

SOME APPLICATIONS OF THE WEIL REPRESENTATION

By

DAVID KAZHDAN

§1. The Weil representation in the local case

Let F be a field with $\text{char } F \neq 2$, $V = F^{2n}$ be a finite-dimensional vector space and Ω be a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on V . We will denote by $\text{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ or simply Sp the algebraic group of linear transformations $v \rightarrow v^s$ of V preserving Ω .

Let N be the following central extension of V (as an algebraic group):

$$N = \{(v, t), v \in V, t \in F\}$$

with the composition law:

$$(v_1, t_1) \cdot (v_2, t_2) = (v_1 + v_2, t_1 + t_2 + \Omega(v_1, v_2)).$$

We have a natural action $n \rightarrow n^s$ of Sp on N :

$$g: (v, t) \rightarrow (v^s, t).$$

Suppose now that k is a local field, and $\psi: F^\times \rightarrow C^*$ is a nontrivial additive character. Let $V_1, V_2 \subset V$ be maximal isotropic subspaces such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$. Then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$. The restrictions of the natural imbedding $i: V \rightarrow N$, $i(v) = (v, 0)$ to V_1 and V_2 are homomorphisms and so we can consider V_1, V_2 as subgroups of N . It is clear that they generate N . Now we define the unitary representation ρ of N in the space $W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L^2(V_1)$ by describing its restrictions to V_1, V_2 :

$$(\rho(v_1, 0)f)(v_1^0) = f(v_1 + v_1^0), \quad (\rho(v_2, 0)f)(v_1^0) = \psi(\rho(v_1^0, v_2))f(v_1^0),$$

$$v_1 \in V_1, \quad v_2 \in V_2.$$

It is not hard to check that ρ is really a representation of N in W and $\rho(0, t) = \psi(t)\text{Id}$.

It is well known ([6]) that every irreducible unitary representation

$$\rho': N \rightarrow \text{Aut } W$$

such that $\rho'(0, t) = \psi(t)E, \forall t \in k$, is equivalent to ρ .

It follows that for every $g \in \text{Sp}$ the representation $\rho^s(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho(n^s)$ is equivalent to ρ . So for every g there exists a unitary operator $A(g): W \rightarrow W$ such that

$$A(g)\rho(n) = \rho(n^s)A(g).$$

ρ is irreducible. Therefore $A(g)$ is uniquely defined up to a constant and we obtain a projective representation $A: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Aut } P(W)$. A can be realized as a usual representation only if $k = \mathbb{C}$. If $k \neq \mathbb{C}$, then ([6]) there exists an honest representation $\tau: \widetilde{\text{Sp}} \rightarrow \text{Aut } W$ of the two-sheeted covering $p: \widetilde{\text{Sp}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ such that

$$\tau(\tilde{g})\rho(n) = \rho(n^s)\tau(\tilde{g}) \text{ for all } n \in N, \tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}, g = p(\tilde{g}).$$

This representation τ we will call the Weil representation.

We denote by $W_0 \subset W$ the subspace of smooth vectors, i.e., in the case when F is non-archimedean then $W_0 = \{w \in W \mid \text{Stab } w \text{ is an open subgroup in } \widetilde{\text{Sp}}\}$; for archimedean F , $W_0 = \{w \in W \mid \forall w' \in W, \text{ the function } \varphi_{w,w'}(g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle w, \tau(g)w' \rangle \text{ on } \widetilde{\text{Sp}} \text{ is smooth}\}$. In our realization $W = L^2(V_1)$, $W_0 = \{\text{Space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on } V_1\}$ ([6]).

The element $(-\text{Id}) \in \text{Sp}$ is in the center of Sp . The subspaces $W^+, W^- \subset W$ of even and odd functions on V_1 are eigensubspaces of $\tau(-\text{Id})$, and therefore are invariant under $\rho(\widetilde{\text{Sp}})$. We denote $W_0^\pm = W^\pm \cap W_0$.

Lemma 1. *The restrictions τ^+ to W^+ and W^- are irreducible.*

Proof. Let us consider two subgroups $L_1, L_2 \subset \text{Sp}$:

$$L_1 = \{g \in \text{Sp} \mid V_1^g = V_1, V_2^g = V_2\},$$

$$L_2 = \{g \in \text{Sp} \mid V_1^g = V_1, g|_{V_1} = \text{Id}, g|_{V/V_1} = \text{Id}\}.$$

It is well known ([6]) that there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: L_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{GL}(V_1), \beta: L_2 \longrightarrow \{\text{quadratic forms on } V_1\}$$

and

$$(*) (\rho(l_1)f)(v_1) = \varepsilon(l_1) \det \alpha(l_1)^{-1/2} f(\alpha(l_1)v_1), \quad (\rho(l_2)f)(v_1) = \psi(\beta(l_2)(v_1))f(v_1)$$

($\varepsilon(l_1)$ is a complex number, $|\varepsilon(l_1)| = 1$) for all $l_1 \in L_1$, $l_2 \in L_2$, $v_1 \in V_1$, $f \in W$. The lemma follows immediately from this result.*

Suppose now that k is a non-archimedean local field, and denote by $\mathcal{O} \subset F$ the ring of integers. Let $\Lambda_1 \subset V_1$ be a compact open \mathcal{O} -submodule, let $\Lambda_2 \subset V_2$ be the annihilator of Λ_1 , i.e.,

$$\Lambda_2 = \{v \in V_2 \mid \psi(\Omega(v, \lambda)) = 1 \text{ for } \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_1\},$$

and let $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2 \subset V$. We let $N_\Lambda \subset N$ denote the subgroup generated by $i(\Lambda_1)$ and $i(\Lambda_2)$ in N , and let $K_\Lambda \subset \text{Sp}$ denote the stabilizer of $\Lambda \subset V$. It is well known that K_Λ is a maximal subgroup in Sp , i.e., for every $K_\Lambda \subset H \subset \text{Sp}$, either $H = K_\Lambda$ or $H = \text{Sp}$.

Lemma 2. a) *The covering $p: \widetilde{\text{Sp}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ splits over K_Λ , i.e., there exists a section $j: K_\Lambda \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Sp}}$.*

b) *The subspace $W^{K_\Lambda} \subset W$ of vectors which are invariant under $\tau \circ j(K_\Lambda)$ is one dimensional and lies in W^1 .*

Proof. a) Let us denote by $f_0 \in W$ the characteristic function of Λ_1 . It is clear that f_0 is invariant under $\rho(N_\Lambda)$ and, moreover, $W^{\rho(N_\Lambda)} = \mathbf{C}f_0$. The subgroup K_Λ normalizes N_Λ . Therefore, $A(k)$ preserves $W^{\rho(N_\Lambda)}$ for every $k \in K_\Lambda$, and we can choose the operator $A_0(k) \in A(k)$ such that $A_0(k)f_0 = f_0$. It is now clear that the map $k \rightarrow A_0(k)$ gives us an honest representation of K_Λ .

Let \tilde{K}_Λ be $p^{-1}(K_\Lambda) \subset \widetilde{\text{Sp}}$. We denote by $M \subset \tilde{K}$ the commutator subgroup of \tilde{K}_Λ .

Sublemma 2. *The projection p induces an isomorphism $p_0: M \rightarrow K_\Lambda$ and $\tau(m) = A_0(p(m))$ for all $m \in M$.*

Proof of sublemma. It is well known that the commutator subgroup of K_Λ is all of K_Λ , and therefore p is surjective. On the other hand, we proved that for every $\tilde{K} \in \tilde{K}_\Lambda$, $\tau(\tilde{K})$ preserves $W^{\rho(N_\Lambda)}$ and acts as a scalar on it. So, for every $m \in M$, $\tau(m)$ acts trivially on $W^{\rho(N_\Lambda)}$ and therefore is equal to $A_0(p(m))$. So p is injective. The sublemma is proved.

Part a) of the lemma is proved: we can simply write $j = p_0^{-1}$. To prove Part b) we shall need the following two obvious facts.

* If k is a non-archimedean field W_0^* are algebraically irreducible.

Let $K_1 = L_1 \cap K_\lambda$, $K_2 = L_2 \cap K_\lambda$. Then

(i) $W^{\rho(K_2)} = \{f \in W \mid \text{Supp } f \subset \Lambda_1\}$. (See (*).)

(ii) If $\text{Supp } f \subset \pi \Lambda_1$ then $\exists K_1^1 \subset L_2$, $K_2^1 \not\supseteq K_2$ such that $f \in W^{K_1^1}$.

Now let f be some element in W^{K_λ} . Then, $f \in W^{K_2}$ (and so $\text{supp } f \subset \Lambda_1$) and $f \in W^{K_1}$ (and so f as a function on Λ_1 is invariant under \mathcal{O} -linear automorphisms of Λ_1). Therefore there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{supp}(f - cf_0) \subset \pi \Lambda_1$. By construction $(f - cf_0) \in W^{K_\lambda}$ and, by (ii), $(f - cf_0) \in W^{K_1^1}$. So the stabilizer H of $\mathbb{C}(f - cf_0)$ in Sp is strictly larger than K_λ , and therefore is equal to all of Sp . But this is possible only when $f = cf_0$. It is clear that $f_0 \in W'$. So Lemma 2 is proved.

§2. The global case

Suppose now that k is a global field, $\text{Char } k \neq 2$. For every valuation p on k we denote by k_p the corresponding local field (archimedean valuations will often be denoted ∞), and we let \mathbf{A} denote the ring of adeles over k . For every group G over k we will let $G_\mathbf{A}$ denote its group of adelic points.

Now let (V, Ω) be a $2n$ -dimension vector space over k with a nondegenerate bilinear skew-form Ω . As before, we shall consider the groups N and Sp and isotropic subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subset V$. Let $W_\mathbf{A}$ be $L^2(V_{1,\mathbf{A}})$, let $W_{0,\mathbf{A}}$ be the subspace of Schwartz–Bruhat functions. We can define as before the unitary representation $\rho_\mathbf{A}: N_\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Aut } W_\mathbf{A}$ and the projective representation $A: \text{Sp}_\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Aut } P(W_\mathbf{A})$. It is known that A induces a representation $\tau: \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Aut } W_\mathbf{A}$ of the two-sheeted coverings of $\text{Sp}_\mathbf{A}$. This representation preserves $W_{0,\mathbf{A}}$.

Lemma 3. *The covering $p_\mathbf{A}: \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_\mathbf{A}$ splits over Sp_k , and there exists a non-zero functional λ_0 on $W_{0,\mathbf{A}}$ which is invariant under $\tau(\text{Sp}_k)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that every functional $\lambda \in (W_{0,\mathbf{A}})'$ invariant under $\rho(N_k)$ is proportional to $\lambda_0: f \rightarrow \sum_{v \in V_k} f(v)$. The group Sp_k normalizes N_k , and therefore $A(\gamma)$ preserves $\mathbb{C} \lambda_0$ for all $\gamma \in \text{Sp}_k$. So we can choose the operator $A_0(\gamma) \subset A(\gamma)$ such that $A_0(\gamma)\lambda_0 = \lambda_0$. Now we can finish with the same arguments as in Lemma 2.

Let Π be the set of all valuations p on k , and let B be the set of all sequences $\mathbf{b} = (b_p)$, $p \in \Pi$ of ± 1 in which there is only a finite number of -1 . For every $\mathbf{b} \in B$ we denote by $W^\mathbf{b} \subset W_\mathbf{A}$ the subspace of functions f on $V_{1,\mathbf{A}}$ such that $f((-1)_p v) = b_p f(v)$ for all $v \in V_{1,\mathbf{A}}$. Here $(-1)_p$ is the idele which is the image of -1 under the natural imbedding $k_p^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^*$.

The following fact is an easy consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2.

Lemma 4. *For every $\mathbf{b} \in B$ the subspace $W^\mathbf{b} \subset W_\mathbf{A}$ is invariant under $\tau_\mathbf{A}(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_\mathbf{A})$. Moreover, the restriction $\tau_\mathbf{b}$ of $\tau_\mathbf{A}$ to $W^\mathbf{b}$ is an irreducible representation of $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_\mathbf{A}$ which is equivalent to the restricted tensor product $\otimes_{p \in \Pi} W_p^\mathbf{b}$, where $W_p^\mathbf{b}$ is the corresponding representations of $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p}$.*

Let $W_0^b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W^b \cap W_{0_A}$.

Lemma 5. *The restriction of λ_0 to W^b is trivial iff b has an odd number of -1 .*

Proof. Let $Z = \text{Center } \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_A = \prod_{p \in \Pi} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. We have a natural imbedding $Z \subset \mathbf{A}^*$ and therefore a natural action of Z on V_1 , W_0 and $(W_0)'$. Every element $b \in B$ can be considered as a character of Z .

We have to prove that

$$\int_Z \mathbf{b}(z)(z\lambda_0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} \text{ has an odd number of } -1.$$

But this is obvious.

Let p_1, \dots, p_l be a set of valuations on k , τ_{p_i} the Weil representations $\tau_{p_i}: \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_{p_i}} \rightarrow \text{Aut } W_{p_i}$, $N_{p_i} \subset (W_{p_i})_0$ non-zero subspaces. Let

$$N = N_{p_1} \otimes \dots \otimes N_{p_l} \otimes_{n-(p_i)} (W_p)_0 \subset (W_A)_0.$$

Proposition 1. *The restriction of λ_0 on N is not zero.*

Proof. For simplicity we suppose that for every i , $1 \leq i \leq l$ there exists a number $b_{p_i}^0 = \pm 1$ such that the intersection $N_{p_i} \cap W^0$ is not zero. We will apply the proposition only in this case. Fix now $b = (b_p) \in B$ with even numbers of (-1) and such that $b_{p_i} = b_{p_i}^0$. Let N^b be the intersection $N \cap W_0^b$.

We know that the restriction of λ_0 to W_0^b is non-zero. We shall show that the restriction of λ_0 to N^b is non-zero. As N^b is $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p}$ invariant for every $p \in \Pi - \{p_i\}$ this result follows immediately from:

Lemma 6. *Let p be a valuation on k and M be a non-zero subspace of W_0^b invariant under $\tau(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p})$. Then the restriction of λ_0 to M is non-zero.**

Proof of lemma. Let us consider the map from W_0^b to the space L of smooth functions on $\text{Sp}_k \backslash \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_A$

$$\varphi_0(w)(g) = \lambda_0(\tau(g)w).$$

$\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_A$ naturally acts on L by right shifts, and φ_0 is a morphism of representations. We know that $\varphi_0 \neq 0$, and that the representation of $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_A$ in W_0^b is irreducible.

* We consider $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p}$ as a subgroup of $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_A$.

Hence, φ_0 is an imbedding, and $\varphi_0(M) \neq 0$. M is $\tau(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p})$ invariant, and so $L_0 = \varphi_0(M)$ is a non-zero subspace of L invariant under right shifts by $(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p})$. If the restriction λ_0 to V were zero, then for every function $\varphi \in L_0$ we would have $\varphi(\bar{e}) = 0$, where \bar{e} is the image of the the unit in $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_\lambda$. L_0 is $(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(k_p))$ invariant, so the restriction of any $\varphi \in L_0$ to the orbit $\Omega = \bar{e}(\widetilde{\text{Sp}}_{k_p})$ is zero. But it follows from the strong approximation theorem [2] that Ω is dense in $\text{Sp}_k \setminus \widetilde{\text{Sp}}_\lambda$. So $\varphi \equiv 0$. This contradiction proves the lemma and the proposition.

§3. The unitary subgroup

Let k be a field ($\text{char } k \neq 2$), let K be a quadratic extension, V be a finite dimensional K -vector space, and let Q be a nondegenerate Hermitian form on V . Let $i \neq 0$ be some element in K such that $\text{Tr}_{K/k} i = 0$. If we consider V as a k -vector space (by restriction of scalars), then we can write Q in the form $Q = \text{Re } Q + i \text{Im } Q$, where $\text{Re } Q$ and $\text{Im } Q$ are bilinear forms on V . $\text{Re } Q$ will be a symmetric and $\text{Im } Q$ a symplectic nondegenerate form. Let us denote by U_0 (or simply U) the unitary group of K -automorphisms of V preserving Q , and by Sp_0 (or simply Sp) the group of k -automorphisms of V preserving $\text{Im } Q$. By definition, we can consider U as a subgroup in Sp .

Let U_1 denote the center of U . It consists of the scalar transformations $v \rightarrow \lambda v$, for all $\lambda \in K^*$ with $N_{K/k}(\lambda) = 1$. We shall also consider the subgroup $SU \subset U$ of unimodular transformations in U .

Let k now be a local field.

Lemma 7. *The restriction on the covering $p: \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, k) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, k)$ splits over SU .*

Proof. If $k = \mathbf{C}$ there is nothing to prove. If $k = \mathbf{R}$ and $K = \mathbf{C}$ we can apply topological arguments. It is enough to prove that the image of the fundamental group $\pi_1(SU)$ under the embedding $\alpha: SU \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$ is zero. Let the Hermitian form Q have type (s, t) ($s + t = n$). Then the group SU is contractible on $U(s) \times U(t)$, $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$ on $U(s + t)$ and the embedding α corresponds to the natural embedding $\bar{\alpha}: U(s) \times U(t) \rightarrow U(s + t)$. It is clear that $\bar{\alpha}(S(U(s) \times U(t))) \subset SU(n)$. As $SU(n)$ is simply connected the lemma is proved in this case.

Now suppose that k is a non-archimedean field. The following remarks were told me by Han Sah.

1) Suppose that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$. If the lemma is true for (V, Q) it is true also for (V_1, Q_1) . Indeed, we know [6] that the metaplectic covering $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(V_1) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(V_1)$ can be obtained by the restriction of the covering $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(V)$. So if the restriction of the latter to $SU_0(V)$ splits then the

restriction of the former one to $SU_{\mathcal{O}_1}(V_1)$ also splits. So, it is enough to prove the lemma in the case when Q is quasi-split.

2) The lemma is true when $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} V = 2$ ([5]).

Consider now the case when Q is quasi-split. Denote by $\tilde{p}: \widetilde{SU} \rightarrow SU$ the restriction of p to $SU_{\mathcal{O}}$. \widetilde{SU} is the continuous central extension of the semi-simple group. For every simple root λ of SU we denote by $SL(2, k)_{\lambda}$ the subgroup of SU generated by the root subgroups E_{λ} and $E_{-\lambda}$. It follows from 2) that the restriction of \tilde{p} to $SL(2, k)_{\lambda}$ splits. So \tilde{p} also splits. The lemma is proved.

As SU is the commutator subgroup of U it follows from Lemma 7 that the commutator subgroup of $p^{-1}(U)$ is isomorphic to SU (the projection p induces the isomorphism). So we will consider SU as the subgroup in $\widetilde{Sp}(2n, k)$.

Now let $k = \mathbf{R}$ and Q have type (s, t) .

As p splits over $SU(s, t)$, there exists a unique section $j: SU(s, t) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$, and we shall henceforth consider $SU(s, t)$ as a subgroup in $\widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$. Let us denote by C the preimage $p^{-1}(U_1) \subset \widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$. Let $\alpha \in C$ be a nontrivial element in $p^{-1}(e)$, we know that C is connected for even n and isomorphic to $U_1 \times \{1, \alpha\}$ for odd n . For simplicity, we fix the additive character ψ on \mathbf{R} (which we need in order to define τ) as $\psi(x) = \exp(ix)$.

We let \hat{C}^- denote the set of characters χ on C such that $\chi(\alpha) = -1$.

For every $\chi \in \hat{C}^-$ let $W_{\chi} \subset W$ be the subspace of vectors $w \in W$ such that $\tau(c)w = \chi(c)w$ for all $c \in C$. It is clear that W_{χ} is invariant under the restriction of τ to $SU(s, t)$. The corresponding representation of $SU(s, t)$ in W_{χ} will be denoted by ρ_{χ} . For every $l \in \mathbf{Z}$ we denote by χ_l the following character on C : $\chi_l(u) = u^{l(s-t)+l}$, and we will write W_l instead of W_{χ_l} . We consider U_1 as a subgroup in \mathbf{C}^* . If n is even, then χ_l is a character of the connected component of l in C , and we extend it to all of C by $\chi_l(\alpha) = -1$. If n is odd, then χ_l is a correctly defined character on C and $\chi_l(\alpha) = -1$.

Proposition 2. a) $W = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbf{Z}} W_{\chi_l}$.

b) If $(s, t) = (n, 0)$ then $W_{\chi_l} \neq 0$ iff $l \geq 0$ with $l \in \mathbf{Z}$ non-negative, for $u \in U_1$. The representation τ_l of $SU(n)$ in W_{χ_l} is irreducible and isomorphic to the l -th symmetric power of the standard representation of SU .

c) If $(s, t) = (0, n)$ then $W_{\chi_l} \neq 0$ iff $\chi = \chi_{-l}$ for some non-negative $l \in \mathbf{Z}$. The representation of $SU(n)$ in W_{-l} is dual to the representation in W_l .

d) If $s \cdot t \neq 0$, then $W_l \neq 0$ for all $l \in \mathbf{Z}$, and the representation τ_l of $SU(s, t)$ in W_l is irreducible.

e) If $(s, t) = (n-1, 1)$, then the representation of $SU(n-1, 1)$ in W_l has the following two properties:

1) The restriction of τ_l to the maximal compact subgroup $U(n-1) \hookrightarrow SU(n-1, 1)$ contains the representation $\text{St} \otimes \det$, where St is the standard $(n-1)$ -dimensional representation of $U(n-1)$, and \det is the one-dimensional representation $u \mapsto \det u$.

II) Let $(\tau_1)_*$ be the corresponding representation of the universal enveloping algebra A of the Lie algebra $SU(n - 1, 1)$, and let $\Delta \in A$ be the Casimir operator. Then $(\tau_1)_*(\Delta) = 0$.

- f) In the same case τ_1 is tempered iff $l \leq 1 - n$. In this case it is in the discrete series.
- g) If $s, t > 1$ then τ_χ is not in the discrete series for any χ .

Proof. To prove the proposition we take the Bargmann–Fock realization of the Weil representation ([4]). As our field is real we shall consider the corresponding representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$.

Let Θ be the space of all holomorphic $f: \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{C}^m} |f(z)|^2 l^{-|z|^2} dz < \infty$$

where $|z|^2 = \sum_1^n |z_i|^2$ and dz is Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{C}^m . Then Θ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(f, g) = \pi^{-m} \int f(z) \overline{g(z)} l^{-|z|^2} dz.$$

We can consider \mathfrak{g} as a space of quadratic forms on $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n$ where the commutator is Poisson brackets. Then we can write the representation τ_* by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_*(p_a p_t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_a \partial z_b} - z_a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_b} - z_b \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} + z_a z_b - \delta_{ab} \right), \\ \tau_*(p_a q_b) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_a \partial z_b} - z_a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_b} + z_b \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} - z_a z_b \right), \\ \tau_*(q_a q_b) &= -\frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_a \partial z_b} + z_a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_b} + z_b \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} + z_a z_b + \delta_{ab} \right) \quad (\text{see [4]}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the subspace $U_{s,t} \subset C_\mathfrak{g}$ ($s + t = n$) generated by

$$\xi_{a,b} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_a p_b + q_a q_b \quad \text{for } a \leq b \leq s \quad \text{and } s < a \leq b$$

and

$$\eta_{a,b} = p_a p_b - q_a q_b \quad \text{for } a \leq s < b.$$

It is clear that $U_{s,t}$ is the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the imbedding $U(s, t) \hookrightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$.

Now it is easy to verify the propositions a)–e). The statements f), g) are proved in [4].

Next let k be any local non-archimedean field and K its quadratic extension, Q a nondegenerate Hermitian form on V and let U_O be the corresponding unitary group. We can also define the subgroups $U_i, SU \subset U_O$ and the corresponding subgroups $C, SU \subset \tilde{U} = p^{-1}(U) \subset \widetilde{Sp}_k$.

As before, for every character $\chi \in \hat{C}$ we consider the subspace $W_\chi \subset W$ of $w \in W$ such that $\tau(c)w = \chi(c)w, c \in C$. W_χ is invariant under $\tau(U)$.

Now let k be an absolutely real number field, K its purely imaginary quadratic extension, Q a Hermitian form on a finite dimension K -vector space V . For every valuation p on k we denote by SU_{k_p} the corresponding unitary group (if K splits in p then $SU_{k_p} \cong GL(n, k_p)$).

Let i be the natural imbedding $i: U_O \hookrightarrow Sp(2n, k)$ and i_λ the corresponding imbedding $i_\lambda: U_O(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbf{A})$. Let $\tilde{U}_O(\mathbf{A})$ (or simply \tilde{U}_λ) be the preimage $p^{-1}(U_O(\mathbf{A}))$ in the two-sheeted covering $p: \widetilde{Sp}_\lambda \rightarrow Sp_\lambda$ (we will write Sp_λ and Sp_k instead of $Sp(2n, \mathbf{A})$ and $Sp(2n, k)$). We consider $U_O(k)$ (or simply U_k) as a subgroup of \tilde{U}_λ . As we proved p splits over SU_λ and we can consider SU_λ as a subgroup in \widetilde{Sp}_λ .

The restriction of the Weil representation τ to \tilde{U}_λ (and to all subgroups) will also be denoted by τ .

Let $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_r$ be the set of all infinite valuations of k . For every $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ let l_i be a number such that $W_{l_i} \neq \{0\}$. (We consider W_{l_i} as the subspace in W_{∞_i} .) (See Proposition 2.) We denote by L the space of smooth functions on $SU_k \backslash SU_\lambda$.

Proposition 3. *There exists an SU_λ -invariant subspace $L_0 \subset L, L_0 \neq \{0\}$ such that the corresponding representation of $\prod_{i=1}^r SU_{\infty_i}$ (by right shifts) in L_0 is a multiple of $\otimes W_{l_i}$.*

Let us denote by $\varphi_1: (W_\lambda)_0 \rightarrow L$ the map $\varphi_1(w)(u) = \lambda_0(\tau(u)w)$ and denote by L_0 the image of N . It follows from Proposition 1 that $L_0 \neq \{0\}$. As φ_1 commutes with SU_λ then Proposition 3 is proved.

Let χ be a character on $U_{1,k} \backslash U_{1,\lambda}$. We will denote by φ_χ the map from $W_{0,\lambda}$ to the space L_U of smooth functions on $SU_k \backslash SU_\lambda$

$$\varphi_\chi(w)(u) = \int_{U_{1,k} \backslash U_{1,\lambda}} (\chi(\dot{u}_i) \lambda_0(\tau(u_i u)g) d\dot{u}_i)^*$$

We will denote by L_χ the image of φ_χ .

* The integral makes sense because $U_{1,k} \backslash U_{1,\lambda}$ is compact.

It is clear that L_χ is SU_λ invariant subspace in L_u . It follows from some unpublished results of Howe that L_χ are irreducible subspaces in L_u .

From Proposition 3 we obtain easily

Corollary. *Let l_1, \dots, l_n be integers such that $W_i \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a character χ on $U_{1,k} \backslash U_{1,\lambda}$ such that*

- a) *for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ the restriction of χ on U_{1,∞_i} is equal to χ_i ,*
- b) *$L_\chi \neq \{0\}$.*

K is purely imaginary, and therefore U_{1,∞_i} is compact for every infinite place ∞_i of k .

§4. Cohomology of discrete subgroups in $SU(n - 1, 1)$

Let us now consider the case when $k \neq \mathbf{Q}$ the form Q has a type $(n - 1, 1)$ in one infinite place, say ∞_1 , and is definite in all others. For the simplicity of notations we suppose that Q is positive in all these places.

We can consider SU_O as an algebraic group. The group of real points $SU_O(\mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to the product $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} SU_{\infty_i} = SU(n - 1, 1) \times SU(n)^{r-1}$. The group of integer points P_0 is a discrete subgroup in $SU_O(\mathbf{R})$ which we can consider as a subgroup in $SU(n - 1, 1)$. The quotient space $\Gamma_0 \backslash SU(n - 1, 1)$ is compact (because Q_{∞_2} and consequently Q is anisotropic).

Theorem 1. *There exists a congruence subgroup $P_0 \subset \Gamma$ such that $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 3 in the case when $l_1 = 1, l_i = 0, 2 \leq i \leq r$. As $SU_k \backslash SU_\lambda$ is compact we obtain the SU_λ invariant subspace $L_0 \subset L^2(SU_k \backslash SU_\lambda), L_0 \neq \{0\}$ such that the corresponding representation of $SU(n - 1) \times SU(n)^{r-1}$ in L_0 is multiple of $\tau_1 \otimes (\text{Id})^{r-1}$. Let C be an open subgroup in $\otimes_{11-(\infty_i)} U_p$ such that the space of L_0^C of C -invariant vectors $\neq 0$. Denote by Γ the intersection $\Gamma = SU_k \cap SU_O(\mathbf{R}) \times C$. It follows from the strong approximation theorem [2] that $(L^C) = \{\text{space of smooth functions on } \Gamma \backslash SU(\mathbf{R})\}$ and L_0^C is non-zero subspace of L^C . As every element in L_0^C is invariant under $U(n)^{r-1}$ we can consider L_0^C as a $SU(n - 1, 1)$ invariant subspace of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash SU(n - 1, 1))$.^{*} From the properties of L_0 it follows that the representation of $SU(n - 1, 1)$ in L_0^C is a multiple of τ_1 . Now the theorem follows from Proposition 2e) and [3].

^{*} We consider Γ simultaneously as a subgroup in $SU_\lambda, SU(\mathbf{R})$ and $SU(n - 1, 1) = SU_{\infty_1}$.

§5. Some examples

Now let K be as in §4, $[K:\mathbf{Q}] \geq 3$ and Q has type $(n-1, 1)$ in two points ∞_1, ∞_2 and positive in all others. If we apply Proposition 3 in the case $l_1 > 1-n$ and $l_2 \leq 1-n$, $l_i = 0$ for $i > 2$ then by the same arguments as before we obtain

Theorem 2. *There exists a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset SU(n-1, 1) \times SU(n-1)$ and $V \subset L^2(\Gamma \backslash (SU(n-1, 1) \times SU(n-1, 1)))$ such that 1) the quotient space is compact, 2) the projection of Γ on every component is dense, 3) V is $SU(n-1, 1) \times SU(n-1, 1)$ invariant subspace, 4) V is irreducible; $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$ where V_1 and V_2 are irreducible representations of $SU(n-1, 1)$, 5) V_1 is not tempered and V_2 is in the discrete series.*

Now we consider the case when $\dim V = 3$ and Q is isotropic (so quasi-split). Let χ be a character such that $L_\chi \neq 0$.

Theorem 3. a) $L_\chi \subset L^2(SU_k \backslash SU_\lambda)$.

b) If $\chi_{\mathbf{R}} = \chi_l$ for $l < 0$ then L_χ is a cuspidal subspace.

c) For every $l \geq 0$ there exists a character χ on $U_k \backslash U_{1,\lambda}$ such that $\chi_{\mathbf{R}} = \chi_l$ and $L_\chi \neq \{0\}$ and is not in the space of cuspidal forms.

In our case, this is equivalent to the form

$$Q(X, Y, Z; X_1, Y_1, Z_1) = X\bar{Z}_1 + Z\bar{X}_1 + Y\bar{Y}_1$$

where X, Y, Z are coordinates corresponding to a basis $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in V$ and $\bar{}$ is the automorphism of K over k . The split torus T of U is isomorphic to the multiplicative group. It acts on V in the following way: $l_1 \rightarrow tl_1, l_2 \rightarrow l_2, l_3 \rightarrow t^{-1}l_3$ where t is a natural parameter on T . The unipotent subgroup $N \subset SU$ is isomorphic to the group of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & -\bar{\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\beta \in K$ and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma = -N(\beta)/2$ in the basis (l_1, l_2, l_3) . Let $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \neq 0$, be an element such that $\bar{\alpha} = -\alpha$. Then $(l_1, l_2, l_3, \alpha l_1, \alpha l_2, \alpha l_3)$ is a basis of V over k . Let $M, M' \subset V$ be the subspaces generated by $(l_1, \alpha l_1, l_2)$ and $(l_3, \alpha l_3, \alpha l_2)$. It is clear that they are isotropic subspaces for $\operatorname{Im} Q$. So we can realize W_λ as the space of Schwartz–Bruhat functions $S(M_\lambda)$ on M_λ , and we can easily describe the action of

$\tau(T)$ and $\tau(N)$ on W_λ . In particular, if (a_1, a_2, a_3) are coordinates in M_λ corresponding to the basis $(l_1, \alpha l_1, l_2)$, then

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\tau(t)\varphi)(a_1, a_2, a_3) = |t|^{-1}\varphi(t^{-1}, a_1, t^{-1}a_2, a_3), \quad t \in T, \\ &\left(\tau\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi\right)(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \psi\left(\frac{(a_1^2 + k_0 a_2^2)\gamma}{\alpha}\right)\varphi(a_1, a_2, a_3), \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma \in K, \bar{\gamma} = -\gamma$ where $k_0 = \alpha^2 \in k$,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\tau\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & -\beta\bar{\beta}/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi\right)(a_1, a_2, a_3) \\ &= \psi(B_\beta(a_1, a_2, a_3))\varphi(a_1 - \operatorname{Re} \beta a_3, a_2 - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \beta a_3, a_3) \end{aligned}$$

where B_β is a quadratic form which is easy to write explicitly. We will use the following fact:

$$(3) \quad B_\beta(0, 0, 1) \equiv 0.$$

Now let $w \in W_\lambda$ be a function on M_λ . From (1) it follows that $|\varphi_w(t)| = |\lambda_0(\tau(t)w)| < C_w$. On the other hand we know ([1]) that we can write SU_λ as the product of SU_k and a Siegel domain $S = T \cdot N^0 T_0 C$, where T_0 is the subset in T_λ consisting of t with $|t| > r_0$, $N^0 \subset N_\lambda$ is the set of elements with $|\beta|, |\gamma| \leq 1$, and C is the compactum. The right invariant measure on S is $dndt \cdot dc/|t|^5$. So

$$\int_{SU_k \backslash SU_\lambda} |\varphi_w(u)|^2 du \leq \int_{u \in S} |\varphi_w(u)|^2 du \leq C'_w \int_{r_0}^\infty \frac{dr}{r^3} < \infty.$$

Now we have to determine when $L_\chi \subset L^2$ is cuspidal.

For this we have to understand when

$$\int_{U_{1,k} \backslash U_{1,\lambda}} \chi(u)\tau(u) \int_{N_k \backslash N_\lambda} \tau(n)\lambda_0 dndu \neq 0.$$

From the formulas (2) and (3) it follows that $\lambda_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{N_k \backslash N_\lambda} \tau(n)\lambda_0 dn$ is the following functional on $S(M_\lambda)$:

$$\lambda_N(\varphi) = \sum_{a \in k} \varphi(0, 0, a).$$

$M_A = K_A + A$, and therefore $S(M_A) = S(K_A) \otimes S(A)$. This decomposition agrees with the actions of U_1 on $S(K_A)$ and $S(A)$ (the sections come from the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $V_1 = (l_1, l_3)$, $V_2 = (l_2)$, which is invariant under U_1). Moreover, $\lambda_N = \delta \otimes \lambda_0^1$, where $\delta(\varphi_1) = \varphi_1(0)$ for $\varphi_1 \in S(K_A)$ and $\lambda_0^1(\varphi_2) = \sum_{a \in k} \varphi_2(a)$ for $\varphi_2 \in S(A)$. It is clear that δ is invariant under the action of U_1 . So Theorem 3 now follows from Lemma 6 and Proposition 1.

Remark. To prove that $L \neq 0$ and is not cuspidal for a character χ on $U_k \backslash U_{1,A}$, it clearly suffices to check that λ_χ^1 is not zero in the case when $\dim V = 1$.

Corollary. *There exists a subgroup $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$ and a subspace $L_\chi^0 \subset L^2(\Gamma \backslash SU(2, 1))$ such that*

- 1) L_χ^0 is SU -invariant, and the corresponding representation of SU in L_χ is irreducible and does not lie in the principal series.
- 2) L_χ^0 is not cuspidal.

Moreover, we may choose Γ to be in a congruence subgroup of Γ_0 . Here Γ_0 is the group of all matrices in $SL(3, Q(i))$ which preserve the Hermitian form $Z_1 \bar{Z}_3 + Z_3 \bar{Z}_1 + Z_2 \bar{Z}_2$ on C^3 .

Proof. We take $k = Q$, $K = Q(i)$, $\chi_R = \chi_l$, $l \geq 0$. Let χ be a character on $U_{1,A}$ which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.

Let L_χ be the corresponding subspace in $L^2(SU_Q \backslash SU_A)$. Let C be an open compact subgroup in $\prod_{p \in \pi^{-\infty}} V_p$ such that $L_\chi^1 \neq 0$. Moreover, we suppose that there exists $\varphi(l) \neq 0$.

The space L_χ^1 is invariant under the action of $SU_R \simeq SU(2, 1)$, and is isomorphic as $SU(2, 1)$ -module to $n\pi_l$, where $n \in Z$, and π_l is the representation of $SU(2, 1)$ corresponding to χ_l . As $l \leq -3$, π_l is in the discrete series. Let $L_\chi^1 \subset L_\chi^1$ be an irreducible $SU(2, 1)$ -submodule which contains a function φ such that $\varphi(l) \neq 0$. Then $\Gamma = p^{-1}(C) \cap U_Q \subset U_R = SU(2, 1)$ and $L_\chi^0 = \{\text{restriction of } L_\chi^1 \text{ to } U_R \subset \tilde{U}_A\}$ satisfies the conditions of the Corollary.

I want to thank Professor Sternberg, who showed me the preprint [4] which helped me very essentially, Professor Sah and Professor Howe, for very helpful discussions, and Professor Koblitz, who helped me to write this article.

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel, *Reduction theory*, Proc. Sym. Pure Math. IX, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1966.

2. M. Kneser, *Strong approximation*, Proc. Sym. Pure Math. IX, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1966.
3. H. Matsushima, *A formula for Betti numbers of compact locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967), 99–109.
4. Shlomo Sternberg and Joseph Wolf, preprint.
5. S. Tanaka, *On irreducible unitary representations of some special linear groups of the second order*, Osaka J. Math., 1966.
6. A. Weil, *Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 143–211.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HARVARD UNIVERSITY
CAMBRIDGE, MASS. 02138 USA

(Received March 3, 1977)