

# The principle of maximal transcendentality and the four-loop collinear anomalous dimension

---

**Lance J. Dixon**

*SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University,  
Stanford, CA 94309, U.S.A.*

*E-mail:* [lance@slac.stanford.edu](mailto:lance@slac.stanford.edu)

**ABSTRACT:** We use the principle of maximal transcendentality and the universal nature of subleading infrared poles to extract the analytic value of the four-loop collinear anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super-Yang-Mills theory from recent QCD results, obtaining  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^{(4)} = -300\zeta_7 - 256\zeta_2\zeta_5 - 384\zeta_3\zeta_4$ . This value agrees with a previous numerical result to within 0.2%. It also provides the Regge trajectory, threshold soft anomalous dimension and rapidity anomalous dimension through four loops.

**KEYWORDS:** Scattering Amplitudes, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory, 1/N Expansion

**ARXIV EPRINT:** [1712.07274](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07274)

*Dedicated to the memory of Lev Lipatov.*

---

**Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Review of infrared structure of planar <math>\mathcal{N} = 4</math> SYM</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The computation</b>	<b>5</b>

---

**1 Introduction**

In the modern approach to scattering amplitudes,  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory [1, 2] has played a key conceptual role, especially in the planar limit of a large number of colors where the theory becomes integrable [3–8] and its amplitudes become dual to Wilson loops [9–15]. So much is known about the analytic structure of scattering amplitudes in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM that the first amplitude with non-trivial kinematic dependence, the six-point amplitude, can be bootstrapped to at least five loops [16–22].

Ironically, the finite parts of the six-point amplitude (the remainder function and ratio function), which are polylogarithmic functions of three variables, are now known to higher loop orders than is the dimensionally-regulated infrared-divergent prefactor — the BDS ansatz [23] — even though the latter depends only on four constants per loop order. One of these constants, the (light-like) cusp anomalous dimension [24, 25] is known to all orders in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, thanks to integrability [5]. The cusp anomalous dimension controls the double pole in  $\epsilon$  in the logarithm of the dimensionally regularized BDS ansatz. The single pole is controlled by the “collinear” anomalous dimension. In planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, it is known analytically through three loops [23, 26], and it was computed numerically at four loops a decade ago [27]. The nonplanar contribution to the four-loop collinear anomalous dimension was computed numerically very recently [28, 29]. The collinear anomalous dimension also enters the Regge trajectory for forward scattering [10, 30, 31]. An eikonal (Wilson line) version of it enters both the threshold soft anomalous dimension for threshold resummation [32–35] and the rapidity anomalous dimension for transverse momentum resummation [35–38].

The BDS ansatz also contains two finite constants at each loop order, one for the four-point amplitude and one for the five-point amplitude. One of these constants is known analytically at three loops [23], but the other is only known numerically at this loop order [39].

The purpose of this paper is to provide an analytical value for one of the four constants in question at four loops, namely the collinear anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. We do so by leveraging two recent four-loop computations in QCD in the large  $N_c$  limit [40, 41], as well as the principle of maximal transcendentality [42–45]. This principle states that for suitable quantities, such as the BFKL and DGLAP kernels, the result in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM can be obtained from that in QCD by converting the fermion representation

from the fundamental (quarks) to the adjoint (gluinos) and then keeping only the functions that have the highest transcendental weight. In momentum space ( $x$ -space) these functions are typically iterated integrals, and the weight is the number of iterations; in Mellin-moment space, it corresponds to the number of sums in the nested sums [46]. Here we will only need the notion of weight for ordinary Riemann zeta values,  $\zeta_n \equiv \zeta(n)$ , for which the weight is  $n$ . Also, the weight is additive for products, and rational numbers have weight zero.

The complete set of observables to which this principle can be applied is still unclear. Besides anomalous dimensions, it has also been successfully applied to form factors, matrix elements of gauge-invariant operators with two or three external partons [47–51], and to certain configurations of semi-infinite Wilson lines [34, 35]. However, it does not hold for scattering amplitudes with four or five external gluons, even at one loop [52], in the sense that there are maximally transcendental parts of the QCD one-loop amplitudes which have different rational prefactors from the corresponding  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM amplitudes.

Here we will apply the maximal transcendentality principle to the collinear anomalous dimension. This quantity depends on the method of regularization. We will compute its value in dimensional regularization — in fact, in a supersymmetric version of dimensional regularization such as dimensional reduction. The collinear anomalous dimension has also been computed using the so-called massive, or Higgs, regularization [53–57]. The Higgs-regulated result begins to differ from the dimensionally-regularized value starting at three loops [57], the last value for which it is known. A dual conformal regulator for infrared divergences has also been defined [58]; however, the multi-loop values of the collinear anomalous dimension in this scheme are still under investigation [59].

One might think that the collinear anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM could simply be read off from the leading transcendental terms in the large- $N_c$  quark collinear anomalous dimension [40]. However, the full-color expression for this quantity is a polynomial in the adjoint and fundamental quadratic Casimirs,  $C_A$  and  $C_F$ . In the large- $N_c$  limit,  $C_A \rightarrow N_c$  while  $C_F \rightarrow N_c/2$ . In order to apply the principle of maximal transcendentality at large  $N_c$ , we should first set  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$ ; that is,  $C_A \rightarrow N_c$  and  $C_F \rightarrow C_A \rightarrow N_c$ , not  $N_c/2$ . There is not enough information left in the large- $N_c$  limit to make the correct replacement.

However, if we can first convert the collinear anomalous dimension to an appropriate eikonal (Wilson line) quantity, then we can make the correct replacement. In a conformal theory, this “eikonal bypass” only requires [60] knowledge of the virtual anomalous dimension, the coefficient of  $\delta(1-x)$  in the DGLAP kernel. The virtual anomalous dimension in large- $N_c$  QCD was computed recently at four loops [41], and we can make use of its leading transcendental part to do the conversion. Once we have the eikonal quantity, we use its non-abelian exponentiation property [61, 62], which means that it is “maximally non-abelian”. That is, at any loop order, it can contain only one quadratic Casimir for the representation of the Wilson line; the remaining group theory factors must all be  $C_A$ . (A subtlety that arises when quadratic Casimir scaling does not hold is addressed in section 3.) This information suffices to allow us to apply the principle of maximal transcendentality and extract the eikonal quantity in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. Then we use the virtual anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, which has been computed to all orders using integrability [63–65], to convert back to the non-eikonal collinear anomalous dimension.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly review the infrared structure of scattering amplitudes, form factors and Wilson loops in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. In section 3 we carry out the computation and then conclude.

## 2 Review of infrared structure of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

In this section we give a very brief review of the infrared structure of scattering amplitudes, form factors and Wilson loops in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. In general, multi-loop  $n$ -point amplitudes can be factorized into soft, collinear and hard virtual contributions, where soft gluon exchange can connect any of the  $n$  hard external legs [66, 67]. This factorization has consequences for the infrared poles in  $\epsilon$  of dimensionally-regularized multi-loop amplitudes [68, 69].

In the planar limit, the soft structure simplifies enormously, because only color-adjacent lines can exchange soft gluons, and the infrared structure of the amplitude for  $n$  external adjoint particles becomes the product of  $n$  “wedges”, each equivalent to the square root of a Sudakov form factor for producing two adjoint particles [23]. The infrared behavior of the Sudakov form factor was studied using factorization and renormalization group evolution, beginning in the 1970s [70–75]. Besides the  $\beta$  function (which of course vanishes in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM), the only quantities that enter are the (light-like) cusp anomalous dimension  $\gamma_K$  [24, 25] and an integration constant for a function  $\mathcal{G}(q^2)$ , which we will refer to as the collinear anomalous dimension and denote by  $\mathcal{G}_0$  [69, 75].

We consider gauge group  $SU(N_c)$  and adopt the “integrability” notation for the large- $N_c$  coupling constant,

$$g^2 \equiv N_c \frac{g_{\text{YM}}^2}{(4\pi)^2} = C_A \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} = \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} = \frac{a}{2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\alpha_s = g_{\text{YM}}^2/(4\pi)$ ,  $\lambda = N_c g_{\text{YM}}^2$  is the 't Hooft coupling, and  $a$  was used e.g. in ref. [23]. The quadratic Casimir in the adjoint representation is  $C_A = N_c$ , while in the fundamental representation it is  $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c)$ .

We expand the cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions in terms of  $g^2$ :

$$\gamma_K(g) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} g^{2L} \hat{\gamma}_K^{(L)}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0(g) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} g^{2L} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^{(L)}. \quad (2.3)$$

(Note that another normalization is often used for the cusp anomalous dimension,  $\gamma_K = 2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$ .) The cusp anomalous dimension is known to all orders, thanks to integrability [5]. The first four terms in its perturbative expansion are:

$$\gamma_K^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} = 8g^2 - 16\zeta_2 g^4 + 176\zeta_4 g^6 - (1752\zeta_6 + 64(\zeta_3)^2)g^8. \quad (2.4)$$

We give the previously-known three-loop result for  $\mathcal{G}_0(g)$  below, in eq. (3.5).

In a non-conformal theory, when the differential equation for the Sudakov form factor is integrated up, infrared poles are obtained that involve integrals over functions of the running coupling in  $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$  dimensions. Because planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM is conformally invariant, the integrals can be performed analytically. One obtains for the color-ordered  $n$ -point scattering amplitude  $A_n$  [23]:

$$\ln\left(\frac{A_n}{A_n^{\text{tree}}}\right) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2L}}{L^2 \epsilon^2} \left(\hat{\gamma}_K^{(L)} + 2L \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^{(L)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-s_{i,i+1}}\right)^{L\epsilon} + \text{finite}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $s_{i,i+1} = (k_i + k_{i+1})^2$ .

The form factor  $F(Q^2)$  for producing two adjoint particles corresponds to setting  $n = 2$  in this formula, in which case the two wedges have the same kinematics,

$$\ln F(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2L}}{L^2 \epsilon^2} \left(\hat{\gamma}_K^{(L)} + 2L \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^{(L)}\right) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-Q^2}\right)^{L\epsilon} + \text{finite}, \quad (2.6)$$

Wilson loops for light-like  $n$ -gons  $C_n$  contain ultraviolet poles rather than infrared ones. These poles have a very similar form (with  $\epsilon \rightarrow -\epsilon$  due to their ultraviolet nature) [10]:

$$\ln W_{C_n} = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2L}}{L^2 \epsilon^2} \left(\hat{\gamma}_K^{(L)} - 2L \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{0,\text{eik}}^{(L)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\mu_{\text{UV}}^2}{-x_{i,i+2}^2}\right)^{-L\epsilon} + \text{finite}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $x_{i,i+2}^2 = (x_i - x_{i+2})^2$  are invariant distances between the corners of the polygons  $x_i^\mu$ . The amplitude-Wilson loop duality makes the identification  $(x_i - x_{i+2})^2 = (k_i + k_{i+1})^2$ . While the leading double poles in Wilson loops are governed by the same quantity as in amplitudes, namely  $\gamma_K$ , a different quantity appears in the subleading poles,  $\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}$ , whose expansion is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}(g) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} g^{2L} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{0,\text{eik}}^{(L)}. \quad (2.8)$$

instead of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ .

The relation between  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}$  was explored in ref. [60], where it was shown that for a conformal theory, they obey a particularly simple relation,

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}} + 2B. \quad (2.9)$$

(Empirical evidence for this kind of relation was given in refs. [32, 76].) Here  $B$ , sometimes called  $B_\delta$  or the virtual anomalous dimension, is the coefficient of the first subleading term in the limit as  $x \rightarrow 1$  of the DGLAP kernel for parton  $i$  to split to parton  $i$ :

$$P_{ii}(x) = \frac{\gamma_K}{2(1-x)_+} + B_i \delta(1-x) + \dots \quad (2.10)$$

In a general theory,  $B = B_i$  depends on the type of parton  $i$  (also the leading, cusp, term in eq. (2.10) depends on the color representation of parton  $i$ ), but in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM  $B$  is the same for all partons, by supersymmetry.

In planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, thanks to dual conformal symmetry, the gluon Regge trajectory governing the forward limit of the four-point amplitude can be computed from the cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions [10, 30, 31]. The result is [10]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln s} \ln A_4(s, t) \Big|_{s \gg t} = \omega_R(-t), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\omega_R(-t) = \frac{1}{4} \gamma_K \ln \left( \frac{\mu^2}{-t} \right) + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \int_0^{g^2} \frac{dg'^2}{g'^2} \gamma_K(g') + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_0 + O(\epsilon). \quad (2.12)$$

Hence our four-loop result for  $\mathcal{G}_0$  will also provide  $\omega_R(-t)$  to the same order.

### 3 The computation

In ref. [40], the quark form factor was computed to four loops in the large  $N_c$  limit of QCD, and the cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions for large- $N_c$  QCD were determined from it. In ref. [44] it was proposed that the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super-Yang-Mills results for the twist-two anomalous dimensions (which includes the cusp anomalous dimension, but not the collinear anomalous dimension) could be extracted from the leading transcendental terms in the QCD result by setting  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$ . Through three loops, where full-color QCD results are known, the same extraction procedure also works for the collinear anomalous dimension.

Unfortunately, as mentioned in the introduction, the large  $N_c$  limit corresponds to

$$C_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \rightarrow \frac{N_c}{2} = \frac{C_A}{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

The factor of 1/2 means that the  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$  replacement can't be deduced in general from the large  $N_c$  limit. However, there is a workaround, the eikonal bypass discussed in the introduction, which involves converting the non-eikonal quark collinear anomalous dimension to an eikonal (Wilson line) quantity [60], with the help of the recent four-loop result for the DGLAP kernels in the large  $N_c$  limit of QCD [41]. In particular, we need the coefficient of  $\delta(1-x)$  in this result, the virtual anomalous dimension. We will see that the  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$  replacement can be performed for the eikonal quantity we have constructed. Afterwards, one can use the virtual anomalous dimension for planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM [63–65] to convert back to the non-eikonal collinear anomalous dimension. We will find an analytic expression that is quite close to the numerical result [27].

Through four loops, the leading transcendental part of the leading-color quark collinear anomalous dimension is [40, 77, 78]

$$\gamma_q|_{\text{L.C.L.T.}} = 7 \zeta_3 g^4 - \left( 68 \zeta_5 + \frac{44}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \right) g^6 + \left( 705 \zeta_7 + 144 \zeta_2 \zeta_5 + 164 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \right) g^8. \quad (3.2)$$

Through three loops, we also know the full group-theoretical decomposition [77]:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_q|_{\text{L.T.}} = C_F & \left\{ (26C_A - 24C_F)\zeta_3 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 \right. \\ & - \left[ (136C_A^2 + 120C_FC_A - 240C_F^2)\zeta_5 + \left(\frac{88}{3}C_A^2 + 16C_FC_A - 32C_F^2\right)\zeta_2\zeta_3 \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^3 \\ & \left. + \dots \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Letting  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$ , the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM result, for a gluon or gluino in the adjoint representation is [44, 79]:

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{N}=4} = 2\zeta_3 \left(\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 - \left(16\zeta_5 + \frac{40}{3}\zeta_2\zeta_3\right) \left(\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^3 + \dots \quad (3.4)$$

In refs. [23, 69], the collinear anomalous dimension  $\mathcal{G}_0$  was evaluated to two and three loops in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, although to this order there are no subleading color terms. The result is

$$\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathcal{N}=4} = -4\zeta_3 \left(\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 + \left(32\zeta_5 + \frac{80}{3}\zeta_2\zeta_3\right) \left(\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^3 - \dots \quad (3.5)$$

Comparing with eq. (3.4), there is a difference in normalization convention by a factor of two:  $\mathcal{G}_0 = -2\gamma$ .

In ref. [41], the twist-two anomalous dimensions or DGLAP kernels were computed in the large  $N_c$  limit of QCD to four loops. In the limit that  $x \rightarrow 1$ , as in eq. (2.10), the coefficient of the leading  $1/(1-x)_+$  term is the cusp anomalous dimension [25]. The next-to-leading term as  $x \rightarrow 1$  is the coefficient of  $\delta(1-x)$ , sometimes called the virtual anomalous dimension, or  $B_\delta$ , or just  $B$ . The large- $N_c$ , leading transcendentality terms in  $B$  for quarks are given by [41, 80]:

$$B_q|_{\text{L.C.L.T.}} = 20\zeta_5 g^6 - (280\zeta_7 + 40\zeta_2\zeta_5 - 16\zeta_3\zeta_4)g^8. \quad (3.6)$$

Through three loops, we also know the full group-theoretical decomposition [80]:

$$\begin{aligned} B_q|_{\text{L.T.}} = C_F & \left\{ -12(C_A - 2C_F)\zeta_3 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 \right. \\ & + \left[ (40C_A^2 + 120C_FC_A - 240C_F^2)\zeta_5 + 16C_F(C_A - 2C_F)\zeta_2\zeta_3 \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^3 \\ & \left. + \dots \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Letting  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$ , the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM result, for a gluon or gluino, is [44]:

$$B^{\mathcal{N}=4} = 12\zeta_3 \left(\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 - (80\zeta_5 + 16\zeta_2\zeta_3) \left(\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^3 + \dots \quad (3.8)$$

We now use eq. (2.9) to construct the eikonal quantity

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}} = \mathcal{G}_0 - 2B = -2\gamma_q - 2B \tag{3.9}$$

in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM for a Wilson line in the fundamental “ $F$ ” representation through three loops, using eqs. (3.3) and (3.7):

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik},F} = C_F \left\{ -28 C_A \zeta_3 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^2 + \left( 192 \zeta_5 + \frac{176}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \right) C_A^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \right)^3 + \dots \right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

We see that all the  $C_F$  terms cancel, except for the overall one. This result reflects non-abelian exponentiation for this type of Wilson line [61, 62]. These results agree with the leading transcendental part of the results for  $f_L^q$  in ref. [32].

The threshold soft anomalous dimension  $\gamma^s$  defined in refs. [34, 35] (called  $\gamma^W$  in ref. [33]) is the same as  $\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik},F}$  up to a conventional minus sign,  $\gamma^s = -\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik},F}$ , and eq. (3.10) agrees with the leading transcendental part of the QCD result in refs. [34, 35]. The rapidity anomalous dimension  $\gamma^r$ , which enters the SCET description of transverse momentum resummation, has also been computed to three loops [35]. The result agrees with the threshold soft anomalous dimension, up to terms that are proportional to coefficients of the QCD beta function. This result was explained in ref. [38] by mapping the appropriate configurations of Wilson lines for the two computations into each other using a conformal transformation. Hence we will obtain the four-loop values of both the threshold soft and rapidity anomalous dimensions in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM from

$$\gamma^{s,\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} = \gamma^{r,\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} = -\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4}. \tag{3.11}$$

In planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, the natural Wilson line is in the adjoint representation, not the fundamental. In the large  $N_c$  limit, this collinear anomalous dimension can be obtained from eq. (3.10) simply by multiplying by an overall factor of 2, since  $C_A = 2C_F$  in the large  $N_c$  limit. What about at four loops? At this order, quadratic Casimir scaling might be violated. That is, inspecting the color factors of all the Feynman diagrams that contribute at this order, we see that  $\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik},F}$  might contain — besides  $C_F$  times a polynomial in  $C_A$  — a color factor of

$$\frac{d_F^{abcd} d_A^{abcd}}{N_F} = \frac{(N_c^2 - 1)(N_c^2 + 6)}{48}. \tag{3.12}$$

(See e.g. eq. (2.14) of ref. [81].) If so, the corresponding term in the case of an adjoint Wilson line would have the same numerical coefficient multiplying

$$\frac{d_A^{abcd} d_A^{abcd}}{N_A} = \frac{N_c^2(N_c^2 + 36)}{24}. \tag{3.13}$$

However, the latter factor is precisely twice the former factor in the large  $N_c$  limit, which is the same factor as for the conversion  $C_F \rightarrow C_A$  in this limit. Given that there are no  $C_F$  terms in  $\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik},F}$  except for the overall  $C_F$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}$  in the large  $N_c$  limit of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM can be extracted from the leading transcendentality terms of the corresponding eikonal



quantity in the large  $N_c$  limit of QCD. (The beta-function correction terms to eq. (2.9) for a non-conformal theory are also subleading in transcendentality.)

In summary, the eikonal collinear anomalous dimension for planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM can be obtained from the large- $N_c$  QCD results for  $\gamma_q$  and  $B_q$  through four loops, using

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} = 2 \left( -2\gamma_q|_{\text{L.C.L.T.}} - 2B_q|_{\text{L.C.L.T.}} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

Inserting eqs. (3.2) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} = -28 \zeta_3 g^4 + \left( 192 \zeta_5 + \frac{176}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \right) g^6 - \left( 1700 \zeta_7 + 416 \zeta_2 \zeta_5 + 720 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \right) g^8. \quad (3.15)$$

The virtual anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM is known to all orders from integrability [63–65]:

$$B^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} = 12 \zeta_3 g^4 - \left( 80 \zeta_5 + 16 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \right) g^6 + \left( 700 \zeta_7 + 80 \zeta_2 \zeta_5 + 168 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \right) g^8 + \dots \quad (3.16)$$

We set  $L = 2$  in eq. (3.16) of ref. [63], and multiply by  $-1/2$  to account for the different normalization convention.

The non-eikonal collinear anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM is then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_0^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} &= \mathcal{G}_{0,\text{eik}}^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} + 2B^{\text{planar } \mathcal{N}=4} \\ &= -4 \zeta_3 g^4 + \left( 32 \zeta_5 + \frac{80}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \right) g^6 - \left( 300 \zeta_7 + 256 \zeta_2 \zeta_5 + 384 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \right) g^8. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

The numerical value of the four-loop coefficient is

$$-1238.7477172547735332918988\dots \quad (3.18)$$

which can be compared with the number from ref. [27]:

$$-1240.9(3). \quad (3.19)$$

The two results are within about 0.2%, although they are not within the error budget of 0.3 reported in ref. [27]. It would be very nice to check the analysis in this paper with an improved numerical value.

The first order at which  $\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathcal{N}=4}$  can have a subleading-color term is four loops. Recently this term has been computed numerically [28, 29],

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,\text{NP}}^{(4),\mathcal{N}=4} = -384 \times (-17.98 \pm 3.25) \frac{1}{N_c^2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Could one try to get an analytic value for this quantity using the methods in this paper?

One issue is that the principle of maximal transcendentality has not really been tested yet for cases where there is a subleading-color contribution to  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, but one could try nevertheless. The good news is that the simple relation (2.9) continues to hold at sub-leading color — whereas in a non-conformal theory it would receive additional corrections

depending on the infrared-finite part of a form factor [60]. The bad news is that there are not yet analytic values for the subleading-color terms in QCD at four loops, for either  $\gamma_q$  or  $B_q$ . (Approximate numerical values are available for  $B_q$  [41].) Once they become available, it will be possible to compute eq. (3.20) analytically, if it is not already known by then. In fact, the eikonal bypass of using eq. (2.9) should become unnecessary at that point, once the full color dependence of the QCD result for  $\gamma_q$  is known.

In summary, in this paper we obtained an analytical value (3.17) for the four-loop collinear anomalous dimension in planar  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM, which also provides the Regge trajectory, threshold soft anomalous dimension and rapidity anomalous dimension at this order. We hope that this additional data point will inspire those versed in integrability methods to try to compute this quantity to all loop orders!

## Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Benjamin Basso, Tomasz Lukowski, Mark Spradlin, Matthias Staudacher and HuaXing Zhu for useful discussions, and to HuaXing Zhu for very helpful comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by the US Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-76SF00515, and by the Munich Institute for Astro- and Particle Physics (MIAPP) of the DFG cluster of excellence “Origin and Structure of the Universe”.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

- [1] L. Brink, J.H. Schwarz and J. Scherk, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **121** (1977) 77 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D.I. Olive, *Supersymmetry, supergravity theories and the dual spinor model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **122** (1977) 253 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] J.A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, *The Bethe ansatz for  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **03** (2003) 013 [[hep-th/0212208](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] N. Beisert, C. Kristjansen and M. Staudacher, *The dilatation operator of conformal  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **664** (2003) 131 [[hep-th/0303060](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] N. Beisert, B. Eden and M. Staudacher, *Transcendentality and crossing*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0701** (2007) P01021 [[hep-th/0610251](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] N. Beisert et al., *Review of AdS/CFT integrability: an overview*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** (2012) 3 [[arXiv:1012.3982](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] B. Basso, A. Sever and P. Vieira, *Spacetime and flux tube S-matrices at finite coupling for  $N = 4$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 091602 [[arXiv:1303.1396](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent and D. Volin, *Quantum spectral curve for planar  $N = 4$  super-Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 011602 [[arXiv:1305.1939](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [9] L.F. Alday and J.M. Maldacena, *Gluon scattering amplitudes at strong coupling*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 064 [[arXiv:0705.0303](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] J.M. Drummond, G.P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *Conformal properties of four-gluon planar amplitudes and Wilson loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **795** (2008) 385 [[arXiv:0707.0243](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] A. Brandhuber, P. Heslop and G. Travaglini, *MHV amplitudes in  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills and Wilson loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **794** (2008) 231 [[arXiv:0707.1153](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] J.M. Drummond, J. Henn, G.P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *On planar gluon amplitudes/Wilson loops duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **795** (2008) 52 [[arXiv:0709.2368](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] J.M. Drummond, J. Henn, G.P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *Conformal Ward identities for Wilson loops and a test of the duality with gluon amplitudes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **826** (2010) 337 [[arXiv:0712.1223](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] Z. Bern et al., *The two-loop six-gluon MHV amplitude in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 045007 [[arXiv:0803.1465](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] J.M. Drummond, J. Henn, G.P. Korchemsky and E. Sokatchev, *Hexagon Wilson loop = six-gluon MHV amplitude*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **815** (2009) 142 [[arXiv:0803.1466](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr and V.A. Smirnov, *An analytic result for the two-loop hexagon Wilson loop in  $N = 4$  SYM*, *JHEP* **03** (2010) 099 [[arXiv:0911.5332](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr and V.A. Smirnov, *The two-loop hexagon Wilson loop in  $N = 4$  SYM*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 084 [[arXiv:1003.1702](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] A.B. Goncharov, M. Spradlin, C. Vergu and A. Volovich, *Classical polylogarithms for amplitudes and Wilson loops*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 151605 [[arXiv:1006.5703](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] L.J. Dixon, J.M. Drummond and J.M. Henn, *Bootstrapping the three-loop hexagon*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 023 [[arXiv:1108.4461](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] L.J. Dixon, J.M. Drummond, M. von Hippel and J. Pennington, *Hexagon functions and the three-loop remainder function*, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 049 [[arXiv:1308.2276](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] L.J. Dixon, J.M. Drummond, C. Duhr and J. Pennington, *The four-loop remainder function and multi-Regge behavior at NNLLA in planar  $N = 4$  super-Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 116 [[arXiv:1402.3300](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] S. Caron-Huot, L.J. Dixon, A. McLeod and M. von Hippel, *Bootstrapping a five-loop amplitude using Steinmann relations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 241601 [[arXiv:1609.00669](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and V.A. Smirnov, *Iteration of planar amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at three loops and beyond*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 085001 [[hep-th/0505205](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, *Renormalization of the Wilson loops beyond the leading order*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **283** (1987) 342 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, *Infrared factorization, Wilson lines and the heavy quark limit*, *Phys. Lett. B* **279** (1992) 359 [[hep-ph/9203222](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The quark form-factor at higher orders*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 049 [[hep-ph/0507039](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [27] F. Cachazo, M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, *Four-loop collinear anomalous dimension in  $N = 4$  Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 106004 [[arXiv:0707.1903](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] R.H. Boels, T. Huber and G. Yang, *The Sudakov form factor at four loops in maximal super Yang-Mills theory*, [arXiv:1711.08449](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] R.H. Boels, T. Huber and G. Yang, *The nonplanar cusp and collinear anomalous dimension at four loops in  $N = 4$  SYM theory*, [arXiv:1712.07563](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] S.G. Naculich and H.J. Schnitzer, *Regge behavior of gluon scattering amplitudes in  $N = 4$  SYM theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **794** (2008) 189 [[arXiv:0708.3069](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] V. Del Duca and E.W.N. Glover, *Testing high-energy factorization beyond the next-to-leading-logarithmic accuracy*, *JHEP* **05** (2008) 056 [[arXiv:0802.4445](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *Three-loop results for quark and gluon form-factors*, *Phys. Lett. B* **625** (2005) 245 [[hep-ph/0508055](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] T. Becher, M. Neubert and G. Xu, *Dynamical threshold enhancement and resummation in Drell-Yan production*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 030 [[arXiv:0710.0680](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] Y. Li, A. von Manteuffel, R.M. Schabinger and H.X. Zhu, *Soft-virtual corrections to Higgs production at  $N^3$ LO*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 036008 [[arXiv:1412.2771](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] Y. Li and H.X. Zhu, *Bootstrapping rapidity anomalous dimensions for transverse-momentum resummation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 022004 [[arXiv:1604.01404](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] J.-Y. Chiu, A. Jain, D. Neill and I.Z. Rothstein, *A formalism for the systematic treatment of rapidity logarithms in quantum field theory*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 084 [[arXiv:1202.0814](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] Y. Li, D. Neill and H.X. Zhu, *An exponential regulator for rapidity divergences*, submitted to *Phys. Rev. D* (2016) [[arXiv:1604.00392](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] A.A. Vladimirov, *Correspondence between soft and rapidity anomalous dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 062001 [[arXiv:1610.05791](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] M. Spradlin, A. Volovich and C. Wen, *Three-loop leading singularities and BDS ansatz for five particles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 085025 [[arXiv:0808.1054](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] J. Henn, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser and R.N. Lee, *Four-loop photon quark form factor and cusp anomalous dimension in the large- $N_c$  limit of QCD*, *JHEP* **03** (2017) 139 [[arXiv:1612.04389](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] S. Moch, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *Four-loop non-singlet splitting functions in the planar limit and beyond*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 041 [[arXiv:1707.08315](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] A.V. Kotikov and L.N. Lipatov, *DGLAP and BFKL evolution equations in the  $N = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory*, [hep-ph/0112346](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] A.V. Kotikov and L.N. Lipatov, *DGLAP and BFKL equations in the  $N = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **661** (2003) 19 [*Erratum ibid.* **B 685** (2004) 405] [[hep-ph/0208220](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] A.V. Kotikov, L.N. Lipatov, A.I. Onishchenko and V.N. Velizhanin, *Three loop universal anomalous dimension of the Wilson operators in  $N = 4$  SUSY Yang-Mills model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **595** (2004) 521 [*Erratum ibid.* **B 632** (2006) 754] [[hep-th/0404092](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [45] A.V. Kotikov, L.N. Lipatov, A. Rej, M. Staudacher and V.N. Velizhanin, *Dressing and wrapping*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0710** (2007) P10003 [[arXiv:0704.3586](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] E. Remiddi and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Harmonic polylogarithms*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** (2000) 725 [[hep-ph/9905237](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] A. Brandhuber, G. Travaglini and G. Yang, *Analytic two-loop form factors in  $N = 4$  SYM*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 082 [[arXiv:1201.4170](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] F. Loebbert, D. Nandan, C. Sieg, M. Wilhelm and G. Yang, *On-shell methods for the two-loop dilatation operator and finite remainders*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 012 [[arXiv:1504.06323](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] A. Brandhuber, M. Kostacinska, B. Penante, G. Travaglini and D. Young, *The  $SU(2|3)$  dynamic two-loop form factors*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 134 [[arXiv:1606.08682](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] F. Loebbert, C. Sieg, M. Wilhelm and G. Yang, *Two-loop  $SL(2)$  form factors and maximal transcendentality*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 090 [[arXiv:1610.06567](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] A. Brandhuber, M. Kostacinska, B. Penante and G. Travaglini, *Higgs amplitudes from  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 161601 [[arXiv:1707.09897](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and D.A. Kosower, *One loop corrections to five gluon amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 2677 [[hep-ph/9302280](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] L.F. Alday, J.M. Henn, J. Plefka and T. Schuster, *Scattering into the fifth dimension of  $N = 4$  super Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **01** (2010) 077 [[arXiv:0908.0684](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] J.M. Henn, S.G. Naculich, H.J. Schnitzer and M. Spradlin, *Higgs-regularized three-loop four-gluon amplitude in  $N = 4$  SYM: exponentiation and Regge limits*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 038 [[arXiv:1001.1358](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] J.M. Henn, S.G. Naculich, H.J. Schnitzer and M. Spradlin, *More loops and legs in Higgs-regulated  $N = 4$  SYM amplitudes*, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 002 [[arXiv:1004.5381](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] J.M. Drummond and J.M. Henn, *Simple loop integrals and amplitudes in  $N = 4$  SYM*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 105 [[arXiv:1008.2965](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] J.M. Henn, S. Moch and S.G. Naculich, *Form factors and scattering amplitudes in  $N = 4$  SYM in dimensional and massive regularizations*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 024 [[arXiv:1109.5057](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] J.L. Bourjaily, S. Caron-Huot and J. Trnka, *Dual-conformal regularization of infrared loop divergences and the chiral box expansion*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 001 [[arXiv:1303.4734](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] J. Bourjaily, L.J. Dixon, F. Dulat and E. Panzer, *Manifestly dual conformal loop integration*, to appear.
- [60] L.J. Dixon, L. Magnea and G.F. Sterman, *Universal structure of subleading infrared poles in gauge theory amplitudes*, *JHEP* **08** (2008) 022 [[arXiv:0805.3515](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] J.G.M. Gatheral, *Exponentiation of eikonal cross-sections in non-Abelian gauge theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **133** (1983) 90 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] J. Frenkel and J.C. Taylor, *Non-Abelian eikonal exponentiation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **246** (1984) 231 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] L. Freyhult, A. Rej and M. Staudacher, *A generalized scaling function for AdS/CFT*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0807** (2008) P07015 [[arXiv:0712.2743](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [64] L. Freyhult and S. Zieme, *The virtual scaling function of AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 105009 [[arXiv:0901.2749](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] D. Fioravanti, P. Grinza and M. Rossi, *Beyond cusp anomalous dimension from integrability*, *Phys. Lett. B* **675** (2009) 137 [[arXiv:0901.3161](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] N. Kidonakis, G. Oderda and G.F. Sterman, *Threshold resummation for dijet cross-sections*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **525** (1998) 299 [[hep-ph/9801268](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] N. Kidonakis, G. Oderda and G.F. Sterman, *Evolution of color exchange in QCD hard scattering*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **531** (1998) 365 [[hep-ph/9803241](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] S. Catani, *The singular behavior of QCD amplitudes at two loop order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **427** (1998) 161 [[hep-ph/9802439](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] G.F. Sterman and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, *Multiloop amplitudes and resummation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **552** (2003) 48 [[hep-ph/0210130](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] A.H. Mueller, *On the asymptotic behavior of the Sudakov form-factor*, *Phys. Rev. D* **20** (1979) 2037 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] J.C. Collins, *Algorithm to compute corrections to the Sudakov form-factor*, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 1478 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] A. Sen, *Asymptotic behavior of the Sudakov form-factor in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **24** (1981) 3281 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [73] J.C. Collins, *Sudakov form-factors*, *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* **5** (1989) 573 [[hep-ph/0312336](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] G.P. Korchemsky, *Double logarithmic asymptotics in QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **217** (1989) 330 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [75] L. Magnea and G.F. Sterman, *Analytic continuation of the Sudakov form-factor in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **42** (1990) 4222 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [76] V. Ravindran, J. Smith and W.L. van Neerven, *Two-loop corrections to Higgs boson production*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **704** (2005) 332 [[hep-ph/0408315](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [77] T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, T. Huber, N. Iqbal and C. Studerus, *Calculation of the quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 094 [[arXiv:1004.3653](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [78] J.M. Henn, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *A planar four-loop form factor and cusp anomalous dimension in QCD*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 066 [[arXiv:1604.03126](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [79] A.V. Kotikov, L.N. Lipatov and V.N. Velizhanin, *Anomalous dimensions of Wilson operators in  $N = 4$  SYM theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **557** (2003) 114 [[hep-ph/0301021](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [80] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The three loop splitting functions in QCD: the nonsinglet case*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **688** (2004) 101 [[hep-ph/0403192](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [81] F. Herzog, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The five-loop  $\beta$ -function of Yang-Mills theory with fermions*, *JHEP* **02** (2017) 090 [[arXiv:1701.01404](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].