

## INFLUENCE OF SOURCE OF POTASH ON YIELD, SPECIFIC GRAVITY, AND SURFACE RUSSETING OF THE RUSSET BURBANK VARIETY IN MAINE

H. J. MURPHY AND M. J. GOVEN<sup>1</sup>

The origin of the russet skin characteristic of the Russet Burbank potato variety undoubtedly is associated with many different growth factors. Artschwager (1) noted that any seasonal change in tuber growth that affected normal development of the cork cambium tissues also affected the degree of surface russeting on certain potato varieties.

Clark (2) and Stevenson (10) attributed the lack of uniform skin russeting on the russeted varieties in Maine to soil conditions and climatic factors particularly the lack of precipitation during early tuber development.

Mineral nutrition of the Russet Burbank has been reported also to affect russeting of the tubers. Mosher (5), Schoenemann (9), and Ohms (7), report that excess fertilizer nitrogen could reduce the skin russeting of the Russet Burbank presumably by causing some delay in normal tuber maturity. Painter (8), Metzger (4), and Harrington (3), have all indicated that phosphorus improved skin russeting but they do not agree on the effect of potash on the russeting characteristic of the tubers.

During the years of 1956-58, a study was conducted in Maine to determine if source of fertilizer potash could influence skin russeting of the Russet Burbank and thus improve the appearance of tubers packaged for fresh market consumption.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Russet Burbanks were grown in replicated field plots and fertilized with 150 pounds of nitrogen, 180 pounds of  $P_2O_5$  (78.5 lbs. P), and 200 pounds of  $K_2O$  (166 lbs. K) per acre. Chloride and sulfate forms were the sources of potash used. In all cases the fertilizer was placed in two bands about two inches to the side and slightly below the seedpiece level. Seedpieces were planted 16 inches apart in 34-inch rows. Cultural practices, such as cultivation, hilling, insect control, disease control, and vine killing were similar to commercial practices in the area.

At harvest time each plot was harvested and the tubers weighed for total yield. Tuber samples were selected at random from each plot for specific gravity and skin russeting determinations.

Shortly after harvest, specific gravity determinations were made by the air and water method. Tuber samples were also washed and classified for skin russeting. Tubers were separated into percentage categories based on surface area of tubers russeted. Later, to facilitate statistical analysis of the russeting data, a weighted russeting index was computed for each treatment.

<sup>1</sup>Accepted for publication January 29, 1965.

Associate Professor of Agronomy and Technical Assistant in Agronomy, University of Maine, Orono, Maine.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Data presented in Table 1 indicate that source of potash had no significant effect on yield of tubers of the Russet Burbank. There appears to be a numerical trend for the chloride source of potash to produce higher yields than the sulfate source of potash. The 3-year average of yields, however, indicates that source of potash has negligible effect on yield of tubers.

TABLE 1.—*Effect of source of potash on yield of the Russet Burbank in Maine.*

Source of potash	Yield (cwt./acre)			
	1956	1957	1958	Average
KCl .....	329	306	351	329
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> .....	326	295	346	322
L.S.D. (0.05) .....	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

Effect of potash source on the specific gravity of the Russet Burbank variety is shown in Table 2. These data indicate a trend for sulfate of potash to produce higher specific gravity tubers than potassium chloride.

TABLE 2.—*Effect of source of potash on specific gravity of the Russet Burbank in Maine.*

Source of potash	Specific gravity			
	1956	1957	1958	Average
KCl .....	1.078	1.081	1.068	1.076
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> .....	1.080	1.083	1.073	1.079
L.S.D. (0.05) .....	N.S.	N.S.	0.002	N.S.
(0.01) .....			0.001	

The 3-year average, however, indicates that there were no significant differences between the average specific gravities. The one and only significant difference between sources of potash and specific gravity occurring in 1958 is unexplainable unless soil moisture conditions, which were exceptionally high, affected potash nutrition of the potato plant.

The effect of source of potash on skin or surface russetting of the Russet Burbank is presented in Table 3. It is quite evident from the data presented that there was (i) a significant increase in surface russetting when the sulfate source of potash was used, and (ii) that the sulfate source of potash significantly improved the overall skin russetting index over the 3-year period of 1956-58.

TABLE 3.—*Effect of source of potash on surface russetting of the Russet Burbank in Maine.*

Year and source of potash	Per cent surface russetting				index	3 year average
	0-25	Russetting 26-50	51-75	75-100		
1956-58						
KCl						
1956 .....	58	38	4	....	29	
1957 .....	31	27	25	17	46	
1958 .....	58	23	11	8	34	36
L.S.D. (0.05) .....					4	
(0.01) .....					5	
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>						
1956 .....	17	17	55	11	52	
1957 .....	11	13	28	48	63	
1958 .....	21	25	21	33	46	54
L.S.D. (0.05) .....					3	4
(0.01) .....					4	5

## SUMMARY

Potassium sulfate and potassium chloride were compared as a source of potash for growing the Russet Burbank in Maine. Yields and specific gravities were not statistically different for the 3-year period of 1956-58 between sources of potash. Sulfate of potash improved the surface russetting of Russet Burbank tubers significantly when compared with potassium chloride. It appears from the data presented in this paper that for improvement of russetting on Russet Burbank tubers, sulfate of potash should be used as the source of potash in fertilizer used to grow the Russet Burbank in Maine.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Artschwager, E. 1924. Studies on the potato tuber. *J. Agr. Research* 27:809-835.
2. Clark, Charles F. 1933. Further studies of the origin of russetting in the potato. *Amer. Potato J.* 10:88-91.
3. Harrington, F. M. 1937. Phosphorous response on potatoes. *Montana Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull.* 334.
4. Metzger, C. H. 1938. Growing better potatoes in Colorado. *California Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull.* 446.
5. Mosher, P. N. 1962. Growing Russet Burbank in Maine. *Maine Agr. Ext. Ser. Circular* 366.
6. Murphy, H. J. and Goven, M. J. 1959. Potash and processing potatoes. *Maine Farm Res.* 7(1):3-8.
7. Ohms, R. E. 1962. Producing the Idaho potato. *Idaho Agr. Ext. Ser. Bull.* 367.
8. Painter, L. I. 1957. Fertilizer studies on Russet Burbank potatoes in southern Idaho. *Idaho Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull.* 281.
9. Schoenemann, J. 1954. How to grow Russet Burbanks in Wisconsin. *Wisconsin Agr. Ext. Ser. Circular* 472.
10. Stevenson, F. J. 1955. Early Gem: A new early russet skin, scab-resistant variety of potato adapted to the early potato-producing sections of North Dakota. *Amer. Potato J.* 32:79-85.