

A QUANTIFIED VERSION OF THE DIRICHLET-JORDAN TEST IN L^1 -NORM

F. MÓRICZ(*) - A. H. SIDDIQI

We introduce the notion of bounded variation in the sense of L^1 -norm for periodic functions and prove a version of the classical Dirichlet-Jordan test for the convergence of Fourier series in L^1 -norm. We also give an estimate of the rate of convergence.

1. Introduction.

The classical Dirichlet-Jordan test asserts that the Fourier series of a 2π -periodic function f of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$ converges at each point. Bojanic [1] gave a quantified version of this by estimating the rate of convergence.

It is well known that the Dirichlet-Jordan test may be generalized by weakening the requirement that f is of bounded variation. (See, e.g., [2,3,4].)

In this note, we prove a sufficient condition for the convergence of Fourier series in L^1 -norm. In particular, we consider functions that are of bounded variation in the sense of L^1 -norm and obtain a version of the Dirichlet-Jordan test for the convergence in L^1 -norm.

2. Definitions.

Let f be a real-valued 2π -periodic function, integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$ in Lebesgue's sense, in sign: $f \in L^1_{2\pi}$. We say that f is of

(*) This research was started while the first named author visited Aligarh Muslim University during the spring semester of 1993. The author acknowledges the kind hospitality received there as well as the support by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under Grant # 016 393.

bounded variation (on $[-\pi, \pi]$) in L^1 -norm if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_a^b |f(x + t_k) - f(x + t_{k-1})| dx \leq C$$

for all subdivisions $-\pi = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = \pi$, $n \geq 1$. The smallest such C is called the total variation of f in L^1 -norm, denoted by $V_1(f)$.

Set

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_x(t) := f(x + t) + f(x - t) - 2f(x).$$

Given a subinterval $I \subset [-\pi, \pi]$, define

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega(\phi, I) := \sup \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\phi_x(t) - \phi_x(t')| dx : t, t' \in I \right\}.$$

It is plain that

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega(\phi, I) \leq 2\omega_1(f, |I|),$$

where $|I|$ denotes the length of the interval I and

$$\omega_1(f, \delta) := \sup \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x + t) - f(x)| dx : |t| \leq \delta \right\}, \quad \delta > 0,$$

is the integral modulus of continuity of f .

We note that $\Omega(\phi, I)$ may be considered as a kind of local integral modulus of continuity of $\phi_x(t)$, or perhaps as a kind of oscillation of $\phi_x(t)$ in L^1 -norm restricted to the interval I in a certain sense. Later on, we shall see that this quantity taken over appropriate subintervals I_{kn} of $[-\pi, \pi]$ controls the rate of convergence of the Fourier series of f in L^1 -norm.

3. Results.

Denote by $s_n(f, x)$ the n th partial sum of the Fourier series of a function $f \in L^1_{2\pi}$. Set

$$(3.1) \quad I_{kn} := \left[\frac{k\pi}{n+1}, \frac{(k+1)\pi}{n+1} \right], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n \text{ and } n \geq 1.$$

Our main result gives a sufficient condition for the convergence of the Fourier series of f in L^1 -norm.

THEOREM *If $f \in L^1_{2\pi}$ and n is a positive integer, then*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |s_n(f, x) - f(x)| dx \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \Omega(\phi, I_{kn}),$$

where $\Omega(\phi, I)$ is defined in (2.1) and (2.2).

COROLLARY 1. (Dini-Lipschitz test in L^1 -norm). *If $f \in L^1_{2\pi}$ and $n \geq 1$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |s_n(f, x) - f(x)| dx \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \omega_1\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \ln(n+2),$$

where $\omega_1(f, \delta)$ is the integral modulus of continuity of f .

COROLLARY 2. (Dirichlet-Jordan test in L^1 -norm). *If f is of bounded variation in L^1 -norm, then*

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |s_n(f, x) - f(x)| dx = 0.$$

4. Proofs.

We start with the well-known representation formula

$$(4.1) \quad s_n(f, x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \phi_x(t) D_n(t) dt,$$

where $\phi_x(t)$ is defined in (2.1) and

$$D_n(t) := \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kt = \frac{\sin(n+1/2)t}{2 \sin t/2}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

is the Dirichlet kernel. We shall make use of the following inequality (see [1]):

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \int_x^{\pi} D_n(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{(n+1)x}, \quad 0 < x \leq \pi \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

Proof of the Theorem. The idea of the proof goes back to that of [2, Lemma 1]. Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed. Set

$$\theta_{kn} := \frac{k\pi}{n+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n+1.$$

Then $I_{kn} = [\theta_{kn}, \theta_{k+1,n}]$ (cf.(3.1)). By (4.1), we estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |s_n(f, x) - f(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{I_{0n}} |\phi_x(t) D_n(t)| dt dx \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{I_{kn}} |(\phi_x(t) - \phi_x(\theta_{kn})) D_n(t)| dt dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_x(\theta_{kn}) \int_{I_{kn}} D_n(t) dt \right| dx \\ &= A_n + B_n + C_n, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

say.

First, we use the obvious inequality

$$|D_n(t)| \leq n + 1/2$$

and the fact that $\phi_x(0) = 0$ in order to get

$$A_n \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_{0n}} |D_n(t)| dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\phi_x(t) - \phi_x(0)| dx \leq \Omega(\phi, I_{0n}). \tag{4.4}$$

Second, by the inequality

$$\sin t/2 \geq t/\pi, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{I_{kn}} |D_n(t)| dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\phi_x(t) - \phi_x(\theta_{kn})| dx \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \Omega(\phi, I_{kn}) \int_{I_{kn}} \frac{dt}{2t} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k} \Omega(\phi, I_{kn}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Third, to estimate C_n we set

$$R_{kn} := \int_{\theta_{kn}}^{\pi} D_n(t) dt, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1.$$

Clearly, $R_{n+1,n} = 0$, while by (4.2),

$$|R_{kn}| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

A summation by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_x(\theta_{kn}) \int_{I_{kn}} D_n(t) dt &= \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_x(\theta_{kn}) (R_{kn} - R_{k+1,n}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \{ \phi_x(\theta_{kn}) - \phi_x(\theta_{k-1,n}) \} R_{kn}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad C_n &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\phi_x(\theta_{kn}) - \phi_x(\theta_{k-1,n})| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \Omega(\phi, I_{k-1,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.3) - (4.6) yields (3.2).

Proof of Corollary 1. Inequality (3.3) is an obvious consequence of (3.2) and (2.3).

Proof of Corollary 2. As is well known, for any function $f \in L^1_{2\pi}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_1 \left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) = 0.$$

By (2.3), for a fixed $k_0 \geq 1$ we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \frac{1}{k+1} \Omega(\phi, I_{kn}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by definition,

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \Omega(\phi, I_{kn}) \leq \frac{2}{k_0+2} V_1(f).$$

The right-hand side here can be made as small as we want by choosing k_0 large enough. This completes the proof of (3.4).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bojanic R., *An estimate of the rate of convergence for Fourier series of functions of bounded variation*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S) **26**, No. **40** (1979), 57-60.
- [2] Bonjanic R., Waterman D., *On the rate of convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation*, Akad. Nauka Umjet. Bosne Hercegov. Rad. Odjelj. Prirod. Mat. Nauka **22** (1983), 5-11.
- [3] Salem R., *Essais sur les séries trigonométriques*, Actualité Sci. Ind. No. **862**, Paris, Herman, 1940.
- [4] Waterman D., *On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 107-117.

Pervenuto il 29 novembre 1993.

F. Móricz
 University of Szeged
 Bolyai Institute
 Aradi vértanúk tere
 Szeged 6720, UNGARY
 A.H. Siddiqi
 Aligarh Muslim University
 Department of Mathematics
 Aligarh 202002
 Uttar Pradesh, INDIA