## VIBRATIONAL AND ROTATIONAL CONSTANTS FOR THE A<sup>2</sup> Σ<sup>+</sup> STATE OF THE <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O MOLECULE\*

## J. DANIELAK

Atomic and Molecular Physics Laboratory, Pedagogical College 35-310 Rzeszów, Poland

The 8 bands of the  $\gamma$  system of the <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule have been photographed and measured. The vibrational and rotational constants for the  $A^2\Sigma^+$  state were obtained and the spin-splitting constant was estimated.

In the course of investigations of the NO molecule a number of the  $\gamma$  system bands  $A^2\Sigma^+ - X^2\Pi$  were obtained. Eight of them: 0-3, 0-4, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 2--6, 2--7 and 3-4 lying in the region 2600 Å -2800 Å were measured and analysed. The spectrum was photographed in ninth and tenth orders of the two metre plane-grating PGS-2 spectrograph (VEB Carl Zeiss, Jena), at reciprocal linear dispersion of about 0.4 Å/mm. The light source was an ordinary discharge tube with a quartz window. The tube was filled with a N<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> mixture (where the nitrogen contained about 95% of the <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>). The thorium lines from a hollow cathode lamp were used as the standard spectrum [1]. A partial analysis of the experimental data was made and the more accurate vibrational and unknown rotational constants for the  $A^2\Sigma^+$  state of the <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule were obtained.

The vibrational constants were found according to the method of Jenkins and McKellar [see, p. 188 [2]], which permits one to eliminate one of two electronic states. Then, by resolving the 77 equations, we obtained the following differences of the vibrational quanta:

$$G(1) - G(0) = 2300.6007(67) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \qquad G(3) - G(2) = 2237.235(25) \text{ cm}^{-1},$$
  

$$G(2) - G(1) = 2269.1547(65) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \qquad G(3) - G(0) = 6807.046(18) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

and the differences of the rotational constants:

$$B_0 - B_1 = 0.017825(19) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \qquad B_2 - B_3 = 0.017894(32) \text{ cm}^{-1}, B_1 - B_2 = 0.017957(19) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \qquad B_0 - B_3 = 0.053676(42) \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$
(2)

\* Dedicated to Prof. I. Kovács on his 70th birthday

The numbers in parentheses are the standard deviations. The vibrational constants were calculated from obtained values (1). Table I presents them together with their standard deviations. The data in the second column [3] are the values obtained from band heads and they are less accurate. Data in the third column were calculated from isotopic relations which were applied to the best data for the <sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule [4].

The rotational constants were obtained using the combination differences  $\Delta_2 F(J)$ and the calculated differences of the rotational constants (2). The 290 equations were obtained in this way. The following values were calculated by means of the least squares method:

$$B_{0} = 1.91636(11) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad 10^{6} \cdot D_{0} = 5.132(72) \text{ cm}^{-1},$$

$$10^{6} \cdot \Delta D = (D_{v} - D_{v+1}) \cdot 10^{6} = 0.323(72) \text{ cm}^{-1},$$

$$10^{3} \cdot \gamma = 10^{3} \cdot \gamma_{v} = -1.14(38) \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$
(3)

The rotational constants which are shown in Table II are calculated in the following way:

- $\alpha_e$  as a weighted value from data (2) (assuming  $\gamma_e = 0$ );
- $\beta_e$  was assumed to be equal to  $\Delta D$ ;
- $B_e$  was obtained from  $B_0$  (data (3)) and  $\alpha_e$ , as well as  $D_e$  from  $D_0$  and  $\beta_e$ .

Constant	Present results	After [3]	Results from isotopic relations for <sup>14</sup> N <sup>16</sup> O After [4]
0	1	2	3
ω <sub>e</sub> ω <sub>e</sub> x <sub>e</sub> ω <sub>e</sub> y <sub>e</sub>	2331.61(15) 15.380(95) -0.076(16)	2331.0(1.1) 15.77(39) 0*	2331.85 15.819 - 0.044

**Table I** Vibrational constants for  $A^2\Sigma^+$  state of the <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule [cm<sup>-1</sup>]

\* assumed value

**Table II** Rotational constants for  $A^2\Sigma^+$  state of the <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule [cm<sup>-1</sup>]

Constant	Present results	Results from isotopic relations for <sup>14</sup> N <sup>16</sup> O	
		After [5]	After [4]
0	1	2	3
B,	1.91636(11)	1.9269	1.92408
α,	0.017892(29)	0.0181	0.01736
10 <sup>6</sup> · D.	5.293(74)	5.8	5
$10^6 \cdot \beta_a$	0.323(72)	0.3	0*

\* assumed value

Since the constants  $B_e$ ,  $\alpha_e$ ,  $D_e$ ,  $\beta_e$  for the  $A^2\Sigma^+$  states of the <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule are not known they are compared with other ones obtained from isotopic relations. These relations are applied to the best known data for the <sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule [4], [5]. Our spin-splitting constant  $10^3 \cdot \gamma = -1.14(38) \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (assumed to be equal for all vibrational states) is in rough agreement with the results obtained [6–8] for the <sup>14</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule.

For the  $A^2\Sigma^+$  state of the <sup>15</sup>N<sup>16</sup>O molecule the only data available are those of Freedman and Nicholls [8] whose values are as follows:

$$B_1 = 1.895851(95) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad 10^6 \cdot D_1 = 5.488(2800) \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
  
 $10^2 \cdot \gamma = -1.303(355) \text{ cm}^{-1}.$ 

The respective values calculated from our data in Table II are:

$$B_1 = 1.89847(11) \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad 10^6 \cdot D_1 = 4.808(102) \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
$$10^3 \cdot \gamma \cong 10^3 \cdot \gamma = -1.14(38) \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$

It is not clear to us why the agreement is not quite satisfactory.

## Acknowledgement

The author is greatly indebted to Dr. M. Rytel for his inspiration to carry out this work, and for the many discussions and constant help during its progress.

## References

- 1. A Giacchetti, J. Opt. Soc., 60, 474, 1970.
- 2. G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure I. Spectra of Diatomic Molecules, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
- 3. H. Cisak, J. Danielak and M. Rytel, Acta Phys. Polon. A37, 67, 1970.
- 4. R. Engleman, Jr., and P. E. Rouse, J. Mol. Spectr. 37, 240, 1971.
- 5. I. Deézsi, Acta Phys. Hung., 9, 125, 1958.
- 6. T. Bergman and R. N. Zare, J. Chem. Phys., 61, 4500, 1974.
- 7. R. Wallenstein and H. Zacharias, Opt. Com., 25, 363, 1978.
- 8. R. Freedman and R. W. Nicholls, J. Mol. Spectr. 83, 223, 1980.