[165]

CYTOLOGY OF CONIFERS. I

BY P. N. MEHRA AND T. N. KHOSHOO Botany Department, Panjab University, Amritsar, India

(With Plate 5 and Thirty-seven Text-figures)

(Received 20 July 1955)

Some important contributions have appeared on the cytology of Gymnosperms in recent years. Sax & Sax (1933) published their investigations on fifty-three species belonging to sixteen genera of the Coniferales. In this paper was also included the sole survivor of the Ginkgoales-Ginkgo biloba. This was followed a year later by an equally comprehensive survey on all the genera of Cycadales by Sax & Beal (1934). In 1936 Flory worked out both the genera of Araucariaceae and a few species of Podocarpus, Stebbins (1948) published the cytological situation in Sequoia sempervirens and Metasequoia-the recently discovered genus of Taxodiaceae. In 1952 Tanaka, Takemasa & Sinoto published a karyotype analysis of Ginkgo biloba. This species has also been simultaneously worked out by Newcomer (1954) and Lee (1954). In Gnetales the first serious contribution came from Geitler (1929) on the genus Ephedra, followed by Florin (1932) on some species of the same genus and also on the monotypic Welwitschia. In 1946 Mehra made a detailed study of karyotypes of seven species of *Ephedra* with particular emphasis on the formation of the small percentage of diploid sex cells in nature. Hunziker (1953) and Fuchs (1954) have studied some more species of the genus. The only reliable report of the chromosome number of Gnetum is by Fagerlind (1941), who counted 22 bivalents in G. gnemon. Most of the other cytological work on Gymnosperms has been incidental to morphological and embryological studies and has not been referred here.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

It was originally intended to study the cytology of Indian conifers only, but as the work progressed material of some foreign species became available and these have also been included. In all, forty-one species belonging to fourteen genera have been included in this paper. These belong to Abietaceae, Taxodiaceae and Cupressaceae.

Species names have been followed as given in Dallimore & Jackson (1948). For the distribution of the various species the reader is referred to the same book. The source of ^{each} species used in the present investigation is given in Table 1, column 5.

Root-tips of germinating seeds were mostly used, but in some cases studies were carried ^{out} on female gametophytes, young leaves and shoot apices. Seeds of the various species ^{were} sown in sawdust in winter months.

It is well known that conifers possess long chromosomes which present considerable difficulty in exact analysis when dealing with somatic tissues. Previous investigators like S_{ax} & Sax (1933), Sax & Beal (1934) and Flory (1936) depended upon physical pressure on the cells in macerated preparations to scatter the chromosomes for obtaining clear

preparations. At that time the effect of the alkaloid colchicine was not known. In the present investigation the material was pretreated with colchicine, α -Bromo-naphthalene or S-Oq., or simply a cold shock was given before squashing. This enabled the chromosomes to shorten and to scatter, giving precise and clear pictures of their morphology.

The material was either fixed in Craf and stained in Schiff's reagent or was directly stained in any of the stain fixatives containing macerating agent. Microsporangia were either smeared, fixed in Craf and stained with iodine-crystal violet or squashed in iron acetocarmine.

All diagrams were made to give an approximate magnification of $\times 3000$ which has been reduced to half in publication. Chromosomes of a few species have been separated in drawing for clarity.

No attempt has been made to compare the size of the chromosomes of the various species, since no uniform technique has been followed. Where the two arms of a chromosome are unequal and the shorter arm is half or more than half the length of the longer one, the chromosome has been placed in the median-submedian category. If the shorter arm is distinctly smaller than one-half of the longer arm the chromosome has been designated as subterminal. In critical cases actual measurements were undertaken to decide the morphology of the chromosome.

Observations

Abietaceae

The following twelve species have been investigated from root-tips: Pinus canariensis C. Smith (Text-fig. 1), P. caribaea Morlet (Text-fig. 2), P. gerardiana Wallich (Text-fig. 3) and Pl. 5, fig. 1), P. halepensis Miller (Text-fig. 4), P. khasya Royle (Text-fig. 5), P. lambertiana Douglas (Text-fig. 6), P. nigra Arnold (P. laricio Poiret) (Text-fig. 7), P. pinaster Aiton (Text-fig. 8), P. ponderosa Douglas (Text-fig. 9), P. radiata D. Don (P. insignis Douglas) (Text-fig. 10), P. roxburghii Sarg. (P. longifolia Roxb.) (Text-fig. 11) and P. wallichiana Jack (P. excelsa Wallich) (Text-fig. 12). In P. merkusii Jungh & de Vriese (Text-fig. 13) 12 bivalents were counted in pollen mother cells.

Of the above species previous records of chromosome number are known for four only. Sethi (1928) counted 12 bivalents in pollen mother cells in *P. roxburghii*. Sax & Sax (1933) reported the same number in *P. nigra* and *P. ponderosa*. Bowden (1945) found 24 chromosomes in root-tips of *P. canariensis*.

Twenty-four is the diploid chromosome number in all the twelve species. The chromosomes have either a median or submedian centromere. The various species differ in number and location of secondary constrictions (see Table 1). Eight chromosomes of *P. gerardiana* (Text-fig. 3 and Pl. 5, fig. 1) possess secondary constrictions, six of which are subterminal in position, while the remaining two are nearly median in one of the arms. Six secondarily constricted chromosomes are present in *P. lambertiana* (Text-fig. 6) and *P. roxburghii* (Text-fig. 11). In both these the constrictions are subterminal. *P. wallichiana* (Text-fig. 12) also possesses the same number, but only four secondary constrictions are subterminal and the remaining two are very near the primary constrictions. Of the four subterminal constrictions two cut a relatively shorter distal segment. In *P. khasya*

Pinus

P. N. MEHRA AND T. N. KHOSHOO

Text-fig. 5) and P. radiata (Text-fig. 10) there are four secondary constrictions. In the armer all are situated near the centromere, while in the latter they cut a knob-like distal sement. Only three chromosomes of P. canariensis (Text-fig. 1) were observed with interminal secondary constrictions. Since it is a diploid complement one would expect juic such chromosomes, and it is possible that the fourth may have been overlooked. Only two chromosomes have secondary constrictions in P. caribaea (Text-fig. 2). These are situated close to the centromere. In the remaining four species no secondary constrictions were observed in our preparations.

Sax & Sax (1933) worked out fourteen species of the genus. They have not reported my secondary constrictions or satellites in any of the species of the genus, perhaps secanse they did not work from this angle. The basic karyotype described by them is, nowever, the same as described above.

Cedrus

In Cedrus deodara Loudan the haploid chromosome number as determined from the cells of the female gametophyte is 12 (Text-fig. 14). One of these has a subterminal, while the rest have median or submedian centromeres. In one of the latter chromosomes there is a secondary constriction situated in the middle of one of the arms.

The same number and morphology of the chromosomes has been reported by Sax & Sax in C. *libanotica*, except for any reference to the secondary constriction.

Picea

The female gametophyte of *Picea smithiana* (Wallich) Boiss (*P. morinda* Link) revealed 12 chromosomes (Text-fig. 15). Three have a subterminal, and the remaining nine have a median-submedian centromere. One of the former and two of the latter bear a subterminal secondary constriction each.

Similar morphology of chromosomes is recorded by Sax & Sax in P. abies and P pungens. These authors did not mention the secondary constrictions.

Abies

Abies pindrow Spach possesses 12 chromosomes in the female gametophyte cells. Five of the chromosomes possess a subterminal and seven have a median-submedian centromere (Text-fig. 16). Two of the latter chromosomes bear a subterminal secondary constriction each.

A. cephalonica and A. concolor have the same morphology (Sax & Sax, 1933). No secondary constrictions have been reported in these.



Text-fig. 1. Pinus canariensis, 2n = 24.



Text-fig. 2. P. caribaea, 2n = 24.



Text-fig. 3. P. gerardiana, 2n = 24.



Text-fig. 4. P. halepensis, 2n=24.



Text-fig. 5. P. khasya, 2n=24.



Text-fig. 6. P. lambertiana, 2n = 24.



Text-fig. 10. P. radiata, 2n = 24.

P. N. MEHRA AND T. N. KHOSHOO



Text-fig: 11. P. rozburghii, 2n = 24.



Text-fig. 12. P. wallichiana, 2n = 24.



Text-fig. 13. P. merkusii, n = 12, diakinesis, nucleolus unshaded.



Text-fig. 14. Cedrus deodara, $n \approx 12$.



Text-fig. 15. Picea smithiana, n = 12.

101

Text-fig. 16. Abies pindrow, n = 12.

Taxodiaceae

Cunninghamia

Cunninghamia lanceolata (Lamb) Hook. (C. sinensis Richard) possesses 22 chromosomes in root-tips (Text-fig. 17). All these have median-submedian centromeres. T_{wo} of the chromosomes bear secondary constrictions and two others possess a tandem satellite each.

Eleven bivalents have been counted by Sugihara (1941) in pollen mother cells of this species.

Cryptomeria

Cryptomeria japonica (Linn.) Don. (Text-fig. 18) has 22 chromosomes in the root-tips which are median or submedian. Two pairs have rather inconspicuous secondary constrictions.

Sax & Sax have made similar observations on this species from endosperm tissue.

Taxodium

Taxodium mucronatum Tenore (T. distichum Richards var. mucronatum Henry) possesses 22 chromosomes in the root-tips of which twenty are median or submedian (Text-fig. 19). One pair is subterminal and has a centromere which is somewhat exaggerated. Two of the former chromosomes bear subterminal secondary constrictions.

Twenty-two chromosomes have been counted by Sax & Sax (1933) and Stebbins (1948) in T. distichum.

Actinostrobus

Cupressaceae

Actinostrobus pyramidalis Miquel possesses 22 chromosomes in the root-tips (Fig. 20) which are median or submedian. Two of the chromosomes possess a secondary constriction in a subterminal position.

Callitris

The following seven species have been worked out from the root-tips: Callitris calcarda R. Brown (Text-fig. 21 and Pl. 5, fig. 2), C. cupressiformis Vent (C. rhomboidea R. Brown) (Text-fig. 22), C. glauca R. Brown (Text-fig. 23), C. morrisoni R. T. Baker (Text-fig. 24), C. propinqua R. Brown (Text-fig. 25), C. robusta R. Brown (Text-fig. 26 and Pl. 5, fig. 3) and C. verrucosa R. Brown (Text-fig. 27).

All have essentially the same type of karyotype. There are 22 chromosomes with a median or submedian centromere. Only two have a secondary constriction each. In some it is exaggerated, perhaps due to the effect of 8-Oq. The length of the distal segment cut by the secondary constriction is somewhat variable in different species. In C. cupressiformis, C. glauca and C. propinqua there is evidence of some inconspicuous secondary constrictions.

Widdringtonia

Widdringtonia cupressoides End. possesses 22 chromosomes in the root-tips (Fig. 28) which are median or submedian. A pair of the chromosomes has a rather exaggerated centromere. There are two chromosomes with a subterminal secondary constriction each.



Text-fig. 17. Cunninghamia lanceolata, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 19. Taxodium mucronatum, 2n=22,



 $Text-fig. \ 21. \ Callitris\ calcarata,\ 2n=22.$



Text-fig. 23. C. glauca, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 18. Cryptomeria japonica, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 20. Actinostrobus pyramidalis, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 22. C. cupressiformis, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 24. C. morrisoni, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 25. C. propinqua, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 26. C. robusta, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 27. C. verrucosa, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 28. Widdringtonia cupressoides, 2n = 22.

Tetraclinis

Tetraclinis articulata Masters (Callitris quadrivalvis Vent) possesses 22 chromosomes as revealed by the squash of a young shoot. All the chromosomes are median or submedian (Text-fig. 29).

Thuja

Thuja orientalis Linn. has 11 chromosomes in endosperm cells (Fig. 30), and the basic karyotype is almost the same as given by Sax & Sax. There is only one chromosome with subterminal centromere, and in the rest it is median or submedian. In the present observations it is noticed that one of the latter chromosomes bears a satellite and another a secondary constriction which is almost median in one of the arms.

T. occidentalis Linn. var. compacta Carr. has 22 chromosomes in root-tips. All the chromosomes (Text-fig. 31) have either a median or submedian kinetochore. One pair possesses a secondary constriction almost median in one of the arms. No secondary constrictions have been reported by Sax & Sax in this species.

T. plicata has been investigated by Sax & Sax and has 22 chromosomes.

Cupressus

Cupressus functoris Don. (Text-fig. 33) and C. torulosa Endlicher (Text-fig. 32) both show 11 chromosomes in endosperm cells. Only one of the chromosomes is subterminal, the rest are median or submedian. One of the median-submedian chromosomes bears a satellite which is somewhat thicker in C. functoris. C. sempervirens Linn. (Text-fig. 34) possesses

We homosomes in root-tips. Two of the chromosomes have a subterminal centromere. The latter bear a secondary constriction in their long arms. Thus all the three species of the genus have the same basic karyotype.

The other three species, namely, C. cashmeriana Royle (Text-fig. 35), C. arizonica Greene and C. lusitanica Miller var. benthami Carr. show 11 bivalents in pollen mother cells. Meiosis is perfectly normal in all these species.



Text-fig. 29. Tetraclinis articulata, 2n = 22.

Text-fig. 30. Thuja orientalis, n = 11.



Text-fig. 31. T. occidentalis var. compacta, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 32. Cupressus torulosa, n = 11.



Text-fig. 33. C. funebris, n = 11.



Text-fig. 34. C. sempervirens, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 35. C. cashmeriana, n = 11, metaphase I.

Juniperus

Juniperus procera Hochst. (Text-fig. 36) shows 22 chromosomes in root-tips. Two of these have a subterminal and the rest a median or submedian centromere.

J. rigida and J. virginiana have been worked out by Sax & Sax from endosperm. Eleven chromosomes are present, but no morphology is given. Ross & Duncan (1949) worked out J. virginiana and J. horizontalis; both have 2n = 22, but only in the latter did they observe a heterobrachial chromosome pair.



Text-fig. 36. Juniperus procera, 2n = 22.



Text-fig. 37. J. phoenicea, n = 11, metaphase I.

Eleven bivalents have been counted in microspore mother cells of J. phoenicea Linn: (Text-fig. 37), J. bermudiana Linn., and J. virginiana Linn. var. scopulorum Jones. Meiosis in all these species is normal.

J. chinensis pfitzeriana (Sax & Sax, 1933) and J. squamata meyeri (Jensen & Levan, 1941) are tetraploid. In the former there are 22 bivalents and in the latter there are 44 chromosomes in somatic tissues.

Conclusions

The total numbers of genera and species of Coniferales are 45 and 447 respectively. These figures have been compiled from Dallimore & Jackson (1948), but with the addition of the monotypic *Metasequoia*, and treating the two species of *Sequoia* as two distinct genera. Hybrids have been excluded. Under the so-called Pinares (Abietaceae, Taxodiaceae, Cupressaceae and Araucariaceae) there fall 35 genera and 335 species. Out of these 27 genera and only 102 species have been cytologically investigated so far. A résumé of all this work, including this study, is given in Table 2. Darlington & Janaki Ammal's *Atlas* (1945), Wang (1948) and Christiansen (1950) have also been consulted in the preparation of this table.

After a careful perusal of Tables 1 and 2 some conclusions emerge.

The chromosome numbers follow taxonomic grouping. In every family a base number can easily be recognized: 12 for the Abietaceae, 11 for the Taxodiaceae and Cupressaceae, and 13 for the Araucariaceae. The families are essentially homoploid. *Pseudotsuga* and *Pseudolarix* in the Abietaceae, and *Sciadopitys* in the Taxodiaceae are the only genera which are not in line with the above statements.

The chromosome number of every genus (except the above mentioned ones) is therefore the base number of the family except in Sequoia, where it is its multiple. It remains constant within a genus, or in a few cases it may be a multiple (cf. Larix and Juniperus). The various genera usually differ in having different karyotypes. However, some genera have the same karyotype. Such a situation is met with in Picea-Tsuga (Abietaceae), Cryptomeria-Cunninghamia, Taxodium-Sequoiadendron (Taxodiaceae), ActinostrobusGallitris-Tetraclinis-Widdringtonia-Thuja occidentalis var. compacta and Cupressus-Juniperus-Thuja orientalis (Cupressaceae). In some cases these genera form compact alliances suthin their respective families. This is particularly true of *Picea* and *Tsuga* in the Mietaceae and *Actinostrobus*, *Callitris* and *Widdringtonia* in the Cupressaceae. In fact, iffe latter three genera are so close morphologically that Saxton (1910) segregated them in a subfamily Callitroideae.

As stated earlier the karyotype within a genus remains remarkably constant except within the genus *Thuja*. *T. orientalis* and *T. occidentalis* differ in their karyotypes (see Tables 1 and 2). In this connexion it is of interest to note that Buchholz (1929), on the

Name of species	Chromosome no.	No. of sub- terminal chromo- somes	No. of median or submedian chromosomes	Locality and collector
Panai a non noi cor si a	2n = 24		24 (3 s c)	R N Kboshoo
Providant Consta	2n = 24		24 (2 s c)	R. N. Khoshoo
2 Arradiona	2m = 24		24 (8 s.c.)	Local process
P. halenon vic	2n - 24		₹4 74	B. N. Khoshoo
Pelhasua	2m = 24		$\frac{24}{24}$ (4 s c)	B N Khoshoo
P lambertiana	2n = 24		24 (6 s.c.)	B. N. Khoshoo
P nigra	2n = 24		9.4	B. N. Khoshoo
Prinneter	2n = 24		24	B. N. Khoshoo
P nondernea	2n = 24		94	B N Khoshoo
P. radiata	20 - 24	-	24 (4 5 0)	B. N. Khoshoo
P. rozburalij	2n = 21	-	24 (6 s a)	R N Khoshop
P. wallichiana	2n = 24		24(6 s.c.)	Bandipore (Kashmir), R. N.
	270-22		mr (0 0.00)	Khoshoo
P. merkusii	n = 12			F B L (Debradun), B. N. Kboshoo
Vedrus deodara	n = 12	1	11.(1.5.0.)	Dalhousie, P. N. Mehra
Picea smithiana	n = 12		G.) 9 (2 S.G.)	Tangmarg (Kashmir), B. N.
	~~ ~-	. (1.07		Khoshoo
Abies pindrow	n = 12	5	7 (3 s.c.)	Tangmarg (Kashmir), R. N. Khoshoo
Ounninghamia lanceolata	2n = 22	_	22 (2 s.c.	F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo,
			and 2 Sat.)	Chandra Nurserv, Sikkim
Cryptomeria japonica	2n = 22	APT-	22	F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo, Chandra Nursery, Sikkim
Taxodium mucronalum	2n = 22	2	20 (2 s.c.)	F.R.I., M. B. Raizada
Actinostrobus meramidalis	2n = 22	_	22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
Callitris calcarata	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
C. cupressiformis	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
C. glauca	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
C. morrisoni	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
9. propinana	2n = 22		22(2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
Q. robusta	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
C. verrucosa	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Forestry Commission of N.S. Wales
Widdringtonia cupressoides	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Chandra Nurserv
Tetractinis articulata	2n = 22		22	F.R.I., T. N. Khoshoo
Thuja orientalis	n = 11	1	10 (l s.c.	Local, T. N. Khoshoo
			and 1 Sat.)	
1. occidentalis var. compacta	2n = 22		22 (2 s.c.)	Chaudra Nursery
Cupressus funchris	n = 11	1	10 (1 Sat.)	Local, T. N. Khoshoo
. sempervirens	2n = 22	2(2s, c)	a) 20 °	Bandipore, T. N. Khoshov
. torulosa	n = 11	1	10 (1 Sat.)	Dalhousie, P. N. Mehra
. wizonica	n = 11		· /	F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
. cashmeriana	n = 11	_		F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
. lusitanica var. benthami	n = 11			F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
uniperus procera	2n = 22	2	20	F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
. bermudiana	n = 1.1			F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
- phoenicea	n = 11			F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
· virginiana ver. scopulorum	$n \rightarrow 11$	· · · · ·	·	F.R.I., R. N. Khoshoo
*				

Tab!	le 1.	Summary	of o	bservations
------	-------	---------	------	-------------

(Sat. = satellite, s.c. = secondary constriction)

Cytology of conifers. I

basis of embryological evidence, upheld the view of some older taxonomists that T. orientalis should be raised to generic rank and be named as Biota orientalis.

Excellent examples of constancy of the basic karyotype within a genus are Pinus Callitris and Cupressus. A similar situation exists in Cycads (Sax & Beal, 1934) and in the genus Ephedra (Mehra, 1946).

Name of genus	Total no. of species	No. of species worked out	Haploid chromo- some number	No. of terminal chromo- somes	No. of subterminal chromo- somes	No. of median and submedian chromo- somes
ABIETACEAE:		124	19			19
Pinus	73	$31 \left(\frac{7}{7*} \right)$	$\tilde{12}$		—	
Picea	29	$6 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ 3* \end{array} \right.$	$\frac{12}{12}$		3	9
.4 bies	42	$6 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2^{*} \end{array} \right\}$	12		5	7
Keteleeria Pseudotsuga	2 6	1* 1	$\frac{12}{12}$ 13	 I	<u> </u>	6
Tsuga	11	$3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1* \end{pmatrix}$	12	—	3	9
Gedrus	4	2	12 12 12		1	11
Larix	11	$8 \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\alpha*}} \right\}$	$12 \\ 24 \\ 12$			6 12
Pseudolarix	1	1	22	<u>2</u>	0† —	2
TAXODIAGEAE.					1	
Sciadopitys Cryptomeria Cunninghamia Taiwania	1 1 2 1	I* 1 1 1*	$10 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11$			11 11
Taxodium	3	$\frac{1}{2}$	11		I	10
Sequoia Sequoiadendron Metasequoia Unworked cenera:	l I I Athrotaris and	$\begin{bmatrix} - \\ 1^* \\ 1 \\ 1^* \end{bmatrix}$	11 33 11 11	 		10
CITERRES AGEN Er						
Juniperus	49	$9 \begin{cases} 1 \\ 6^* \\ 2^* \end{cases}$	11 11		1	10
Callitris Tetraclinis Widdringtonia Actinostrobus	19 1 5 2	12* 7 1 1 1	22 11 11 11 11			11 11 11 11
Cupressus	12	$6\{\frac{3}{8},$	11		1	10
Chamaecyparis	6	1*	11 11			
Thu ja	6	$4 \begin{cases} 1\\ 1\\ 2^* \end{cases}$	11 11 11		1	10 11 —
Unworked genera:	Callitropsis, D	iselma, Fitzroya	, Fokienia, L	<i>ibocedrus</i> , and	Thujopsis	
ARAUGARIACEAE:						
A gath is	15	1	13	4		9
Araucaria	10	$3 \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{*}} \right\}$	13 13	4		9

Table 2.	Résumé of	cytological	work on	Abietaceae,	Taxodiaceae,	Cupressaceae
and Araucariaceae						

* Species in which either the meiotic number is known or detailed morphology of the chromosomes is not \dagger Sax & Sax (1933) have not clearly mentioned to which category the chromosomes belong: reported.

13

178

The specific differences are to be correlated with differences in detail, such as number in patire of secondary constrictions and satellites. This is true of *Pinus* and *Cupressus*. The other hand, the present evidence shows constancy even in these characteristics within the genus *Callitris*.

Tit is correct that 12 is the base number of the Coniferales, which, indeed, is also presented in such an ancient group as the Ginkgoales, then the basis of cytological wontion has been loss or gain of a chromosome at the family level. This has been coupled with structural rearrangements and mutations, which factors seem to be responsible for avointion at generic level.

The loss of a chromosome has been responsible for the evolution of the Taxodiaceae int Cupressaceae. This involves a loss of a centromere which follows translocation of all the essential genes to the rest of the chromosomes of the complement. This was suggested in Sax & Sax (1933), and this mechanism has been experimentally demonstrated, though in an Angiosperm—*Crepis*—by Tobgy (1943).

Cases of gain of a chromosome are not many: *Pseudotsuga* (Abietaceae) and the family francariaceae. This always involves duplication of a centromere, and could be achieved on system of translocations as proposed by Darlington (1937).

That structural rearrangement has played an important role in differentiation of genera is clear from the karyotypes of the genera of Abietaceae.

At the species level evolution seems to be chiefly at a submicroscopic level involving gene mutations. This is why there are increasingly numerous reports of both natural and artificial hybrids in conifers. Perhaps the main checks to hybridization are physical isolation and time of flowering of the various species of a genus.

Polyploidy has played an insignificant role in the evolution of conifer families, genera and species. The increase in the chromosome number in *Pseudolarix* does not represent adoubling either in quality or in quantity. It is of interest to note that the present data mdicate that polyploidy is lacking in cycads, but in the genus *Ephedra* there are many polyploid species reported (Florin, 1932; Resende, 1937; Mehra, 1946; Hunziker, 1953; Fuchs, 1954).

Summary

The paper deals with a cytological study of forty-one conifer species belonging to fourteen genera and distributed within the Abietaceae, Taxodiaceae and Cupressaceae. Observations have been made from the squashes of female gametophytes, stem apices, root-tips, young leaves and pollen mother cells. The cytological details of all these species are summarized in Table 1.

Families and genera are essentially homoploid. A basic karyotype is characteristic of almost every genus. Species within a genus either differ in the number and nature of secondary constriction and satellites (*Pinus* and *Cupressus*) or resemble one another even in these details (*Callitris*). Various genera differ in chromosome morphology, but in every family some of the genera have essentially the same karyotype. The mechanisms of evolution have been gain or loss of a chromosome, structural rearrangements, and gene mutations. Polyploidy has played but little role.

The writers owe a special debt of gratitude to Mr R. N. Khoshoo, Deputy Conservator of Forests (Kashmir) for having sent us seeds and fixed material of most of the Indian

Cytology of conifers. I

and foreign species used in this investigation. They are also thankful to Mr M. B. Raizada (F.R.I.) and the authorities of the Forestry Commission of New South Wales for having sent us seeds of some species. To Mr R. S. Pathania thanks are due for taking the microphotographs illustrating this paper.

REFERENCES

- BOWDEN, W. M. (1945). A list of chromosome numbers in higher plants. Amer. J. Bot. 32, 191-201;
- BUCHHOLZ, J. T. (1929). The embryogeny of conifers. Proc. Int. Congr. Pl. Sci. 1, 359-92.
- CHRISTIANSEN, H. (1950). A tetraploid Larix decidua Miller. K. danske vidensk. Selsk. 18, 1-9.
- DALLIMORE, W. & JACESON, A. B. (1948). A Handbook of Coniferate. London: Edward Arnold and Co

DARLINGTON, C. D. (1937). Recent Advances in Cytology. London: J. and A. Churchill Ltd.

- DARLINGTON, C. D. & JANAKI AMMAL, E. K. (1945). Chromosome Atlas of Cultivated Plants. London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd.
- FAGERLIND, F. (1941). Bau und Entwicklung der Gnetum-gametophyten. K. svenska VetenskAkää. Handl. 19, 1-55.
- FLORIN, R. (1932). Vide Darlington & Janaki Ammal (1945).
- FLORY, W. S. (1936). Chromosome numbers and phylogeny in Gymnosperms. J. Arnold Arbor, 17, 83-9.
- FUCHS de K., A. M. (1954). Vide Plant Breed. Abstr. 1954, 24, Abstr. no. 3248.
- GRETLER, L. (1929). Vide Darlington & Janaki Ammal (1945).
- HUNZIKER, J. H. (1953). Vide Plant Breed. Abstr. 1954, 24, Abstr. no. 1375.
- JENSEN, H. & LEVAN, A. (1941). Colchicine induced tetraploidy in Sequoia gigantea. Hereditas, Lund, 27, 220-4.
- LEE, C. L. (1954). Sex chromosomes in Ginkgo biloba. Amer. J. Bot. 41, 545-9.
- MEERA, P. N. (1946). A study of karyotypes and occurence of diploid gametophytes in some species of the genus Ephedra. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, 16, 259-86.
- NEWCOMFR, E. H. (1954). The karyotype and possible sex chromosomes of *Ginkgo biloba*. Amer. J. Box 41, 542-5.
- RESENDE, F. (1937). Vide Darlington & Janaki Ammal (1945).
- ROSS, J. R. & DUNCAN, R. E. (1949). Cytological evidences of hybridization between Juniperus virginiania: and J. horizontalis. Bull. Torrey Bot. Cl. 76, 414-29.
- SAX, K. & BEAL, J. M. (1934). Chromosomes of cycadales. J. Arnold Arbor. 15, 255-62.
- SAX, K. & SAX, H. J. (1933). Chromosome number and morphology in conifers. J. Arnold. Arbor. 14, 356-75.
- SAXTON, W. T. (1910). Contributions to the life-history of Callitris. Ann. Bot., Lond., 24, 557-69.
- SETHI, M. L. (1928). Contributions to our knowledge on the life history of Pinus longifolia. J. Indian Bot. Soc. 7, 105-51.
- STERBEINS, G. L. (1948). The chromosomes and relationships of *Metasequoia* and *Sequoia*. Science, 108, 95-8.
- SUGHARA, Y. (1941). The embryogeny of Cunninghamia lanceolata Hooker. Sci. Rep. Tôhoku Univ., Fourth Series, Biol., 26, 187-92.
- TANAKA, N., TAKEMASA, N. & SINOTO, Y. (1952). Karyotype analysis in Gymnospermae. I. Karyotope: and chromosome bridge in young leaf meristem of *Ginkgo biloba* L. *Cytologia*, *Tokyo*, **17**, 112-23.
- TOBGY, H. A. (1943). A cytological study of *Crepis fuliginosa*, *C. neglecta* and their F_i hybrid and its bearing on the mechanism of phylogenetic reduction in chromosome number. *J. Genet.* 45, 67–111.
- WANG, F. H. (1948). Life history of Keteleeria. I. Strobili development of the gametophytes and fertilization in K. evelyniana. Amer. J. Bot. 35, 21-7.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

- Fig. 1. Pinus gerardiana, 2n = 24. × 1700. Same as Text-fig. 3.
- Fig. 2. Callitris calcarata, 2n = 22. × 1700. Same as Text-fig. 21.
- Fig. 3. Callitris robusta, 2n = 22. × 1700. Same as Text-fig. 26.

180