# Hydroxyl Radical Formation and Lipid Peroxidation Enhancement by Chromium

# In Vitro Study

CHARLES COUDRAY,<sup>1</sup> PATRICE FAURE,<sup>1</sup> SAMAR RACHIDI,<sup>2</sup> ANDRE JEUNET,<sup>3</sup> MARIE JEANNE RICHARD,<sup>1</sup> ANNE MARIE ROUSSEL,<sup>2</sup> AND ALAIN FAVIER<sup>\*,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Biochimie C, Centre Hospitalier Régional de Grenoble, B.P. 217 X, 38043 Grenoble Cedex, France; <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Biochimie Pharmaceutique (Micronutriments et radicaux libres), Université Joseph Fourier, Domaine de la Merci, 38700 La Tronche, France; and <sup>3</sup>Université Joseph Fourier, Laboratoires L.E.D.S.S. Batiment 52— Chimie recherche, B.P. 53 X, 38041 Grenoble Cédex, France

Received January 31, 1991; Accepted April 17, 1991

# ABSTRACT

Chromium VI compounds have been shown to be carcinogenic in occupationally exposed humans, and to be genotoxic, mutagenic, and carcinogenic in a variety of experimental systems. In contrast, most chromium III compounds are relatively nontoxic, noncarcinogenic, and nonmutagenic. Reduction of  $Cr^{6+}$  leads to reactive intermediates, such as  $Cr^{5+}$ ,  $Cr^{4+}$ , or other radical species. The molecular mechanism for the intracellular  $Cr^{6+}$  reduction has been the focus of recent studies, but the details are still not understood.

Our study was initiated to compare the effect of  $Cr^{6+}$ -hydroxyl radical formation and  $Cr^{6+}$ -induced lipid peroxidation vs those of  $Cr^{3+}$ . Electron spin resonance measurements provide evidence for the formation of long-lived  $Cr^{5+}$  intermediates in the reduction of  $Cr^{6+}$  by glutathione reductase in the presence of NADPH and for the hydroxyl radical formation during the glutathione reductase catalyzed reduction of  $Cr^{6+}$ . Hydrogen peroxide suppresses  $Cr^{5+}$  and

\*Author to whom all correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed.

enhances the formation of hydroxyl radical. Thus, Cr<sup>5+</sup> intermediates catalyze generation of hydroxyl radicals from hydrogen peroxide through a Fenton-like reaction.

Čomparative effects of  $Cr^{6+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  on the development of lipid peroxidation were studied by using rat heart homogenate. Heart homogenate was incubated with different concentrations of  $Cr^{6+}$ compounds at 22°C for 60 min. Lipid peroxidation was determined as thiobarbituric acid reacting materiels (TBA-RM). The results confirm that  $Cr^{6+}$  induces lipid peroxidation in the rat heart homogenate. These observations might suggest a possible causative role of lipid peroxidation in  $Cr^{6+}$  toxicity. This enhancement of lipid peroxidation is modified by the addition of some metal chelators and antioxidants. Thus, strategies for combating  $Cr^{6+}$  toxicity should take into account the role of the hydroxy radicals, and hence, steps for blocking its chain propagation and preventing the formation of lipid peroxides.

**Index Entries:** Electron spin resonance; hydroxyl radical; lipid peroxidation; rat heart homogenate; chromium; scavengers; metal chelators.

**Abbreviations:** Cr, chromium; Desferal, Deferoxamine; DMPO, 5,5'-dimethyl-1-pyroline-*N*-oxide; DMSO, Dimethyl sulfoxide; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; ESR, electron spin resonance, GRx, glutathione reductase;  $H_2O_2$ , hydrogen peroxide; NADPH,  $\beta$ -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, reduced form; °OH, hydroxyl radical; TBA-RM, thiobarbituric acid reacting materials.

# INTRODUCTION

Chromium (Cr), in traces, is known as an essential factor for the maintenance of life as well as growth of animals (1). Chromium ( $Cr^{6+}$ ) compounds have been found to have serious toxic and carcinogenic effects on humans and animals (2). In contrast, most chromium ( $Cr^{3+}$ ) compounds are relatively nontoxic, noncarcinogenic, and nonmutagenic.

Earlier studies concerning the  $Cr^{6+}$  reduction include those on the reduction of  $Cr^{6+}$  by glutathione (GSH), ascorbic acid, glucose, and microsomal and mitochondrial electron transport chain complexes (3). Redox active cellular proteins capable of reducing  $Cr^{6+}$  include the soluble cytoplasmic proteins DT-diaphorase (4) and aldehyde oxidase (5). The microsomal membrane-associated cytochrome P-450 has also been shown to reduce  $Cr^{6+}$  to  $Cr^{3+}$  and produce transient chromium ( $Cr^{5+}$ ) intermediates (6). These studies have shown that the  $Cr^{6+}$  reduction involves the formation of  $Cr^{5+}$ -containing species that are often considered to be the toxic form of Cr.

Electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements on solutions provide direct evidence for the involvement of  $Cr^{5+}$  species in the reduction of  $Cr^{6+}$  by NADPH in presence of glutathione reductase (GRx). GRx and NADPH are chosen as a model reductant because of their ubiquitous existence inside the cells. We have investigated the reactivity of  $Cr^{5+}$  and found that it catalyzed generation of hydroxyl radical (°OH) through a Fenton-like reaction. On the other hand, lipid peroxidation in vivo results in membrane damage and plays an important role in tissue injuries by chemicals. Indeed, some metal ions have been reported to show either stimulative or inhibitory action on lipid peroxidation in tissues. Yonaha et al. (1980) (7) reported an inhibitory action of  $Cr^{6+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  at low concentrations on lipid peroxidation in rat liver microsomes and a prooxidant activity of  $Cr^{6+}$  at higher concentration. Comparative effects of  $Cr^{6+}$ and  $Cr^{3+}$  on the development of lipid peroxidation were studied in this article using rat heart homogenate.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Glutathione reductase and NADPH were purchased from Sigma and used as received. Spin trap 5.5'-dimethyl-1-pyroline-*N*-oxide (DMPO) was purchased from Sigma and used after purification by distillation. Deferoxamine (Desferal) was purchased from Ciba (Ruei-Malmaison, France). All other chemicals and reagents employed were of commercial reagent-grade quality.

#### ESR Recording

ESR spectra were obtained at X-band (9.7 GHz) using a Bruker ER 100 D ESR spectrometer. Spectrometer settings were: receiver gain  $5 \times 10^5$ , modulation amplitude 1.6 G, scan time 100 s, field 3480  $\pm$  50 G, time constant 0.5 s, and hyperfrequence power 10 dB.

#### Preparation of Rat Heart Homogenate

Male rats of the Wistar stain were maintained on rat diet chow. Rats were anesthetized by pentobarbital and heparinized. Then the heart was removed, perfused, and homogenized in 9 vol of 0.10M Tris-HCl, containing 1 mM diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA), pH 7.4. Proteins were determined by the method of Lowry et al. (1951) (8).

#### Lipid Peroxidation

The incubation mixture contained 500  $\mu$ L of homogenate and 100  $\mu$ L of 10 mM tested chromium solutions (Cr<sup>6+</sup> or Cr<sup>3+</sup>) with other cofactors or scavengers in a final vol of 1 mL. Incubations were carried out aerobically at room temperature for 60 min. Lipid peroxides were measured by the thiobarbituric acid method described by Ohkawa et al. (9) and modified in our laboratory: The incubation mixture was treated with 100  $\mu$ L of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) 0.8%, 1 mL of acetic acid 20% (pH

3.5), and 1 mL of thiobarbituric acid 0.8% (pH 3.5). The whole was heated for 60 min in a boiling-water bath. The mixture was then cooled, and the colored pigment extracted with 4 mL of *n*-butanol. The OD of the organic phase was determined at 532 nm using an Uvikon-680 spectrophotometer.

The concentrations given in the figure legends are final concentrations. All experiments were carried out at room temperature.

# RESULTS

#### ESR Studies

An aqueous chromium ( $Cr^{6+}$ ) solution at pH 7.4 containing 2 mM NADPH, 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 12 IU Grx/mL, and 500 mM DMPO gives an important ESR signal corresponding to the DMPO—OH spectrum (Fig. 1a). The absence of one of the mixture constituents results in an evident modification of this signal quantitatively or qualitatively. Thus, the removal of  $Cr^{6+}$  abolishes completely the signal (Fig. 1b), whereas an important diminution in the signal size is noted in the absence of NADPH or GRx (Fig. 1c, d). Finally, the removal of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> results in qualitative modification: a decrease in the DMPO—OH signal and apparition of a new signal corresponding to the  $Cr^{5+}$  spectrum (Fig. 2). These spectra obtained here are similar to those reported earlier by Shi and Dalal (1989) (10).

Additional support for the °OH radical identification was obtained by using two °OH scavengers: thiourea and DMSO (100 and 10 m*M*, respectively). The spectrum shown in Fig. 3 indicates the results obtained with or without scavenger additions. Thiourea decreases significantly the DMPO—OH signal (70%) and DMSO decreases it only by 40%. On the other hand, the use of ascorbic acid results in a complete disappearance of signal. Ascorbate is known to destroy the nitroxide signal of DMPO. Furthermore, when we use Desferal, the DMPO—OH signal disappears and a new signal forms (Fig. 2).

# LIPID PEROXIDATION MEDIATED BY CR<sup>6+</sup>/ NADPH/GRx/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM

The importance of each reagent was studied in the induction of lipid peroxidation in rat heart homogenate. Figure 4 shows clearly that only  $Cr^{6+}$  is responsible of triggering off lipid peroxidation. The removal of NADPH, GRx, or even  $H_2O_2$  does not affect this  $Cr^{6+}$ -induced lipid peroxidation. Only the removal of  $Cr^{6+}$  or its substitution by  $Cr^{3+}$  can prevent the process of lipid peroxidation.



Fig. 1. ESR spectra recorded 1 min after mixing in a Tris buffer solution (pH 7.4), of (a) 2 mM Cr<sup>6+</sup>, 2 mM NADPH, 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 12 U/mL GRx, and 500 mM DMPO. (b) Same as (a) without Cr. (c) Same as (a) without NADPH. (d) Same as (a) without GRx. Spectrometer settings were: receiver gain  $5 \times 10^5$ , modulation amplitude 1.6 G, scan time 100 s, field 3480 ± 50 G, time constant 0.5 s, and Hyper frequence power 10 dB.



Fig. 2. ESR spectra recorded 1 min after mixing in a Tris buffer solution (pH 7.4), of 2 m*M* Cr<sup>6+</sup>, 2 m*M* NADPH, 12 U/mL GRx, and 500 m*M* DMPO (without  $H_2O_2$ ). Spectrometer settings were same as Fig. 1, but field 3480 ± 100 G.



Fig. 3. ESR spectra recorded 1 min after mixing in a Tris buffer solution (pH 7.4) of 2 mM Cr<sup>6+</sup>, 2 mM NADPH, 2 mM  $H_2O_2$ , 12 U/mL GRx, and 500 mM DMPO with (a) 10 mM DMSO (b) 100 mM Thiourea, (c) 2 mM Desferal, and (d) 100 mM ascorbic acid. Spectrometer settings were same as Fig. 1.

## EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF CR ON LIPID PEROXIDATION

The effects of  $Cr^{6+}$  or  $Cr^{3+}$  over the range of 1–10,000  $\mu M$  were studied on peroxidation in rat heart homogenate. At these concentrations, lipid peroxidation was induced only by  $Cr^{6+}$ , whereas  $Cr^{3+}$  has no



Fig. 4. Importance of each reagent in chromium-induced lipid peroxidation system. Different combinations of incubation mixtures are: (1) heart homogenate, (2)  $1 + 1 \text{ m}M \text{ Cr}^{3+}$ , 1 mM NADPH, 1 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 2 U/mL GRx. (3) Same as (2) but Cr<sup>3+</sup> is replaced by Cr<sup>6+</sup>. (4) Same as (3) without Cr. (5) Same as (3) without NADPH. (6) Same as (3) without GRx. (7) Same as (3) without H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. (8) Same as (3) without NADPH and GRx. (9) Same as (3) without NADPH, GRx, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (only Cr<sup>6+</sup> and homogenate).



Fig. 5. Effect of different concentrations of Cr ( $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Cr^{6+}$ ) on lipid peroxidation. Rat heart homogenate was incubated with increasing concentrations of  $Cr^{3+}$  or  $Cr^{6+}$ . Lipid peroxides (MDA) were measured after 1 h of incubation.

effect. The lipid peroxidation levels increase with an increase in the concentrations of  $Cr^{6+}$  added (Fig. 5).

We next examined whether lipid peroxide formation at 1 mM of  $\text{Cr}^{6+}$  could be inhibited by metal chelators (EDTA, Desferal) or antioxidant compounds (ascorbic acid). As we could note in Fig. 6, lipid peroxidation



Fig. 6. Effect of metal chelators or antioxidant molecules on Cr<sup>6+</sup>-induced lipid peroxidation. Rat heart homogenate was incubated with increasing concentrations of metal chelators or antioxidant molecules during 30 min. Lipid peroxidation was then induced by 1 mM of Cr<sup>6+</sup> as described under materials and methods.

was slightly inhibited by EDTA, but highly inhibited by Desferal and moderately by ascorbic acid. However, a concentration of at lest 1 m*M* of studied molecules is necessary to inhibit partly or largely (according to the case) the  $Cr^{6+}$ -induced lipid peroxidation in the presence of 1 m*M* added  $Cr^{6+}$ .

#### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we have investigated the ability of  $Cr^{6+}$  to be reduced to  $Cr^{5+}$  by biological systems (GRx and NADPH) and its ability to trigger off the lipid peroxidation process in rat heart homogenates. The results reported here provide direct evidence for the NADPH- and GRxdependent reduction of  $Cr^{6+}$  with  $Cr^{5+}$  as an intermediate, indicating that at least one of the initial steps in the  $Cr^{6+}$  reduction involves a oneelectron transfer process.

Since  $Cr^{5+}$  complexes are generally characterized as being labile and reactive, the detection of  $Cr^{5+}$  formation led Jennette (1981) (11) to suggest that the  $Cr^{5+}$  intermediates are the likely candidates for the ultimate carcinogenic forms of carcinogenic chromium species. Our results show that  $Cr^{6+}$  can be enzymatically metabolized to  $Cr^{5+}$  with simultaneous formation of °OH radical. This indicates that the  $Cr^{5+}$  generated has an ability to react with  $H_2O_2$  to generate °OH radicals. This  $Cr^{5+}$  intermediate catalyzes generation of °OH radicals from  $H_2O_2$ 

through a Fenton-like reaction. So, under in vivo conditions, °OH radicals might be the ultimate carcinogenic species in the mechanism of Cr<sup>6+</sup> carcinogenesis.

In order to complete our study, we linked this  $Cr^{5+}$  intermediate formation with in vivo lipid peroxidation enhancement by  $Cr^{6+}$ . The effects of  $Cr^{6+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  in the presence of enzymatic system (GRx/ NADPH) and  $H_2O_2$  on lipid peroxidation were studied in rat heart homogenate. Our data show that only  $Cr^{6+}$  is able to enhance lipid peroxidation, not  $Cr^{3+}$ . These data agree with those obtained by Ueno et al. (1988) (12). Moreover, the enzymatic system plus  $H_2O_2$  are not essential for this peroxidative reaction. On the other hand, this lipid peroxidation enhancement seems dose-dependent. It could mean that  $Cr^{6+}$  reduced to  $Cr^{5+}$  could catalyze the lipid peroxidation process. This lipid peroxidation was highly inhibited by Desferal, a powerful metal chelator.

Susa et al. (1989) (13) reported that lipid peroxidation in the mice liver showed a significant increase at 24 and 48 h after Cr<sup>6+</sup> injection (20 mg/kg ip), whereas administration of  $Cr^{3+}$  resulted in a decrease in TBA-RM formation in the mice liver. Ueno et al. (1987) (12) showed that cellular injury, which was estimated as LDH-leakage from isolated hepatocytes into incubation medium, was induced by the addition of  $Cr^{6+}$  at concentrations  $> 125 \mu M$ . They also demonstrated that lipid peroxidation induced by Cr<sup>6+</sup> was enhanced remarkably in isolated hepatocytes pretreated with GSH-depleting agent. In contrast, Yonaha et al. in 1980 (7) indicated that at lower concentrations at the range of 1–100  $\mu M_{\star}$ both Cr<sup>6+</sup> and Cr<sup>3+</sup> inhibited lipid peroxidation induced by ascorbate or NADPH system in rat liver microsomes. However, since lipid peroxidation has the characteristics of a chain reaction, it may be possible to determine the mechanism of chromium-induced lipid peroxidation by means of a search for the effect of chromium VI on different systems related to lipid peroxidation, such as superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, catalase, or on intracellular GSH levels.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. W. Merts, Physiol. Rev. 49, 163 (1969).
- 2. M. J. Tsapakos and K. E. Wetterhahn, Chem-biol. Interactions 46, 265 (1983).
- 3. S. C. Rossi and K. E. Wetterhahn, Carcinogenesis 10, 913 (1989).
- 4. S. De flora, A. Morelli, C. Basso, M. Romano, D. Serra, and A. De flora, *Cancer Res.* 45, 3188 (1985).
- 5. B. R. Banks and R. T. Cooke, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 137, 8 (1986).
- 6. J. Alexander, A. Mikalsen, and D. Ryberg, Acta. Pharmacol. Toxicol 59, (Suppl VII), 267 (1986).
- 7. M. Y. Yonaha, Ohbayashi, N. Noto, E. Itoh, and M. Uchiyama, Chem. Pharm. Bull, 28, 893 (1980).
- O. H. Lowry, N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall, J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265 (1951).
- 9. H. Ohkawa, N. Ohishi, and K. Yagi, Anal. Biochem. 95, 351 (1979).

- 10. X. Shi and N. S. Dalal, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 163, 627 (1989).
- 11. K. W. Jennette, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 874 (1981).
- 12. S. Ueno, N. Susa, Y. Furukawa, K. Aikawa, I. Itagaki, T. Komiyama, and Y. Takashima, Jpn. J. Vet. Sci. 50, 45 (1988). 13. N. Susa, S. Ueno, Y. Furukawa, N. Michiba, and S. Minoura, Jpn. J. Vet. Sci.
- 51, 1103 (1989).