-Original Article-

EVALUATION ON THE RECURRENT CASES OF EARLY GASTRIC CARCINOMA

Katsuji OKUI, M.D. and Masaru SUZUKI, M.D.*

First Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiba University *Department of Surgery, National Narashino Hospital

Summary

In the First Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiba University, 213 cases with early gastric carcinoma have undergone an excision from 1955 to 1975. The observed 5-year, relative 5-year¹⁻⁵, observed 10-year, and relative 10-year survival rates of these cases amount to 89.3%, 99.8% and 98.0% respectively. The present investigation concerns 13 of these patients with diagnosed postoperative recurrent cases.

The post-operative gastric carcinoma recurrence might be classified to metastasis to liver and lymphnodes, peritoneal dissemination, residual gastric recurrence and recurrence in miscellaneous organs⁶). The 13 cases involved 2 cases of liver metastasis, 9 cases of residual gastric recurrence and 2 cases of recurrence in miscellaneous organs. Nine of these cases underwent re-operations which were grouped to 6 cases of residual gastrectomy, 2 cases of excision of miscellaneous organs (cecum and rectum), and 1 case exploratory laparotomy. The development in these cases is characterized by 3 cases of stump persistent carcinoma at the residual stomach due to stump carcinoma positive in excision, 2 cases of residual gastric carcinoma due to lymphatic vessel invasion and 6 cases of multiple carcinoma.

Key Words: early gastric carcinoma, residual gastric recurrence, stump persistant carcinoma, lymphatic vessel invasion, peritoneal dissemination, metastasis of liver and lymphnode.

Introduction

At the annual meeting of Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society in 1962 and of Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer in 1963, the early gastric carcinoma was defined as carcinoma of the stomach of which invasion was limited to the mucosa and submucosa^{7,8)}. Since then a marked progress has been made in X-ray examination, endoscopy, cytodiagnosis and histopathology so that a great many cases with early gastric carcinoma have been diagnosed and submitted to a gastric resection⁹⁻¹²⁾. This is now the time to evaluate the late results of these cases.

In the First Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiba University, 213 cases with diagnosed early gastric carcinoma have undergone an excision from 1955 to 1975. The late results of these cases indicate that the observed 5-year, relative 5-year, observed 10-year, and relative 10-year survival rates amounted to 89.3, 99.8, 79.4, and 98.0%, respectively.

The present paper describes the results on 13 of these cases who had subjective symptoms at so long intervals after the gastrectomy that they were diagnosed as recurrences by the clinical examination and re-operation.

Terms concerning the gastric carcinoma used in the present paper are derived from "The General Rules for the Gastric Cancer Study in Surgery and Pathology"⁷. The clinical findings of 13 cases are listed in **Table 1** and **2**. The findings in the primary operations are as follows:

9 of them were males and 4 were females. Their average age was 50.8 years. We did 9 distal partial gastrectomies, 2 proximal subtotal gastrectomies, l proximal partial gastrectomy and 1 total gastrectomy. In macroscopic classification of resected materials, excavated type was very often observed. There were 1 case of type I, 2 of IIa+IIc, 5 of IIc, 2 of IIc+III and 1 of III+IIc. Concerning histopathological findings, 5 cases were poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, 4 were well differentiated adenocarcinoma and the other 4 cases were signet-ring cell carcinoma. The depth of 6 cases carcinoma invasion was limited to mucosa but 7 cases reached submucosa. Regarding metastasis of lymphnode, 1 was $n_1(+)$ and others were all negative. Ly, was observed in 2 cases, but others were negative. Venous invasion was negative in all cases. Three were exhibiting oral stump carcinoma.

The findings at the time of recurrence are as follows:

The average interval between the primary operation and the recurrence was 5.1 years. Four cases out of 13 underwent re-operations. We made 6 residual total gastrectomies, 2 miscellaneous organ (cecum and rectum) resection and 1 was exploratory simple laparotomy. In macroscopic classification of resected materials, there were 1 type I, 2 IIc, 1 Borr. II, 2 Borr. IV and 2 colonic carcinoma Borr. II. Four cases were not submitted to a reoperation. Two cases were clinically doubted to be metastasis of the liver and the other 2 exhibited Borr. IV like development at the residual stomach. As to histopathological findings, 5 were well differentiated adenocarcinoma, 3 were poorly differentiated adeno-

| ic cancer | Lymphatic & Method astasis venous Cancer in of ⁽¹³⁾ op- Mode of ⁽³⁾ ubhode permeation stump eration recurrence | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}_{0}}$ \mathbf{v}_{0} (+) |) $\mathbf{l}\mathbf{y}_0$ \mathbf{v}_0 (-) | $ \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{y}_0} \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{v}_0$ $(-) \mathbf{D}.\mathbf{G}. \mathbf{R}$ | | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ \mathbf{v}_0 (+) | $\mathbf{l}\mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_n (-)$ | $\mathbf{l}\mathbf{y}_{0}$ \mathbf{v}_{0} $(-)$ | $\mathbf{l}\mathbf{v}_{0}$ \mathbf{v}_{0} $(-)$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}}$ $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}$ $(+)$ | ly, v, (-) D.G. | $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{y}_{n}$ \mathbf{v}_{n} $(-)$ D.G. | $\mathbf{l}\mathbf{y}_{i}$ \mathbf{v}_{i} $(-)$ D.G. | I_{V_2} V_0 $(-)$ P.G. | $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{y}_1$ \mathbf{v}_0 |
|--|--|--|---|--|------|--|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|----------------------------|---|
| | | D.G | D.G | D.G | D.G | S.G. | D.G | D.G | D.G. | S.G. | D.G | D.G | D.G | P.G. | T.G. |
| | Cancer i stump | | | Î | (-) | + | | | |) (+ | | | Ĵ | | $\left(-\right)$ |
| | phatic & enous meation | V. | v ₀ | V | V | Ň | V ₀ | V ₀ | V. | ° ^ | V. | | | | |
| er | | | ly。 | ly, | ly, | lv. | ly, | ly, | lv, | lv, | lv, | ly, | lv, | l_{γ_2} | ly ₁ |
| Table 1. Particulars of recurrent early gastric cancer I. Finding at the primary operation | Metastasis of lymphnode | n(-) | $\mathbf{n}(-)$ | $\mathbf{n}(-)$ | n(-) | $\mathbf{n}(-)$ | n(-) | $\mathbf{n}(-)$ | $\mathbf{n}(-)$ | $\mathbf{n}(-)$ | (+)'u | n (-) | n(-) | n,(+) | n(-) |
| urrent earl rimary opo | histolog- ical ⁽¹⁾ picture | S.R. | P.D. | W.D. | P.D. | S.R. | S.R. | S.R. | S.R. | P.D. | W.D. | P.D. | W.D. | P.D. | P.D. |
| Particulars of recurrent early gastr Finding at the primary operation | Depth of invasion | E | Ħ | H | sm | Ħ | sm | н | Ħ | sm | sm | ш | sm | sm | sm |
| e 1. Partic I. Find | Major diameter | 3.0 cm | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| Tabl | Macroscopic classification | III+IIc | I | I | IIc | IIc+III | IIc | IIc | IIc | IIc+III | IIa+IIc | IIc | IIa + IIc | III + IIc | IIa+IIc |
| | Location | M | V | A | ¥ | М | MA | Y | M | M | M | Α | Z | C | AM |
| | Sex | R | M | Z | Z | М | ſ- | ы | ч | íł, | ž | И | M | М | ĭ |
| | Age | 48 | 60 | 63 | 61 | 36 | 39 | 63 | 63 | 34 | 42 | 64 | 61 | 4 | 45 |
| | tient | T.U. | K.N. | Y.I. | T.S. | S.S. | Α.Υ. | T.T. | T.T. | A.T. | Y.N. | H.I. | M.I. | J.T. | Т.Т. |

| S.R. | | | НI | died of ca. 6 monats |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | aiter 2nd op. |
| | | | | died of liver metastasis |
| | | | | 2 years after the op. |
| 15.0 cm sei P.D. | $n_{a}(+)$ | $ly_2 v_2$ | T.G. | died of ca. 6 monats |
| | | | | after 2nd op. |
| | | | | died of ca. 8 years |
| | | | | after the op. |
| m S.R. | n(-) | ly _o v _o | T.G. | alive |
| se P.D. | $\mathbf{n}_{1}(+)$ | ly ₂ v ₁ | T.G. | operationstod |
| | | | | alive |
| m P.D. | n(-) | | T.G. | alive |
| se P.D. | $\mathbf{n}_1(+)$ | I_{y_2} v_1 | Amptatio | died of ca. 1.2 years |
| | | | recti | after 2nd op. |
| se W.D. | $n_1(+)$ | | T.G. | operationstod |
| sm W.D. | (-)u | $ly_1 v_0$ | T.G. | died of apoplexy 3.5 years |
| | | | | after 2nd op. |
| | | | | died of ca. 5.5 years |
| | | | | after the op. |
| se W.D. | $n_1(+)$ | $ly_2 v_1$ | Hemi- | alive |
| | | | | |
| | _ | W.D. W.D. W.D. | W.D. $n_1(+) y_0$ W.D. $n(-) y_1$ W.D. $n_1(+) y_2$ | W.D. $n_1(+)$ ly_0 v_0 W.D. $n(-)$ ly_1 v_0 W.D. $n_1(+)$ ly_2 v_1 |

 Table 2. Particulars of recurrent early gastric cancer

 T1
 Finding at the recurrence

October 1977

359

carcinoma and 1 was mucous adenocarcinoma in histological classification. On the subject of depth of carcinoma invasion, 3 cases were still in the early stage of stomach cancer. Two were mucosa and 1 was submucosa. However the others were in an advanced stage, 4 cases were serosa and 1 was pancreas. Five cases metastasized to the lymphnode, 4 were $n_1(+)$, 1 was $n_3(+)$, 3 were negative. Concerning lymphatic and venous permeation, ly_2 was recognized in 4 cases, ly_1 in 1 case, v_2 in 1 case and v_1 in 3.

According to the patterns of development diagnoses from the primary and re-operation findings, it is considered that 3 recurrences were caused by stump carcinoma positive, 2 cases by residual gastric carcinoma due to lymphatic vessel permeation positive and 6 by multiple carcinoma.

Discussion

It has been quite a long time since the diagnosis for early gastric carcinoma was established. There have been great many cases of gastric carcinoma reported in early stage. The therapy for these cases has been made in a similar way as advanced gastric carcinoma. The late results of these cases are finally ready for evaluation.

In our laboratory, 213 cases diagnosed as early gastric carcinoma have undergone a gastrectomy until late in 1975. The 5-year and 10-year survival rates of these cases amounted to 91.1 and 77.6%, respectively, which would be fairly satisfactory. Among these cases, we experienced several cases with relapse as progressive gastric carcinoma. Thirteen of these cases were identified as recurrences by the re-operation and clinical examination. We will discuss the results of these 13 cases in relation to the patterns of development.

Two of these 13 cases were diagnosed as liver

metastasis. According to the type at the primary operation, one case was grouped by I, and the other by IIc. They died at 1 year 10 months and 8 years 2 months after the operation, respectively.

Macroscopic investigation of the primary excised material indicated that the former case might be liver metastasis due to well differentiated adenocarcinoma with vascular invasion. However, the developing processes have not been clarified. It would be a problem to be reconsidered in future that no surgical treatment has been employed for liver metastasis.

Nine cases of them exhibited the main development of carcinoma at the residual stomach. Three of 9 cases were stump persistent carcinoma. Some authors say that recurrent stump carcinoma is not residual gastric relapse but stump persistent carcinoma¹³⁾. Two of nine cases were submitted to residual gastrectomy at 3 years, and 2 years 9 months after primary operation, respectively. They are still alive. Another case was found to be persistent carcinoma at 9 years 8 months after the primary operation. The case ended in only exploratory laparotomy because of marked peritoneal dissemination at the reoperation.

The above three cases are identified as type IIc and III+IIc, and histologically as poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of signet-ring cell carcinoma. Therefore, we have to make a thorough examination for the region of carcinoma infiltration and for the presence of multiple carcinoma foci prior to the primary operation. Under the operation, the stump positive cases should be eliminated by the macroscopic findings of resected specimen, histodiagnosis by frozen section and cytodiagnosis. If it was detected by the histological tests after the operation, the re-excision should be conducted as soon as possible. It has been shown by our investigations¹⁴) and related

papers¹⁵⁾ that the re-excision should be carried out within the interval of three years.

Four of 6 residual cases underwent a residual gastrectomy and the others (2 cases) were identified as recurrence of residual stomach by X-ray examination and endoscopy. The recurrence patterns of the above 2 cases was accompanied with invasion of lymphatic permeation, therefore relapse might take place in residual stomach with the histological pattern of skirrhus as mentioned by Sano¹⁶⁾. The other cases were considered to be residual gastric carcinoma as multiple carcinoma. Many reports recently published on multiple carcinoma revealed that the frequency of multiple carcinoma in early gastric carcinoma was approximately $5.0\%^{17,18}$. In contrast, the frequency in our cases amounted to 10.7%. The results indicate that we have to care not only the presence of precancerous nest in the operation, but also carcinoma recurrence in the residual stomach after the operation. Multiple cysts were found mainly in submucous layer around the carcinoma nest bodies of the primary operative material derived from 2 cases. There is no definite evidence that multiple cystomas present submucosally near the focus of early gastric carcinoma are the carcinogenic field, however, the relationship between early gastric carcinoma and these coexistent lesions will be the theme of future studies19,20).

In two of these cases, new development of cancer, independent of primary foci, was observed in other organs (cecum and rectum) several years after gastrectomy. Histologically, both tumors were diagnosed as adenocarcinomas, but from the intraoperative findings as well as from the gross findings, should rather be considered asynchronous multiple carcinomas. Thanks to recent progress in diagnostic technic, many cases of multiple carcinoma have been reported, and we, too have encountered not a few such cases, it is therefore necessary for the surgeon not only to be concerned with the treatment of primary carcinoma but also pay his attention especially to the diagnosis and treatment of secondary and tertiary carcinomas in the future.

Poor prognosis was derived from the residual gastric recurrence. Two of these case died because of insufficient suture. The late results indicate that 3 and 2 cases among 4 cases operated have survived for 3 and 5 years, respectively. In order to improve the cure rates on the recurrent early gastric carcinoma, we have to diagnose the recurrence at the early stage. Since our cases visited hospital, complaining of pain from stenosis and ulcer, it is necessary to grasp the general status of all the patients with postgastrectomy through periodic examination. If anything abnormal was detected by residual X-ray examination and endoscopy, biopsy and cytodiagnosis are to be followed in order to confirm the diagnosis.

In these cases, 5 to 10 years passed since the primary operation. They are at advanced age enough to exhibit the change of cardiopulmonary function, as is often the case with the old. Therefore, we have to be more careful in selecting the method of operation and in controlling the conditions through and after the operation as compared to the primary operation. We believe that these treatments should be effective in decreasing the frequency of post-operative complication and death, which would improve the survival rates.

References

- Ederer P, et al: The relative survival rate: A statistical methodology. National Cancer Institute Monograph. No. 6, 101-121, Washington, 1961
- Axtell LM: Computing survival rates for chronic disease patients. JAMA 186: 1125–1128, 1963
- 3) US Public Health Service: National Cancer Institute Monograph 15. International Symposium on End Results of Cancer Therapy, Washington, 1964
- 4) Kurihara N, et al: Computing Method of the

- 5) Muto T, et al: A studies on end results of early gastric carcinoma Analysis with relative survival rate curve. Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 5: 541-549, 1970
- Kusama S, et al: Pathological and physiological studies on the recurrent gastric cancer. Geka (Jpn) 36: 540-546, 1974
- 7) "The General Rules for Gastric Cancer Study in Surgery and Pathology," ed. by Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer, pub by Kanehara Shuppan, Tokyo, Sept, 1974
- 8) "Diagnosis of Easy Gastric Cancer, V. Pathology" ed by Murakami T, et al pub by Bunkodo, Tokyo, 1967
- 9) "Atlas of X-ray Diagnosis of Early Gastric Cancer" ed by Shirakabe H, et al, pub by Igakushoin, Tokyo, 1966
- 10) Shirakabe H, et al: Statistical figures very small gastric carcinoma under 1 cm in diameter preoperatively diagnosed based on the results of questionnaire to 24 institutions in Japan. Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 5: 995–998, 1970
- Sano R, et al: Pathological analysis on early gastric cancer of 300 cases. Naika (Jpn) 26: 15-21, 1970
- Murakami T, et al: The initial gastric cancer. Geka-Chiryo (Jpn) 7: 258-267, 1962

- 13) Fujita Y, et al: Surgical studies on 27 cases with residual gastric carcinoma. Geka (Jpn) 31: 919-926, 1973
- 14) Okui K, et al: A report of case of a recurrent cancer in a post-operative stomach after six years of resection of the Type I early cancer. Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 5: 587-592, 1970
- 15) Sano R, et al: Pathological evaluation of recurrence and mortality in early gastric cancer consideration on the pace of depth invasion of gastric cancer. Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 5: 531-549, 1970
- 16) Sano R: Pathological and biochemical studies on histological development of scirrhus (linitis plastica). Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 9: 455-465, 1974
- 17) Hayakawa H, et al: Multiple simultaneous early gastric cancers. Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 3: 1507-1519, 1968
- 18) Kubo A, et al: Multiple primary cancer of the stomach with special reference to gastric cancer in its early stage. Stomach and Intestine (Jpn) 3: 1497-1506, 1968
- Lubarsch-Zweickau: Uber heterotope Epithelwucherungen und Krebs. Verhandl der deutch Path Ges 10: 208–216, 1907
- 20) Iwanaga T: Diffuse heterotopic multiple cysts and carcinoma of the stomach. Jpn J Cancer Clin 19: 971-979, 1973

Received May 16, 1977.

Accepted August 8, 1977.

Address requests for reprints to: Dr. Katsuji Okui, M.D., First Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Chiba University, 8-1 Inohana 1-chome, Chiba, 280 Japan.