Letters to the Editor: Comment and Reply

Marginal Production Technologies for Life Cycle Inventories

by Weidema, B.P.; Frees, N.; Nielsen, A.-M.
Int. J.LCA 4 (1) 48-56 (1999)

Reply

A Reply to the Aluminium Industry: Each Market Has Its Own Marginal

Bo P. Weidema

Institute for Product Development, Building 424, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark; e-mail: bow@ipt.dtu.dk

Small changes in demand will not lead to adjustments in capital equipment on a short term, since existing capacity is typically not used fully. However, the combined effects of many small increases is that additional capacity will be needed. Therefore, the long-term effect, which is the one relevant for most LCAs, is the effect of additional new plants or additional capacity at existing plants.

In the Danish LCA study of packaging systems for beer and soft drinks (Ekvall et al., 1998), we assumed the existence of a European market for aluminium, implying that an additional demand for aluminium in Sweden would lead to an increase in European production capacity. The aluminium industry has pointed our attention to that there is no such thing as regional markets for aluminium, i.e. that aluminium should be regarded as a global commodity, and the marginal aluminium production therefore should be determined on a global market.

This highlights a very important part of the procedure of market-based system delimitation (earlier referred to as 'the marginal approach'), namely the determination of what market is affected. In our 5-step procedure (Weidema et al., 1999), the first three steps deal with this issue: the temporal delimitation of the market, the question of market imperfections (bonds between market actors), and the question of the current trend in the market (expanding or decreasing). What is not adequately described in Weidema et al. (1999) is the geographical delimitation of the market, which is obviously as important as the other aspects mentioned. In fact, the mentioned aspects may all be different for each geographical market.

This is exactly the case for the electricity source of the aluminium industry. While aluminium markets are global, electricity markets are regional.

In the Danish LCA study of packaging systems for beer and soft drinks (Ekvall et al., 1998), we pointed out that there are at least two markets in Europe: The central European UCPTE-market, where the marginal fuel source appears to be coal and the Nordic electricity market, where the long-term marginal appears to be natural gas (although the current surplus capacity in this market may make it relevant to use the short term marginal which is coal based). However, as a main scenario, the Danish packaging study (PS) used a future scenario where the European market was regarded as one market, due to planned expansion in transmission capacity and market liberalisation. In this case, only one marginal is relevant, and again coal based technology appears to be preferable from an economic point of view, while natural gas may play a role to the extent that emission ceilings are reached.

For a global commodity such as aluminium, the electricity marginal will be composed of several regional marginals, one for each regional electricity market in which capacity is being adjusted, while for a commodity that is traded in a relatively isolated market coinciding with or smaller than the regional electricity market, it will be relevant to use one electricity marginal. This is currently the case for commodities like ammonia and general-purpose steel in Europe, while other commodities like bricks are sold in even more locally delimited markets.

The aluminium production is unusual in being so electricity demanding that the localisation of the production plants is to a large extent determined by the availability of cheap sources of electricity. Thus, new smelters are typically placed in areas with unutilised hydropower and unutilised natural gas, which is currently flared in connection to oil extraction. For example, a recent expansion in smelter capacity has taken place or is planned in Iceland (hydropower and geothermic energy) and in Africa and the Middle East (hydropower and waste natural gas from oil extraction).

Both the historical statistics published by the International Primary Aluminium Institute, and the projections for 2004 (Aluminium Association, 1999), show that the high share of hydropower (56%) for primary aluminium production is surprisingly stable over time. Out of the publicly announced new plants, 57% is expected to be based on hydropower, 14% on natural gas and only 29% on coal (Aluminium Association, 1999).

Thus, the overweight of hydropower that we have seen in the average LCA-data for aluminium production will also be reflected when using the new market-based LCA-data for aluminium.

In conclusion, the market-based system delimitation is also able to yield satisfactory results for such a global commodity as aluminium, when correctly taking into account the actual market situation, as shown above.

It should be noted that also when applying an average, the result can be seriously affected by the delimitation of the market on which the average is taken. For example, it will make a large difference whether you regard the Nordic electricity market as one (relatively closed) market, so that a Danish electric-

ity consumption is calculated as an average of Danish, Finnish, Swedish and Norwegian electricity production, or whether it is assumed that Denmark is a market in itself (which is often seen in life cycle assessments). If we choose to look at the average for Denmark, which is *not* a closed market, it is decisive whether the average is calculated from the Danish production alone or whether you take into account the exchanges with the neighbouring markets, and *how* you take this into account, e.g. whether you calculate with Danish production plus import-mix (in periods with much available water-power in Norway and Sweden), with Danish production plus import-mix minus export-mix (in periods with little water power available) or just Danish production plus net import/export (thus disregarding transit-trade). For Switzerland, having a large degree of transit-trade, Ménard et al. (1998) have shown how such different assumptions affect the average from 21 g CO_2 (Switzerland's own production) over 140 g CO_2 (Switzerland plus import minus export) to 500 g CO_2 (UCPTE average, in that UCPTE can be regarded as a relatively isolated electricity market like the Nordic). The recommendation of Ménard et al. (1998) is to use the model that disregards transit-trade (48 g CO_2) with the argument that this best reflects the actual market conditions. It should be clear from this example that averages can be highly debatable, and possible arguments for preferring one average over the other is actually often market-based. This may in itself be regarded as a serious argument for taking the full consequence, and use a truly market-based system delimitation instead of the average approach.

References

Ekvall, T.; Frees, N.; Nielsen, P.H.; Person, L.; Ryberg, A.; Weidema, B.P.; Wesnaes, M.S.; Widheden, J. (1998): Life cycle assessment on packaging systems for beer and soft drinks. Main report. København: Miljøstyrelsen. (Miljøprojekt 399)

Aluminium Association (1999). Summary of Electricity supply for Worldwide Primary Aluminium Smelting Expansions. Unpublished document by the Aluminium Association, Washington D.C.

Ménard, M.; Dones, R.; Gantner, U. (1998): Strommix in Ökobilanzen. Villingen: Paul Scherrer Institut. (PSI Bericht 98-17)

Weidema, B.P.; Frees, N.; Nielsen, A.-M. (1999): Marginal Production Technologies for Life Cycle Inventories. Int. J. LCA 4 (1) 48-56

JLCA Corner

The Progress of the Database Study Committee in the National LCA Project of Japan

Yoshifumi Nakahara

JEMAI (Japan Environmental Management Association for Industry), Ueno 1-17-6, Taito-ku, Tokyo 110-8535, Japan; phone: +81-3-3832-0515, (fax: -2774); e-mail: nakahara@jemai.or.jp; website: http://www.jemai.or.jp

The National LCA Project of Japan has previously been introduced in this corner [1,2,3]. There are mainly three study committees (Inventory, Database and Impact Assessment Study Committees) in this project. The Progress of Impact Assessment Study Committee [2] and Inventory Study Committee WG2 [3] have been reported. In this article, I'd like to introduce the activity of the Database Study Committee.

The Database Study Committee is made up of LCA practitioners and LCA software developers as its members and is actively working with the aim of completing an LCA public database system in Japan which might accomplish the following tasks with ease:

(1) Construction of a database for data to be accumulated by the Inventory Study Committee,
(2) smooth supply of the data to users through the Internet,
(3) appropriate maintenance and management of data including updating.

In 1998, a study on data format and development of the LCA database system was started.

In the field of data formats, an LCA data format suitable for this project was studied in consideration of data formats proposed for existing LCA software, an SPOLD format, requirements under ISO 14040 and 14041, and proposals made by the other study committees. As a result, the basic specification of the data format was determined. This format will be refined further, incorporating new proposals discussed by the other study committees and the data format studied by the ISO.

The LCA database system has data input software, a database server and a data supply server as its main components. The data input software was developed to support the data input by LCA practitioners based on the LCA data format mentioned above. This software has the following functions:

(1) Data input functions: input data to express the product system configuration and inventory data.
(2) Dictionary maintenance function: with respect to official names assigned to certain industry classification codes; this system registers the original name used by each industry and company as an alias.

The database server stores the collected LCA data and returns results in response to requests for search and data supply via the data supply server mentioned below. The basic functions as a database server were developed and the functions were verified in 1998. The data supply server is accessed directly by LCA data users when collected LCA data is published through the Internet. In 1998, the interface with the database server was developed. Construction of an interface with database users and of functions for database managers is scheduled in 1999. After completing the foregoing design, the database test manufacture and test run, will be used as a prototype of the LCA database system for use in work to enhance completeness after 2000 where test runs using actual data will be employed.

One system development challenge for the proposed database system is the necessity for ideas to meet specification changes flexibly since LCA data format changes are anticipated and the possibility of changes in the specifications of the entire system is very high. Furthermore, a development schedule must be made for data input software so that software adjusted to the progress made by the Inventory Study Committee can be supplied.

References:

[1] Masataka Yano, Int. J. LCA 3(2) 69-70 (1998)
[2] Norihito Itsubo, Int. J. LCA 4(4) 194 (1999)
[3] Norihiro Itsubo, Int. J. LCA 4(5) 246 (1999)