$$

(b)Anti Dual KR:

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{H}}^{\mathbf{AD}} = -i \int d^4 x \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{M_{PL}}{g} e^{k_{\pm} r_{c} \pi}} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{\pm} r_{c} M_{PL}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \mathcal{J}_{\nu} \left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right) \left(x_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)^{-\nu}}{\sqrt{\left\{\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{2A_{\pm}(y)} \left(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)^{-2\nu} \left[\mathcal{J}_{\nu}\left(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathcal{H};\mathbf{AD}}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}} \right] \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x)
$$
\n(5.7)

where  $M_{PL}$  is defined earlier and  $\Lambda_{\pi} := M_{PL} e^{-k_{\pm} r_c \pi}$ . It is evident from equation [\(5.5\)](#page-51-0) that when pure Kalb-Rammond field is interacting with the fermions localized at the visible brane then the zero mode is exponentially suppressed and the excited Kaluza-Klien modes of Kalb-Rammond field are stronger as the number of mode *n* increases. The remarkable point to note here is that the massive mode coupling to fermion, as given by equations [\(5.6\)](#page-51-1), are drastically reduced compared to the corresponding case without the presence of the axionic contribution. It appears as if the large coefficient  $\Theta_0$  in the additional five dimensional topological term characterized by the axionic extra part in the action causes the Kalb-Rammond modes to decouple from all visible physics on the brane, although a tower within the kinematic reach of accelerator experiments is still around.

#### <span id="page-52-0"></span>5.1.3 Brane fermions with bulk rank-4 antisymmetric tensor field

The interaction between pure bulk rank-4 antisymmetric tensor field with the fermions localized at visible brane is described by the following action:

<span id="page-52-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{Z}} = -ig_{z} \int d^{5}x \, Det(\mathcal{V}) \, \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \gamma^{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}^{M} \sigma^{NL} \Gamma^{S} \mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu\rho\beta}(x,y) \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \delta^{\mu}_{M} \delta^{\nu}_{N} \delta^{\rho}_{L} \delta^{\beta}_{S} \delta(y-\pi),
$$
  
\n
$$
= -ig_{z} \int d^{4}x \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \, \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) e^{-\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(y)} \gamma^{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^{\beta} \mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta}(x,y) \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) e^{-\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(y)} \delta(y-\pi) \tag{5.8}
$$

Now rescaling the fermionic fields via  $\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \to e^{-\frac{3}{2}A_{\pm}(\pi)}\Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x)$  and substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the rank-4 antisymmetric tensor field in equation [\(5.8\)](#page-52-2) we get

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Psi}\Psi\mathcal{Z}} = -i \int d^4x \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^{\beta} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{M_{PL}}{\sqrt{2}g_z} e^{2k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \mathcal{Z}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta}(x) + \frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda_{\pi}}{g_z} e^{3k_{\pm}r_c\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta}(x) \right] \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x). \tag{5.9}
$$

This explicitly shows that both the zero mode and the excited mode of the Kaluza-Klien expansion of the bulk rank-4 antisymmetric tensor field are suppressed at the visible brane. But the amount of such suppression is larger for massive excited modes.

#### <span id="page-52-1"></span>5.1.4 Bulk fermions with bulk dilatons

The five dimensional action describing the interaction between the massive fermionic field  $(spin \frac{1}{2} type)$  and dilaton field can be written as

$$
S_{f-\phi} = \int d^5x \left[ Det(V) \right] e^{\theta_7 \phi(y)} \left\{ i \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \gamma^{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}^{M} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{D}}_{\mu} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \delta_{M}^{\mu} - sgn(y) m_f \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}(x,y) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}(x,y) + h.c. \right\}
$$
\n(5.10)

<span id="page-52-3"></span>where  $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_{\mu}} := \left( \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\mu}} + \Omega_{\mu} + ig_{f} A_{\mu} \right)$  represents the covariant derivative in presence  $\mathcal{U}(1)$ abelian gauge field and fermionic spin connection  $\Omega_{\mu} = \frac{1}{8}$  $\frac{1}{8}\omega_\mu^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}\left[\Gamma_{\hat{A}},\Gamma_{\hat{B}}\right]$ . Substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion for fermion and extra dimension dependent dilaton field (similar as the bulk scalar field)in the action stated in equation [\(5.10\)](#page-52-3) we get

$$
S_{f-\phi} = \int d^4x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( 1 + \theta_7 \sqrt{k_{\pm}} \right) \delta^{mn} + \frac{\theta_7}{\sqrt{r_c}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_9^{(mnr)} \right\} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \Psi_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) \right]
$$

$$
- m_n^{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(x) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(x) \right] + \frac{ig_f}{\sqrt{r_c}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( 1 + \theta_7 \sqrt{k_{\pm}} \right) \mathbf{H}_{10}^{(mnp)} \right.
$$

$$
+ \frac{\theta_7}{\sqrt{r_c}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_{11}^{(mnps)} \right\} \bar{\Psi}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(m)}(x) i \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(x) \Psi_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{L}}^{(p)}(x)
$$
(5.11)

<span id="page-53-2"></span><span id="page-53-1"></span>

$\mathbf{H}^{(000)}_{\alpha}$	${\bf H}_{10}^{(000)}$	${\bf H}_{11}^{(0000)}$	$\mathbf{H}^{(000)}_{\alpha}$	${\bf H}_{10}^{(000)}$	$\mathbf{H}_{11}^{(0000)}$
	$0.452/4.348$   $0.219/3.456$   $0.145/2.116$			$0.489/4.248$   $0.244/3.566$   $0.179/2.180$	
	a)			(b)	

Table 8. Numerical values of different heterotypic couplings for lowest lying modes of the triliear fermionic interaction with dilatonic fields for  $(a) k_-\,$  $(a) k_-\,$  branch and  $(b) k_+\,$  $(b) k_+\,$  branch.

where the trilinear and quartic interaction between dilatonic field and fermionic fields are characterized by

$$
\mathbf{H}_{9}^{(mnr)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(m)\star}(z_{m}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \chi_{\pm;\phi}^{(r)}(z_{r}^{\pm;\phi}),
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{H}_{10}^{(mnp)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(m)\star}(z_{m}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(n)}(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(p)}(z_{p}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}),
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{H}_{11}^{(mnps)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{A_{\pm}(y)} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(m)\star}(z_{m}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \hat{f}_{\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}^{(p)}(z_{n}^{\pm;\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}}) \chi_{\pm;\mathcal{A}}^{(p)}(z_{p}^{\pm;\mathcal{A}}) \chi_{\pm;\phi}^{(s)}(z_{s}^{\pm;\phi}).
$$
\n(5.12)

In table [8a](#page-53-1) and table [8b](#page-53-2) we have tabulated the numerical values of the trilinear and quartic interaction for zeroth mode.

# <span id="page-53-0"></span>5.2 Self interaction of bulk scalar field

In five dimension the m-th order self interaction for bulk scalar field (other than dilaton) is described by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\Phi\Phi} = \frac{\lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^{3m-5}} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left(\Phi(x,y)\right)^{2m} . \tag{5.13}
$$

Substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion for bulk scalar field the effective four dimensional contribution to the self interaction turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\Phi\Phi} = \frac{\lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^{3m-5}r_c^m} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x \, \left(\Phi^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2m} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \, r_c \, e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \left(\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(r)}(y)\right)^{2m}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x \, \left(\Phi^{(r)}(x)\right)^{2m} \lambda_{(4)}^{\Phi;(\mathbf{r})}
$$
\n(5.14)

where the effective four dimensional mth order self interaction coupling strength can be expressed in terms of its five dimensional counterpart as

$$
\lambda_{(4)}^{\Phi;(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^{3m-5}r_c^m} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ r_c \ e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \left(\chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(r)}(y)\right)^{2m}
$$
  
=  $2\lambda_{(5)} \left(\frac{k_{\pm}}{M_{(5)}}\right)^{m-1} \left(M_{(5)}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)^{4-2m} \int_0^1 d\Pi \ \Pi^{4m-5} \left[\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_r^{\pm;\Phi}\Pi\right)}{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}}\left(x_r^{\pm;\Phi}\right)\sqrt{1+\frac{4-\left(\nu_{\pm}^{\Phi}\right)^2}{\left(x_r^{\pm;\Phi}\right)^2}}}\right]_{(5.15)}$ 

JHEP 02 (2013) 13 JHEP02(2013)136  $(5.17)$ 

It is important to mention here that the renormalizable scalar field theory only exist in the visible brane iff  $m = 2$ . There may be other situation appears where the self interaction of the five dimensional bulk scalar field is characterized by the derivative m-th order self interaction. In the bulk the five dimensional action describing the effect of derivative self-interaction is characterized by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\Phi\Phi} = \frac{\lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^{5m-5}} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} \left( g^{AB} \overrightarrow{\partial}_A \Phi(x,y) \overrightarrow{\partial}_B \Phi(x,y) \right)^m.
$$
 (5.16)

Substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion for bulk scalar field the effective four dimensional contribution to the derivative self interaction turns out to be

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\Phi\Phi} = \frac{\lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^{5m-5}r_c^m} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x \left(\Phi^{(r)}(x)\right)^m \left(\Phi^{(s)}(x)\right)^m
$$
  
 
$$
\times \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ r_c e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \left(\overrightarrow{D}_y \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(r)}(y)\right)^m \left(\overrightarrow{D}_y \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(s)}(y)\right)^m
$$
  
 
$$
= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x \left(\Phi^{(r)}(x)\right)^m \left(\Phi^{(s)}(x)\right)^m \lambda_{(4)}^{\Phi;(\text{rs})}
$$
(5.17)

where the effective four dimensional mth order derivative self interaction coupling strength can be expressed in terms of its five dimensional counterpart as

$$
\lambda_{(4)}^{\Phi;(\mathbf{rs})} = \frac{\lambda_{(5)}}{M_{(5)}^{5m-5}r_c^m} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ r_c e^{-4A_{\pm}(y)} \left(\overrightarrow{B}_y \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(r)}(y)\right)^m \left(\overrightarrow{B}_y \chi_{\pm;\Phi}^{(s)}(y)\right)^m
$$

$$
= 2\lambda_{(5)} \left(\frac{k_{\pm}}{M_{(5)}}\right)^{3m-1} \left(M_{(5)}e^{-k_{\pm}r_c\pi}\right)^{4-2m}
$$

$$
\times \int_0^1 d\Pi \ \Pi^{2m-5} \left[\overrightarrow{B}_\Pi \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_\pm^{\Phi}}\left(x_r^{\pm;\Phi}\Pi\right)}{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_\pm^{\Phi}}\left(x_r^{\pm;\Phi}\right)}\sqrt{1+\frac{4-(\nu_\pm^{\Phi})^2}{(x_r^{\pm;\Phi})^2}}\right)\right]^m
$$

$$
\times \left[\overrightarrow{D}_\Pi \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_\pm^{\Phi}}\left(x_s^{\pm;\Phi}\Pi\right)}{\mathcal{J}_{\nu_\pm^{\Phi}}\left(x_s^{\pm;\Phi}\right)}\sqrt{1+\frac{4-(\nu_\pm^{\Phi})^2}{(x_r^{\pm;\Phi})^2}}\right)\right]^m.
$$
(5.18)

# <span id="page-54-0"></span>5.3 Bulk gravidilatonic interaction

The five dimensional action describing the interaction between two spin-2 graviton and the dilatonic field via Gauss-Bonnet perturbative coupling in the bulk is given by the following gravidilaton contribution

<span id="page-54-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\phi h} = \alpha_{(5)} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g_{(5)}} e^{\theta_8 \phi(y)} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}(x, y). \tag{5.19}
$$

Throughout this analysis we assume that the graviton field non-interacting with other field contents in the bulk. Only self-interaction and gravidilatonic interaction are allowed in the  $\sigma$ 

<span id="page-55-2"></span><span id="page-55-1"></span>

$\mathbf{X}_1^{(00)}$		$\mathbf{X}_1^{(01)} \mid \mathbf{X}_1^{(10)} \mid$	$\mathbf{X}_1^{(11)}$	$\mathbf{v}^{(00)}$	${\bf X}_1^{(01)}$	$\mathbf{X}_1^{(10)}$	$\mathbf{X}_1^{(11)}$
$0.500$   $0.367$   $0.212$   $0.189$						$0.411 \mid 0.256 \mid 0.187 \mid 0.009$	
	(a)				(b)		

**Table 9.** Numerical values of  $X_1^{(pq)}$  for lower lying modes of the nontrivial bilinear heterotypic gravidilatonic interaction for [\(a\)](#page-55-1)  $k_$  branch and [\(b\)](#page-55-2)  $k_+$  branch.

bulk. Now substituting the Kaluza-Klien expansion for graviton and dilaton equation [\(5.19\)](#page-54-1) reduces to the following form

$$
S_{\phi h} = \alpha_{(5)} \int d^4x \; \eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta^{\beta\nu} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{(p)}(x) \mathbf{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(q)}(x) \left[ \left( 1 + \theta_8 \sqrt{k_{\pm}} \right) \mathbf{X}_1^{(pq)} + \frac{\theta_8}{\sqrt{r_c}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{X}_2^{(pqr)} \right] \tag{5.20}
$$

where the gravidilatonic interactions are characterized by the following integrals:

$$
\mathbf{X}_{1}^{(pq)} := \mathbf{G}_{1}^{pq}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{2}^{(pqr)} := \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dy \ e^{-2A_{\pm}(y)} \chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(p)}(z_{p}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}) \chi_{\pm;\mathbf{G}}^{(q)}(z_{q}^{\pm;\mathbf{G}}) \chi_{\pm;\phi}^{(r)}(z_{r}^{\pm;\phi}). \tag{5.21}
$$

<span id="page-55-0"></span>The numerical values of such contributions are estimated in table [9a](#page-55-1) and table [9b.](#page-55-2)

# 6 Summary and outlook

In this article we have made a comprehensive study of string inspired warped geometry and it's phenomenological implications. Our model is a perturbation of the RS model by Gauss-Bonnet coupling in five dimension which also includes the effect of string two loop correction in the gravity sector coming from the interaction with dilatonic degrees of freedom via the CFT disk amplitudes in the bulk  $dS_5/AdS_5$  geometry. Our study centered around three distinct aspects:

- Determining the modified warp factor, the brane tensions and addressing the gauge hierarchy issue.
- Study of different bulk fields and the profile of the wave functions to examine their overlap on the visible brane as well as various KK mode masses for these bulk fields.
- Examining the interaction with the brane fields to evaluate their possible signatures.

We also compare our results with that obtained through the usual RS analysis. Our results can be summarized as follows:

• For small GB coupling the warp factor turns out to be exponential with two different branches for the bulk parameters k which we denote as  $k_{+}$ . Moreover unlike the RS scenario, in our case  $k_{\pm}$  depend on the bulk coordinate as well as the GB parameter  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . In addition a warped solution can be obtained for both anti de-Sitter and de-Sitter bulk.

- The gauge hierarchy problem can be resolved by appropriate choices of the parameters  $k_{\pm}$ ,  $r_c$  and  $\alpha_{(5)}$ . The dependence of  $k_{\pm}$  on  $\alpha_{(5)}$  has been determined which indicates that the increase in the GB coupling decreases the value of  $k_{\pm}$  leading to lesser warping between the two branes unless one takes a larger value for the modulus  $r_c$  to compensate the fall in the value of  $k_{\pm}$ . Also equation [\(3.13\)](#page-7-5) implies that increase GB coupling causes increase in the effective 4-dimensional Planck scale from the pure RS scenario. The brane tensions also increases with increase in  $\alpha_{(5)}$  and finally reaches a saturation.
- We have determined a stringent constraint on the GB coupling so that the required Planck to TeV scale hierarchy can be achieved through the modified warp factor. Most significantly for both the warping solutions the recently observed Higgs like boson at 125 GeV can be explained through our model for very small values of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling.
- We have evaluated and analyzed the zero mode and the KK mode excitations of bulk graviton along with the five dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity extension with bulk gravitino from the bulk wave function. The characteristic features of graviton mass spectrum as well as the bulk wave functions are different for the two warping solutions for all possible signatures of Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\alpha_{(5)}$  and the string loop correction in two loop level. In the limit  $\alpha_{(5)} \rightarrow 0$  the negative warping branch produces the Randall-Sundrum features. The behavior of the mass spectrum for gravitino is almost similar to that of the graviton degrees of freedom.
- We then extend our idea with bulk scalar as well as bulk gauge field by addressing both abelian and non-abelian cases including dilaton coupling. It is a important finding of our model that while the zeroth mode bulk wave function for bulk scalar field and  $\mathcal{U}(1)$  abelian gauge field are exactly same as Randall-Sundrum model, the higher excited states are significantly different. Furthermore we have numerically estimated the values of the trilinear and quartic self interaction strength up to first excited state in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling and string loop correction.
- Next we have studied the detailed features of the KK-spectrum of various higher rank antisymmetric tensor fields which are also possible candidates for bulk fields including the possible dilaton-axion couplings which has a topological field theoretic origin. The bulk wave function for all such antisymmetric tensor fields follows distinct features in presence of the two warping solutions.
- Following the similar prescription we have analyzed the behavior of bulk fermions where the profile of both left and right chiral modes are determined in presence of the GB extended gravity model in presence of dilaton and two loop conformal coupling. In this context we have estimated the trilinear interaction strength between the left/right chiral fermions and the  $U(1)$  abelian gauge fields. Phenomenologically such values are very interesting and gives new informations in the context of TeV scale physics in presence of Gauss-Bonnet coupling and string loop correction. The

behavior of left/right chiral fermions are significantly different for different warping solutions and different signatures of the couplings. It is one of the important outcome of our model that the right and left chiral fermions are localized on the bulk and visible brane respectively for the warping solution  $A_-(y)$ . This establishes that among the two solutions, the  $k_-\,$  branch is phenomenologically preferred over the  $k_+\,$  branch.

- We have explicitly shown the detailed characteristic features of various interactions among these bulk field contents by determining the numerical values of the coupling parameters. Such estimations are very very useful to understand the underlying physics of the phenomenological model of a Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet warp geometry in presence of string loop corrections and dilaton couplings.
- The profiles of different bulk fields apart from graviton are determined along with their KK mode masses. Since  $k_{\pm}$  decreases with the GB coupling therefore the warping decreases and the KK mode masses of various bulk fields increase unless one introduces a little hierarchy by taking a larger  $r_c$  to resolve the gauge hierarchy problem.
- This brings out two possible scenario: 1) Due to fall in the value of  $k_{+}$ , the warping decreases so that the requirement of Planck to TeV scale warping to resolve the gauge hierarchy problem can not be met. However the couplings of the graviton KK modes with brane fields decreases and the masses of the graviton KK mode increases which may lead to their escape from the present collider search, 2) If we change the value of  $r_c$  to maintain the required hierarchy then the coupling does not change from RS value but the KK mode masses decreases. The absence of any signature of graviton KK modes through their decay into dileptons in ATLAS search at LHC therefore would signal the invalidity of the presence of GB couplings as a correction to RS warped geometry models.

Some interesting open issues in this context of the present study can be to study the cosmological consequences of KK spectrum and detailed features of AdS/CFT correspondence for the GB coupled warped geometry model. The other possibility is to study the detailed bouncing cosmological features as well as its imprints on the Cosmic Microwave Background via cosmological perturbation using the supergravity extension of our model. A detailed report on this issue will be brought forth in future.

# Acknowledgments

SC thanks Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India for financial support through Senior Research Fellowship (Grant No. 09/093(0132)/2010). SC also thanks Supratik Pal for his support and useful suggestions.

#### References

<span id="page-57-0"></span>[1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370) 83 (1999) 3370 [[hep-ph/9905221](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9905221)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,83,3370)].

- <span id="page-58-0"></span>[2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, An Alternative to compactification, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690) 83 (1999) 4690 [[hep-th/9906064](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906064)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,83,4690)].
- <span id="page-58-1"></span>[3] Y. Cui, L. Randall and B. Shuve, *Emergent Dark Matter, Baryon and Lepton Numbers*, JHEP 08 [\(2011\) 073](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)073) [[arXiv:1106.4834](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.4834)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,1108,073)].
- [4] C. Cheung, A.L. Fitzpatrick and L. Randall, Sequestering CP-violation and GIM-Violation with Warped Extra Dimensions, JHEP  $01$  [\(2008\) 069](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/01/069) [[arXiv:0711.4421](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.4421)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0801,069)].
- [5] G. Perez and L. Randall, Natural Neutrino Masses and Mixings from Warped Geometry, JHEP 01 [\(2009\) 077](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/01/077) [[arXiv:0805.4652](http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.4652)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0901,077)].
- [6] A.L. Fitzpatrick, G. Perez and L. Randall, Flavor anarchy in a Randall-Sundrum model with 5D minimal flavor violation and a low Kaluza-Klein scale, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.171604) 100 (2008) 171604 [[arXiv:0710.1869](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.1869)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,100,171604)].
- [7] B. Lillie, L. Randall and L.-T. Wang, The Bulk RS KK-gluon at the LHC, JHEP 09 [\(2007\) 074](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/074) [[hep-ph/0701166](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0701166)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0709,074)].
- [8] A.L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, L. Randall and L.-T. Wang, Searching for the Kaluza-Klein Graviton in Bulk RS Models, JHEP 09 [\(2007\) 013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/013) [[hep-ph/0701150](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0701150)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0709,013)].
- [9] L. Randall, Y. Shadmi and N. Weiner, *Deconstruction and gauge theories in AdS* $_5$ , JHEP 01 [\(2003\) 055](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/01/055) [[hep-th/0208120](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0208120)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0301,055)].
- [10] L. Randall and M.D. Schwartz, Unification and the hierarchy from  $AdS_5$ , [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.081801) 88 (2002) 081801 [[hep-th/0108115](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0108115)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,88,081801)].
- [11] L. Randall and M.D. Schwartz, *Quantum field theory and unification in AdS<sub>5</sub>*, JHEP 11 [\(2001\) 003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/11/003) [[hep-th/0108114](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0108114)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0111,003)].
- [12] T.G. Rizzo, Testing the nature of Kaluza-Klein excitations at future lepton colliders, Phys. Rev. **D 61** [\(2000\) 055005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.055005) [[hep-ph/9909232](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9909232)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D61,055005)].
- [13] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Phenomenology of the Randall-Sundrum Gauge Hierarchy Model, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2080) 84 (2000) 2080 [[hep-ph/9909255](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9909255)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,84,2080)].
- [14] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Bulk gauge fields in the Randall-Sundrum model, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01430-6) **B 473** (2000) 43 [[hep-ph/9911262](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9911262)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B473,43)].
- [15] T.G. Rizzo, Randall-Sundrum phenomenology at linear colliders, [hep-ph/0011139](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0011139) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0011139)].
- [16] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Brane localized kinetic terms in the Randall-Sundrum model, Phys. Rev.  $D$  68 [\(2003\) 045002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.045002) [[hep-ph/0212279](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0212279)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D68,045002)].
- [17] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, *Phenomenology on a slice of AdS*<sub>5</sub>  $\times$  M<sup>δ</sup> space-time, JHEP 04 [\(2003\) 001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/04/001) [[hep-ph/0211377](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0211377)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0304,001)].
- [18] T.G. Rizzo, Radion couplings to bulk fields in the Randall-Sundrum model, JHEP 06 [\(2002\) 056](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/06/056) [[hep-ph/0205242](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0205242)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0206,056)].
- [19] J. Hewett, F. Petriello and T. Rizzo, Precision measurements and fermion geography in the Randall-Sundrum model revisited, JHEP 09 [\(2002\) 030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/09/030) [[hep-ph/0203091](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0203091)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0209,030)].
- [20] J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Shifts in the properties of the Higgs boson from radion mixing, JHEP 08 [\(2003\) 028](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/08/028) [[hep-ph/0202155](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0202155)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0308,028)].
- [21] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Brane localized curvature for warped gravitons, JHEP 08 [\(2003\) 034](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/08/034) [[hep-ph/0305086](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0305086)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0308,034)].
- [22] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett, B. Lillie and T. Rizzo, Higgsless electroweak symmetry breaking in warped backgrounds: Constraints and signatures, Phys. Rev.  $\bf{D}$  70 [\(2004\) 015006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.015006) [[hep-ph/0312193](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0312193)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D70,015006)].
- [23] H. Davoudiasl, J. Hewett, B. Lillie and T. Rizzo, Warped Higgsless models with IR brane kinetic terms, JHEP  $05$  [\(2004\) 015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/015) [[hep-ph/0403300](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0403300)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0405,015)].
- [24] J. Hewett, B. Lillie and T. Rizzo, Monte Carlo exploration of warped Higgsless models, JHEP 10 [\(2004\) 014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/10/014) [[hep-ph/0407059](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0407059)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0410,014)].
- [25] T.G. Rizzo, Warped phenomenology of higher-derivative gravity, JHEP 01 [\(2005\) 028](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/01/028) [[hep-ph/0412087](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0412087)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0501,028)].
- [26] H. Davoudiasl, B. Lillie and T.G. Rizzo, Off-the-wall Higgs in the universal Randall-Sundrum model, JHEP 08 [\(2006\) 042](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/042) [[hep-ph/0508279](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0508279)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0608,042)].
- [27] H. Davoudiasl, B. Lillie and T. Rizzo, Probing the universal Randall-Sundrum model at the  $ILC$ , [hep-ph/0509160](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0509160)  $[NSPIRE]$  $[NSPIRE]$  $[NSPIRE]$ .
- [28] T.G. Rizzo, TeV-scale black holes in warped higher-curvature gravity, [hep-ph/0510420](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0510420) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0510420)].
- [29] T.G. Rizzo, Black Hole Production at the LHC by Standard Model Bulk Fields in the Randall-Sundrum Model, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.01.045) B 647 (2007) 43 [[hep-ph/0611224](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0611224)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B647,43)].
- [30] H. Davoudiasl, T.G. Rizzo and A. Soni, On direct verification of warped hierarchy-and-flavor models, Phys. Rev. D 77 [\(2008\) 036001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.036001)  $arXiv:0710.2078$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D77,036001)].
- [31] H. Davoudiasl and T.G. Rizzo, New Dimensions for Randall-Sundrum Phenomenology, JHEP 11 [\(2008\) 013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/013) [[arXiv:0809.4440](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.4440)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0811,013)].
- [32] X. Calmet, P. de Aquino and T.G. Rizzo, Massless versus Kaluza-Klein Gravitons at the LHC, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.11.045) B 682 (2010) 446 [[arXiv:0910.1535](http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.1535)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B682,446)].
- [33] T.G. Rizzo, Lorentz Violation in Warped Extra Dimensions, JHEP 11 [\(2010\) 156](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2010)156) [[arXiv:1008.0380](http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.0380)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,1011,156)].
- [34] H. Davoudiasl, S. Gopalakrishna, E. Ponton and J. Santiago, Warped 5-Dimensional Models: Phenomenological Status and Experimental Prospects, New J. Phys. 12 [\(2010\) 075011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/7/075011) [[arXiv:0908.1968](http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1968)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0908.1968)].
- [35] H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez and A. Soni, The Little Randall-Sundrum Model at the Large Hadron Collider, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.05.024)  $\bf{B}$  665 (2008) 67  $\arxiv:0802.0203$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B665,67)].
- [36] K. Agashe et al., LHC Signals for Warped Electroweak Neutral Gauge Bosons, Phys. Rev. D 76 [\(2007\) 115015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.115015) [[arXiv:0709.0007](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.0007)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D76,115015)].
- [37] K. Agashe, H. Davoudiasl, G. Perez and A. Soni, Warped Gravitons at the LHC and Beyond, Phys. Rev. D 76 [\(2007\) 036006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.036006) [[hep-ph/0701186](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0701186)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D76,036006)].
- [38] D.J. Chung, L.L. Everett and H. Davoudiasl, Experimental probes of the Randall-Sundrum infinite extra dimension, Phys. Rev.  $\bf{D} 64$  [\(2001\) 065002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.065002) [[hep-ph/0010103](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0010103)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D64,065002)].
- [39] S. Choudhury and S. Pal, Reheating and leptogenesis in a SUGRA inspired brane inflation, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.12.006) B 857 (2012) 85 [[arXiv:1108.5676](http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5676)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B857,85)].
- [40] W.D. Goldberger and M.B. Wise, Bulk fields in the Randall-Sundrum compactification scenario, *Phys. Rev.* **D 60** [\(1999\) 107505](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.107505) [[hep-ph/9907218](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9907218)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D60,107505)].
- [41] W.D. Goldberger and M.B. Wise, *Modulus stabilization with bulk fields*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4922) 83 (1999) 4922 [[hep-ph/9907447](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9907447)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,83,4922)].
- [42] W.D. Goldberger and M.B. Wise, *Phenomenology of a stabilized modulus*, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00099-X) **B 475** (2000) 275 [[hep-ph/9911457](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9911457)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B475,275)].
- [43] S.J. Huber and Q. Shafi, Seesaw mechanism in warped geometry, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.12.012) B 583 (2004) 293 [[hep-ph/0309252](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0309252)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B583,293)].
- [44] R. Hundi, S. Roy and S. SenGupta, Muon (g-2) from the bulk neutrino field in a warped extra dimensional model, *Phys. Rev.* **D 86** [\(2012\) 036014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.036014) [[arXiv:1206.5137](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.5137)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D86,036014)].
- [45] P. Dey, B. Mukhopadhyaya and S. SenGupta, Bulk Higgs field in a Randall-Sundrum model with nonvanishing brane cosmological constant, Phys. Rev.  $\bf{D} 81$  [\(2010\) 036011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.036011) [[arXiv:0911.3761](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3761)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D81,036011)].
- [46] S. SenGupta, Fermion mass splitting, stability and naturalness problems in warped braneworld models, [AIP Conf. Proc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2803810) 939 (2007) 236 [[arXiv:0711.0805](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0805)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+AIP.Conf.Proc.,939,236)].
- [47] S. Das, A. Dey and S. SenGupta, Stability and hierarchy problems in string inspired braneworld scenarios, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/83/51002) 83 (2008) 51002  $\left[$ [arXiv:0704.3119](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.3119) $\right]$   $\left[$ IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0704.3119) $\right]$ .
- [48] A. Dey, D. Maity and S. SenGupta, A Critical Analysis of Goldberger-Wise Stabilization in Randall-Sundrum Scenario, Phys. Rev. D 75 [\(2007\) 107901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.107901) [[hep-th/0611262](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611262)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D75,107901)].
- [49] D. Maity, S. SenGupta and S. Sur, Stability analysis of the Randall-Sundrum braneworld in presence of bulk scalar, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.11.014)  $\bf{B}$  643 (2006) 348 [[hep-th/0604195](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0604195)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B643,348)].
- [50] S. SenGupta, Aspects of warped braneworld models, [arXiv:0812.1092](http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.1092) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0812.1092)].
- <span id="page-60-0"></span>[51] C. Csáki, Y. Grossman, P. Tanedo and Y. Tsai, Warped penguin diagrams, Phys. Rev. D 83 [\(2011\) 073002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.073002) [[arXiv:1004.2037](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.2037)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D83,073002)].
- <span id="page-60-1"></span>[52] C. Csáki, M. Graesser, L. Randall and J. Terning, *Cosmology of brane models with radion* stabilization, Phys. Rev. D  $62$  [\(2000\) 045015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.045015) [[hep-ph/9911406](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9911406)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D62,045015)].
- [53] A. Karch and L. Randall, *Locally localized gravity, JHEP* 05 [\(2001\) 008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/008) [[hep-th/0011156](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0011156)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0105,008)].
- [54] S. Choudhury and S. Pal, *Brane inflation in background supergravity*, Phys. Rev. D 85 [\(2012\) 043529](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.043529) [[arXiv:1102.4206](http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.4206)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D85,043529)].
- [55] S. Choudhury and S. Pal, *Brane inflation: A field theory approach in background* supergravity, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/405/1/012009) 405 (2012) 012009 [[arXiv:1209.5883](http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.5883)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1209.5883)].
- <span id="page-60-2"></span>[56] S. Choudhury and S. Pal, *DBI Galileon inflation in background SUGRA*,  $arXiv:1208.4433$ [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1208.4433)].
- [57] S.J. Huber and Q. Shafi, *Cosmological constant, gauge hierarchy and warped geometry*, Phys. Rev. D 68 [\(2003\) 023503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.023503) [[hep-ph/0207232](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0207232)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D68,023503)].
- [58] Q. Shafi, A. Sil and S.-P. Ng, Hybrid inflation, dark energy and dark matter, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.06.024) **B 620** (2005) 105 [[hep-ph/0502254](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0502254)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B620,105)].
- [59] B. Kyae and Q. Shafi, Grand unified inflation confronts WMAP, JHEP 11 [\(2003\) 036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/11/036) [[astro-ph/0302504](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0302504)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0311,036)].
- [60] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, Brane-World Gravity, Living Rev. Rel. 13 (2010) 5 [[arXiv:1004.3962](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.3962)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1004.3962)].
- [61] G.N. Felder, *Warped geometry of brane worlds, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X02013344)* **A 17** (2002) 4297 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Int.J.Mod.Phys.,A17,4297)].
- [62] P. Dey, B. Mukhopadhyaya and S. SenGupta, Neutrino masses, the cosmological constant and a stable universe in a Randall-Sundrum scenario, Phys. Rev. D 80 [\(2009\) 055029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.055029) [[arXiv:0904.1970](http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1970)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D80,055029)].
- [63] S. Das, D. Maity and S. SenGupta, Cosmological constant, brane tension and large hierarchy in a generalized Randall-Sundrum braneworld scenario, JHEP 05 [\(2008\) 042](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/05/042) [[arXiv:0711.1744](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.1744)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0805,042)].
- [64] S. Lahiri and S. SenGupta, On the origin of brane cosmological constant in two-brane warped geometry model,  $arXiv:1204.0886$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1204.0886)].
- [65] G.N. Felder, A.V. Frolov and L. Kofman, Warped geometry of brane worlds, [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/11/315) 19 (2002) 2983 [[hep-th/0112165](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0112165)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Class.Quant.Grav.,19,2983)].
- [66] C. Csáki, J. Erlich and C. Grojean, *Gravitational Lorentz violations and adjustment of the* cosmological constant in asymmetrically warped space-times, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00175-4)  $\bf{B}$  604 (2001) 312 [[hep-th/0012143](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0012143)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B604,312)].
- [67] J.M. Cline, J. Descheneau, M. Giovannini and J. Vinet, Cosmology of codimension two brane worlds, JHEP  $06$  [\(2003\) 048](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/06/048) [[hep-th/0304147](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0304147)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0306,048)].
- <span id="page-61-0"></span>[68] V.D. Barger, T. Han, T. Li, J.D. Lykken and D. Marfatia, Cosmology and hierarchy in stabilized warped brane models, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00877-7) B 488 (2000) 97 [[hep-ph/0006275](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0006275)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B488,97)].
- <span id="page-61-1"></span>[69] Large Hadron Collider collaboration, [http://lhc.web.cern.ch/lhc/.](http://lhc.web.cern.ch/lhc/)
- <span id="page-61-2"></span>[70] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, Does a Randall-Sundrum scenario create the illusion of a torsion free universe?, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.121101) 89 (2002) 121101 [Erratum ibid. 89 (2002) 259902] [[hep-th/0204242](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0204242)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,89,121101)].
- [71] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, Bulk torsion fields in theories with large extra dimensions, *Phys. Rev.* **D 65** [\(2002\) 124021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.124021) [[hep-ph/0110308](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0110308)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D65,124021)].
- <span id="page-61-7"></span>[72] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, Bulk antisymmetric tensor fields in a Randall-Sundrum model, Phys. Rev.  $D$  76 [\(2007\) 121501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.121501) [[arXiv:0709.3428](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3428)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D76,121501)].
- <span id="page-61-3"></span>[73] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, A Randall-Sundrum scenario with bulk dilaton and torsion, Phys. Rev. D 79 [\(2009\) 124029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124029)  $\left[$ [arXiv:0903.0722](http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.0722) $\right]$   $\left[$ In[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D79,124029) $\right]$ .
- <span id="page-61-4"></span>[74] R. Koley, J. Mitra and S. SenGupta, Modulus stabilization of generalized Randall Sundrum model with bulk scalar field, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/85/41001) 85 (2009) 41001 [[arXiv:0809.4102](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.4102)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0809.4102)].
- [75] J. Mitra and S. SenGupta, Kaluza-Klein modes of bulk fields in a generalized Randall-Sundrum scenario, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.11.054) B  $683$  (2010) 42  $\arxiv:0905.3984$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B683,42)].
- <span id="page-61-5"></span>[76] A. Das and S. SenGupta, Antisymmetric tensor fields in a generalized Randall-Sundrum scenario, *[Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.03.018)* **B 698** (2011) 311 [[arXiv:1010.2076](http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.2076)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B698,311)].
- <span id="page-61-6"></span>[77] A. Das and S. SenGupta, Gauge and moduli hierarchy in a multiply warped braneworld scenario, *Phys. Lett.* **B 718** [\(2013\) 1566](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.056) [[arXiv:1204.1154](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1154)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1204.1154)].
- [78] R. Hundi and S. SenGupta, Fermion mass hierarchy in a multiple warped braneworld model, [arXiv:1111.1106](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1106) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1111.1106)].
- [79] N. Banerjee, S. Lahiri and S. SenGupta, *Cosmology and large mass hierarchy in multiply* warped braneworld scenario,  $arXiv:1106.1735$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1106.1735)].
- [80] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, Matter-Gravity Interaction in a Multiply Warped Braneworld., J. Phys.  $G$  40 [\(2013\) 015004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/40/1/015004)  $\left[$ [arXiv:1106.1027](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.1027) $\right]$   $\left[$ IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1106.1027).
- [81] A. Das, R. Hundi and S. SenGupta, Bulk Higgs and Gauge fields in a multiply warped braneworld model, Phys. Rev. D 83 [\(2011\) 116003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.116003) [[arXiv:1105.1064](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1064)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D83,116003)].
- [82] R. Koley, J. Mitra and S. SenGupta, Scalar Kaluza-Klein modes in a multiply warped braneworld, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/91/31001)  $91$  (2010) 31001  $\text{arXiv:1001.2666}$  $\text{arXiv:1001.2666}$  $\text{arXiv:1001.2666}$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1001.2666)].
- [83] R. Koley, J. Mitra and S. SenGupta, Chiral fermions in a spacetime with multiple warping, Phys. Rev. **D 78** [\(2008\) 045005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.045005) [[arXiv:0804.1019](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1019)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D78,045005)].
- <span id="page-62-0"></span>[84] D. Choudhury and S. SenGupta, Living on the edge in a spacetime with multiple warping, Phys. Rev. **D 76** [\(2007\) 064030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.064030) [[hep-th/0612246](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612246)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D76,064030)].
- <span id="page-62-1"></span>[85] D. Baumann, A. Dymarsky, I.R. Klebanov, J.M. Maldacena, L.P. McAllister and A. Murugan, On D3-brane Potentials in Compactifications with Fluxes and Wrapped D-branes, JHEP 11 [\(2006\) 031](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/11/031) [[hep-th/0607050](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0607050)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0611,031)].
- <span id="page-62-2"></span>[86] T. Gherghetta, TASI Lectures on a Holographic View of Beyond the Standard Model Physics,  $arXiv:1008.2570$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1008.2570)].
- [87] R. Rattazzi and A. Zaffaroni, Comments on the holographic picture of the Randall-Sundrum model, JHEP 04 [\(2001\) 021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/04/021) [[hep-th/0012248](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0012248)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0104,021)].
- [88] M.A. Luty and R. Sundrum, Supersymmetry breaking and composite extra dimensions, Phys. Rev. **D 65** [\(2002\) 066004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.066004) [[hep-th/0105137](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105137)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D65,066004)].
- [89] L. Anchordoqui, J.D. Edelstein, C. Núñez, S.E. Perez Bergliaffa, M. Schvellinger, et al., Brane worlds, string cosmology and AdS/CFT, Phys. Rev. D 64 [\(2001\) 084027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.084027) [[hep-th/0106127](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0106127)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D64,084027)].
- [90] K. Agashe and A. Delgado, A Note on CFT dual of RS model with gauge fields in bulk, Phys. Rev. **D 67** [\(2003\) 046003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.046003) [[hep-th/0209212](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0209212)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D67,046003)].
- [91] S. Hawking, T. Hertog and H. Reall, Brane new world, Phys. Rev. D 62 [\(2000\) 043501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.043501) [[hep-th/0003052](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0003052)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D62,043501)].
- [92] H. Boschi-Filho, N.R. Braga and C.N. Ferreira, Static strings in Randall-Sundrum scenarios and the quark anti-quark potential, Phys. Rev. D 73 [\(2006\) 106006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.089903) [Erratum ibid. D 74 (2006) 089903] [[hep-th/0512295](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0512295)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D73,106006)].
- [93] N. Deger and A. Kaya, AdS/CFT and Randall-Sundrum model without a brane, JHEP 05 [\(2001\) 030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/030) [[hep-th/0010141](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0010141)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0105,030)].
- <span id="page-62-3"></span>[94] M.A. Luty and T. Okui, Conformal technicolor, JHEP 09 [\(2006\) 070](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/09/070) [[hep-ph/0409274](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0409274)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0609,070)].
- <span id="page-62-4"></span>[95] M. Giovannini, Static dilaton solutions and singularities in six-dimensional warped compactification with higher derivatives, Phys. Rev.  $\bf{D} 63$  [\(2001\) 064011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.064011) [[hep-th/0011153](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0011153)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D63,064011)].
- [96] A. Karch and L. Randall, Localized gravity in string theory, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.061601) 87 (2001) 061601 [[hep-th/0105108](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105108)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,87,061601)].
- [97] I.P. Neupane, Warped compactification on curved manifolds, [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/12/125015) 28 (2011) 125015 [[arXiv:1006.4495](http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4495)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1006.4495)].
- [98] N.E. Mavromatos and J. Rizos, Exact solutions and the cosmological constant problem in dilatonic domain wall higher curvature string gravity, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X03013582)  $\bf{A}$  18 (2003) 57 [[hep-th/0205299](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0205299)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Int.J.Mod.Phys.,A18,57)].
- [99] G. Dotti and R.J. Gleiser, Obstructions on the horizon geometry from string theory corrections to Einstein gravity, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.08.110) **B 627** (2005) 174  $[hep-th/0508118]$  $[hep-th/0508118]$  $[hep-th/0508118]$   $[insPIRE]$ .
- <span id="page-63-0"></span>[100] S. Choudhury and S. Pal, Large non-Gaussianities from DBI Galileon and resolution of sensitivity problem,  $arXiv:1210.4478$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1210.4478)].
- <span id="page-63-1"></span>[101] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, Gravity in the brane world, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2778) 84 (2000) 2778 [[hep-th/9911055](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9911055)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,84,2778)].
- <span id="page-63-7"></span>[102] M. Gasperini, Elements of String Cosmology, Cambridge University Press, New York, U.S.A. (2007).
- [103] A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar and T. Padmanabhan, Thermodynamic route to field equations in Lancos-Lovelock gravity, Phys. Rev.  $D$  74 [\(2006\) 104015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.104015) [[hep-th/0607240](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0607240)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D74,104015)].
- [104] S.M. Carroll, A. De Felice, V. Duvvuri, D.A. Easson, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, The Cosmology of generalized modified gravity models, Phys. Rev. D 71 [\(2005\) 063513](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.063513) [[astro-ph/0410031](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0410031)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D71,063513)].
- [105] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, One-loop f(R) gravity in de Sitter universe, JCAP 02 [\(2005\) 010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/02/010) [[hep-th/0501096](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0501096)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JCAP,0502,010)].
- <span id="page-63-2"></span>[106] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni,  $f(R)$  Theories Of Gravity, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.451) 82 (2010) 451 [[arXiv:0805.1726](http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.1726)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Rev.Mod.Phys.,82,451)].
- <span id="page-63-3"></span>[107] D.G. Boulware and S. Deser, *String Generated Gravity Models*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2656) **55** (1985) 2656 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.Lett.,55,2656)].
- <span id="page-63-4"></span>[108] J.E. Lidsey and N.J. Nunes, Inflation in Gauss-Bonnet brane cosmology, Phys. Rev. D 67 [\(2003\) 103510](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.103510) [[astro-ph/0303168](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0303168)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D67,103510)].
- [109] B.M. Carter and I.P. Neupane, Towards inflation and dark energy cosmologies from modified Gauss-Bonnet theory, JCAP 06 [\(2006\) 004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2006/06/004) [[hep-th/0512262](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0512262)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JCAP,0606,004)].
- [110] J.-F. Dufaux, J.E. Lidsey, R. Maartens and M. Sami, Cosmological perturbations from brane inflation with a Gauss-Bonnet term, Phys. Rev.  $D$  70 [\(2004\) 083525](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.083525) [[hep-th/0404161](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0404161)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D70,083525)].
- <span id="page-63-5"></span>[111] S. Tsujikawa, M. Sami and R. Maartens, Observational constraints on braneworld inflation: The Effect of a Gauss-Bonnet term, Phys. Rev. D 70 [\(2004\) 063525](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.063525) [[astro-ph/0406078](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0406078)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D70,063525)].
- <span id="page-63-6"></span>[112] J.T. Wheeler, Symmetric Solutions to the Gauss-Bonnet Extended Einstein Equations, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90268-3) B 268 (1986) 737 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B268,737)].
- [113] T. Torii, H. Yajima and K.-i. Maeda, Dilatonic black holes with Gauss-Bonnet term, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.739) D 55 (1997) 739 [[gr-qc/9606034](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9606034)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D55,739)].
- [114] B.M. Leith and I.P. Neupane, Gauss-Bonnet cosmologies: Crossing the phantom divide and the transition from matter dominance to dark energy, JCAP 05 [\(2007\) 019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/05/019) [[hep-th/0702002](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0702002)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JCAP,0705,019)].
- [115] K.-i. Maeda and T. Torii, Covariant gravitational equations on brane world with Gauss-Bonnet term, Phys. Rev. D 69 [\(2004\) 024002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.024002) [[hep-th/0309152](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0309152)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D69,024002)].
- [116] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and P.V. Tretyakov, Dark energy from modified  $F(R)$ -scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.06.029) **B** 651 (2007) 224  $[\text{arXiv:0704}.2520]$ [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B651,224)].
- <span id="page-64-0"></span>[117] P. Kanti, J. Rizos and K. Tamvakis, Singularity free cosmological solutions in quadratic gravity, Phys. Rev. D 59 [\(1999\) 083512](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.083512) [[gr-qc/9806085](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9806085)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D59,083512)].
- <span id="page-64-1"></span>[118] G. Dotti and R.J. Gleiser, *Gravitational instability of Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes* under tensor mode perturbations, [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/22/1/L01)  $22$  (2005) L1 [[gr-qc/0409005](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0409005)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Class.Quant.Grav.,22,L1)].
- [119] G. Dotti and R.J. Gleiser, Linear stability of Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet static spacetimes. Part I. Tensor perturbations, Phys. Rev. D 72 [\(2005\) 044018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.044018)  $\left[\text{gr-qc/0503117}\right]$  $\left[\text{gr-qc/0503117}\right]$  $\left[\text{gr-qc/0503117}\right]$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D72,044018)].
- [120] M. Gasperini, Tensor perturbations in high curvature string backgrounds, Phys. Rev. **D 56** [\(1997\) 4815](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.4815) [[gr-qc/9704045](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9704045)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D56,4815)].
- [121] Y. Zhong, Y.-X. Liu and K. Yang, *Tensor perturbations of*  $f(R)$ -branes, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.04.037) **B 699** (2011) 398 [[arXiv:1010.3478](http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.3478)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B699,398)].
- <span id="page-64-2"></span>[122] R.G. Daghigh, G. Kunstatter and J. Ziprick, The Mystery of the asymptotic quasinormal modes of Gauss-Bonnet black holes, [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/8/005) 24 (2007) 1981 [[gr-qc/0611139](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0611139)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Class.Quant.Grav.,24,1981)].
- <span id="page-64-3"></span>[123] WMAP collaboration, D. Spergel et al., Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) three year results: implications for cosmology, [Astrophys. J. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/513700) 170 (2007) 377 [[astro-ph/0603449](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0603449)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Astrophys.J.Suppl.,170,377)].
- <span id="page-64-4"></span>[124] PLANCK collaboration, P. Ade et al., *Planck Early Results. I. The Planck mission*, [Astron. Astrophys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116464) 536 (2011) 16464 [[arXiv:1101.2022](http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.2022)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1101.2022)].
- <span id="page-64-5"></span>[125] K. Konya, Graviton emission from a Gauss-Bonnet brane, Phys. Rev. D 75 [\(2007\) 104003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.104003) [[hep-th/0701257](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0701257)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D75,104003)].
- [126] R. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Long life of Gauss-Bonnet corrected black holes, Phys. Rev. D 82 [\(2010\) 084003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.084003) [[arXiv:1004.3772](http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.3772)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D82,084003)].
- [127] D.G. Boulware and S. Deser, *Effective gravity theories with dilatons*, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(86)90614-3) **B 175** (1986) 409 [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B175,409)].
- [128] M.K. Parikh, Enhanced Instability of de Sitter Space in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity, Phys. Rev. **D 84** [\(2011\) 044048](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.044048) [[arXiv:0909.3307](http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.3307)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D84,044048)].
- [129] T. Kobayashi, A Vaidya-type radiating solution in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity and its application to braneworld, [Gen. Rel. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-005-0192-y) 37 (2005) 1869  $\left[ \text{gr-qc/0504027}\right]$  $\left[ \text{gr-qc/0504027}\right]$  $\left[ \text{gr-qc/0504027}\right]$  [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+GeneralRelativityGravitation,37,1869)].
- [130] A. Barrau, J. Grain and S. Alexeyev, *Gauss-Bonnet black holes at the LHC: Beyond the* dimensionality of space, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.019)  $\bf{B}$  584 (2004) 114 [[hep-ph/0311238](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0311238)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+Phys.Lett,B584,114)].
- [131] R. Maartens, Brane world cosmological perturbations: A Covariant approach, [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.148.213) 148 (2003) 213 [[gr-qc/0304089](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0304089)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.,148,213)].
- <span id="page-64-6"></span>[132] M. Maggiore, *Massive string modes and nonsingular pre - Big Bang cosmology*, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00362-9) **B 525** (1998) 413 [[gr-qc/9709004](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9709004)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B525,413)].
- <span id="page-64-7"></span>[133] M. Cvetič, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Black hole thermodynamics and negative entropy in* de Sitter and anti-de Sitter Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00075-5) B 628 (2002) 295 [[hep-th/0112045](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0112045)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B628,295)].
- [134] R. Konoplya, Quasinormal modes of the charged black hole in Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Phys. Rev. D 71 [\(2005\) 024038](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.024038) [[hep-th/0410057](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0410057)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D71,024038)].
- [135] M. Beroiz, G. Dotti and R.J. Gleiser, *Gravitational instability of static spherically* symmetric Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes in five and six dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 76 [\(2007\) 024012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.024012) [[hep-th/0703074](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0703074)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D76,024012)].
- [136] D. Anninos and G. Pastras, Thermodynamics of the Maxwell-Gauss-Bonnet anti-de Sitter Black Hole with Higher Derivative Gauge Corrections, JHEP 07 [\(2009\) 030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/07/030) [[arXiv:0807.3478](http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3478)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0907,030)].
- [137] R.-G. Cai, A Note on thermodynamics of black holes in Lovelock gravity, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.015) **B 582** (2004) 237 [[hep-th/0311240](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0311240)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B582,237)].
- [138] R. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, (In)stability of D-dimensional black holes in Gauss-Bonnet theory, Phys. Rev. D 77 [\(2008\) 104004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.104004) [[arXiv:0802.0267](http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0267)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D77,104004)].
- [139] H.-C. Kim and R.-G. Cai, Slowly Rotating Charged Gauss-Bonnet Black holes in AdS Spaces, Phys. Rev. **D 77** [\(2008\) 024045](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.024045) [[arXiv:0711.0885](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0885)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D77,024045)].
- <span id="page-65-0"></span>[140] D.L. Wiltshire, Black holes in string generated gravity models, Phys. Rev. D 38 [\(1988\) 2445](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.38.2445) [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D38,2445)].
- <span id="page-65-1"></span>[141] A. Sen, Entropy function for heterotic black holes, JHEP 03 [\(2006\) 008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/03/008) [[hep-th/0508042](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0508042)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0603,008)].
- [142] R.-G. Cai and Q. Guo, *Gauss-Bonnet black holes in dS spaces*, Phys. Rev. D 69 [\(2004\) 104025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.104025) [[hep-th/0311020](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0311020)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D69,104025)].
- [143] R.-G. Cai, Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces, Phys. Rev. D 65 [\(2002\) 084014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.084014) [[hep-th/0109133](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0109133)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D65,084014)].
- [144] B. Sahoo and A. Sen,  $\alpha'$ -corrections to extremal dyonic black holes in heterotic string theory, JHEP 01 [\(2007\) 010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/010) [[hep-th/0608182](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0608182)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0701,010)].
- [145] M. Alishahiha and H. Ebrahim, New attractor, entropy function and black hole partition function, JHEP 11  $(2006)$  017 [[hep-th/0605279](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605279)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0611,017)].
- [146] A. Sen, Stretching the horizon of a higher dimensional small black hole, JHEP 07 [\(2005\) 073](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/07/073) [[hep-th/0505122](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0505122)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0507,073)].
- [147] J.E. Lidsey, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, Brane world cosmology in (anti)-de Sitter Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet-Maxwell gravity, JHEP 06 [\(2002\) 026](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/06/026) [[hep-th/0202198](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0202198)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,0206,026)].
- [148] F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, Renormalization of black hole entropy and of the gravitational coupling constant, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00548-X) B 458 (1996) 249 [[hep-th/9506066](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9506066)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B458,249)].
- [149] K.-i. Maeda, N. Ohta and Y. Sasagawa, Black Hole Solutions in String Theory with Gauss-Bonnet Curvature Correction, Phys. Rev. D 80 [\(2009\) 104032](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.104032) [[arXiv:0908.4151](http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.4151)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D80,104032)].
- <span id="page-65-2"></span>[150] T. Clunan, S.F. Ross and D.J. Smith, On Gauss-Bonnet black hole entropy, [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/14/009) 21 (2004) 3447  $|gr-qc/0402044|$  $|gr-qc/0402044|$  $|gr-qc/0402044|$   $[$ IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Class.Quant.Grav.,21,3447)].
- <span id="page-65-3"></span>[151] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Dark energy in modified Gauss-Bonnet gravity: Late-time acceleration and the hierarchy problem, Phys. Rev. D 73 [\(2006\) 084007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.084007) [[hep-th/0601008](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0601008)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D73,084007)].
- [152] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, Modified Gauss-Bonnet theory as gravitational alternative for dark energy, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.10.010) **B** 631 (2005) 1 [[hep-th/0508049](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0508049)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B631,1)].
- [153] L. Amendola, C. Charmousis and S.C. Davis, Constraints on Gauss-Bonnet gravity in dark energy cosmologies,  $JCAP$  12 [\(2006\) 020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2006/12/020) [[hep-th/0506137](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0506137)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JCAP,0612,020)].
- [154] H. Maeda and M. Nozawa, Generalized Misner-Sharp quasi-local mass in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Phys. Rev. D 77 [\(2008\) 064031](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.064031) [[arXiv:0709.1199](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1199)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D77,064031)].
- [155] T. Koivisto and D.F. Mota, Gauss-Bonnet Quintessence: Background Evolution, Large Scale Structure and Cosmological Constraints, Phys. Rev. D 75 [\(2007\) 023518](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.023518) [[hep-th/0609155](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609155)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D75,023518)].
- [156] M. Cvitan, S. Pallua and P. Prester, Entropy of Killing horizons from Virasoro algebra in D-dimensional extended Gauss-Bonnet gravity, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00082-0) B 555 (2003) 248 [[hep-th/0212029](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0212029)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B555,248)].
- [157] M. Cvitan, S. Pallua and P. Prester, Horizon conformal entropy in Gauss-Bonnet gravity, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02665-5) **B 546** (2002) 119 [[hep-th/0207265](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0207265)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B546,119)].
- [158] Q. Pan and B. Wang, General holographic superconductor models with Gauss-Bonnet corrections, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.017) B 693 (2010) 159 [[arXiv:1005.4743](http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4743)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B693,159)].
- [159] G. Calcagni, B. de Carlos and A. De Felice, Ghost conditions for Gauss-Bonnet  $cosmologies, Nucl. Phys.$  $cosmologies, Nucl. Phys.$  **B 752** (2006) 404  $[hep-th/0604201]$  $[hep-th/0604201]$  $[hep-th/0604201]$   $[NSPIRE]$  $[NSPIRE]$  $[NSPIRE]$ .
- <span id="page-66-0"></span>[160] Q. Pan, J. Jing and B. Wang, Analytical investigation of the phase transition between holographic insulator and superconductor in Gauss-Bonnet gravity, JHEP 11 [\(2011\) 088](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2011)088) [[arXiv:1105.6153](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.6153)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+JHEP,1111,088)].
- <span id="page-66-1"></span>[161] P. Binetruy, C. Charmousis, S.C. Davis and J.-F. Dufaux, Avoidance of naked singularities in dilatonic brane world scenarios with a Gauss-Bonnet term, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02477-2) **B 544** (2002) 183 [[hep-th/0206089](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0206089)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B544,183)].
- [162] R. Easther and K.-i. Maeda, One loop superstring cosmology and the nonsingular universe, Phys. Rev. D 54 [\(1996\) 7252](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.7252) [[hep-th/9605173](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9605173)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D54,7252)].
- [163] N.E. Mavromatos and J. Rizos, String inspired higher curvature terms and the Randall-Sundrum scenario, Phys. Rev. D 62 [\(2000\) 124004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.124004) [[hep-th/0008074](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0008074)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D62,124004)].
- <span id="page-66-2"></span>[164] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava and K. Narain, Moduli corrections to gravitational couplings from string loops, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)90009-S) **B 283** (1992) 209 [[hep-th/9203071](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9203071)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B283,209)].
- <span id="page-66-3"></span>[165] R. Altendorfer, J. Bagger and D. Nemeschansky, Supersymmetric Randall-Sundrum scenario, *Phys. Rev.* **D 63** [\(2001\) 125025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.125025) [[hep-th/0003117](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0003117)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D63,125025)].
- [166] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, A Warped supersymmetric standard model, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00127-4) B 602 (2001) 3 [[hep-ph/0012378](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012378)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Nucl.Phys.,B602,3)].
- <span id="page-66-4"></span>[167] A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, Supersymmetrizing branes with bulk in five-dimensional supergravity, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00995-3)  $\bf{B}$  491 (2000) 172 [[hep-th/0004093](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0004093)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Lett.,B491,172)].
- <span id="page-66-5"></span>[168] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, Bulk Kalb-Ramond field in Randall-Sundrum scenario, Phys. Rev. D 70 [\(2004\) 066009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.066009) [[hep-th/0403098](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0403098)] [IN[SPIRE](http://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+Phys.Rev.,D70,066009)].