

# IRREFLEXIVE BANACH SPACES ARE IMPERFECT\*

BY  
DINA FRIEDLAND

## ABSTRACT

It is shown that irreflexive Banach spaces are imperfect: thus the "perfect" spaces are exactly the reflexive Banach spaces and "mixed" spaces do not exist.

Recall the following definitions of S. Goldberg and E. O. Thorp [1].

*Definition 1.* A linear operator  $T$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed linear spaces, is called "perfectly compact" if it is compact as an operator from  $X$  to  $TX$ .

*Definition 2.* A  $B$ -space  $X$  is called "perfect" if all compact operators from  $X$  to every  $B$ -space  $Y$  are perfectly compact.

*Definition 3.* A  $B$ -space  $X$  is called "imperfect" if for any infinite dimensional  $B$ -space  $Y$ , there always is an imperfectly compact operator,  $T: X \rightarrow Y$ .

$B$ -spaces which are neither perfect nor imperfect are called "mixed". Thorp [2] observed that every reflexive space is perfect, while Arterburn [3] showed that every irreflexive  $B$ -space with separable conjugate is imperfect. Arterburn's proof is modified in this paper to extend this result to all irreflexive  $B$ -spaces.

A normed linear space  $X$  will be called "semiseparable" if its conjugate  $X^*$  contains a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  total over  $X$ . If  $X$  is separable, then both  $X$  and  $X^*$  are semiseparable.

*Theorem 1.* Every irreflexive, semiseparable  $B$ -space is imperfect.

*PROOF.* Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $X^*$ , total over  $X$  and such that  $\|f_n\| = 1$ .

If the closed subspace  $Z$  spanned by the  $\{f_n\}$  is  $X^*$ , we refer to [3]; otherwise we can choose

---

\* This paper is part of the author's M.Sc. dissertation, performed under guidance of Dr. D. Amir at the Technion — Israel Institute of Technology. The author wishes to thank Dr. Amir for his help.

Received November 11, 1969

$$f_0 \in X^* - Z, \quad \|f_0\| = 1.$$

Hence, there is a  $\phi \in X^{**}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f_0) &= \|\phi\| = 1 \\ \phi(Z) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\phi \in U^{**} - JU$ , where  $U$  and  $U^{**}$  are the unit balls of  $X$  and  $X^{**}$ . Since  $JU$  is dense in  $U^{**}$  [5, p. 424], there is a net  $\{x_\alpha\}$  in  $U$  such that:

$$Jx_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} \phi$$

In particular:

$$f_n(x_\alpha) = Jx_\alpha(f_n) \rightarrow \phi(f_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Hence, there is an  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  implies  $|\phi(f_0) - f_0(x_\alpha)| < 1$ .

Inductively we find  $\alpha_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\alpha_k \geq \alpha_{k-1}$ , and  $\alpha \geq \alpha_k$  implies  $|\phi(f_n) - f_n(x_\alpha)| < \frac{1}{2^k}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .

Denote  $x_k = x_{\alpha_k}$ , then

$$f_n(x_k) = Jx_k(f_n) \rightarrow \phi(f_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Now let  $Y$  be an infinite-dimensional  $B$ -space. According to [4], take in  $Y$  a basic sequence  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  with  $\|y_i\| = 1$ . Let  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be any sequence of positive numbers with  $\sum_{i=0}^\infty \varepsilon_i < \infty$ .

Define an operator

$$T : X \rightarrow Y$$

by

$$T = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \varepsilon_i f_i(\cdot) Y_i.$$

$\sum_{i=0}^\infty \varepsilon_i \|y_i\| = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \varepsilon_i < \infty$ , and  $\|f_i\| = 1$ ; therefore  $T$  is obviously a compact operator. A simple estimate shows that:

$$Tx_k \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^\infty \varepsilon_i \phi(f_i) y_i.$$

Recalling that we chose  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(f_0) = 1$

$$\phi(f_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

we get

$$Tx_k \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 y_0$$

Suppose  $T$  is perfectly compact, then there is an  $x$  such that

$$Tx_k \rightarrow Tx = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i f_i(x) y_i.$$

and it follows that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i f_i(x) y_i = \varepsilon_0 y_0$ .

$\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a basic sequence; therefore

$$f_0(x) = 1$$

$$f_n(x) = 0 \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

which contradicts that  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is total over  $X$ .

We generalize Theorem 1 using the following characterization of reflexivity.

**Theorem 2.** *A  $B$ -space  $X$  is reflexive if and only if every semiseparable quotient space of  $X$  is reflexive.*

**PROOF.** It is known that the condition is necessary ([6, p. 56]). To see that it is sufficient, suppose  $X$  is not reflexive. Then  $X^*$  is not reflexive and it contains a separable irreflexive subspace  $Y$  ([6, p. 56]). Let  $Y_{\perp}$  be the annihilator of  $O$  in  $X$ ,

and define:  $Z = \frac{X}{Y_{\perp}}$ .

According to [5, p. 72]  $Z^*$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $Y_{\perp}^{\perp}$  (the annihilator of  $Y_{\perp}$  in  $X^*$ ).  $Y_{\perp}^{\perp}$  contains the irreflexive subspace  $Y$ , hence  $Z^*$  is irreflexive. Clearly  $Y$  is total over  $Z$ .

Thus  $Z$  is an irreflexive, semiseparable quotient space of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Every irreflexive  $B$ -space is imperfect.*

**PROOF.** As observed in [3], if a quotient space of  $X$  is imperfect, so is  $X$ . Thus the proof follows directly from Theorems (1) and (2).

## REFERENCES

1. S. Goldberg and E. O. Thorp, *The Range as range space for compact operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **211** (1952), 113–115.
2. E. O. Thorp, *The Range as range space for compact operators. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **217** (1964), 69–78.
3. D. R. Arteburn, *Weak perfect compactness and generalized adjoints*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965), 121–128.
4. M. M. Day, *On the basis problem in normed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 655–658.
5. Dunford and Schwartz, *Linear Operators I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
6. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA