

## FINITE REPRESENTABILITY OF $l_p(X)$ IN ORLICZ FUNCTION SPACES

BY

YVES RAYNAUD

*Equipe d'Analyse, U.A. N° 754 au C.N.R.S.,  
Université Paris VI, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France*

### ABSTRACT

We show that if  $l_p(X)$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , is finitely crudely representable in an Orlicz space  $L_\varphi$  (which does not contain  $c_0$ ) then the Banach space  $X$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $L_p$ . The same remains true for  $p = 2$  when  $L_\varphi$  is 2-concave or 2-convex, or if  $X$  has local unconditional structure. We extend a theorem of Guerre and Levy to Orlicz function spaces.

### Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $1 \leq p < 2$ . It was proved by N. Kalton ([K]) that if  $l_p(X)$  isomorphically embeds into  $L_0$  then  $X$  embeds into  $L_p$ . The same remains true for  $p = 2$  as was shown by B. Maurey ([M]). Here we want to give an analogous statement for an Orlicz space  $L_\varphi$  instead of  $L_0$ . We consider only normed Orlicz spaces (i.e. associated to a convex Orlicz function) although the results easily extend to the quasinormed case.

In the frame of Orlicz spaces, it is more natural to take as hypothesis that  $l_p(X)$  is finitely crudely representable in  $L_\varphi$  (cf. [JMST], p. 170 for a definition), which is equivalent to say that it is  $C$ -isomorphically embeddable in some ultrapower of  $L_\varphi$  (for some  $C < \infty$ ).

Now we suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and obtain that  $X$  embeds in  $L_p$ . For  $p = 2$ , we have to suppose moreover the Orlicz space  $L_\varphi$  to be 2-concave (hence embeddable in  $L_1$ ) or 2-convex. This restriction can be avoided when  $X$  has local unconditional structure. As an application we give an extension to Orlicz spaces of a result of S. Guerre and M. Lévy (see [GL]), concerning  $l_p$  spaces in

Received September 14, 1988

subspaces of  $L_1$ ; an infinite dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $L_\varphi$  contains  $l_{p(E)}$  (resp.  $l_{q(E)}$ ) when  $p(E)$  (resp.  $q(E)$ ), the g.l.b. (resp. l.u.b.) of type (resp. cotype) exponents of  $E$ , is different from 2. See also [R] for a less-refined version of this last result.

For  $p > 2$  these results were announced in [R2]. A preliminary and shortened version of this work was given also in [R3].

Let us now recall some definitions concerning Musielak–Orlicz spaces (cf. [Mu]). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. A Musielak–Orlicz function is a measurable function  $\psi: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  with partial functions  $\psi_\omega = \psi(\omega, \cdot)$  being Orlicz. For  $f \in L_0(\Omega)$  define the “modular”:

$$\Psi(f) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(\omega, |f(\omega)|) d\mu(\omega).$$

Then  $\|f\|_\psi = \inf\{a: \Psi(f/a) \leq 1\}$  and the Musielak–Orlicz space is  $L_\psi = \{f \in L_0 / \|f\|_\psi < \infty\}$ . Now if  $\psi$  is uniformly moderate, i.e.

$$\text{Ess sup}_\omega \sup_t \frac{\psi(\omega, 2t)}{\psi(\omega, t)} < \infty,$$

then  $\|f\|_\psi$  is defined by  $\Psi(f/\|f\|_\psi) = 1$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a moderate Orlicz function ( $\sup_t (\varphi(2t)/\varphi(t)) < \infty$ ) then ultrapowers of  $L_\varphi(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  are Musielak–Orlicz spaces  $L_\psi(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mu})$  (associated to an uniformly moderate M.–O. function and a “bigger” measure space): see e.g. [W] or [HLR]. So the finite representability of  $l_p(X)$  in  $L_\varphi$  is equivalent to its embeddability in  $L_\psi(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mu})$ . If  $X$  is assumed to be separable, then  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mu})$  may be supposed  $\sigma$ -finite, in fact (using a change of density) a probability space.

**I.  $l_p$  sequences in a Musielak–Orlicz space  $L_\psi(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$**

As we will be concerned with the asymptotic properties of such sequences, we will make use of the extension  $S_\psi$  of the space of random measures introduced (for Orlicz spaces) by Garling ([Ga]). Let  $N_\psi$  be the set of random probability measures  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$  such that:

$$\Psi(\mu) := \mathbf{E} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\omega, |t|) d\mu_\omega(t) < \infty.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the set of  $K$ -moderate Orlicz functions  $f$  ( $\text{Sup}_{t>0} (f(2t)/f(t)) \leq K$ ).  $N_\psi$  is equipped with the w.m. topology (see [Ga], [A]) and  $\mathcal{O}_K$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. Then  $S_\psi = N_\psi \times \mathcal{O}_K$  is equipped with the (metrizable) topology such that:

$$\sigma_n = (\mu_n, f_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \sigma = (\mu, f) \quad \text{iff } \mu_n \xrightarrow{\text{w.m.}} \mu \quad \text{and}$$

$$f_n + \Psi_{\mu_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f + \Psi_{\mu} \quad (\text{where } \Psi_{\mu}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} \int \psi(\omega, \lambda | t |) d\mu_{\omega}(t)).$$

Recall that  $S_{\psi}$  is locally compact (i.e. the sets  $\{\sigma = (\mu, f) \in S_{\psi}, \Psi(\mu) + f(1) \leq C\}$  are compact) and there is a natural homeomorphic embedding  $i$  of  $L_{\psi}$  in  $S_{\psi}$ :  $i(x) = (\delta_x, 0)$ , where  $(\delta_x)_{\omega} = \delta_{x(\omega)}$  is the evaluation measure at the point  $x(\omega)$ . In particular if  $i(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \sigma = (\mu, f)$  then for each  $x \in L_{\psi}$ :

$$\Psi(\lambda(x + x_n)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbf{E} \int \psi(\omega, \lambda | x(\omega) + t |) d\mu_{\omega}(t) + f(\lambda)$$

which allows one to calculate  $t(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|$ , the “type” defined by  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  (in the sense of [KM]): see [Ga], th. 36.

On  $S_{\psi}$  are defined the operations of scaling and convolution:

- If  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\sigma = (\mu, f) \in S_{\psi}$  then  $a \cdot \sigma = (s_a \mu, s_a f)$  where  $s_a \mu$  is the image of the random measure  $\mu$  by the scaling  $t \rightarrow at$ ; and  $s_a f(t) = f(|a|t)$ .

- If  $\sigma = (\mu, f)$  and  $\tau = (v, g)$  then  $\sigma * \tau = (\mu * v, f + g)$ .

If  $\sigma \in S_{\psi}$  let  $K_{\psi}(\sigma)$  be the closure of  $\{\sigma\}$  under the scaling and convolution operations in  $S_{\psi}$ . Let  $\bar{K}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  be its (topological) closure in  $S_{\psi}$ .

By a  $p$ -stable element of  $S_{\psi}$  we mean a couple  $(\mu, f)$  where  $f(t) = a^p t^p$  ( $a \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ) and  $\mu$  is a random  $p$ -stable symmetric probability distribution ( $\hat{\mu}_{\omega}(t) = e^{-A^{p(\omega)}|t|^p}$ ) when  $p \leq 2$ ;  $\mu$  is the constant  $\delta_0$  when  $p > 2$ .

A  $p$ -stable element is said to be non-trivial if it is distinct from the “zero-element”

$$0 = (\delta_0, 0).$$

The following proposition is merely an adaptation to the Orlicz setting of the result of Aldous ([A], th. 3.10) or Krivine–Maurey ([KM], th. IV.2).

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $\sigma \in S_{\psi} \setminus i(L_{\psi})$  then  $\bar{K}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  contains a nontrivial  $p$ -stable element (for a certain  $p \in [1, \infty[$ ).*

**PROOF.** *1st Case.* Suppose that  $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , that is  $\sigma = (\delta_0, f), f \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then by [LT1], th. 4a9 and 4a8, there exist reals  $(\alpha_i^k)_{i=1, \dots, N_k, k=1, \dots,}$  and  $a \neq 0$  such that the functions  $f_k: f_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} f(\lambda \alpha_i^k)$  converge (in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ) to  $f_{\infty}(\lambda) = a^p \lambda^p$ . Then

$$\sigma_k = (\delta_0, f_k) = \underset{i=1}{*}^{N_k} \alpha_i^k \cdot \sigma$$

belongs to  $K_\psi(\sigma)$  and converges to  $\sigma_\infty = (\delta_0, f_\infty)$  which is a  $p$ -stable element of  $S_\psi$ .

2nd Case. Suppose  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}_K \neq \{0\}$ . Then if  $\tau \in \bar{K}_\psi(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\bar{K}_\psi(\tau)$  contains a  $p$ -stable element and is contained in  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma)$ .

3rd Case. Suppose  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \{0\}$ . If  $\sigma = (\mu, f)$ , then (by Aldous theorem) there exist reals  $(\alpha_i^k)_{i=1, \dots, N_k, k=1, \dots}$  such that :

$$\mu_k := \bigstar_{i=1}^{N_k} S_{\alpha_i^k} \mu \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\text{w.m.}} \mu_\infty,$$

a random  $p$ -stable probability measure (note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu| &:= \mathbf{E} \int |t| d\mu_\omega(t) \leq 1 + \mathbf{E} \int_{t \geq 1} |t| d\mu_\omega(t) \\ &\leq 1 + \mathbf{E} \int_{t \geq 1} \psi(\omega, |t|) d\mu_\omega(t) \\ &\leq 1 + \Psi(\mu) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as we suppose  $\psi(\omega, 1) = 1$ ) and moreover such that

$$|\mu_k| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 1.$$

Then  $|\mu_\infty| \leq 1$  but it is *a priori* not clear that  $\mu_\infty \in N_\psi$ .

Let  $\|\mu_k\|_\psi$  be the real such that  $\Psi(S_{1/\|\mu_k\|} \cdot \mu) = 1$  and  $\alpha_k' = \alpha_k / \|\mu_k\|$ ,

$$\mu_k' = \bigstar_{i=1}^{N_k} S_{\alpha_i^k} \cdot \mu.$$

Then  $\Psi(\mu_k') = 1$ .

We claim that  $\sup_k \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} f(\alpha_i^k) < \infty$ . If not, there would exist reals  $\gamma_k$  with

$$\gamma_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} f\left(\frac{\alpha_i^k}{\gamma_k}\right) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 1.$$

Set:

$$\alpha_i''^k = \frac{\alpha_i^k}{\gamma_k}, \quad \mu_k'' = \bigstar_{i=1}^{N_k} S_{\alpha_i^k} \mu = S_{1/\gamma_k} \mu_k'; \quad f_k'' = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} S_{\alpha_i^k} f; \quad \sigma_k'' = (\mu_k'', f_k'').$$

Then  $\Psi(\mu_k'') \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$  and  $(f_k'')$  is relatively compact in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus we would have  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}_K \neq \{0\}$  (containing any limit point of  $(\sigma_k'')$ ).

We claim now that  $\text{Sup}_k \|\mu_k\|_\psi < \infty$ . If not, then  $|\mu'_k| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ , and thus  $\mu'_k \xrightarrow[\text{w.m.}]{} 0$ . Up to extraction, we could suppose:

$$\Psi_{\mu_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} g_\infty; \quad f'_k = \sum_{i=1}^{M_k} s_{\alpha_i^k} f \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} f'_\infty \in \mathcal{O}_K,$$

thus  $\sigma'_k(\mu'_k, f'_k) \rightarrow (0, f'_\infty + g_\infty) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and again  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}_K \neq \{0\}$ .

Now, by local compactness of  $S_\psi$ , the sequence  $\sigma_k = (\mu_k, f_k)$  is relatively compact in  $S_\psi$ ; clearly any of its limit points is of the form  $(\mu_\infty, h_\infty)$ , for some  $h_\infty \in \mathcal{O}_K$  ( $\mu_\infty$  being the preceding  $p$ -stable random probability). Note that  $\mu_\infty \neq \delta_0$ .

By the 1st step,  $\bar{K}_\psi(0, h_\infty)$  contains a  $q$ -stable element  $(0, k)$ . Suppose  $k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{M_k} s_{\beta_i^k} h_\infty$ . If  $b_k = \sum_{i=1}^{M_k} |\beta_i^k|^p \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty$ , then

$$* \frac{\beta_i^k}{b_k} \sigma_\infty \rightarrow (\mu_\infty, 0)$$

which is a non-trivial  $p$ -stable element in  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma)$ . If not, suppose

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{M_k} |\beta_i^k|^p \right)^{1/p} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} b_\infty;$$

then:

$$* \beta_i^k \sigma_\infty \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} (s_{b_\infty} \mu_\infty, k) = \theta_\infty.$$

By considering  $(1/n^{1/p}) *_{i=1}^n \theta_\infty$ , we see that  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{O}_K \neq \{0\}$  implies  $p \geq q$ ; if  $p > q$  then, again,  $\bar{K}_\psi(\sigma)$  contains  $(\mu_\infty, 0)$ ; if  $p = q$  it contains  $(s_{b_\infty} \mu_\infty, k)$  which is a  $p$ -stable element. ■

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a  $l_p$ -sequence in the Musielak–Orlicz space  $L_\psi(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$ . There exist a real  $a \geq 0$ , and (if  $p \leq 2$ ) a random  $p$ -stable symmetric probability distribution  $\mu = (\mu_\omega)_\omega$ , and a sequence of normalized disjoint blocks  $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_i^k x_{n_i}$  such that:*

(i) For each  $x \in L_\psi$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda(x + y_k)) = \mathbf{E} \int \psi(\omega, \lambda |x(\omega) + t|) d\mu_\omega(t) + a^p \lambda^p.$$

(ii)  $\mu$  is the limit conditional distribution of  $(x_n)_n$  (in the terminology of [BeR]).

(iii)  $a^p \lambda^p = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda \cdot y_k \cdot \mathbf{1}_{|y_k| > M})$ .

The second condition is equivalent to:

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \exp(it x_n(\cdot)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\sigma(L_\infty, L_1)} \hat{\mu}(\cdot)(t).$$

PROOF. We apply Proposition 1 to any limit point of  $(i(x_n))_n$  in  $S_\psi$ . We obtain disjoint blocks  $(y_k)_k$  with  $i(y_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \sigma$ , a  $q$ -stable element of  $S_\psi$ . But then as in the  $L_1$  case ([A]), the subspace  $\overline{\text{span}(y_k)_k}$  contains  $l_q$ , thus  $q = p$ . The points (i) to (iii) follow then immediately from [Ga]. ■

### II. The main result

We state now our main result:

THEOREM 3. *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$ , and  $X$  be a Banach space;  $L_\varphi$  an Orlicz space which does not contain  $c_0$ .*

*If  $l_p(X)$  is  $C$ -finitely representable in  $L_\varphi$  then  $X$  is  $K.C$ -isomorphically embeddable in  $L_p$  (where  $K = K(p)$ ).*

In proving this theorem, we can suppose that  $\varphi$  is moderate on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  and that  $X$  is separable. In fact we may suppose that  $l_p(X)$   $C$ -embeds in a Musielak-Orlicz space  $L_\psi(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$ .

#### 1. Representation of $X$ in $S_\psi$

If  $\sigma \in S_\psi, \sigma = (\mu, f)$ , let us denote  $\|\sigma\|$  the real such that

$$\mathbf{E} \int \psi \left( \omega, \frac{|t|}{\|\sigma\|} \right) d\mu_\omega(t) + f \left( \frac{1}{\|\sigma\|} \right) = 1.$$

Note that if  $(x_n)_n \subset L_\psi, i(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \sigma$  then  $\|x_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \|\sigma\|$ .

The idea of the following key lemma is essentially Maurey's one ([M]).

LEMMA 4. *Let  $T$  be an embedding of  $l_p(X)$  in  $L_\psi$ .*

*There is a map:  $X \rightarrow S_\psi, x \rightarrow \tau(x)$  such that:*

- (i) *for all  $x \in X, \tau(x)$  is a  $p$ -stable element of  $S_\psi$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\tau(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T(b_k \otimes x)$ , where  $(b_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of disjoint normalized blocks on the  $l_p$  basis (fixed independently of  $x$ );*
- (iii)  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ :

$$\|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \left( \sum_i \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left\| \star_i \tau(x_i) \right\| \leq \|T\| \left( \sum_i \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

PROOF. Denote by  $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  the natural  $l_p$  basis. Then for each  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $(T(e_n \otimes x))_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $L_\psi$  is equivalent to the  $l_p$  basis. Up to extraction we can suppose that

$$\forall x \in X, \quad i(T(e_n \otimes x)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \sigma(x) \in S_\psi$$

(use separability of  $X$  and a diagonal argument to obtain this convergence for the same subsequence of the  $l_p$ -basis).

Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . By Proposition 1, a suitable sequence of combinations

$$\sigma_k = \bigstar_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_i^k \sigma(x_0), \quad \text{where } \sum_i |\alpha_i^k|^p = 1,$$

converges to a  $p$ -stable  $\tau_0(x_0) \in S_\psi$ . Again, by extracting and using a diagonal argument, we can suppose that  $\bigstar_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_i^k \sigma(x)$  converges (for each  $x \in X$ ) to a  $\tau_0(x) \in S_\psi$ , which is however *a priori* not  $p$ -stable for  $x \neq x_0$ . Note that:

$$\tau_0(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim_{n_{N_k} \rightarrow \infty} i \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_i^k T(e_{n_i} \otimes x_0) \right),$$

i.e.  $\tau_0(x)$  is a limit of a countable family  $i(T(b_\alpha^0 \otimes x))$ , where the  $b_\alpha^0$  are normalized blocks on the  $l_p$  basis, which may easily be taken disjoint.

Fix  $x_1 \in X$ . We can now choose  $(\alpha_i^k)_{i=1, \dots, N_k}$  such that  $\sum_i |\alpha_i^k|^p = 1$ , and:

$$\forall x \in X, \quad \bigstar_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_i^k \tau_0(x) \rightarrow \tau_1(x) \in S_\psi,$$

and that  $\tau_1(x_1)$  is a  $p$ -stable element. Note that  $\tau_1(x_0) = \tau_0(x_0)$ .

Iterating this procedure for a dense sequence  $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $X$ , and using again a diagonal argument we obtain (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4; (iii) is an easy consequence of (i) and (ii). ■

### 2. The case $p > 2$

In this case Lemma 4 provides a map  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $x \rightarrow a(x)$  such that:

$$(1) \quad \|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \|x\| \leq a(x) \leq \|T\| \|x\| \quad (\forall x \in X)$$

and a sequence  $(b_k)_k$  of normalized disjoint blocks on the  $l_p$  basis such that:

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \forall x \in X: \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda T(b_k \otimes x)) = a(x)^p \lambda^p.$$

This formula can be interpreted in any ultrapower  $L_\psi = L_\psi^N/\mathcal{U}$  by:

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\Psi}(\lambda v(x)) = a(x)^p \lambda^p$$

where  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is the modular on the Musielak–Orlicz space  $L_{\tilde{\Psi}} = \tilde{L}_\psi$  and  $v: X \rightarrow L_{\tilde{\Psi}}$  is the linear operator such that, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $v(x)$  is represented by  $(T(b_k \otimes x))_{k=1}^\infty$ .

We use then the following:

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $L_\psi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz space with modular  $\Psi$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the order ideal in  $L_\psi$  formed by those elements  $g$  for which*

$$\sup_{\beta > 0} \frac{1}{\beta^p} \Psi(\beta g) < \infty;$$

*Let  $\mathcal{G}_c$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{G}$  formed by the elements  $g$  having constant ratio  $(1/\beta^p)\Psi(\beta g)$ .*

*There exists a lattice homomorphism  $h$  from  $\mathcal{G}$  into a  $L_p$ -space such that for any  $g \in \mathcal{G}_c$ , and  $\beta > 0$ :*

$$\|h(g)\|_{L_p}^p = \frac{1}{\beta^p} \Psi(\beta g).$$

**PROOF OF LEMMA 5.** For each  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , set:

$$\theta(g) = \lim_{\Lambda, \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{2 \log \Lambda} \int_{1/\Lambda}^\Lambda \Psi(\lambda g) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{p+1}}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  is any nontrivial ultrafilter finer than the filter of neighborhoods of  $+\infty$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ .

$\theta$  is nondecreasing and additive on disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Moreover it is homogeneous (of degree  $p$ ). For, if  $\rho \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\rho g) &= \lim_{\Lambda, \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{2 \log \Lambda} \int_{1/\Lambda}^\Lambda \Psi(\lambda \rho g) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{p+1}} \\ &= \rho^p \lim_{\Lambda, \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{2 \log \Lambda} \int_{\rho/\Lambda}^{\rho\Lambda} \Psi(\lambda g) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{p+1}} \\ &= \rho^p \theta(g) - \lim_{\Lambda, \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{2 \log \Lambda} \int_\Lambda^{\rho\Lambda} \Psi(\lambda g) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{p+1}} - \dots \end{aligned}$$



$$\dots - \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 \log \Lambda} \int_{1/\Lambda}^{\rho/\Lambda} \Psi(\lambda g) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\rho+1}}.$$

In this last expression, each integral is less than

$$\frac{\log \rho}{2 \log \Lambda} \cdot \sup_{\lambda > 0} \frac{\Psi(\lambda g)}{\lambda^\rho}$$

which converges to 0 as  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence the homogeneity of  $\theta$ . Note that:

$$\theta(g) = \frac{1}{\beta^\rho} \Psi(\beta g) \quad \text{for each } g \in \mathcal{G}_c \text{ and } \beta > 0.$$

It is now a standard exercise to show that  $g \rightarrow \theta(g)^{1/\rho}$  is a seminorm on  $\mathcal{G}$  (see e.g. [K], Proof of lemma 2.1).

Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{g \in \mathcal{G} : \theta(g) = 0\}$  and  $E = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$ : this is a normed vector lattice; its norm is a  $L_p$  norm (i.e.  $|e| \wedge |f| = 0 \Rightarrow \|e + f\|^p = \|e\|^p, \forall e, f \in E$ ); its bidual  $E^{**}$  is therefore an  $L_p$  space ([LT2], th. 1.b.2). ■

Coming back to the relation (2) we see that there exists  $h : v(X) \rightarrow L_p(v)$  such that:

$$\forall x \in X \quad a(x) = \|hv(x)\|_{L^p(v)}^p.$$

By (1),  $hv$  is a  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\|$ -embedding of  $X$  in  $L_p(v)$ , which proves the conclusion of Theorem 3 in this case.

### 3. The case $1 \leq p < 2$

Consider now a new Musielak–Orlicz function on  $\Omega$ , defined by:

$$\tilde{\psi}(\omega, \lambda) = \mathbf{E}_\omega \psi(\omega, \lambda | Y(\omega')|)$$

where  $Y$  is a  $p$ -stable symmetric random variable (of Fourier transform  $\mathbf{E}e^{itY} = e^{-|t|^p}$ ).

Extend  $\tilde{\psi}$  to the space  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \{\tilde{\omega}\}$  by setting  $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\omega}, t) = |t|^p$ , and  $\mathbf{P}$  to a measure on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  by giving the weight 1 to the point  $\tilde{\omega}$ .

Recall that an application  $f : X \rightarrow L$ , where  $L$  is a vector lattice, is said to be of negative type iff:

$$\forall n, \quad \forall (x_i)_{i=1, \dots, n} \subset X, \quad \forall (c_i)_{i=1, \dots, n} \subset \mathbf{R}^+,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0 \implies \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j f(x_i - x_j) \leq 0.$$

LEMMA 6. *If  $l_p(X)$  embeds in  $L_\psi$ , then there exists an application  $\bar{A} : X \rightarrow L_\psi^+(\bar{\Omega})$  such that:*

- (i)  $\bar{A}$  is homogeneous of degree 1,
- (ii)  $\bar{A}^p : X \rightarrow L_0^+$  is of negative type,
- (iii) for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ :

$$\|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{A}(x_i)^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_\psi \leq \|T\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

PROOF. Lemma 4 provides now two maps:

$$a : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+, \quad A : X \rightarrow L_0$$

such that,  $\mu^x$  being the random probability distribution of Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}_\omega^x(t) = e^{-A(x;\omega)|t|^p}$ , we have:

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda T(b_k \otimes x)) = \mathbf{E}_\omega \int \psi(\omega, |t|) d\mu_\omega^x(t) + a(x)^p \lambda^p.$$

Let  $\bar{A}(x, \omega) = A(x, \omega)$  and  $\bar{A}(x, \bar{\omega}) = a(x)$ . We have clearly:

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \forall x \in X: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda T(b_k \otimes x)) = \bar{\Psi}(\lambda \bar{A}(x)).$$

As  $\tau(x) = (\mu^x, a(x)\lambda^p)$  we have  $*_i \tau(x_i) = (*_i \mu^{x_i}, (\sum_i a(x_i)) \cdot \lambda^p)$ . Noticing that  $*_i \mu^{x_i}$  has Fourier transform  $\exp(-(\sum_i A(x_i)^p)|t|^p)$  we obtain more generally, for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ :

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \lim_{k_1 \rightarrow \infty} \dots \lim_{k_n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi \left( \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n T(b_{k_j} \otimes x_j) \right) = \bar{\Psi} \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{A}(x_j)^p \right)^{1/p} \right)$$

which implies in particular the assertion (iii) of Lemma 6.

Assertion (i) is a consequence of the fact that  $\tau(\alpha \cdot x) = \alpha \cdot \tau(x)$ . To check assertion (ii) we note that (see (ii) of Corollary 2):

$$\forall t, \quad e^{iT(b_k \otimes x)t} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\sigma(L_\infty, L_1)} e^{-A(x)^p t^p}$$

thus the map  $x \rightarrow e^{-A(x)^p}, X \rightarrow L_\infty$  is positive definite (as the  $w^*$  limit of positive definite functions); i.e. the map  $x \rightarrow A(x)^p$  is of negative type. On the other hand (see (iii) of Corollary 2) we have:

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda T(b_k \otimes x)) \mathbf{1}_{|T(b_k \otimes x)| > M} = a(x)^p \lambda^p.$$

As in subsection 2, let us introduce an ultrapower  $L_\psi = L_\psi^N / \mathcal{U} = L_{\tilde{\psi}}$  and the linear operator  $v: X \rightarrow L_{\tilde{\psi}}, x \rightarrow (T(b_k \otimes x))_k$ . Consider in  $L_{\tilde{\psi}}$  the band defined by sequences  $(f_k)_k$  of functions in  $L_\psi$  whose support tends to 0 measure. Let  $P$  be the associated band projection. Then the preceding relation is interpreted as:

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\lambda P v(x)) = a(x)^p \lambda^p \quad (\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+).$$

Now the same proof as in subsection 2 provides an operator  $h: P v(X) \rightarrow L_p(v)$  such that  $a(x) = \|h P v(x)\|_{L_p(v)} (\forall x \in X)$ ; thus  $x \rightarrow a(x)^p$  is a function of negative type (see [BDCK]). ■

Note that in the preceding we could obtain a very degenerate Orlicz function  $\tilde{\psi}_\omega$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\psi}(\omega, t) = +\infty (\forall t > 0)$ . However it does not happen for  $\omega$  in the essential union  $S$  of the supports of  $\bar{A}(x), x \in X$ . On  $S$  the Musielak-Orlicz function  $\tilde{\psi}$  is, up to equivalence,  $p$ -concave. For we have the following:

LEMMA 7. *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $Y$  be a  $p$ -stable random variable normalized in  $L_{p,\infty}$ . If  $\psi$  is a moderate Orlicz function then:*

$$\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}\psi(\lambda Y) \sim \lambda^p \int_\lambda^\infty \psi'(u) \frac{du}{u^p}$$

(with absolute equivalence constants).

PROOF OF LEMMA 7. We split:

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{E}\psi(\lambda Y) = \mathbf{E}\psi(\lambda Y \mathbf{1}_{|Y| \leq 1}) + \mathbf{E}\psi(\lambda Y \mathbf{1}_{|Y| > 1}).$$

The first term is smaller than  $\psi(\lambda)$ . For the second we have:

$$\mathbf{E}\psi(\lambda Y \mathbf{1}_{|Y| > 1}) = \psi(\lambda) \mathbf{P}(|Y| > 1) + \zeta(\lambda)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\lambda) &= \int_{\psi(\lambda)}^\infty \mathbf{P}[\psi(\lambda Y) \geq u] du = \int_{\psi(\lambda)}^\infty \mathbf{P}[\lambda Y \geq \psi^{-1}(u)] du \\ &= \int_\lambda^\infty \mathbf{P}[\lambda Y \geq t] \psi'(t) dt \sim \int_\lambda^\infty \frac{\lambda^p}{t^p} \psi'(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

the last equivalence resulting from standard asymptotical estimation of  $p$ -stable distribution.

On the other hand, as  $t\psi'(t) \geq \psi(t)$  we have:

$$\lambda^p \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \psi'(t) \frac{dt}{t^p} \geq \lambda^p \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \psi(t) \frac{dt}{t^{p+1}} = \int_1^{\infty} \psi(\lambda s) \frac{ds}{s^{p+1}} \geq \psi(\lambda) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^{p+1}} .$$

Thus  $\zeta(\lambda) \gtrsim \psi(\lambda)$  (up to a constant factor). ■

So if  $\bar{\psi}(\lambda) < \infty$  then  $\bar{\psi}(\lambda)/\lambda^p$  is equivalent to a decreasing function; it is then well known that  $\bar{\psi}$  is equivalent to a (not necessarily normalized)  $p$ -concave Orlicz function, with absolute equivalence constants (see [BDC]). So our preceding Musielak–Orlicz space  $L_{\bar{\psi}}$  is in fact  $p$ -concave.

END OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3. We apply to the (nonlinear, but homogeneous) operator  $\bar{A}: X \rightarrow L_{\bar{\psi}}(\bar{\Omega})$  the same argument as in the proof of Krivine’s factorization theorem ([LT 2], th. 1.d.11 or [Kr]) to obtain an  $L_1$  norm on the lattice  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by the elements  $\bar{A}(x)^p, x \in X$  in  $L_{\bar{\psi}}$ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X \quad & \| \bar{A}(x)^p \|_1 \leq \| T \| ^p \| x \|^p, \\ \forall \xi \in \mathcal{F} \quad & \| \xi \|_1 \geq \frac{1}{c_p(\bar{\psi})^p} \| |\xi|^{1/p} \|_{\bar{\psi}}^p \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_p(\bar{\psi})$  is the  $p$ -concavity constant of the lattice  $L_{\bar{\psi}}$ . Thus

$$\| \bar{A}(x)^p \|_1 \geq \frac{1}{c_p(\bar{\psi})^p \| T^{-1} \|^p} \| x \|^p \quad (\forall x \in X).$$

As the map  $x \rightarrow \bar{A}(x)^p$  is of negative type ( $X \rightarrow L_{\bar{\psi}}$ ), the same is true for the map  $x \rightarrow \| \bar{A}(x)^p \|_1$ . Thus  $x \rightarrow \| x \|^p$  is  $C_1^p$  equivalent to a negative type function ( $C_1 = C \cdot c_p(\bar{\psi})$ ). By the isomorphic version of a theorem of Bretagnolle, Dacunha-Castelle and Krivine (Lemma 8 below)  $X$  is  $C_1$ -isomorphic to a subspace of  $L_p$ . ■

For the sake of completeness, we state the following lemma, which is a slight modification of th. 6.1 of [AMM].

LEMMA 8. *Let  $X$  be a normed space; suppose that the map  $x \rightarrow \| x \|^p$  is  $C^p$  equivalent to a negative type function  $x \rightarrow f(x)$ . Then  $X$   $C$ -embeds in  $L_p$ .*

PROOF. Note that  $x \rightarrow e^{-f(x)}$  is positive definite and that, for all  $q < p$ :

$$\|x\|^q \underset{C^q}{\sim} K_q \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-f(tx)}) \frac{dt}{t^{q+1}}.$$

By [AMM], lemma 4.2, there is a continuous linear operator  $U: X \rightarrow L_0(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', \mathbf{P}')$  such that:

$$e^{-f(tx)} = \int_{\Omega'} \exp(itUx(\omega')) d\mathbf{P}'(\omega')$$

and we obtain:

$$\int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-f(tx)}) \frac{dt}{t^{q+1}} = K'_q \int_{\Omega'} |Ux(\omega)|^q d\mathbf{P}'(\omega')$$

Thus  $X$  is  $C^{q/p}$  embeddable in  $L_q$ , for each  $q < p$ . ■

### III. The case $p = 2$

In this case the preceding Musielak–Orlicz functions  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  are equivalent. For we can suppose  $\psi(t)/t^q$  decreasing (for a  $q < \infty$ ) and thus ( $G$  being a  $L_2$ -normalized gaussian random variable)

$$C'_q \psi(\lambda) \leq \bar{\psi}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}_{\omega'} \psi(\lambda G(\omega')) \leq C_q \psi(\lambda)$$

with  $C_q = \mathbf{E}(|G| \vee |G|^q)$  and  $C'_q = \mathbf{E}(|G| \wedge |G|^q)$ .

The proof of §II, subsection 3 works if  $\psi$  is 2-concave; then  $L_\psi$  is a subspace of  $L_1$  (by [BDC]) and this case was already known ([M]). It works as well if  $\psi$  is 2-convex. We obtain therefore:

**PROPOSITION 9.** *If  $l_2(X)$  is  $C$ -finitely representable into a 2-convex Orlicz space  $L_\varphi$ , then  $X$  is  $K \cdot C_2(\varphi) \cdot C$  isomorphic to an Hilbert space ( $C_2(\varphi)$  being the 2-convexity constant of  $L_\varphi$ ).*

We leave as open the question if this result can be extended to general Orlicz spaces. We will only show that  $X$  is necessarily of type  $2^-$  and cotype  $2^+$  (as a consequence of Corollary 12 below).

However we can settle the problem when  $X$  is supposed to have 1.u.st (in the sense of [DPR]).

**THEOREM 10.** *If  $X$  is a Banach space with local unconditional structure such that  $l_2(X)$  is  $C$ -finitely representable into an Orlicz space  $L_\varphi$  (not containing  $c_0$ ) then  $X$  is (isomorphic to) an Hilbert space.*

**PROOF.** We will only sketch the proof, which is very similar to that of Theorem 3.

As in [K] we may suppose w.l.o.g. that  $X$  has an unconditional basis  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . If  $l_2(X)$  embeds in  $L_\psi$ , then (as a consequence of Maurey–Khinchine inequalities, see [LT2], th. 1.d.6) it embeds as a sublattice in  $L_\psi(l_2)$ . Let  $Y = X_{1/2}$  be the (*a priori* quasi-normed) lattice defined by:

$$\| \sum a_n f_n \|_Y = \| \sum |a_n|^{1/2} f_n \|_X^2.$$

Let  $Y_+$  be the positive cone of  $Y$  (with respect to  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ ) and  $\zeta = \psi_{1/2}$  the Musielak–Orlicz function defined by:

$$\zeta(t) = \psi(\sqrt{t}).$$

We have then clearly an embedding  $S: l_1^+(Y_+) \hookrightarrow L_\zeta^+$  which is positively linear (i.e.  $S(\alpha u + \beta v) = \alpha S(u) + \beta S(v)$  for all positive reals  $\alpha, \beta$  and elements  $u, v$  of  $l_1^+(Y_+)$ ) and verifies:

$$A \| T^{-1} \|^{-1} \| u \| \leq \| Su \| \leq B \| T \| \| u \|$$

( $T$  being the given embedding of  $l_2(X)$  in  $L_\psi$  and constants  $A, B$  depending only on the  $q$ -concavity of  $L_\psi$ , for some  $q < \infty$ ).

To  $\zeta$  we associate the space  $S_\zeta^+$  of the pairs  $(\mu, f)$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive random probability distribution verifying  $Z(\mu) := \mathbf{E} \int \zeta(|t|) d\mu_\omega(t) < \infty$ , and  $f$  a generalized  $\frac{1}{2}$ -convex Orlicz function satisfying  $\Delta_2$  conditions with fixed constant  $K$ .

Using an adapted version of Aldous theorem (Proposition 11 below) and proceeding as in §II, subsection 1, we see that  $S$  induces an application  $Y_+ \rightarrow S_\zeta^+$  which satisfies:

- (i) for all  $y \in Y_+$ ,  $\sigma(y)$  is a 1-stable element of  $S_\zeta^+$  (i.e. of the form  $(\delta_A, a\lambda)$ ),
- (ii)  $\sigma(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(b_k \otimes y)$  where  $(b_k)_k$  is a normalized sequence of disjoint blocks in  $l_1^+$ ,
- (iii) for all  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y_+$ ,

$$A \| T^{-1} \|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \| y_i \| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n * \sigma(y_i) \right\| \leq B \| T \| \sum_{i=1}^n \| y_i \|.$$

So we obtain applications  $A: Y \rightarrow L_\zeta^+$  and  $a: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  such that:

$$\| y \| \sim \| \sigma(y) \| \sim \| A(y) \|_\zeta + a(y).$$

As in §II, subsection 2 we have  $a(y) = \| u(y) \|_{L_1}$  for a certain positively linear

operator  $u : Y_+ \rightarrow L_1^+(v)$ . Thus  $a : Y_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  is a positively linear map. On the other hand we have:

$$\forall y \in Y_+ \quad S(b_k \otimes y) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{I_0} A(y).$$

This is a consequence of the coincidence of the w.m. and s.m. topologies at degenerate random measures (see [A], lemma 2.14). Thus the map  $y \rightarrow A(y)$  is positively linear.

Finally the point (iii) before can be reformulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y, \\ & A \left\| T^{-1} \left\|^{-1} \sum_i \|y_i\| \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_i A(y_i) \right\|_{\zeta} + \sum_i a(y_i) \leq B \left\| T \left\| \sum_i \|y_i\| \right\| \right\| \end{aligned}$$

where the central term can be written as:

$$\left\| A \left( \sum_i y_i \right) \right\|_{\zeta} + a \left( \sum_i y_i \right).$$

Now if  $y = \sum_i \alpha_i f_i \in Y_+$ , setting  $y_i = \alpha_i f_i$  we obtain:

$$\|y\|_{Y_+} \sim \|A(y)\|_{\zeta} + a(y) \sim \sum |\alpha_i|.$$

Thus  $Y_+ \sim l_1^+$  and therefore  $X \sim l_2$ . ■

In the preceding proof we made use of the following proposition. By positive probability distribution we mean a probability on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . We note

$$|\lambda|_{1/2} = \int_0^{\infty} |t|^{1/2} d\lambda(t).$$

**PROPOSITION 11.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of random positive probability distributions such that:*

- (i)  $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{E}|\mu|_{1/2} < \infty,$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under operations of scaling and convolution,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is w.m. closed,
- (iv) if  $(\mu_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mu_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w.m.} \mu$  then  $\mathbf{E}|\mu_n|_{1/2} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbf{E}|\mu|_{1/2}.$

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a  $p$ -stable positive random probability distribution for some  $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ .

**PROOF.** To each probability  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  we associate the probability  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ , whose Fourier transform is:

$$(4) \quad \hat{\mu}(t) = \mathcal{L}\mu(t^2)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}\mu$  is the Laplace transform of  $\mu$ .

Recall that if  $\mu$  is the probability distribution of a random variable  $X$ , then  $\hat{\mu}$  is the probability distribution of  $\sqrt{2} \cdot X^{1/2} \otimes G$ ,  $G$  being a standard gaussian variable.

To  $\mathcal{C}$  is associated a class  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  of random measures on  $\mathbf{R}$ , which is easily seen to be a  $C$ -class in Aldous' terminology.

Thus  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  contains a  $q$ -stable random measure  $\lambda = \hat{\mu}_0$ ; using (4) it is clear that  $\mu_0$  is a  $q/2$ -stable positive random probability distribution, belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$ . ■

**COROLLARY 12.** *If  $l_p(l_q)$  is finitely (crudely) representable in an Orlicz space (not containing  $c_0$ ) then  $p \leq q \leq 2$  or (if  $p > 2$ )  $q \in \{2, p\}$ .*

We will now make use of the following fact, due to J. L. Krivine and B. Maurey (see [R] for a proof).

**FACT.** *If  $E$  is a stable infinite dimensional Banach space which contains  $l_q^n$  uniformly, then  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} l_q^n)_p$  embeds in  $E$  (for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ).*

We refer to [KM] for the definition of stable Banach spaces and recall that Orlicz spaces not containing  $c_0$  are stable ([Ga]).

**COROLLARY 13.** *Let  $q > 2$ . If a subspace  $E$  of an Orlicz space (not containing  $c_0$ ) contains  $l_q^n$  uniformly, then  $E$  contains  $l_q$ .*

For by Corollary 12, if  $l_p(l_q)$ ,  $q > 2$  is finitely representable in an Orlicz space, then  $p = q$ . ■

**COROLLARY 14.** *Let  $E$  be an infinite dimensional subspace of an Orlicz space (not containing  $c_0$ ); set:*

$$p(E) = \sup \{ p : E \text{ is of type } p \} \quad \text{and} \quad q(E) = \inf \{ q : E \text{ is of cotype } q \}.$$

*Then  $E$  contains almost isometrically  $l_p$  for  $p \in \{ p(E), q(E) \} \setminus \{2\}$  (and  $l_2$  if  $p(E) = q(E) = 2$ ).*

**PROOF.** By Krivine–Maurey–Pisier's theorem ([MS], th. 13.2)  $E$  contains  $l_{p(E)}^n$  and  $l_{q(E)}^n$  uniformly. Thus  $E$  contains  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} l_{p(E)}^n)_p$  and  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} l_{q(E)}^n)_{q'}$ , and by Corollary 12 we have  $p \leq p(E)$  if  $p(E) < 2$ , and  $q = q(E)$  if  $q(E) > 2$ . ■



## REFERENCES

- [A] D. Aldous, *Subspaces of  $L^1$  via random measures*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **267** (1981), 445–463.
- [AMM] I. Aharoni, B. Maurey and B. S. Mityagin, *Uniform embeddings of metric spaces and of Banach spaces into Hilbert spaces*, Isr. J. Math. **52** (1985), 251–265.
- [BDC] J. Bretagnolle and D. Dacunha-Castelle, *Applications de l'étude de certaines formes linéaires aléatoires au plongement d'espaces de Banach dans les espaces  $L^p$* , Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4<sup>e</sup> série, **2** (1969), 437–480.
- [BDCK] J. Bretagnolle, D. Dacunha-Castelle and J. J. Krivine, *Lois stables et espaces  $L^p$* , Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. B (1966), 231–259.
- [BeR] I. Berkes and H. P. Rosenthal, *Almost exchangeable sequences of random variables*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. **70** (1985), 473–507.
- [DPR] E. Dubinski, A. Pelczynski and H. P. Rosenthal, *On Banach spaces  $X$  for which  $\Pi_2(\mathcal{L}_\infty, X) = B(\mathcal{L}_\infty, X)$* , Studia Math. **44** (1972), 617–648.
- [G] S. Guerre, *Sur les sous-espaces de  $L^p$* , Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle, Université Paris 7, 1983–84.
- [Ga] D. J. H. Garling, *Stable Banach spaces, random measures and Orlicz function spaces*, in *Probability Measures on Groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. 928, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [GL] S. Guerre and M. Lévy, *Espaces  $l^p$  dans les sous-espaces de  $L^1$* , Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **279** (1983), 611–616.
- [HLR] R. G. Haydon, M. Lévy and Y. Raynaud, *Randomly normed spaces*, preprint.
- [JMS] W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman and L. Tzafriri, *Symmetric structures in Banach spaces*, Am. Math. Soc. Memoirs **19** (1979), 217.
- [K] N. Kalton, *Banach spaces embedding into  $L_0$* , Isr. J. Math. **52** (1985), 305–319.
- [Kr] J. L. Krivine, *Théorèmes de factorisation dans les espaces réticulés*, Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1973–74, exposé 22, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris.
- [KM] J. L. Krivine and B. Maurey, *Espaces de Banach stables*, Isr. J. Math. **39** (1981), 273–295.
- [LT1] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I: Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [LT2] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II: Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [M] B. Maurey, Oral communication.
- [MS] V. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1200, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [Mu] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [R] Y. Raynaud, *Sur les sous-espaces de  $L^p(L^q)$* , Séminaire de Géométrie des Espaces de Banach, Universités Paris 6–7, 1984–85.
- [R2] Y. Raynaud, *Finie représentabilité de  $l^p(X)$  dans les espaces d'Orlicz*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 304, Série I (1987), 331–334.
- [R3] Y. Raynaud, *Almost isometric methods in some isomorphic embedding problems*, Proceedings of the 1987 Iowa Workshop on Banach Space Theory, Contemporary Math. 85, Am. Math. Soc., 1989.
- [W] W. Wnuk, *Representations of Orlicz lattices*, Diss. Math. **235** (1984).