High velocity anomaly beneath the Deccan volcanic province: Evidence from seismic tomography

H M IYER ~, V K GAUR, S S RAI, D S RAMESH, C V R RAO, D SRINAGESH and K SURYAPRAKASAM

National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad 500007, India #US Geological Survey, Menlo Park *CA,* **USA**

Abstract. Analysis of teleseismic P-wave residuals observed at 15 seismograph stations operated in the Deccan volcanic province (DVP) in west central India points to the existence of a large, deep anomalous region in the upper mantle where the velocity is a few per cent higher than in the surrounding region. The seismic stations were operated in three deployments together with a reference station on precambrian granite at Hyderabad and another common station at Poona. The first group of stations lay along a west-northwesterly profile from Hyderabad through Poona to Bhatsa. The second group roughly formed an L-shaped profile from Poona to Hyderabad through Dharwar and Hospet. The third group of stations lay along a northwesterly profile from Hyderabad to Dhule through Aurangabad and Latur. Relative residuals computed with respect to Hyderabad at all the stations showed two basic features: a large almost linear variation from approximately $+1$ s for teleseisms from the north to -1 s for those from the southeast at the western stations, and persistance of the pattern with diminishing magnitudes towards the east. Preliminary ray-plotting and three-dimensional inversion of the P-wave residual data delineate the presence of a 600 km long approximately N-S trending anomalous region of high velocity $(1-4\% \text{ contrast})$ from a depth of about 100km in the upper mantle encompassing almost the whole width of the DVP. Inversion of P-wave relative residuals reveal the existence of two prominent features beneath the DVP. The first is a thick high velocity zone (1-4% faster) extending from a depth of about 100km directly beneath most of the DVP. The second feature is a prominent low velocity region which coincides with the westernmost part of the DVP. A possible explanation for the observed coherent high velocity anomaly is that it forms the root of the lithosphere which coherently translates with the continents during plate motions, an architecture characteristic of precambrian shields. The low velocity zone appears to be related to the rift systems (anomaly 28, 65 Ma) which provided the channel for the outpouring of Deccan basalts at the close of the Cretaceous period.

Keywards. Deccan volcanic province; seismic tomography; deep structure; high velocity anomaly; teleseismic residuals; three-dimensional inversion.

I. Introduction

The Deccan volcanic province (DVP) encompasses an area of about a half million km² in west-central India with a possible extension of an additional 1 million km² **beneath the Arabian Sea to the west. The Deccan basalts are primarily of tholeiitic composition with a few pockets of acidic volcanism. The volcanic flows occur as a relatively thin veneer at the surface with a thickness of 1- 1'5 km in the western third** of the province, thinning to about 0.4 km in the middle section and to a few meters in the eastern section **(Kaila 1982).** The bulk of the Deccan volcanism is now known **to have occurred around 65Ma (Mahoney 1988). About 200Ma ago, India was wedged in the southern latitudes between Africa and Antarctica as part of the**

32 *H M lyer et al*

super-continent Gondwanaiand. During the Triassic period, it split off and started migrating northwards as an independent continent eventually colliding with Eurasia about 45 Ma ago. Morgan (1972) proposed that the Deccan basalts erupted during this northward migration as the sub-continent traversed over a mantle plume associated with the Reunion hot spot.

1.1 *Gravity*

The Deccan Traps lie in a broad gravity low which encompasses the whole of the south Indian shield. Within this broad low are shorter wavelength "lows" and "highs". A few of these short wavelength features have been interpreted variously: uplift and subsidence due to cycles of volcanic activity (Kailasam 1975); crustal intrusion of mafic rock (Takin 1966) and linear channels of magma flow (Qureshy 1981). We also show later that preliminary two-dimensional modelling of the gravity data indicates a lower-than-normal density in the upper mantle beneath the central part of the DVP.

1.2 Deep seismic sounding

Deep seismic sounding was carried out along two profiles over the Koyna region of the Deccan Traps and several others in the Saurashtra Peninsula and across the Narmada-Son lineament (Kaila *et al* 1981, 1985; Kaila 1982). Though the velocity models obtained from these profiles reflect the thickness of the surficiai basalts up to 2 km, the crustal structure beneath the DVP is not significantly different from that of the precambrian south Indian shield model elsewhere as revealed by data along the Kavali-Udipi profile (Kaila 1982). Moho depth is found to vary from 39-40km west of Koyna to 36-38 km eastwards. There is no evidence of drastic changes in the depth of major discontinuities like the Conrad discontinuity across the profile nor is there evidence of unusually high upper or lower crustal velocities which are characteristic of rift zones.

1.3 *Heat-flow*

Except for the Cambay graben where the heat-flow is somewhat higher-than-normal, other parts of the Deccan Traps show normal heat flow typical of stable continental regions (Gupta and Gaur 1984). Except for implying that the volcanic episode responsible for the Deccan volcanic flows did not leave a detectable thermal remanance in the lithosphere, the heat-flow data do not provide any information on the deep structure beneath the DVP.

In summary, the available geophysical data are inadequate to constrain a source model for the Deccan volcanism. For example, if the magmatism was caused by a mantle plume, extensive melting, differentiation and variations in physical and chemical properties of the deep structure of the lithosphere might have taken place. But the available heat-flow and deep seismic sounding data are inadequate to model the structure of the lithosphere and asthenosphere beneath the DVP which would expose alterations in structure associated' with that volcanism. With this background, we designed a tomographic experiment to model in three dimensions the compressional wave velocity structure of the upper mantle beneath the DVP. R, sults from this experiment reveal a vast anomalous region in the upper mantle of the DVP where the P-wave velocity is a few per cent higher than in the surrounding region. This is the first effort to map the deep structure beneath the DVP.

2. Tomography using teleseismic residuals

Teleseismic residuals provide an excellent approach to model the two- and threedimensional velocity structures of the earth's crust and mantle. Numerous publications describe the use of the technique to delineate heterogeneous earth structure from global and continental scales to local scale. Recent introduction of inversion methods for teleseismic residuals (also called seismic tomography) has considerably enhanced the power of the teleseismic residual technique (Aki *et al* 1977; Humphreys and Clayton 1988). Tomographic modelling of small and large heterogeneities in the earth's crust and mantle have been carried out in diverse geologic settings (Thurber and Aki 1987; lyer and Hitchcock 1988). The present study is the first application of this technique in India.

3. Estimation of teleseismic residuals

Teleseisms (earthquakes occurring at distances greater than approximately 20 degrees from the recording station) are recorded by a dense array of seismic stations sited over the region of interest to provide the data for tomography. The average instrument spacing in the array limits the smallest size of the velocity anomaly that can be resolved. Two-dimensional arrays yield three-dimensional models, while linear arrays yield two-dimensional models. Arrival times of the first seismic phases (P or PKIKP, PKP) are read from the seismograms and travel time from the source to the recording stations are estimated from a knowledge of the earthquake origin times. Knowing the hypoeentraI location of the earthquake, theoretical travel times are computed using a standard seismological table. In the present study, we used the P-wave travel time tables of Herrin (1968a). The travel-time residuals are simply the differences between the observed and theoretical travel times. In the mathematical notation we write,

$$
R_{ij} = T_{ij}^{\text{o}} - T_{ij}^{\text{th}}
$$

where, R_{ij} is the absolute residual in seconds, and T_{ij}^{e} and T_{ij}^{th} are the observed and theoretical travel times respectively at station i for event j . In addition to the information on the deep structure beneath the seismic array, R_{ij} contains effects due to errors associated with the location and origin times of the earthquakes travel-time tables, and anomalous structure at the source and along the seismic wave paths. In order to isolate the local structures from extraneous effects, relative residuals are computed independently for each event by subtracting the residual at a reference station which hopefully is located outside the anomaly under investigation from the absolute residuals at each station. Alternatively, a weighted mean of absolute residuals for each event can be used as the reference residual. Thus, the relative residual RR_{ij} for event j can be defined as,

$$
RR_{ij}=R_{ij}-R_{rj},
$$

where the subscript r represents the reference station, or as

$$
RR_{ij} = R_{ij} - R_j,
$$

where R_j represents the weighted mean of R_{ij} using all readings available for the event *j*. In this study all weights were chosen to be equal to unity. Consequently, R_i is a simple average of absolute residuals for the whole array.

 RR_{ij} contains the information needed to model the three-dimensional relativevelocity structure beneath the seismic array. The magnitudes of the relative residuals indicate the change in velocity within the anomaly; the sign of the residuals being negative for high-velocity anomalies and vice versa. The azimuthal pattern of RR_{ii} gives an idea of the depth of the anomaly. As a rule of thumb, it may be remembered that a 10-km long anomalous ray-path in which the seismic velocity differs from the normal by 10% produces a residual of about 0.2 s.

4. Three-dimensional inversion

We have used the three-dimensional inversion technique developed by Aki *et al* (1977) (now popularly referred to as the ACH technique) to model the teleseismic residuals. Since the ACH technique is described in detail in various papers (Aki *etal* 1977; Ellsworth and Koyanagi 1977; lyer *et al* 1981; Achauer *et al* 1986) and also its application (Aki 1982; Thurber and Aki 1987; lyer and Hitchcock 1988), only a brief outline of the theory will be given here along the lines presented by Acha_{rrene} *et al* (1986). In this technique, the volume under the array is divided into layers and each layer into a grid of rectangular blocks. An initial P-wave velocity from a known or assumed model is assigned to each layer for ray-tracing purposes. It turns out that the final results are not very sensitive to the choice of this initial velocity model. The incident wave at the bottom of the deepest layer is assumed to be plane. As it travels to the seismograph, it encounters an unknown velocity perturbation in each block. The resultant travel time delays and advances accumulated along the ray path equal the observed residual at the surface. In this treatment, the refraction of the seismic ray due to the velocity perturbation is assumed to be negligible. Thus for each ray, the following linear equation can be generated in a matrix form.

 $Am = d$,

where A is a matrix with calculated unperturbed travel times of ray segments, m is a vector containing the unknown fractional slowness perturbations (slowness is the reciprocal of velocity), and d is a vector containing the travel time residuals. Since A^TA is near singular, Aki *et al* (1977) used the damped least-squares method to obtain the model estimate \hat{m} as,

$$
m = (A^T A + \theta^2 I)^{-1} A^T d,
$$

where $\theta^2 = \sigma_d^2/\sigma_m^2$ is the damping parameter and σ_d^2 and σ_m^2 are the estimated variances of the data and the (unknown) true model m (Achauer *etal* 1986). It should be remembered that the estimated model contains only relative velocities, as the inputs are relative residuals.

The main drawback with the block inversion described above (resulting from finite

data) is that it provides only a 'fuzzy' picture of the real model. The degree of 'fuzziness' depends on the density of instruments deployed in the seismic network, the number and azimuthal distribution of teleseisms used and the block size. Fortunately, the damped-least-squares inversion also produces a resolution matrix and standard errors which enable one to estimate the figure of merit for a computed model. The 'true' model m is related to the 'fuzzy' model \hat{m} by the relation

 $m = Rt$.

where R is the resolution matrix. Each element of the symmetric singular matrix R has a value between -1 and $+1$ with positive values on the diagonal. Therefore, each row of the matrix can be considered as an average kernel relating all of m , the tive model, to one block of the estimated 'fuzzy' model. Thus large diagonal elements of the resolution matrix imply that \hat{m} is a good representation of m. In general, resolution is good towards the middle of each layer and is rather poor near the edges. It is closely related to the number of ray-hits in the block. The standard errors, derived from the diagonal of the covariance matrix, are indicators of the accuracy of each velocity perturbation. As a rule of thumb, the accuracy of individual perturbation estimates are about (\pm) 2 times the standard error for 0.8 resolution and (\pm) 3-4 times for 0.6 resolution (Evans 1982). When small blocks are used, the accuracy of the inversion can be assessed by looking at the whole resolution matrix for specific blocks.

5. The experiment

We operated fifteen vertical-component seismic stations (Teledyne protacorders with S-13, 1Hz, seismometers) in and around the southern half of the DVP (figure 1, table 1), in three consecutive deployments with Hyderabad (HYB) and Poona (POO) being common to the whole experiment. A total of 168 teleseisms mainly from north, northeast, east and southeast, and in the distance range of 19 to 95 degrees were recorded by this network (table 2). The recorders were operated at their maximum drum speed of 240mm/min and internal clocks synchronized with radio time signals broadcast by the National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi. Considerable care was taken in reading the arrival times of the first phases, thereby achieving a time accuracy of 0.05 s. Visual correlation of seismic waveforms and multiple readings (first-break, peak, zero-crossing, trough etc) were used to ensure a high relative accuracy of picks (figure 2). Despite these precautions substantial signal changes across the array led us to discard about 10% of the readings (figure 3). This as well as the low amplitudes of the signals also contributed to the scatter in data. Even so, the large magnitude of the observed relative-residuals permits us to draw significant conclusions.

6. Data analysis

6.1 Variation of residuals with azimuth and distance

Figures 4-7 show the relative residuals, with respect to HYB at 13 stations plotted against the respective azimuth of seismic arrivals. We used HYB as the reference

Figure 1. Map of west-central India showing the locations of seismic stations (three-letter names) used in *this* study. The approximate outline demarking the extension of the DVP.

Table I. Coordinates of seismic stations.

Station name	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Elevation (meters)	Duration of operation		
Hyderabad (HYB)	$17^{\circ}25.03'$	78°33.18'	5100	Sept. 1985–Dec. 1987		
Poona (POO)	18°32.84'	73°49-07′	579.3	Sept. 1985-Dec. 1987		
Bhatsa (BHA)	19°31-12′	73°24·06'	1859	Dec. 1985-Apr. 1986		
Ujani (UJN)	18°0400'	75°06.87′	496.9	Jan. 1986-Apr. 1986		
Solapur (SOP)	17°38.90'	75°54.93′	4756	Jan. 1986-May. 1986		
Gulbarga (GUL)	$17^{\circ}19.57'$	76°53°25'	470.0	Jan. 1986-Apr. 1986		
Satara (SAT)	$17^{\circ}40.17'$	74°00-19'	670.5	Jun. 1986–Feb. 1987		
Kolhapur (KOP)	16°40-20'	$74^{\circ}15.10'$	563.8	Jun. 1986-Feb. 1987		
Dharwar (DWR)	$15^{\circ}25.27'$	74°55.79'	$731-5$	Jun. 1986-Jan. 1987		
Hospet (HPT)	$15^{\circ}15.31'$	76°20 ⁻ 58′	478.5	Jun. 1986-Jan. 1987		
Srisailam (SLM)	$16^{\circ}06^{\circ}00^{\circ}$	78°53.50'	438.9	Jan. 1987-Mar. 1987		
Aurangabad (AUB)	19°54.38'	75°18.66'	$569-0$	May. 1987–Dec. 1987		
Bir(BIR)	18°58-37′	75°46-28′	560-0	May. 1987-Dec. 1987		
Latur (LTR)	18°21·25'	76°33 <i>-75′</i>	6100	May. 1987-Dec. 1987		
Dhule (DHL)	20°55.49	74°47′01′	$265-0$	Jun. 1987-Dec. 1987		

Table 2. List of teleseisms used in this study which provided readings in at least 3 stations.

Table 2. (continued)

SI.			Depth			
No.	Source region	Origin time	(km)	Coordinates		
4.	MINDROzc(01/16/86)	15.45.06.7	223	$13:41 \cdot 10N$	120:49-32E	
5.	ALUTINtr(01/18/86)	01-59-01-6	33	51:33-18N	173:06-54W	
6.	MOLUCAtr(01/22/86)	14.57.13-0	59	$00.28 - 025$	124:21.96E	
7.	HOKADOtr(01/31/86)	17.48.04.4	69	42:13-68N	142:59-76E	
8.	CELBEStr(02/01/86)	$15.00 - 35.8$	392	02:54.36N	124:04·50E	
9.	VANATUzc(02/02/86)	01.44.054	31	13:37.56S	166:41-58E	
10.	BONINtr(02/03/86)	20-47-35-3	508	27:47-46N	139:33-12E	
11.	HINDKUzc(02/04/86)	19-43-56-6	203	36:25.56N	70:41.76E	
12.	AFGHANpk(02/11/86)	07.14.24.2	119	36:22.32N	70:54-60E	
13.	HONSHUpk(02/12/86)	$02 - 59 - 30 - 4$	30	36:23 04 N	141:07·68E	
14.	PAPNGUzc(02/12/86)	$11.27 - 45 - 4$	34	06:32-16S	147:25-98E	
15.	HALMHApk(02/12/86)	$23 - 02 - 12 - 8$	135	01:36·24N	127:18-30E	
16.	CARSBRzc(02/15/86)	19.56.35.9	10	04:24.72N	62:42 24E	
17.	KURILEpk(02/19/86)	10 54 46 2	115	48:34·74N	153:24-90E	
18.	PHLPNEzc(02/19/86)	$11-40-27-5$	77	18:56-70N	121:18·24E	
19. 20.	AUSTLAzc(02/24/86) HALMHApk(02/24/86)	$01 - 26 - 58 - 2$ 02.31.26.7	32 118	12:45.24S 01:43.74N	114:36-54E 127:21.24E	
21. 22.	BANDCApk(03/01/86) KAMCHK(03/02/86)	16 41 40 6	80	06:18-00S 51:40-68N	130:56.94E	
23.		03-14-41-8	! 18		156:56 16E 155:46 86E	
	SOLMONzc(03/06/86) NEWBRTfb(03/07/86)	12.31.240	72	07:00-00S		
24. 25.	HANDUKzc(03/11/86)	02:46:52:0 23-07-38-2	116 206	04:59-40S 36:28-92N	151:42.60E 70:39-60E	
26.	BONINzc(03/17/86)	09.18.25.2	476	27:25-26N	139:51.96E	
27.	FLOREStr(03/21/86)	21.35.35.8	614	07:27.30S	120:38-76E	
28.	HONSHUtr(03/25/86)	04-00-43-3	144	37:15·72N	139:25·38E	
29.	BRAZILzc(03/26/86)	22-06-57-6	609	07:07:50S	71:38.28W	
30.	NBRTANzc(04/03/86)-	07-39-53-9	30	06:20-40S	151:42.90E	
31.	KURILEzc(04/05/86)	22-59-09-4	80	44.27.96N	147:51 42E	
32.	MINDNOtr(04/09/86)	21.56.19.6	56	09:58-14N	126:09-24E	
33.	MOLUCAzc(04/10/86)	$02.21 - 13.3$	33	00:58:14S	126:50-28E	
34.	MARANAzc(04/13/86)	03-00-20-9	304	17:11.28N	145:37·26E	
35.	VANATUzc(04/14/86)	$00-25-12-4$	33	13:56-76S	166:58-62E	
36.	KURILEzc(04/16/86)	12.52.19.7	52	43:52.98N	147:33-96E	
37.	TANMBRzc(04/18/86)	08-08-39-2	44	06:00 42S	131:32-82E	
38.	WIRIANzc04/20/86)	07-03-30-8	33	02:22.505	139:19-08E	
39.	CRETE tr(04/27/86)	09.27.02.3	10	34:38-46N	23:24-42E	
40.	SUMTRAzc(04/29/86)	13.59.21.8	47	04:24·00N	95:00 24E	
41.	RYUKYUtr(04/30/86)	23.14.43.7	44	28:42.66N	130:02-82E	
42.	PAPUAGzc(06/24/86)	03-11-30-9	102	04:26-88S	143:56-58E	
43.	FLOREStr(06/27/86)	03.09.50.8	229	07:56.82S	122:47-46E	
44.	FLORESpk(06/27/86)	03.09.50.8	229	07:56.82S	122:47-46E	
45.	TAGYKApk(06/29/86)	21:47:59:6	20	05:20 16S	20:32-34E	
46.	MEXICOpk(07/05/86)	22 09 36 9	112	15:28-02N	92:34·92W	
47.	CARBRGfb(07/07/86)	16.26.566	8	10.2334N	56:49-92E	
48.	MINAHAzc(07/08/86)	04.27.346	245	01:59.82N	124:18·30E	
49.	MOLUCApk(07/09/86)	23.10.53.1	28	01:54·24N	126:31.50E	
50.	SIRANfb(07/12/86)	07.54.268	10	29:57.72N	51:34.92E	

Table 2. *(continued)*

SI.			Depth		
No.	Source region	Origin time	(km)		Coordinates
51.	AFUSSRzc(07/17/86)	15.46.37.0	47	36:40-08N	71:14·82E
52.	FOXIS zc(07/19/86)	04.31.55.9	33	$53:21 \cdot 12N$	165:52-92W
53.	KURILEzc(07/19/86)	05-59-36-2	141	47:15-84N	151.07.62E
54.	BURMAtr(07/26/86)	$20-24-47-8$	27	23:45·18N	94:10-62E
55.	EUSSRpk(07/26/86)	14:46-18:9	310	45:25·32N	137:04.20E
56.	CHINApk(08/06/86)	19-55-15-6	34	29:20 64N	100:54.90W
57.	PHILPNzc(08/09/86)	00-53-12-6	80	14:05-94N	120:20-10E
58.	HALMRAzc(08/10/86)	04 40 49 7	104	01:59-10N	128:16·26E
59.	MOLUCAtr(08/17/86)	$15.27 - 41.2$	31	02:16:56N	126.57-54E
60.	BANDASzc(08/19/86)	$12.52 - 41 - 1$	33	04:07:32S	129:19:44E
61.	PHILPNzc(08/19/86)	$22 - 41 - 35 - 8$	98	12:30 84N	124:28-68E
62.	SIOCENtr(08/20/86)	21 15 46 4	10	01:58-385	87:05·28E
63.	ROMANAzc(08/30/86)	21-28-35-4	132	45:32.82N	26:18.86E
64.	VOLCNOpk(08/31/86)	09-23-04-7	46	23:02-52N	144:07.20E
65.	KURILEtr(09/01/86)	21-47-35-0	148	46:37-86N	150:00 60E
66.	HALMRAzc(09/09/86)	15.58.22.5	33	00:55.62N	127:56-64E
67.	NBRTANtr(09/11/86)	$00-18-25-5$	51	05:11.22S	152:26-52E
68.	MOLUCAtr(09/11/86)	17.54.030	33	00:28:44N	125:51.72E
69.	HINDKUtr(09/13/86)	14.14.54.3	200	36:26.52N	70:45.96E
70.	SOLMONzc(09/14/86)	20-58-23-1	59	06:28.20S	154:54·96E
71.	ANDREOtr(09/15/86)	$06 - 29 - 35 - 8$	33	51:33 ⁻ 66N	177:05.10W
72.	MARINAtr(09/16/86)	$18 - 20 - 17 - 7$	48	19:22-56N	146:18.06E
73.	AFUSSRzc(09/17/86)	$12-08-09-4$	120	37:17·40N	71:43.80E
74.	AFUSSRtr(09/17/86)	12-08-09-4	120	37:17·40N	71:43·80E
75.	CARBRGfb(09/17/86)	21-25-15-0	10	$10.29 - 82N$	56:59-98E
76.	HONSHUzc(09/20/86)	03.04.58.7	75	$36.27 - 24N$	140:31.20E
77.	BANDAzc(09/22/86)	$16 - 15 - 05 - 8$	123	06:42·96S	130:25.62E
78.	BONINzc(09/27/86)	19 38 42 1	30	27:52·02N	142:46-62E
79.	BANDASzc(10/01/86)	13-00-06-3	343	05:34.74S	128:37-02E
80.	MEDRNSpk(10/02/86)	10 12 45 6	46	34:50 ⁻ 76N	28:18.84W
81.	HALMRAtr(10/04/86)	0200080	106	02:58-92N	$128:02 \cdot 16E$
82.	ANDREOzc(10/06/86)	$04.21 - 46 - 7$	43	51:51·78N	176:15-42W
83.	SHONSUtr(10/07/86)	11.40.55.0	400	31:54·42N	137:40-56E
84.	JAVARzc(10/10/86)	17:48-24-6	82	07:29.88S	107:13-98E
85.	HINDKUtr(10/13/86)	16-11-40-4	117	36:04·02N	70:50 88E
86.	HINDKUzc(10/13/86)	16-11-40-4	117	36:04·02N	70:50-88E
87.	HONSHUtr(10/13/86)	21.17.509	65	37:06-12N	141:00-66E
88.	BANDASzc(10/17/86)	07.32.51.3	67	05:16·32S	131:25-92E
89.	ANDREOpk(10/18/86)	$01 - 02 - 52 - 1$	33	51:43.80N	175:17:10W
90.	JAVAzc(10/18/86)	22 09 31 7	643	05:37.86S	109.59.82E
91.	HALMRApk(10/21/86)	21-57-59-4	142	01:32·46N	127:25-44E
92.	SACRUZtr(10/22/86)	08.59.28.8	165	10.3414S	166:02 40E
93.	EPGNEAzc(10/23/86)	03.54.208	127	06:05 82S	146:18-36E
94.	NCHILEpk(10/24/86)	$02-42.51-6$	51	25:19.14S	70:10 56W
95.	NCHILEzc(10/24/86)	02-42-51-6	51	25:19.14S	70:10-56W
96.	AIRISEpk(10/28/86)	15.11.23.3	10	30:28.26S	60:10-92E
97.	MINDNOfb(10/29/86)	20-11-39-7	72	05:43.32N	125:19-86E

Table 2. *(continued)*

SI.			Depth	Coordinates		
No.	Source region	Origin time	(km)			
98.	MINDNOzc(10/29/86)	20-11-39-7	72	05:43.32N	125:19-86E	
99.	BURMAzc(11/01/86)	$05-02-42-4$	26	26:54 12N	96:25-50E	
100.	MINDNOzc(11/06/86)	02.48.236	62	09:00 42N	126:14.40E	
101.	ANDREOzc(11/06/86)	18.27.00-1	33	51:28.14N	176:41 04W	
102.	MOLUCAfb(11/11/86)	00-02-32-0	79	02:24.84N	126:48.66E	
103.	MOLUCAzc(11/11/86)	00-02-32-0	79	02:24 84N	126:48.66E	
104.	TAKXJGfb(11/12/86)	$10-06-15-3$	115	38:27·30N	73:16-68E	
105.	TAKXJGzc(11/12/86)	$10-06-15-3$	115	38:27-30N	73:16 68E	
106.	TAIWANtr(11/14/86)	$21.20 - 10.5$	34	23:54·06N	121:34 44E	
107.	TAIWANzc(11/14/86)	23.04.37.0	33	23:51.96N	121:42 66E	
108.	PRUECOfb(11/23/86)	01-39-23-9	106	03:20-52S	77:24 66W	
109.	PRUECQzq11/23/86)	01 39 23 9	106	03:20-52S	77:24 66W	
110.	HJAPANzc(11/28/86)	22.29.35.1	41	36:20 70N	141:10 44E	
111.	HPAJANpk(11/30/86)	20-15-30-3	37	38:51.18N	141:56-88E	
112.	NIBRISpk(12/07/86)	05-40-39-2	215	06:46 98N	95:07.20E	
113.	SOLMISfb(12/11/86)	19.56.12.5	61	10:29-28S	160:42-90E	
114.	HJAPANfb(12/14/86)	$00-11-31-2$	352	32:43 62N	137:43-38E	
115.	AFUSSRzc(12/17/86)	08.31.30.3	225	36:32·34N	71:07.50E	
116.	AFUSSR ₂₀ (12/18/86)	$07 - 23 - 30 - 0$	182	36:34.08N	71:21-18E	
117.	ANDREOfb(12/19/86)	13.50-10-3	33	51:31·02N	176:58-62W	
118.	ANDREOtr(12/19/86)	13.50.10.3	33	51:31:02N	176:58-62W	
119.	TAIWANzc(12/21/86)	02-42-49-0	275	25:31.02N	121:30-42E	
120.	MARINAtr(01/01/87)	16.25.34.1	74	02:42 90S	138:21.78E	
121.	MARINAzc(01/01/87)	1625341	74	02:42.90S	138:21.78E	
122.	CHINAzc(01/05/87)	$22.52 - 46.5$	17	41:57.84N	81:19 14E	
123.	TAIWANfb(01/06/87)	05 07 48 1	38	23:58.56N	121:43-74E	
124.	TAIWANzc(01/06/87)	05 07 48 1	38	23:58.56N	121:43-74E	
125.	NIRELRfb(01/08/87)	19.48.554	44	04:44 16S	153:06 30E	
126.	NIRELRzc(01/08/87)	19 48 55 4	44	$04:44 \cdot 16S$	153:06-30E	
127.	HONSHUzc(01/09/87)	06.14.44.8	68	39:53.70N	141:40-62E	
128.	HOKKAIzc(01/14/87)	11 03 48 7	102	42:33.90N	142:51.00E	
129.	KAMCHTzc(01/19/87)	06:47:43:0	42	54:44·64N	163:16.62E	
130.	NEPALzc(01/19/87)	07.46.24.4	33	28:23:10N	83:40-92E	
131.	NEPALzc(01/19/87)	08.12.05.8	33	28:14.58N	83:34-32E	
132.	MARINAzc(01/21/87)	11.26.366	118	20:36.48N	144:53-10E	
133.	MOLUCAfb(01/23/87)	17.51.09.2	72	01:38.76N	126:31 86E	
134.	MOLUCAzc(01/23/87)	17.5109.2	72	01:38.76N	126:31-86E	
135.	HINDKUtr(05/05/87)	$15-40-47-5$	208	36:28-80N	70:40-38E	
136.	KAZAKHzc(05/06/87)	04 02 05 6	1	49:49.80N	78:07-50E	
137.	ANDREOzc(05/06/87)	04.06.14.1	20	51:16:32N	179:53.88W	
138.	NBRITNzc(05/06/87)	12-39-49-1	20	05:42.90S	152:39 36E	
139.	EUSSRzc(05/07/87)	$03-05-49-1$	430	46:44:16N	139:13-92E	
140.	BALIStr(05/10/87)	00 37 10 0	42	07:44.22S	115:59-82E	
141.	TALAUD ₂ c(05/11/87)	09-59-34-1	94	04:28.20N	127:42-54E	
142.	MINDNOzc(05/12/87)	$01 - 30 - 25 - 0$	25	07:05:40N	126:42 06E	
143.	ICELNDzc(05/25/87)	11.31.54.3	8	63:51.00N	19:43-68W	
144.	BANDASzc(05/30/87)	16:54:04:7	138	06:03:84S	130:31.08E	

40

Note: Code after event name indicates pick: fb, first break; zc, zero crossing; pk peak; tr, trough. There are a few multiple readings in the data set.

SIGNAL PICKS FOR ANALYSIS

Figure 2. Seismograms showing how arrival-time are made.

SIGNAL ATTENUATION ACROSS THE ARRAY

Figure 3. Signal attenuation and signal-shape changes observed at some of the stations.

station because of its location on Precambrian granite outside the DVP. A comparison of residuals at HYB with those at Srisailam--a station also on Precambrian granite about 150 km south-southeast of HYB-supports our assumptions that stations on the Precambrian granite are "normal" compared to those over the Deccan basalts.

For each station, the data were grouped into four categories according to epicentral distances: all distances, closer than 30° , 30° to 70° and beyond 70° . We first examine the data from Poona (POD) where the largest data set is available (figure 4). Note that the range of variation of residuals is about 2 s varying from 1 s in the north to -1 s in the east (figure 4a). The relative residuals also depend on the epicentral distance and which determines the angle of emergence at the seismic station. Observe that for distances $<$ 30 \degree the relative residuals are mostly positive (about +1s) for all azimuths (figure 4b), with strong azimuthal variation occurring in the 30° to 70° range (figure 4c) and a less pronounced but still clear variation occurring for distances $>70^{\circ}$ (figure 4d). Comparison of the relative residuals along the east-southeasterly profile from BHA, the westernmost station, to GUL on the eastern margin of DVP shows the pattern of residuals at BHA to be quite similar to that at POD but with larger variations from $+1.5$ s to -1.8 s (figure 5). Relative residuals at UJN, SOP and GUL show a similar azimuthal variation, but with a progressive decrease in magnitude towards HYB (figure 5). GUL, closest to HYB, shows the smallest residuals and is a key station to substantiate our argument that the strong azimuthal variation observed at other stations are associated with some anomaly in the deep structure beneath the DVP. The relative residuals along the southeasterly profile from DHL to AUB, BIR and LTR (figure 6) also show a decreasing azimuthal variation towards the eastern margin of the DVP, though LTR has five strong negative residuals in the $40-100^\circ$ azimuth range and 30-70° distance range, cautioning against simplified inferences. Note that the residuals at these stations for distances $<$ 30 $^{\circ}$ are generally negative,

Figure 4. Relative residuals at POD with respect to HYB plotted as a function of azimuth of arrival. (a) All **distances; hollow squares represent events at distances** < 30~ **solid diamonds** represent events in the distance range of 30-70°; hollow diamonds represent events at distances $>70^\circ$. (b) Events at distances < 30° . (c) Events in the distance range $30-70^\circ$. (d) Events at distances $> 70^\circ$.

unlike those observed at other stations. The southerly profile, comprised of stations SAT, KOP and DWR (figure 7) shows a behaviour similar to BHA and POD (figures 4 and 5). One surprise is at the southernmost station HPT (figure 7), south of GUL (figure 5) but outside the DVP. This station behaves similar to the western stations implying that the velocity anomaly responsible for the observed azimuthal variations extends even outside the DVP to the southeast.

Note the abrupt transition of residuals from negative values to positive near the 100 ~ azimuth range observed at all stations in the experimental area. In order to test whether this feature in the azimuthal pattern of residuals could be due to a positive residual bias at HYB, we examined the relative residual patterns using the average event residual as reference and found that no such bias exists. The relative residuals thus calculated retained this feature at all these stations including Hyderabad, implying that it could not have arisen from any fortuitous cause related to the choice of Hyderabad as a reference station. We feel that this sharp transition in the residual patterns reflects a sharp velocity transition in the upper mantle outside the region being modelled.

Figure 5. Relative residuals at BHA, UJN, SOP and GUL.

Figure 6. Relative residuals at DHL, BIR and LTR.

44

Figure 7. Relative residuals at SAT, KOP, DWR and HPT.

7. Analysis using the simple ray plotting technique

In this section we use simple ray-plotting techniques to show that the azimuthal and spatial variation of the relative residuals discussed above can be explained only in terms of velocity perturbations in the upper mantle of the DVP region. But first we evaluate the possible contributions that surficial and crustal layers can make to the residuals.

Variation in the thickness of basalt cover which attains a maximum of about 2 km in the western part, can given rise to a maximum relative residual of $+0.04$ s assuming the P-wave velocity of the Deccan basalts and the basement rock to be 4.8 km/s and 6.0 km/s respectively (Kaila 1981; Kaila 1982). Further, even a 1.0 km thick low-velocity sedimentary layer with a velocity of 4.0 km/s underlying the Deccan Traps, such as that found by Kaila et al (1981) in the Saurashtra Peninsula, will contribute a residual of less than 0.1 s. This is even lower than the noise level in our experiment.

7.1 Effect of the variation of crustal thickness

The sub-trappean crustal model in the Koyna area of Deccan basalts has been approximated by three layers of 10, 15 and 15 km thickness with average velocities of 5.7, 6.5 and 7.0 km/s respectively (Kaila et al 1982). Variation in the thickness of any of these layers by as much as 5 km will give rise to a total residual of only about 0.1 s. Crustal models of the Koyna region show that its thickness varies from 31.5 km near the coast to 39 km near K oyna (Kaila 1982). Even if we take the velocity contrast at the eastern stations. The effect is approximately the same if the thinning of the the Moho to be bo

is the arrivals to b

astern stations. The n/s this order of variation in crustal thickness will riler at the western stations compare

crust is distributed over all the three layers.
It is thus clear that possible variations in the thicknesses of basalts, and sub-trappean
sedimentary layers, if present, as well as those of the upper or of the whole crust at the most account for only $+0.2$ s of at all. We therefore conclude that most of the observed residual pattern can only be accounted for by deep upper mantle features.

7.2 Ray plotting analysis

To delineate qualitatively the zones of anomalous velocity structure responsible for the large azimuthally varying observed residuals, we first used a simple ray-tracing procedure similar to that used by Iyer et al (1981). From a knowledge of the emergent angles of seismic rays at various stations, inferred from the depth and epicentral distances of the respective teleseisms, rays are projected backwards from the various flat lavers at three different due to the presence of an anomalous body rather than a random velocity perturbation, the whole set of projected residuals would be expected to show coherent signs and magnitudes so that they can be contoured when the depth chosen for the projection is correct. After considerable experimentation using data corresponding to $\Delta > 30^{\circ}$, we found that the maximum coherence was achieved for projection at a depth of 200 km. Also, some form of subjective averaging was needed to reduce too many overlapping numbers. Figure 8 shows the result of this projection to 200 km depth using the above constraints. The main inference from this figure is that it outlines, albeit broadly, a 200-km wide north-south trending region of negative residuals of magnitude $>0.5s$ extending from AUB in the north and probably continuing south region of anomalous high velocity
presented below we do not want t overinterpret this rather crude modelling of our data. However, we w etructure beneath the Decean Trans, suggests that the obser are best interpreted in terms of a heterogeneous structure in the upper mantle consisting of higher-than-normal velocities beneath the south-central part of the DVP and lower-than-normal velocities in the west-central part.

8. Tomographic results

Ideally, to obtain well-constrained tomographic models, we need a set of teleseismic arrivals from events that are fairly well-distributed in various azimuths and distance ranges. Further, the arrival-time readings should be of high quality with minimal scatter, and the data set should be homogeneous and simultaneous at all stations in the seismic network. Though our experiment does not satisfy any of these criteria strictly, the similarity of inverted models for varying block and layer configurations,

Figure 8, Selected averaged relative residuals projected at 200-km depth.

suggests that they correctly resolve first-order features of the anomalous threedimensional P-velocity structure beneath the DVP. Also, these models agree with the qualitative inference derived from the azimuthal patterns of residuals using the ray-plotting approach discussed above. We performed numerous ACH inversions for a variety of layered models. The dimensions of the block were 200 km in the east-west direction and 150km in the north-south direction. We use mantle velocities from Herrin's (1968b) earth model and the crustal velocity of 6.45 km/s (Kaila 1982). For each inversion the respective resolution matrix and standard errors were studied with a view to assessing the figure of merit of the solution. Note that ACH inversions use relative residuals based on the average of all available readings over the network for each event. Hence no specific reference stations were used.

9. Models

Although a large number of models were used for inversion, description of a few of these models together with the corresponding data variance improvements are given in table 3. The main feature of the models, that is an anomalous high-velocity zone, appears to be significant only at sub-crustal depths. In order to constrain the upper boundary of the anomalous zone, we first analyse a series of two-layer models using all available data. These are shown in figure 9. The numbers indicate velocity perturbations, positive numbers denoting higher-than-normal velocities and negative numbers

No. of Layers	Laver thicknesses (km)	Variance improvement $\frac{8}{2}$		
2	40, 60	160		
$\overline{2}$	40, 160	$27-0$		
$\overline{2}$	40 260	$30-0$		
$\overline{2}$	40, 360	$31-0$		
3	100, 300, 200	53-0		
3	150, 250, 200	$63-0$		
	40, 160, 200, 200	460		

Table 3. Description of models.

Figure 9. Second layer of 2-layer tomographic models derived using data at all available distances. Numbers indicate percentage velocity perturbations.

indicating lower-than-normal velocities. Note that all these models invariably show the presence of a high velocity region within the DVP surrounded by a relatively low velocity region. The magnitude and lateral extent of the velocity perturbations vary with the model. Although the anomalies are reflected in all the models presented below, the first one with a layer thickness of $40-100 \text{ km}$ shows only a small variance improvement (16%) compared with others whose variance improvement ranges from $26-32\%$, suggesting that the high velocity anomaly (upto 4%) is confined to a depth range of 100-400 km (table 3). To further constrain the anomalous domain we then analysed a large set of three-layer models. Two of these (with variance improvements 53% and 63% respectively) are shown in figure 10, which show that the high velocity anomaly decreases both in magnitude and areal extent for the layer 0-100km compared with that for the layer $0-150 \text{ km}$. Significantly, the anomaly remains unaltered for depths of 400 km . In order to further test that the anomalies exposed by the above models are not an artefact of the choice of the number of layers, we also tested a suite of 4 layered models for inverting the travel time residuals. Figure 11 and table 4 show the model with various layers lying between 0 and 40 km, 40 and 200 km , 200 and 400 km and 400 km 600 km (variance improvement 46%). These models show that the high velocity anomaly is confined to layers 2 and 3 in the depth range of 40-400km. As the depth to the top of the anomaly is reasonably well-constrained by the models (figure 10) described earlier we conclude that the high velocity anomaly coinciding with the southern two-thirds of the DVP exists in the depth range of 100-400km. The 400-600 km layer is characterized by the presence of a very diffused low velocity and is distinut from the overlying layers. Table 4 shows

Figure 10a. Velocity perturbations in the three layers (0-100, 100-400, 400-600 km).

LAYER 3: 400 - 600 Km							
					$-1300 - 1300 + 13$		
					- 2.的 0.7」 - 0.7		
-0.6 2.7					$8 - 0.1 - 0.6 - 22 - 13$		
	0.0				$-0.81 - 1.6$ -0.6 -0.2 -1.2 -0.8		
	2.9	-3.04 1.7			0.6 -0.8 -1.2 -1.8 $+5$		
		1.2	0.1		-0.2 -0.5 -1.4 -1.3 -3.7		
					-1.2 - 2.2 - 0.5 - 1.7 $\sqrt{5}$		0.6
			-2.7	-1.9	14	1.d	-0.6 - 2.7
				0.2	0.9	-0.2	
					- 0.5		

Figure 10b. Velocity perturbations in the three layers $(0-150, 150-400, 400-600 \text{ km})$.

 400 Km

Figure 11. Velocity perturbations in the three sub-crustal layers of four-layer models.

 $50\,$

 $H M I$ yer et al

High velocity anomaly beneath Deccan volcanic province

 52

 $H M I$ yer et al

High velocity anomaly beneath Deccan volcanic province

53

54

 $H M I$ yer et al

the diagonal elements of the resolution matrix along with the standard errors for this 4-layer model.

I0. Interpretation

Existence of large-scale velocity anomalies in the upper mantle no longer evokes any surprise. Regional studies of one-dimensional velocity structure using travel-times, synthetic seismograms, and surface-wave dispersion, show that heterogeneous structure of the upper mantle is a rule rather than an exception in almost any region where observations are available. Teleseismic-residual studies show that velocity anomalies with dimensions of a few tens to a few hundreds of kilometers exist in the crust and upper mantle in North America and also in many other regions of the world (Aki 1982; Iyer 1984, 1988a, b; Thurber and Aki 1987; Iyer and Hitchcock 1988). In general, low-velocity anomalies are easier to interpret than high-velocity anomalies as the former seem to be generally associated with regions of young volcanism or recent orogeny. Some typical examples are: the Yellowstone hot spot (Iyer *et al* 1981); Rio Grande Rift (Parker *et a11984);* Imperial Valley Spreading Centre (Humphreys *etal* 1984); Eastern U.S. (Taylor and Toksoz 1979); the Rhinegraben (Raikes and Bonjer 1983); and the Tarbela Area of Lesser Himalaya (Menke 1977). Higher-than-normal velocities, invariably found in active subduction zones and paleo-subduction zones are also reasonably well understood as they delineate subducting plates (for e.g., Japan: Hirahara 1981; Carapathian Arc: Romania: Oncescu *et al* 1984). Other high-velocity anomalies, not so well understood, are found in the upper mantle beneath the Baltic Shield (Husebye and Hovland 1982); Eastern Senegal, West Africa (Dorbath *etai* 1983); the Alps (Baer 1980); the North China Basin (Shedlock and Roecker 1987); the Timber Mountain Caldera Complex, Nevada (Evans and Oliver 1987); and the Southern Sierra Nevada, California (Humphreys *et al* 1984; Humphreys 1987), to name a few. Humphreys (1987) interprets the vertical, tongueshaped, high-velocity anomaly extending to depths of 300-400 km in Sierra Nevada, as representing a descending sub-crustal lithosphere caused by small-scale convection. Available case histories on large-scale high-velocity anomalies, though similar in size and velocity contrast, are simply too few to allow any detailed comparisons with the anomaly which we have delineated beneath the DVP.

A fundamental'question to be addressed regarding the DVP anomaly is the following. Is the anomaly at all related to the Deccan volcanism or is it simply an integral part of the architecture of the upper mantle of the Indian shield? There is enough evidence from global and continental scale geophysical data to show that old continents are indeed far from being homogeneous in their deep crust and upper mantle structure. Our modelling results lead to startling revelations indicating the presence of an anomalously thick high-velocity region beneath the DVP. The presence of such an anomaly at depths much greater than the conventionally accepted plate thickness (of about 100-200km) could be best explained in the light of the concept of continental tectosphere (Jordan 1975, 1979a, b) and stabilization of cratons (Pollack 1986). The existence of lithospheric roots to depths as great as 400km has been inferred earlier in Western North America, Superior Province of Canada and South Africa based on petrological, geothermal and seismological investigations (Grand and Helmberger 1984; Pollack 1986; Lam and Jordan 1987; Silver and Chan 1988). The tectosphere is proposed to be a region of massive basalt depletion and is predominantly composed of olivine and orthopyroxene, resulting in a density reduction of 1% and an increase in S-wave velocity due to lowering of the iron content. To constrain the density of the anomalous region beneath the DVP, we analysed two gravity profiles (west to east and north to south) taken from the 5 mgal contour Bouguer gravity anomaly map of India. These indicate the presence of a long wavelength, 35 mgal gravity low present over the region of high-velocity anomaly. A preliminary inversion of the data delineates the lower density region roughly lying within the high velocity anomalous region in the depth range of 100-300km, with a density contrast of about -0.006 to -0.013 grams per cc (D Srinagesh, personal communication).

The other line of evidence is from S-wave residuals at POO relative to HYB taken from ISC catalogues (figure 12). In spite of the poor quality of data and consequent high scatter, it is quite obvious that we see an azimuthal pattern broadly similar to that shown by P-wave residuals at POO. The S-residuals are about three times as large as the corresponding P-wave residuals shown alongside for comparison. Shear wave structure beneath the DVP delineated by Montagner (1986) using surface wave data also reveals the existence of a high-velocity anomalous zone in the depth range of 85-250km. However, the continuation of this anomaly beyond 250km depth is not observed due to data constraints in the surface wave study.

I I. Source of Deccan basalts

Let us now consider the question of the source of Deccan basalts in the light of the existence of a deep continental root under this region, which must have acquired a coherent stable structure long before the Gondwanaland break-up considering that the craton came into existence earlier than at least 2-5 billion years. The formation of tectosphere due to the depletion of volatiles which elevates the solidus, renders the upper mantle region more resistant to subsequent melting. Such stable regions are observed to have normal shield heat flow values (Pollack 1986). This is in conformity with the observed normal heat flow over DVP (Gupta and Gaur 1984). The very presence of the tectosphere beneath the DVP would therefore inhibit the development of large scale perforations which are believed to have been responsible for the extrusion of such extensive flood basalts, implying the absence of their source region directly beneath the DVP. On the other hand, it is significant to note that in all the models, thc per cent velocity anomaly beneath the westernmost part of the DVP in the depth range of 40-200 km is relatively less than that observed in other parts. This is also reflected in the results of surface wave study (Natal *etal* 1984) showing a regional low shear wave velocity enveloping the entire Arabian Sea extending from the east coast of Africa to the west coat of India. However, it is significant to observe that the above low velocity zone is confined to the west of our westernmost profile 2.

Also, recent studies of major and trace element abundances and inter-element ratios as well as Sr isotopic ratios in selected samples combined with extensive field checks in the western parts of the DVP show that the flow sections do not correspond to a classical layer cake-sequence. Instead, they appear to be comprised of a sequence of thicker and older formations in the north which become thinner in the south and are superceded by southward thickening of younger ones that taper out further southwards. This interlocking sequence strongly suggests that the flows are derived

Figure 12. Typical azimuthal variation of P and S -wave relative residuals at POO with respect to HYB compiled from the International Seismological Summary catalog.

from southward migration of a centre of magmatic egress rather than from a system of fissures tapping the lower crust or upper mantle directly beneath the DVP. Further, Nd and Sr isotopic values of least contaminated Deccan Tholeiites from different areas of the DVP fall in a restricted field of high ε_{Nd} which closely approach those of old and present-day Central Indian ridge basalts. This strongly suggests that the Deccan basalts were derived from the same large region of isotopically homogeneous oceanic mantle (Mahoney 1988) which feeds the Central Indian ridge. Considering further that the bulk of Deccan basalts were formed about 65 Ma ago shortly after the rifting between India and Madagascar (80 Ma) when the ridge axis jumped towards India (Norton and Sclater 1979; Schlich 1982), a more natural channel for their outpouring would appear to be associated with the rift systems that eventually fashioned the Indian west coast passive continental margin. If the above visualization of the formation of Deccan basalts is correct, we should expect to see a low velocity zone (LVZ) on the western periphery of the DVP representing the source of the Deccan basalts perhaps characterized by high heat flow values but no thermal anomaly_ over the DVP. While the heat flow over the LVZ still remains to be measured, the other two predictions from the model are indeed confirmed by observational evidence.

12. Conclusions

Using teleseismic P-wave residual tomography, we have identified a large region in the upper mantle beneath the Deccan volcanic province in which the P-wave velocity is higher than that in the surrounding region by 1 to 4% . This high velocity anomaly exists coherently in the depth range of 100-400km. This implies that a coherent, colder and comparatively rigid lithospheric root extends to a depth of about 400 km beneath the DVP. The anomaly in the depth range of 400-600 km is distinctly weaker and appears to be decoupled from the overlying layers. This is as we expect owing to diminished ability of the asthenosphere to retain heterogeneities over extended periods of geologic times. Persistence of the anomaly in the upper mantle is however maintained through a stable geochemical reordering and formation of a tectosphere beneath the craton which together translate coherently over the underlying weaker mantle.

Acknowledgements

Support for this work came from three organizations: the lndo-US Subcommission on Education and Culture which awarded a fellowship to Iyer to spend 13 months in India; the National Geophysical Research Institute which provided full research support; and the U.S. Geological Survey which permitted Iyer's visit to India and completion of the research on his return to USA. Special thanks are due to the Director and staff of the Indian Institute of American Studies, New Delhi for efficiently managing lyer's fellowship and assisting him in innumerable ways. We are greatly indebted to the various universities, colleges and many individuals for the warm cooperation extended to us in running our field stations during this work. We are very grateful to these organisations and also to many field assistants from NGRI, who participated in the experiment, to Phil Dawson for extensive support in data **analysis and to Tim Hitchcoek and M Jayarama Rao for help throughout the project including preparation of figures for this manuscript. Grateful thanks are also due to M/s. N K Venkatesh and G Ramakrishna Rao for the excellent typing of the manuscript.**

References

- Achauer U, Greene L, Evans J R and lyer H M 1986 Nature of magma chamber underlying the Mono craters area, eastern California, as determined from teleseismic travel time residuals; *J. Geophys. Res.* 91 13872-13891
- Aki K 1982 Three-dimensional seismic inhomogeneities in the lithosphere and asthenospehre: evidence for decoupling in the lithosphere and flow in the asthenosphere; *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys. 20* 161-170
- Aki K, Christoffersson A and Husebeye E S 1927 Determination of the three-dimensional seismic structure of the lithosphere; *J. Geophys. Res. 82* 277-296
- Baer M 1980 Relative travel time residuals for teleseismic events at the new Swiss seismic station network; *Ann. Geophys.* 36 119-126
- Dorbath C, Dorbath L, Le page A and Gaulon R 1983 The West-African craton margin in eastern Senegal; a seismological study; *Ann. Geophys.* 1 25-36
- Ellsworth W L and Koyanagi R Y 1977 Three-dimensional crust and mantle structure of Kilanea Volcano, Hawaii; *J. Geophys. Res.* 82 5379-5394
- Evans J R 1982 Compressional wave velocity structure of the upper 350 km under the eastern Snake River Plain near Rexburg, Idaho; J. *Geophys. Res. 87* 2654-2670
- Evans J R and Oliver H W 1987 Comparison of Timber Mountain caldera complex, Nevada, with Yellowstone; speculations on mechanisms (Abs), Abstract Volume; *Hawaii Symposium on How Volcanoes* Work (Hilo, Hawaii: Hawaiian Volcano Observatory) p. 67
- Grand S P and Helmberger D 1984 Upper mantle shear structure of North America; *Geophys. J. R. Astron.* Soc. 76 399-438
- Gupta M L and Gaur V K 1984 Surface heat flow and probable evolution of Deccan volcanism; *Tectonophysics* 105 309-318
- Hirahara K 1981 Three-dimensional seismic structure beneath southwest Japan: the subducting-phillippine sea plate; *Tectonophysics 79 1-44*
- Herrin E 1968a Seismological tables for P; *Seismol. Soc. Am. Bull. 58* 1193-1241
- Herrin E 1968b P-wave velocity distribution in the mantle; *Seismol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 58 1223-1225
- Humphreys E 1987 Mantle dynamics of the southern Great Basin-Sierra Nevada region (Abs); *EOS Trans.* Am. Geophys Union 68 1450
- Humphreys E and Clayton R W 1988 Adaptation of back-projection tomography to seismic travel time problems; *J. Geophys. Res.* 93 1073-1085
- Humphreys E, Clayton R W and Hager B H 1984 A tomographic image of mantle structure beneath southern California; *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 11 625-627
- Husebye E S and Hovland J 1982 On upper mantle seismic heterogeneities beneath Fennoscandia; *Tectonophysics 90 1-17*
- Iyer H M 1984 Geophysical evidence for the locations, shapes and sizes, and internal structures of magma chambers beneath regions of Quaternary volcanism. In *Relative contributions of mantle, oceanic crust, and continental crust to magma genesis, (eds)* S Moorbath, R N Thompson and E R Oxburg (Philos Trans. R. Soc. London) A310 473-510
- lyer H M 1988a *Seismic tomographys. Encyclopedia of geophysics* (ed.) D James, (Van Noslrand Reinhold and Co.) (in press)
- lyer H M 1988b Seismological detection and delineation of magma chambers beneath intraplate volcanic centres in western USA. In *Modelling volcanic processes* (eds) C King and R Scaroa (Braunschweig/ Wiesbaden: Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn) pp. 1-56
- lyer H M, Evans J R, Zandt G, Stewart R M, Coakley J M and Roloff J N 1981 A deep low-velocity body under the Yellows:one caldera, Wyoming: delineation using teleseismic P-wave residuals and tectonic interpretation; *Geol. Soc. An Bull.* 92 Part I (Summary), 792-798; part It (full text in microfische edition) 1471-1646
- lyer H M and Hitchcock T 1988 Upper mantle velocity structure in continental US and Canada. Geophysical framework of the Continental United States; *Geol. Soc. Am. Mere-* (in Press)
- Jordan T H 1975 The continental tectosphere; *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.* 13 1-12
- Jordan T H 1979a Lateral heterogeneity and mantle dynamics; *Nature (London)* 257 745-750
- Jordan T H 1979b The deep structure of continents; *Sci. Am. 240* 92-107
- Kaila K L 1982 Deep seismic sounding studies in India; *Geophys. Res. Bull. NGRI, India* (Special Issue) **20** 309-328
- Kaila K L, Tewari H C and Sarma, P L N 1981 Crustal structure from deep seismic sounding studies along Navibandar-Amreli profile in Saurashtra, India. In *Deccan volcanism and related basalt provinces* in other parts of the world (eds) K V Subba Rao and R N Sukheswala, Geol. Soc. India Memoir No. 3 pp. 218-232
- Kaila K L, Reddy P R, Dixit M M and Koteswara Rao P 1985 Crustal structure across the Narmada-Son lineament, Central Ihdia from deep seismic soundings; *J. Geol. Soc. India 26* 465-480
- Kailasam L N 1975 Epirogenic studies in India with reference to recent vertical movements; *Tectonophysics* 29 505-521
- Lam A L L and Jordan T H 1987 How thick are the continents: *J. Geophys. Res.* 92 14007-14026
- Mahoney J J 1988 Deccan basalts. In *continentalflood basalts* (ed.) J D Macdougall (Kluiser, Norwell, MA) Menke W H 1977 Lateral inhomogeneities in P velocity under the Tarbela array of the lesser Himalayas of Pakistan; *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 67 725-734
- Montagner **J P** 1986 Regional three-dimensional structures using long period surface waves; *Ann. Geophys.* 4 283-294
- Morgan W J 1972 Deep mantle convection plumes and plate motions; *Bull. Am. Assoc. Petrol Geol. 56* 203-213
- Nataf H C, Nakanishi I and Anderson D L 1984 Anisotrophy and shear-velocity heterogeneities in the upper mantle; *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 11 109-112
- Norton I O and Sclater J G 1979 A model for evolution of the Indian Ocean and break-up of Gondwanaland; *J. Geophys. Res. 84* 6803-6830
- Oncescu M C, Burlacu V, Anghel M and Smalbergher V 1984 Three-dimensional *p*-wave velocity image under the Carpathinan Arc; *Tectonophysics* 106 305-319
- Parker E C, Davis P M, Evans J R, Iyer H M and Olsen K H 1984 Upwarp of anomalous asthenosphere beneath the Rio qrande rift; *Nature (London)* 312 354-356
- Pollack H N 1986 Cratonization and thermal evolution of the mantle; *Earth. Planet. Sci. Lett., 80* 175-182
- Qureshy M N 1981 Gravity anomalies, isostasy and crust mantle relations in the Deccan Trap and contiguous regions, India. In *Deccan volcanism and related baslt provinces in other parts of the world (eds)* K V Subba Rao and R N Sukheswala, Geol. Soc. India Memoir No. 3 185-197
- Raikes S and Bonier K P 1983 Large-scale mantle heterogeneity beneath the Rheinish Massif and its vicinity from teleseismic p-residuals measurements. In *Plateau uplift* (eds) K Fuchs *etal* (Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag) 315-331
- Shedlock K M and Roecker S W 1987 Elastic wave velocity structure of the crust and upper mantle beneath the North China Basin; *J. Geophys. Res. 92* 9327-9350
- Schlich R 1982 The Indian Ocean: Aseismic ridges, spreading centres, and oceanic basins. In *The oceans basins and margins. Vol. 6 The Indian Ocean* (eds) A E M Nairn and F G Stelhi (New York: Plenum Press) 51 - 147
- Silver P G and Chan W W 1988 Implications for continental structure and evolution from seismic anisotropy; *Nature (London)* 335 34-39
- Takin M 1966 An interpretation of the positive gravity anomaly over Bombay on the west coast of India; *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.* 11 527-537
- Taylor S R and Toksoz M N 1979 Three-dimensional crust and upper mantle structure of the northern United States; *J. Geophys. Res. 84* 7627-7644
- Thurber C H and Aki K 1987 Three-dimensional seismic imaging; *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 15 115-139