

ASYMPTOTIC VALUES AND HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS

By

RAIMO NÄKKI[†] AND BRUCE PALKA[‡]

[†]*Department of Mathematics, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland; and*

[‡]*Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA*

1. Introduction

A function f defined on a set A in R^n and taking values in R^n is said to satisfy a Hölder condition of order $\alpha > 0$ at a point y of A if there is a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|^\alpha$$

for all x in A . In the event that (1) holds with fixed M for all points x and y of A , we speak of f as *uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent α on A* or say that f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A)$, the *Lipschitz class with exponent α on A* . For any reasonable set A — a domain, for instance — the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A)$ consists entirely of constant mappings when $\alpha > 1$.

Suppose that f is a quasiconformal mapping of the open unit ball $B^n = \{x \in R^n : |x| < 1\}$ into R^n . It is by now well-known that, if A is a compact subset of B^n , then $f|_A$ is a member of the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A)$ for $\alpha = K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}$, where $K_I(f)$ designates the inner dilatation of f . Typically, however, very little can be said about the uniform Hölder continuity of f on sets which cluster at the boundary of B^n . In particular, there need not exist any $\alpha > 0$ with the property that f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^n)$.

The goal of this paper is to describe a variety of circumstances in which it becomes possible to obtain uniform Hölder estimates for f on sets A that approach ∂B^n . The specific sets we have in mind are Stolz regions and the unit ball itself. The strategy for achieving this objective involves the study of special asymptotic values of f . Indeed, as will soon be apparent, the notion of an “asymptotic value of positive order” represents a unifying thread running through the paper.

Regarding matters of notation and terminology we conform to the usage in the book of Väisälä [10], unless otherwise stipulated.

[†] Part of this research was done while the author was visiting The University of Texas at Austin during 1985–86.

2. Lindelöf's theorem and Hölder continuity

Let f be a continuous mapping of B^n into R^n and let b be a boundary point of B^n . We say that f has the point b' of R^n as an *asymptotic value at b* if there is an endcut E of B^n terminating at b — meaning an arc E lying in B^n except for an endpoint at b — with the property that $f(x) \rightarrow b'$ as $x \rightarrow b$ along E . If, in addition, there exists an exponent $\alpha > 0$ for which it is true that

$$|f(x) - b'| \leq M |x - b|^\alpha$$

for all x on E , where $M > 0$ is a constant, we will refer to b' as an *asymptotic value of order α* . (We stress: there is no requirement here that $\alpha \leq 1$. Also, as a matter of convenience we are free to assume that the endcut E has its second endpoint at the origin. This can always be achieved by truncating or extending E , the latter at the possible expense of increasing the size of M .) While we have elected to deal exclusively with finite asymptotic values in this paper, there is a perfectly natural way to speak of f having ∞ as an asymptotic value of order α at b : one simply requires the mapping $I \circ f$ to have the origin as an asymptotic value of order α at b , where I denotes inversion with respect to the unit sphere. Under this definition most results in the present section admit obvious counterparts for the case of an infinite asymptotic value.

For θ in $(0, \pi/2)$ and for b in ∂B^n , the *Stolz cone* $S_\theta(b)$ with vertex at b is defined by

$$S_\theta(b) = \{x \in B^n : |x - b| < \cos \theta, (b - x) \cdot b > |b - x| \cos \theta\}.$$

It is easily demonstrated that there exists a constant c in $(0, 1)$, depending only on θ , such that

$$(2) \quad \text{dist}(x, \partial B^n) \geq c |x - b|$$

for every x in $S_\theta(b)$.

A classical theorem of Lindelöf asserts that, if f is a bounded analytic function in the unit disk B^2 in \mathbb{C} and if f has b' as an asymptotic value at a boundary point b of B^2 , then $f(z) \rightarrow b'$ as $z \rightarrow b$ through any Stolz sector $S_\theta(b)$. The analogue of this result for quasiconformal mappings of B^n was established by Gehring [4]. We contribute the following observation concerning asymptotic values of positive order:

Theorem 1. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n . Suppose that f has a point b' as an asymptotic value of order $\alpha > 0$ at a boundary point b of B^n . Then, corresponding to each θ in $(0, \pi/2)$, there exists a constant M_θ such that*

$$(3) \quad |f(x) - b'| \leq M_\theta |x - b|^\alpha,$$

whenever x lies in the Stolz cone $S_\theta(b)$.

Proof. Choose an endcut E of B^n joining the origin to b and enjoying the property that

$$(4) \quad |f(y) - b'| \leq M |y - b|^\alpha$$

for all y on E , where M is a positive constant. Then E intersects each sphere $\partial B^n(b, t)$ with $0 < t < 1$. Fix θ in $(0, \pi/2)$ and an arbitrary point x of $S = S_\theta(b)$. Write $r = |x - b|$. Denote by A the line segment in S with endpoints x and $x + c(b - x)/2$, where c is the constant from (2). We consider the path families

$$\Gamma_1 = \Delta(A, E \cap B^n(b, r) : B^n), \quad \Gamma_2 = \Delta_0(A, \partial B^n : B^n).$$

An application of the cap-inequality [10, 10.2] immediately produces the modulus estimate

$$(5) \quad M(\Gamma_1) \geq b_n \log \frac{2}{2-c},$$

where $b_n > 0$ is a constant depending only on the dimension n . Moreover, (2) implies that A is separated from ∂B^n by the ring $B^n(x, cr) \setminus \bar{B}^n(x, cr/2)$, with the consequence that

$$(6) \quad M(\Gamma_2) \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(\log 2)^{n-1}}.$$

Here ω_{n-1} designates the surface area of ∂B^n .

Owing to (4), the set $f[E \cap B^n(b, r)]$ lies in the ball $B^n(b', Mr^\alpha)$. This permits us to infer that

$$(7) \quad d = \text{dist}[b', f(A)] \leq M_1 r^\alpha$$

with

$$M_1 = M \exp \left[\left(\frac{\omega_{n-1} K_o(f)}{b_n \log \frac{2}{2-c}} \right)^{1/(n-1)} \right],$$

where $K_o(f)$ signifies the outer dilatation of f . For, in view of (5), the alternative to (7) would result in,

$$M[f(\Gamma_1)] \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{d}{Mr^\alpha}\right)^{n-1}} < \frac{b_n \log[2/(2-c)]}{K_o(f)} \leq \frac{M(\Gamma_1)}{K_o(f)},$$

which would contradict the quasiconformality of f .

If $|f(x) - b'| > d$, then in each of the sets $\partial B^n(b', s) \cap f(B^n)$ with $d < s < |f(x) - b'|$ we can choose an open spherical cap, say C_s , centered at a point of $f(A)$ and extending to the boundary of $f(B^n)$. Since $f(\Gamma_2)$ includes each of the

path families $\Delta_0(f(A), \partial f(B^n): C_s)$, a second appeal to the cap-inequality yields the estimate

$$M[f(\Gamma_2)] \geq b_n \log \frac{|f(x) - b'|}{d},$$

which, of course, holds trivially when $|f(x) - b'| = d$. In combination with (6), (7) and the inequality $M[f(\Gamma_2)] \leq K_I(f)M(\Gamma_2)$, this leads to

$$|f(x) - b'| \leq M_2 d \leq M_2 M_1 |x - b|^\alpha,$$

with $M_2 = \exp[\omega_{n-1} K_I(f) / b_n (\log 2)^{n-1}]$. We have thus confirmed (3) for $M_\theta = M_2 M_1$.

At how many points b of ∂B^n is it possible for a quasiconformal mapping f of B^n into R^n to have a given point b' as an asymptotic value? The answer: the set of such points b may be uncountable, although it is necessarily of conformal capacity zero. What happens if we append to the above question the phrase “of positive order”? Theorem 1 enables us to establish the following result, which will provide an answer to the modified question.

Theorem 2. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n and let b_1, \dots, b_p be distinct boundary points of B^n . Suppose that f has a point b' as an asymptotic value of order $\alpha_i > 0$ at b_i . Then*

$$(8) \quad \alpha_1^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_p^{n-1} \leq 2K_O(f).$$

Proof. Fix θ in $(0, \pi/2)$. Invoking Theorem 1 we can choose a constant $M > 0$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, p$

$$(9) \quad |f(x) - b'| \leq M |x - b_i|^{\alpha_i},$$

whenever x lies in $S_i = S_\theta(b_i)$. Write

$$(10) \quad \alpha = \max_{1 \leq i \leq p} \alpha_i.$$

Fix d , with $0 < d < \cos \theta$, such that the balls $B^n(b_i, d)$ are pairwise disjoint and set

$$(11) \quad m = \inf\{|f(x) - b'| : x \in S_i \cap \partial B^n(b_i, d), 1 \leq i \leq p\}.$$

Obviously $m > 0$.

Now consider r in $(0, d)$ with the property that $Mr^\alpha < m$. For $i = 1, \dots, p$ let

$$\Gamma_i = \Delta(\partial B^n(b_i, d), \partial B^n(b_i, r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}): S_i).$$

Then

$$(12) \quad M(\Gamma_i) = \frac{c(\theta, n)\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{d}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}}\right)^{n-1}},$$

where $c(\theta, n)$ is a constant satisfying $c(\theta, n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, as $\theta \rightarrow \pi/2$. Specifically, $c(\theta, 2) = \theta/\pi$ and

$$c(\theta, n) = \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_0^\theta (\sin t)^{n-2} dt$$

if $n > 2$. The families $f(\Gamma_i)$ lie in disjoint open sets and, in view of (9), (10) and (11), each of these families is minorized by

$$\Gamma = \Delta(\partial B^n(b', m), \partial B^n(b', Mr^\alpha); R^n).$$

It follows that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p M[f(\Gamma_i)] \leq M(\Gamma) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{m}{Mr^\alpha}\right)^{n-1}}.$$

Since $M(\Gamma_i) \leq K_O(f)M[f(\Gamma_i)]$, we use (12) and (13) to conclude

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{c(\theta, n)}{\left(\log \frac{d}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}}\right)^{n-1}} \leq \frac{K_O(f)}{\left(\log \frac{m}{Mr^\alpha}\right)^{n-1}}.$$

After multiplying by $[\alpha \log(1/r)]^{n-1}$ and allowing $r \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$c(\theta, n) \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i^{n-1} \leq K_O(f).$$

Inequality (8) results when we let $\theta \rightarrow \pi/2$.

We record two immediate corollaries of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n . Then*

- (i) *f has a given point as an asymptotic value of positive order at no more than countably many boundary points of B^n ;*
- (ii) *f has a given point as an asymptotic value of order $\alpha > 0$ at no more than $2K_O(f)/\alpha^{n-1}$ boundary points of B^n ;*
- (iii) *f has no asymptotic values of order exceeding $[2K_O(f)]^{1/(n-1)}$.*

Corollary 2. *Suppose that a quasiconformal mapping f of B^n belongs to the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^n)$. Then at most $2K_O(f)/\alpha^{n-1}$ boundary points of B^n can have a common image under the induced extension of f to \bar{B}^n .*

Theorem 2 and its corollaries extend work of Aharonov and Srebro [1]. Earlier, Miniowiłz [6] had established similar results for a class of quasiregular mappings of B^n under the assumption of a growth condition more restrictive than a Hölder condition. We draw attention, as well, to the paper of Essén [2], in which Corollary

2 is proved for the special case of a conformal mapping from the disk B^2 into the complex plane.

We next use Theorem 2 to retrieve topological information concerning the image of B^n under a uniformly Hölder continuous quasiconformal mapping.

Recall that a domain D is said to be *finitely connected* at a boundary point b if b has arbitrarily small neighborhoods U such that $U \cap D$ consists of finitely many components. A domain D is said to be *m-connected* at b if m is the smallest integer for which there exist arbitrarily small neighborhoods U of b such that $U \cap D$ consists of exactly m components. If D is finitely connected at each boundary point, then D is said to be *finitely connected on the boundary*. Should there be an integer M with the property that D is m -connected at each point b of ∂D for some $m = m(b)$ not exceeding M , D is said to be *boundedly connected on the boundary*.

Now let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n onto a bounded domain D . Then f is uniformly continuous if and only if D is finitely connected on the boundary [7], [10]. When such is the case, D is m -connected at a point b of ∂D if and only if exactly m points of ∂B^n are mapped to b under the induced boundary extension of f ; otherwise infinitely many points of ∂B^n are mapped to b [7].

In view of the above and Corollary 2 we have:

Corollary 3. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n onto a domain D . If f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^n)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then D is boundedly connected on the boundary.*

A further implication of Theorem 1 is a result on uniform Hölder continuity in Stolz cones.

Theorem 3. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n . Suppose that f has some point of R^n as an asymptotic value of order $\alpha > 0$ at a boundary point b of B^n . Then for each θ in $(0, \pi/2)$ the restriction of f to the Stolz cone $S = S_\theta(b)$ belongs to $\text{Lip}_\beta(S)$ for $\beta = \min\{\alpha, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$.*

Proof. Let b' be the asymptotic value of f referred to in the statement of the theorem. Fix θ in $(0, \pi/2)$. Theorem 1 insures the existence of a positive constant M_θ such that

$$(14) \quad |f(x) - b'| \leq M_\theta |x - b|^\alpha,$$

whenever x lies in $S = S_\theta(b)$.

Consider an arbitrary pair of points x and y in S . We distinguish two cases. Suppose first that

$$\text{dist}(x, \partial B^n) \leq |x - y|.$$

In this event

$$(15) \quad |b - x| \leq \frac{1}{c} |x - y|, \quad |b - y| \leq \frac{2}{c} |x - y|.$$

Here c is the constant corresponding to θ in (2). It follows by using (14), (15) and the triangle inequality that

$$(16) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq (1 + 2^\alpha)c^{-\alpha}M_\theta |x - y|^\alpha \leq 3c^{-\alpha}M_\theta |x - y|^\alpha.$$

The remaining case has

$$\text{dist}(x, \partial B^n) > |x - y|.$$

Let R be the ring domain $B^n(x, d) \setminus \bar{B}^n(x, r)$, where we have set $d = \text{dist}(x, \partial B^n)$ and $r = |x - y|$. If Γ is the family of paths joining the boundary components of R through R , then

$$(17) \quad M(\Gamma) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{d}{r}\right)^{n-1}}.$$

On the other hand, the Teichmüller modulus estimate gives

$$(18) \quad M[f(\Gamma)] \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left[\log \lambda_n \left(1 + \frac{|b' - f(x)|}{|f(x) - f(y)|}\right)\right]^{n-1}},$$

with a constant $\lambda_n \geq 1$ depending only on n . (See [3].) Since $|x - y| < |b - x|$, and thus $|b - y| < 2|b - x|$, we can combine (2) and (14) with the triangle inequality to arrive at

$$(19) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq (1 + 2^\alpha)M_\theta |b - x|^\alpha \leq 3M_\theta (d/c)^\alpha.$$

The validity of (2), (14) and (19) allows us to manipulate the lower bound in (18) so as to secure the estimate

$$(20) \quad M[f(\Gamma)] \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left[\log \frac{4\lambda_n M_\theta (d/c)^\alpha}{|f(x) - f(y)|}\right]^{n-1}}.$$

Because $M[f(\Gamma)] \leq K_r(f)M(\Gamma)$, (17) and (20) in tandem give rise to the bound

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 4\lambda_n M_\theta c^{-\alpha} r^{\alpha'} d^{\alpha - \alpha'},$$

where we have inserted α' for $K_r(f)^{1/(1-n)}$. If $\alpha < \alpha'$, then, recalling that $|x - y| = r < d < 1$, we are led to conclude

$$(21) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq 4\lambda_n M_\theta c^{-\alpha} |x - y|^\alpha.$$

In case $\alpha \geq \alpha'$, we can at least salvage the inequality

$$(22) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq 4\lambda_n M_\theta c^{-\alpha} |x - y|^{\alpha'}.$$

Together, inequalities (16), (21) and (22) show that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|^\beta,$$

with $\beta = \min\{\alpha, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$ and $M = 4\lambda_n M_0 c^{-\alpha}$. Accordingly, $f|_S$ belongs to $\text{Lip}_\beta(S)$, as asserted.

3. Theorems of Hardy–Littlewood type

In this section we intend to shift our focus from the microscopic to the macroscopic. The prior discussions have been concerned primarily with the behavior of a quasiconformal mapping of B^n near a specific boundary point of B^n . We turn now to an examination of the global consequences for such a mapping of the requirement that its induced boundary function satisfies a uniform Hölder condition. Here we interpret the notion of boundary values of a function in the sense of radial limits. Recall that a quasiconformal mapping f of B^n into R^n possesses a finite radial limit at almost every point of ∂B^n . We use A_f to designate the set of points at which such a limit exists and we set

$$\hat{f}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} f(tx)$$

for x in A_f . It is the function \hat{f} that we have in mind when referring to the boundary function associated with f .

Let us review the situation in classical function theory. If f is a function analytic and bounded in the unit disk B^2 in the complex plane, then Fatou’s theorem insures that f has finite radial limits almost everywhere on the unit circle. Assume, adopting the notation introduced above, that the boundary function \hat{f} belongs to the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A_f)$. Then a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood, in conjunction with standard results from H^p -theory, shows that f must be a member of $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^2)$. The next theorem transports this result to the setting of quasiconformal mappings in n -space.

Theorem 4. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n . Assume that its associated boundary function \hat{f} is a member of the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A_f)$. Then f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\beta(B^n)$ for $\beta = \min\{\alpha, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$.*

Proof. As a member of the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A_f)$, the function \hat{f} is uniformly continuous on A_f . Since the set A_f is dense in ∂B^n , it follows that \hat{f} has a unique extension to a function continuous on ∂B^n . This fact permits us to invoke Corollary 7.23 in [8] and to conclude that the mapping f admits an extension to a continuous mapping of \bar{B}^n , for which extension we retain the notation f . Because $f = \hat{f}$ on A_f , it is manifest that $f|_{\partial B^n}$ belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(\partial B^n)$. Let M be a Lipschitz constant for $f|_{\partial B^n}$ corresponding to the Hölder exponent α .

We next show that there is a constant M_0 such that

$$(23) \quad |f(x) - f(b)| \leq M_0 |x - b|^\alpha,$$

whenever b lies on ∂B^n and x lies on the radial segment of B^n terminating at b . Fix

points b and x satisfying the preceding requirements. The argument which establishes an estimate of the type (23) is virtually identical to that used in the proof of Theorem 1 to demonstrate (3). For this reason we feel justified in glossing over certain details of the proof.

Let $r = |x - b|$ and let $d = \text{dist}[f(b), f(A)]$, where A denotes the line segment with endpoints x and $(x + b)/2$. We here consider the path families

$$\Gamma_1 = \Delta_0(A, \partial B^n \cap B^n(b, r); B^n), \quad \Gamma_2 = \Delta_0(A, \partial B^n; B^n).$$

Since $M(\Gamma_1) \geq b_n \log 2$ and since $f[\partial B^n \cap B^n(b, r)]$ is situated inside $B^n(f(b), Mr^\alpha)$, we conclude as in (7) that

$$(24) \quad d \leq M_1 r^\alpha,$$

with $M_1 = M \exp[(\omega_{n-1} K_O(f)/b_n \log 2)^{1/(n-1)}]$. Assuming that $|f(x) - f(b)| > d$, we employ the modulus estimates

$$M(\Gamma_2) \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(\log 2)^{n-1}}$$

and

$$M[f(\Gamma_2)] \geq b_n \log \frac{|f(x) - f(b)|}{d},$$

in conjunction with (24), to insure that

$$(25) \quad |f(x) - f(b)| \leq M_2 d \leq M_2 M_1 |x - b|^\alpha,$$

with $M_2 = \exp[\omega_{n-1} K_I(f)/b_n (\log 2)^{n-1}]$. Inequality (25) is trivial when $|f(x) - f(b)| = d$. Consequently, (23) obtains for $M_0 = M_2 M_1$.

Finally, fix an arbitrary pair of points x in B^n and y in ∂B^n . Let b be a point of ∂B^n closest to x . The obvious inequalities $|b - x| \leq |y - x|$ and $|b - y| \leq 2|y - x|$, combined with the triangle inequality, with (23) and with the estimate $|f(y) - f(b)| \leq M|y - b|^\alpha$, allow us to conclude that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq (M_0 + 2^\alpha M)|x - y|^\alpha.$$

We have thus maneuvered into a situation covered by Theorem 1 in [9], a result which justifies the assertion that f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\beta(B^n)$ for $\beta = \min\{\alpha, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$.

As a special instance of the preceding result we record:

Corollary 4. *Let f be a continuous mapping of \bar{B}^n into R^n which is quasiconformal in B^n . Assume that $f|_{\partial B^n}$ is a member of the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(\partial B^n)$. Then f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\beta(B^n)$ for $\beta = \min\{\alpha, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$.*

The Hölder exponent β obtained in Theorem 4 and Corollary 4 is not, in general, subject to improvement. To see this fix β , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, and consider the radial

stretching of \bar{B}^n onto itself defined by $f(x) = |x|^{\beta-1}x$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then f is a homeomorphism which is quasiconformal in B^n , with $K_I(f) = \beta^{1-n}$. Since f reduces to the identity mapping on ∂B^n , $f|_{\partial B^n}$ belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(\partial B^n)$ for $\alpha = 1$. On the other hand, the optimal Hölder exponent for f at the origin is quite clearly $\beta = \min\{1, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$.

The next theorem describes one set of circumstances in which the effect of the dilatation on a Hölder exponent is muted.

Theorem 5. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n and let $\alpha > 0$. Assume the existence of a constant M such that*

$$(26) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|^\alpha,$$

whenever x and y lie on the same sphere centered at the origin. Then f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^n)$.

Proof. Fix a pair of points x and y in B^n . We may assume that $|x|$ and $|y|$ differ — say $|x| < |y|$ — for otherwise (26) is at our disposal. We consider two cases.

If x and y lie on the same radius of B^n , then the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4 to establish (23), but applied to the mapping $f|_{B^n}(|y|)$, shows that

$$(27) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq M_0|x - y|^\alpha,$$

where $M_0 = M \exp[(\omega_{n-1}K_O(f)/b_n \log 2)^{1/(n-1)} + (\omega_{n-1}K_I(f)/b_n [\log 2]^{n-1})]$. In the other case, meaning x and y are not co-radial, let y_0 be the point of B^n which is located on the radius of B^n passing through x and which satisfies $|y_0| = |y|$. Since $|x - y_0| \leq |x - y|$ and $|y_0 - y| \leq 2|x - y|$, we employ (26), (27) and the triangle inequality to infer

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M_0|x - y_0|^\alpha + M|y_0 - y|^\alpha \leq (M_0 + 2^\alpha M)|x - y|^\alpha.$$

All possible configurations of x and y have now been accounted for and f is seen to be a member of $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^n)$.

Simple examples illustrate that the quasiconformality of f is an essential hypothesis in Theorem 5. The next result might be looked at as a “uniform version” of Theorem 3.

Theorem 6. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n and let $\alpha > 0$. Assume the existence of a constant M such that the boundary function \hat{f} associated with f satisfies*

$$(28) \quad |f(x) - \hat{f}(b)| \leq M|x - b|^\alpha,$$

whenever b lies in A_f and x is a point on the radius of B^n terminating at b . Then f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\beta(B^n)$ for $\beta = \min\{\alpha, K_I(f)^{1/(1-n)}\}$.

Proof. We show that \hat{f} is a member of the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A_f)$ and, in this way, derive Theorem 6 as a consequence of Theorem 4. For this, fix a pair of points b_1 and b_2 in A_f and choose points x_1 and x_2 on the radii of B^n terminating at b_1 and b_2 , respectively, such that

$$|x_1 - b_1| = |x_2 - b_2| = 2|x_1 - x_2|.$$

For the path family $\Gamma = \Delta_0(A, \partial B^n : B^n)$, where A is the line segment joining x_1 and x_2 , we have the estimate

$$M(\Gamma) \leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{|x_1 - b_1|}{|x_1 - x_2|}\right)^{n-1}} = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(\log 2)^{n-1}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$M[f(\Gamma)] \geq b_n \log \frac{|f(x_1) - f(x_2)|}{|f(x_1) - \hat{f}(b_1)|}.$$

This is a consequence of the cap-inequality when $|f(x_1) - \hat{f}(b_1)| < |f(x_1) - f(x_2)|$ and holds trivially otherwise. It follows from the above modulus estimates and from the quasiconformality of f that

$$(29) \quad |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq M_0 |f(x_1) - \hat{f}(b_1)|,$$

where $M_0 = \exp[\omega_{n-1} K_f(f)/b_n (\log 2)^{n-1}]$. Since $|x_1 - b_1| \leq 2|b_1 - b_2|$, we can utilize (28) and (29) to conclude that

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(b_1) - \hat{f}(b_2)| &\leq |\hat{f}(b_1) - f(x_1)| + |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| + |f(x_2) - \hat{f}(b_2)| \\ &\leq (2M + MM_0)|x_1 - b_1|^\alpha \\ &\leq 2^\alpha M(2 + M_0)|b_1 - b_2|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \hat{f} belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(A_f)$, as desired.

The radial analogue of Theorem 5 is supplied by

Theorem 7. *Let f be a quasiconformal mapping of B^n into R^n and let $\alpha > 0$. Assume the existence of a constant M such that*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|^\alpha,$$

whenever x and y lie on the same radius of B^n . Then f belongs to $\text{Lip}_\alpha(B^n)$.

Proof. Fix points x and y in B^n with $|x| = |y|$. We consider the restriction of f to the ball $B^n(|x|)$. The identical method used to derive inequality (30) in the proof of Theorem 6 can be applied to the mapping $f|_{B^n(|x|)}$. The result is the estimate

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2^\alpha M(2 + M_0)|x - y|^\alpha,$$

where $M_0 = \exp[\omega_{n-1}K_I(f)/b_n(\log 2)^{n-1}]$. An appeal to Theorem 5 completes the proof.

We remark that alternative proofs for Theorems 5, 6 and 7 can be based on results of Gehring and Martio to be found in [5]. Theorem 6, for instance, is a straightforward consequence of Theorems 3.5 and 2.24 in their paper.

REFERENCES

1. D. Aharonov and U. Srebro, *Boundary behavior of conformal and quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI **8** (1982), 139–148.
2. M. Essén, *Boundary behavior of univalent functions satisfying a Hölder condition*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 83–84.
3. F. W. Gehring, *Symmetrization of rings in space*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 499–519.
4. F. W. Gehring, *The Carathéodory convergence theorem for quasiconformal mappings in space*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI **336/11** (1963), 1–21.
5. F. W. Gehring and O. Martio, *Lipschitz classes and quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI **10** (1985), 203–219.
6. R. Miniowitz, *Spherically mean p -valent quasiregular mappings*, Isr. J. Math. **38** (1981), 199–208.
7. R. Näkki, *Boundary behavior of quasiconformal mappings in n -space*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI **484** (1970), 1–50.
8. R. Näkki, *Prime ends and quasiconformal mappings*, J. Analyse Math. **35** (1979), 13–40.
9. R. Näkki and B. Palka, *Lipschitz conditions and quasiconformal mappings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 377–401.
10. J. Väisälä, *Lectures on n -dimensional quasiconformal mappings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **229**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

(Received November 7, 1985 and in revised form October 1, 1986)