

Note on Nonstability of the Linear Recurrence

By J. BRZDĘK, D. POPA, and B. XU

Abstract. We prove a non-stability result for linear recurrences with constant coefficients in Banach spaces. As a consequence we obtain a complete solution of the problem of the Hyers-Ulam stability for those congruences in the complex Banach space.

Throughout this note, denote by \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{N}_0 , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , as usual, the sets of positive integers, nonnegative integers, reals and complex numbers, respectively. Moreover \mathbb{K} is either the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , X is a Banach space over \mathbb{K} , and $\mathbb{S} := \{a \in \mathbb{C} \mid |a| = 1\}$.

The stability problem of functional equations was originally raised by S. M. ULAM [13] in 1940. He posed the following problem: under what conditions does there exist an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping? In 1941, this problem was solved by D. H. HYERS [8] in the case of Banach spaces.

After HYERS's result a great deal of papers on this subject have been published, generalizing ULAM's problem and HYERS's theorem in various directions and to other functional equations (see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 12]). Furthermore, many surveys, specially on stability of functional equations and their systems in several variables, were given successively (see e.g. [5, 6, 9]).

The Hyers-Ulam stability of linear recurrence with constant coefficients, a discrete case of equations in a single variable, was investigated in [11], where the second author of the present paper has proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{K}$ be such that the equation*

$$r^p - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i r^{p-i} = 0 \tag{1}$$

admits the roots $r_1, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbb{S}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . Suppose that $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence in X with the property

$$\|y_{n+p} - a_1 y_{n+p-1} - \dots - a_p y_n - b_n\| \leq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2}$$

Then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X , given by the recurrence

$$x_{n+p} = a_1 x_{n+p-1} + \dots + a_p x_n + b_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B82.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam stability; non-stability; characteristic root; linear recurrence.

such that

$$\|y_n - x_n\| \leq \frac{\delta}{|(1 - |r_1|) \cdots (1 - |r_p|)|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (4)$$

Roughly speaking, we say that a functional equation is stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense if for every solution of the perturbed equation, there exists a solution of the equation that differs from the solution of the perturbed equation with a small error. However, because of many later restatements (see e.g. [1, 9]) of the original problem, raised by S. M. ULAM in 1940, we introduce the following two definitions (cf. [10], p. 290).

Definition 1. Recurrence (3) is said to be weakly stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense provided, for every unbounded sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X with

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_{n+p} - a_1 y_{n+p-1} - \cdots - a_p y_n - b_n\| < \infty,$$

there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X such that (3) holds and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|y_n - x_n\| < \infty.$$

Definition 2. Recurrence (3) is said to be strongly stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense provided for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for every sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X satisfying (2), there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X such that (3) holds and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|y_n - x_n\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Of course some other definitions are possible, but the first one seems to be reasonably weak, while the second one is quite strong. It is easily seen that a recurrence that is strongly stable is also weakly stable and a recurrence which is not weakly stable is not strongly stable either. Therefore the definitions suit well the statement of the next theorem, where we supplement the result of Theorem 1 by showing that, in each case where at least one of the roots of the characteristic equation (1) is in \mathbb{S} , the congruence is not (weakly or strongly) stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense. Thus we completely solve the problem of the Hyers-Ulam stability for the linear congruences with constant coefficients in the complex Banach spaces, in the sense of either of the definitions.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{K}$, and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . The recurrence (3) is weakly (strongly, respectively) stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense if and only if the characteristic equation (1) does not have any root in \mathbb{S} .

In the real case we have only the following.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{K}$, and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . Assume that the characteristic equation (1) admits roots $r_1, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{R}$. The recurrence (3) is weakly (strongly, respectively) stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense if and only if $r_1, \dots, r_p \notin \{-1, 1\}$.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{K}$, and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . The recurrence

$$x_{n+1} = ax_n + b_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \tag{5}$$

is weakly (strongly, respectively) stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense if and only if $|a| \neq 1$.

It is easily seen that Theorems 2 and 3 are immediate consequences of Theorem 1 and the given below Theorem 4 (Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 3).

Theorem 4. Let $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{K}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . Suppose that the characteristic equation (1) admits the roots $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{K}$ and at least one of them is of module 1. Then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists an unbounded sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, satisfying the inequality (2), such that for every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X , fulfilling the linear recurrence (3), we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|y_n - x_n\| = \infty. \tag{6}$$

For the proof of Theorem 4 we need two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X , $x_0 \in X$, and

$$x_{n+1} = ax_n + b_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{7}$$

Then

$$x_n = a^n x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a^{n-k} b_{k-1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Induction on n . □

Lemma 2. Let $\delta > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $|a| = 1$, and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . Then for each $y_0 \in X$ there exists an unbounded sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, satisfying the inequality

$$\|y_{n+1} - ay_n - b_n\| \leq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{9}$$

such that for arbitrary sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X , satisfying (7), we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|y_n - x_n\| = \infty. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let $u \in X$, $\|u\| = 1$,

$$\varepsilon := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a^{n-k} b_{k-1} + na^n \delta u \right\| = \infty; \\ -1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$y_0 \in X$, and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be given by

$$y_{n+1} = ay_n + b_n + \varepsilon a^{n+1} \delta u, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Take $x_0 \in X$ and define $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by (7). Then, according to Lemma 1,

$$y_n - x_n = a^n(y_0 - x_0) + n\varepsilon a^n \delta u, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|y_n - x_n\| \geq \left| \|a^n(y_0 - x_0)\| - \|na^n \delta u\| \right| = \left| \|y_0 - x_0\| - n\delta \right|$$

it is easily seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = \infty.$$

To complete observe that, according to Lemma 1, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$y_n = a^n y_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a^{n-k} b_{k-1} + n\varepsilon a^n \delta u,$$

whence, in the case $\varepsilon = 1$,

$$\|y_n\| \geq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a^{n-k} b_{k-1} + na^n \delta u \right\| - \|y_0\|,$$

and, in the case $\varepsilon = -1$,

$$\|y_n\| = \left\| a^n y_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a^{n-k} b_{k-1} - na^n \delta u \right\| \geq 2n\delta - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a^{n-k} b_{k-1} + na^n \delta u \right\| - \|y_0\|,$$

which, in either case, means that $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is unbounded. \square

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. For $p = 1$, the conclusion of Theorem 4 is true in virtue of Lemma 2.

For $p \geq 2$, without loss of generality, assume that $|r_1| = 1$. From Lemma 2 it follows that there exists an unbounded sequence $(\bar{y}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X , satisfying the inequality

$$\|\bar{y}_{n+1} - r_1 \bar{y}_n - b_n\| \leq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (11)$$

such that for every sequence $(\bar{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with

$$\bar{x}_{n+1} = r_1 \bar{x}_n + b_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (12)$$

we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|\bar{y}_n - \bar{x}_n\| = \infty. \quad (13)$$

Further, there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X with

$$y_{n+p-1} - (r_2 + \dots + r_p)y_{n+p-2} + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}r_2 \dots r_p y_n = \bar{y}_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (14)$$

(it suffices to take $y_0 = \dots = y_{p-2} = 0, y_{p-1} = \bar{y}_0$; then $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ can be determined step by step).

Inequality (11) implies that the sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|y_{n+p} + (-1)(r_1 + \dots + r_p)y_{n+p-1} + \dots + (-1)^p r_1 \dots r_p y_n - b_n\| \leq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (15)$$

which is equivalent with (2). Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be an arbitrary sequence defined by (3) and $(\bar{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the sequence given by

$$\bar{x}_n = x_{n+p-1} + (-1)(r_2 + \dots + r_p)x_{n+p-2} + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}r_2 \dots r_p x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (16)$$

Then (12) and (13) holds.

We have to prove that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|x_n - y_n\| = \infty$. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|y_n - x_n\| \leq M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

From (14) and (16) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{y}_n - \bar{x}_n\| &\leq \|y_{n+p-1} - x_{n+p-1}\| + |r_2 + \dots + r_p| \cdot \|y_{n+p-2} - x_{n+p-2}\| \\ &\quad + \dots + |r_2 \dots r_p| \cdot \|y_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq (1 + |r_2 + \dots + r_p| + \dots + |r_2 \dots r_p|)M \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which contradicts (13).

To complete the proof observe that, in view of (14), $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ must be unbounded. □

Remark 1. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Consider the linear recurrence

$$x_{n+2} = -x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (17)$$

Its characteristic equation

$$r^2 = -1$$

have roots $r_1 = i, r_2 = -i$. We show that (17) is not stable.

Let $u \in X, \|u\| = 1, y_0 \in X$, and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be given by

$$y_{n+2} = -y_n + (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \delta u, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where $\delta > 0$ and $\lfloor a \rfloor$ is the biggest integer which is not greater than a . Clearly, we have

$$\|y_{n+2} + y_n\| \leq \delta.$$

For $n = 2k$, we have

$$y_{2(k+1)} = -y_{2k} + (-1)^k \delta u, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (18)$$

We claim that

$$y_{2k} = (-1)^k y_0 + (-1)^{k-1} k \delta u, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (19)$$

In fact, it is trivial for $k = 1$. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that it is true for $k = m$. By (18),

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2(m+1)} &= -y_{2m} + (-1)^m \delta u \\ &= -((-1)^m y_0 + (-1)^{m-1} m \delta u) + (-1)^m \delta u \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} y_0 + (-1)^m (m+1) \delta u. \end{aligned}$$

So we have proved (19). Hence, for every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in X , satisfying (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2k} - x_{2k}\| &= \|(-1)^k y_0 + (-1)^{k-1} k \delta u - (-1)^k x_0\| \\ &\geq \left| \|(-1)^k (y_0 - x_0)\| - \|(-1)^{k-1} k \delta u\| \right| = \|y_0 - x_0\| - k \delta \end{aligned}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|y_n - x_n\| = \infty.$$

Thus we have shown that in the case where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and the characteristic equation of (3) (i.e. (1)) has no real roots, the recurrence can be not stable. Therefore the following problem seems to be of interest.

Problem. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in X . Suppose that $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{C}$ are the roots of the characteristic equation (1). Is it true that recurrence (3) is weakly (strongly, resp.) stable in the Hyers-Ulam sense if and only if $|r_i| \neq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$? (In other words: does Theorem 2 remain valid if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$?)

References

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL, B. XU, and W. ZHANG, Stability of functional equations in single variable. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **288** (2003), 852–869.
- [2] C. BORELLI and G. L. FORTI, On a general Hyers-Ulam stability result. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **18** (1995), 229–236.
- [3] D. G. BOURGIN, Classes of transformations and bordering transformations. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **57** (1951), 223–237.
- [4] G. L. FORTI, An existence and stability theorem for a class of functional equations. *Stochastica* **4** (1980), 23–30
- [5] ———, Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables. *Aequationes Math.* **50** (1995), 143–190.
- [6] R. GER, A survey of recent results on stability of functional equations. In: *Proc. of the 4th International Conference on Functional Equations and Inequalities (Cracow)*, Pedagogical University of Cracow, Poland, 1994, pp. 5–36.
- [7] P. M. GRUBER, Stability of isometries. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **245** (1978), 263–277.
- [8] D. H. HYERS, On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [9] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC, and T. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [10] Z. MOSZNER, Sur la stabilité de l'équation d'homomorphisme. *Aequationes Math.* **29** (1985), 290–306.

- [11] D. POPA, Hyers-Ulam stability of the linear recurrence with constant coefficients. *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2005:2** (2005), 101–107.
- [12] J. RÄTZ, On approximately additive mappings. In: *General inequalities 2* (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Oberwolfach, 1978), Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1980, pp. 233–251.
- [13] S. M. ULAM, *Problems in Modern Mathematics, Science Editions*. John-Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1964.

Received: 10 July 2006

Communicated by: A. Kreuzer

Authors' addresses: Janusz Brzdęk, Department of Mathematics, Pedagogical University, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland.

E-mail: jbrzdek@ap.krakow.pl

Dorian Popa, Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Str. C. Daicoviciu 15, Cluj-Napoca, 3400, Romania.

E-mail: Popa.Dorian@math.utcluj.ro

Bing Xu, Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, P. R. China.

E-mail: xb0408@yahoo.com.cn