Table 5 Thermodynamic Properties of the Au-Mo Alloys Image: Second Sec

 $\begin{array}{l} G^{0}({\rm Mo},{\rm fcc})\,-\,G^{0}({\rm Mo},{\rm bcc})\,=\,(1190\,+\,3.6\,T)R\\ -(G^{0}({\rm Mo},{\rm bcc})\,-\,\Delta H^{0}_{298})/RT\,=\,1.933\,-\,3.30\,\times\,10^{-5}\,T\,+\\ 3.15\,\times\,10^{-8}\,T^{2}\,-\,1.068\\ \times\,10^{-11}\,T^{3}(\Delta H/R\,=\,4\,298\,\,{\rm K}\\ {\rm at\ the\ melting\ point,\ }\Delta H/R\,=\\ 5\,326\,\,{\rm K\ at\ 627\ ^{\circ}C})\\ G^{\rm ex}_{\rm Au}\,=\,11\,000RX^{2}_{\rm Au}\,({\rm infinitely\ dilute\ solute\ standard\ state\ of}\\ {\rm Mo\ in\ fcc\ Au})\\ G^{\rm ex}_{\rm Mo}\,=\,2450RX^{2}_{\rm Au}\,({\rm infinitely\ dilute\ solute\ standard\ state\ of} \end{array}$

 $G_{M_0} = 2450RA_{A_u}$ (infinitely dilute solute standard state of Au in liquid Mo)

From [80Bre]. Note: R is the gas constant 8.314 J/mol \cdot K.

parameters, but are reported as simplified or approximated by [80Bre]. These boundaries are accepted in Fig. 1 as a good representation of the equilibrium diagram. Further experimental studies on both thermodynamic properties and phase boundaries are needed for confirmation.

Cited References

- **24Dre:** Dreibholz, "Investigation of Binary and Ternary Molybdenum Alloys," Z. Phys. Chem., 108, 1-50 (1924) in German. (Equi Diagram; Experimental)
- ***53Gea:** G.A. Geach and E. Summers-Smith, "The Constitution of Gold-Molybdenum Alloys, with Particular Reference to the Solubility of Molybdenum in Gold," *J. Inst. Met.*, 82, 471-474 (1953). (Equi Diagram, Crys Structure; Experimental)
- *60Rau: E. Raub, "The Alloys of Gold with Chromium, Molybdenum, and Tungsten," Z. Metallkd., 51(5), 290-291 (1960) in German. (Equi Diagram; Experimental)
- 74Pil: G. Pilkington, G. Antwi-Boateng, and G. Meyrick, "Phase Transformations in Vapor-Quenched Molybdenum and Molybdenum Gold Alloys," U.S. Nat. Tech. Inform. Serv., AD Rep., No. 776970/6GA, 73 p (1974). (Meta Phases; Experimental)
- *80Bre: L. Brewer, Ed., Molybdenum: Physico-Chemical Properties of its Compounds and Alloys, Atomic Energy Reviews, Special Issue No. 7 (1980). (Equi Diagram, Thermo; Theory; #)

*Indicates key paper. #Indicates presence of a phase diagram.

Au-Mo evaluation contributed by **T.B. Massalski**, Department of Metallurgical Engineering and Materials Science, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, **H. Okamoto**, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, B77G, Berkeley, CA 94720, and **L. Brewer**, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720. Work was partly supported by the International Gold Corporation Limited (InterGold) and American Society for Metals (ASM). Literature searched through 1983. Part of the bibliographic search was provided by ASM. Professor Massalski is the ASM/NBS Data Program Editor-in-Chief for the Binary Program, and also Category Editor for binary gold alloys, jointly with Dr. Okamoto. Professor Brewer is the ASM/NBS Data Program Category Editor for binary molybdenum alloys.

The Au-Sr (Gold-Strontium) System

196.9665 87.62

By C.B. Alcock and V.P. Itkin University of Toronto

and

H. Okamoto* and T.B. Massalski Carnegie-Mellon University

Equilibrium Diagram

The assessed phase diagram for the Au-Sr system (Fig. 1) is essentially the same as that of [Elliott], which is based on the work of Feller-Kniepmeier and Heumann [60Fel]. The equilibrium phases are: (1) the liquid, L; (2) the terminal fcc solid solution, (Au), with very small solubility of Sr; (3) the terminal bcc solid solution, (β Sr)—designated (γ Sr) in [Pearson2]—with unknown solubility of Au; (4) the terminal fcc solid solution, (α Sr), with very small solubility of Au and stable below 547 °C; and (5) several intermetallic phases—Au₅Sr, Au₂Sr, AuSr₃, AuSr₉, (AuSr)—and phases denoted by [60Fel] as β and γ .

Alloys were prepared by [60Fel] in corundum crucibles in an argon atmosphere (up to 20 atm for high-Sr alloys) from 99.99% Au and Sr that was redistilled several times (Sr initially contained 1.2% Ca). The compositions of the alloys were determined by chemical analysis. The phase boundaries were studied mainly by thermal analysis. Other methods were also used—density measurements (for six alloys), specific resistance (<31 at.% Sr), microhardness (15 to 19.5 at.% Sr), microstructure observation, and X-ray analysis.

Special points in the assessed phase diagram are summarized in Table 1. Additional information on each phase follows.

(Au) Terminal Solid Solution. The melting point of pure Au is 1064.43 °C [Melt]. The liquidus from the position of the melting point of Au in the diagram of [60Fel] goes down to the eutectic point (890 °C and 7 at.% Sr) almost as a straight line with a slope of about -25 °C/at.%. Assuming immiscibility of Sr in (Au) and using 13 000 J/ mol for the heat of fusion of Au [83Cha], the initial slope of the liquidus is -11.7 °C/at.%.

^{*}Present address: Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, B77G, Berkeley, CA 94720.



Table 1	Special P	oints in	the Au-Sr	Phase Diagram
---------	-----------	----------	-----------	---------------

Reaction	Compositions of the respective phases, at.% Sr	e ———––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––	Temperature, °C	Reaction type
$I_{i} \rightleftharpoons (Au)$	0		1064.43(a)	Freezing
$L \rightleftharpoons (Au) + Au_{\pi}Sr.$ 7	0	15.7	890	Eutectic
$L \rightleftharpoons Au_5Sr$	16.7		1040	Congruent
$L \rightleftharpoons Au_5Sr + Au_9Sr \dots 22.5$	16.7	33.3	875	Eutectic
$L \rightleftharpoons Au_3Sr$	33.3		1085	Congruent
$L \rightleftharpoons Au_{2}Sr + (AuSr) \dots 44.0$	33.3	51.5	850	Eutectic
$L \rightleftharpoons (AuSr)$	51.5		970	Congruent
$L + (AuSr) \rightleftharpoons \beta \dots 69$	54	59	770	Peritectic
$L + \beta \rightleftharpoons \gamma$	60	63.5	750	Peritectic
$\beta \rightleftharpoons (AuSr) + \gamma$	53.5	61	725	Eutectoid
$L + \gamma \rightleftharpoons AuSr_2$	71.5	75	59 0	Peritectic
$L \rightleftharpoons AuSr_2 + AuSr_6 \dots 81.5$	75	90	520	Eutectic
$L + (\beta Sr) \rightleftharpoons AuSr_{\circ} \dots 87$	100	90	640	Peritectic
$L \rightleftharpoons (\beta Sr) \dots$	100		769(a)	Freezing
$(\beta Sr) \rightleftharpoons (\alpha Sr)$	100		547(b)	Allotropic
(a) From [Melt]. (b) From [83Cha].				

The considerable difference between the measured and estimated values indicates that the shape of the liquidus between Au and the eutectic point in the diagram of [60Fel] may be wrong. Therefore, this part of the diagram is shown by a dashed line in Fig. 1.

(AuSr), β , and γ Phases. [60Fel] reported a phase with approximately equiatomic composition, which was denoted α . [60Fel] stated that the results of thermal analysis for alloys with more than 55 at.% Sr were poor because of the smaller thermal effect. As a result, the Srrich portion of the phase diagram is more uncertain than the Au-rich side. Therefore, the phase boundaries of α , β ,

and γ were studied additionally by qualitative microanalysis and X-ray analysis.

Fornasini [85For] synthesized AuSr and studied it by single crystal and powder methods (Tables 2 and 3). Based on this information, the α phase has been renamed "(AuSr)", and its Au-rich boundary has been moved to the left to the more probable position shown by the dashed line.

 $L \rightleftharpoons AuSr_3 + AuSr_9$ Eutectic Reaction. The data point at ~82.5 at.% Sr and 560 °C is shown as hypoeutectic in the original phase diagram of [60Fel], which brings about a

Table 2 Au-Sr Crystal Structure Data

Phase	Homogeneity, at.% Sr	Pearson symbol	Space group	Strukturbericht designation	Prototype	Reference
(Au)	0	cF4	Fm3m	A1	Cu	[King1]
Au_5Sr	15.7 to 16.7	hP6	P6/mmm	$D2_d$	CaCu ₅	[60Fel]
Au_2Sr	33.3	oI12	Imma	•••	CeCu ₂	[70Bru. 82Bru]
(AuSr)	50 to 54	mP40	$P2_1/m$		(a) ²	[85For]
(βSr)		cI2	$Im\overline{3}m$	A2	W	[King2]
(αSr)	100	cF4	Fm3m	A1	Cu	[King1]

Table 3 Au-Sr Lattice Parameter Data

	Composition, at.% Sr	Lattice parameters, nm —				
Phase		a	b	c	Comment	Reference
(Au)	0	0.40784		•••	(a)	[King 1]
Au_5Sr	15.7 to 16.7	0.563		0.462		[60Fel]
Au ₂ Sr		0.4700	0.7489	0.8234		[70Bru]
(AuSr)	. 50 to 54	4.013	0.4697	0.6192	$\beta = 94.21^{\circ}$ (b)	[85For]
(βSr)	. 100	0.487			, (a)	[King2]
(αSr)	. 100	0.6084		•••	(a)	[King1]
(a) Lattice parameters as measured for the	pure elements.	(b) Lattice para	neters as measur	ed for the compo	sition 50 at.% Sr.	

thermodynamically improbable $L/[L + AuSr_9]$ liquidus form. Therefore, this point is regarded as hypereutectic in Fig. 1. Consequently, the $L \rightleftharpoons AuSr_3 + AuSr_9$ eutectic composition is estimated to be 81.5 at.% Sr, instead of ~83.5 at.% Sr in [60Fel].

(β Sr) Terminal Solid Solution. The melting point of β Sr and the β Sr $\rightleftharpoons \alpha$ Sr transition temperature are 769 °C [Melt] and 547 °C [83Cha], respectively. [60Fel] observed the melting point of their distilled Sr at 758 °C, which indicates the presence of impurities and/or supercooling during thermal measurements of this highly volatile metal. The initial slope of the liquidus is -2.8 °C/at.% according to the diagram of [60Fel], whereas a thermodynamic estimate is -12.1 °C/at.%, using 7431 J/mol [83Cha] for the heat of fusion of Sr. This difference in values of initial slope indicates some solubility of Au in (β Sr).

Crystal Structures and Lattice Parameters

Crystal structure and lattice parameter data of the Au-Sr system are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Thermodynamics

The initial slopes of the L/[L + (Au)] and $L/[L + (\beta Sr)]$ liquidus boundaries are discussed in the "Equilibrium Diagram" section. Butler and Alcock [85But] investigated the Au-Sr alloy containing 20 at.% Sr by Knudsen cell mass spectrometry. The ratio of the activity coefficients γ_{Sr}/γ_{Au} increases with temperature from 2.1 \times 10 $^{-5}$ at 1600 K to 6.6 \times 10 $^{-5}$ at 1700 K.

Cited References

- *60Fel: M. Feller-Kniepmeier and T. Heumann, "The Constitution Diagram Gold-Strontium," Z. Metallkd., 51, 404-408 (1960) in German. (Equi Diagram; Experimental; #)
- **70Bru:** G. Bruzzone, "Intermetallic Compounds MX_2 [M = Ca, Sr, Ba; X = Cu, Au, Cd, Hg, In, and Ge]," *Atti. Acad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis., Mat., Natur., 48*(2), 235-241 (1970) in Italian. (Crys Structure; Experimental)
- 82Bru: G. Bruzzone and F. Merlo, "Crystal Chemical Remarks on the Alloying Behavior of Calcium, Strontium, and Barium," J. Less-Common Met., 85, 285-306 (1982). (Crys Structure; Experimental)
- 83Cha: M.W. Chase, "Heats of Transformation of the Elements," Bull. Alloy Phase Diagrams, 4(1), 123-124 (1983). (Equi Diagram; Compilation)
- *85But: J. Butler and C.B. Alcock, private communication (1985).
- *85For: M.L. Fornasini, "New Alkaline Earth Equiatomic Phases: SrAu and BaAu," J. Solid State Chem., 59, 60-64 (1985). (Crys Structure; Experimental)

*Indicates key paper. #Indicates presence of a phase diagram.

Au-Sr evaluation contributed by C.B. Alcock and V.P. Itkin, Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A4, and by H. Okamoto, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, B77G, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA, and T.B. Massalski, Department of Metallurgical Engineering and Materials Science, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. This work was supported by a grant from the Canadian Phase Diagram Research Association, the International Gold Corporation Limited (InterGold), and American Society for Metals (ASM). Literature searched through 1985. Part of the bibliographic search was provided by ASM. Professor Alcock and Dr. Itkin are the ASM/NBS Data Program Co-Category Editors for binary alkaline earth alloys. Professor Massalski is the ASM/NBS Data Program Editor-in-Chief for the binary alloys and also is Category Editor for binary gold alloys, jointly with Dr. Okamoto.