

Derivatives of Meromorphic Functions with Multiple Zeros and Rational Functions

Xuecheng Pang, Shahar Nevo and Lawrence Zalcman

(Communicated by J. Milne Anderson and Philip J. Rippon)

To Walter Hayman on his 80th birthday, with great admiration

Abstract. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , all but finitely many of whose zeros are multiple, and let R be a rational function, $R \neq 0$. Then $f' - R$ has infinitely many zeros.

Keywords. Value distribution theory, quasinormal families.

2000 MSC. 30D35, 30D45.

1. Introduction

This paper continues our study of the value distribution of transcendental meromorphic functions, all but finitely many of whose zeros are multiple. In [6] (cf. [5]), we showed that the derivative of such a function must take on every nonzero complex value infinitely often. Here we extend that result as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , all but finitely many of whose zeros are multiple, and let $R \neq 0$ be a rational function. Then $f' - R$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Theorem 1 thus extends the main result of [1], where it was shown that the same conclusion holds under the additional hypothesis that all but finitely many of the poles of f are multiple.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based, quite naturally, on a combination of ideas from [1] and [6] and, in particular, makes use of quasinormal families [2]. Recall that a family \mathcal{F} of functions meromorphic on a plane domain D is said to be quasinormal on D if from each sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ one can extract a subsequence

Received January 24, 2007.

Published online December 20, 2007.

Research supported by the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, G.I.F. Grant No. G-809-234-6/2003, and by NNSF of China, Grant No. 10671067.

$\{f_{n_k}\}$ which converges locally uniformly (with respect to the spherical metric) on $D \setminus E$, where the set E (which may depend on $\{f_{n_k}\}$) has no accumulation point in D . If E can always be chosen to contain no more than m points, \mathcal{F} is said to be quasinormal of order m on D .

We use the following notation. For f meromorphic on \mathbb{C} and D a domain in \mathbb{C} ,

$$S(D, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D [f^\#(z)]^2 dx dy,$$

where

$$f^\#(z) = \frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2}$$

denotes the spherical derivative. We write $\Delta(z_0, \delta) = \{z: |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ and $D_{\rho,r} = \{z: \rho < |z| < r\}$ and set $S(r, f) = S(\Delta(0, r), f)$ and $S(\rho, r, f) = S(D_{\rho,r}, f)$. We assume the standard results of Nevanlinna theory (for example, see [3]) and use the Ahlfors-Shimizu form of the Nevanlinna characteristic function, given by

$$(1) \quad T(r, f) = \int_0^r \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt.$$

2. Auxiliary results

Our point of departure is the following result, proved in [6].

Theorem A. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $\{h_n\}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions on D such that $h_n \rightarrow h = H'$ locally uniformly on D , where H is univalent on D . Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of functions meromorphic on D such that for each n ,*

- (i) *all zeros of f_n are multiple,*
- (ii) *$f'_n(z) \neq h_n(z)$ for all $z \in D$.*

Then $\{f_n\}$ is quasinormal of order 1 on D . If, moreover, no subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ is normal at $z_0 \in D$, then $f_n \rightarrow H - H(z_0)$ locally uniformly on $D \setminus \{z_0\}$ and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $S(\Delta(z_0, \delta), f_n) \leq 2$ for all n .

Remark. Since Theorem A is not stated explicitly in [6], let us indicate how it follows from the results of that paper. The proof that $\{f_n\}$ is quasinormal of order 1 is essentially identical to that of Theorem 1 (with $k = 1$) of [6]. That proof also shows that condition (b) of Lemma 7 in [6] holds for $a_1 = z_0$. It then follows from Lemma 7 that $f_n \rightarrow H - H(z_0)$ locally uniformly on $D \setminus \{z_0\}$. The bound on $S(\Delta(z_0, \delta), f_n)$ follows from Lemma 9 of [6].

Recall that a meromorphic function f is Julia exceptional if $f^\#(z) = \mathcal{O}(1/|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (1) that if f is a Julia exceptional function, then $T(r, f) = \mathcal{O}((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

Lemma 1 ([1, Lem. 2.2]). *Let f be a meromorphic function which is not Julia exceptional. Then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in \mathbb{C} such that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, $f(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, and $a_n f'(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$.*

Indeed, since f is not Julia exceptional, there is a sequence $\{b_k\}$ in \mathbb{C} such that $b_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_k f^\#(b_k) \rightarrow \infty$. The proof in [1] gives a procedure for finding a subsequence $\{b_{k_n}\}$ and points $a_n \sim b_{k_n}$ such that the stated conditions hold.

If we assume slightly more than in Lemma 1, we obtain a correspondingly stronger result.

Lemma 2. *Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} . If*

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{(\log r)^2} = +\infty,$$

then there exists $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(r_n/2, 2r_n, f) = +\infty$$

and a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in \mathbb{C} satisfying $r_n/2 < |a_n| < 2r_n$ such that $f(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $a_n f'(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. First we show that for each $b > 1$, there exist $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $S(r_n, br_n, f) \rightarrow +\infty$. Otherwise, there exists a constant $M = M(f, b)$ such that $S(r, br, f) \leq M$ for all $r \geq 0$. Thus

$$S(1, b^n, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n S(b^{k-1}, b^k, f) \leq nM.$$

For each $r > 1$, there exists a positive integer N such that $b^{N-1} \leq r < b^N$. Then

$$S(1, r, f) \leq S(1, b^N, f) \leq NM \leq \left(1 + \frac{\log r}{\log b}\right) M$$

and

$$S(r, f) = S(1, f) + S(1, r, f) \leq C + \frac{M}{\log b} \log r,$$

where $C = S(1, f) + M$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= \int_0^r \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt + \int_1^r \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt + C \log r + \frac{M}{2 \log b} (\log r)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (2). Thus there exists a sequence of radii $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $S(r_n, 3r_n/2, f) \rightarrow +\infty$ and hence complex numbers c_n with $r_n \leq |c_n| \leq 3r_n/2$ such that $|c_n| f^\#(c_n) \rightarrow +\infty$. Applying the argument used in [1] to prove Lemma 1, taking subsequences, and renumbering, we obtain a sequence $\{a_n\}$ with $a_n \sim c_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $f(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $a_n f'(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Since $a_n \sim c_n$, clearly $r_n/2 < |a_n| < 2r_n$ for sufficiently large n . ■

Lemma 3 ([4, p. 7]). *A transcendental Julia exceptional function has no asymptotic values.*

Lemma 4 ([1, Lem. 2.5]). *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and let R be a rational function satisfying $R(z) \sim cz^d$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $f' - R$ has only finitely many zeros and that $T(r, f) = \mathcal{O}((\log r)^2)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Set $g(z) := f(z)/z^{d+1}$, with $g := f$ if $d = -1$. Then g has an asymptotic value, and there exist $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that as $r \rightarrow +\infty$,*

$$f(re^{i\theta_0}) \sim \frac{c}{d+1} (re^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} \quad \text{if } d \geq 0;$$

$$f(re^{i\theta_0}) = a + \frac{c}{d+1} (re^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} + \mathcal{O}(r^d) \quad \text{if } d \leq -2.$$

Lemma 5. *Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , all but finitely many of whose zeros are multiple and such that $f' - R$ has only finitely many zeros, where R is as in Lemma 4. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$(3) \quad \frac{f(a_n)}{a_n^{d+1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f'(a_n)}{a_n^d} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set

$$f_n(z) = \frac{f(a_n z)}{a_n^{d+1}}.$$

Then $\{f_n\}$ is quasiconformal of order $|d + 1|$ in

$$D := \left\{ z : \frac{1}{b} < |z| < b \right\}$$

for each $b > 1$. Moreover, $d \neq -1$; and there exist points $z_1 (= 1), z_2, \dots, z_t$ in D , $1 \leq t \leq |d + 1|$, and a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f_{n_k}(z) \sim \frac{c}{d+1} (z^{d+1} - 1) \quad \text{in } D \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t\}.$$

Proof. Fix $b > 1$. By (3), no subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ is normal at $z = 1$ and, by assumption,

$$f'_n(z) \neq \frac{R(a_n z)}{a_n^d}, \quad z \in D,$$

for large n . Since $R(z) \sim cz^d$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$R(z) = cz^d[1 + r(z)]$$

where $r(z) = o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, writing $r_n(z) = r(a_n z)$, we have

$$(4) \quad f'_n(z) \neq cz^d[1 + r_n(z)], \quad z \in D,$$

for all large n .

We claim that $d \neq -1$. Indeed, suppose to the contrary that $d = -1$. Then

$$f'_n(z) \neq \frac{c}{z}[1 + r_n(z)], \quad z \in D,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $I_1 = (-\pi, \pi)$ and $I_2 = (-\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$. For $j = 1, 2$, we consider the functions

$$H_j(z) = c(\log z)_j$$

defined, respectively, on the simply connected domains

$$D_j = \{z \in D : \arg z \in I_j\},$$

where the imaginary part of the branch $(\log z)_j$ takes values in I_j . Then for $j = 1, 2$,

$$H'_j(z) = \frac{c}{z}, \quad z \in D_j;$$

and the functions

$$h_n(z) = \frac{c}{z}[1 + r_n(z)]$$

converge uniformly to $H'_j(z)$ on D_j . Since $H_j(1) = 0$, we have by Theorem A,

$$(5) \quad f_n(z) \rightarrow H_j(z)$$

locally uniformly on $D_j \setminus \{1\}$ for $j = 1, 2$. But this is impossible, since $H_1(z) \neq H_2(z)$ on $D \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0, \operatorname{Im} z < 0\}$. This contradiction shows that $d \neq -1$.

Now set $\mu = 1/(d + 1)$. Fix $0 < \alpha < \pi$. Then the function $z(\zeta) = \zeta^\mu$ has $|d + 1|$ distinct branches $z_s(\zeta)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, |d + 1|$ on the domain

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha = \{\zeta : b^{-|d+1|} < |\zeta| < b^{|d+1|}, -\pi + \alpha < \arg z < \pi + \alpha\},$$

each of which maps \tilde{D}_α univalently onto an open sector $D_{\alpha,s}$ of D having angle $2\pi/|d + 1|$.

Denote by $z_1(\zeta)$ the branch for which $z_1(1) = 1$. Put

$$(6) \quad h_{s,n}(\zeta) = \frac{d+1}{c} f_n(z_s(\zeta)) = \frac{d+1}{ca_n^{d+1}} f(a_n z_s(\zeta))$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, |d + 1|$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $h_{s,n}$ is a meromorphic function on \tilde{D}_α , all of whose zeros are multiple if n is sufficiently large. Also, since

$$(7) \quad h'_{s,n}(\zeta) = \frac{d+1}{c} f'_n(z_s(\zeta)) z'_s(\zeta) = \frac{1}{c} f'_n(z_s(\zeta)) [z_s(\zeta)]^{-d} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{f'(a_n z_s(\zeta))}{a_n^d [z_s(\zeta)]^d},$$

we have from (4)

$$h'_{s,n}(\zeta) \neq 1 + r_n(z_s(\zeta))$$

on \tilde{D}_α for large n . Thus, by Theorem A, for each $s = 1, 2, \dots, |d + 1|$, $\{h_{s,n}\}$ is quasinormal of order 1 on \tilde{D}_α . It follows that $\{f_n\}$ is quasinormal of order 1 on each $D_{\alpha,s}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, |d + 1|$. Since α can be chosen freely, this means that $\{f_n\}$ is quasinormal of order 1 on any open sector of D of opening $2\pi/|d + 1|$. It follows that $\{f_n\}$ is quasinormal of order $|d + 1|$ on D .

Now by (6) and (3),

$$h_{1,n}(1) = \frac{d+1}{c} \frac{f(a_n)}{a_n^{d+1}} \rightarrow 0;$$

and by (7) and (3),

$$h'_{1,n}(1) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{f'(a_n)}{a_n^d} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus no subsequence of $\{h_{1,n}\}$ is normal at $\zeta = 1$. It follows from Theorem A that

$$h_{1,n}(\zeta) \rightarrow \zeta - 1$$

and hence

$$f_n(z_1(\zeta)) = \frac{f(a_n z_1(\zeta))}{a_n^{d+1}} \rightarrow \frac{c}{d+1}(\zeta - 1)$$

locally uniformly on $\tilde{D}_\alpha \setminus \{1\}$. Accordingly,

$$(8) \quad f_n(z) \rightarrow \frac{c}{d+1}(z^{d+1} - 1) \quad \text{on } D_{\alpha,1} \setminus \{1\},$$

where $D_{\alpha,1} = z_1(\tilde{D}_\alpha)$, the convergence being locally uniform. Since $\{f_n\}$ is quasiregular of order $|d+1|$, there exist points $z_1(=1), z_2, \dots, z_t$ ($1 \leq t \leq |d+1|$) and a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$f_{n_k}(z) \rightarrow f(z) \quad \text{on } D \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$$

locally uniformly, where f is a meromorphic function on $D \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$. By (8),

$$f(z) = \frac{c}{d+1}(z^{d+1} - 1)$$

on $D_{\alpha,1} \setminus \{1\}$ and hence on $D \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$. Thus

$$f_{n_k}(z) \rightarrow \frac{c}{d+1}(z^{d+1} - 1)$$

locally uniformly on $D \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$. ■

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We assume that $f' - R$ has at most finitely many zeros and derive a contradiction. Let $R(z) \sim cz^d$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $g(z) = f(z)/z^{d+1}$.

Suppose first that

$$T(r, g) = \mathcal{O}((\log r)^2) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then, since $T(r, g) = T(r, f) + \mathcal{O}(\log r)$, we have $T(r, f) = \mathcal{O}((\log r)^2)$. By Lemma 4, g has an asymptotic value. Hence, by Lemma 3, g is not a Julia exceptional function. Thus, by Lemma 1, there exists a sequence of complex numbers $\{a_n\}$ such that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, $g(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, and $a_n g'(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $f(a_n)/a_n^{d+1} = g(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $f'(a_n)/a_n^d = a_n g'(a_n) + (d+1)g(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 5 that $d \neq -1$. Taking an appropriate subsequence (which we continue to call $\{a_n\}$), we see also from Lemma 5 that for each

$b > 1$, there exist points $z_1 (= 1), z_2, \dots, z_t, 1 \leq t \leq |d+1|$, in $D = \{1/b < |z| < b\}$ such that

$$\frac{f(a_n z)}{a_n^{d+1}} \sim \frac{c}{d+1}(z^{d+1} - 1), \quad z \in D \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking r_1 and r_2 such that $1/b < r_1 < r_2 < b$ and $|z_j| \notin [r_1, r_2]$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{f(a_n z)}{a_n^{d+1}} \sim \frac{c}{d+1}(z^{d+1} - 1), \quad r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2.$$

Set $z = re^{i\theta}$, $a_n = |a_n|e^{i\varphi_n}$. Then for $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$,

$$(9) \quad f(|a_n|re^{i(\theta+\varphi_n)}) \sim \frac{c}{d+1} (|a_n|re^{i(\theta+\varphi_n)})^{d+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(re^{i\theta})^{d+1}}\right).$$

By Lemma 4, there exist $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(10) \quad f(\rho e^{i\theta_0}) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{d+1}(\rho e^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{d+1}) & \text{if } d \geq 0, \\ a + \frac{c}{d+1}(\rho e^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^d) & \text{if } d \leq -2, \end{cases}$$

as $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$. In particular, (10) holds for $|a_n|r_1 \leq \rho \leq |a_n|r_2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fixing n , putting $\theta = \theta_0 - \varphi_n$ in (9), and comparing (9) with (10), we obtain for $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{d+1} (|a_n|re^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(re^{i(\theta_0-\varphi_n)})^{d+1}}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{c}{d+1}(|a_n|re^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} + \mathcal{O}(|a_n|^{d+1}) & \text{if } d \geq 0, \\ a + \frac{c}{d+1}(|a_n|re^{i\theta_0})^{d+1} + \mathcal{O}(|a_n|^d) & \text{if } d \leq -2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (11) now yields a contradiction.

We now turn to the case in which

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T(r, g)}{(\log r)^2} = +\infty.$$

By Lemma 2, there exist radii $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and complex numbers a_n satisfying $r_n/2 < |a_n| < 2r_n$ such that

$$(12) \quad S(r_n/2, 2r_n, g) \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$g(a_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad a_n g'(a_n) \rightarrow \infty.$$

As before, we have

$$\frac{f(a_n)}{a_n^{d+1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f'(a_n)}{a_n^d} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set

$$f_n(z) = \frac{f(a_n z)}{a_n^{d+1}}$$

and put $D = \{z: 1/8 < |z| < 8\}$. Then clearly $\{f_n\}$ is not normal at $z = 1$, and it follows from Lemma 5 that $\{f_n\}$ is quasiregular of order $|d + 1|$ on D . Taking subsequences, we may assume that no subsequence is normal at the points $z_1(= 1), z_2, \dots, z_t, 1 \leq t \leq |d + 1|$, and that $\{f_n\}$ is normal on $D \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t\}$. By Theorem A (applied to $h_n(z) = R(a_n z)/a_n^d$ on a small disc about each $z_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$S(\Delta(z_j, \delta), f_n) \leq 2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, t.$$

Now let

$$D' = \left\{ \frac{1}{7} < |z| < 7 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \bigcup_{j=1}^t \Delta(z_j, \delta).$$

Since $\{f_n\}$ is normal on $D \setminus \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t\}$, by Marty's Theorem there exists $M > 0$ such that $f_n^\#(z) \leq M$ for $z \in D' \setminus K$. It follows that

$$(13) \quad S(1/7, 7, f_n) \leq S(D' \setminus K, f_n) + S(K, f_n) \leq 49M^2 + 2|d + 1| := M_1.$$

Let

$$g_n(z) = g(a_n z) = \frac{f_n(z)}{z^{d+1}}.$$

Then

$$g_n^\#(z) = \frac{|z^{d+1} f_n'(z) - (d + 1)z^d f_n(z)|}{|z|^{2(d+1)} + |f_n(z)|^2},$$

so

$$(14) \quad [g_n^\#(z)]^2 \leq \frac{2|z^{d+1} f_n'(z)|^2}{(|z|^{2(d+1)} + |f_n(z)|^2)^2} + \frac{2|(d + 1)z^d f_n(z)|^2}{(|z|^{2(d+1)} + |f_n(z)|^2)^2}.$$

Using the simple inequality

$$\frac{C}{C^2 + x^2} \leq \max(C, 1/C) \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$$

for $C > 0$, we have

$$(15) \quad \frac{2|z^{d+1} f_n'(z)|^2}{(|z|^{2(d+1)} + |f_n(z)|^2)^2} \leq 2 \max \left(|z|^{2(d+1)}, \frac{1}{|z|^{2(d+1)}} \right) [f_n^\#(z)]^2.$$

The second term on the right of (14) is

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{(d + 1)^2}{|z|^2} \left(\frac{2|z|^{d+1}|f_n(z)|}{|z|^{2(d+1)} + |f_n(z)|^2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{(d + 1)^2}{2|z|^2}.$$

Putting (14), (15), and (16) together, we have for $1/7 \leq |z| \leq 7$,

$$[g_n^\#(z)]^2 \leq 2 \cdot 7^{2|d|+2} \cdot [f_n^\#(z)]^2 + 7^2 \cdot (d + 1)^2.$$

It follows from (13) that

$$S(1/7, 7, g_n) \leq 2 \cdot 7^{2|d|+2} M_1 + 7^4 (d + 1)^2 := M_2.$$

But since $r_n/2 < |a_n| < 2r_n$,

$$\frac{|a_n|}{7} < \frac{r_n}{2} < 2r_n < 7|a_n|,$$

so that

$$S(r_n/2, 2r_n, g) \leq S(|a_n|/7, 7|a_n|, g) = S(1/7, 7, g_n) \leq M_2,$$

which contradicts (12).

References

1. W. Bergweiler and X. C. Pang, On the derivative of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278** (2003), 285–292.
2. C. T. Chuang, *Normal Families of Meromorphic Functions*, World Scientific, 1993.
3. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
4. O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, On the behaviour of meromorphic functions in the neighbourhood of an isolated singularity, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A* **240** (1957), 1–23.
5. S. Nevo, X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman, Picard-Hayman behavior of derivatives of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (2006), 37–43.
6. ———, Quasinormality and meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *J. Anal. Math.* **101** (2007), 1–23.

Xuecheng Pang

E-MAIL: xcpan@euler.math.ecnu.edu.cn

ADDRESS: *East China Normal University, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China.*

Shahar Nevo

E-MAIL: nevosh@macs.biu.ac.il

ADDRESS: *Bar-Ilan University, Department of Mathematics, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel.*

Lawrence Zalcman

E-MAIL: zalcman@macs.biu.ac.il

ADDRESS: *Bar-Ilan University, Department of Mathematics, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel.*