

A MAXIMAL FUNCTION CHARACTERIZATION OF HARDY SPACES ON SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE*

Li Wenming

(Zhongshan University, China)

Received June. 30, 1996

Revised Nov. 14, 1996

Abstract

A new maximal function is introduced in the dual spaces of test function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type. Using this maximal function, we get new characterization of atomic H^p spaces.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a new maximal function characterization for H^p spaces defined on spaces of homogeneous type. With this aim, we first define a Hardy-type spaces \tilde{H}_p in the dual space of the test function spaces $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$. Then we prove that every element in \tilde{H}_p have a decomposition in series of p -atoms, conversely, each distribution on $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ which can be denoted by a series of p -atoms with coefficients satisfy some conditions belongs to \tilde{H}_p . Finally, we show that the atom H^p spaces, as defined in^[1], can be identified with \tilde{H}_p . The results in this paper are generalization of theory of Macia and Segovia^[4].

We begin by recalling spaces of homogeneous type. Let X be a set. A quasi-metric d on X is a function $d(x, y): X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying:

(1. 1. i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

(1. 1. ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,

(1. 1. iii) There exists a constant $A < \infty$ such that for all x, y and z in X ,

$$d(x, y) \leq A[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]. \quad (1. 2)$$

Any quasi-metric defines a topology, for which the balls $B(x, r) = \{y \in X: d(y, x) < r\}$ form a base. However, the balls themselves need not to be open when $A > 1$.

* This work is supported by NSF.

Definition 1.3^[1] A space of homogeneous type (X, d, μ) is a set X together with a quasi-metric d and a nonnegative measure μ on X such that $\mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$, and all $r > 0$, and there exists a constant $A' < \infty$ such that for all $x \in X$ and all $r > 0$

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq A' \mu(B(x, r)). \tag{1.4}$$

In [3] Macia and Segovia have shown that one can replace d by another quasi-metric ρ such that there exist $c > 0$ and some $\theta, 0 < \theta < 1$ satisfying

$$\rho(x, y) \sim \inf\{\mu(B); B \text{ is a ball containing } x \text{ and } y\} \tag{1.5}$$

$$|\rho(x, y) - \rho(x', y)| \leq C_\rho(x, x')' [\rho(x, y) + \rho(x', y)]^{1-\theta}, \quad \text{for all } x, x' \text{ and } y \in X. \tag{1.6}$$

In this paper we assume that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\mu(X) = +\infty$. For the case $\mu(X) < \infty$, see the Remark (5.11) below.

Now we introduce a class of test functions on X .

Definition 1.7 Fix two exponents $0 < \beta < \theta$, see [2], and $\gamma > 0$. A function ψ defined on X is said to be a test function of type (x_0, d, β, γ) , $x_0 \in X$ and $d > 0$, if ψ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad |\psi(x)| \leq C \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x, x_0))^{1+\gamma}};$$

$$(ii) \quad |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq C \left(\frac{\rho(x, y)}{d + \rho(x, x_0)} \right)^\beta \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x, x_0))^{1+\gamma}},$$

$$\text{for } \rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2A} [d + \rho(x, x_0)].$$

If ψ is a test function of type (x_0, d, β, γ) we write $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x_0, d, \beta, \gamma)$ and the norm of ψ in $\mathcal{M}(x_0, d, \beta, \gamma)$ is defined by

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x_0, d, \beta, \gamma)} = \inf\{C: (i) \text{ and } (ii) \text{ hold}\}.$$

For fixed $x_0 \in X$, we denote $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{M}(x_0, 1, \beta, \gamma)$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm in $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$. The dual space $(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ consists of all linear function l from $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ to \mathcal{E} with the property that there exists a finite constant C such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$, $|l(\psi)| \leq C \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)}$. We denote the natural pairing of elements $f \in (\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ by $\langle f, \psi \rangle$. It is also easy to see that $\mathcal{M}(x_1, d, \beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ with equivalent norms for $x_1 \in X$ and $d > 0$. Thus, $\langle f, \psi \rangle$ is well defined for all $f \in (\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$ with $x \in X$ and $d > 0$.

For the convenience, sometime, we call a linear functional on $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ to be a distribution. Given a function $f(x)$ in $L^q(X, d\mu)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, clearly,

$$\langle f, \psi \rangle = \int f(x)\psi(x)d\mu(x)$$

defines a linear functional on $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$, we shall say that f is a distribution induced by the function $f(x)$.

$$\text{Denote } \mathcal{M}_0(x, d, \beta, \gamma) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma): \int \psi(z)d\mu(z) = 0\}.$$

For $f \in (\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$, $0 < \beta < \theta, \gamma > 0$, we define the maximal function $f^*(x)$ of f as

$f^*(x) = \sup\{|\langle f, \psi \rangle|; \text{for some } d > 0, \psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma) \text{ and } \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1\}$.

For $(1+\beta)^{-1} < p < \infty$, we define the maximal function spaces \tilde{H}_p as

$$\tilde{H}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)'; f^* \in L^p(X)\}.$$

If $f \in \tilde{H}_p$, we define $\|f\|_{\tilde{H}_p} = \|f^*\|_p$.

2 The completeness of \tilde{H}_p

Obviously, $(\tilde{H}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{H}_p})$ is a quasi-metric space for $(1+\beta)^{-1} < p < 1$, and metric space for $1 \leq p < \infty$. In the following, we will show that \tilde{H}_p with the metric $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{H}_p}$ is complete, so $(\tilde{H}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{H}_p})$ is a Banach space when $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Theorem 2.1 $(\tilde{H}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{H}_p})$ is complete, i. e., for any Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \tilde{H}_p , there exists f in \tilde{H}_p such that

- (i) $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in $(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$,
- (ii) $\|f_n - f\|_{\tilde{H}_p} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to prove the Theorem (2.1), we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2 There exists $d_0 > 0, M \geq 1$, such that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x_0, 1, \beta, \gamma)$, $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x_0, 1, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$, and any $y_0 \in B(x_0, d_0)$, we have $\frac{\psi}{M} \in \mathcal{M}(y_0, 1, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof Take $d_0 = (2C)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$, where C and θ are constants in (1.6). Let $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in X; \rho(x_0, x) \leq 1, \rho(y_0, x) \leq 1\}$. When $x \in \mathcal{A}$, we have that

$$|\rho(x_0, x) - \rho(y_0, x)| \leq C_\rho(x_0, y_0)^\theta [\rho(x_0, x) + \rho(y_0, x)]^{1-\theta} \leq 2^{-\theta},$$

so,

$$\rho(y_0, x) \leq \rho(x_0, x) + 2^{-\theta}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(x_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} &\leq \frac{1}{((1 - 2^{-\theta}) + \rho(y_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - 2^{-\theta})^{1+\gamma}} \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(x_0, x))^{1+\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $x \notin \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho(x_0, x) - \rho(y_0, x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\rho(x_0, x) + \rho(y_0, x)]^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\rho(x_0, x) + \rho(y_0, x)], \frac{1}{2} \rho(y_0, x) \leq \frac{3}{2} \rho(x_0, x), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \rho(x_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} \leq \frac{9}{(1 + \rho(y_0, x))^{1+\gamma}}.$$

We take $M_1 = \max(\frac{1}{(1 - 2^{-\theta})^{1+\gamma}}, 9)$, then for any $y_0 \in B(x_0, d_0)$ and any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x_0, 1, \beta, \gamma)$,

$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x_0, 1, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$, we have

$$|\psi(x)| \leq \frac{M_1}{(1 + \rho(y_0, x))^{1+\gamma}}$$

For any x any y in X , $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2A}[1 + \rho(y_0, x)]$. If $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2A}[1 + \rho(x_0, x)]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| &\leq \left(\frac{\rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(x_0, x)}\right)^\beta \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(x_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} \\ &\leq M_1^{2+\gamma} \left(\frac{\rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(y_0, x)}\right)^\beta \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(y_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

If $\rho(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{2A}[1 + \rho(x_0, x)]$, then $\rho(x_0, x) \leq \rho(y_0, x)$, and $\rho(y_0, x) \leq A[\rho(y_0, y) + \rho(y, x)] \leq A_p(y_0, y) + \frac{1}{2}[1 + \rho(y_0, x)]$, so $\frac{1}{2}[1 + \rho(y_0, x)] \leq A[\rho(y_0, y) + 1]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| &\leq |\psi(x)| + |\psi(y)| \\ &\leq M_1 \left(\frac{1}{(1 + \rho(y_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} + \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(y_0, y))^{1+\gamma}} \right) \\ &\leq 2AM_1(M_1 + (2A)^{1+\gamma}M_1) \left(\frac{\rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(y_0, x)}\right)^\beta \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(y_0, x))^{1+\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = 2AM_1(M_1 + (2A)^{1+\gamma}M_1)$, then the result of Lemma is true. We complete the proof of Lemma (2. 2).

Now, we prove Theorem 2. 1. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$, $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$, and any $x \in B(x_0, d_0)$, by Lemma (2. 2),

$$|\langle f_n - f_m, \psi \rangle| \leq M |\langle f_n - f_m, \frac{\psi}{M} \rangle| \leq M(f_n - f_m)^*(x).$$

Then

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'} = \sup_{\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)} \leq 1} |\langle f_n - f_m, \psi \rangle| \leq M(f_n - f_m)^*(x),$$

for $x \in B(x_0, d_0)$. Taking the p -power and integrating on $B(x_0, d_0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_m\|_{(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'} &\leq M \left((\mu(B(x_0, d_0)))^{-1} \int_{B(x_0, d_0)} (f_n - f_m)^*(x)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M \mu(B(x_0, d_0))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f_n - f_m\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$, therefore, there exists $f \in (\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ such that f is the limit of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. This proves (i). The proof of (ii) is the same as in [4] and omitted here. We complete the proof of Theorem 2. 2.

3 Calderon-Zygmund type lemma

Lemma 3. 1 (covering lemma^[4]) *Let Ω be an open set of finite measure strictly contained in X and $d(x) = \inf\{\rho(x, y) : y \in \Omega\}$. Given $C \geq 1$, let $r(x) = (2AC)^{-1}d(x)$. Then there exists a natural number M , which depends on C , and a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that, denoting $r(x_n)$ by r_n , we have*

- (3. 2. i) the balls $B(x_n, (4A)^{-1}r_n)$ are pairwise disjoint,
- (3. 2. ii) $\bigcup_n B(x_n, r_n) = \Omega$,
- (3. 2. iii) for every n , $B(x_n, Cr_n) \subset \Omega$,
- (3. 2. iv) for every n , $x \in B(x_n, Cr_n)$ implies that $Cr_n \leq d(x) \leq 3A^2Cr_n$,
- (3. 2. v) for every n , there exists $y_n \notin \Omega$ such that $\rho(x_n, y_n) < 3ACr_n$,
- (3. 2. vi) for every n , the number of balls $B(x_k, Cr_k)$ whose intersections with $B(x_n, Cr_n)$ are non-empty is at most M .

Lemma 3. 3 (partition of the unity) Let Ω be an open set of finite measure strictly contained in X . Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ given by Lemma 3. 1 for $C=5A$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ of non-negative functions satisfying

- (3. 4. i) $\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset B(x_n, 2r_n)$,
- (3. 4. ii) $\varphi_n(x) \geq \frac{1}{M}$, for $x \in B(x_n, r_n)$,
- (3. 4. iii) there exists C such that for every n , $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{M}(x_n, r_n, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\|\varphi_n\| \leq Cr_n$,
- (3. 4. iv) $\sum_n \varphi_n(x) = \chi_\Omega(x)$.

Proof Let $\eta(s)$ be an infinitely differentiable function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $0 \leq \eta(s) \leq 1$, $\eta(s) = 1$ if $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 2$. For every n , we define

$$\psi_n(x) = \eta\left(\frac{\rho(x, x_n)}{r_n}\right).$$

These functions ψ_n are non-negative, with $\text{supp } \psi_n \subset B(x_n, 2r_n)$ and by (3. 2. ii) and (3. 2. vi), satisfy

$$1 \leq \sum_n \psi_n(x) \leq M, \text{ for every } x \in \Omega.$$

It is easy to prove that $\psi_n \in \mathcal{M}(x_n, r_n, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\|\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{M}(x_n, r_n, \beta, \gamma)} \leq Cr_n$ where C is independent of n .

We define $\varphi_n(x)$ by $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \Omega$ and $\varphi_n(x) = \psi_n(x) / \sum_n \psi_n(x)$ if $x \in \Omega$. Then $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ satisfies Lemma 3. 3.

Lemma 3. 5 Let $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ be the partition of unity in Lemma 3. 3 associated to some open set Ω , then for every n , the linear mapping

$$S_n(\psi)(x) = \varphi_n(x) \left[\int \varphi_n(z) d\mu(z) \right]^{-1} \int (\psi(x) - \psi(z)) \varphi_n(z) d\mu(z)$$

is continuous from $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ to $\mathcal{M}_0(\beta, \gamma)$.

Proof Considering that $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma) = \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$ with the equivalent norms for all $x \in X$ and $d > 0$, we can easily prove the Lemma for $\mathcal{M}(x_n, r_n, \beta, \gamma)$. The details are omitted.

Lemma 3. 6^[4] Let $0 < \beta, 1 < q(1 + \beta)$ and M a positive integer. There exists a constant $C_{\beta, q, M}$ such that given any sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ and any sequence of positive number $\{r_n\}$, satisfying the condition that no point in X belongs to more than M balls $B(x_n, r_n)$, then

$$\int \left[\sum_n \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, z)} \right)^{1+\beta} \right]^q d\mu(z) \leq C_{\beta, q, M} \mu(U_n B(x_n, r_n)).$$

Lemma 3.7 (Calderon-Zygmund type Lemma) Suppose that $f \in \tilde{H}_p, (1 + \beta)^{-1} < p < \infty$. Let $t > 0$ and $\Omega = \{x \in X; f^*(x) > t\}$. This set is open set and $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Let $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ be the partition of the unity in Lemma 3.3 associated to Ω and let $\{S_n\}$ be the linear transformations defined in Lemma 3.5. If we define the distribution b_n by

$$\langle b_n, \psi \rangle = \langle f, S_n(\psi) \rangle, \text{ for } \psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma), \tag{3.8}$$

then

$$b_n^*(x) \leq Ct \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} \chi_{B^c(x_n, 4Ar_n)}(x) + Cf^*(x) \chi_{B(x_n, 4Ar_n)}(x), \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\int b_n^*(x)^p d\mu(x) \leq C \int_{B(x_n, 4Ar_n)} f^*(x)^p d\mu(x). \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, the series $\sum_n b_n$ converges in $(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ to a distribution b satisfying

$$b^*(x) \leq Ct \sum_n \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} + cf^*(x) \chi_\Omega(x), \tag{3.11}$$

$$\int b^*(x)^p d\mu(x) \leq C \int_\Omega f^*(x)^p d\mu(x). \tag{3.12}$$

The distribution $g = f - b$ satisfies

$$g^*(x) \leq Ct \sum_n \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} + Cf^*(x) \chi_{\Omega^c}(x). \tag{3.13}$$

Proof First, we prove (3.9). Let $x \notin B(x_n, 4Ar_n)$. We shall show that there exists a constant C independent of n such that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma), \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$, we have $S_n(\psi) \in \mathcal{M}(y_n, r_n, \beta, \gamma)$ and

$$\|S_n(\psi)\|_{\mathcal{M}(y_n, r_n, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta}, \tag{3.14}$$

where y_n is the point in Ω given by (3.2. v).

From $\text{supp } S_n(\psi) \subset B(x_n, 2r_n)$, we can assume $z \in B(x_n, 2r_n)$. Then it follows that

$\rho(z, x_n) \leq 2r_n \leq \frac{1}{2A} \rho(x_n, x) \leq \frac{1}{2A} [d + \rho(x_n, x)]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(\psi)(z)| &\leq \varphi_n(z) |\psi(z) - \psi(x_n)| \\ &\quad + \varphi_n(z) \left(\int \varphi_n(z) d\mu(z) \right)^{-1} \int |\psi(x_n) - \psi(z)| \varphi_n(z) d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\rho(z, x_n)}{d + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^\beta \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\gamma}} + C \frac{r_n^\beta}{(d + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\gamma}} \\ &\leq C \frac{r_n^\beta}{\rho(x_n, x)^{1+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $z, z' \in X, \rho(z, z') \leq \frac{1}{2A} [r_n + \rho(y_n, z)]$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $z \in B(x_n, 2r_n)$.

$$|S_n(\psi)(z) - S_n(\psi)(z')| \leq \varphi_n(z') |\psi(z) - \psi(z')|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + |\varphi_n(z) - \varphi_n(z')| \left(\int \varphi_n(y) d\mu(y) \right)^{-1} \int |\psi(z) - \psi(y)| \varphi_n(y) d\mu(y) \\
 & = I + II.
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $r_n + \rho(x_n, x) \leq r_n + A[\rho(x_n, z) + \rho(x, z)] \leq C\rho(x, z)$, we have

$$I \leq \left(\frac{\rho(z, z')}{d + \rho(x, z)} \right)^\beta \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x, z))^{1+\gamma}} \leq C \frac{\rho(z, z')^\beta}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\beta}}.$$

If $\rho(z, z') \leq \frac{1}{2A}[r_n + \rho(x_n, z)]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 II & \leq Cr_n \left(\frac{\rho(z, z')}{r_n + \rho(x_n, z)} \right)^\beta \frac{r_n^\gamma}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, z))^{1+\gamma}} \frac{r_n^\beta}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\beta}} \\
 & \leq C \frac{\rho(z, z')^\beta}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\beta}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\rho(z, z') > \frac{1}{2A}[r_n + \rho(x_n, z)] \geq \frac{r_n}{2A}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 II & \leq Cr_n \left(\frac{r_n^\gamma}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, z))^{1+\gamma}} + \frac{r_n^\gamma}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, z'))^{1+\gamma}} \right) \frac{r_n^\beta}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\beta}} \\
 & \leq C \frac{\rho(z, z')^\beta}{(r_n + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\beta}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For every n , by (3.2.v), $y_n \in B(x_n, 15A^2r_n)$, then $\rho(y_n, z) \leq A[\rho(x_n, y_n) + \rho(x_n, z)] \leq 17A^3r_n$. We have

$$|S_n(\psi)(z)| \leq C \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} \frac{r_n^\gamma}{(r_n + \rho(y_n, z))^{1+\gamma}},$$

and when $\rho(z, z') \leq \frac{1}{2A}[r_n + \rho(y_n, z)]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |S_n(\psi)(z) - S_n(\psi)(z')| \\
 & \leq c \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} \left(\frac{\rho(z, z')}{r_n + \rho(y_n, z)} \right)^\beta \frac{r_n^\gamma}{(r_n + \rho(y_n, z))^{1+\gamma}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.14). By (3.8), we get that

$$b_n^*(x) \leq Cf^*(y_n) \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} \leq Ct(r_n/r_n + \rho(x_n, x))^{1+\beta}.$$

Let $x \in B(x_n, 4Ar_n)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$, $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$. Assume that $d \geq r_n$, by the same way as above, we can prove that $S_n(\psi) \in \mathcal{M}(x, r_n, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\|S_n(\psi)\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, r_n, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C$. We assume that $d < r_n$, then

$$S_n(\psi)(z) = \varphi_n(z)\psi(z) - \varphi_n(z) \left[\int \varphi_n(y) d\mu(y) \right]^{-1} \int \psi(y)\varphi_n(y) d\mu(y) = h_1(z) - h_2(z).$$

Using the same way above we can prove that $h_1 \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$, $h_2 \in \mathcal{M}(x, r_n, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\|h_1\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C$, $\|h_2\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, r_n, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C$, where C is independent of n and ψ . Then we have

$$|\langle b_n, \psi \rangle| \leq |\langle f, S_n(\psi) \rangle| \leq |\langle f, h_1 \rangle| + |\langle f, h_2 \rangle| \leq Cf^*(x).$$

We complete the proof of (3.9).

Taking the p -th power of (3.9) and integrating on X , by Lemma 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int b_n^*(x)^p d\mu(x) &\leq Ct^p \int_{B(x_n, 4Ar_n)} \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{(1+\beta)p} d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + C \int_{B(x_n, 4Ar_n)} f^*(x)^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq Ct^p \mu(B(x_n, 4Ar_n)) + C \int_{B(x_n, 4Ar_n)} f^*(x)^p d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $B(x_n, 4Ar_n) \subset \Omega$, we get

$$\int b_n^*(x)^p d\mu(x) \leq C \int_{B(x_n, 4Ar_n)} f^*(x)^p d\mu(x).$$

This proves (3.10).

Next, let us study the convergence of the series of Σb_n . From Lemma (3.1), (3.10) and the fact that $f^*(x)$ is in $L^p(X, d\mu)$, we get that the partial sum of Σb_n is a Cauchy sequence, by Theorem (2.1), Σb_n converges in $(\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ to a distribution b . Estimates (3.11) and (3.12) for $b^*(x)$ are obtained by adding up the estimates (3.9), (3.10) and Lemma 3.6.

It remains to prove the inequality (3.13). Assume that $x \in \Omega$, then there exists k such that $x \in B(x_k, r_k)$. By (3.2.vi) we know that the set J of all integers n such that $B(x_n, 4Ar_n) \cap B(x_k, 2Ar_k) \neq \emptyset$ has at most M elements. Moreover, by (3.2.iv), for every $n \in J$, r_n satisfies $(3A^2)^{-1}r_k \leq r_n \leq 3A^2r_k$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$. If $d \leq r_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, \psi \rangle &= \langle f, \psi \rangle - \sum_n \langle b_n, \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \psi \rangle - \sum_{n \in J} \langle f, S_n(\psi) \rangle - \sum_{n \notin J} \langle b_n, \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \tilde{\psi} \rangle - \sum_{n \in J} \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_n \rangle - \sum_{n \notin J} \langle b_n, \psi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} &= (1 - \sum_{n \in J} \varphi_n) \psi, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_n(z) &= \varphi_n(z) \left[\varphi_n(y) d\mu(y) \right]^{-1} \int \psi(y) \varphi_n(y) d\mu(y), \quad \text{for } n \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\tilde{\psi}(z) = 0$ for $z \in B(x_k, 2Ar_k)$, it is easy to prove that $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{M}(y_k, d, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_n \in \mathcal{M}(y_k, r_k, \beta, \gamma)$ for $n \in J$, $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{\mathcal{M}(y_k, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C$, $\|\tilde{\varphi}_n\|_{\mathcal{M}(y_k, r_k, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C$, where C is independent of n, k and ψ . When $n \notin J$, we have $x \notin B(x_n, 4Ar_n)$, using the proof of (3.9), we have

$$\sum_{n \notin J} |\langle b_n, \psi \rangle| \leq Ct \sum_{n \notin J} \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g, \psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle f, \tilde{\psi} \rangle| + \sum_{n \in J} |\langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_n \rangle| + \sum_{n \notin J} |\langle b_n, \psi \rangle| \\ &\leq Cf^*(y_k) + \sum_{n \in J} Ct \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} \\ &\leq Ct \sum_n \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta} \end{aligned}$$

If $d > r_k$, then

$$|\langle g, \psi \rangle| = |\langle f, \psi \rangle| + \sum_{n \in J} |\langle b_n, \psi \rangle| + \sum_{n \notin J} |\langle b_n, \psi \rangle|.$$

It is easy to prove that $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(y_k, d, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(y_k, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq C$, we obtain,

$$|\langle f, \psi \rangle| \leq C f^*(y_k) \leq Ct \leq Ct \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in J} |\langle b_n, \psi \rangle| &\leq C \sum_{n \in J} b_n^*(y_k) \\ &\leq Ct \sum_{n \in J} \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, y_k)} \right)^{1+\beta} \\ &\leq Ct \sum_{n \in J} \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{n \notin J} |\langle b_n, \psi \rangle| \leq \sum_{n \notin J} b_n^*(x) \leq \sum_{n \notin J} Ct \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta}.$$

Therefore we have shown that if $x \in \Omega$, then (3.13) holds.

If $x \notin \Omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g, \psi \rangle| &\leq |\langle f, \psi \rangle| + |\langle b, \psi \rangle| \\ &\leq f^*(x) + b^*(x) \leq f^*(x) + Ct \sum_n \left(\frac{r_n}{r_n + \rho(x_n, x)} \right)^{1+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.15 *Let $\eta(s)$ be an infinitely differentiable function defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $0 \leq \eta(s) \leq 1$, $\eta(s) = 1$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 2$. For $t > 0$, $x, y \in X$, we define*

$$S_t(x, y) = \left[\int \eta(\rho(x, z)/t) d\mu(z) \right]^{-1} \eta(\rho(x, y)/t).$$

Then we have

(i) $\text{supp } S_t(x, y) \subset \{(x, y) : \rho(x, y) \leq 2t\}$,

(ii) $0 \leq S_t(x, y) \leq C \frac{t^\gamma}{(t + \rho(x, y))^{1+\gamma}}$,

(iii) $|S_t(x, y) - S_t(x', y)| + |S_t(y, x) - S_t(y, x')| \leq C \left(\frac{\rho(x, x')}{t + \rho(x, y)} \right)^\beta \frac{t^\gamma}{(t + \rho(x, y))^{1+\gamma}}$,

for all x, x' and $y \in X, \rho(x, x') \leq \frac{1}{2A} [t + \rho(x, y)]$,

(iv) $\int S_t(x, y) d\mu(y) = 1, \quad \int S_t(x, y) d\mu(x) \leq C.$

Lemma 3.16 *Let $\{S_t(x, y)\}_{t>0}$ be the family of functions as in Lemma 3.15. Then for any $0 < \beta' < \beta$, and for $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$,*

$$\psi_t(z) = \int S_t(z, y) \psi(y) d\mu(y)$$

converges to $\psi(z)$ in $\mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma)$ as t goes to zero.

Corollary 3.17 Let $k(z)$ belong to the closure of $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ in $L^p(X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, if

$$k_t(z) = \int S_t(z, y)k(y)d\mu(y),$$

where $\{S_t(z, y)\}_{t>0}$ as in Lemma 3.15, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|k_t - k\|_p = 0,$$

moreover, $|k(z)| \leq Ck^*(z)$ for almost every where on $z \in X$.

The proofs of Lemma 3.15, Lemma 3.16 and Corollary 3.17 are simple computations and omitted here.

4 Some properties of \tilde{H}_p ,

In the following, we assume that for all $\eta > 0$, $C^\eta_0(X)$ is dense in $L^1(X)$, so $C^\eta_0(X)$ is dense in $L^p(X)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Furthermore for all $0 < \beta < \theta, \gamma > 0$, $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ is dense in $L^p(X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, see [2].

Theorem 4.1 For any $f(z)$ in $L^p(X)$, $1 < p < \infty$, the distribution f induced by $f(z)$ in \tilde{H}_p , and there exists a constant C independent of $f(z)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{H}_p} \leq C\|f\|_p.$$

Proof For $x \in X, \psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$, $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, \psi \rangle| &= \left| \int f(z)\psi(z)d\mu(z) \right| \\ &\leq \int |f(z)| \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x, z))^{1+\gamma}} d\mu(z) \leq CM(f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. So $f^*(x) \leq CM(f)(x)$, and $\|f\|_{\tilde{H}_p}$

$\leq C\|M(f)\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$, for $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 4.2 If a distribution $f \in \tilde{H}_p$ for $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists a function $\tilde{f}(z)$ such that $|\tilde{f}(z)| \leq Cf^*(z)$ and

$$\langle f, \psi \rangle = \int \tilde{f}(z)\psi(z)d\mu(z)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$.

Proof For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\{\phi_k^i(z)\}$ be a partition of the unity for X such that $\text{supp } \phi_k^i(z) \subset B(z_i^i, \epsilon)$ and for any give $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi_k^i(z) \neq 0$ holds for no more than N values of k . If $\{S_t(z, y)\}_{t>0}$ is the family of functions in Lemma 3.15, then when t is small enough, for $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma), \beta' > \beta$, we have

$$\psi_t(z) = \int S_t(z, y)\psi(y)d\mu(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum \psi(z_i^i) \int S_t(z, y)\phi_k^i(y)d\mu(y), \quad (4.3)$$

where the limit is taken in $\mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma)$.

For $z \in X$, let $0 < \varepsilon < (2A)^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\psi_i(z) - \sum_i \psi(z_i) \int S_i(z, y) \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y)| \\
 & \leq \sum \int S_i(z, y) \varphi_i(y) |\psi(y) - \psi(z_i)| d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C \sum \int S_i(z, y) \varphi_i(y) \|\psi\| \frac{\varepsilon^p}{(1 + \rho(x_0, z_i))^{1+p+r}} d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C \|\psi\| \varepsilon^p / (1 + \rho(x_0, z))^{1+p+r} \leq C \|\psi\| \varepsilon^p / (1 + \rho(x_0, z))^{1+r}. \quad (4.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality comes from the fact that $1 + \rho(x_0, z) \leq 1 + A[\rho(x_0, z_i) + \rho(z_i, z)] \leq 1 + A[\rho(x_0, z_i) + Ct] \leq C[1 + \rho(x_0, z_i)]$.

For $z, z' \in X$, and $\rho(z, z') \leq \frac{1}{2A}[1 + \rho(x_0, z)]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\psi_i(z) - \sum_i \psi(z_i) \int S_i(z, y) \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y) - \psi_i(z') \\
 & \quad + \sum_i \psi(z_i) \int S_i(z', y) \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y)| \\
 & \leq \sum \int |S_i(z, y) - S_i(z', y)| |\psi(y) - \psi(z_i)| \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y) \\
 & \leq C \|\psi\| \frac{\varepsilon^p \rho(z, z')^p}{\varepsilon^p (1 + \rho(x_0, z))^p} \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(x_0, z))^{1+r}}. \quad (4.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

So (4.4) and (4.5) imply (4.3).

Now, by Lemma 3.16, given $\lambda > 0$, for $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma)$,

$$|\langle f, \psi \rangle| < |\langle f, \psi_t \rangle| + \lambda \quad (4.6)$$

holds for t small enough. On the other hand, by (4.3), we have

$$|\langle f, \psi_t \rangle| < \sum |\psi(z_i)| \cdot |\langle f, \int S_i(\cdot, y) \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y) \rangle| + \lambda \quad (4.7)$$

for ε small enough. We can assume that $\varepsilon < t$. Let $A_i^*(z) = \int S_i(z, y) \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y)$. It is easy to show that there exists a constant C such that $(C \int \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y))^{-1} A_i^*(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}(x, t, \beta, \gamma)$ for all $x \in B(z_i^*, \varepsilon)$. Therefore, we get for every $z \in B(z_i^*, \varepsilon)$,

$$|\langle f, A_i^* \rangle| \leq C f^*(z) \int \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y) \leq C \int f^*(y) \varphi_i(y) d\mu(y).$$

Going back to (4.7), we get

$$|\langle f, \psi_t \rangle| \leq C \sum |\psi(z_i)| \int f^*(z) \varphi_i(z) d\mu(z) + \lambda$$

On the other hand, since $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma)$, for every $z \in B(z_i^*, \varepsilon)$,

$$|\psi(z_i^*) - \psi(z)| \leq \|\psi\| \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \rho(z, x_0)} \right)^p \frac{1}{(1 + \rho(z, x_0))^{1+r}}.$$

So,

$$|\psi(z_i^*)| \leq |\psi(z)| + \|\psi\| \varepsilon^p / (1 + \rho(z, x_0))^{1+p+r},$$

for every $z \in B(z'_i, \epsilon)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, \psi_i \rangle| &\leq C \sum_i \int f^*(z) |\psi(z)| \varphi_i^*(z) d\mu(z) \\ &\quad + C \|\psi\| \epsilon^p \sum_i \int f^*(z) \varphi_i^*(z) \frac{d\mu(z)}{(1 + \rho(z, x_0))^{1+\gamma}} + \lambda \\ &\leq C \int f^*(z) |\psi(z)| d\mu(z) + C \|\psi\| \epsilon^p \int f^*(z) / (1 + \rho(z, x_0))^{1+\gamma} d\mu(z) + \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is small, we obtain

$$|\langle f, \psi_i \rangle| \leq C \int f^*(z) |\psi(z)| d\mu(z) + \lambda. \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.6) and (4.8), and taking into account that λ is any positive number, we get

$$|\langle f, \psi \rangle| \leq C \int f^*(z) |\psi(z)| d\mu(z), \tag{4.9}$$

for any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma)$, $f \in \tilde{H}_p$, $\beta < \beta'$, $1 < p < \infty$. Because $\mathcal{M}(\beta', \gamma)$ is dense in $L^{p'}(X)$, $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$, so the distribution f can be extended into a continuous linear functional on $L^{p'}(X)$.

Thus there exists a unique function $\tilde{f}(z)$ in $L^p(X)$, such that for any $g(z)$ in $L^{p'}(X)$, $\langle f, g \rangle = \int \tilde{f}(z) g(z) d\mu(z)$. Specially, for $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$, we have $\langle f, \psi \rangle = \int \tilde{f}(z) \psi(z) d\mu(z)$. By Corollary 3.17, we have $|\tilde{f}(z)| \leq C f^*(z) = C f^*(z)$, this ends the proof of Theorem (4.2).

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we get that \tilde{H}_p can be identified with L^p for $1 < p < \infty$. By the Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 4.2, we can prove the following results as in [4].

Theorem 4.10 For $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$, we have that $L^q \cap \tilde{H}_p$ is dense in \tilde{H}_p .

Lemma 4.11 If $f(z) \in L^q(X) \cap \tilde{H}_p$, $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, then with the same notations used in Lemma 3.7, we have

(4.11.i) if $m_n = [\int \varphi_n(z) d\mu(z)]^{-1} \int f(y) \varphi_n(y) d\mu(y)$, then $|m_n| \leq Ct$,

(4.11.ii) if $b_n(z) = [f(z) - m_n] \varphi_n(z)$, then the distribution induced by $b_n(z)$ coincided with b_n ,

(4.11.iii) the series $\sum_n b_n(z)$ converges for every $z \in X$ and in $L^q(X)$, if $\sum_n b_n(z) = b(z)$, then the distribution induced by $b(z)$ coincided with b ,

(4.11.iv) let $g(z) = f(z) - b(z)$, then

$$g(z) = f(z) \chi_{\sigma^c}(z) + \sum m_n \varphi_n(z),$$

$$|g(z)| \leq Ct,$$

moreover, the distribution induces by $g(z)$ coincided with g .

5 Atomic decomposition of \tilde{H}_p , and atomic H^p space for $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$

Definition 5.1 Let $0 < \beta < \theta$ and $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$. We say that a function $a(z)$ is a p -

atom, if there exists a ball B such that

- (i) $\text{supp } a(z) \subset B$,
- (ii) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(B)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$,
- (iii) $\int a(z) d\mu(z) = 0$.

Lemma 5. 2 Let $h(z) \in L^q(X)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, with support in $B = B(x_0, r)$ and $\int h(z) d\mu(z) = 0$. Then $h \in \tilde{H}_p$, for $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$, and

$$\|h\|_{\tilde{H}_p} \leq C \mu(B)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|h\|_q,$$

where C does not depend on $h(z)$.

Proof Assume that $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)$, $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{M}(x, d, \beta, \gamma)} \leq 1$. Let $x \in B(x_0, 2Ar)$, then

$$\left| \int h(z) \psi(z) d\mu(z) \right| \leq \int |h(z)| \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x, z))^{1+\gamma}} d\mu(z) \leq CM(h)(x),$$

so

$$h^*(x) \leq CM(h)(x).$$

Now considering the case $x \notin B(x_0, 2Ar)$, then $\rho(x, x_0) \geq 2Ar$, for any $z \in B(x_0, r)$, we have

$$\rho(z, x_0) \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2A} [d + \rho(x_0, x)], \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h, \psi \rangle| &\leq \int |h(z)| |\psi(z) - \psi(x_0)| d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \|h\|_q \left(\int_B \left(\frac{r^\beta}{(d + \rho(x, x_0))^\beta} \frac{d^\gamma}{(d + \rho(x, x_0))^{1+\gamma}} \right)^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq \|h\|_q \mu(B)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \frac{r^\beta}{\rho(x, x_0)^{1+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

(in which $\frac{1}{q'} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). So,

$$h^*(x) \leq \|h\|_q \mu(B)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \frac{r^\beta}{\rho(x, x_0)^{1+\beta}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{\tilde{H}_p}^p &\leq C \int_{B(x_0, 2Ar)} M(h)(x)^p d\mu(x) + \int_{B(x_0, 2Ar)} \left(\|h\|_q \mu(B)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \frac{r^\beta}{\rho(x, x_0)^{1+\beta}} \right)^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \mu(B)^{1 - \frac{p}{q}} \|h\|_q^p. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 5. 2.

By Lemma 5. 2, we obtain

Lemma 5. 3 Let $a(z)$ be a p -atom, and $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$, then the distribution a on $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$ induced by $a(z)$ belongs to \tilde{H}_p , and

$$\int a^*(z)^p d\mu(z) \leq C < \infty,$$

where C is independent of the p -atom.

Theorem 5.4 Let $(1+\beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$. For any sequence $\{a_i(z)\}$ of p -atoms and a sequence $\{\lambda_i\}$ of numbers satisfying $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^p < \infty$, then there exists $f \in \tilde{H}_p$, such that $f = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i$ and

$$\int f^*(z)^p d\mu(z) \leq C \sum_i |\lambda_i|^p.$$

Proof For any large positive integers n and m with $n < m$, by Lemma 5.3 we get

$$\int (\sum_{i=n}^m \lambda_i a_i)^*(z)^p d\mu(z) \leq C \sum_{i=n}^m |\lambda_i|^p.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, there exists $f \in (\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma))'$ such that $f = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i$ and $f^*(z) \leq \sum_i |\lambda_i| a^*(z)$. This implies that Theorem 5.4 is true.

In the following, we shall prove that if $f \in \tilde{H}_p$, then f can be expanded into a series of multiples of p -atoms. In order to do this, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.5 Let $h(z)$ in $L^2(X)$, $|h(z)| \leq 1$. Assume that for some $(1+\beta)^{-1} < q < 1$, $h \in \tilde{H}_q$. Then for every p with $q < p \leq 1$, there exist a sequence of p -atoms $\{a_k(z)\}$, and a numerical sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ such that $h = \sum \lambda_k a_k$, and

$$(\sum |\lambda_k|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|h\|_{\tilde{H}_p}.$$

Theorem 5.6 For $0 < \beta < \theta$, $(1+\beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$. If $f \in \tilde{H}_p$, then there exist a sequence of p -atoms $\{a_n\}$ and a numerical sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that $f = \sum \lambda_n a_n$, and there exist two constants C' and C'' independent of f such that

$$C' \|f\|_{\tilde{H}_p} \leq (\sum \lambda_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C'' \|f\|_{\tilde{H}_p}.$$

Theorem 5.7 For $0 < \beta < \theta, \gamma > 0$, $(1+\beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$, $\mathcal{M}_0(\beta, \gamma)$ is dense in \tilde{H}_p .

The proofs of Lemma 5.5, Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 are similar to the proofs in [4], we omit the details.

In the following, we recall the basic theory of atomic H^p spaces defined in [1]. Let $0 < \beta < \infty$, $\text{lip}(\beta)$ denote the set of all functions $\psi(z)$ defined on X such that there exists a constant C satisfying

$$|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq C \rho(x, y)^\beta,$$

for every x and y in X . The least constant C for which this condition holds is denoted by $\|\psi\|_{\text{lip}(\beta)}$. It is easy to prove that $\text{lip}(\beta)$ with this norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{lip}(\beta)}$ is a Banach space. When $\beta=0$, $\text{lip}(0)$ is defined as the Banach space of all function ψ in BMO such that for every ball B and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a bounded continuous function φ satisfying

$$\int_B |\psi(z) - \varphi(z)| d\mu(z) < \epsilon,$$

endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}}$.

Let $a(z)$ be a p -atom and $\psi(z)$ in $\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)$. Then $\langle a, \psi \rangle = \int a(z)\psi(z)d\mu(z)$ defined a linear functional on $\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)$, and

$$|\langle a, \psi \rangle| \leq C \|\psi\|_{\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)}, \tag{5.8}$$

where C is independent of $\psi(z)$ and $a(z)$. Moreover, for every sequence of p -atoms $\{a_i(z)\}$ and every numerical sequence $\{\lambda_i\}$, we have

$$|\sum_i \lambda_i \langle a_i, \psi \rangle| \leq C \sum_i |\lambda_i| \|\psi\|_{\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)}.$$

This shows that

$$\langle f, \psi \rangle = \sum \lambda_i \langle a_i, \psi \rangle \tag{5.9}$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)$. The norm of f as an element of the dual space of $\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)$ is bounded by $C(\sum_i |\lambda_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

We define H^p as the linear space of all bounded linear functionals f on $\text{lip}(\frac{1}{p}-1)$ which can be represented as (5.9) where $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of p -atoms and $\{\lambda_i\}$ is a numerical sequence such that $\sum_i |\lambda_i|^p < \infty$. For $f \in H^p$, we define

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \inf \{ (\sum_i |\lambda_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible representation of f of the form (5.9). Using the method in [4], we can prove

Theorem 5.10 *Let $0 < \beta < \theta$ and $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$. For every f in H^p , we denote by \tilde{f} the restriction of f to $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$. Then $\mathcal{S}(f) = \tilde{f}$ defines an injective linear transformation from H^p onto \tilde{H}_p . Moreover, there exist two positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$C_1 \|f\|_{H^p} \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{H}_p} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{H^p}$$

holds for every f in H^p .

Remark 5.11 The theory above is studied for spaces of homogeneous type X with infinite measure. In fact, we can prove that the all results in this paper is true for the case that $\mu(X) < \infty$. We omit the details.

Remark 5.12 From the Theorem 5.10, we can see that for fixed $p, (1 + \theta)^{-1} < p \leq 1$, \tilde{H}_p do not depend on the choice of β and γ which define the basic test function space $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \gamma)$, as long as β and γ satisfy $0 < \beta < \theta, \gamma > 0$ and $(1 + \beta)^{-1} < p$.

Remark 5.13 From the Theorem 5.10, the space \tilde{H}_p can be identified with the atomic H^p space, so the theory above essentially give a maximal function characterization of atomic H^p on spaces of homogeneous type.

Remark 5.14 For the case $X = R^n$, Hardy space H^p have definition for all $0 < p \leq 1$. In order to study the similiar characterization of H^p for R^n , we need some new test function spaces. We will discuss these details elsewhere.

Acknowledgment The author thanks Professor D. G. Deng for a lot of suggestions and guidance.

References

- [1] Coifman, R. R. and Weiss, G. , Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83(1977), 569–645.
- [2] Han, Y. S. and Sawyer, E. T. , Littlewood-Paley theory on spaces of homogeneous type and classical function spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 110(1994), 1–136.
- [3] Macias, R. A. and Segovia, C. , Lipschitz function on spaces of homogeneous type, *Adv. Math.* 33(1979), 257–270.
- [4] Macias, R. A. and Segovia, C. , A decomposition into atoms of distribution on spaces of homogeneous type, *Adv. Math.* , 33(1979), 271–309.

Department of Mathematics
Zhongshan University
Guangzhou, 510275
P. R. China.