THE SPECTRUM OF COMPACT HYPERSURFACE IN SPHERE

Xu Senlin and Deng Qintao (Central China Normal Uniersity, China)

Chen Dongmei
(University of Science and Technology, China)

Received June 7, 2004

Abstract

Let M be a compact minimal hypersurface of sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$. Let \overline{M} be H(r)-torus of sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$. Assume they have the same constant mean curvature H, the result in [1] is that if $\operatorname{Spec}^0(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^0(\overline{M},g)$, then for $3 \le n \le 6, r^2 \le \frac{n-1}{n}$ or $n \ge 6, r^2 \ge \frac{n-1}{n}$, then M is isometric to \overline{M} . We improved the result and prove that: if $\operatorname{Spec}^0(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^0(\overline{M},g)$, then M is isometric to \overline{M} . Generally, if $\operatorname{Spec}^p(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^p(\overline{M},g)$, here p is fixed and satisfies that $n(n-1) \ne 6p(n-p)$, then M is isometric to \overline{M} .

Key words Laplace operator, spectrum, isometric

AMS(2000)subject classification 53C20

Let (M,g) be a compact oriented n dimensional Riemann manifold, Δ^p be the Laplace operator acting on p-forms on M. Then we have its discrete spectrum, which is denoted it by

$$\operatorname{Spec}^{p}(M,g) = \{0 \le \lambda_{1}^{p} \le \lambda_{2}^{p} \le \dots < +\infty\}.$$

One important problem on spectrum is the following: Let (M,g) and (\overline{M},g) be a compact oriented n dimensional Riemann manifolds with $\operatorname{Spec}^p(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^p(\overline{M},g)$, then is (M,g) iso-

^{*}Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10371047)

metric to (\overline{M},g) ? This problem is proved to be wrong by J.Milnor in 1964. But when (M,G) and (\overline{M},g) are some special Riemann manifolds, under certain conditions, we can prove that (M,g) is isometric to (\overline{M},g) .

A recent result in [1] is as follows:

Theorem A. Let M be an H(r)- torus and \overline{M} be a compact hypersurface. Assume that they have the same constant mean curvature H and

$$\operatorname{Spec}^{0}(M, g) = \operatorname{Spec}^{0}(\overline{M}, g).$$

Then under the following conditon: $3 \le n \le 6, r^2 \le \frac{n-1}{n}$ or $n \ge 6, r^2 \ge \frac{n-1}{n}$, then M is isometric to \overline{M} .

We improve the result and obtain the followign conclusions.

Theorem 1. Let M be an H(r)-torus and \overline{M} be a compact hypersurface. Assume that they have the same constant mean curvature H and

$$\operatorname{Spec}^p(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^p(\overline{M},g),$$

here p is fixed and satisfies that $n(n-1) \neq 6p(n-p)$. Then M is isometric to \overline{M} .

Theorem 2. Let M be an H(r)-torus and \overline{M} be a compact hypersurface. Assume that they have the same constant mean curvature H and $\operatorname{Spec}^0(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^0(\overline{M},g)$. Then M is isometric to \overline{M} .

Lemma 1^[3]. Let $\lambda_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3 \right| \le \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

and equality holds, if and only if $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2}$, $\lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2}$ in addition to the order or the opposite orientation.

Lemma 2. Let $\lambda_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be real numbers such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = A.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^4 \le \frac{n^2 - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} A^2,$$

and equality holds if and only if (n-1) of the $\lambda_i's$ are equal.

We have the Minakshisundaram formula:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} \sim (4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^p t^i, \quad t \to 0^+,$$

$$a_0^p = \binom{n}{p} \text{Vol}(M),$$

$$a_1^p = \int_M c(n, p) r * 1,$$

$$a_2^p = \int_M (c_1(n, p) \rho^2 + c_2(n, p) |\text{Ric}|^2 + c_3(n, p) |R|^2) * 1,$$

where ρ , Ric, R are Scalar curvature, Ricci curvature tensor, and Riemann curvature tensor respectively.

Later, we will denote $c_i(n, p)$ by $c_i, c(n, p)$ by c_i

$$c = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ p \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} n-2 \\ p-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{72} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ p \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} n-2 \\ p-1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} n-4 \\ p-2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{180} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ p \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} n-2 \\ p-1 \end{pmatrix} - 2 \begin{pmatrix} n-4 \\ p-2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c_3 = \frac{1}{180} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ p \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} n-2 \\ p-1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} n-4 \\ p-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. For M, we choose a local adapted orthonormal frames $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$

such that the second fundamental form of M is $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ at the point of p. By simple

calculating, we get

$$\rho = n(n-1) + nH^2 - S, (1)$$

$$|\mathrm{Ric}|^2 = n(n-1)^2 + 2(n-1)n^2H^2 - 2(n-1)S + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 + Sn^2H^2 - 2nH\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3,$$
 (2)

$$|R|^2 = 2n(n-1) + 2S^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 + 4n^2H^2 - 4S.$$
(3)

We set $\varphi = S - nH^2$, $u_i = H - \lambda_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, then we have

$$\rho = n(n-1) + \varphi,\tag{4}$$

$$|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} = n(n-1)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{4} + (2n-4)H \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{3} + [(n^{2} - 6n + 6)H^{2} - 2(n-1)]\varphi + (n-1)^{2}nH^{2}(H^{2} + 2),$$
(5)

$$|R|^2 = 2n(n-1) + 2\varphi^2 + (4nH^2 - 12H^2 - 4)\varphi + 8H\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3 - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 + 2n(n-1)H^2(H^2 + 2).$$
 (6)

Similarly, we choose a local field of adapted orthonormal frames $\{\overline{e}_1,\overline{e}_2,\cdots,\overline{e}_n\}$ in \overline{M} such that $\{\overline{e}_1\}$ and $\{\overline{e}_2,\cdots,\overline{e}_n\}$ are local field of orthonormal frames of $S^1(\sqrt{1-r^2})$ and $S^{n-1}(r)$ respectively. By choosing $\{\overline{e}_1,\overline{e}_2,\cdots,\overline{e}_n\}$ propertly, we can assume that the matrix of the second fundamental form of \overline{M} is $\mathrm{diag}(-r/\sqrt{1-r^2},r^{-1}\sqrt{1-r^2}I_{n-1})$ at every point of \overline{M} . We denote the Riemann curvature tensor, the Ricci curvature tensor and scalar curvature of \overline{M} by $\overline{R},\overline{\mathrm{Ric}},\overline{\rho}$ respectively.

We set

$$\overline{\varphi} = \overline{S} - n\overline{H}^2 = \overline{S} - nH^2$$

where \overline{S} and \overline{H} are the length of the second fundamental form and mean curvature respectively. Since $\operatorname{Spec}^0(M,g) = \operatorname{Spec}^0(\overline{M},g)$, we have

$$Vol(M) = Vol(\overline{M}), \qquad \int_{M} \rho * 1 = \int_{\overline{M}} \overline{\rho} * 1, \tag{7}$$

$$\int_{M} (c_1 \rho^2 + c_2 |R|^2 + c_3 |Ric|^2) * 1 = \int_{\overline{M}} (c_1 \overline{\rho}^2 + c_2 |\overline{R}|^2 + c_3 |\overline{Ric}|^2) * 1.$$
 (8)

Using (7) we get

$$\int_{M} \varphi * 1 = \int_{\overline{M}} \overline{\varphi} * 1. \tag{9}$$

Using (4),(5),(6),(8) and (9), we easily get

$$\int_{M} [(c_{1} + 2c_{3})\varphi^{2} + (c_{2}(2n - 4) + 8c_{3})H \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{3} + (c_{2} - 2c_{3}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{4}] * 1$$

$$= \int_{\overline{M}} [(c_{1} + 2c_{3})\overline{\varphi}^{2} + (c_{2}(2n - 4) + 8c_{3})H \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{u}_{i}^{3} + (c_{2} - 2c_{3}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{u}_{i}^{4}] * 1. \tag{10}$$

If $(c_2(2n-4)+8c_3)H \ge 0, (c_2-2c_3) \ge 0$, using (9),(10), lemma 1 and lemma 2, we have

$$\int_{M} \left\{ (c_{1} + 2c_{3}) + (c_{2} - 2c_{3}) \frac{n^{2} - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} [c_{2}(2n-4) + 8c_{3}][(n-1) - nr^{2}](n-2) \right\} \varphi^{2} * 1$$

$$\leq \int_{\overline{M}} \left\{ (c_{1} + 2c_{3}) + (c_{2} - 2c_{3}) \frac{n^{2} - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} [c_{2}(2n-4) + 8c_{3}][(n-1) - nr^{2}](n-2) \right\} \overline{\varphi}^{2} * 1.$$
(11)

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{M} \left\{ (c_{1} + 2c_{3}) + (c_{2} - 2c_{3}) \frac{n^{2} - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} [c_{2}(2n-4) + 8c_{3}][(n-1) - nr^{2}](n-2) \right\} \varphi^{2} * 1$$

$$\geq \int_{\overline{M}} \left\{ (c_{1} + 2c_{3}) + (c_{2} - 2c_{3}) \frac{n^{2} - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} [c_{2}(2n-4) + 8c_{3}][(n-1) - nr^{2}](n-2) \right\} \overline{\varphi}^{2} * 1.$$
(12)

Using (11),(12), we get that if

$$c_1 + 2c_3 + (c_2 - 2c_3)\frac{n^2 - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2}[c_2(2n-4) + 8c_3][(n-1) - nr^2](n-2) \neq 0,$$

we have
$$\int_{M} \varphi^{2} * 1 = \int_{\overline{M}} \overline{\varphi}^{2} * 1$$
; if

$$c_1 + 2c_3 + (c_2 - 2c_3)\frac{n^2 - 3n + 3}{n(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2}[c_2(2n-4) + 8c_3][(n-1) - nr^2](n-2) = 0,$$

we have

$$\int_{M} (c_{2}(2n-4)+8c_{3})H \frac{n-2}{2nr\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\varphi * 1$$

$$\leq \int_{M} [(c_{1}+2c_{3})\varphi^{2}+(c_{2}(2n-4)+8c_{3})H \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{3}+(c_{2}-2c_{3}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{4}] * 1$$

$$= \int_{\overline{M}} [(c_{1}+2c_{3})\overline{\varphi}^{2}+(c_{2}(2n-r)+8c_{3})H \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{u}_{i}^{3}(c_{2}-2c_{3}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{u}_{i}^{4}] * 1$$

$$= \int_{\overline{M}} (c_{2}(2n-r)+8c_{3})H \frac{n-2}{2nr\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\overline{\varphi} * 1.$$
(13)

Using (9), we know

$$\int_{M} (c_{2}(2n-4)+8c_{3})H\frac{n-2}{2nr\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\varphi * 1 = \int_{\overline{M}} (c_{2}(2n-4)+8c_{3})H\frac{n-2}{2nr\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\overline{\varphi} * 1.$$

Note that all the inequalities hold, we get after remuneration if necessary

$$u_1 = (n-1)\sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\varphi}, \quad u_2 = \cdots = u_n = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\varphi}, \quad \varphi = \frac{n-1}{nr^2(1-r^2)},$$

so we have

$$\lambda_1 = H - u_1 = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}}, \quad u_2 = \dots = u_n = \frac{\sqrt{1 - r^2}}{r}.$$

If

$$(c_2(2n-4)+8c_3)H > 0, (c_2-2c_3) < 0,$$

or

$$(c_2(2n-4)+8c_3)H \ge 0, \quad (c_2-2c_3) \ge 0,$$

or

$$(c_2(2n-4)+8c_3)H \ge 0, \quad (c_2-2c_3) \ge 0,$$

the same as the above, we have the same result.

From [3] we know that M is isometric to \overline{M} . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. If n = 1, we know Theorem 2 is correct from [1]; if $n \neq 1$, $n(n-1) \neq 0$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, so Theorem 2 is correct. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Xu, S.L. and Zhang, Y. T., The Spectrum of the Laplace Operation on Compact Hypersurfces, Mathematical Applicata, 13:4(2000), 54-59.
- [2] Patodi, V., Curvature and the Fundamental Solution of the Heat Operator, J. Indian. Math. Soc., 34(1970), 269-285.
- [3] Alencar, H. and Do Carmo, M., Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature in Sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120(1994), 1223-1229.
- [4] Chern, S., Do Carmo, M. and Kobayashi, S., Minimal Submanifold of a Sphere with Second Fundamental Form of Constant Length, Shiing-Shen Chern Selected Papers, C.Springer-Verlag, 1978, 393-409.
- [5] Sakai, T., On Eigenvalues of Laplacian and Curvature of Riemann Manifold, Tohoku Math. J., 23(1971), 598-603.
- [6] Lawson, B., Local Rigidity Theorems for Minimal Hypersurfaces, Ann. Math., 1969, 187-197.

Xu Senlin and Dend Qintao
Department of Mathematics
Central China Normal University
Wuhan 430079, Hubei

P. R. China

e-mail: xusl@ccnu.edu.cn

Chen Dongmei

Department of Mathematics

University of Science and Technology

Heifei 230026

P. R. China