# TWO-TRIPLET MODEL OF "DIRECT" MUON PRODUCTION\*

## By

## K. LADÁNYI

RESEARCH GROUP FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BUDAPEST

### (Received 18. III. 1969)

A particular two-triplet model of "direct" lepton productions is suggested by assuming that both leptons and hadrons belong to representations of the six-dimensional rotation group *SOs.* 

## **I. Introduction**

Considerable interest has recently been attaehed to the deep-mine experiment of BERGESON et al.  $[1, 2]$  which indicates a failure of the sec $\Theta$  law in the energy domain  $10^3-10^4$  GeV. This implies either direct production of cosmic-ray muons of  $\gtrsim 10^3$  GeV or production via a very short-lived parent. Similar production of high-energy electrons has also been proposed [2] in order to explain some mu-less shower data. On the other hand, the Brookhaven experiment [3] verified the sec $\Theta$  law below 300 GeV. In addition, the data re-examined by NASH and WOLFENDALE [4] imply no evidence for direct muon production in the energy domain 500-1000 GeV. The reported experiments indicate, if all the data are correct, the absence of a transition region between pure pion and kaon parentage below 103 GeV and "direct" lepton production above this energy. To explain this situation BJORKEN et al.  $[5]$ suggested some theoretical models involving the strong production of a massive particle  $X$  which is stable under strong and electromagnetic interactions and decays with high probability into a state containing a muon. According to the most popular assumptions the  $X$  particle could be an intermediate boson, produced strongly in pairs, along the lines suggested by OKUBO, MARSHAK and coworkers [6]. The experiment of BEnGESON et al. seems to indicate, however, that the Vertical muon intensity arises entirely from "direct" production and that the conventional flux of pions and kaons has effectively vanished [7].

As a second theoretical possibility one may conjecture that the  $X$  particles are strongly interacting heavy triplets with integral charge [5, 8-11]. This interpretation is favoured by a recent underground experiment of DAnvo, PENENGO and SITTE [12]. Two distinct kinds of strongly interacting particles

<sup>\*</sup> Dedicated to Prof. P. GOMBAS on his 60th birthday.

have been observed. The first group has all the characteristics of pions, the second appears *only* with short delays after the triggering muons. To explain the data DARDO at al. suggested that the delayed events are due to partieles of a mass about  $10 \sim 15$  GeV, possibly heavy triplet particles of unit charge. The apparent discrepaney between these conclusions and those of earlier experiments [13] may be explained by the differences in the triggering conditions, if the following assumptions are satisfied. (a) The heavy triplet partieles are created in processes involving dissociation of the colliding primaries. (b) The unstable members of the triplets have a dominant decay mode leading direetly, without intermediate strongly interacting particles, to energetic muons. This production meehanism seems to be compatible with the results of BERGESON et al. On the other hand, the reported "direct" production of leptons does not follow direetly, without additional assumptions, from "conventional" triplet models with integer charge. Although confirmation of the effect and further experimental details are needed, the theoretical study of the problem may lead to useful points of view. In this paper a particular two-triplet model of direct lepton production will be proposed by assuming that both leptons and hadrons belong to representations of the rotation group  $SO_8$ .

## **II. Two-triplet model of leptons. "Direct" production**

Ah interesting two-triplet model of hadrons has been proposed by BACRY, NUYTS and VAN HOVE [9, 10]. In this scheme the hadrons are assigned into representations of the symplectic group *Spe.* In two previous papers [14, 15] we have discussed some general dynamical and symmetry properties of the two-triplet model by assuming that hadrons belong to representations of the six-dimensional rotation group  $SO_6$ . The basic representation 6 of the rankthree group  $SO_8$  contains two  $SU_3$  triplets with integer charge. The members of the triplets ate the trions denoted by

$$
t_1 = T^+, t_2 = T^0, t_3 = T'^0, t_4 = \Theta^0, t_5 = \Theta^+, t_6 = \Theta'^+.
$$
 (1)

The trions are characterized by spin  $1/2$  and internal quantum numbers Z, Q, Y, I,  $I_3$ , where Z is a new quantum number, related to the supercharge [9].  $(0, Y, I)$ and  $I_3$  denote the electric charge, the hypercharge, the isospin assignment and the third component of isospin, respectively). In addition, the fermion number N of trions is fixed by  $N = 1$ . These quantum numbers are identical with those of trions belonging to the basic representation 6 of  $Sp<sub>6</sub>$  [9]. The state assignments of trions ate summarized in Table I. We shall assume that the trions  $t_m$  are created by the six-component trion field  $t_m$ . Within the framewok of the  $SO_6$  model the known baryons may be regarded

Quantum numbers of trions						
	1,	Ι	Y	z	Q	N
$T^+$	1 $\boldsymbol{2}$	1 $\overline{2}$	1 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	ı $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	ı	1
$T^0$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{l}$ $\overline{2}$	ı 3	ı 3	0	ı
$T^{\prime o}$	$\bf{0}$	$\bf{0}$	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ 3	1 3	0	ı
$\Theta^0$	1 $\overline{2}$	1 $\mathbf{2}$	ı 3	ı $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	0	ı
$\Theta^+$	1 $\boldsymbol{2}$	ı $\overline{2}$	ı $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	1 3	ı	ı
$\Theta'^+$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	1 3	1	ı

**Table** I

as  $TT\overline{\Theta}$  systems with  $Z=1, N=1$ . In addition, the known mesons are supposed to be tt states of  $Z = 0, N = 0$ .

The classification of leptons into the  $SO_6$  scheme is provided by the new quantum number  $Z$ . We now put the positron  $e^+$  and the positron-neutrino  $\bar{v}_e$  into the basic representation 6 of  $SO_6$  by assuming that they are created by "elementary" fields. Thus,  $e^+$  and  $\bar{\nu}_e$  are supposed to have the assignments  $|Z| = 1/3$  and  $N = 1$ . If this is so, we have to require exact Z conservation in order to guarantee the conservation of baryons with  $Z = 1$  and  $N = 1$ . In addition, only the approximate hypercharge conservation may lead to ah apparent conservation of muon number within the framework of this model. Before considering this problem we shall discuss the  $e^{+}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_e$  doublet. Let us call the known leptons  $e^{\pm}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_e$ ,  $\nu_e$  and  $\mu^+$ ,  $\nu_u$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_u$  normal fermions of  $|Z| = 1/3$ ,  $|N| = 1$ . The other particles with  $Z \neq N$  will be called exotic particles. We now fix the quantum number Z of  $e^+$  and  $\bar{\nu}_e$  by assuming that all the exotic fermions and bosons are unstable particles with a mass  $\gtrsim$  5 GeV. By this assumption one obtains  $Z = +1/3$  for  $e^+$  and  $\bar{r}_e$ , which can be easily seen as follows. Due to the assignments  $Z = 1/3$  and  $N = 1$  of  $e^+$  and  $\bar{\nu}_e$ , the exotic fermions of  $Z = 1/3$ ,  $N = 1$  are unstable e g. by hypercharge violating processes. In addition, the exotic fermions with  $Z = -1/3$ ,  $N = 1$  (e.g. the trions  $\Theta^0$ ,  $\Theta^+$ ,  $\Theta'^+$ ) may have a Z-conserving decay mode of the type

$$
\Theta \to \overline{B} + L + L', \tag{2}
$$

where  $\overline{B}$  is an antibaryon, represented by a  $\overline{OTT}$  system with  $Z = -1, N = -1$ , and the particles L and L' may be identified with leptons of  $Z = 1/3$ ,  $N = 1$  (e.g.  $e^+$  or  $\bar{\nu}_e$ ). On the other hand, the assignments  $Z = -1/3$ ,  $N = 1$  of  $e^+$  and  $\bar{v}_e$  are excluded by our assumptions, because one of the exotic particles (e.g. a trion T of  $Z = 1/3$ ,  $N = 1$ ) would be absolutely stable in this case.

Summarizing, the members of the T-triplet and the leptons  $e^+$  and  $\bar{\nu}_e$ have the common quantum numbers  $Z = 1/3$ ,  $N = 1$  in our scheme. However, the constituents  $T$  of hadrons are supposed to be collective excitations generated by a self-consistent *nonperturbative* mechanism of strong interaetions [15]. The effective masses and couplings of these states may be quite different from those of free leptons. The strong interaction between hadron eonstituents may be connected with the large "bare" coupling constants obtained in previous dynamical calculations [15]. These "bare" coupling constants are defined by integrals of the spectral functions appearing in the effective interaction kernel. Electrons and neutrinos have no strong couplings to a known boson. Thus, in this model, their large "bare" coupling constants must arise from contributions of the continuous spectrum at very high energies.

The  $SO_6$  properties of leptons will be fixed according to the Cabibbo universality of leptonic and semileptonic weak processes. Asa first step we shall construct the weak hadronic current in terms of the six-component trion field t by generalizing the corresponding expressions of the quark model. In order to ensure universality, one has to assign the wcak trion current to the regular representation 15 of  $SO_6$ . Compared with the weak quark current, the explicit form of the weak trion current is less trivial because the  $SO_6$ hadrons contain two fermions T and the antifermion  $\overline{\Theta}$  simultaneously. For example, let us consider the  $SO_6$  structure of the nucleon doublet<sup>\*</sup> *p*, *n* given by [16, 17] (spinor indices are omitted)

$$
\mathbf{p} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \overline{\mathcal{D}^0} (\mathbf{T}^0 \mathbf{T}^+ - \mathbf{T}^+ \mathbf{T}^0) \right], \tag{3}
$$

$$
\mathbf{n} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \overline{\mathbf{\Theta}^+} (\mathbf{T}^0 \mathbf{T}^+ - \mathbf{T}^+ \mathbf{T}^0) \right]. \tag{4}
$$

It follows that the nuclear  $\beta$  decay is due to a weak decay of the anti- $\Theta$  ( $\Theta$ ) constituents of nucleons in this model. Consequently, the weak trion current, belonging to the regular representation 15 of  $SO_6$ , must have the  $V + A$  form according to the  $V-A$  coupling observed in semileptonic weak processes of

<sup>\*</sup> The familiar  $SU_6$  results for the baryon magnetic moments may be recovered by assuming that the magnetic moments  $\mathbf{M}^{(tH)}$  of the trion constituents of hadrons transform like  $\mathbf{M}^{(tH)}$   $\sim$  $I_3 + (1/2)$  Y. In this case the  $SO_6$  transformation properties of  $M^{(tH)}$  are different from those of the eleetric eharge Q.

nucleons. We now can construct the weak Cabibbo current  $J^{\mu}$  of trions in a straightforward way. One obtains

$$
J^{\mu} = \tilde{\mathbf{t}} \gamma^{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) V^{(+115)}(\Theta_c) \mathbf{t}, \qquad (5)
$$

$$
V^{(\pm)15)}(\Theta_c) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{1(15)} \pm i\bar{\lambda}^{2(15)}}{2} \cos \Theta_c + \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{4(15)} \pm i\bar{\lambda}^{5(15)}}{2} \sin \Theta_c, \qquad (6)
$$

where  $\gamma_5 = i\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ ,  $\Theta_c$  is the Cabibbo angle and the matrices  $\bar{\lambda}^{(1)}$ (15) are generators of the group  $SO_6$ . [15] contains the complete list of the matrices  $\bar{\lambda}^{J(15)}$ . The weak current (5) may be written as

$$
\mathbf{J}^{\mu} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}} \gamma^{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) V^{(+|15)}(0) \boldsymbol{l} , \qquad (7)
$$

where

$$
V^{(\pm)15)}(0) = (1/2) \, (\lambda^{1(15)} \pm i \lambda^{2(15)})
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{l} = \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_c) \mathbf{t},\tag{8}
$$

$$
U(\Theta_c) = \exp[i\Theta_c \bar{\lambda}^{7(15)}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \Theta_c & \sin \Theta_c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \Theta_c & \cos \Theta_c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \Theta_c & \sin \Theta_c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin \Theta_c & \cos \Theta_c \end{bmatrix} . \quad (9)
$$

The unitary transformation (8) defines a weak  $SO<sub>6</sub>$  space and, in particular, the weak hypercharge  $Y'$  and the weak isospin  $I'$  in a straightforward way. By this definition the weak quantum numbers  $Y'$ ,  $I'$  and  $I'_3$  of  $I_m$  are identical with the corresponding (strong)  $SO_6$  quantum numbers Y, I and I<sub>3</sub> of  $t_m$  $(m = 1, \ldots 6)$ . In addition,  $l_n$  and  $t_m$  have a common quantum number Z. The simplest weak isodoublets of  $Z = +1/3$  are given by

$$
l_1 \sim T^+ \,, \tag{10}
$$

$$
l_2 \sim T^0 \cos \Theta_c + T'^0 \sin \Theta_c , \qquad (11)
$$

and the " $E$ -type" members of a (weak)  $SU_3$  15-plet

$$
\mathbf{M}^0 \sim [\mathbf{I}_3 \, \mathbf{I}_3 \, \bar{\mathbf{I}}_2],\tag{12}
$$

$$
\mathbf{M}^{-}\sim[\boldsymbol{l}_{3}\,\boldsymbol{l}_{3}\,\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{1}] \tag{13}
$$

with  $l_3 = -T^0 \sin \theta_c + T'^0 \cos \theta_c$ . Table II contains the relevant quantum numbers of the particles  $l_1, l_2$  M<sup>o</sup> and M<sup>-</sup> created by the operators  $l_1, l_2, M^0$ and  $M^-$ , respectively. It should be noted that the structures (12)--(13) imply an  $SO_6$  representation mixing due to the  $T-\Theta$  effective-mass difference.





If both leptons and hadrons belong to representations of  $SO_6$ , then the sum of leptonic and hadronic weak currents may be reduced to the single trion current (5). The choice of leptonic state assignments is restricted by the following empirical facts. (a) Baryon and lepton conservation. (b) Electron-muon symmetry and the apparent conservation of muon number. (c)  $V-A$ structure of leptonic interactions in the conventional form. (d) Cabibbo reduction of the weak coupling strength in semileptonic weak processes. We observe that these conditions are satisfied if the leptons are identified by

$$
e^{+} = l_1, \ \bar{\nu}_e = l_2, \ \nu_\mu = M^0, \ \mu^- = M^- \tag{14}
$$

with (10)-(13) ( $v_{\mu}$  and  $\mu^{-}$  denote the muon-neutrino and the muon, respectively, which are assumed to be tightly bound states). In this way baryon and lepton conservations are due to the exact conservation of  $Z$  and  $N$ . The particles  $e^+$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_e$  and  $\nu_\mu$ ,  $\mu^-$  form two distinct isodoublets in the weak  $SO_6$  space and the apparent conservation of muon number is connected with the (approximate) conservation of the weak hypercharge  $Y'$ . We may write the leptonic piece of the weak current (5) in terms of the doublets  $v_{\epsilon}$ ,  $e^-$  and  $v_{\mu}$ ,  $\mu^-$ . In this conventional form we recover the well known  $V-A$  structure which follows from the  $SO_6$  properties  $(10)$ - $(14)$  of leptons.

A unified two-triplet model of leptons and hadrons has already been proposed by KLEIN [18]. In his model a single four-component neutrino  $v, e^$ and  $\mu^-$  are identified with the antitrions  $\bar{\Theta}^0$ ,  $\bar{\Theta}^+$  and  $\bar{\Theta}'^+$ , respectively. Other triplet models for leptons have also been discussed in the literature, some papers are listed in [19].

Motivated by the structure of leptonic and semileptonic weak interactions, we now suggest that the symmetry properties of leptonic states are fixed by relations  $(10) - (14)$ . In addition, our model may lead to direct lepton production by a dissociation of colliding hadrons. This process occurs only at very high energies, if the lowest  $\Theta$  mass is sufficiently large. On the other hand, no absolutely stable exotic particles ate to be expected according to the present experimental situation. The simplest Z-conserving dissociation process of two colliding baryons B and B' may be written in the schematic form

$$
B + B' = (TT\overline{\Theta}) + (TT\overline{\Theta})' \rightarrow L_A + L_B + \overline{\Theta} + L'_A + L'_B + \overline{\Theta}' + \text{photons}, \quad (15)
$$

where the common notation  $L$  is used for the leptons  $(14)$  which are characterized by  $Z = 1/3$ ,  $N = 1$  (The corresponding antiparticles of  $Z = -1/3$ ,  $N = -1$ ) will be denoted by  $~\hat{L}$ ). Due to the Z-conservation, the lowest antitrion  $~\bar{\Theta}~$  cannot decay by emission of known bosons with  $Z = 0$ ,  $N = 0$ . The only Z-cons erving decay modes of  $\bar{\Theta}$  lead to a baryon  $B_t$  and two leptons  $\bar{L}$  with  $Z = -1/3,$  $N = -1$ . These processes are assumed to be mediated by bosons  $\Phi_{\rm x}$ , with  $Z = 2/3$ ,  $N = 0$  and integer charge, possibly members of an undiscovered  $SU<sub>2</sub>$  triplet or sextet. Both representations 15 and 20" of  $SO<sub>a</sub>$  contain bosons of this type [15]. We may now write the Z-conserving  $\bar{\Theta}$ -decays in the form

$$
\overline{\Theta} \to \overline{L} + \underbrace{\Phi_x} \qquad \qquad (16)
$$

In particular, let us consider the decay of the  $\overline{\Theta}{}^0$  constituents of protons by assuming that  $\Phi_x = T^{\prime 0} \overline{\Theta^{\prime +}}$  which is the isosinglet member of an  $SU_3$  sextet. In this case the dominant decay modes involve processes of the type

$$
\overline{\Theta}^0 \to \mu^+ + \underbrace{(T^{\prime 0} \overline{\Theta})}_{\longleftarrow} \qquad \qquad (17)
$$

$$
\bar{\Theta}^0 \to \mu^+ + \underbrace{(T^{\prime 0} \overline{\Theta^{\prime +}})}_{\square \longrightarrow \rho_e + \Xi^-}, \tag{18}
$$

according to the approximate hypereharge conservation. Summarizing, "direct" lepton production involves the dissociation (15) of colliding hadrons. The subsequent decays of the  $\bar{\Theta}$  constituents lead directly, without the well known intermediate particles  $\pi$ ,  $K...$ , to energetic leptons and a baryon  $B_f$ (e.g.  $E^0$  or  $E^-$ ). The baryon  $B_f$  has, in general, conventional decay modes mediated by pions, kaons etc. resulting in muons and other well known particles. We may tentatively assume that processes of the type  $(15)$ - $(18)$  leave no energy for a muon production of pion of kaon parentage within the energy region covered by the experiment of BEaGEsoN et al. On the other hand, processes  $(15)$ - $(18)$  represent simple possibilities for the X processes indicated by experiments of BERGESON et al. and DARDO et al. In this way the  $X$  particles might be identified with the antitrions  $\overline{\Theta}$  and the bosons  $\Phi_x$ .

## 556 K. LADÁNYI

#### **III. Leptonic and semileptonic weak processes**

We next add some remarks to the two-triplet model of conventional leptonic and semileptonic weak interactions. Within the dynamical framework of our previous paper [15], the most simple explanation involves the hypothetical intermediate bosons  $W^{\pm}$  which are supposed to be bound trion--antitrion systems. We shall not assume any strong quadratic interactions of  $W$ 's with hadror -.

In [15] we suggested a relativistic field equation for trions, which governs the dynamics of the model. This equation is covariant under transformations of the Poincaré and  $SO_8$  groups  $(P \otimes SO_8)$ . We now suppose that the weak violations of  $SO_6$  and parity are connected with the asymmetries of the intermediate boson states  $|W^{\pm}\rangle$ , possibly due to a spontaneous mixing. As a first step of self-consistent calculations, the symmetry structure of *W's* wiU be fixed by the following requirement. The intermediate boson  $W^+$  must be coupled to the weak trion current (5) by the universal ( $P$  and  $SO<sub>6</sub>$  covariant) interaction of trions. We propose that this interaction be given by the nonlinear term of the field equation (1) of [15]. According to our requirement, the symmetrystructure of  $W$ 's is fixed by the following Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes

$$
\langle 0 | T \mathbf{t}_m(x\alpha) \overline{\mathbf{t}}_n(y\beta) | W^{\pm} \rangle =
$$
  
=  $\exp \left[ -i q \frac{1}{2} (x-y) \right] \varphi_{\alpha m \beta n}^{\mu(W \pm)}(q; x-y) \tau(q \mu(W^{\pm}))$ , (19)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are spinor indices, q is the four-momentum of the state  $|\mathbf{W}^{\pm}>$  $\tau$  is the conventional one-boson amplitude of W's, satisfying  $q^{\mu} \tau(q\mu(W^{\pm})) \sim 0$ and the leading term of  $\varphi$  has the form

$$
\varphi_{\text{am}\beta n}^{\mu(W\pm)}(q;z) = [(1+\gamma_5)\,\gamma^{\mu}]_{\alpha\beta}\,V_{mn}^{(\pm)15)}(\Theta_c)\,f^{1(W\pm)}(q^2,z^2,(qz)^2) + \ldots \qquad (20)
$$

Note that the function  $f^{1(W \pm)}$  depends only on q and the relative coordinat  $z = x-y$ . (The matrix  $V^{(\perp|15)}$  is defined by Equ. (6)). By substituting the am plitude (20) into Equ. (70) of [15] we observe that the contribution of  $W$ 's corre sponds to the effective interaction Lagrangian

$$
L_{\omega} = g_{\omega} \bar{\mathbf{t}} \gamma^{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) V^{(+|15)}(\Theta_c) \mathbf{t} W_{\mu}^{(+)} + H.C.
$$
 (21)

with

$$
g_w = -4\sqrt{2} \, G f^{1(W\pm)}(m_W^2, 0, 0) \,, \tag{22}
$$

where  $m_W$  is the W mass and G denotes the coupling constant in the underlying field equation (1) of  $[15]$ . The form  $(21)$  verifies the structure  $(19)$ -- $(20)$  of intermediate bosons according to our requirement imposed previously. By ah empirical fit of  $g_W$  and  $m_V$  the Lagrangian(21) may be regarded as a semiphenomenological basis of the  $\overline{CP}$  conserving weak interactions in our  $SO_6$ model.

Let us consider amplitudes  $(19)$ - $(20)$ . We observe that the intermediate bosons  $W^{\pm}$  are of mixed parity leading to the well known parity violations in weak processes. In addition,  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are the charged members of an isotriplet in the weak  $SO_6$  space (cf. Equs. (5)-(7)). This results in hypercharge violating weak interactions of the trion constituents of hadrons which are placed in the *strong*  $SO_6$  space. In Fig. 1 the dynamical mechanism of the model is exemplified by a schematic diagram of the process  $n + \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow p + \mu^{-}$ .



*Fig. 1.* Schematic diagram of the process  $n + \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow p + \mu^{-}$ 

Finally, we shall point out some details. According to BACRY et al. [9] and GERSTEIN and WHIPPMANN [20] the known pseudoscalar bosons are to be assigned to the coregular representation  $20''$  in the  $SO_8$  model. Therefore, it is convenient to express the axial vector part of the matrix elements  $\langle \Theta' | J^{\mu} | \Theta \rangle$ , contributing to the simplest  $\beta$  processes, in terms of the matrices  $\bar{\lambda}^{j(20^*)}$ which belong to representation  $20''$  of  $SO_8$ . These matrices are explicitly listed ;n  $[15]$ . We obtain

$$
\langle \Theta' | \tilde{\mathbf{t}} \gamma^{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) V^{(\pm 15)}(\Theta_c) \mathbf{t} | \Theta \rangle =
$$
  
= 
$$
\langle \Theta' | [\tilde{\mathbf{t}} \gamma^{\mu} V^{(\pm 15)}(\Theta_c) \mathbf{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{t}} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 V^{(\pm 20^{\circ})}(\Theta_c) \mathbf{t}] | \Theta \rangle
$$
 (23)

with

$$
V^{(\pm)20^{\circ}}(\Theta_c) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{1(20^{\circ})} \pm i \,\bar{\lambda}^{2(20^{\circ})}}{2} \cos \Theta_c + \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{4(20^{\circ})} \pm i \,\bar{\lambda}^{5(20^{\circ})}}{2} \sin \Theta_c.
$$
 (24)

By eonsidering the (formal) eurrent densities

$$
\mathscr{F}^{\mu} = \mathbf{\overline{t}} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{j(15)}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{t},\tag{25}
$$

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{S}}^{j\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{t}} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{j(\alpha\gamma)}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{t}
$$
 (26)

*Acta Physica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 27, 1969* 

for  $j = 1 \ldots 8$ , we may recover the chiral  $SU_3 \times SU_3$  algebra in a straightforward way.

We conclude that the two-triplet model may be a useful tool for the study of various leptonic and semileptonic processes including a direct production of leptons at very high energies.

## **Acknowledgement**

The author is very indebted to Dr. A. Somogyi for a valuable discussion on the Utah **experiment.** 

#### REFERENCES

- 1. H. E. BERGESON, J. W. KEUFFEL, M. O. LARSON, E. R. MARTIN and G. W. MASON, Phys. Hev. Letters, 19, 1487, 1967.
- 2. H. E. BERGESON, J. W. KEUFFEL, M. O. LARSON, G. W. MASON and J. L. OSBORNE, Phys. Rey. Letters, 21, 1089, 1968.
- 3. R. J. STEFANSKI, R. K. ADAIR and H. KASHA, Phys. Rev. Letters, 20, 950, 1968.
- 4. W. F. NASrI and A. W. WOLFENDALE, Phys. Rey., Letters, 20, 698, 1968.
- 5. J. D. BJORKEN, S. PAKVASA, W. SIMMONS and S. F. TUAN, quoted in [2].
- 6. C. Ryan, S. Okubo, R. E. Marshak, Nuovo Cimento, 34, 753, 1964; S. V. Pepper, C. RYAN, S. OKUBO ard R. E. MARSHAK, Phys. Rey., 137B, 1959, 1965; S. OKIJBO, Nuovo
- Cimento, 57A, 794, 1968, earlier papers are quoted there.<br>7. W. R. SHELDON, W. G. CANTRELL and N. M. DULLER, Phys. Rev. Letters, 22, 312, 1969. 8. Y. HARA, Phys. Rey., 134B, 701, 1964.
- 9. H. BACRY, J. NUYTS and L. VAN HOVE, Nuovo Cimento, 35, 510, 1965.
- 10. L. VAN HOVE in: Preludes in Theoretical Physics (Amsterdam, 1965).
- 11. F. Gürsey, T. D. Lee and M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev., 125B, 467, 1964.
- 12. M. DARDO, P. PENENGO and K. SITTE, Nouvo Cimento, 58A, 59, 1968.
- 13. E.g.J. BJORNBOE et al., Proc. Intern. Conf. Cosmic Rays, Calgary, 1967; H. KASHA and J. STEFANSKI, Phys. Rev., 172, 1297, 1968.
- 14. K. Ladányi, Nuovo Cimento, 45, 289, 1966.
- 15. K. LADÁNYI, Nuovo Cimento, 48, 927, 1967.
- 16. T. D. LEE, Nuovo Cimento, 35, 933, 1965.
- 17. K. MORITA, Progr. Theor. Phys., 38, 681, 1967.
- 18. O. KLEIN, Phys. Rev. Letters, 16, 63, 1966.
- 19. A. GAMBA, R. E. MARSHAK and S. OKUBO, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 45, 881, 1959; M. C'ELL-Mann, California Institute of Technology Report CTSL $-20$ , 1961; R. Gatto, Nuovo Cimento, 27, 313, 1963; V. GUPTA, Phys. Rev., 135B, 783, 1964; S. OKUBO, C. RYAN and R. E. MARSHAK, Nuovo Cimento, 34, 759, 1964; T. DAS and K. T. MAHANTHAPPA, Nuovo Cimento, 44A, 469, 1966.
- 20. I. S. GERSTEIN and M. L. WHIPPMANN, Phys. Rev., 137B, 1522, 1965.

## ДВУХТРИПЛЕТНАЯ МОДЕЛЬ «НЕПОСРЕДСТВЕННОЙ» ПРОДУКЦИИ MIOOHOB

#### **К.** ЛАПАНЬИ

#### Pe<sub>3</sub> to M<sub>e</sub>

Предлагается особенная двухтриплетная модель «непосредственных» продукций лептонов, предполагая, что и лептоны и гадроны принадлежат к представлениям шести-MepH0~ p0TaUHOHH0fi rpynnbi *806.* 

Acta Physica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 27, 1969