# **Electrochemical Behavior of Novel**  Bis (Aliphatic Amine) Ruthenium (I) and Osmium (I) Porphyrins<sup>1</sup>

**Li Zaoying, Liang Jianglin, Li Cong, Wu Xuye, Xie jining** 

Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

Abstract Bis (aliphatic amine) ruthenium ( $\bf{I}$ ) and osmium ( $\bf{I}$ ) porphyrins, M (Por)-( $\bf{H}_2NR$ )<sub>z</sub> and  $M (Por) (HNR'_2)_2$ ,  $[M = Ru$  and Os; Por = meso-tetrakis (p-tolyl) porphyrinato (TTP), meso-tetrakis (4chlorophenyl)porphyrinato(4-CI-TPP), meso-tetrakis(3,5-dichlorophenyl) porphyrinato(3,5-CI-TPP) **and**  meso-tetraphenyl porphyrinato(TPP);  $R =$  methyl, ethyl, iso-propyl and t-butyl;  $R' =$  methyl and ethyl] were synthesized by us. The electrochemical behavior of these complexes in  $1,2$ -dichloroethane with TBABF<sub>4</sub> as supporting electrolyte, has been studied by cyclic voltammetry and controlled potential electrolysis. Bis (aliphatic amine)ruthenium( I )porphyrins under go reversible one-electron oxidation and one-electron reduction **processes in 1,2-dichloroethane solution.** The osmium( IT ) analogues **is shown two oxidation** couples and V, an additional small wave IV. The redox potentials of these complexes are markedly **dependent on the** nature of the substituent **bound to the** phenyl group of the porphyrin ring. It is obvious that redox potentials Increases **the electron-withdrawing** power of the substituents increases. The couple I was found at  $-0.34$ ,  $-0.23$  and  $-0.15$  V vs Cp<sub>2</sub> Fe<sup>+/o</sup> (Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe = ferrocene) for Ru(TPP)(H<sub>2</sub>NBu-t)<sub>2</sub>, Ru(4-CI-TPP)  $(H<sub>2</sub>NBu-t)<sub>2</sub>$  and Ru(3,5-Cl-TPP)( $H<sub>2</sub>NBu-t)<sub>2</sub>$  respectively.

Key words ruthenium osmium porphyrins, cyclic voltammetry, electrochemical behavior

## **0 Introduction**

The electrochemical study of ruthenium porphyrins was first reported by Brown and co-workers in  $1973^{[1]}$ . It is interesting that the oxidation potentials for  $Ru(TPP)(CO)$  and  $Ru(TPP)(Py)_{2}$ are markedly different. The carbonyl complexes can be oxidized twice at the ring retaining the metal oxidation state of  $+2$ . On the other hand, the bis(pyridine) complex is oxidized first at the metal to  $+3$  state and then at the ring. Evidently, variation of axial ligands causes a shifting of orbitals to make the oxidation of the metal easier in one case. The effect of axial ligands on the redox behavior of ruthenium porphyrins has also been studied by Boschi *et al*<sup>[2]</sup>. For the complexes  $Ru(TPP)(L)<sub>2</sub>$ , where  $L = \text{trialkylphosphine}(PR_3)$  and isocyanide

(t-BuNC), and the carbonyl complex Ru(TPP)- (CO), the potentials related to these processes are strongly dependent on the nature of the axial ligand(L). In fact, ligands with a good  $\pi$  bonding ability, such as CO and t-BuNC, stabilize the porphyrin derivatives in the lower valence state, while making the complexes oxidizable at higher potentials. On the opposite, good o-donor ligands, such as  $P(Et)_{3}$ , make the derivatives easier to oxidize and then stabilize the anionic complex. In 1981, Rilleman and co-workers reported the influence of the substituent bound to the porphyrin phenyl group on the potentials of ruthenium porphyrins<sup>[3]</sup>. Electrochemical studies of osmium porphyrins also appear in the literature  $\lceil 4 \rceil$  and  $\lceil 5 \rceil$ . Herein is described the electrochemical behavior of novel bis(aliphatic amine) ruthenium( $\textsf{I}$ ) and osmium(I) porphyrins.

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the foundation of the Chinese Education Commission Received July 16,1997. Li Zaoying: born in 1949, Associate Professor

#### **1 Experimental**

#### **1.1 Materials**

Bis (aliphatic amine) ruthenium ( I ) and osmium porphyrin complexes  $(1 \sim 17)$  M (Por)  $(H_2NR')_2$  and  $M(Por)$  (HNR'<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were prepared on the me-thods as described by  $\text{us}^{[6]}$ . 1, 2-Dichloroethane was distilled from LiA1H4. Acetonitrile was stirred with  $KMD_4$  for 4 h at 25 °C and the mixture refluxed until the liquid was colorless. After removal of the  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  by filtration, the acetonitrile was fractionated from  $P_2O_5$ , and the fractionated again from  $CaH<sub>2</sub>$  Tetra-n-butyl ammonium fluoroborane (TBABF4) (electrochemical Grade) was dried at 100 °C under vacuum for 24 h before use. Mercury (GR.) was used as received.

#### **1.2 Apparatus and procedure**

Cyclic voltammetry was performed on a princeton Applied Research (PAR) model 273 A potentiostat and a X-Y recorder. A standard two compartment eletrode was used as reference electrode. The working eletrode was a glassy carbon disc. All potentials are reported vs the  $Ag/AgNO<sub>3</sub>$ electrode. The ferrocenium/ferrocene  $(Cp_2 Fe^{+/0})$ couple was used as an internal reference *E1/z* values are the average of the cathodic and anodic peak potentials for the oxidation and reduction waves. Surface treatments of the glassycarbon electrode involved periodic polishing with  $x$ -alumina slurry (Linde) on a microcloth followed by rinsing with double distilled water and next sonicating in dichloroethane for 5 min. Solutions for electrochemical studies were deaerated with nitrogen gas, which had been dried by passing through sodium hydroxide and then activated molecular sieve (0. 5 nm). Before going into the electrochemical cell, the nitrogen gas was pre-saturated with 1,2 dichloroethane by passing through the pure solvent.

### **2 Results and discussion**

The electrochemical behavior of bis (aliphatic amine)ruthenium ( $\textbf{I}$ ) and osmium ( $\textbf{I}$ ) complexes were studied in 1,2-dichloroethane solution with  $TBABF<sub>4</sub>$  as supporting electrolyte by cyclic voltammetry. The  $E_{1/2}$  data of these complexes are summarized in Table 1.

It is interesting to compare the redox behavior of Ru (TPP)(Py)<sub>2</sub><sup>[2]</sup> and Ru (TPP)(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>[3]</sup>, with those of bis (aliphatic amine) ruthenium porphyrins. Since both pyridine and aliphatic amine

Complexes	$E_{1/2}/V$ (vs. $Cp_2Fe^{+/0.})$ )				
	couple I	couple I	couple $\mathbb I$	$couple$ $N$	couple V
1. $Ru(TTP)(HNMe_2)_2$	$-0.33$	0, 82			
2. $Ru(TTP)(H_2NMe)$ ,	$-0.43$	0.62			
3. $Ru(3,5-Cl-TPP)(HNMe2)2$	$-0.15$	1,07			
4. $Ru(TTP)(HNEt_2)$ ,	$-0.35$	0.83			
5. $Ru(4-Cl-TPP)(HNEt_2)_2$	$-0.24$	0.93			
6. $Ru(TTP)(H_2NPr-i)_2$	$-0.40$	0.71			
7. $Ru(4-Cl-TPP)(H_{2}NPr-i)$ <sub>2</sub>	$-0.25$	0.83			
8. $Ru(TTP)(H_2NBu-t)$	$-0.34$	0.76			
9. $Ru(4-Cl-TPP)(H2NBu-t)2$	$-0.23$	0,85			
10. $Ru(3,5-Cl-TPP)(H_2NBu-t)_2$	$-0.15$	0.93			
11. $Ru(3,5-CI-TPP)(HNEt_2)$	$-0.10$	1.02			
12. $Os(TTP)(HNEt2)$			$-0.64$	0.67	0.93
13. $Os(4-Cl-TPP)(HNEt_2)$			$-0.55$	0.72	0.98
14. $Os(TTP)(H2NBu-t)2$			$-0.67$	0.78	1.02
15. $Os(4-Cl-TPP)(H2NBu-t)2$			$-0.55$	0.78	1.18
16. $Os(4-Cl-TPP)(H2NPr-i)2$			$-0.57$	0.91	1.18
17. $Os(TPP)(H2NBu-t)2$			$-0.60$	0.79	1.23

Table 1 Redox potential data' of bis(aliphatic amine) ruthenium(  $I$  ) and Osmium(  $I$  ) porphyrins

\* potentials were measured at scan rate =50 mV/s at 25 C; supporting electrolyte: 0. 1 mol/L TBABF<sub>4</sub> in CICH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl. The Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sup>+/0</sup> **was** O. 17 V **versus the** Ag/AgNO3(0. 1 mol/L in CH3CN) **reference electrode.** 

are good  $\sigma$ -donors, their ruthenium (I) porphyrin adducts can be expected to exhibit similar electrochemical behavior. The cyclic voltammogram of  $Ru(TPP)(Py)_{2}$  showed two oxidations at  $+0.21$ V and  $+1.26$  V vs., ssce in 0.1 mol/L TBAH- $CH_2Cl_2$  at 25°C<sup>[2]</sup>. The first oxidation step  $(+0. 21 \text{ V})$  was assigned to be metal-centered in nature  $(Ru(I) \rightarrow Ru(I))$ , while the second oxidation was assigned to porphyrin ring oxidation (for  $H_2$ TPP, at 0.95 V and 1.28 V. vs. ssce in 0. 1 mol/L TBAH-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 25°C). Similar results were also obtained for  $Ru(TPP)(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sup>[2,3]</sup>$ .

By comparison, couple I and I (Fig. 1) can be assigned to the following electrode reactions:

couple I  $[Ru'(Por)(H_2NR)_2] - e \rightarrow$  $\lceil \text{Ru}^{\text{I}(\text{Por})}(H_2NR_2) \rceil^+$ couple  $I \qquad [Ru^I (Por) (H_2 NR)_2]^+ - e \rightarrow$  $[Ru^{I}(Por^{+})(H_{2}NR)_{2}]^{2+}$ 



**Fig. 1 Cyclic voltammogram of Ruthenium porphyrlng**  Cyclic voltammogram of  $Ru(TPP) (H_2NBu^t)_2$ : --,  $Ru(4-Cl-$ TPP)  $(H_2NBu^1)_2$ ; --, andRu (3, 5-Cl-TPP)  $(H_2NBu^1)_2$ ,  $\cdots$ , in CICHzCHzCI with 0. 1 mol/L TBABF4 **as supporting electrolyte (scan rate:** 50 mV/s)

It can be seen from Table I that the redox potentials of bis(aliphatic amine) ruthenium( $I$ ) porphyrins are markedly dependent on the nature of the substituent bound to the phenyl group of the porphyrin ring. For example, couple I was found at  $-0.34$ ,  $-0.23$  and  $-0.15$  V vs.  $Cp_2Fe^{+/o}$  for  $Ru(TTP)(H<sub>2</sub>Bu-t)<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $Ru(4-Cl-TPP)(H<sub>2</sub>NBu-t)<sub>2</sub>$ and Ru (3, 5-Cl-TPP)  $(H_2NBu-t)_2$ , respectively (see Fig. 1). Obviously, the redox potentials are shifted anodically as the electron-withdrawing power of the substituent increases, in accord with the substituent sequence found by Rillema and coworkers<sup>[4]</sup>.

Like the ruthenium  $(I)$  analogue the cyclic voltammogram of Os (TTP)  $(H_2NBu-t)_2$  showed two oxidation couple  $\blacksquare$  and V at -0.64 and 0.93 V vs.  $Cp_2Fe^{+/o}(Fig. 2)$ . An additional small wave IV appeared at 0.67 V. The  $E_{1/2}$  for couple  $\mathbb I$  is more negative than the ruthenium analogue reaction is metal-centered:

$$
\text{couple } \mathbb{I} \qquad [\text{Os}^1 (\text{Por})(\text{HNEt}_2)_2] - e \rightarrow
$$
  

$$
([\text{Os}^1 (\text{Por})(\text{HNEt}_2)_2]^+
$$

The  $E_{1/2}$  of couple **I** for bis(aliphatic amine) osmium( I )porphyrins are also dependent on the nature of the substituents bound to the phenyl group of the porphyrin ring. From  $Table(1)$ , it is obvious that redox potentials increase as the electron-withdrawing power of the substituents increases.

The oxidation processes at higher potentials (couple IV and V ) are quite complex and not totally reversible . They may come from the osmium  $(\mathbf{I})$  center:  $^{[5]}$ 

 $\lceil \text{Os}^{\mathbb{I}} (\text{TPP})(CO) \rceil - e \rightarrow \lceil \text{Os}^{\mathbb{I}} (\text{TPP})(CO) \rceil^{2+}$  $E=1.31$  V vs. SSCE



#### Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammogram of Osmium porphyrins

Cyclic voltammogram of Os (4-Cl-TPP) ( $HNEt_2$ )<sub>2</sub>,-, and  $Os(TPP)(HNEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,..., in CICH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl with 0.1 mol/L TBABF<sub>4</sub> as$ supporting electrolyte (scan rate:  $50 \text{ mV/s}$ )

By comparison with couple  $\mathbb I$  in the ruthenium analogues, couple V in the osmium porphyrins is likely a ligand-centered oxidation, while Mosseri and co-workers assigned the second oxidation of Os(TPP)(CO) to removal of an electron from the osmium ( $\blacksquare$ ) center<sup>[5]</sup>, and couple N is present in all the diamine osmium porphyrins that we studied. The size and shape of couple IV suggests it is a kinetically sluggish electrode process. Oxidation of the  $\left[$  Os<sup> $\mathbf{I}$ </sup> (Por)-(H<sub>2</sub>NR)<sub>2</sub> $\right]$ <sup>+</sup> or  $\left[$  Os<sup> $\mathbf{I}$ </sup> (Por)- $(HNR<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> may result in the loss of protons from **the amine ligand to form osmium (iV)amino species. The coupling of proton loss with the electron transfer process may cause the electrode reaction to be kinetically slow. Hence couple IV may be**  assigned as oxidation of the osmium  $(\mathbb{I})$  centers to **give an osmium( IV ) amino intermediate.** 

**Acknowledgments: We thank the K. Y.**  Wong's group (Department of Applied Biology and **Chemical Technology,The Hong Kong University) for their help of this research.** 

### **References**

1 Brown G M, Hopf F R, Ferguson J A, *et al.* Metallo- .porphyrin redox chemistry: The effect of extraplanar ligands on the site of oxidation in ruthenium porphyrins. *d Am Chem Soc,1973,95(18):5939-5942* 

- 2 Boschi T, Bontempelli G, Mazzocchin G-A. Synthesis and electrochemical behavior of novel ruthenium( I ) tetraphenyl porphinate derivatives. *Inorg Chim Acta,*  1979, 37(2):155-160
- 3 Rillema D P, Nagle J K, Barringer L F, *et al.* Redox properties of metalloporphyrin excited states, lifetimes, and related properties of a series of parasubstituted tetraphenylporphine carbonyl complexes of ruthenium *! ). J Am Chem Soc,1981,* 103(1)..56-62
- 4 Mosseri S, Neta P. Redox reactions of osmium porphyrins. *J Chem Soc,Dalton Trans,* 1988,11 : 2705-2711
- 5 Che C M, Leung W H, Chung W C. Novel osmium (N) and  $-$  ( V ) porphyrins: Synthesis, spectroscopy and electrochemistry. *Inorg Chem,1990,* 29(10) : 1841-1846
- 6 Li Zaoying,Che Chiming. Bis (aliphatic amine) ruthenium ( I ) porphyrins synthesis, spectroscopy and crystal structure. *J of Inorg Chem*, 1997, 13(2): 135-145

**Abstract** l-In : *Wuhan Daxue Xuebao(Ziran Kexue Ban),* 1997,43(6) : 706~710]

# **SPECTROSCOPIC STUDY AND APPLICATION ON THE INCLUSION COMPLEXATION OF β-CYCLODEXTRIN WITH ESTRADIOL BENZOATE IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION**

#### **Yao Lifeng,Luo Zhaofu, Ma Hongyan, Pan Zuting**

(Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072,China)

The inclusion complexation reaction of  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin with estradiol benzoate (EB) in the presence of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide has been studied by means of UV absorption and fluorescent spectrometry. The reaction conditions, the formation constant, the mechanism of the host-guest inclusion complex have been studied and a simple, highly sensitive fluorescent synergistic method for the determination of EB has been established with satisfactory results.

Key words <sup>3</sup>-cyclodextrin, estradiol benzoate, cetyltrimethylammoinum bromide, spectral analysis, inclusion complexation