Consistency Condition for the Inelastic Process π **+ p** $\rightarrow \gamma$ **+ n.**

A. B. SAXENA, C. WATAL, B. K. AGARWAL and V. P. SETH

Physics Department, Allahabad University - Allahabad

(ricevuto il 6 Maggio 1968)

Summary. -- A consistency condition has been derived for the inelastic process π ⁻+p→ η +n. The nonnull condition is found to be violated when numerically tested.

1. - Introduction.

ADLER (1) has shown that PCAC leads to a consistency condition involving the strong interactions alone. Such conditions have been derived and verified for $\Delta S = 0$ and $\Delta S = 1$ currents in elastic processes (1.5). ADLER (5) has further exploited the PCAC hypothesis to relate the matrix element for any strong-interaction process with the matrix element for the corresponding process in which an additional massless, zero-energy pion is involved. In this paper we will derive a consistency condition for the inelastic process π +p \rightarrow $\rightarrow \eta + n$ and test it following essentially the method of Adler (1).

2. - Derivation of the consistency condition.

By PCAC we mean the hypothesis that

(1)
$$
\partial_{\mu}J_{\mu}^{A}=C\varphi_{\pi}=\frac{-i\sqrt{2}m_{\mathcal{N}}g_{A}(0)m_{\pi}^{2}}{g_{\pi\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}K_{\pi\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(0)}\varphi_{\pi},
$$

- (1) S. L. ADLER: *Phys. Rev.,* 137, B 1022 (1965).
- (2) B. R. MARTIN: *NUOVO Cimento,* 43A, 629 (1966); *Nucl. Phys.,* 87, 177 (1966).
- (3) M. A. AHMED: *Nuovo Cimento,* 46A, 569 (1966).
- (4) C. WATAL and B. K. AGARWAL: *NUOVO Cimento* (in press).
- (5) S. L. ADLER: *Phys. Rev.,* 139, B 1638 (1965).

where m_N is the nucleon mass, m_π is the pion mass, $g_A(0)$ is the β -decay axial vector coupling constant, $g_{\pi N N}$ is the renormalized pion-nucleon coupling constant, $K_{\pi\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}}(0)$ is the pionic form factor of the nucleon evaluated at zero pion mass, and φ_{π} is the renormalized field operator which creates the pion. As the kinematics of $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta+n$ is identical with the kinematics of $\pi+\mathcal{N}\rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \pi + \mathcal{N}$ the consistency condition in the η case is easily obtained from the condition in the π case by replacing $g_{N,N\pi}$ by $g_{n,N\pi}$. We get, following ADLER $(^{1-3})$,

(2)
$$
A^{\pi^{-} - \nu}(0, 0, 0) = \frac{g_{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N} \pi} g_{\eta \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}} K_{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N} \pi}(0)}{m_{\mathcal{N}}}.
$$

3. - Test of the consistency condition.

The fixed- t dispersion relation for the invariant amplitude describing the π^- -p scattering is

$$
A(0, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds' \operatorname{Im} A(s', t) \left[\frac{1}{s' - s} - \frac{1}{s' - u} \right].
$$

The integral has been evaluated by taking contributions from the low-lying resonant states $\mathcal{N}^*(1470)$ and $\mathcal{N}^*(1550)$. Taking contribution of the $\mathcal{N}^*(1470)$ state below the threshold from the Born term we get

(3)
$$
A(s,t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds' \operatorname{Im} A(s',t) \left[\frac{1}{s'-s} - \frac{1}{s'-u} \right] + \\ + (M^* - m_N) g_{N^* \eta, N} g_{\pi N \Lambda^*} \left[\frac{1}{M^{*2}-s} + \frac{1}{M^{*2}-u} \right].
$$

The contributions from resonances above the threshold are obtained by using the Breit-Wigner formula. We use the relations

(4)
$$
s = m_N^2 - 2m_N \nu_B + 2m_N \nu,
$$

$$
(5) \t\t u = m_N^2 - 2m_N \nu_B - 2m_N \nu
$$

to rewrite eq. (3) as

(6)
$$
A(s,t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\bar{v}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}v' \operatorname{Im} A(v', v_B, K^2 = -1) \left[\frac{1}{v' - v} - \frac{1}{v' + v} \right] + \\ + (M^* - m) \sqrt{2} g_{\pi N N^*} g_{\eta N^* N} \left[\frac{1}{M^{*2} - s} + \frac{1}{M^{*2} - u} \right],
$$

where $\bar{v} = v_0 + v_s$, $v_0 = m_{\eta} + m_{\eta}^2/2m_{\mathcal{N}}$ and M^* is the mass of $\mathcal{N}^*(1470)$. The highenergy behaviour of A is given by $v^{\alpha(0)}$, where α is the Regge parameter of the leading trajectory. The only quantum numbers which may be exchanged in the *t*-channel of $\pi^+ + p \rightarrow \eta + n$ are $P = (-1)^J$, $G = -1$, $I = 1$ and $A = 0$, *i.e.* the quantum numbers of the A_2 . For A_2 we have $\alpha(0) \simeq 0.35$. Therefore, the integral does not converge and a subtraction is necessary. If we make this subtraction at $v = \bar{v}$ and then fix $v = v_{\rm s} = 0$ in the resulting equation, we have

(7)
$$
A(0, 0, -1) = A(\nu_0, 0, -1) - \frac{2\nu_0^2}{\pi} \int_{\nu_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu' \frac{\mathrm{Im}\,A(\nu', 0, -1)}{\nu'(\nu'^2 - \nu_0^2)} +
$$

+
$$
(M^* - m_N) \sqrt{2} g_{\pi N N^*} g_{\eta N^* N} \left[\left(\frac{1}{M^{*2} - s} + \frac{1}{M^{*2} - u} \right)_{\nu = \nu_B = 0} - \left(\frac{1}{M^{*2} - s} + \frac{1}{M^{*2} - u} \right)_{\nu = \nu_0} \right].
$$

The subtraction constant in (7) is expressible in terms of scattering length \mathscr{A}_{\perp} on using the equations

$$
\frac{A(\nu, 0)}{4\pi} = \frac{2(W + m_N)}{[(p_{i0} + m_N)(p_{j0} + m_N)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_1 - \frac{2(W - m_N)}{[(p_{i0} - m_N)(p_{j0} - m_N)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_2
$$

and

$$
f_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{A}_{l+} \frac{(l+1)\{2(l+1)\}!}{2^{l+1}\{ (l+1)\}! \}^2} m_K^{2l},
$$

$$
f_2/q^2 = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (\mathscr{A}_{l-} - \mathscr{A}_{l+}) \frac{l(2l!)}{2(l!)^2} (m_{\mathbf{K}})^{2(l-1)}.
$$

CAMPBELL and LOGAN (6) found that an s-wave resonance accounts for the enhancement of the reaction near the threshold. They also found disagreement among the various solutions as to whether or not P_{11} is resonant. Moreover, since both π and η are pseudoscalar the lowest angular momentum state is an s-state. Therefore, by the partial-wave threshold behaviour, we expect η n production near the threshold (1488 MeV) to occur in s-waves. As η has zero isospin, the total isospin is $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the quantum numbers of low-energy η -production are S_{11} . In view of this, in our calculations we keep only the s-wave scattering length. We find that $\mathcal{A}_0 = 0.163$ and $A(\nu_0, 0, -1) = 5.15$.

Finally we shall consider the rescattering integral in (7). Since only s-wave is dominating, we shall calculate the contributions to $\text{Im}A(v', 0, -1)$ from

⁽⁶⁾ F. IJTCHIYAMA-CAMPBELL and R. K. LOGAN: *Phys. Rev.,* 149, 1220 (1966).

the $\mathcal{N}^*(1550)$ pole only. The value of integral comes out to be 1.16. The contribution of the last term in eq. (7) is equal to 12.06. Combining all these terms we have

$$
A(0, 0, -1) = 5.15 - 1.16 + 12.06 = 16.05.
$$

The right-hand side of the consistency condition comes out to be 8.41 using $g_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\pi}^2/4\pi = 14.5$, $q_{n\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}} = 4.69$ and (by the Goldberger-Treiman relation) $K_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}\pi}(0) =$ $= 0.87$. Therefore, the consistency condition does not appear to hold good for the inelastic process considered within the approximation of our calculations. The positive off-mass-shell correction cannot alter this conclusion. Therefore, the use of the consistency condition to evaluate the off-mass-shell amplitude should be resorted to with much care.

* * *

A. B. SAXENA, C. WATAL and V. P. SETH are grateful to C.S.I.R., New Delhi, for financial assistance.

RIASSUNTO (*)

Si è dedotta la condizione di consistenza per il processo anelastico $\pi^+\mu\rightarrow\pi^+\mu$. Risulta che, ove sia controllata numericamente, la condizione di non nullità è violata.

Условие согласованности для неупругого процесса $\pi^+ + p \rightarrow \eta + n$.

Резюме (*). - Было выведено условие согласованности для неупругого процесса π +p → η +n. Было найдено, что ненулевое условие нарушается, при проведении численных расчетов.

^(*) Traduzione a cura della Redazione.

^(•) Переведено редакцией.