− 293, September 2005 A new approach for persistence in probabilistic rock slope stability analysis

Hyuck-Jin Park Department of Geoinformation Engineering, Sejong University, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul, Korea

ABSTRACT: Discontinuity persistence is defined as the fraction of area (or length) that is actually discontinuous, with reference to a discontinuity plane which is through the rock mass containing a combination of discontinuities and intact rock regions. Although persistence is one of the most significant discontinuity parameters in slope stability analysis, it is impossible in practice to measure the discontinuity area accurately in a field investigation. Therefore, several researches have carried out on the basis of different approaches such as numerical analysis and fracture mechanics. In this study, the persistence is considered as a random variable since the persistence is difficult to obtain in the field and subsequently involves uncertainty. In addition, while most previous stability analyses have assumed that discontinuity on the failure plane is fully persistent, the probability that the joint length is long enough to produce a rock block failure (or that the joint length is equal to or greater than maximum sliding dimension) is evaluated in this study. That is, the probability of failure obtained from the previous approach is a conditional probability on the premise that the discontinuity on the failure plane is fully persistent. This approach simply uses joint length data rather than the persistence value in the procedure of obtaining the probability of fully persistent joint. Later the probability that fully persistent joint exists is multiplied by the probability of slope failure which itself is based on the assumption that joints are fully persistent. Consequently, in order to overcome the limitation of a conservative analysis, assuming 100% joint persistence, the proposed approach suggested new persistence concept based on the discontinuity length information. In this study, the proposed concept applies to the practical example.

Key words: persistence, probability of failure, probability analysis, discontinuity

1. INTRODUCTION

The joint persistence
of joints to the length
that the failure takes
nings, 1970). The pers
the important paramet
discontinuity, and ma
gested many different
persistence. Jennings
terms of the total join
(rock bridge) leng The joint persistence is defined as the ratio of total length of joints to the length of the failure plane if it is assumed that the failure takes along a straight line segment (Jennings, 1970). The persistence has been recognized as one of the important parameters which affect the shear strength of discontinuity, and many researches, therefore, have suggested many different approaches to properly deal with the persistence. Jennings (1970) defined the persistence in terms of the total joint length on the plane and intact rock (rock bridge) length intersecting the plane. After that, Einstein et al. (1983) have defined the persistence as the fraction of area, which is usually discontinuous, with respect to the total area of the failure plane. However, the persistence is difficult to obtain from field since the mapping of each joint persistence is impossible in practical base. Therefore, most rock slope stability analyses assume that the 100% persistent joint exists on failure surface. In addition, since the statistical technique for obtaining and describing the joint geometries is utilized, the most geometric characteristics, such as orientation, length, space as well as persistence should be considered as random variable. However, most previous approaches were considered the persistence as fixed value. In this study, a new procedure to evaluate discontinuity persistence is proposed. In this approach, the persistence is taken into account random variable. In addition, the random property of discontinuity length is used instead of persistence itself which is difficult to obtain on practical basis.

2. TRADITIONAL DEFINITION OF JOINT PERSIS-**TENCE**

Persista

affecting

Therefore

quantitatii

the joint

tinuity al

plane thr

discontin

defined a

(Einstein can be ex
 $K = \lim_{A_D}$

in which

the area

field surv

only two

suggested

observing

surface a

length ra

t Persistence is one of the most significant joint parameters affecting rock mass strength, but it is difficult to quantify. Therefore, many researchers have tried to define the persistence quantitatively in many different ways. ISRM (1978) defined the joint persistence as the areal extent or size of a discontinuity along a plane. With reference to a joint plane (a plane through the rock mass containing a combination of discontinuities and intact rock regions), joint persistence is defined as the fraction of area that is actually discontinuous can be expressed in the limit form (Fig. 1):

$$
K = \lim_{A_D \to \infty} \frac{\sum_i a_{Di}}{A_D} \tag{1}
$$

(Einstein et al., 1983). Therefore, the persistence value (K)
can be expressed in the limit form (Fig. 1):
 $K = \lim_{A_D \to \infty} \frac{\sum a_{D_i}}{A_D}$ (1)
in which *D* is a region of the plane with area A_D and a_{D_i} is
the area of t in which *D* is a region of the plane with area A_D and a_{Dv} is
the area of the ith joint in *D*. However, it is impossible in
practice to measure the discontinuity area accurately in a
field survey. This is because r the area of the ith joint in D. However, it is impossible in practice to measure the discontinuity area accurately in a field survey. This is because rock exposures are small and only two-dimensional. Consequently, Einstei practice to measure the discontinuity area accurately in a field survey. This is because rock exposures are small and only two-dimensional. Consequently, Einstein et al. (1983) suggested that persistence can only be roughly quantified by observing the discontinuity trace length on a rock exposure surface and joint persistence can be expressed as a limit length ratio along a given line on a joint plane in terms of trace length: -- ve ac k lly gust rf ng lc $\frac{a_{Di}}{a_{Di}}$
a r it easing per distribution $\begin{array}{c} \text{and} \ \text{a} \ \text{and} \ \text{a} \ \text{and} \ \text{b} \ \text{and} \ \text{c} \ \text{and} \ \text{$ $\lim_{n \to \infty}$
h L of to to to ded t and ation and dentity and dentity and the same state of the state of

^{*}Corresponding author: hjpark@sejong.ac.kr

Fig. 1. Discontinuity persistence given in joint area terms.

$$
K = \lim_{Ls \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i} I_{Si}}{L_s}
$$
 (2)

is the length of the ith joint segment in S. Jennings (1970) proposed that joint persistence could be expressed using a trace length term on the rock exposure surface as

$$
K = \frac{\sum JL}{\sum JL + \sum RBR}
$$
 (3)

where $\sum J L$ represents the total length of the joint segments and $\sum RBR$ is the total length of segments crossing rock bridges (Fig. 2).

where L_s is the length of the straight-line segment S, and l_s
is the length of the ith joint segment in S. Jennings (1970)
proposed that joint persistence could be expressed using a
trace length trem on the rock expos Singh and Sun (1989) and Scavia (1990) applied fracture mechanics concept to evaluate the stability of rock which does not have 100% persistence failure plane. Kemeny (2003) proposed a fracture mechanics model in slope stability, which is considered the time dependent degradation of rock bridge cohesion. The fracture mechanics considers rock slope failure as a result of joint initiation and propagation. Therefore, the joint tip stress intensity factor is governing parameter with respect to the rock slope stability and the factor of safety is defined in terms of stress intensity factor. Consequently, this approach has the limitation that the factor of safety defined as the stress intensity factor indicates crack stability, but not the overall stability of the slope. e the ce K
Ce K
Le did id id id id id id it
ce e e did it re the e did id is a did id is a did id is a did id in a did in the control of the contro lsing the second single se he oit jier [14]. The is 2 Summe is genilisted is a quantity of the second to the control of the second to the second the control of \sum_{s} is ingthe \sum_{s} is angle \sum_{s} is angle \sum_{s} is angle \sum_{s} is angle \sum_{s} is an \sum_{s} i $K = \frac{\sum JL}{\sum JL + \sum}$

here $\sum JL$ repr

d $\sum RBR$ is t

idges (Fig. 2).

Singh and Sun

schanics conce

es not have

003) proposed

lity, which is c

rock bridge co

ck slope failur

tion. Therefore

ing parameter

e factor of $=\frac{\sum_{J}U}{\sum_{J}U}$ + $\sum_{R}BR$
e $\sum_{J}U$ represent
 $\sum_{R}BR$ is the tc
es (Fig. 2).
agh and Sun (198
aanics concept tc
not have 100%
3) proposed a fra,
with is consider, which is consider to some failure as
in. Therefore, BR
Figure is the properties of the property of the contraction of the (Figure 1)
and ics of his prop
ot his prop 1
Ther parar tor of Cons
tor cons craces of the parar
Disco Fig. 1. Discontinuity persistence given in joint area terms.
 $K = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{N}^{f_{\text{NN}}}}{\sum_{N}}$

where L_{s} is the length of the straight-line segment S, the length of the thi joint segment in S. Jennings is the len

Fig. 2. Discontinuity persistence given in joint length term (After Fig. 2. Discontinuity persistence given in joint length term (After
Jennings, 1970).
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Jennings, 1970).

3. IMPORTANCE OF DISCONTINUITY PERSIS-TENCE

The reason that discontinuity persistence is important in slope stability analysis is because of its major effect on rock mass strength. The shear strength available for a rock bridge is one to two orders of magnitude greater than the shear strength available on the discontinuity. As Einstein et al. (1983) and West (1996) suggested, joint persistence can be used to estimate the strength of a rock mass against sliding a 100% persistent joint is assumed, the shearing resistance

$$
R = (\sigma \tan \phi_j + c_j)A \tag{4}
$$

joint, respectively. However, if the joint is not persistent, failure occurs through the rock bridge and only parallel to the discontinuity. In this case, the shear resistance to sliding is evaluated as:

$$
R = Ar(\sigma \tan \phi_r + c_r) + Ai(\sigma \tan \phi_i + c_i)
$$
 (5)

where the intact rock portion and a jointed portion have area cohesion of intact rock, respectively.

The restand mass stre

The restand mass stre

is one to strength

(1983) and to extength

(1983) and to extength

(1983) and to extend and paid of the angle of the disconding method
 $R = A$, where the disconding mode is th along a given plane. If the plane of sliding has area A and

a 100% persistent joint is assumed, the shearing resistance

(R) can be expressed as:
 $R = (\sigma \tan \phi, + c_i)A$ (4)

where ϕ and c_i are the friction angle and cohe (R) can be expressed as:
 $R = (\sigma \tan \phi_j + c_j)A$

where ϕ_i and c_j are the joint, respectively. Howe

failure occurs through th

the discontinuity. In this

is evaluated as:
 $R = A_i(\sigma \tan \phi_i + c_i) +$

where the intact rock port
 where φ and c_j are the friction angle and cohesion of the
joint, respectively. However, if the joint is not persistent,
failure occurs through the rock bridge and only parallel to
failure cocurs through the rock bridge *A_r* and *A_j*, respectively and ϕ , and c_r are the friction angle and cohesion of intact rock, respectively.
However, the more serious problem concerning persis-
However, the more serious problem concerning persis However, the more serious problem concerning persistence is that its extent is difficult to measure because direct mapping of discontinuities within a rock mass is not possible. In practice, 100% persistence on the shear planes is assumed. However, the possibility of a 100% persistent discontinuity on the shear planes is quite low under field conditions. In addition, as Einstein et al. (1983) suggested, persistence should be considered as a random variable because every joint in a set does not have the same value and these values are uncertain. Therefore, a new approach is requisite for the probabilistic analysis which is able to properly deal with persistence as random variable, and statistical parameters and a probability density distribution should be evaluated to characterize joint persistence as a random variable. $R = (\sigma \tan \phi_j + c_j)A$
nere ϕ_j and c_j are t
int, respectively. H
ilure occurs througle
e discontinuity. In tl
evaluated as:
 $R = A_r(\sigma \tan \phi_r + c_r$
nere the intact rock
and A_j , respectively
hesion of intact rock
However, the mo $R = A_r(\sigma \tan \phi_r + c_r) + A_j(\sigma \tan \phi_j + c_j)$
nere the intact rock portion and a joint
and A_j , respectively and ϕ_r and c_r are t
hesion of intact rock, respectively.
However, the more serious problem
nce is that its extent is dif

IN THIS STUDY

Hudson and Priest (1983) recognized that two kinds of

persistence could be identified: intermittent joints as in Figure

3(a) and impersistent discontinuities as in Figure 3(b).

Intermittent joints in Figu Hudson and Prioring

Hudson and Prioring

ure 3(a) and imperificant points

tain a patchwork of

through the rock m

concept of persiste

on the same plane

based on the conce

However, from a Hudson and Priest (1983) recognized that two kinds of persistence could be identified: intermittent joints as in Figure 3(a) and impersistent discontinuities as in Figure 3(b). Intermittent joints in Figure $3(a)$ require that the planes contain a patchwork of discontinuities and intact rock regions through the rock mass. As discussed previously, the previous concept of persistence implies that two or more joints occur on the same plane, so the previous persistence concept is based on the concept of intermittent joints.

However, from a practical point of view, it appears that

joint (After Hudson and Priest, 1983).

mation on statistical parameters and the probability distribution of discontinuity length, which is assumed as an exponential distribution in many researches, a large number of individual joint length values are generated using Monte Carlo simulation. Then each value of the generated joint length is compared to the sliding dimension and the prob-

Fig. 4. Geometrical feature of sliding dimension and joint on slid-Fig. 4. Geometrical feature of sliding dimension and joint on sliding plane. ing plane.

$$
P_{pi} = \frac{N_{pi}}{N_i} \tag{6}
$$

$$
P_p = \sum_{i=1}^{10} P_{pi} \tag{7}
$$

are fully persistent. Then the probability of rock slope failure is expressed as:

 P [rock slope failure] = P [rock slope failure] fully persistent joint $]\times P$ [fully persistent joint exists] (8)

Fig. 5. Elevation intervals.

ability that the joint len

maximum sliding lengtl

of slope is divided into

fully persistent joint (the

equal to or greater than the

by grouping daylighting

(Fig. 5). That is, the probab maximum sliding length is calculated. For this, the height
of slope is divided into 0 ib increats. Then the probability of
fully persistent joint (the probability than the piont length is
call to ty or greater than the ma of slope is divided into 10 intervals. Then the probability of indiper
is divided into 10 intervals. Then the probability of ridily persistent joint (the probability first it be probability in the prime disperting dividen fully persistent joint (the probability that the joint length is equal to or geater than the maximum sliding length) is evaluated fig. 5). That is, the probability of fully persistent joint for the slope between the proba equal to or greater than the maximum sliding length) is evaluated
by grouping dayfighting points according to elevation interval
(Fig. 5). That is, the probability of fully persistent joint for the
the levation interval (by grouping daylighting points according to elevation interval

(Fig. 5). That is, the probability of fully persistent joint for the

(Fig. 5). That is, the probability of fully persistent joint for the
 $P_{F_i} = \frac{N_{\mu_i}}{$ (Fig. 5). That is, the probability of fully persistent joint for the ith elevation interval (P_p) is calculated as:
 $P_p = \frac{N_p}{N_i}$ (6)

in which *N*, is the total number of iteration in the ith elevation interval and N_p in elevation interval (P_{ρ}) is calculated as:
 $P_{\rho i} = \frac{N_{\rho i}}{N_i}$ (6)

in which N_i is the total number of iteration in the ith eleva-

tion interval and N_{ρ} is the number of iteration that the length

of a ran in civvation interval ($P_{pj} = \frac{N_{pi}}{N_j}$
in which N_j is the total number of iteration
tion interval and N_p is the number of iteration
of iteration interval and N_p is the number of iteration
of a randomly selected N_i
ich N_i
iterval
indom
slidir
n the as:
 $=\sum_{i=1}^{10} I$
 $\frac{1}{i}$
 $\frac{1}{i}$ ar this
 $\frac{1}{1}$ which $\frac{1}{1}$
 $\frac{1}{1}$ as $\$ va-gth ax-al-(7) pe ints sis-(8) pe is sis-(8) pe is sis-(8) pe is sistif-ure to isomit lity that is interin which N_i is the total number of iteration in the ineva-
ition interation and N_p is the number of iteration that the length
of a randomly selected joint is equal to or greater than max-
inum sliding length in the it the matrix and y_n is an matrix of the attached interval and y_n is the number of the number of the probability of fully persistent joint (P_p) is calculated as:
 $P_p = \sum_{i=1}^{10} P_p$ (7)

Later this value is multiplied b Then the probability of fully persistent joint (culated as:
 $P_p = \sum_{i=1}^{10} P_{pi}$

Later this value is multiplied by the probability

failure which itself is based on the assumption

are fully persistent. Then the probabi Then the probability of rainy persistent joint (*r*_{*p*}) is canned that as:
 $P_p = \sum_{i=1}^{10} P_{pi}$ (7)

Later this value is multiplied by the probability of slope

ilure which itself is based on the assumption that joints $P_p = \sum_{i=1}^{10}$
Later this failure wh
are fully points are fully points be expressed
 $P[rock\ s \tent\ joint]$
The assumed as stability are assumed in the stability are which is by a condition
is fully per theory, the multiplicat
wh = ∑

= ∑

er the when

er the when

er the when

for the same of the same of the same of the same

explicit of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same

explicit of the same of the same of the same of the cypee ints ure sis-
(8) ppee sistif-
ppee t is bint the ure lity
lity lity lity ilure which itself is based on the assumption that joints fully persistent. Then the probability of rock slope failure expressed as;
 P[rock slope failure]=P[rock slope failure] fully persis-nt joint]×P[fully persistent are fully persistent. Then the probability of rock slope failure is expressed as;
 $P[rock slope failure] = P[rock slope failure] fully persisted to (8)$
 (6.8)

The assumption of the fully persistent joint exists] (8)

The assumption of the fully persistent joint in is expressed as;
 P[rock slope failure]=P[rock slope failure] fully persistent joint]×*P[fully persistent joint exists]* (8)

The assumption of the fully persistent joint in rock slope

stability analysis is quite commo $P[rock slope]$ tent joint] $\times P[flux]$ The assumptified as a probability analysis as well as probability analysis.
When the assumptified as probability analysis which is based a conditional principle is fully persistent theory, the $\frac{P(t)}{P(t)}$ is absoluted persistent joint exists] (8)
The assumption of the fully persistent joint exists] (8)
The assumption of the fully persistent joint in rock slope
ability analysis is quite common in deterministic cype
persis
iff-peeure
t is bint lity
lity
lity
lity The assumption of the fully persistent joint in rock slope ability analysis is quite common in deterministic analysis well as probabilistic analysis since the persistence is dif-
cult to obtain from field and not easy to include in slope
ability analysis. Therefore, the probabilit stability analysis is quite common in deterministic analysis as well as probabilistic analysis since the persistence is difficult to obtain from field and not easy to include in slope stability analysis. Therefore, the probability of slope failure which is based on the assumption of as well as probabilistic analysis since the persistence is difficult to obtain from field and not easy to include in slope stability analysis. Therefore, the probability of slope failure which is based on the assumption of fully persistent joint is a conditional probability which has a premise that the joint is fully persistent. Consequently, stability analysis. Therefore, the probability of slope failure which is based on the assumption of fully persistent joint is a conditional probability which has a premise that the joint is a conditional probability which has a premise that the joint is fully persistent. Consequently, which is based on the assumption of fully persistent joint is a conditional probability which has a premise that the joint
is fully persistent. Consequently, on the basis of probability
theory, the probability of slope failure is the result of the
multiplication of the conditional pr a conditional probability which has a premise that the joint is fully persistent. Consequently, on the basis of probability theory, the probability of slope failure is the result of the multiplication of the conditional probability of slope failure which is assumed fully persistent is fully persistent. Consequently, on the basis of probability is theory, the probability of slope failure is the result of the multiplication of the conditional probability of slope failure which is assumed fully persistent joint and the probability that the fully persistent joint ex theory, the probability of slope failure is the result of the multiplication of the conditional probability of slope failure
which is assumed fully persistent joint and the probability
that the fully persistent joint exists.
Therefore, in the initial step of the approach, probability multiplication of the conditional probability of slope failure which is assumed fully persistent joint and the probability
that the fully persistent joint exists.
Therefore, in the initial step of the approach, probability
of rock slope failure, same as in most previous probability
of which is assumed fully persistent joint and the probability that the fully persistent joint exists.

that the fully persistent joint exists.
Therefore, in the initial step of the approach, probability
of rock slope failure, same as in most previous probability
of rock slope failure, same as in most previous probability Therefore, in the initial step of the of rock slope failure, same as in most of rock slope failure, same as in most Therefore, in the initial step of the approach, probability Therefore, in the initial step of the approach, probability
rock slope failure, same as in most previous probability of rock slope failure, same as in most previous probability of rock slope failure, same as in most previous probability,

analyses, is evaluated assuming fully persistent discontinuities. Finally the probability that the joint length is long enough to produce a rock block failure is evaluated and multiplied by the conditional probability of failure. Consequently the probability of failure, which takes into account nonpersistent discontinuity, is obtained.

In addition to overcoming the limitation of a conservative analysis, assuming 100% joint persistence, the proposed approach in this study differs from previous persistence concepts. Previous work focused on the effect of persistence on shear strength. Therefore, consideration of partial persistence caused an increase in shear strength on the joint surface and a consequent increase in the reliability or safety of the rock slope. By contrast, the proposed approach simply uses joint length data rather than the persistence value. This is significant because field determination of persistence is not possible on a practical basis and the existence of two or more persistent joints on the same plane is geologically unlikely as concluded by Mauldon (1994). Therefore, the new approach expresses the probability that the joint length is equal to or greater than the maximum sliding length, which is multiplied by the probability of failure of the rock slope, the latter being evaluated assuming a fully persistent joint. This approach yields a result similar to the previous procedure, that is, the reliability of the rock slope is increased. In addition, an advantage of this approach is the inclusion of joint length information. The joint length is one of the most important factors that characterize joint properties, but is not accounted for in the traditional factor of safety calculation for rock stability. Besides, the persistence is considered as a random variable as Einstein et al. (1983) proposed since the persistence is difficult to obtain in the field and involves uncertainty.

5. CASE STUDY

The proposed approach for discontinuity persistence has been applied to practical examples (Fig. 6). A rock slope in North Carolina, USA has been selected to apply the approach. The site consists of an extensive rock cut along Interstate highway 40 in western North Carolina, USA. This area along Interstate 40 shows excellent exposures of a series of meta-sedimentary rocks of late Precambrian age. Major rock types in this area are a gray, thin bedded to laminated feldspathic meta-sandstone and a green slate with thin interbeds of fine meta-sandstone (Wiener and Merschat, 1975). Bedding is distinct and the rock is highly jointed. The study site has experienced several large landslides during and after construction. On July 1, 1997, a large rockslide occurred in the area after heavy rainfall and more than 100,000 cubic meters of rock were removed during mitigation of this rock slide. After the rock slide, the mitigation work has been carried out to stabilize the rock slope. In this study, the probabilistic analysis has been applied in order to

Fig. 6. Slope dimensions used in the case study.

analyze the stability of the slope.

Field investigation was carried out to collect the discontinuity geometric data such as orientation, length and spacing. In addition, the direct shear test was also carried out and the shear strength parameters for discontinuity are obtained. The obtained discontinuity orientation data were corrected for sampling bias and clustered by the algorithm proposed by Mahtab and Yegulalp (1982). Six major discontinuity sets were delineated in this area and their representative orientations were 217/77 (dip direction and dip) for J1, 183/05 for J2, 163/63 for J3, 196/56 for J4, 227/37 for J5 and 061/ 66 for J6. After performing the clustering procedure, the appropriate probability density function was determined for a discontinuity orientation distribution. Due to its simplicity and flexibility, Fisher distribution was selected. This distribution is based on the assumption that a population of orientation values is distributed about a "true" value (Fisher, 1953). This assumption is similar to the concept of discontinuity normals being distributed about some true value within a set. Fig. 0. slope anneastons asea in an ease state,

Field investigation was carried out to collect

Field investigation was carried out to collect

addition, the direct shear test was also carry

shear strength parameters for

For discontinuity length, discontinuity trace length data were obtained by the author from a field survey on road cuts along Interstate Highway 40. Approximately 300 data points were sampled with the author collecting the semitrace length of discontinuities using the detailed scanline method. After obtaining this discontinuity length data, lengths were reclassified on the basis of discontinuity sets and the mean length for each set was evaluated. In addition, the negative exponential distribution was utilized to represent random property of discontinuity trace length. This is because many researches (Wallis and King, 1980; Priest and Hudson, 1981; Baecher, 1983; Kulatilake et al., 1993; Kulatilake et al., 2003) and the author in the previous research (Park and West, 2001) concluded that the exponential distribution is suitable to represent the discontinuity trace length distribution.

For discontinuity spacing, the lognormal probability density function was used as the distribution model to represent the random property of discontinuity spacing. Literatures proposed the use of a lognormal probability distribution for discontinuity spacing. Rouleau and Gale (1985), Sen and Kazi (1984), Park and West (2001) and Kulatilake et al. (2003) suggested that the lognormal probability density distribution was appropriate, based on their goodness of fit tests.

The probability density function of the shear strength

Table 1. Input for discontinuity properties.									
Set I.D.	J1	J2	J3	J ₄	J5	J6		Probability density function	
Mean Orientation (dip direction /dip)	217/77	183/5	163/63	196/56	227/37	061/66		Fisher	
Fisher Constant	42	53	29	119	36	106			
Mean Friction Angle (degree)	30	30	30	30	30	30		Normal	
STD DEV of Friction Angle	3	3	3	3	3	3			
Mean Length (m)	1	$\mathbf{1}$	1	0.5	60	1		Exponential	
Spacing (m)	1.6	3.5	0.43	4.5	1.0	2.7		Lognormal	
parameters, especially friction angle, can be inferred from					Table 3. Results of probabilistic analysis.				
previous research, and from an analysis performed by the author in nearby study area in North Carolina (Park, 1999;				Set	P_f (a) 100 % persistence		Probability of totally persistent joint	Probability of failure	
Park and West, 2001). Several researchers have suggested a				J1	0.018		0.001	0.00002	
normal distribution or truncated normal distribution as the				J2	0		0.001	0	
probabilistic density function for the internal friction angle				J3	0		0.13	0	
(Mostyn and Li, 1993; Hoek, 1998; Nilsen, 2000; Pathak and Nilsen, 2004). Based on experience by the author, this				J4	0.014		$\mathbf 0$	Ω	
can be confirmed using a Chi-square goodness-of-fit test on				J5	0.67		0.62	0.42	
direct shear strength testing data. Therefore, a truncated				J6	$\mathbf 0$		0.001	0	
normal distribution is considered for the density distribution									
of the internal friction angle for the study area. The random or probabilistic properties of discontinuity parameters used in this study are listed in Table 1. In addi-								persistent. "Probability of fully persistent joint" implies a probability that the fully persistent joint exists. Therefore, the probability of failure in Table 3 is the multiplication of	
tion, mean and standard deviation values for each parameter are listed. On the other hand, the slope geometries such as orientation and height of slope are considered as the deter-							P_f (a) 100% persistence and P (fully persistent joint).	The probabilities of fully persistent joint for J1, J2, J3, J5, and J6 are 0.1%, 0.1%, 13%, 62%, and 0.1%, respectively.	
ministic parameters (Table 2). Using the discontinuity and slope parameters, the possibility of planar failure is ana- lyzed. The Hoek and Bray's simple equation (1981) has been utilized for the analysis of planar failure;								In case of J4, the probability that fully persistent joint exists is 0 because the length of the discontinuity is too small compared to maximum sliding dimension. However, the probabilities of fully persistent joint for J1, J2, and J6	
$FS = \frac{cA + W\cos\alpha\tan\phi}{W\sin\alpha}$ (9)					(which show 0.1%) and the probability of fully persistent joint for J3, 13%, are different even though the mean lengths of the discontinuity sets for J1, J2, J3, and J6 have				

Table 1. Input for discontinuity properties.

The random or probabilistic properties of discontinuity ur-
eter are listed. On the other hand, the slope geometries such as ministic parameters (Table 2). Using the discontinuity and lyzed. The Hoek and Bray's simple equation (1981) has For the proof and Σw is empty equation (1991) has where FS is the factor of safety, α is the dip angle of dis-

where FS is the factor of safety, α is the discontinuity and

where FS is the factor of safety, α is the dip angle of dis-

analyzed. The Hoek and Bra ministic parameters (Table 2). Using the discontinuity and
slope parameters, the possibility of planar failure is ana-
lyzed. The Hoek and Bray's simple equation (1981) has
been utilized for the analysis of planar failure be parameters, the possibility of planar failure is analyzed. The Hoek and Bray's simple equation (1981) has
been utilized for the analysis of planar failure;
 $FS = \frac{cA + W \cos \alpha \tan \phi}{W \sin \alpha}$ (9)
Where FS is the factor of safet t_{t} and t_{t} is a numerical technique is a numerical technique is t_{t} rameters used in this st
on, mean and standard de
listed. On the other hientation and height of
inistic parameters (Tabl
ppe parameters, the po
zed. The Hoek and Br
en utilized for the anal
 $FS = \frac{cA + W\cos\alpha \tan\phi}{W}$ The state in this stead in this stead in this stead in this stead in the stead of the other hation and height of ic parameters (Table parameters, the poor The Hoek and Butilized for the anal $=\frac{cA + W\cos \alpha \tan \phi}{W\sin \alpha}$

$$
FS = \frac{cA + W\cos\alpha \tan\phi}{W\sin\alpha} \tag{9}
$$

byzed. The Hoek and Bray's simple equation
been utilized for the analysis of planar failure
been utilized for the analysis of planar failure
 $FS = \frac{cA + W\cos\alpha \tan \phi}{W\sin \alpha}$
Where FS is the factor of safety, α is the dip
con $\frac{1}{2}$ the simulation procedure developed by Park and Western procedure developed by Park and West where r_{D} is the factor continuity, A is the area of failure plane, c is the cohesion for discontinuity and ϕ is the friction angle for discontinuity. In addition, W is the weight of the sliding block.

 ϵ for the probabilistic analysis, the Monte Carlo simulation technique is utilized. The Monte Carlo technique is a numerical simulation method that solves mathematical problems through random sampling and repeated calculation. In this study the simulation procedure developed by Park and West (2001) has been used. discontinuity and φ is the inction angle for discontinuity. In addition, W is the weight of the sliding block.
For the probabilistic analysis, the Monte Carlo simulation technique is utilized. The Monte Carlo techni

The results of probabilistic analysis are listed in Table 3. culation when the discontinuity plane is assumed to be 100%

Table 2. Input for slope geometry.

Orientation of slope	Height of slope	Unit weight of rocks
(dip direction/dip)	(m)	(t/m^3)
210/75	34	2.56

Table 3. Results of probabilistic analysis.

Set	P_f (a) 100 %	Probability of totally	Probability of failure	
	persistence	persistent joint		
J1	0.018	0.001	0.00002	
J2	O	0.001	0	
J3		0.13	θ	
J4	0.014	0	Ω	
J5	0.67	0.62	0.42	
J6	Ω	0.001	0	
		persistent. "Probability of fully persistent joint" implies a probability that the fully persistent joint exists. Therefore, the probability of failure in Table 3 is the multiplication of P_f (a) 100% persistence and P (fully persistent joint).		

 $\frac{1}{2}$ in the probabilities of fully persistent joint for $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and jo are 0.1% , 0.1% , 13% , 02% , and 0.1% , respectively. mease of μ , the probability that fully persistent joint exists is v because the length of the discontinuity is too small compared to maximum situng dimension. However, the probabilities of fully persistent joint for J_1 , J_2 , and J_0 (which show 0.1%) and the probability of fully persistent Joint for 33, 13%, are different even though the mean probability sets for $f(x)$, $f(x)$, $f(x)$, $f(x)$ and $f(x)$ is very low. For $f(x)$ entations for each set are quite different. In case of J_1 , the $\frac{1}{2}$ for an algorithm for $\frac{1}{2}$ in continuity is greater than the angle of $\frac{1}{100}$ and $\frac{1}{100}$ increases to $\frac{1}{100}$ in the set of $\frac{1}{100}$ is a bedding in the ding is a bedding in the ding of $\frac{1}{100}$ is a bedding in the ding of $\frac{1}{100}$ is a bedding in the ding of $\frac{1}{100$ sman, so the maximum shumg dimension would be much tonger than the randomly generated joint lengths and the probability of fully persistent joint is very low. For jo, the same value as 1m in Table 3. This is because the mean oriap arection of also number is very afflicted from the ar direction of slope. Therefore, there is quite little chance of slope, so there is little chance of existence for fully persistrast, for J3, the orientation of discontinuity is similar to the tent joint since most randomly generated joints for J1 canorientation of slope, so the probability of fully persistent joint increases to 13%. In case of J5, which is a bedding tation of the discontinuity is similar to the orientation of slope. Therefore, the probability of fully persistent joint is evaluated to 62%. Freedom of slope. Therefore, there is quite fitter chance of
mation for fully persistent joint geometrically. In con-
sst, for J3, the orientation of discontinuity is similar to the
ientation of slope, so the probability

1.4% and 67%, respectively. The P_f (a) 100% persistence for J2, J3, and J6 show 0 and those indicate that those discontinuities are safe in planar failure. The probabilities of failure, which are evaluated by multiplication of the previous two probabilities, are 0.002% for J1 and 42% for J5. In case of J5, the kinetic analysis based on the equation (9) indicates the J5 has high possibility of failure and moreover J5 has long enough to produce 100% persistent failure plane.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Discontinuity persistence is defined as the fraction of area (or length) that is actually discontinuous, with reference to a discontinuity plane which is through the rock mass containing a combination of discontinuities and intact rock regions. Since the shear strength available for a rock bridge is one or two orders of magnitude greater than the shear strength available on the discontinuity, the discontinuity persistence is important in slope stability analysis. However, it is impossible in practice to measure the discontinuity area accurately in a field investigation. Therefore, in the previous probabilistic analysis, the probability of failure is evaluated on the assumption that the discontinuity on the failure surface is 100% persistent. This assumption is common in the deterministic analysis as well as the probabilistic analysis.

The new approach for discontinuity persistence has been proposed in this study. Discontinuity persistence is described in this study as function of the length of individual joints and the maximum sliding dimension, determined by slope and joint geometry. Then the probability of fully persistent joint (that is, the length of joint is equal to or greater than the maximum sliding dimension) is evaluated. That is, the probability that the joint length is long enough to form a block capable of sliding is evaluated and multiplied by the probability of slope failure. The new approach has the following advantages. This approach provides more realistic analysis results since the length of discontinuity affects the probability of failure. As mentioned previously, the previous studies supposed 100% persistent joint and it is not appropriate because the mean length of each discontinuity set is quite differ from one to another and this different discontinuity length will affect the reliability of rock slope in practice. In addition, the approach overcomes the limitation of conservative analysis which assumed 100% persistent joint. 292

As and 67%, respectively. The $P_t(\hat{q})$ (100% proximientation of the 2.13, and 16 show 0 smd those indicate that that the constitutions are considered by multiplication of the previolentiality are are far in planer

While this study has proposed new approach for discontinuity persistence, this approach is applicable for only one joint forming failure plane and in case that the joint is not offset from the sliding surface. Therefore, further researches are required to apply to other cases such as stepped failure in rock masses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This study is partly funded by grant No. M1-0302-00-0063 from the National Research Lab. Project of No. M1-0302-00-0063 from the National Research Lab. Project of

Korea Ministry of Science and Technology. In addition, the author would like to express his gratitude to Dr. Woon Sang Yoon and anonymous reviewer for their valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- Baecher, G.B., 1983, Statistical analysis of rock mass fracturing. Journal
- of Mathematical Geology, 15, 329–347.
stein, H.H., Veneziano, D., Baecher, GB.
The effect of discontinuity persistence
International Journal of Rock Mechanics
Geomechanics Abstract, 20, 227–236.
eer, R.A., 1953, Dispersio Einstein, H.H., Veneziano, D., Baecher, GB. and O'Reilly, K.J., 1983, The effect of discontinuity persistence on rock slope stability. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences &
- Fisher, R.A., 1953, Dispersion on a sphere. Proceedings of the Royal
- Geomechanics Abstract, 20, 227–236.

her, R.A., 1953, Dispersion on a sphere.

Society of London. A217, p. 295–305

k, E.T., 1998, Factor of safety and pro

8). Course notes, Internet edition, http:/

hoekcorner.htm.

son Society of London. A217, p. 295–305.
k, E.T., 1998, Factor of safety and prob.
8). Course notes, Internet edition, http://
hoekcorner.htm.
Ison, J.A. and Priest, S.D., 1983, Discor
masses. International Journal of Rock
Sci Hoek, E.T., 1998, Factor of safety and probability of failure (Chapter 8). Course notes, Internet edition, http://www.rockeng.utoronto.ca/ hoekcorner.htm.
- Hudson, J.A. and Priest, S.D., 1983, Discontinuity frequency in rock masses. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining
- Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, 20, 73–89.
M (International Society for Rock Mechanics),
methods for the quantitative description of discor
masses. International Journal of Rock Mechan
Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, ISRM (International Society for Rock Mechanics), 1978, Suggested methods for the quantitative description of discontinuities in rock masses. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining
- Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, 15, 319–368.

sings, J.E.B, 1970, A mathematical theory for the

the stability of slopes in open cast mines. Proceed

posium on the Theoretical Background to the Plan

Pit Mines with Speci Jennings, J.E.B, 1970, A mathematical theory for the calculation of the stability of slopes in open cast mines. Proceedings of Symposium on the Theoretical Background to the Planning of Open
- Pit Mines with Special Reference to Slope Stability, p. 87–102.

neny, J., 2003, The time reduction of sliding cohesion due to rock

bridge along discontinuities: A fracture mechanics approach.

Rock Mechanics and Rock Eng Kemeny, J., 2003, The time reduction of sliding cohesion due to rock bridge along discontinuities: A fracture mechanics approach.
- woul like to express his gradied to Dr. Woon Sang Yoon and ances
you and show that the express in their valuable communistic and Technology. 15, 1982, Sunistical analysis of rock mass fracturing Journal
inside, GHz, 1982, **REFERENCES**
 REFERENCES
 Baccher, GB. and Vistorical analysis of rock

of Mathematical Geology, 15, 329–347,
 Engine effect of discontinuity persistence
 Commediants Abstrate, CA, 27-276-6.
 International Journal Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 36, 27–38.
Kulatilake, P.H.S.W., Wathugala, D.N. and Stephansson, O., 1993,
Joint network modeling with a validation exercise in Strip mine,
Sweden. International Journal of Rock Mechan Kulatilake, P.H.S.W., Wathugala, D.N. and Stephansson, O., 1993, Joint network modeling with a validation exercise in Strip mine, Sweden. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining
- Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, 30, 503–526.
atilake, P.H.S.W., Um, J., Wang, M., Escandon, R.F.
J., 2003, Stochastic fracture geometry modeling in
validations for a part of Arrowhead East Tunnel, Ca
Engineering Geology, J., 2003, Stochastic fracture geometry modeling in 3-D including validations for a part of Arrowhead East Tunnel, California, USA.
- Engineering Geology, 70, 131–155.
htab, M.A. and Yegulalp, T.M., 1982,
inition of clusters in orientation data.
sium on Rock Mechanics. American In
and Petroleum Engineers, p. 116–1
ildon, M., 1994, Intersection probab
In Mahtab, M.A. and Yegulalp, T.M., 1982, A rejection criterion for definition of clusters in orientation data. Proceedings of $22nd$ Symposium on Rock Mechanics. American Institute of Mining Metallurgical
- and Petroleum Engineers, p. 116–123.

aldon, M., 1994, Intersection probability

International Journal of Rock Mechanic

Geomechanics Abstract, 31, 107–115.

styn, G.R. and Li, K.S., 1993, Probabili

play. Proceedings of C Mauldon, M., 1994, Intersection probabilities of impersistent joints. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences &
- Geomechanics Abstract, 31, 107–115.

Styn, G.R. and Li, K.S., 1993, Probabili

play. Proceedings of Conference on

Geotechnical Engineering. Canberra, *i*

een, B., 2000, New trend in rock slope

of Engineering Geology an Mostyn, G.R. and Li, K.S., 1993, Probabilistic slope analysis-state of play. Proceedings of Conference on probabilistic methods in
- Nilsen, B., 2000, New trend in rock slope stability analysis. Bulletin
- Geotechnical Engineering. Canberra, Australia, p. 89–109.

Suen, B., 2000, New trend in rock slope stability analysis. Bu

of Engineering Geology and the Environment. 58, 173–17

5, H.J., 1999, Risk analysis of rock slope of Engineering Geology and the Environment. 58, 173–178.

5. H.J., 1999, Risk analysis of rock slope stability and stocha

properties of discontinuity parameters in Western North Caroli

Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University. 25 Park, H.J., 1999, Risk analysis of rock slope stability and stochastic properties of discontinuity parameters in Western North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University. 259 p.
- Park, H.J. and West, T.R., 2001. Development of a probabilistic approach
- for rock wedge failure. Engineering Geology, 59, 233–251.
hak, S. and Nilsen, B., 2004, Probabilistic rock slope s
analysis for Himalayan condition. Bulletin of Engineerin
logy and the Environment, 63, 25–32.
st, S.D. and Pathak, S. and Nilsen, B., 2004, Probabilistic rock slope stability analysis for Himalayan condition. Bulletin of Engineering Geol-
- ogy and the Environment, 63, 25–32.
st, S.D. and Hudson, J.A., 1981, E.
spacing and trace length using scanl Priest, S.D. and Hudson, J.A., 1981, Estimation of discontinuity spacing and trace length using scanline surveys. International

Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics

- Abstract, 18, 183–197.
leau, A. and Gale, J.E.
fracture system in the S
of Rock Mechanics and 1
22, 353–367.
via, C., 1990, Fracture n Rouleau, A. and Gale, J.E., 1985, Statistical characterization of the fracture system in the Strip Granite, Sweden. International Journal
of Book Mosbanics and Mining Sciences & Geomethonics, Abstract of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract,
- Scavia, C., 1990, Fracture mechanics approach to stability analysis of
- 22, 353–367.

iva, C., 1990,

rock slopes. I

, Z. and Kaz

mates from fi

Mechanics an

203–212 rock slopes. Engineering Fracture Mechenics, 35, 899–910.

7. Z. and Kazi, A., 1984, Discontinuity spacing and RQD

mates from finite length scanline. International Journal of R

Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechani Sen, Z. and Kazi, A., 1984, Discontinuity spacing and RQD estimates from finite length scanline. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, 21,
- 203−212.
₂h, R.N. ar
stability⊔a Singh, R.N. and Sun, G.X., 1989, Fracture mechanics applied to slope stability analysis. International Symposium on Surface Mining,

- University of Nottingham, England, p. 93–97.

lis, P.F. and King, M.S., 1980, Discontinuity stalline rock. International Journal of Rock Meet

Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, 17, 63–6

st, T.R., 1996, The effects of pos Wallis, P.F. and King, M.S., 1980, Discontinuity spacing in a crystalline rock. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining
- A new approximation of the term of New A New ASS. Stabilistic RF and King, MA S, 1980, Disconfinitive spacing in a crystal SR and King MA S, 1990, Disconfinitive spacing and Mining Science & Geomethinics Abstract. West, 1 Sciences & Geomechanics Abstract, 17, 63–67.
st, T.R., 1996, The effects of positive pore pressure
toppling of rock blocks with some consideration
effects. Environmental and Engineering Geoscien
ner, L.S. and Merschat, C.E West, T.R., 1996, The effects of positive pore pressure on sliding and toppling of rock blocks with some considerations of intact rock
	- effects. Environmental and Engineering Geosciences, 2, 339–353.
ner, L.S. and Merschat, C.E., 1975, Field guidebook to the geol-
ogy of the central Blue Ridge of North Carolina and Spruce Pine
Mining District. Association Wiener, L.S. and Merschat, C.E., 1975, Field guidebook to the geology of the central Blue Ridge of North Carolina and Spruce Pine Mining District. Association of American State Geologists. 34.

Manuscript received January 5, 2005 Manuscript accepted July 5, 2005