

A conjecture on the Eichler cohomology of automorphic forms

WANG Xueli (王学理)

Department of Mathematics, Guangzhou Teachers' College, Guangzhou 510400, China
Email: gztcmis@letterbox.scut.edu.cn

Received September 20, 1999

Abstract We prove partially a conjecture of Knopp about the Eichler cohomology of automorphic forms on H-groups.

Keywords: automorphic forms, Eichler cohomology, H-groups.

Knopp introduced the Eichler cohomology groups connected with automorphic forms of arbitrary real weight and with a suitable underlying space of functions and determined the structure of these groups (see Theorems 1 and 2 in ref. [1]).

For the detailed definitions of conceptions and notations in this paper, we follow ref. [1]. Now let r be an arbitrary real number and ν a multiplier system for H group Γ of weight r .

$P = \{ \text{functions } g \text{ holomorphic in } H \mid |g(z)| < K(|z|^\rho + y^{-\sigma}) \text{ for } y = \text{Im } z > 0 \}$, where K, ρ, σ are positive constants.

Definition 1. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a subgroup of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Γ is called a hyperbolic subgroup if it satisfies

(1) Γ acts discontinuously on the upper-half plane $H = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid y > 0\}$ but Γ is not discontinuous at any point on the real axis;

(2) there exist translation transformations in Γ , i.e. ∞ is a parabolic fixed point of Γ (cusp point);

(3) there is a fundamental domain D_0 of Γ with finite edges.

Definition 2. Let Γ be a hyperbolic subgroup. A complex-valued function $\nu = \nu[\Gamma, r]$ defined on Γ is called a multiplier system of group Γ with weight r if it satisfies

(1) $|\nu(M)| = 1$ for any $M \in \Gamma$;

(2) $\nu(M_1 M_2)(c_{12}z + d_{12})^r = \nu(M_1)(c_1 M_2 z + d_1)^r \nu(M_2)(c_2 z + d_2)^r$ for any $M_1, M_2 \in \Gamma$, $M_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$, $M_1 M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & b_{12} \\ c_{12} & d_{12} \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 3. Let ν be a multiplier system. A complex-valued function $F(z)$ is called an automorphic form of group Γ with weight r and multiplier system ν if it satisfies

(1) $F(z)$ is meromorphic on $H^* = H \cup \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{p} is the set of all parabolic vertices (i.e. all cusp points);

(2) $F(Mz) = \nu(M)(cz + d)^r F(z)$ for any $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$.

Note. A function $F(z)$ is meromorphic at a cusp point p if $F(\rho^{-1}(z))j_{\rho^{-1}}(z)^{-r}$ is meromorphic at $z = i\infty$, where $\rho \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho(p) = i\infty$ and $j_\rho(z) = cz + d$ for $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Let Γ be a hyperbolic group. We always assume that $-I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. If $A \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $Ap = \infty$, then A maps H onto H . If $M \in \Gamma$ is parabolic and has a fixed point p , then AMA^{-1} is also parabolic and has the fixed point ∞ . So $A\Gamma A^{-1}$ is a hyperbolic group.

Let \mathfrak{p} be the set of all parabolic vertices of Γ . For any $p \in \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{R}$ the isotropic subgroup $\Gamma_p = \{A \in \Gamma \mid Ap = p\}$ is cyclic. If P generates Γ_p , then so do $-P, P^{-1}, -P^{-1}$. We now choose a full representative set $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ of inequivalent parabolic vertices. If ∞ is one of these we assume $p_0 = \infty$, otherwise p_0 disappears. Since Γ has only finite edges, we know that t is finite. Now let $\Gamma_{p_j} = \langle P_j \rangle$. We define

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -p_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad j > 0, \quad A_0 = I.$$

It is clear that $A_j p_j = \infty$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, t$. $A_j P_j A_j^{-1}$ must be a translation because it is parabolic and has the fixed point ∞ . So it must have the following forms:

$$A_j P_j A_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = U^{\lambda_j}, \quad \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can choose the generator P_j of Γ_{p_j} such that $\lambda_j > 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, t$, especially, $\Gamma_\infty = \langle U^{\lambda_0} \rangle, \lambda_0 > 0$.

We introduce some notations as follows:

$$C_{jk} = \left\{ x \mid \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ x & * \end{pmatrix} \in A_j \Gamma A_k^{-1} \right\}, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, t;$$

$$D_c(j, k, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ d \mid \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in A_j \Gamma A_k^{-1}, \alpha \leq -\frac{d}{c} < \beta \right\}, \quad c \neq 0.$$

Lemma 1^[2]. For every pair (j, k) , C_{jk} is a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 2^[2]. For every $c \in C_{jk}$ and every pair (α, β) of real numbers, the set $D_c(j, k, \alpha, \beta)$ is finite and $D_c(j, k, \alpha, \beta) = O(c)$.

Suppose that $\nu = \nu[\Gamma, r]$ is a multiplier system and define that $\kappa_j = \kappa_j(\Gamma)$ is the unique number satisfying the following conditions:

$$e(\kappa_j) = \nu(P_j), \quad 0 \leq \kappa_j < 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, t,$$

where $e(\alpha) = \exp(2\pi i \alpha)$.

Consider the group $\Gamma' = A_j \Gamma A_j^{-1}$. The multiplier system $\nu[\Gamma, r]$ deduces one $\nu'[\Gamma', r]$ on Γ' :

$$\nu'(M') = \nu(M) \text{ if } M' = A_j M A_j^{-1}.$$

Since P_j generates Γ_{p_j} so $A_j P_j A_j^{-1}$ generates Γ'_∞ , we see that

$$e(\kappa_j) = \nu(P_j) = \nu'(A_j P_j A_j^{-1}) = e(\kappa_0(\Gamma')),$$

i. e.

$$\kappa_j(\Gamma) = \kappa_0(A_j \Gamma A_j^{-1}).$$

Similarly we have

$$\lambda_j(\Gamma) = \lambda_0(A_j \Gamma A_j^{-1}).$$

Definition 4^[1]. If F is a function meromorphic in H such that

$$F \mid_\nu V - F \in P \text{ for } V \in \Gamma,$$

and for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq t$, there exists an integer m_j such that $\exp\{2\pi i(m_j + \kappa_j)/\lambda_j(z - p_j)\}$.

$F(z)$ has a limit as $z \rightarrow p_j$ within D_Γ (a fundamental domain of Γ) and also there exists an integer m_0 such that $\exp\{-2\pi i(m_0 + \kappa_0)/\lambda_0\} \cdot F(z)$ has a limit as $z \rightarrow i\infty$ within D_Γ , then we call F an automorphic integral of degree r with respect to Γ .

If r is an integer ≥ 0 and P is replaced by P_r (the set of all polynomials with degree $\leq r$), then this definition coincides with the one of Eichler integral. We refer to the cocycle $\{F|'_\nu V - F\}$ as the cocycle of period functions of automorphic integral F . A coboundary of degree r is a cocycle $\{F|'_\nu V - F\}$ such that $F|'_\nu V - F = f|'_\nu V - f$ for all $V \in \Gamma$, with f a fixed function $f \in P$. The parabolic cocycles are the cocycles $\{F|'_\nu V - F\}$ which satisfy the following condition: Let Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_t be a complete set of parabolic representatives for Γ , then for each j ($1 \leq j \leq t$), there exists a function $f_h \in P$ such that $F|'_\nu Q_h - F = f_h|'_\nu Q_h - f_h$.

Definition 5. (a) The Eichler cohomology group $H^1_{r,\nu}(\Gamma, P)$ is defined to be the vector space of cocycles modulo coboundaries.

(b) Let $\tilde{H}^1_{r,\nu}(\Gamma, P)$ be the subgroup of $H^1_{r,\nu}(\Gamma, P)$ defined as the space of parabolic cocycles modulo coboundaries.

In ref. [1], Knopp proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If $r \geq 0$ or $r \leq -2$ with ν a multiplier system of degree r , then $C^0(\Gamma, -r-2, \bar{\nu})$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{H}^1_{r,\nu}(\Gamma, P)$ under a canonical isomorphism α , where $C^0(\Gamma, -r-2, \bar{\nu})$ denotes the collection of cusp forms for group Γ , weight r and multiplier system $\bar{\nu}$.

And Knopp made the following

Conjecture. Theorem 1 is true in the range $-2 < r < 0$.

In this paper we shall partially prove this conjecture, i.e. we have

Theorem 2. If $-2 < r < -1$ and $C^0(\Gamma, -r-2, \bar{\nu}) = 0$, then $\tilde{H}^1_{r,\nu}(\Gamma, P) = 0$.

1 Non-analytic and analytic Poincaré series

Let n be a negative integer and $\Gamma, \nu, \kappa_j, \lambda_j$ as above and r a positive real number. We introduce non-analytic Poincaré series $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0)$ as follows:

$$P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0) = \sum_{T \in (D)} \frac{\exp(2\pi i(n + \kappa_0)Tz/\lambda_0)}{\nu(T)(cz + d)^r |cz + d|^s},$$

where $T = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $D = \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma$.

We first have to show the convergence of the above series. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\exp(2\pi i(n + \kappa_j)Tz/\lambda_0)| &\leq \exp\{2\pi(|n| + 1)\text{Im}(Tz)/\lambda_0\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{2\pi(|n| + 1)y}{\lambda_0}((cx + d)^2 + c^2y^2)^{-1}\right\} \\ &\leq \exp\left\{\frac{2\pi(|n| + 1)y}{\lambda_0 c^2 y^2}\right\} \leq \exp\left\{\frac{2\pi(|n| + 1)y}{\lambda_0 c_{00}^2 \alpha}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

if $y \geq \alpha > 0$ and $0 \neq c \in C_{00}$, where $\tilde{c}_{0j} = \min\{|c| | 0 \neq c \in C_{0j}\} > 0$ by Lemma 1.

On the other hand, the series

$$\sum_{T \in (D)} \frac{1}{|cz + d|^l}, \quad l > 2, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

is uniformly convergent with respect to z in the domain $\bar{D}_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |x| \leq \alpha^{-1}, y \geq \alpha > 0\}$ which implies that the non-analytical Poincaré series is absolutely and uniformly convergent with

respect to z in the domain \bar{D}_α , and hence it defines a holomorphic function in H if $\text{Re}(s) > 2 - r$.

A straightforward computation shows that

$$P_{nr}(Lz, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0) = \nu(L)(cz + d)^r |cz + d|^s P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0)$$

for any $L = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. In particular, we see that

$P_{nr}(U^{\lambda_0}z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0) = \nu(U^{\lambda_0})P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0) = e^{2\pi i \kappa_0} P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0)$, which implies that $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0)$ has a Fourier expansion with respect to variable $e^{2\pi i x/\lambda_0}$, where $z = x + iy \in H$.

In order to discuss properties of Poincaré series at cusp points we introduce generalized non-analytic Poincaré series which are A^{-1} -transformations of $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0)$:

$$P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = P_{nr}(z, s; \nu', \Gamma', \kappa'_0) |A_j^{-1}|.$$

Lemma 3. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, t$, we have

$$P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = \sum_{L \in (S)} \frac{e((n + \kappa_j)Lz/\lambda_j)}{\nu(A_j^{-1}L)(cz + d)^r |cz + d|^s},$$

where $L = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma'_\infty \setminus A_j \Gamma = (S)$.

Similarly as above we can prove that $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ is holomorphic with respect to $z \in H$.

Lemma 4. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, t$, we have the following transformation formulae:

$$P_{nr}(Mz, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = \nu(M)(cz + d)^r |cz + d|^s P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j),$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$.

We now want to find the Fourier expansions of $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ with respect to variable $e^{2\pi i x/\lambda_j}$, which makes an analytic continuation of $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ become possible.

For $\text{Re}(s) > 2 - r$,

$$P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = \sum_1 + \sum_2,$$

where \sum_1 and \sum_2 sum over $L = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in (S)$ with $c = 0$ and $c \neq 0$, respectively. Since the values of summands at L and $-L$ are equal we see that

$$\sum_2 = 2 \sum_{\substack{L \in (S) \\ c > 0}} \frac{e((n + \kappa_j)Lz/\lambda_j)}{\nu(A_j^{-1}L)(cz + d)^r |cz + d|^s}.$$

Noting that $S = \bigcup_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \Re U^{\lambda_0 q}$, where \Re is the bicoset representative $\Gamma'_\infty \setminus A_j \Gamma / \Gamma_\infty$, we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{L \in (S) \\ c > 0}} c^{-s-r} \bar{\nu}(A_j^{-1}L) e((n + \kappa_j)a/c\lambda_j) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \left[-\frac{2\pi i(n + \kappa_j)}{c^2 \lambda_j} \right]^p \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} e(-\kappa_0 q) \left(z + \frac{d}{c} + q\lambda_0 \right)^{-r-p-\frac{s}{2}} \left(\bar{z} \frac{d}{c} + q\lambda_0 \right)^{-\frac{s}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Poisson's summation formulae and by a long computation we obtain

Theorem 3. For $\text{Re}(s) > 2 - r$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, t$, $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ have Fourier ex-

pansions as follows: (1) if $\kappa_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) &= 2\delta_{0j} e\left(\frac{n + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) + \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^{r+s}}{\Gamma(s/2)\lambda_0^{r+s}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m + \kappa_0)^{r+s-1} e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) \\
 &\cdot \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)(m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma\left(r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)} Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2} + p, m, n\right) \\
 &\cdot \sigma\left(4\pi(m + \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0, r + p + \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right) \\
 &+ \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^{r+s}}{\Gamma(s/2)\lambda_0^{r+s}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m - \kappa_0)^{r+s-1} e\left(-\frac{m - \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)(m - \kappa_0)/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma\left(r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)} \\
 &\cdot Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2} + p, -m, n\right) \sigma\left(4\pi(m - \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0, \frac{s}{2}, r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right);
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) if $\kappa_0 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) &= \\
 &\frac{4\pi i^{-r}}{\lambda_0(2y)^{r+s-1}\Gamma(s/2)} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \left[-\frac{\pi(n + \kappa_j)}{y\lambda_j}\right]^p \frac{\Gamma(r + p + s - 1)}{\Gamma\left(r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)} Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2} + p, 0, n\right) \\
 &+ 2\delta_{0j} e\left(\frac{n}{\lambda_0} z\right) + \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^{r+s}}{\Gamma(s/2)\lambda_0^{r+s}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^{r+s-1} e\left(\frac{m}{\lambda_0} z\right) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)m/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma\left(r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)} \\
 &\cdot Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2} + p, m, n\right) \sigma\left(4\pi my/\lambda_0, r + p + \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right) \\
 &+ \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^{r+s}}{\Gamma(s/2)\lambda_0^{r+s}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^{r+s-1} e\left(-\frac{m}{\lambda_0} z\right) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)m/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma\left(r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)} \\
 &\cdot Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2} + p, -m, n\right) \sigma\left(4\pi my/\lambda_0, \frac{s}{2}, r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{0j} = 1$ or 0 if $j = 0$ or $j \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_j(c, m, n) &= \sum_{d \in D_j} \nu(A_j^{-1}L) e\left(\frac{n + \kappa_j}{\lambda_j} \frac{a}{c} + \frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} \frac{d}{c}\right); \\
 Z_j(w, m, n) &= \sum_{c \in C_{j_0}} S_j(c, m, n) c^{-2w}, \text{Re}(w) > 1; \\
 D_c &= D_c(j, 0, 0, \lambda_0), C'_{j_0} = \{c \in C_{j_0} \mid c > 0\}; \\
 \sigma(y, \alpha, \beta) &= \int_0^{\infty} (u + 1)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta-1} e^{-yu} du.
 \end{aligned}$$

From above we get the Fourier expansions of $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 2 - r$. Now we deal with the analytic continuation of $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$. Denoting two infinite series in Theorem 1 by F_1 and F_2 respectively, we hope that F_1 and F_2 can be analytically continued to an area containing $s = 0$.

Lemma 5^[3,4]. Let $L^2(\Gamma \setminus H, \nu, r)$ be the Hilbert space consisting of the following functions:

- (1) $g: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$;

$$(2) \quad g(\gamma z) = \nu(\gamma) \left(\frac{cz + d}{|cz + d|} \right)^r g(z), \quad \forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma;$$

$$(3) \quad \int_{D_r} |g(z)|^2 \frac{dx dy}{y^2} < +\infty,$$

where D_r is a fundamental domain of Γ . Then the spectrum of Laplacian operator

$$\Delta_r = y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) - i r y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

is contained in the half-line $\left[\frac{|r|}{2} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{2} \right), +\infty \right)$.

Lemma 6^[5]. Selberg's zeta functions are meromorphic on $\text{Re}(w) > 1/2$ which has only finite simple poles on $\text{Re}(w) > 1/2$ all contained in real interval $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)$. And we have

$$|Z_j(w, m, n)| = O \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} |n + \kappa_j| |m + \kappa_0| \right),$$

where $w = \sigma + it, \sigma > 1/2, |t| \geq 1$.

Using the method in ref. [6] and by Lemmas 5 and 6, we can analytically continue $Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2} + p, m, n\right)$ to a half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$ if there are not any holomorphic modular forms with weight $2 - r$ and multiplier system $\bar{\nu}$ for the group Γ , where $0 < \delta < r - 1$ and δ is independent of m .

By the definition of $\sigma(y, \alpha, \beta)$ and integration by parts we can continue analytically the factor $\sigma\left(4\pi(m - \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0, \frac{s}{2}, r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(4\pi(m + \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0, r + p + \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right)$ to the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$. In this half-plane $\sigma\left(4\pi(m - \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0, \frac{s}{2}, r + p + \frac{s}{2}\right)$ is holomorphic and $\sigma\left(4\pi(m + \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0, r + p + \frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right)$ has unique simple pole $s = 0$.

By the above continuations and some estimations we can prove that F_2 is convergent absolutely and uniformly in any compact subset of the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$ which implies that F_2 can be continued analytically to the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$.

We can continue analytically F_1 to the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$ by a similar way.

These show that $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ can be continued analytically to the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$. In particular, $P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ is holomorphic at points $s = 0$.

Definition 6. The functions

$$P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{nr}(z, 0; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$$

are called generalized Poincaré series of group Γ with weight r and multiplier system ν .

A direct computation shows that

$$P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = 2\delta_{0j} e\left(\frac{n + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) + \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^r}{\lambda_0^r} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m + \kappa_0)^{r-1} e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) \cdot \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)(m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma(r + p)} Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + p, m, n\right).$$

2 Properties of Poincaré series and the proof of Theorem 2

In this section we want to show that $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ is holomorphic in H and deal with some properties of it. Let $\hat{P}_n(m)$ be the m -th Fourier coefficient of $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_n(m) &= \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^r}{\lambda_0^r} (m + \kappa_0)^{r-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)(m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma(r + p)} Z_j\left(\frac{r}{2} + p, m, n\right) \\ &= \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^r}{\lambda_0^r \Gamma(r)} (m + \kappa_0)^{r-1} Z_m(r/2) + \frac{2i^{-r}(2\pi)^r}{\lambda_0^r} (m + \kappa_0)^{r-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{[-4\pi^2(n + \kappa_j)(m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_j \lambda_0]^p}{p! \Gamma(r + p)} \sum_{c>0} \frac{S_j(c, m, n)}{c^{r+2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 2 $S_j(c, m, n) = O(c)$, where the constant in O is only dependent on j and Γ , we see that

$$\sum_{c>0} \left| \frac{S_j(c, m, n)}{c^{r+2p}} \right| \leq C_1 \sum_{c>0} \frac{1}{c^{r+2p-1}} \leq C_2 < +\infty,$$

where $C_2 = C_1 \sum_{c>0} \frac{1}{c^{1+r}}$ is a constant (only dependent on j, Γ). Observing that $Z_m(r/2) = O(m)$, the first term in $\hat{P}_n(m)$ is $O(m^r)$. And for the second term we see that it

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq m^{r-1} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{[4\pi^2 |n + \kappa_j| (m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_0 \lambda_j]^p}{(p!)^2} \\ &\leq m^{r-1} \left[\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{4\pi^2 |n + \kappa_j| (m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_0 \lambda_j})^p}{p!} \right]^2 \\ &\leq m^{r-1} e^{4\pi \sqrt{|n + \kappa_j| (m + \kappa_0)/\lambda_0 \lambda_j}} \leq m^{r-1} e^{\gamma \sqrt{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = 4\pi \sqrt{|n + \kappa_j|/\lambda_j \lambda_0}$. So

$$\hat{P}_n(m) = O(m^{r-1} e^{\gamma \sqrt{m}}).$$

Lemma 7. Let $\{a_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be an infinite series satisfying the conditions $a_m = O(m^{r-1} \cdot e^{\gamma \sqrt{m}})$, where r, γ are any positive reals. Then the function defined by

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right)$$

is holomorphic in H , where κ_0, λ_0 are positive reals.

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$. Then

$$\left| a_m e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) \right| = |a_m| e^{-2\pi(m + \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0} \leq m^{r-1} e^{\gamma \sqrt{m}} e^{-2\pi(m + \kappa_0)y/\lambda_0}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, if $y \geq \epsilon$, then

$$\left| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) \right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^{r-1} e^{\gamma \sqrt{m}} e^{-2\pi(m + \kappa_0)\epsilon/\lambda_0} < +\infty,$$

which shows that $\sum a_m e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent in the domain $\bar{D}_\epsilon = \{z \in H \mid \text{Im}(z) \geq \epsilon\} \subset H$ and therefore $f(z)$ is holomorphic in H .

Lemma 7 implies that $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ are holomorphic on H for $j = 1, \dots, t$. And $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma) := P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_0)$ is meromorphic on H with pole at ∞ .

$P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ satisfies the following transformation formulae:

$$P_{nr}(Lz, s, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = \nu(L)(cz + d)^r |cz + d|^{-s} P_{nr}(z, s; \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j),$$

where $\text{Re}(s) > 2 - r$, $L \in \Gamma$. But $P_{nr}(z, s, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$ have an analytic continuation to the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$. Hence two ends of the above equation are holomorphic for variable s on the half-plane $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$. By identical principle of analytic functions the above equality holds for all s on $\text{Re}(s) > -\delta$. Especially these imply that

$$P_{nr}(Lz, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j) = \nu(L)(cz + d)^r P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma, \kappa_j)$$

for all $L = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, z \in H$.

We now compute the values of $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma)$ at cusp points. Using the similar method as above we have

$$P_{nr}(z, \nu', \Gamma', \kappa'_j) = (-z)^{-r} P_{nr}(A_j^{-1}z, \nu, \Gamma).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{nr}(p_j, \nu, \Gamma) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} P_{nr}(A_j^{-1}z, \nu, \Gamma) = \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} (-z)^r P_{nr}(z, \nu', \Gamma', \kappa'_j) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} (-z)^r \sum_{m+\kappa_0 > 0} \hat{p}'_n(m) e\left(\frac{m + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, t$.

We therefore obtain the following

Theorem 4. Suppose $r > 1$ and there are not non-trivial cusp forms with weight $2 - r$ and multiplier system $\bar{\nu}$ of group Γ . Then $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma)$ ($n < 0$) are meromorphically automorphic forms of group Γ with weight r and multiplier system ν with only pole at ∞ and the values of it at cusp points $p_k (\neq \infty)$ are zero.

Corollary 1. Suppose $r > 1$ and there are not non-trivial cusp forms with weight $2 - r$ and multiplier system $\bar{\nu}$ of group Γ . Then there exists an automorphic form with weight r and multiplier system ν of group Γ which has arbitrarily prescribed principal part at any given cusp point and holomorphic in H .

Proof. As in Theorem 4, $P_{nr}(z, \nu, \Gamma)$ has only pole term $2e\left(\frac{n + \kappa_0}{\lambda_0} z\right)$ at $z = \infty$ if $n < 0$. Similarly we can prove that there exists an automorphic form which has only pole term $2e\left(\frac{n + \kappa_j}{\lambda_j} A_j z\right)$ at $z = p_j$ if $n < 0$. On the other hand, we can obtain an automorphic form which is regular at all cusp points from each automorphic form by minus a linear combination of such Poincaré series. These complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 in ref. [1]. For the sake of convenience of readers, we give a sketch as follows. For the detail, please see ref. [1].

Now suppose $-2 < r < -1$ and $\{\varphi_\nu\}$ is a parabolic cocycle in P . We shall prove that there exists $\Phi^* \in P$ such that

$$\Phi^* \Big|_r M - \Phi^* = \varphi_M, M \in \Gamma,$$

which implies Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, assume that $\varphi_S = 0$ for $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ since $\varphi_0 \in P$.

Let Φ be the function just as in Theorem 3 of ref. [1]. Since $-2 < r < -1$ and $C^0(\Gamma,$

$-r-2, \nu) = 0$, we know that there exists $G \in \{\Gamma, r, \nu\}$ such that G is holomorphic in H and has the same principal part at each p_j as the principal part at p_j of the expansion of Φ by Corollary 1. Let $\Phi^* = \Phi - G$. Then

$$(I) \quad \Phi^* \Big|_V M - \Phi^* = \varphi_M, \quad M \in \Gamma;$$

(II) Φ^* is holomorphic in H ;

(III) Φ^* has an expansion at each p_j ($0 \leq j \leq t$) in which no negative power of the local parameter appears.

Condition (III) implies that there exist positive constants K, ρ, σ such that

$$|\Phi^*(z)| < K(|y|^\rho + y^{-\sigma}) \text{ for all } z \in \overline{\mathfrak{H}} \cap H, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathfrak{H} = \left\{ z \in H \mid |\operatorname{Re}(z)| < \lambda_0/2 \text{ and } |cz + d| > 1 \text{ for all } V = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma - \Gamma_\infty \right\}$

is the Ford fundamental domain of Γ . Put $f(z) = y^{-r/2} |\Phi^*(z)|$, $y = \operatorname{Im} z > 0$. Then by (I)

$$f(Vz) = y^{-r/2} |cz + d|^r |\Phi^*(Vz)| \leq f(z) + y^{-r/2} |\varphi_V(z)|. \quad (2)$$

Let $\mathfrak{S} = \bigcup_{V \in \Gamma/\Gamma_\infty} V(\overline{\mathfrak{H}}) \cap H$. Then

$$|\Phi^*(z)| < K(|y|^\alpha + y^{-\beta}) \text{ for all } z \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (3)$$

where α, β, K are positive constants independent of z . In fact, if $z \in \mathfrak{S}$, then $z = V\tau$ with $V \in \Gamma/\Gamma_\infty$ and $\tau \in \overline{\mathfrak{H}} \cap H$,

$$|\Phi^*(z)| = y^{r/2} f(z) = y^{r/2} f(V\tau) \leq y^{r/2} \{f(\tau) + t^{-r/2} |\varphi_V(z)|\} = y^{r/2} t^{-r/2} \{|\Phi^*(\tau)| + |\varphi_V(z)|\},$$

where $t = \operatorname{Im}(\tau)$. By (1) and Lemma 8 in ref. [2] we deduce inequality (3).

By the definition of fundamental domain it follows that for any $z \in H$, there exists an integer m such that $S^m z \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then

$$|\Phi^*(S^m z)| = |(\Phi^* | S^m)(z)| = |\Phi^*(z)|$$

since $\varphi_S = 0$. Therefore (3) implies that

$$|\Phi^*(z)| = |\Phi^*(S^m z)| < K(|y|^\alpha + y^{-\beta})$$

for $z \in H$ since $\operatorname{Im}(S^m z) = \operatorname{Im}(z) = y$ which shows that $\Phi^* \in P$ and hence Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 19871017).

References

- Knopp, M., Some new results on the Eichler cohomology of automorphic forms, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 1974, 80: 607.
- Lehner, J., *Discontinuous Groups and Automorphic Functions*, Math. Surveys, No. 8, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1964.
- Roelcke, W., Das Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene: I, *Math. Ann.*, 1966, 167: 292.
- Roelcke, W., Das Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene: II, *Math. Ann.*, 1967, 168: 261.
- Hejhal, D. A., The Trace Formula for $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H})$, Vol. 2, LNM, Vol. 1001, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- Selberg, A., On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms, in *Theory of Numbers*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 8, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1965, 1-15.