

# THE CHOQUET SIMPLEX OF INVARIANT MEASURES FOR MINIMAL FLOWS

BY

TOMASZ DOWNAROWICZ

*Institute of Mathematics, Technical University, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

## ABSTRACT

The set of invariant measures of a compact dynamical system is well known to be a nonempty compact metrizable Choquet simplex. It is shown that all such simplices are realized already for the class of minimal flows. Moreover, sufficient is the class of 0-1 Toeplitz flows. Previously, it is proved that the set of invariant measures of the regular Toeplitz flows contains homeomorphic copies of all metric compacta.

## I. Introduction

The list of various examples of minimal dynamical systems which are not uniquely ergodic is long. We mention here only several of them. In 1952, Oxtoby [12] presented a construction of a minimal flow with exactly two ergodic measures. In 1969, Jacobs and Keane [7] introduced Toeplitz sequences, which include the example of Oxtoby and gave rise to further investigation of non-uniquely ergodic minimal flows (e.g. [10]). An example of a flow, ergodic measures of which form a closed arc, was given in 1981 by Katznelson and Weiss [8]. In [13], Williams gave a construction of a Toeplitz flow in  $\Sigma^Z$  with ergodic measures corresponding bijectively to the elements of the compact set  $\Sigma$ . Based on this construction, in [1] we give an example of a 0-1 Toeplitz flow with noncompact set of ergodic measures.

In this paper we show that the set of invariant measures of a minimal flow may have the affine-topological structure of an arbitrary metrizable compact Choquet simplex. Moreover, all such simplices are obtained for the class of 0-1 Toeplitz flows. In particular, by the result of Choquet and Haydon (e.g. [5]), the set of ergodic measures may have the topological structure of an arbitrary Polish space.

Received March 9, 1990 and in revised form January 11, 1991

I wish to thank G. Winkler for his helpful letter which made it possible to formulate the main result in the present form. I also thank A. Iwanik for his standing help while this paper was in progress.

**II. Preliminaries**

By a dynamical system (flow) we will mean a pair  $(X, S)$ , where  $X$  is a compact metric space and  $S$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto itself. A nonempty closed subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is called minimal if  $Y$  is invariant (i.e.  $SY = Y$ ) and it has no proper closed invariant subsets. It is well known that then  $Y$  is equal to the orbit closure  $\bar{O}(x) = \{S^jx : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}^-$  of any  $x \in Y$ . Clearly, different minimal subsets of  $(X, S)$  are disjoint.

A probability Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is called invariant if  $\mu = S\mu$ , where  $S\mu$  is given by  $S\mu(B) = \mu(S^{-1}B)$ . An invariant measure is called ergodic if every invariant Borel set has the measure 0 or 1. The set of all invariant measures of  $(X, S)$  will be denoted by  $M(X, S)$ . The set  $M(X, S)$  is well known to be a Choquet simplex and the ergodic measures are its extreme points. We will consider the weak\* topology in  $M(X, S)$  given by the convergence on continuous real-valued functions on  $X$ . In this setting  $M(X, S)$  is compact. If  $M(X, S)$  is a singleton then  $(X, S)$  is called uniquely ergodic. If the flow  $(\bar{O}(x), S)$  is uniquely ergodic then we call  $x$  uniquely ergodic and by  $x^*$  we will denote the invariant measure of this flow.

Let  $p = (p_n)$  be an increasing sequence of natural numbers. An element  $x$  in  $(X, S)$  is called  $p$ -generic whenever the weak\* limit  $\mu = \lim p_n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p_n-1} S^i \delta_x$  exists, where  $\delta_x$  denotes the point measure at  $x$ . Then  $\mu \in M(X, S)$ . For  $p_n \equiv n$  the  $(p_n)$ -generic points are called generic. If  $\mu$  is an ergodic measure then  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  is generic for  $\mu$  (see [4]). Let  $X$  be zero-dimensional and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a family of clopen sets such that  $\{S^jU : j \in \mathbb{Z}, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a base for  $X$ . If the trajectory of an element  $x \in X$  visits every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $p$ -density, i.e. if the limits

$$p(U) = \lim_n p_n^{-1} |\{0 \leq j < p_n : S^jx \in U\}|$$

exist, then  $x$  is  $p$ -generic for an invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(U) = p(U)$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

We establish an additional notation for the 0-1 shift flow, i.e. for  $X = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $S$  given by  $S\eta(j) = \eta(j + 1)$  ( $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Suppose  $\Delta$  is some space and  $\{a_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  a fixed sequence of elements of  $\Delta$ . Given a function  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ , by  $\underline{f} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  we will denote the sequence  $\underline{f}(j) = f(a_j)$ . If  $\underline{f}$  is uniquely ergodic for the shift flow, then the appropriate measure will be denoted by  $f^*$ . If now  $h$  is a mapping of some set  $K$  into  $\{0,1\}^{\Delta}$  then the mapping  $\underline{h} : K \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is given by

$\underline{h}(x) = \underline{h}(\underline{x})$ . If, moreover, each  $\underline{h}(x)$  is uniquely ergodic then  $h^*$  is defined on  $K$  by  $h^*(x) = (h(x))^*$ .

**III. Toeplitz sequences**

As in [13], for any sequence  $\eta \in \Sigma^Z$  ( $\Sigma$  compact) and any  $p \in N$  we denote

$$\text{Per}_p(\eta) = \{j \in Z : \eta(j) = \eta(k) \text{ whenever } j = k \text{ mod } p\}$$

and

$$\text{Aper}(\eta) = Z \setminus \bigcup_{p \in N} \text{Per}_p(\eta).$$

A sequence  $\eta$  is called Toeplitz if  $\text{Aper}(\eta) = \emptyset$ . It is known that the orbit closure of a Toeplitz sequence in the shift flow is minimal (see [7]). A sequence  $\eta$  for which  $Z = \bigcup_{n \in N} \text{Per}_{2^n}(\eta)$  will be called dyadic Toeplitz. In this section we will consider the case of  $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$ .

Consider the compact monothetic group  $\Delta_2$  of dyadic integers (see [6]). The element  $\theta = (1,0,0,0, \dots)$  is a topological generator of  $\Delta_2$ , i.e.  $\{j\theta : j \in Z\}$  is dense in  $\Delta_2$ . Topologically  $\Delta_2$  can be identified with the classical Cantor set  $C$  by sending  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$  to the real number  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty (2t_i/3^i)$ . The natural ordering of  $C$  corresponds to the lexicographical order in  $\Delta_2$ . The points  $j\theta$  ( $j \in Z$ ) are unilateral cluster points in  $\Delta_2$ . Let  $f: \Delta_2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  be continuous at each  $j\theta$ . As in [2, sect. 2], it follows that  $\underline{f}$  given by  $\underline{f}(j) = f(j\theta)$  is a dyadic Toeplitz sequence (see also [9]).

**REMARK 1.** Consider an interval  $(r,s) = \{t : r < t < s\}$  in  $\Delta_2$ . Clearly, if neither  $r$  nor  $s$  is in  $Z\theta$  then the indicator  $\mathbf{1}_{(r,s)}$  is continuous at each  $j\theta$ . In the other case, it becomes continuous at each  $j\theta$  if  $(r,s)$  is modified by attaching, if necessary, one or both endpoints.

Denote  $t^0 = 2\theta = (0,1,0,0,0, \dots)$ ,  $t^1 = (1,0,1,0,1,0, \dots)$ ,  $t^2 = -\theta = (1,1,1, \dots)$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** A function  $f: \Delta_2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is said to have a core if  $f = 0$  on  $[t^0, t^1)$  and  $f = 1$  on  $(t^1, t^2]$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  both have the core and are continuous at each  $j\theta$ . If the sequences  $\underline{f}$  and  $\underline{g}$  belong to the same minimal orbit closure, then  $\underline{f} = \underline{g}$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\underline{g} = \lim S^{n_k} \underline{f}$ . Choose a subnet  $n_\alpha$  of  $n_k$  such that  $n_\alpha \theta$  converges to some  $s$  and the functions  $f_{n_\alpha}$  defined by  $f_{n_\alpha}(t) = f(n_\alpha \theta + t)$  converge pointwise on  $\Delta_2$  to a function  $g'$ . Since  $\underline{f}_{n_\alpha} = S^{n_\alpha} \underline{f}$ , we obtain  $\underline{g}' = \underline{g}$ . Further, we have  $g'(t) = f(s + t)$  whenever  $s + t$  is a continuity point of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is continu-

ous at each  $j\theta$ , it remains to show that  $s = (0,0, \dots)$ , the neutral element of  $\Delta_2$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists the least number  $m \geq 1$  for which  $s_m = 1$ . If  $m$  is even, fix  $t = (1,0,1,0, \dots, 1,0,0,0, \dots)$ , where  $t_{m-1}$  is the last digit 1. Clearly,  $t$  is of the form  $j\theta$ , so  $g'(t) = g(t)$ . Since  $g$  has the core and  $t \in [t^0, t^1)$ ,  $g(t) = 0$ . On the other hand, the first  $m$  digits of  $s + t$  are  $1,0,1,0, \dots, 1,1$ , hence  $s + t \in (t^1, t^2]$ . Thus,  $s + t$  is a continuity point of  $f$ , and  $f(s + t) = 1$ . We have obtained  $0 = g'(t) = f(s + t) = 1$ , a contradiction. For an odd  $m > 1$  a similar argument works with  $t = (1,0,1,0, \dots, 1,0,1,1, \dots)$ , where  $t_{m+1}$  is the last digit 0. Analogously, by taking  $t = t^0$  for  $s$  starting with the digits  $1,0$ , and  $t = (1,1,0,0,0, \dots)$  for  $s$  starting with  $1,1$ , we eliminate the remaining case  $m = 1$ , which ends the proof of the theorem.

The quasi-uniform convergence in  $\{0,1\}^Z$  is given by the Weyl pseudometric

$$D_W(\eta, \eta') = \limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} |\{0 \leq j < L : \eta(k + j) \neq \eta'(k + j)\}|.$$

It follows from Lemma 5 in [2] that for Riemann integrable functions  $f$  on  $\Delta_2$  the convergence in  $L_1(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the normalized Haar measure on  $\Delta_2$ , implies the quasi-uniform convergence of the sequences  $\underline{f}$ .

A Toeplitz sequence  $\eta$  is called regular if the supremum over  $p \in N$  of the densities of the sets  $\text{Per}_p(\eta)$  equals 1. If the set of discontinuities of  $f: \Delta_2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is disjoint with  $Z\theta$  and has the  $\lambda$  measure 0, then  $\underline{f}$  is regular (see [2, Remark 1]). Every regular Toeplitz sequence is uniquely ergodic and the quasi-uniform convergence of uniquely ergodic sequences implies the weak\* convergence of their measures ([7], see also [2, Theorem 2]).

Denote by  $T_c$  the set of all functions  $f: \Delta_2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  such that

- (1)  $f$  is Riemann integrable;
- (2) the set of discontinuities of  $f$  is disjoint with  $Z\theta$  and it has the  $\lambda$  measure 0;
- (3)  $f$  has the core.

We endow  $T_c$  with the convergence in  $L_1(\lambda)$  norm. By  $T_c^*$  we will mean the set  $f^*: f \in T_c$  endowed with the weak\* topology. Notice that, by Theorem 1 and the remarks on quasi-uniform convergence,  $f \rightarrow f^*$  is a one-to-one continuous mapping between  $T_c$  and  $T_c^*$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *For every compact metric space  $K$  there exists a homeomorphic embedding  $h$  of  $K$  into  $T_c$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix some  $t^3 \in (0, t^0) \subset \Delta_2$ , not of the form  $j\theta$ . Then  $\lambda[0, t^3) = a > 0$ . Let  $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$  be a family of strictly positive continuous functions, which sepa-

rates the points of  $K$  and such that  $\Sigma a_n(x) \equiv a$  on  $K$ . For  $x \in K$  we partition  $[0, t^3]$  into consecutive intervals  $A_n(x)$  such that each indicator  $\mathbf{1}_{A_{2n}(x)}$  is continuous at each  $j\theta$  (see Remark 1) and  $\lambda(A_n(x)) = a_n(x)$ . Now, let  $f_x: \Delta_2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the function with the core defined on  $[0, t^0]$  as the indicator of the set  $\cup A_{2n}(x)$ . Since the endpoints of the  $A_{2n}(x)$ 's accumulate only at  $t^3$ ,  $f_x$  is continuous at each  $j\theta$ . The set of discontinuities of  $f_x$  is countable, hence  $f_x \in T_c$ . Clearly, the mapping  $h: x \rightarrow f_x$  is continuous in  $L_1(\lambda)$ . We show that it is 1-1. Let  $x \neq y$  in  $K$  and let  $n$  be the least number for which  $a_n(x) \neq a_n(y)$ , say  $a_n(x) < a_n(y)$ . Then  $f_x \neq f_y$  everywhere on the (nonempty) interior of  $A_n(y) \cap A_{n+1}(x)$ , which ends the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The mapping  $h^*$  is a homeomorphic embedding of  $K$  into  $T_c^*$ .*

**IV. Affine embedding**

First we establish some more notation. For a block  $b = b(0)b(1) \cdots b(n-1)$  ( $b \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ), by  $|b|$  we denote its length  $n$ , and for  $0 \leq m < m' \leq |b|$ , by  $b[m, m']$  we will mean the block  $b(m)b(m+1) \cdots b(m'-1)$ . If blocks  $b, b_0$  satisfy  $|b| \leq |b_0|$  then we denote by  $F_{b_0}^*(b)$  the frequency at which  $b$  occurs in  $b_0$ , i.e.

$$F_{b_0}^*(b) = |b_0|^{-1} |\{m \in N: 0 \leq m \leq |b_0| - |b|, b_0[m, m + |b|] = b\}|.$$

Moreover, for  $0 \leq j < k$ , we define

$$F_{b_0}^{**}(b, k, j) = |b_0|^{-1} |\{m \in N: 0 \leq m \leq |b_0| - |b|, m = j \text{ mod } k, b_0[m, m + |b|] = b\}|.$$

If  $|b| > |b_0|$  then we let  $F_{b_0}^*(b) = F_{b_0}^{**}(b, k, j) = 0$ . Clearly,

$$F_{b_0}^*(b) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} F_{b_0}^{**}(b, k, j) \quad \text{for every } b_0, b \text{ and } k.$$

Let  $v^*$  be the weight of blocks given by  $v^*(b) = 2^{-|b|}$ . Fix a sequence  $c_k > 0$  such that  $\sum_{k \text{ odd}} c_k = 1$ . Let  $v^{**}$  be the weight of the triples  $(b, k, j)$  with  $k$  odd and  $0 \leq j < k$ , given by  $v^{**}(b, k, j) = 2^{-|b|} c_k$ . It is easily seen that  $\int F_{b_0}^* dv^* = \int F_{b_0}^{**} dv^{**} < 1$  for every block  $b_0$ . We define two metrics on the set of blocks:

$$d^*(b_1, b_2) = \int |F_{b_1}^* - F_{b_2}^*| dv^* \quad \text{and} \quad d^{**}(b_1, b_2) = \int |F_{b_1}^{**} - F_{b_2}^{**}| dv^{**}.$$

Clearly  $d^* \leq d^{**}$ .

By a cylinder  $U_b$  we will mean the set  $\{\eta \in \{0,1\}^Z : \eta[0, |b|) = b\}$ . For a shift invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $\{0,1\}^Z$  we write  $F_\mu^*(b) = \mu(U_b)$  and  $F_\mu^{**}(b, k, j) = k^{-1}\mu(U_b)$ . Clearly  $\int F_\mu^* dv^* = \int F_\mu^{**} dv^{**} = 1$ . Since invariant measures are distinguished by its values on cylinders  $U_b$ , we can extend the domain of the metrics  $d^*$  and  $d^{**}$  to comprise  $M(\{0,1\}^Z, S)$  by simply replacing one or both  $b_i$ 's by  $\mu_i$ 's in the defining formulas for  $d^*$  and  $d^{**}$ . It is easy to see that  $d^*(\mu_1, \mu_2) = d^{**}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ , and  $d^*(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$  iff  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  in the weak\* topology. It is also easily verified that, for large  $n$ , the measure  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=k}^{k+n-1} S^i \delta_\eta$  ( $\eta \in \{0,1\}^Z$ ) is of small  $d^*$ -distance from the block  $\eta[k, k+n)$ . In particular, this implies that if  $(b_n)$  is a sequence of blocks appearing in some subshift  $(Y, S)$ , such that  $|b_n| \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $b_{n'}$  convergent in  $d^*$  to a measure  $\mu \in M(Y, S)$ . On the other hand, for every ergodic measure  $\mu$  on  $Y$ , there exists a sequence  $(b_n)$  of blocks in  $Y$  with  $d^*(b_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ ; in fact, take  $b_n = \eta[0, n)$ , where  $\eta$  is generic for  $\mu$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** By  $M_0(Y, S)$  we denote the set of all invariant measures  $\mu$  of  $(Y, S)$  for which

$$d^*(b_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow d^{**}(b_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0,$$

for every sequence  $(b_n)$  of blocks appearing in  $Y$ .

An easy proof of the following lemma is omitted.

**LEMMA 1.** For every regular dyadic Toeplitz sequence  $\eta$  we have  $\eta^* \in M_0(\bar{O}(\eta), S)$ . In particular,  $d^{**}(\eta[0, n), \eta^*) \rightarrow 0$ .

Another observation will be useful.

**LEMMA 2.** For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  let  $b_i$  be some blocks of the same length  $m$  and  $\mu_i$  some invariant measures on  $\{0,1\}^Z$ . If  $d^{**}(b_i, \mu_i) \leq \epsilon$  for each  $i$  then  $d^{**}(b_0, n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i) \leq 2\epsilon$ , where  $b_0$  is the block of the length  $nm$  obtained by the concatenation of the blocks  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ .

**PROOF.** Observe that

$$F_{b_0}^{**}(b, k, j) \geq n^{-1} [F_{b_1}^{**}(b, k, j) + F_{b_2}^{**}(b, k, (j - m)_k) + \dots + F_{b_n}^{**}(b, k, (j - (n - 1)m)_k)].$$

Since  $F_{\mu_1}^{**}(b, k, j)$  does not depend on  $j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{b_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{\mu_i}^{**}(b, k, j) \right| dv^{**}(b, k, j) \\ &= \int \left| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{b_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{\mu_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k) \right| dv^{**}(b, k, j) \\ &\leq n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \int |F_{b_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k) \\ &\quad - F_{\mu_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k)| dv^{**}(b, k, j) \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n d^{**}(b_i, \mu_i) \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int F_{\mu_i}^{**} dv^{**} = 1$ , the obtained inequality implies in particular that

$$\int n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{b_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k) dv^{**}(b, k, j) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Thus, by the first inequality in the proof we obtain

$$\int \left| F_{b_0}^{**}(b, k, j) - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{b_i}^{**}(b, k, (j - (i - 1)m)_k) \right| dv^{**}(b, k, j) \leq \epsilon,$$

and finally

$$d^{**}\left(b_0, n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right) = \int \left| F_{b_0}^{**} - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{\mu_i}^{**} \right| dv^{**} \leq 2\epsilon,$$

which ends the proof.

Some more remarks on the structure of  $\Delta_2$  will be necessary. Let  $H_m = \{t \in \Delta_2 : t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_m = 0\}$ . Then  $H_m = \{2^m j \theta : j \in Z\}^-$  and  $H_m$  is a clopen subgroup of  $\Delta_2$  homeomorphically isomorphic to  $\Delta_2$ . For the map  $t \rightarrow t + \theta$ ,  $\Delta_2$  can be viewed as a  $2^m$ -tower:

$$H_m \rightarrow H_m + \theta \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_m + (2^m - 1)\theta.$$

DEFINITION 3. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  fix  $a_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$  and  $f_i \in T_c$ . Let  $\{A_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the partition of  $H_m$  into consecutive intervals with  $\lambda(A_i) = 2^{-m}a_i$  (modified as in Remark 1). We define

$$\text{MIX}_m(a_1 f_1, a_2 f_2, \dots, a_n f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{2^m-1} \mathbf{1}_{A_i+j\theta} f_i.$$

The following remarks are easy to verify:

- (4)  $\text{MIX}_m(a_1 f_1, a_2 f_2, \dots, a_n f_n) \in T_c$ ;
- (5) the sequence  $\text{MIX}_m(a_1 f_1, a_2 f_2, \dots, a_n f_n)$  equals  $f_i$  on a subset of  $Z$  of density  $a_i$ , built of intervals of the form  $[k2^m, (k + 1)2^m)$ .

Recall that a convex metrizable subset  $K$  of a topological vector space is called a Choquet simplex if each  $x \in K$  is a barycenter of a unique probability measure  $\mu_x$  supported by the set  $\text{ex } K$  of the extreme points of  $K$ . The map  $\mu_x \rightarrow x$ , mapping the probability measures on  $\text{ex } K$  onto  $K$ , is then affine, continuous and bijective. If, in addition,  $\text{ex } K$  is compact then this map is an affine homeomorphism, in which case  $K$  is called a Bauer simplex. It is known that every compact metrizable Choquet simplex is affinely homeomorphic to the intersection of a decreasing sequence of metrizable Bauer simplices ([3, Thm 9]).

Let  $B$  be a Bauer simplex and let  $h^*$  be some homeomorphism of  $B$  into  $T_c^*$ . Since  $h^*(\text{ex } B)$  is a compact extreme subset of the Choquet simplex of all shift invariant measures on  $\{0, 1\}^Z$ , it follows that  $C = \overline{\text{conv}} h^*(\text{ex } B)$  is a Bauer simplex. The formula  $h_A^*(x) = \int_{\text{ex } B} h^*(y) d\mu_x(y)$  defines an affine homeomorphism of  $B$  onto  $C$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $B$  be a metric Bauer simplex and let  $h : B \rightarrow T_c$  be a homeomorphic embedding. Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a homeomorphic embedding  $h_B : B \rightarrow T_c$  and  $m \in N$  such that

- (i)  $d^*(h_B^*(x), h_A^*(x)) \leq 5\epsilon$  for all  $x \in B$ ;
- (ii) for each  $x \in B$  and  $k \in Z$  the block  $b = \underline{h}_B(x) [k2^m + 1, (k + 1)2^m)$  appears in  $\underline{h}(y)$  for some  $y \in \text{ex } B$  and  $d^{**}(b, h^*(y)) \leq \epsilon$ .

PROOF. Choose a finite subset  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $\text{ex } B$  such that for every  $x \in B$  there exists  $y$  in  $F = \text{conv}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  with  $d^*(h_A^*(x), h_A^*(y)) \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $d^*$  is a convex metric, it follows from Michael's Theorem [11] that there exists a continuous mapping  $\tau : B \rightarrow F$  such that  $d^*(h_A^*(x), h_A^*(\tau(x))) \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $F$  is again a Bauer simplex, we have  $\tau(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)e_i$ , where the functions  $a_i$  are uniquely determined and continuous. By the regularity of the Toeplitz sequences  $\underline{h}(e_i)$  and by Lemma 1, it is not hard to see that there exists  $m \in N$  such that for each  $i$  and

each block  $b$ , with  $|b| = 2^m - 1$ , appearing in  $\underline{h}(e_i)$  we have  $d^{**}(b, h^*(e_i)) \leq \epsilon$ . We are in a position to define  $h_B$ . For  $x \in B$  let

$$g(x) = \text{MIX}_m(a_1(x)h(e_1), a_2(x)h(e_2), \dots, a_n(x)h(e_n)).$$

Let also  $g'(x) : H_m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be given by  $g'(x)(t) = h(x)(2^{-m}t)$  (multiplication by  $2^{-m}$  gives a homeomorphism of  $H_m$  onto  $\Delta_2$ ). Finally define  $h_B(x)$  on  $\Delta_2$  by

$$h_B(x)(t) = \begin{cases} g'(x)(t) & \text{for } t \in H_m, \\ g(x)(t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $h_B(x)$  satisfies (1) and (2). Also, since  $H_m \subset [0, t^0]$ ,  $h_B(x)$  satisfies (3), so  $h_B(x) \in T_c$ . By the continuity of the functions  $a_i$  and  $g'$ , it is seen that  $h_B$  is a continuous mapping. Also, since  $g'$  is 1-1, such is  $h_B$ , so  $h_B$  is a homeomorphic embedding of  $B$  into  $T_c$ . Observe that if  $b = \underline{h}_B(x)[k2^m + 1, (k + 1)2^m]$  for some  $x \in B$ ,  $k \in Z$  then  $b = g(x)[k2^m + 1, (k + 1)2^m]$  and, by (5),  $b$  appears in  $\underline{h}(e_{i(k)})$  for some  $1 \leq i(k) \leq n$ . Since  $|b| = 2^m - 1$  and by the choice of  $m$ , we have  $d^{**}(b, h^*(e_{i(k)})) \leq \epsilon$  and (ii) is proved. Now, if  $m$  is chosen large enough, we have  $d^{**}(b, b[1, 2^m]) \leq \epsilon$  for every block  $b$  of the length  $2^m$ . Hence,  $d^{**}(\underline{h}_B(x)[k2^m, (k + 1)2^m], h^*(e_{i(k)})) \leq 2\epsilon$  and, by Lemma 2, for  $r \in N$ ,

$$d^{**}\left(\underline{h}_B(x)[0, r2^m], \sum_{i=1}^n r_i r^{-1} h^*(e_i)\right) \leq 4\epsilon,$$

where  $r_i = |\{1 \leq k \leq r : i(k) = i\}|$ . Passing to the limit in  $r$  and using (5) and Lemma 1 we obtain that  $d^{**}(h_B^*(x), \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)h^*(e_i)) \leq 4\epsilon$ . By the definitions of  $\tau$  and  $h_A^*$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x)h^*(e_i) = h_A^*(\tau(x))$ . Since  $d^{**}(h_A^*(x), h_A^*(\tau(x))) \leq \epsilon$  the assertion (i) is proved.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $K$  be a compact metrizable Choquet simplex. Then there exists a closed invariant set  $Y$  in  $(\{0, 1\}^Z, S)$  such that  $M(Y, S)$  coincides with  $M_0(Y, S)$  and it is affinely homeomorphic to  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** We can represent  $K$  as an intersection of decreasing metric Bauer simplices  $(B_n, d)$ . Let  $h_1$  be the mapping  $h$  of Theorem 2 applied to  $B_1$ . Let also  $\epsilon_1 = 1$ . Suppose, for some  $n \geq 2$ , we have already defined a homeomorphic embedding  $h_{n-1} : B_{n-1} \rightarrow T_c$  and  $\epsilon_{n-1} > 0$ , so that for each  $x \in B_{n-1}$

$$(6) \quad d^*(h_{n-1}^*(x), h_{A, n-1}^*(x)) \leq 10\epsilon_{n-1},$$

where  $h_{A, n-1}^*$  is the affine extension of  $h_{n-1}^*|_{\text{ex } B_{n-1}}$ .

Since  $h_{A,n-1}^*$  is a homeomorphic embedding, we can choose  $\epsilon_n \leq \epsilon_{n-1}/2$  such that

$$(7) \quad d(x, y) \geq \epsilon_{n-1} \Rightarrow d^*(h_{A,n-1}^*(x), h_{A,n-1}^*(y)) \geq 61\epsilon_n \quad (x, y \in B_{n-1}).$$

We let  $h_n = h_B|_{B_n}$ , where  $h_B$  is the mapping of Lemma 3 applied to  $B = B_{n-1}$ ,  $h = h_{n-1}$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$ . So  $h_n^*$  is a homeomorphic embedding and it is  $5\epsilon_n$ -approximated by  $h_{A,n-1}^*$ . For  $x \in B_n$ , let  $\mu_x$  denote the measure on  $\text{ex } B_n$  representing  $x$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d^*\left(h_n^*(x), \int_{\text{ex } B_n} h_n^*(y) d\mu_x(y)\right) &\leq d^*(h_n^*(x), h_{A,n-1}^*(x)) \\ &+ d^*\left(\int_{\text{ex } B_n} h_{A,n-1}^*(y) d\mu_x(y), \int_{\text{ex } B_n} h_n^*(y) d\mu_x(y)\right) \leq 10\epsilon_n, \end{aligned}$$

since  $h_{A,n-1}^*$  is affine and  $d^*$  is a convex metric. We have proved formula (6) for  $n$ . Moreover,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} d^*(h_{n-1}^*(x), h_n^*(x)) &\leq d^*(h_{n-1}^*(x), h_{A,n-1}^*(x)) + d^*(h_{A,n-1}^*(x), h_n^*(x)) \\ &\leq 10\epsilon_{n-1} + 5\epsilon_n \leq 12.5\epsilon_{n-1}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{if } d(x, y) \geq \epsilon_{n-1} \text{ then } d^*(h_n^*(x), h_n^*(y)) \\ \geq d^*(h_{A,n-1}^*(x), h_{A,n-1}^*(y)) - 10\epsilon_n \geq 51\epsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

By (8), the mappings  $h_n^*$  converge uniformly on  $K = \bigcap B_n$  to a continuous mapping  $h^*$ . For  $x \in K$ , we have  $d^*(h_n^*(x), h^*(x)) \leq \sum_{i=n}^\infty 12.5\epsilon_i \leq 25\epsilon_n$ . Now, by (9),  $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon_{n-1} \Rightarrow d^*(h^*(x), h^*(y)) \geq 51\epsilon_n - 50\epsilon_n = \epsilon_n$ , which proves that  $h^*$  is a homeomorphic embedding. By (6),  $h^*$  is the uniform limit of  $h_{A,n}^*$  and hence it is affine. Let

$$Y = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \left( \bigcup_{i \geq n} \bigcup_{x \in K} \bar{O}(h_i(x)) \right)^-$$

We will show that  $h^*(K) = M(Y, S) = M_0(Y, S)$ . First observe that every measure  $h^*(x)$  ( $x \in K$ ), being a limit of the  $h_n^*(x)$ 's, is invariant and carried by  $Y$ . To prove the converse, it suffices to show that every ergodic measure on  $Y$  is in  $h^*(K)$ . We show this for each measure being a  $d^*$ -limit of blocks appearing in  $Y$ . Let  $\mu$  be such a measure. Fix some  $n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $b$  be a block appearing in  $Y$  such that  $d^*(b, \mu) < \epsilon_n$  and  $|b| = r2^m$ , where  $m$  is the number of Lemma 3 applied, as previously, to  $B = B_{n-1}$ ,  $h = h_{n-1}$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$ . By the definition of  $Y$ , the block  $b$  appears in  $h_i(x)$  for some  $i \geq n$  and  $x \in K$ . Now, by the inductive con-

struction of  $h_i$  and by (ii) of Lemma 3, it is seen that  $h_i(x)$  can be divided into blocks  $b_k$  of length  $2^m$  such that each  $b_k[1, 2^m)$  appears in  $h_{n-1}(y)$  for some  $y \in \text{ex } B_{n-1}$ , and  $d^{**}(b_k[1, 2^m), h_{n-1}^*(y)) \leq \epsilon_n$ . If  $m$  is large enough we also have  $d^{**}(b_k, h_{n-1}^*(y)) \leq 2\epsilon_n$ . For large  $r$ ,  $b$  is of small  $d^{**}$ -distance from a concatenation of  $r$  blocks  $b_k$ . Thus, by Lemma 2,

$$d^{**}(b, \text{conv } h_{n-1}^*(\text{ex } B_{n-1})) \leq 5\epsilon_n, \quad \text{i.e. } d^{**}(b, h_{A,n-1}^*(x_n)) \leq 5\epsilon_n,$$

for some  $x_n \in B_{n-1}$ . Since  $d^* \leq d^{**}$ , we obtain  $d^*(\mu, h_{A,n-1}^*(x_n)) \leq 6\epsilon_n$ . Passing to the uniform limit in  $n$  we conclude that  $\mu = h^*(\lim x_n) \in h^*(K)$ . Finally, since  $d^{**} = d^*$  for measures, we also obtain  $d^{**}(b, \mu) \leq 11\epsilon_n$ , which proves that  $\mu \in M_0(Y, S)$  and the proof is completed.

**V. Passing to a Toeplitz flow**

In this section we present the construction of a Toeplitz sequence  $z$  with  $M(\bar{O}(z), S)$  affinely homeomorphic to a given Choquet simplex  $K$ . In view of Theorem 3, we assume that  $K$  is represented as  $M(Y, S) = M_0(Y, S)$  for a certain 0-1 subshift  $(Y, S)$ . We let  $\Sigma$  be the two-point compactification of  $Z$ , say  $\Sigma = Z \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ . The construction below is based on that of [13, sec. 4].

CONSTRUCTION. Let  $b_n$  be a sequence of 0-1 blocks appearing in  $Y$  with  $|b_n| = \prod_{i=1}^n (2^i - 1)$  and such that each block appearing in  $Y$  initiates some of the  $b_n$ 's. Let  $c_n$  be a copy of  $b_n$  obtained by replacing the symbols 0 and 1 by  $-n$  and  $n$ , respectively. We define the sequence  $z \in \Sigma^Z$  by induction. In the first step we let  $z(j) = c_1$  for  $j = 0 \pmod 4$  ( $|c_1| = 1$ ).

After the  $n$ th step,  $z$  is defined on a periodic subset of  $Z$ , with the period  $p_n = \prod_{i=1}^n 2^{i+1}$ , leaving  $p'_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (2^{i+1} - 1)$  unoccupied positions in each period. In the  $(n + 1)$ st step we let  $p_{n+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} 2^{i+1}$ . If  $n$  is odd then we fill out all the  $p'_n$  unoccupied positions in each of the  $p_n$ -intervals  $[0, p_n) + kp_{n+1}$  with the consecutive values of  $c_{n+1}$  (notice that  $|c_{n+1}| = p'_n$ ). In case of  $n$  even we fill out in the same way the  $p_n$ -intervals  $[-p_n, 0) + kp_{n+1}$ . We obtain a  $p_{n+1}$ -periodic sequence with  $p'_{n+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (2^{i+1} - 1)$  unoccupied positions in each period. In this manner  $z$  is defined as a dyadic Toeplitz sequence in  $\Sigma^Z$ . The following properties of  $z$  and its orbit closure will be useful:

- (10) the density of  $\pm n$  in  $z$  equals  $\beta_n = p'_{n-1}/p_n \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$ , hence the supremum of the densities of  $\text{Per}_{2^n}(z)$  equals  $d(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \beta_n < 1$ , so  $z$  is not regular;
- (11) by minimality of  $\bar{O}(z)$  it is easy to see that for  $\omega \in \bar{O}(z)$ ,

$$\text{Aper}(\omega) = \{j \in Z : \omega(j) = \pm \infty\}.$$

For the sequence  $p = (p_n)$  the  $p$ -density of a set  $A \subset Z$  is given by

$$D_p(A) = \lim_n p_n^{-1} |A \cap [0, p_n)|$$

provided the limit exists. By the same argument as in Lemma 1 in [1] and in Lemma 4.2 in [13], we have

(12) the set  $W = \{\omega \in \bar{O}(Z) : \text{Aper}(\omega) \text{ is two-sided infinite and } D_p(\text{Aper}(\omega)) = 1 - d(Z)\}$  is of measure 1 for every measure in  $M(\bar{O}(Z), S)$ .

For  $\omega \in W$  and  $m \in N$  it is easily seen that

(13) 
$$D_p\{j \in Z : m \leq |\omega(j)| < \infty\} = \sum_{n \geq m} \beta_n.$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , by  $n_\epsilon$  we denote such a natural number that  $\sum_{n \geq n_\epsilon} \beta_n \leq \epsilon$ . For any finite block  $c$  over  $\Sigma$  we denote by  $I(c)$  the number of infinite symbols in  $c$  and we define  $\varphi(c)$  to be the 0-1 block such that  $|\varphi(c)| = I(c)$ , and the consecutive values of  $\varphi(c)$  are 0 or 1 according as the consecutive infinite symbols in  $c$  are  $-\infty$  or  $\infty$ , respectively. If  $c$  has no infinite symbols then  $\varphi(c) = \emptyset$ . Analogously,  $\varphi$  is defined on  $\Sigma^Z$ ; for  $\omega \in \bar{O}(Z)$ ,  $\varphi(\omega)$  is determined by  $\omega|_{\text{Aper}(\omega)}$ . Now, the equality

(14) 
$$\varphi(W) = Y$$

has exactly the same proof as Lemma 4.3 in [13] (the differences between the construction of  $Z$  and that of  $\eta$  in [13, sec. 4] are easily seen to be inessential).

LEMMA 4. *An element  $\omega \in W$  is  $p$ -generic for a measure  $\mu \in M(\bar{O}(Z), S)$  if and only if each block  $c$  over  $\Sigma$  appears in  $\omega$  with  $p$ -density. The  $p$ -density is then equal to  $\mu(U_c)$ .*

PROOF. For a given block  $c$  over  $\Sigma$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  define

$$U_{c,\epsilon} = \{\omega \in \Sigma^Z : \omega(i) = c(i) \text{ if } c(i) \text{ is finite, } \omega(i) \in [-\infty, -n_{\epsilon/I(c)}] \\ \text{if } c(i) = -\infty, \omega(i) \in [n_{\epsilon/I(c)}, \infty] \text{ if } c(i) = \infty\}.$$

Clearly  $U_{c,\epsilon}$  is a clopen cylinder. Now, let  $\omega \in W$  and let  $S^j(\omega) \in U_{c,\epsilon} \setminus U_c$ . Then at least one of the infinite symbols in  $c$  is replaced in  $\omega[j, j + |c|)$  by a finite symbol with modulus at least  $n_{\epsilon/I(c)}$ . Since, by (13), all such symbols in  $\omega$  have the  $p$ -density at most  $\epsilon/I(c)$ , we have proved that the upper  $p$ -density at which the trajectory of  $\omega$  visits  $U_{c,\epsilon} \setminus U_c$  is at most  $\epsilon$ . Now, let  $\omega \in W$  be generic for  $\mu$ . Fix a block  $c$  over  $\Sigma$ . Since  $U_{c,\epsilon}$  is clopen, it is visited by the trajectory of  $\omega$  with the  $p$ -density  $\mu(U_{c,\epsilon})$ . Both upper and lower  $p$ -densities of visiting  $U_c$  are now be-

tween  $\mu(U_{c,\epsilon})$  and  $\mu(U_{c,\epsilon}) - \epsilon$ , hence the  $p$ -density of  $c$  in  $\omega$  exists and equals  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu(U_{c,\epsilon}) = \mu(U_c)$ . Conversely, suppose that the  $p$ -densities of all the blocks in  $\omega$  exist. We ought to show that the trajectory of  $\omega$  visits every cylinder  $U$  of the form  $\{\omega \in \Sigma^Z : \omega(i) \in A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with all  $A_i$  clopen in  $\Sigma$ . Every such  $U$  can, for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , be obtained as a disjoint union of finitely many cylinders  $U_{c_k}$  with  $I(c_k) = 0$  and at most  $2n$  cylinders  $U_{c'_j, \delta}$  with  $\delta < \epsilon/2n$ . Thus the upper and lower  $p$ -densities at which the trajectory of  $\omega$  visits  $U$  are between  $D$  and  $D + \epsilon$ , where  $D$  is the joint  $p$ -density of the blocks  $c_k$  and  $c'_j$  in  $\omega$ , which ends the proof.

REMARK 2. In the notion of the foregoing proof we have obtained that the function  $\mu \rightarrow \mu(U)$  on  $M(\bar{O}(z), S)$  is a uniform limit of the functions  $\mu \rightarrow \mu(U_\epsilon)$ , where  $U_\epsilon = \bigcup_k U_{c_k} \cup \bigcup_j U_{c'_j}$ .

For a block  $c$  over  $\Sigma$ , we denote by  $m(c)$  the maximal modulus of the finite symbols in  $c$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $\nu \in M(Y, S)$  be such that  $W_\nu \neq \emptyset$ , where

$$W_\nu = \{\omega \in W : \varphi(\omega[0, p_n]) \text{ converges in } d^* \text{ to } \nu\}.$$

Then every  $\omega \in W_\nu$  is  $p$ -generic for the same measure  $\mu$  (depending only on  $\nu$ ).

PROOF. Let  $\omega \in W_\nu$ . By Lemma 4, it suffices to show that each block  $c$  over  $\Sigma$  appears in  $\omega$  with a  $p$ -density depending only on  $\nu$ . First, let  $c$  contain no infinite symbols. Then  $c$  appears in  $z$  periodically and hence it appears with the same  $p$ -density; write  $D(c)$ , in each element of  $\bar{O}(z)$ . Now consider a block  $c$  appearing in  $\omega$  and satisfying

$$(15) \quad \varphi(c) = b \neq \emptyset;$$

$$(16) \quad \text{the last symbol of } c \text{ is the only one in } c \text{ of modulus } m(c).$$

Denote by  $j_0 + k_0 p_{m(c)}$  ( $k_0 \in Z, 0 \leq j_0 < p_{m(c)}$ ) a position of  $c(0)$  in some appearance of  $c$  in  $\omega$ . Recall the construction of  $z$  and observe that in  $\omega$  (as in  $z$ ) the symbols  $\pm n$  fill all the positions unoccupied by smaller symbols in  $p_n$ -periodically repeating intervals of length  $p_{n-1}$  (called  $p_{n-1}$ -intervals). Since in  $c$  there appears an infinite symbol, we conclude that whenever  $c$  appears in  $\omega$ , its last symbol is the first  $\pm m(c)$  in such a  $p_{m(c)}$ -periodically repeating  $p_{m(c)-1}$ -interval. Thus all appearances of  $c$  in  $\omega$  differ by multiples of  $p_{m(c)}$ , and  $c(0)$  occupies the position  $j_0 \bmod p_{m(c)}$ , while the first symbol  $\pm \infty$  in  $c$  occupies also a constant position  $j \bmod p_{m(c)}$ . Since  $\text{Aper}(\omega)$  has either 0 or  $p'_{m(c)}$  elements in each  $p_{m(c)}$ -interval,

the corresponding appearances of  $b$  in  $\varphi(\omega)$  differ by multiples of  $p'_{m(c)}$ , say  $b(0)$  occupies there a position  $j' \bmod p'_{m(c)}$ .

Conversely, by periodicity of finite symbols in  $\omega$ , it is not hard to see that  $c$  appears in  $\omega$  whenever  $b$  appears in  $\varphi(\omega)$  at a position  $j' \bmod p'_{m(c)}$ . In conclusion, for given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c$  appears in  $\omega[0, p_n)$  as many times as  $b$  does in  $\varphi(\omega[0, p_n))$  at the position  $j' \bmod p'_{m(c)}$ . Since  $p'_{m(c)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m(c)} (2^{i+1} - 1)$  is an odd number, the frequency of  $b$  in  $\varphi(\omega[0, p_n))$  at the position  $j' \bmod p'_{m(c)}$  is denoted by  $F_{\varphi(\omega[0, p_n))}^{**}(b, p'_{m(c)}, j')$ . By the assumption of this Lemma,  $\varphi(\omega[0, p_n))$  converge in  $d^*$  to  $\nu \in M(Y, S) = M_0(Y, S)$ , hence the convergence holds in  $d^{**}$ . In particular,

$$F_{\varphi(\omega[0, p_n))}^{**}(b, p'_{m(c)}, j') \xrightarrow{n} p'^{-1}_{m(c)} \nu(U_b).$$

Now, since  $\omega \in W$ ,

(17) the appearance of  $c$  in  $\omega$  has the  $p$ -density  $p'^{-1}_{m(c)} \nu(U_b)(1 - d(\mathfrak{z}))$ .

To see that the family of the blocks satisfying (15) and (16) is “rich enough” we show that for every block  $c$  appearing in  $\bar{O}(\mathfrak{z})$ , relatively to  $\bar{O}(\mathfrak{z})$ ,  $U_c$  is a finite disjoint union of cylinders over blocks satisfying (15) and (16). In fact, whenever  $c$  appears in some  $\omega \in \bar{O}(\mathfrak{z})$ , it can be extended to the right until the first finite symbol of modulus  $m$  greater than  $m(c)$ . So obtained block  $c'$  satisfies both (15) and (16), and  $m(c') = m$ . Now,  $U_c$  relatively to  $\bar{O}(\mathfrak{z})$ ,  $U_c$  is equal to the disjoint union of  $U_{c'}$  over all such extensions  $c'$  of  $c$ . Recall the construction of  $\mathfrak{z}$  and observe that between any two symbols of different moduli  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , say  $n_1 < n_2$  in  $\mathfrak{z}$ , there appears a symbol of modulus  $n_1 - 1$ . By a standard argument for coordinatewise limit, the same is valid in each  $\omega \in \bar{O}(\mathfrak{z})$  even for  $n_2 = \infty$ . So, for each above extension  $c'$  of  $c$  we obtain that  $m(c') = m(c) + 1$ , otherwise between  $\pm\infty$  and the last symbol  $\pm m(c')$  in  $c'$  a symbol of modulus  $m(c') - 1$  would be missing. By the periodicity of finite symbols,  $\bar{O}(\mathfrak{z})$  admits only finitely many such extensions  $c'$  of  $c$ , which ends the proof.

**THEOREM 4.** *There exists an affine homeomorphism between  $M(Y, S)$  and  $M(\bar{O}(\mathfrak{z}), S)$ .*

**PROOF.** First observe that the set  $\{\nu \in M(Y, S) : W_\nu \neq \emptyset\}$  contains all the ergodic measures on  $(Y, S)$ . In fact, let  $\eta \in Y$  be generic for such a measure  $\nu$ . By (14), we can choose  $\omega \in W$  with  $\varphi(\omega) = \eta$ , and consequently  $\omega \in W_\nu$ . The desired affine homeomorphism will be defined as follows:  $\Phi(\nu) = \mu$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(U_c) &= D(c) && \text{for } c \text{ without infinite symbols,} \\ \mu(U_c) &= p'^{-1}_{m(c)} \nu(U_{\varphi(c)})(1 - d(\mathfrak{z})) && \text{for } c \text{ satisfying (15) and (16).} \end{aligned}$$

Since for every block  $c$ ,  $U_c$  is a finite union of cylinders over blocks satisfying the above two cases, the formula determines each  $\mu(U_c)$ . At the same time the uniqueness of  $\Phi(\nu)$  is guaranteed. By Lemma 5, we recognize (see (17)) that if  $W_\nu \neq \emptyset$  then  $\Phi(\nu)$  exists in  $M(\bar{O}(z), S)$ , and hence, by an affine extension, the same is true for each  $\nu \in M(Y, S)$ . It is also seen that the function  $\nu \rightarrow \Phi(\nu)(U_c)$  is continuous for each block  $c$ , which, by Remark 2, implies the continuity of  $\Phi$ . Now, since  $\varphi(c)$  with  $c$  satisfying (15) and (16) runs through all the 0-1 blocks appearing in  $Y$  (see (14)), it is seen that  $\Phi$  is 1-1. It only remains to show that each ergodic measure  $\mu$  on  $\bar{O}(z)$  is in the image of  $\Phi$ .

Since  $\mu(W) = 1$ , we can choose  $\omega$  both generic for  $\mu$  and contained in  $W$ . Now, it suffices to show that  $\omega \in W_\nu$  for some  $\nu \in M(Y, S)$ . To this end fix a 0-1 block  $b$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Each appearance of  $b$  in  $\varphi(\omega)$  corresponds to an appearance in  $\omega$  of a block  $c$  such that  $\varphi(c) = b$  and both ending symbols of  $c$  are infinite. Now, every such  $c$  can, in a unique way, be extended in  $\omega$  to the right until the first finite symbol  $\pm m$  with  $m > m(c)$ . Denote the so obtained block by  $c'$ . Clearly there are finitely many possible so obtained blocks  $c'$  with  $m \leq n_\epsilon$ , and, by Lemma 4, the appearance of all such blocks in  $\omega$  has a  $p$ -density. Since  $\omega \in W$ , the appearance of the remaining blocks  $c'$  (with  $m > n_\epsilon$ ) has upper density at most  $\epsilon$ . We have proved that the joint appearance of all such blocks  $c'$  in  $\omega$  has a  $p$ -density. Again, since  $\omega \in W$ , we conclude that  $F_{\varphi(\omega[0, p_n])}^*(b)$  converges in  $n$ , which implies that  $\varphi(\omega[0, p_n])$  converges in  $d^*$  to some measure  $\nu \in M(Y, S)$ , and hence  $\omega \in W_\nu$ , completing the proof.

In the sequel we show that  $\Sigma$  in Theorem 4 may be replaced by  $\{0,1\}$ . The method used here is a modification of that in [1, sec. 3].

**LEMMA 6.** *There exists a 0-1 dyadic Toeplitz sequence  $z^\circ$  such that the flow  $(\bar{O}(z^\circ), S)$  is topologically conjugate to  $(\bar{O}(z), S)$ .*

**PROOF.** Define a map  $^\circ: \Sigma^Z \rightarrow \{0,1\}^Z$  by  $\omega^\circ(j) = 2(\text{sign } \omega(j) - 1/2)$ . Clearly  $^\circ$  is continuous and it commutes with  $S$ . It remains to show that  $^\circ$  is 1-1 on  $\bar{O}(z)$ . Fix  $\omega^\circ \in \bar{O}(z^\circ)$  and  $j \in Z$ . Since the value of  $\omega^\circ(j)$  determines  $\text{sign } \omega(j)$ , it suffices to show that we are able to recover  $|\omega(j)|$  using  $\omega^\circ$ . For given  $n \in N$  consider the values  $\omega^\circ(j + kp_n)$  for  $k \in Z$ . If  $|\omega(j)| \leq n$  then these values are constant for  $k$ . Conversely, the above fails if  $|\omega(j)| > n$ . In fact, in this case  $j$  is one of the positions unoccupied by the  $p_n$ -periodic part of  $\omega$ , say each  $j + kp_n$  is the  $i$ th such position in the  $k$ th  $p_n$ -interval ( $k \in Z$ ). For some block  $b_{n_0}$  used in the construction of  $z$  ( $n_0 \geq n$ ) we have  $b_{n_0}(i) = 1 - \omega^\circ(j)$  (we need not consider the trivial case of

$Y$  consisting of a constant sequence). Thus, in the  $p_n$ -interval filled out (in the  $n_0$ th step) with  $b_{n_0}[0, p'_n)$  we find  $\omega^\circ(j + kp_n) = 1 - \omega^\circ(j)$ . We have proved that

$$|\omega(j)| = \sup\{n \in N : \omega^\circ(j + kp_n) = \omega^\circ(j) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and we are done.

We are in a position to state our main result, the proof of which is now a combination of Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Lemma 6.

**THEOREM 5.** *For every compact metric Choquet simplex  $K$  there exists a 0–1 dyadic Toeplitz flow whose set of invariant measures is affinely homeomorphic to  $K$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Downarowicz, *A minimal 0–1 subshift with noncompact set of ergodic measures*, *Probability Theory Relat. Fields* **79** (1988), 29–35.
2. T. Downarowicz and A. Iwanik, *Quasi-uniform convergence in compact dynamical systems*, *Studia Math.*, **89** (1988), 11–25.
3. D. A. Edwards, *Systèmes projectifs d'ensembles convexes compacts*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **103** (1975), 225–240.
4. H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981.
5. Haydon, R. *A new proof that every Polish space is the extreme boundary of a simplex*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **7** (1975), 97–100.
6. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
7. K. Jacobs and M. Keane, *0–1 sequences of Toeplitz type*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb.* **13** (1969), 123–131.
8. Y. Katznelson and B. Weiss, *When all points are recurrent/generic*, in *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems I*, Proc. Special Year, Maryland 1979–80, 1981, pp. 195–210.
9. N. G. Markley, *Substitution-like minimal sets*, *Isr. J. Math.* **22** (1975), 332–353.
10. N. G. Markley and M. E. Paul, *Almost automorphic symbolic minimal sets without unique ergodicity*, *Isr. J. Math.* **34** (1979), 259–272.
11. E. Michael, *Continuous selections I*, *Ann. of Math.* **63** (1956), 361–382.
12. J. C. Oxtoby, *Ergodic sets*, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **58** (1952), 116–136.
13. S. Williams, *Toeplitz minimal flows which are not uniquely ergodic*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb.* **67** (1984), 95–107.