

A BIVARIATE EXTENSION OF BLEIMANN-BUTZER-HAHN OPERATOR

Rasul A. Khan

(Cleveland State University, USA)

Received Feb. 9, 2002

Abstract

Let $C(R_+^2)$ be a class of continuous functions f on R_+^2 . A bivariate extension $L_n(f, x, y)$ of Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn operator is defined and its standard convergence properties are given. Moreover, a local analogue of Voronovskaja theorem is also given for a subclass of $C(R_+^2)$.

1 Introduction

For $f \in C[0, \infty)$, Bleimann-Butzer-Hahn (BBH) [5] introduced a Bernstein-type operator defined by

$$L_n(f, x) = (1+x)^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} f\left(\frac{j}{n-j+1}\right) x^j. \quad (1)$$

They studied several interesting convergence/uniform convergence properties of this operator provided f is bounded. Motivated by their work, several authors have further studied this operator on various facets of local and global properties. Jayasri and Sitarman ([6], [7]) further study its properties for largest possible class of functions. Adell, de la Cal and Miguel [2] have extended BBH operator to a bivariate operator $B_n(f, x, y)$ for a continuous function f on a subset of R_+^2 defined by $\{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, xy < 1\}$. In the spirit of BBH operator we introduce an analogue of $L_n(f, x)$ for continuous functions on $R_+^2 = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$, and its standard properties are discussed. To define the bivariate extension we use the following notations. Let

Let $\binom{n}{k, j} = \frac{n!}{k! j! (n-k-j)!}$ and $f_n(k, j) =$

$f\left(\frac{k}{n-k-j+1}, \frac{j}{n-k-j+1}\right)$, where $f(x, y) \in C(R_+^2)$. An extension of BBH operator is defined by

$$L_n(f, x, y) = (1 + x + y)^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} f_n(k, j) \binom{n}{k, j} x^k y^j. \tag{2}$$

The purpose of this note is to provide some standard convergence properties of $L_n(f, x, y)$ under suitable conditions on f . A subclass \mathcal{B} of $C(R_+^2)$ is defined which is a bivariate version of the class introduced in [6] and [7], and it is shown that $L_n(f, x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{B}$. An estimate of $|L_n(f, x, y) - f(x, y)|$ in terms of the modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)$ is given, and an analogue of Theorem 2 of [5] is also given by estimating $|L_n(f) - f|$ in terms of the second modulus of continuity $\omega_2(\delta)$ for functions defined on certain closed bounded subsets of R_+^2 . A local version of Voronovskaja type theorem is also obtained for the subclass \mathcal{B} . To simplify arguments and notations we make extensive use of probability theory, and the required notations and certain well-known facts are given in Section 2. Section 3 provides the main convergence results and a local version of the Voronovskaja type theorem is given in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

Let X_n be a Bernoulli random variable such that

$$P_{n,j}(x) = P(X_n = j) = \binom{n}{j} p^j q^{n-j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

where $p = \frac{x}{1+x}$ ($x > 0$) and $q = 1 - p = \frac{1}{1+x}$.

A sharp probability inequality which plays a crucial role throughout the paper is due to Alon and Spencer [3]. In fact, if $Y_n = \frac{X_n}{n}$ and $\delta > 0$, it follows from their well-known result (cf. [3], p. 236, corollary A. 7) that

$$P(|Y_n - p| \geq \delta) = \sum_{j: |j-np| \geq n\delta} P_{n,j}(x) \leq 2 \exp(-2n\delta^2). \tag{3}$$

Throughout the paper we write E for the expectation operator associated with a random variable or equivalently its distribution. Clearly, $L_n(f, x) = Ef(\xi_n)$ where $L_n(f, x)$ is defined by (1) and $\xi_n = \frac{X_n}{n - X_n + 1} = \frac{Y_n}{1 - Y_n + \frac{1}{n}}$. Moreover, it is well-known (cf. [5]) that

$$L_n(t, x) = E\xi_n = x - xp^n = x + O(n^{-2}), \tag{4}$$

and

$$L_n((t-x)^2, x) = e_n(x) = E(\xi_n - x)^2 = \frac{x(1+x)^2}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}). \quad (5)$$

Since the operator $L_n(f, x, y)$ defined by (2) is analogous to $L_n(f, x)$, in order to utilize probabilistic arguments we introduce a trinomial distribution as follows. Set $p_1 = x/(1+x+y)$, $p_2 = y/(1+x+y)$, and $p_3 = 1/(1+x+y)$ ($x, y > 0$), and let X_1 and X_2 be random variables such that

$$P_n(x_1, x_2) = P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = \binom{n}{x_1, x_2} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{n-x_1-x_2}, \quad (6)$$

where $x_1, x_2 = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ($x_1 + x_2 \leq n$), and $\binom{n}{x_1, x_2} = n! / x_1! x_2! (n-x_1-x_2)!$. Define

$$\xi_1 = \xi_1(n) = \frac{X_1}{n - X_1 - X_2 + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = \xi_2(n) = \frac{X_2}{n - X_1 - X_2 + 1}, \quad (7)$$

and note that the operator defined by (2) can be written as $L_n(f, x, y) = Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)$. The properties of this operator are based on the following quantities needed in the sequel:

$$\sigma_n^2(x, y) = E(\xi_1 - x)^2, \quad \tau_n^2(x, y) = E(\xi_2 - y)^2, \quad c_n(x, y) = E(\xi_1 - x)(\xi_2 - y). \quad (8)$$

These quantities are crucial and their properties will be discussed in the next section.

3 Convergence properties of $L_n(f, x, y)$

We frequently use the definitions ξ_1 and ξ_2 by (7) throughout the paper. The non-asymptotic properties of the preceding quantities related to ξ_1 and ξ_2 are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.

- (i) $E\xi_1 = x - x(p_1 + p_2)^n$, $E\xi_2 = y - y(p_1 + p_2)^n$,
- (ii) $\sigma_n^2(x, y) \leq \frac{(1+x+y)(9x^2+8x)}{n+1}$, $\tau_n^2(x, y) \leq \frac{(1+x+y)(9y^2+8y)}{n+1}$,
- (iii) $|c_n(x, y)| \leq \sigma_n(x, y)\tau_n(x, y) \leq \frac{(1+x+y)\sqrt{(9x^2+8x)(9y^2+8y)}}{n+1}$.

Proof. In what follows $B(m, p)$ denotes a binomial distribution with m trials and success probability p . For the joint distribution in (6) it is well-known that X_1 is $B(n, p_1)$ and X_2 is $B(n, p_2)$ so that $EX_1 = np_1$, $EX_2 = np_2$. Moreover, the conditional distribution of X_1 given $X_2 = x_2$ is $B(n-x_2, p_1/(1-p_2))$ and the conditional distribution of X_2 given $X_1 = x_1$ is $B(n-x_1, p_2/(1-p_1))$. Since $\frac{p_1}{1-p_2} = p = \frac{x}{1+x}$, it follows from (4) that

$$E(\xi_1 | X_2 = x_2) = x - xp^{n-x_2}.$$

Since X_2 is $B(n, p_2)$, we have

$$E p^{n-x_2} = \sum_{j=0}^n p^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} p_2^j (1-p_2)^{n-j} = (p_2 + p(1-p_2))^n = (p_1 + p_2)^n.$$

Hence

$$E \xi_1 = E(E(\xi_1 | X_2)) = x - x(p_1 + p_2)^n,$$

and a similar argument for $E \xi_2$ proves (i). As expected, $E \xi_1$ and $E \xi_2$ obviously converge to x and y as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To prove (ii) we proceed as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x^2(x, y) &= E(\xi_1 - x)^2 = E(\xi_1 - x)^2 I\{X_2 = n\} + E(\xi_1 - x)^2 I\{X_2 \leq n - 1\} \\ &= x^2 p_2^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} E((\xi_1 - x)^2 | X_2 = j) P(X_2 = j). \end{aligned}$$

Since the conditional distribution of X_1 given $X_2 = j$ is $B(n-j, p = \frac{x}{1+x})$, letting $q_2 = 1 - p_2$, it follows from Khan [9] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x^2(x, y) &\leq x^2 p_2^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{4x(1+x)^2}{(n-j)} \binom{n}{j} p_2^j (1-p_2)^{n-j} \\ &\leq x^2 p_2^n + 4nx(1+x)^2 q_2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \binom{n-1}{k} q_2^k p_2^{n-1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \binom{n-1}{k} q_2^k p_2^{n-1-k} &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(j+1)(j+2)} \binom{n-1}{j} q_2^j p_2^{n-1-j} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n(n+1)q_2^2} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} q_2^k p_2^{n+1-k} \leq \frac{2}{n(n+1)q_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sigma_x^2(x, y) \leq x^2 p_2^n + \frac{8x(1+x)^2}{(n+1)q_2}.$$

Since $p_2 = \frac{y}{1+x+y}$, $q_2 = \frac{1+x}{1+x+y}$, and $p_2^n \leq \frac{1+x+y}{n+1}$, we have

$$\sigma_x^2(x, y) \leq \frac{(1+x+y)x^2}{n+1} + \frac{8x(1+x)(1+x+y)}{n+1} = \frac{(1+x+y)(9x^2+8x)}{n+1}.$$

The inequality for $\tau_x^2(x, y)$ follows by symmetry and (iii) is obvious by Schwarz inequality.

Lemma 1 entails a Shisha-Mond type of estimate for $|L_n(f) - f|$ in terms of the modulus of continuity of f . Let $u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2)$, and $\|u\| = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}$. Then the mod-

ulus of continuity of f is defined by

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \omega(\delta) = \sup\{|f(u) - f(v)| : \|u - v\| < \delta\}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

An estimate of $|L_n(f, x, y) - f(x, y)|$ in terms of $\omega(f, \delta)$ is given by the following.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C(R_+^2)$, where $R_+^2 = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$. Then

$$|L_n(f, x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \left(1 + \sqrt{(1+x+y)(9(x^2+y^2)+8(x+y))}\right) \cdot \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = (x_1, y_1)$, $\beta = (x_2, y_2)$, and set $\lambda = \left[\frac{\|\alpha - \beta\|}{\delta}\right]$, where $[z]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq z$. Clearly,

$$|f(\alpha) - f(\beta)| \leq (\lambda + 1)\omega(\delta).$$

Let $X = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $t = (x, y)$, and $\lambda = \left[\frac{\|X - t\|}{\delta}\right]$ ($\delta > 0$), where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are defined by (7). By the preceding inequality we have

$$|f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(x, y)| \leq (1 + \lambda)\omega(f, \delta),$$

so that

$$|L_n(f, x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq E|f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(x, y)| \leq (1 + E\lambda)\omega(f, \delta). \quad (9)$$

Since $\lambda \leq \delta^{-1} \sqrt{(\xi_1 - x)^2 + (\xi_2 - y)^2}$, Jensen's inequality [10, p. 50] combined with Lemma 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} E\lambda &\leq \delta^{-1} \sqrt{E(\xi_1 - x)^2 + E(\xi_2 - y)^2} \\ &\leq \delta^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{(1+x+y)(9(x^2+y^2)+8(x+y))}{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows from (9) by choosing $\delta = (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Remark. Under suitable conditions $\omega(f, \delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and $L_n(f, x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$, and the convergence is uniform for every compact subset of R_+^2 . Of course, if $f(x, y)$ is bounded, the definition $L_n(f, x, y) = Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ and the bounded convergence theorem implies that $L_n(f, x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$.

It is interesting to note that an estimate in terms of the second modulus of continuity $\omega_2(f, \delta)$ can also be obtained in the present case. To this end, let C_B be a subclass of $C(R_+^2)$ where B is a closed bounded subset of R_+^2 with a certain cone property (cf. [8], pp. 122–123), and let $\|f\|$ be the usual sup-norm in C_B . Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$, $v = (v_1, v_2)$, $h = u - v$, and set $\Delta_1^2 = f(v+2h) - 2f(v+h) + f(v)$. Here u and v are in R_+^2 such that $v+2h \geq (0, 0)$, and let A be this set. Then $\omega_2(f, \delta)$ is defined by

$$\omega_2(f, \delta) = \sup\{|\Delta_h^2|; h = u - v, u, v \in A, \|h\| \leq \delta\}.$$

Moreover, let C_B^2 be a subclass of functions g in C_B having partial derivatives up to the second order. Let $\|g_d\|$ be the maximum of the sup-norms of $g_x, g_y, g_{xx}, g_{yy}, g_{xy}$ in C_B^2 . Set $h = \xi_1 - x, k = \xi_2 - y$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are defined by (7). Then for any $g \in C_B^2$, it is easily seen from the second order Taylor representation of $g(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ that

$$|L_n(g) - g| \leq \|g_d\| (|Eh| + |Ek| + \frac{1}{2}(Eh^2 + 2|Ehk| + Ek^2)).$$

Observe from Lemma 1 that $\frac{|Eh|}{x} = \frac{|Ek|}{y} = (p_1 + p_2)^n \leq \frac{(1+x+y)}{n+1}$. Moreover, setting $b(x, y) = (1+x+y)(9x^2 + 8x)$ it follows from above and Lemma 1 that

$$|L_n(g) - g| \leq \|g_d\| (\gamma(x, y)/(n + 1)),$$

where

$$\gamma(x, y) = (x + y)(1 + x + y) + \frac{b(x, y) + b(y, x)}{2} + \sqrt{b(x, y)b(y, x)}. \quad (10)$$

Consequently,

$$|L_n(f) - f| \leq 2\|f - g\| + \|g_d\| \frac{\gamma(x, y)}{n + 1},$$

and

$$|L_n(f) - f| \leq \inf_{g \in C_B^2} \{2\|f - g\| + \|g_d\| \frac{\gamma(x, y)}{n + 1}\}. \quad (11)$$

Then using (11) and the K -functional inequality in [4] (p. 360) one obtains the following analogue of Theorem 2 in [5].

Theorem 2. Let $f \in C_B$, and let $N(x, y)$ be the least integer $\geq \frac{1}{2}\gamma(x, y) - 1$, where $\gamma(x, y)$ is defined by (10). Then for $n \geq N(x, y)$ we have

$$|L_n(f) - f| \leq 2M \left[\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma(x, y)}{2(n + 1)}}) + \|f\| \frac{\gamma(x, y)}{2(n + 1)} \right],$$

where M is a constant.

Now we will show the convergence of $L_n(f, x, y)$ for a large class of functions which is analogous to the class \mathcal{F} introduced in [6] and [7]. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{f \in C(R_+^2); \text{ for each } A > 0 \text{ and each } B > 0, f(x, y) = O(1)\exp(Ax + By)\}.$$

The ensuing convergence of $L_n(f)$ requires the following localization lemma. In the lemma below and elsewhere I denotes the usual indicator (characteristic) function.

Lemma 2. Let $\psi(x, y)$ be a positive function in \mathcal{B} . Set $h = \xi_1 - x, k = \xi_2 - y$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are defined by (7), and let $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$. Then for some positive α independent of n ,

$$E\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2)I\{|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2\} = O(\exp(-an)).$$

Proof. Let

$$E = \{|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2\} = \{(i, j) : \left| \frac{i}{n-i-j+1} - x \right| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } \left| \frac{j}{n-i-j+1} - y \right| \geq \delta_2\}.$$

Since $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$, for large $n, n \geq n_0$, say, we have

$$E\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2)I\{|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2\} \leq O(1)\exp(An + Bn)P(E). \quad (12)$$

Set $Y_i = X_i/n (i=1, 2)$ (cf. (7)) and let $0 < \eta_2 < 1$. Clearly,

$$P(|h| \geq \delta_1) \leq P(|h| \geq \delta_1, |Y_2 - p_2| \leq \eta_2) + P(|Y_2 - p_2| > \eta_2). \quad (13)$$

Since $X_1 \sim B(n, p_1)$, it can be seen from the definition of ξ_1 that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for suitably large $n \geq n_0$ we obtain from (3) that

$$P(|h| \geq \delta_1, |Y_2 - p_2| \leq \eta_2) \leq P(|Y_1 - p_1| \geq \epsilon_1) \leq 2\exp(-2n\epsilon_1^2),$$

and

$$P(|Y_2 - p_2| > \eta_2) \leq 2\exp(-2n\eta_2^2).$$

Consequently, for $n \geq n_0$, (13) gives

$$P(|h| \geq \delta_1) \leq 2(\exp(-2n\epsilon_1^2) + \exp(-2n\eta_2^2)).$$

Similarly, for $0 < \eta_1 < 1$ and large $n \geq n_0$, there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$P(|k| \geq \delta_2) \leq 2(\exp(-2n\epsilon_2^2) + \exp(-2n\eta_1^2)).$$

Hence letting $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ we have

$$P(E) = P(|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2) \leq P(|h| \geq \delta_1) + P(|k| \geq \delta_2) \leq 8\exp(-2n\epsilon^2),$$

and the lemma follows from (12) by choosing $(A+B) < 2\epsilon^2$.

The preceding lemma implies the following convergence property of $L_n(f, x, y)$.

Theorem 3. $L_n(f, x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \forall f \in \mathcal{B}$ and for each $(x, y) \in R_+^2$.

Proof. Let $Q = f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(x, y)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose positive δ_1 and δ_2 such that $|Q| < \epsilon$ whenever $|h| < \delta_1$ and $|k| < \delta_2$, where h and k have been defined in Lemma 2. Clearly,

$$EQ = EQI\{|h| < \delta_1, |k| < \delta_2\} + EQI\{|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2\},$$

and

$$|EQ| \leq E|Q|I\{|h| < \delta_1, |k| < \delta_2\} + E|Q|I\{|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2\}.$$

Hence if $f \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$|L_n(f, x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \epsilon + O(1)\exp(An + Bn)P(|h| \geq \delta_1 \text{ or } |k| \geq \delta_2),$$

and since ϵ is arbitrary, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.

4 Voronovskaja theorem for $L_n(f, x, y)$

Before stating and proving the intended theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\sigma_n^2(x, y)$, $\tau_n^2(x, y)$ and $c_n(x, y)$ be defined by (8). Then

$$(i) \sigma_n^2(x, y) = \frac{x(1+x)(1+x+y)}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}),$$

$$(ii) \tau_n^2(x, y) = \frac{y(1+y)(1+x+y)}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}),$$

$$(iii) c_n(x, y) = \frac{xy(1+x+y)}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Proof. We first observe two simple facts. Let X have a binomial distribution $B(n, p)$ so that $Y = n - X$ is $B(n, q = 1 - p)$. Then

$$E \frac{1}{(n - X + 1)} = E \frac{1}{Y + 1} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{j + 1} \binom{n}{j} q^j p^{n-j} = \frac{1 - p^{n+1}}{(n + 1)q}. \tag{14}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \frac{1}{(n - X + 1)^2} &= E \frac{1}{(Y + 1)^2} \leq 2E \frac{1}{(Y + 1)(Y + 2)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{(j + 2)!(n - j)!} q^j p^{n-j} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{q^2(n + 1)(n + 2)} \sum_{k=2}^{n+2} \binom{n + 2}{k} q^k p^{n+2-k} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{q^2(n + 1)(n + 2)} = O(n^{-2}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

To prove (i) we recall (7) and that the conditional distribution of X_1 given $X_2 = j$ is $B(n - j, \frac{p_1}{1 - p_2} = \frac{x}{1 + x})$ while the marginal distribution of X_2 is $B(n, p_2 = \frac{y}{1 + x + y})$ with $q_2 = 1 - p_2 = \frac{1 + x}{1 + x + y}$. Hence it follows from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2(x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^n E((\xi_1 - x)^2 | X_2 = j) P(X_2 = j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{x(1 + x)^2}{(n - j + 1)} P(X_2 = j) + \sum_{j=0}^n O((n - j + 1)^{-2}) P(X_2 = j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (14) and (15) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2(x, y) &= \frac{x(1 + x)^2}{(n + 1)q_2} (1 - p_2^{n+2}) + O(n^{-2}) \\ &= \frac{x(1 + x)(1 + x + y)}{n + 1} + O(n^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, (ii) is obvious by symmetry. To see (iii), note that $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{n - X_1 - X_2 + 1}$ and $X_1 + X_2$ is $B(n, p = p_1 + p_2 = \frac{x+y}{1+x+y})$. Hence using (5) again we obtain that

$$E(\xi_1 + \xi_2 - x - y)^2 = \frac{(x+y)(1+x+y)^2}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Since

$$E(\xi_1 + \xi_2 - x - y)^2 = \sigma_n^2(x, y) + \tau_n^2(x, y) + 2c_n(x, y),$$

hence it follows from (i), (ii) and above that

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_n(x, y) &= \frac{(x+y)(1+x+y)^2 - x(1+x)(1+x+y) - y(1+y)(1+x+y)}{n+1} \\ &\quad + O(n^{-2}) \\ &= \frac{2xy(1+x+y)}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.

We can now prove a local version of Voronovskaja theorem. In what follows $f_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ at (x, y) , etc., and $N = \{(\alpha, \beta) : |\alpha - x| < \delta_1, |\beta - y| < \delta_2\}$ denotes a neighborhood of (x, y) .

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and suppose that the first two partial derivatives of f exist and are continuous in N . Let $L_n(f, x, y)$ be defined by (2). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(L_n(f, x, y) - f(x, y)) &= \frac{(1+x+y)}{2} [x(1+x)f_{xx} \\ &\quad + 2xyf_{xy} + y(1+y)f_{yy}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $u = s - x, v = t - y$, and set

$$P(s, t) = f(x, y) + uf_x + vf_y + \frac{1}{2}(u^2f_{xx} + 2uvf_{xy} + v^2f_{yy}).$$

Now consider the Taylor expansion of $f(s, t)$ in N as given by

$$f(s, t) = P(s, t) + R(s, t),$$

where $R(s, t)$ denotes the remainder. Letting $0 < \theta < 1$, $R(s, t)$ can be written as

$$R(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(u^2Q_{xx}(s, t) + 2uvQ_{xy}(s, t) + v^2Q_{yy}(s, t)),$$

where

$$Q_{xx}(s, t) = f_{xx}(x + \theta u, y + \theta v) - f_{xx}(x, y),$$

$$Q_{xy}(s, t) = f_{xy}(x + \theta u, y + \theta v) - f_{xy}(x, y),$$

$$Q_{yy}(s, t) = f_{yy}(x + \theta u, y + \theta v) - f_{yy}(x, y).$$

Recalling that $h = \xi_1 - x$ and $k = \xi_2 - y$, define $\omega = \{ |h| < \delta_1, |k| < \delta_2 \}$. Clearly, by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(f, x, y) &= Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) + Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\bar{\omega}) \\ &= Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) + o(n^{-2}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) &= EP(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) + ER(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) \\ &= EP(\xi_1, \xi_2) - EP(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\bar{\omega}) + ER(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since $P(s, t) \in \mathcal{B}$, using Lemma 2 again we have

$$EP(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\bar{\omega}) = o(n^{-2}). \tag{18}$$

Moreover, since

$$EP(\xi_1, \xi_2) = f(x, y) + f_x Eh + f_y Ek + \frac{1}{2}(f_{xx} Eh^2 + 2f_{xy} Ehk + f_{yy} Ek^2),$$

it follows from Lemma 1 (i) and Lemma 3 that

$$EP(\xi_1, \xi_2) = f(x, y) + \frac{(1+x+y)}{2(n+1)}\varphi(x, y) + O(n^{-2}),$$

where $\varphi(x, y) = x(1+x)f_{xx} + 2xyf_{xy} + y(1+y)f_{yy}$.

This combined with (17) and (18) gives

$$Ef(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) = f(x, y) + \frac{(1+x+y)}{2(n+1)}\varphi(x, y) + O(n^{-2}) + ER(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega). \tag{19}$$

Now we will show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nER(\xi_1, \xi_2)I(\omega) = 0. \tag{20}$$

But this is tantamount to showing that $nEh^2|Q_{xx}(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \rightarrow 0$, $nEk^2|Q_{yy}(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \rightarrow 0$, and $n|EhkQ_{xy}(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $h = \xi_1(n) - x \rightarrow 0$ a. s. , and $k = \xi_2(n) - y \rightarrow 0$ a. s. (as $n \rightarrow \infty$), choose n sufficiently large ($n \geq n_0$, say) such that for $\epsilon > 0$, $|Q_{xx}(\xi_1, \xi_2)| < \epsilon$. Thus for $n \geq n_0$, by Lemma 3 we have

$$Eh^2|Q_{xx}(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \leq \epsilon Eh^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon x(1+x)(1+x+y)}{n+1} + O(n^{-2}).$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, the desired conclusion follows. Similar arguments apply to the other two limits, and (20) holds. Hence the theorem follows from (16), (19) and (20).

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee and Prof. P.L. Butzer for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] Abel, U. , -On the Asymptotic Approximation with Bivariate Operators of Bleimann, Butzer, and Hahn, J. Approx. Theory, 97(1999), 181–198.
- [2] Adell, J. A. , de la Cal, J. and Miguel, M. S. , On the Property of Monotonic convergence for Multivariate Bernstein-Type Operators, J. Approx. Theory, 80(1995), 132–137.
- [3] Alon, N. and Spencer J. H. The Probabilistic Method, Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [4] Berens, H. and DeVore, R. , Quantitative Korovkin Theorems for Positive Linear Operators on L_p -Spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. , 245(1978), 349–361.
- [5] Bleimann, G. , Butzer, P. L. and Hahn, L. , A Bernstein-Type Operator Approximating Continuous Functions, Indag. Math. , 42(1980), 255–262.
- [6] Jayasri C. and Sitaraman, Y. , On a Bernstein-Type Operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn-I , J. Analysis, 1(1993), 125–137.
- [7] Jayasri, C. and Sitaraman, Y. , On a Bernstein-Type Operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn, J. Computational and Appl. Math. , 47(1993), 267–272.
- [8] Johnen, H. and Scherer, K. , On the Equivalence of the K -Functional and Moduli of Continuity and Some Applications, in Constructive Theory of Functions of Several Variables, (W. Schempp and K. Zeller, Eds.) pp. 119 – 140, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 571, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [9] Khan, R. A. , A Note on a Bernstein-Type Operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn, J. Approx. Theory 53(1988), 295–303.
- [10] Lehmann, E. L. , Theory of Point Estimation, Wadsworth, California, 1991.

Department of Mathematics,
Cleveland state University
1860 East 22nd Street, RT1515
Cleveland, Ohio 44114–4435
USA
e-mail:khan@math.csuohio.edu