

ON STRONG APPROXIMATION APPLIED TO POST-WIDDER OPERATORS

Lucyna Rempulska and Mariola Skorupka
(Poznań University of Technology, Poland)

Received Dec. 29, 2005

Abstract

We introduce modified Post-Widder operators in polynomial weighted spaces of differentiable functions and we study strong approximation for them.

Key words Post-Widder operator, strong approximation, degree of approximation

AMS(2000) subject classification 41A36, 41A25

1 Introduction

1.1. Approximation properties of Post-Widder operators

$$P_n(f; x) := \int_0^\infty p_n(x, t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N, \quad (1)$$

$$p_n(x, t) := \frac{\left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \exp\left(-\frac{nt}{x}\right), \quad (2)$$

$I = (0, \infty)$, $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, for real-valued functions f continuous and bounded on I were examined in [2] (Chapter 9).

It is known that P_n are well defined also for $f_r(x) = x^r$, $r \in N$, and

$$P_n(1; x) = 1, \quad P_n(t; x) = x \quad \text{for } x \in I, \quad n \in N. \quad (3)$$

Generally, for $n, r \in N$ and $x \in I$, we have

$$P_n(t^r; x) = \frac{n(n+1) \cdots (n+r-1)x^r}{n^r}, \quad (4)$$

which implies that

$$P_n((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{x^2}{n} \tag{5}$$

and for every $2 \leq r \in N$ there exists a positive constant $M_1(r)$ depending only on r such that

$$P_n((t-x)^{2r}; x) \leq M_1(r) n^{-r} x^{2r} \tag{6}$$

for $x \in I$ and $n \in N^{[2]}$. Moreover, from theorems given in [2], Chapter 9, we deduce that

$$|P_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_2 \omega_2\left(f; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N \tag{7}$$

for every f continuous and bounded on I , where $\omega_2(f)$ is the second modulus of continuity of f and $M_2 = \text{const.} > 0$.

1.2. The problem of strong approximation related with Fourier series was investigated in many papers, e.g. [4].

For example, if $S_n(f; \cdot)$ and $\sigma_n(f; \cdot)$ are the n -th sum and $(C, 1)$ -mean of trigonometric Fourier series of f , respectively, i.e.

$$\sigma_n(f; x) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k(f; x),$$

then

$$\sigma_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (S_k(f; x) - f(x)), \quad x \in R, \quad n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}.$$

The strong approximation of f by $(C, 1)$ -means of Fourier series is connected with the following strong differences

$$H_n^q(f; x) := \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_k(f; x) - f(x)|^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad x \in R, \quad n \in N_0,$$

where $q > 0$ is a fixed number. It is easily verified that

$$|\sigma_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq H_n^1(f; x)$$

and

$$H_n^p(f; x) \leq H_n^q(f; x), \quad 0 < p < q < \infty$$

for $x \in R$ and $n \in N_0$. These inequalities show that the consideration of strong differences $H_n^q(f)$ for Fourier series of f is useful.

1.3. The purpose of this note is to investigate the strong approximation of functions by their Post-Widder operators. We shall define certain modified Post-Widder operators in polynomial weighted space of r times differentiable functions and we shall examine their strong differences.

Analogous to [1] let $r \in N_0$,

$$w_0(x) := 1, \quad w_r(x) := (1 + x^r)^{-1} \quad \text{if } r \geq 1, \quad x \in I, \tag{8}$$

and let C_r be the set of all real-valued functions f defined on I for which $w_r f$ is uniformly continuous and bounded on I and the norm is given by

$$\|f\|_r \equiv \|f(\cdot)\|_r := \sup_{x \in I} w_r(x) |f(x)|. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, denote by C^r , $r \in N_0$, the class of all f , r times differentiable on I with the derivatives $f^{(k)} \in C_{r-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$. Obviously $C^0 \equiv C_0$, $C_r \subset C_s$ if $r < s$ and $\|f\|_s \leq \|f\|_r$ for $f \in C_r$.

Definition. Let $r \in N_0$ be a fixed number. For $f \in C^r$ we define the following modified Post-Widder operators:

$$P_{n;r}(f; x) := \int_0^\infty p_n(x, t) F_r(x, t) dt, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N, \tag{10}$$

where $p_n(x, t)$ is defined by (2) and

$$F_r(x, t) := \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x - t)^j, \quad x, t \in I. \tag{11}$$

If $r = 0$ and $f \in C^0$, then we have

$$P_{n;0}(f; x) = \int_0^\infty p_n(x, t) f(t) dt = P_n(f; x), \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N. \tag{12}$$

In Section 2, we shall prove that P_n is a positive linear operator acting from the space C_r into C_r and $P_{n;r}$ is a linear operator from C^r into C_r .

The formulas (1)–(3) and (10)–(12) imply that

$$P_{n;r}(f; x) = P_n(F_r(x, t); x)$$

and

$$P_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x) = P_n(F_r(x, t) - f(x); x) \tag{13}$$

for every $f \in C^r$, $r \in N_0$, $x \in I$ and $n \in N$.

1.4. Let $q > 0$ and $r \in N_0$ be fixed numbers. For $f \in C_r$ and $P_n(f)$ we introduce the following strong difference with the power q :

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^q(f; x) &:= \left(\int_0^\infty p_n(x, t) |f(t) - f(x)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &\equiv (P_n(|f(t) - f(x)|^q; x))^{1/q}, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Analogously, the strong difference of $f \in C^r$, $r \in N$, and $P_{n;r}(f)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n;r}^q(f; x) &:= \left(\int_0^\infty p_n(x, t) |F_r(x, t) - f(x)|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &\equiv (P_n(|F_r(x, t) - f(x)|^q; x))^{1/q}, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N, \quad q > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From (1)–(3) and (13)–(15) it follows that

$$|P_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq H_n^1(f; x) \tag{16}$$

and

$$H_n^q(f; x) \leq H_n^s(f; x), \quad 0 < q < s < \infty \tag{17}$$

for every $f \in C_r$, $r \in N_0$, $x \in I$ and $n \in N$. Moreover, if $f \in C^r$, $r \in N$, then

$$|P_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq H_{n;r}^1(f; x), \tag{18}$$

$$H_{n;r}^q(f; x) \leq H_{n;r}^s(f; x), \quad 0 < q < s < \infty, \tag{19}$$

for $x \in I$, $n \in N$ and $q > 0$.

In Section 3, we shall give theorems on strong differences $H_n^q(f)$ and $H_{n;r}^q(f)$, using the modulus of continuity of $f \in C_r$ defined by

$$\omega(f; C_r; t) := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \|\Delta_h f(\cdot)\|_r, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{20}$$

where $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x + h) - f(x)$ for $x \in I$ and $h \geq 0$.

In this paper we shall denote by $M_k(a, b)$, $k \in N$, suitable positive constants depending only on indicated parameters a, b .

2 Auxiliary Results

2.1. First we shall give some properties of operators P_n in the space C_r .

We shall use the following inequalities derived from (8):

$$(w_r(x))^q \leq w_{rq}(x), \quad (w_r(x))^{-q} \leq 2^q (w_{rq}(x))^{-1}, \tag{21}$$

for $x > 0$ and $r, q \in N_0$.

Applying the Hölder inequality, (3) and (6), we immediately obtain

Lemma 1. *For every $r \in N$ there exists $M_3(r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that*

$$P_n(|t - x|^r; x) \leq M_3(r) n^{-r/2} x^r, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $q > 0$ and $r \in N_0$ be fixed numbers. Then there exists $M_4(q, r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \left(P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-q}; \cdot \right) \right)^{1/q} \right\|_r \leq M_4(q, r) \quad \text{for } n \in N. \tag{22}$$

Proof. The inequality (22) is obvious for $r = 0$ and $q > 0$.

Now let $r \in N$. If $q = 1$, then by (1)-(4) and (8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-1}; x \right) &= \frac{1}{1+x^r} P_n(1+t^r; x) \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{n(n+1) \dots (n+r-1)}{n^r} \leq 1+r! \quad \text{for } x \in I, n \in N. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

If $2 \leq q \in N$, then by (1),(2),(21) and (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) \left(P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-q}; x \right) \right)^{1/q} &\leq 2 \left(w_{rq}(x) P_n \left((w_{rq}(t))^{-1}; x \right) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq 2(1+(rq)!)^{1/q}, \quad x > 0, n \in N. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Let $0 < q \notin N$ and let $[q]$ be the integral part of q . Then $s := [q] + 1$ belongs to N and $q < s$. Applying the Hölder inequality, (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) \left(P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-q}; x \right) \right)^{1/q} &\leq w_r(x) \left(P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-s}; x \right) \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq 2(1+(rs)!)^{1/s} \quad \text{for } x > 0, n \in N. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

From (23)–(25) and (9) the inequality (22) follows.

Lemma 3. *Let q and r satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2. Then for every $f \in C_r$ and $n \in N$, we have*

$$\left\| \left(P_n (|f|^q; \cdot) \right)^{1/q} \right\|_r \leq M_4(q, r) \|f\|_r \tag{26}$$

and

$$\|P_n(f; \cdot)\|_r \leq M_4(1, r) \|f\|_r, \tag{27}$$

where $M_4(q, r)$ is given by Lemma 2.

The formulas (1), (2) and (27) show that $P_n, n \in N$, is a positive linear operator from the space C_r into $C_r, r \in N_0$.

Proof. By (1),(2) and (9) we get

$$\| (P_n (|f(t)|^q; \cdot))^{1/q} \|_r \leq \|f\|_r \left\| \left(P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-q}; \cdot \right) \right)^{1/q} \right\|_r$$

and

$$\|P_n(f)\|_r \leq \|P_n(|f|)\|_r,$$

for every $f \in C_r$, $q > 0$ and $n \in N$. Applying (22), we obtain (26) and then (27).

2.3. Now we shall prove the analogues of (26) and (27) for $P_{n;r}$.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $r \in N$ and $q > 0$. Then there exists $M_5(q, r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for every $f \in C^r$ and $n \in N$ we have*

$$\| (P_n (|F_r(\cdot, t)|^q; \cdot))^{1/q} \|_r \leq M_5(q, r) \left(\|f\|_r + \|f^{(r)}\|_0 \right) \tag{28}$$

and

$$\|P_{n;r}(f)\|_r \leq M_5(1, r) \left(\|f\|_r + \|f^{(r)}\|_0 \right). \tag{29}$$

The formulas (10), (11) and (29) show that $P_{n;r}$, $n, r \in N$, is a linear operator from the space C^r into C_r .

Proof. First let $q \in N$. Analogous to [3] and [5] we apply the following modified Taylor formula of $f \in C^r$ at a fixed point $t > 0$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x-t)^j + \frac{(x-t)^r}{(r-1)!} I_r(x, t), \quad x > 0, \tag{30}$$

where

$$I_r(x, t) := \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} \left(f^{(r)}(t+u(x-t)) - f^{(r)}(t) \right) du. \tag{31}$$

By (11),(30) and (31) we have

$$F_r(x, t) = f(x) - \frac{(x-t)^r}{(r-1)!} I_r(x, t), \quad x, t > 0,$$

and next by (1)-(3) and the Minkowski inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) (P_n (|F_r(x, t)|^q; x))^{1/q} &= \\ &= w_r(x) \left(P_n \left(\left| f(x) - \frac{(x-t)^r}{(r-1)!} I_r(x, t) \right|^q; x \right) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|f\|_r + \frac{w_r(x)}{(r-1)!} (P_n (|t-x|^{rq} |I_r(x, t)|^q; x))^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in N$. But for $f \in C^r$, $r \in N$, we have $f^{(r)} \in C_0$ and from (31) we deduce that

$$|I_r(x, t)| \leq 2 \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_0 \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} du = \frac{2}{r} \|f^{(r)}\|_0 \quad \text{for } x, t > 0.$$

These inequalities, (21) and Lemma 1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) (P_n (|F_r(x, t)|^q; x))^{1/q} &\leq \|f\|_r + \frac{2}{r!} \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_0 (w_{rq}(x) P_n (|t-x|^{rq}; x))^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|f\|_r + M_6(q, r) \|f^{(r)}\|_0 n^{-r/2} \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in N$. From the above estimate and (9), (28) follows for $q \in N$.

If $0 < q \notin N$, then arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2, we have

$$w_r(x) (P_n (|F_r(x, t)|^q; x))^{1/q} \leq w_r(x) (P_n (|F_r(x, t)|^s; x))^{1/s}$$

for $x > 0$, $n \in N$ and $s = [q] + 1$. Using (28) with the power s , we obtain (28) for $0 < q \notin N$.

Moreover, the formulas (10),(11) and (1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) |P_{n;r}(f; x)| &\leq w_r(x) P_n (|F_r(x, t)|; x) \\ &\leq \|P_n (|F_r(\cdot, t)|; \cdot)\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in C^r$, $x > 0$ and $n \in N$. Now using (28) with $q = 1$, we obtain (29) and complete the proof.

3 Theorems

3.1. First we shall prove two theorems on $H_n^q(f)$ (defined by (14)) for $f \in C_r$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $q > 0$ and $r \in N_0$ are fixed numbers. Then there exists $M_7(q, r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for every $f \in C_r$ having the derivative $f' \in C_r$ we have*

$$w_r(x) H_n^q(f; x) \leq M_7(q, r) \|f'\|_r \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N. \tag{32}$$

Proof. Let $q \in N$. Then for f satisfying our assumptions we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_x^t f'(u) du \right| \leq \|f'\|_r \left| \int_x^t \frac{du}{w_r(u)} \right| \\ &\leq \|f'\|_r \left(\frac{1}{w_r(t)} + \frac{1}{w_r(x)} \right) |t - x|, \quad x, t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality to (14) and next by Minkowski and Hölder inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x) H_n^q(f; x) &\leq \|f'\|_r \left\{ w_r(x) \left(P_n \left(\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_r(t)} \right)^q; x \right) \right)^{1/q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (P_n (|t-x|^q; x))^{1/q} \right\} \\ &\leq \|f'\|_r \left\{ w_r(x) \left(P_n \left((w_r(t))^{-2q}; x \right) \right)^{1/2q} (P_n ((t-x)^{2q}; x))^{1/2q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (P_n ((t-x)^{2q}; x))^{1/2q} (P_n (1; x))^{1/2q} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which by (3),(5),(6) and Lemma 2 gives the immediately (32) for $q \in N$.

If $0 < q \notin N$, then by (17) we have

$$H_n^q(f; x) \leq H_n^{[q]+1}(f; x), \quad x > 0, \quad n \in N,$$

and by (32) with the power $[q] + 1$ we get (32) for $0 < q \notin N$.

Thus the proof is completed.

Theorem 2. *Let $q > 0$ and $r \in N_0$ be given numbers. Then there exists $M_8(q, r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for every $f \in C_r$ we have*

$$w_r(x)H_n^q(f; x) \leq M_8(q, r) \omega\left(f; C_r; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad x \in I, \quad n \in N \tag{33}$$

and

$$\|H_n^q(f)\|_{r+1} \leq 4M_8(q, r) \omega\left(f; C_r; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad n \in N. \tag{34}$$

Proof. First let $q \geq 1$. Similar to [5] we apply the Stiecklov function f_h of $f \in C_r$:

$$f_h(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(x+t)dt, \quad x, h > 0.$$

This formula and (20) imply that

$$\|f - f_h\|_r \leq \omega(f; C_r; h), \tag{35}$$

$$\|f'_h\|_r \leq h^{-1} \omega(f; C_r; h), \tag{36}$$

for $h > 0$. Thus f_h and f'_h belong to C_r if $f \in C_r$. Now, using the inequality

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq |f(t) - f_h(t)| + |f_h(t) - f_h(x)| + |f_h(x) - f(x)|,$$

the Minkowski inequality and (3) we get from (14)

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^q(f; x) &\leq (P_n(|f(t) - f_h(x)|^q; x))^{1/q} \\ &\quad + (P_n(|f_h(t) - f_h(x)|^q; x))^{1/q} + |f_h(x) - f(x)| \\ &:= \sum_{k=1}^3 T_{n,k}(x), \quad x, h > 0, \quad n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (26) and (35) we have

$$\|T_{n,1}\|_r \leq M_4(q, r) \|f - f_h\|_r \leq M_4(q, r) \omega(f; C_r; h)$$

and

$$\|T_{n,3}\|_r \leq \omega(f; C_r; h) \quad \text{for } h > 0, \quad n \in N.$$

Applying Theorem 1 and (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(x)T_{n,2}(x) &\leq M_7(q, r) \|f'_h\|_r \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &\leq M_7(q, r) \frac{x}{h\sqrt{n}} \omega(f; C_r; h), \end{aligned}$$

for $x, h > 0$ and $n \in N$. Summarizing, we obtain

$$w_r(x) H_n^q(f; x) \leq M_8(q, r) \omega(f; C_r; h) \left(1 + \frac{x}{h\sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{37}$$

for $x, h > 0$ and $n \in N$. Setting $h = \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}$ to (37), we obtain (33) for $q \geq 1$.

If $0 < q < 1$, then by (17) we have

$$H_n^q(f; x) \leq H_n^1(f; x), \quad x > 0, \quad n \in N,$$

which by (33) with $q = 1$ gives (33) for $0 < q < 1$.

Choosing $h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, we get from (37):

$$\begin{aligned} w_{r+1}(x) H_n^q(f; x) &\leq M_8(q, r) \omega\left(f; C_r; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \frac{(1+x)w_{r+1}(x)}{w_r(x)} \\ &\leq 4M_8(q, r) \omega\left(f; C_r; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in N$, which by (9) implies (34) for $q \geq 1$. The proof of (34) for $0 < q < 1$ is analogous to that of (33).

3.2. Now we shall give the analogues of (33) and (34) for $H_{n;r}^q(f)$ defined by (15).

Theorem 3. Assume that $q > 0$ and $r \in N$. Then there exists $M_9(q, r) = \text{const.} > 0$ such that for every $f \in C^r$ we have

$$w_r(x) H_{n;r}^q(f; x) \leq M_9(q, r) n^{-r/2} \omega\left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad x > 0, \quad n \in N, \tag{38}$$

and

$$\|H_{n;r}^q(f)\|_{r+1} \leq 4M_9(q, r) n^{-r/2} \omega\left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad n \in N. \tag{39}$$

Proof. Let $q \in N$. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4 we apply the Taylor formula (30) of $f \in C^r$. From (15),(11),(30) and (31) it follows that

$$H_{n;r}^q(f; x) \leq \frac{1}{(r-1)!} (P_n(|t-x|^{r,q} |I_r(x,t)|^q; x))^{1/q}, \quad x > 0, \quad n \in N.$$

Next, by (20) and properties of $\omega(f^{(r)}; C_0; \cdot)$, we get from (31)

$$\begin{aligned} |I_r(x,t)| &:= \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} \omega\left(f^{(r)}; C_0; u|x-t|\right) du \\ &\leq \omega\left(f^{(r)}; C_0; |t-x|\right) \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r-1} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} \omega\left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{x}|t-x|+1\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $x, t > 0$ and $n \in N$. Applying the above results, Minkowski inequality and Lemma 1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n;r}^q(f; x) &\leq \frac{1}{r!} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{x} \left(P_n \left(|t - x|^{q(r+1)}; x \right) \right)^{1/q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(P_n \left(|t - x|^{qr}; x \right) \right)^{1/q} \right\} \\ &\leq M_{10}(q, r) \omega \left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) n^{-r/2} x^r, \quad x > 0, \quad n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality, (8) and (9) immediately yield (38) for $q \in N$.

Now let $0 < q \notin N$. Then by (19) we have

$$H_{n;r}^q(f; x) \leq H_{n;r}^{[q]+1}(f; x), \quad x > 0, \quad n \in N,$$

and by (38) with the power $[q] + 1$, (38) follows for $0 < q \notin N$.

The inequality (39) for $f \in C^r$ is easily to obtain from (38), by applying the inequalities: $(1 + x)w_{r+1}(x)(w_r(x))^{-1} \leq 4$ and

$$\omega \left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \leq (x + 1) \omega \left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in N$.

3.3. From Theorem 2, Theorem 3, (16) and (18) we derive the following corollaries:

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in C_r$ with $r \in N_0$. Then for every $q > 0$ we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H_n^q(f)\|_{r+1} = 0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n^q(f; x) = 0 \quad \text{at every } x > 0.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $f \in C^r$, $r \in N$. Then for every $q > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{r/2} \|H_{n;r}^q(f)\|_{r+1} = 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{r/2} H_{n;r}^q(f; x) = 0 \quad \text{at every } x > 0.$$

Corollary 3. *Let f and r satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2. Then*

$$w_r(x) |P_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_8(1, r) \omega \left(f; C_r; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \quad \text{for } x > 0, \quad n \in N.$$

If f and r satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3, then

$$w_r(x) |P_{n;r}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M_9(1, r) n^{-r/2} \omega \left(f^{(r)}; C_0; \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark. By (16)–(18) we see that the results concerning strong differences $H_n^q(f)$ and $H_{n;r}^q(f)$ imply direct approximation theorems for operators $P_n(f)$ and $P_{n;r}(f)$ and functions f belonging to spaces C_r and C^r respectively.

The above corollaries and (7) show that the operators $P_{n;r}(f)$ for $f \in C^r$, $r \geq 2$, have approximation properties better than the classical Post-Widder operators P_n defined by (1).

Finally we mention that similar theorems can be obtained for the Stancu beta operator

$$B_n(f; x) := \frac{1}{B(nx, n+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{nx-1}}{(1+t)^{n+1}} f(t) dt$$

introduced in [6].

References

- [1] Becker, M., Global Approximation Theorems for Szász-Mirakyan and Baskakov Operators in Polynomial Weight Spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 27:(1978), 127-142.
- [2] Ditzian, Z. and Totik, V., *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [3] Kirov, G. H., A Generalization of the Bernstein Polynomials, *Math. Balkanica*, 6:2(1992), 147-153.
- [4] Leindler, L., *Strong Approximation by Fourier Series*, Akad. Kiado, Budapest, 1985.
- [5] Rempulska, L. and Skorupka, M., On Strong Approximation of Functions by Certain Linear Operators, *J. Okayama Univ.*, 46(2004), 153-161.
- [6] Stancu, D. D., On the Beta Approximating Operators of Second Kind, *Rev. Anal. Numer. Theor. Approx.*, 24:1-2(1995), 231-239.

Institute of Mathematics

Poznań University of Technology

ul. Piotrowo 3A

60-965 Poznań, Poland

E-mail: mariolas@math.put.poznan.pl; lrempuls@math.put.poznan.pl