Inheritance of resistance to *Helicoverpa annigera* **of 3 kinds of transgenic** *Bt* **strains available in upland cotton in China**

TANG Canming', SUN Jing', ZHU Xiefei', GUO Wangzhen' ZHANG Tianzhen', SHEN Jinliang², GAO Congfen², ZHOU Weijun², **CHEN zhixian3** & **GUO sandui4**

2. Department of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095. China;

3. Cotton Research Institute, Shanxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Yuncheng 044000, China;

4. Biotechnology Research Centre. Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. Beijing 100081. China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhang Tianzhen

Abstract There are **3** kinds of transgenic **Bt** strains, Shanxi **94-24,** Zhongxin **94,** and **R19,** in upland cotton in China. Their transgenic **Bt** insect-resistance cultivars or hybrids have been developed and grown by farmers. Genetic studies indicate that the resistance of the 3 transgenic **Bt** cotton strains to **Helicoverpa armigera** is controlled by one pair of non-allelic dominant genes. Linkage relationship between the resistant genes of **R19** and Shanxi **94-24** transgenic **Bt** strains shows that they may be inserted in the same chromosome. F_1 hybrids crossed among the 3 strains show that high levels of protection from feeding damage are the same as that of their parents. Therefore, there is no co-suppression phenomenon in many transgenic plants. The results presented here afford a fundamental reliance in developing transgenic **Bt** insect-resistant cultivars and exploiting the heterosis of hybrids in upland cotton.

Keywords: upland cotton, transgenic *Bt* **plant inheritance, insect-resistance,** *Helicoverpa* **tumigem.**

The insecticidal crystal protein produced by *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)* renders highly poisonous effects specifically toward lepidopteran pests. The development of transgenic *Bt* plants through transfemng insecticide crystal protein gene generated in *Bacillus thuringinensis* into crops such as cotton is considered one of the breakthroughs of paramount importance in biotechnology in recent $years^[1]$. Guo^[2] in the Biotechnology Research Centre, CAAS synthesized GFM CryIA insecticide crystal protein gene for the first time in China in **1992.** Using Agrobacterium mediated transformation and pollen tube transformation methods, the synthesized *Bt* gene has been successfully transferred into released cultivars in upland cotton cooperated with the Cotton Research Institute, Shanxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences (SAAS), and the Industrial Crop Institute, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences (JAAS)^[3,4]. Transgenic *Bt* cotton cultivars resistant to bollworm have been developed and grown by farmers in China. They showed a high level of resistance to bollworm indicated by the biological assay and field investigation. In this note, the inheritance pattern of resistance to bollworm of these transgenic *Bt* strains in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. is presented.

1 Materials and method

Transgenic *Bt* strains, Shanxi **94-24,** Zhongxin **94** was and **R19,** were obtained from the Cotton Research Institute, SAAS, the Biotechnology Research Centre, CAAS, and the Industrial Crop Institute, JAAS, respectively. The strains have been bred true in agronomic traits and resistance to bollworm by pedigree selection consecutively for 4 generations and individual plant bioassay fed with bollworm before they were used in the present inheritance study. The transformation construct is the same for both Shanxi **94-24** and Zhongxin **94** strains, but with different transformation methods. Shanxi **94-24** was developed *via* the Agrobacterium mediated transformation method^[4], whereas Zhongxin 94 developed *via* the pollen tube method^[3]. From the 3 kinds of transgenic *Bt* strains, transgenic *Bt* insect-resistance cultivars or hybrids have been developed and applied to the production in China. Bioassay was conducted using laboratory culture (SuS₂) of *H.armigera*. The leaf damage degrees are divided into 4 classes: 1, 2, 3, and 4. Laboratory bioassay and the leaf damage degree demarcation were presented in our previous report^[5].

The transformed strains were also confirmed and validated by PCR amplification. One specific

Chinese Science Bulletin Vol. **45** No. 4 February **2000** 363

^{1.} Key Laboratory of Crop Germplasm and Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture; Department of Agronomy, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China;

NOTES

800 bp fragment could be amplified by synthesized primers of *Bt* gene from all plants of homozygous Shanxi 94-24 and Zhongxin 94 strains (results not listed). In our laboratory assay and **PCR** amplification, commercial cultivar Sumian 12 was always used as check.

2 Results

 (i) Inheritance pattern of Shanxi 94-24 strain. The seedlings at 2 or 3 true leaf stages of F_1 plants, produced by crossing between Shanxi 94-24 and commercial cultivars such as Wuxi 272, Yan 8054, Chuan 109 and Zhongmiansuo 23, were picked for laboratory assay for their resistance. It was indicated that the mortality of neonate larvae accounted for 91.7%--100% after 5-d feeding (table 1). The survived larvae were generally first and second instar larvae and plant damage index was 1. On the other hand, the mortality of the bollworm larvae fed with true leaves of the check cultivar, Sumian 12, was only 6.2%. As a result, each F_1 hybrid showed high level of resistance to bollworm. The resistance of F_1 hybrids attained the same level with the parent strain, Shanxi 94-24. The result also showed that the insect resistance of this transgenic strain was inherited dominantly.

Combinations or strains	No. of plants	Mortality of larvae(%)	Instar distribution of survived larvae			Damage
			lst	2nd	3rd	degree
(Wuxi272 \times Shanxi 94-24) F_1		91.7 ± 4.5	33	66.7	Ω	1 ± 0
$(Yan8054 \times Shanxi 94-24)F_1$	4	98.3 ± 4.5	100		0	1 ± 0
(Chuan $109 \times$ Shanxi 94-24)F ₁	6	$99.0 + 2.5$	100		0	1 ± 0
$(ZMS23 \times Shanxi 94-24)F_1$		100	0		0	1 ± 0
Shanxi 94-24		100	o	o	0	1 ± 0
Sumian 12^{b}		6.2 ± 5.5	o	96.8	3.2	4 ± 0
(Zhongxin $94 \times T582$)F ₁	14	67.1 ± 20.2	n	100	n	
(Zhongxin 94 \times Zhong 1098) F_1	13	69.2 ± 17.5	15.8	84.2	o	
(Zhongxin 94 \times Jiwu 2913) F_1	16	61.3 ± 27.8	3.2	96.8		
(Zhongxin 94 \times Sumian 12) F_1	14	60.0 ± 23.5	13.0	87.0	0	
(ZMS $23 \times$ Zhongxin 94) F_1	15	84.0 ± 13.5	33.3	66.7	o	
(Zhongxin 94 \times Taicang 520) F_1	18	81.1 ± 26.0	17.6	82.3	n	
Average		72.3 ± 10.0	13.8 ± 11.8	86.2 ± 11.8		
Sumian 12	19	30.5	1.5	7.7	90.8	

and Zhongxin 94 and commercial cultive

a) Fed with 5 larvae per leaf; b) insufficient diet due to small seedlings affected the development of larvae.

The insect-resistant and susceptible plants were segregated out in F_2 and BC_1 populations produced between Shanxi 94-24 and 5 commercial cultivars (table 2). The leaf damage index of susceptible plants was generally 3 and 4, and survived larvae at 3rd instar were the same as the check cultivar, Sumian 12, whereas that of resistant plants of transgenic *Bt* strain was 1 and 2, and no 3rd instar larvae survived. So the plants with 3 and 4 in leaf damage index and 3rd instar larvae survival were classified as the susceptible, and those of 1 and 2 in leaf damage index and no 3rd instar larvae survival as the resistant after 5-d feeding in laboratory assay. Following this standard, segregation of resistant and susceptible plants fits $3:1$ ratio in 6 F_2 populations and fits 1 : 1 ratio in 5 backcrossing ones. The results indicated that the resistance of Shanxi 94-24 strain to *Helicoverpa annigera* was controlled by one pair of dominant genes (table 2). Additionally, individual plant of (Shanxi 94-24 \times T586)F2 was PCR-amplified using synthesized *Bt* primers in our inheritance test. Among 233 amplified plants, there were 166 plants from which around 800 bp DNA fragment of *Bt* gene were amplified. **The** result is also in consistence with 3 : 1 ratio (χ_c^2 =1.558; P > 0.10).

(ii) Inheritance of resistance of Zhongxin 94 strain. The larvae mortality rate amounted to 100% after 5-d feeding with the seedling leaves of (Zhongxin $94 \times$ Zhong 1098)F₁, which produced the same resistant level as its parent, Zhongxin 94 strain. The resistance level of F_1 hybrids crossed between Zhongxin 94 and other commercial cultivars gradually decreased at the later developmental stages. The average mortality rate of larvae was 72.3%, the 1st and 2nd instar larvae amounted to 13.8% 5 d after being fed with mainstem leaves of 6 F_1 hybrids at flowering stage. Compared with that of the check, Surnian 12, there is still a great significant resistance in transgenic *Bt* cotton. Furthermore, (Zhongxin $94 \times$ Sumian 12) Zhongxin 94 population produced all resistant plants. From these results, the resistance to *Helicoverpa armigera* for this transgenic strain was dominantly inherited.

Table 2 Segregation of F₂ and BC populations crossed between Shanxi 94-24, Zhongxin 94 and commercial cultivars

a) Recurrent parents were commercial cultivars.

Segregation results of 4 **F2** hybrids crossed between Zhongxin 94 and Jiwu 2913, Sumian 12, Zhong 1098, and Taicang 520 for resistant and susceptible plants are given in table 2. The identification criteria for plants which were classified as the resistant or susceptible were the same as that of Shanxi 94-24. It showed that the segregation of the resistant and susceptible plants was also in conformity with $3:1$ and $1:1$ for F_2 and BC populations, i.e. the insect resistance trait of Zhongxin 94 was controlled by one pair of dominant genes, too.

(iii) Allelic relationship among the 3 kinds of transgenic strain. Transgenic Bt strain, R19, was proven to have a high level resistance to bollworm just as Shanxi 94-24 and **Zhongxh 94 do. Genetic** analysis indicated that the resistance was controlled by one pair of dominant genes too **(see** our previous paper)^[5]. So the resistance of the 3 kinds of transgenic *Bt* cotton available in China at present is all controlled by one pair of dominant genes, i.e. one copy of *Bt* gene was inserted in them. Their resistant level and differential expression tendencies at whole developmental stage were fundamentally **alike** (published *in another* paper). *So* to reveal the allelic relationship among these 3 kinds of transgenic *Bt* insect-resistant strains might be of paramount importance to the exploitation of these germplasm resources.

Three F_1 hybrids crossed among the 3 kinds of transgenic *Bt* strains have the same resistant level to bollworm as their parents (table 3). It means that in heterozygous status, there is no co-suppression phenomenon that will cause gene silence and resistance decrease. Additionally, the insect-susceptible plants were segregated out for $3 F_1$ hybrids, which demonstrated that *Bt* gene might be inserted into different chromosomes for these 3 transgenic *Bt* strains. There were 341 vs 26, and 251 vs 22 resistant and susceptible plants segregated out respectively for (Zhongxin $94 \times R19$) F_2 and (Shanxi 94-24 \times Zhongxin 94) F_2 . These values closely fit 15 : 1 segregation ratio. Independent inheritance or different

Chinese Science Bulletin Vol. 45 No. 4 February 2000

NOTES

chromosome insertion of *Bt* genes for Shanxi **94-24** and Zhongxin **94** strains was concluded. However, there were 608 resistant plants and 23 susceptible ones in (Shangxi $94-24 \times R19$) F_2 . This segregation value does not fit 15 : 1 segregation ratio of two pairs of independent dominant genes. The same chromosome insertion and linkage relationship of the *Bt* genes for the **2** transgenic *Bt* strains was supposed.

3 Discussion

Inheritance research in this note shows that the insect-resistance of the 3 kinds of transgenic *Bt* strains in upland cotton is all controlled by one pair of dominant genes. The simple inheritance pattern afforded a relatively convenient approach for exploiting the 3 insect-resistant germplasm resources in cotton breeding. The *Bt* gene is relatively readily transferred to other commercial cultivars to develop the insect-resistance cultivars with high yield, good fiber quality, and disease-resistance.The development of transgenic *Bt* plant makes *Bt* toxins highly desirable for use as one component of integrated pest management (IPM). Breeding and production practice in China and USA completely confirmed such point.

It is known that in our molecular breeding, the target gene to be integrated should be preferably one copy gene, otherwise the gene silencing will be induced^[6]. However, present studies proved that there is no co-suppression phenomenon in F_1 hybrid crossed among the 3 kinds of transgenic *Bt* strains, which was not consistent with the former reports^[6,7], but was in conformity with Hobbs et al.^[8] in tobacco. In their research, they found that F_1 population from a cross between two transformants with a high level of *vidA* RNA and GUS activity inserted at different loci had GUS activity levels equal to the parents, and individuals in the **F2** populations had levels up to twice the parental levels. So, if there are accumulative effects of transgenic *Bt* genes, it may be possible to transfer multiple different *Bt* genes into one commercial cultivar to increase the resistant level and to exploit the heterosis of F_2 generation of intervarietal hybrids of transgenic *Bt* strains. Dose effect expression of transgenic *Bt* genes in cotton will be published later.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the State "863" High-Tech Project and **the** Foundation for Cotton Production by the Ministry of Agriculture, China.

References

- 1. Perlak, F. J., Deaton, R. W., et al., Insect-resistant cotton plants, Bio/Technology, 1990, 8: 939.
- 2. Guo, S. D., Synthesis of CryIA insecticidal gene, Scientia Agricultura Sinica (in Chinese), 1997.26(2): 85.
- **3.** Ni, W. C.. Huang, **J.** Q., Guo, S. D. et **al.,** Transgenic bollworm-resistant cotton plants containing the synthetic gene coding

Bacillus thuringiensis insectcidal protein, Jiangsu J. Agric. Sci (in Chinese), 1996.12(1):1.

- **4.** Jiao, G. L., Guo, S. D., Li, S. J. et al., Cotton genetic transformation and plant regeneration, Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Sinica (in Chinese). 1997. 12(2): 82.
- **5.** Tang, C. M., Zhu, X. **F..** Zhang, T. Z. et al., Inheritance and resistance of transgenic Bt cotton line R19 to Helicoverpa armigera. J. Agric. Biotech. (in Chinese), 1997.5(2): 194.
- *6.* Flavell, R. B., Inactivation of gene expression in plants as a consequence of specific sequence duplication, **Proc.** Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1994.9 1: 3490.
- **7.** Matzke, M. A., Matzke, J., Scheid, **0.** M., Inactivation of repeated genes-DNA-DNA interaction? in Homologous Recombination and Gene Silencing in Plants (ed. Paszkowski), Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers,l994,271.
- 8. Hobbs, S. L. A., Thomas, D. W., DeLong, C. M. O., Transgene copy number can be positively or negatively associated with transgene expression, Plant Mol. Bio., 1993.21: 17.

(Received June 23, 1999)