

ON THE WEIGHT-SPECTRUM OF A COMPACT SPACE

BY

I. JUHÁSZ*

*Department of Mathematics, Kansas State University**Manhattan, KS 66506, USA**and**Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.**P.O. Box 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary*

ABSTRACT

The weight-spectrum $Sp(w, X)$ of a space X is the set of weights of all infinite closed subspaces of X . We prove that if $\kappa > \omega$ is regular and X is compact T_2 with $w(X) \geq \kappa$ then some λ with $\kappa \leq \lambda \leq 2^{<\kappa}$ is in $Sp(w, X)$. Under CH this implies that the weight spectrum of a compact space can not omit ω_1 , and thus solves problem 22 of [M]. Also, it is consistent with $2^\omega = c$ being anything it can be that every countable closed set T of cardinals less than c with $\omega \in T$ satisfies $Sp(w, X) = T$ for some separable compact LOTS X . This shows the independence from ZFC of a conjecture made in [AT].

Given a cardinal function φ and a space X we define the φ -spectrum of X , in symbols $Sp(\varphi, X)$, as the set of φ -values taken on all infinite closed subspaces of X , i.e.

$$Sp(\varphi, X) = \{\varphi(F) : F = \overline{F} \subset X \text{ and } |F| \geq \omega\}.$$

The aim of this note is to study this in the case of $\varphi = w$, the weight function, especially for compact T_2 spaces X . Let us note that for the cardinality function $\varphi(X) = |X|$ this problem has been earlier considered, using different terminology, e.g. in [J2], [JN] or [JW].

Our interest in this problem was motivated by a problem of Arhangel'skii and Tkačuk from [AT], which in our notation asked whether a compact T_2 space X

* Research supported by OTKA grant no. 1908.

Received April 13, 1992 and in revised form August 17, 1992

is necessarily metrizable if $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ holds for each $\kappa \in Sp(w, X)$? They showed in [AT] that the answer to this question is “yes” if $c = 2^\omega = \omega_1$ and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ hold. It follows from our results below that actually $c < \omega_\omega$ is sufficient to yield the “yes” answer, but it is consistent with ZFC (and $c > \omega_\omega$, of course) to have counterexamples.

In what follows, for κ and λ given cardinal numbers the (closed) interval $[\kappa, \lambda]$ denotes the set of **cardinals** μ satisfying $\kappa \leq \mu \leq \lambda$.

THEOREM 1: *Let X be a space and κ a cardinal with $\omega \leq \kappa < w(X)$. Then*

- (i) *if X is T_2 then $Sp(w, X) \cap [\kappa, \exp_3(\kappa)] \neq \emptyset$;*
- (ii) *if X is T_3 then $Sp(w, X) \cap [\kappa, 2^\kappa] \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof: By the main result of [HJ2] (see also 6.8 of [J1]) there is a subspace $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| \leq \kappa$ such that $w(Y) \geq \kappa$. Now, if we let $F = \bar{Y}$, then we clearly have $w(F) \in [\kappa, 2^\kappa]$ if X is T_3 and $w(F) \in [\kappa, \exp_3(\kappa)]$ if X is just T_2 . ■

Since a T_3 space X is metrizable if $w(X) = \omega$, already from Theorem 1 we get the following significant strengthening of the above quoted result of [AT].

COROLLARY 2: *If $2^{\omega_1} < \omega_\omega$ then a T_3 space X is metrizable if $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ holds for each $\kappa \in Sp(w, X)$.*

Let us now turn to the study of weight-spectra of compact T_2 spaces. It is well-known that the Stone-Ćech compactification $\beta\omega$ of the countable discrete space ω satisfies

$$Sp(w, \beta\omega) = \{c\},$$

showing that the following result, at least for $\kappa = \omega_1$, is best possible.

THEOREM 3: *Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal and X be a compact T_2 space with $w(X) \geq \kappa$. Then there is a closed subspace $F \subset X$ such that $w(F) \in [\kappa, 2^{<\kappa}]$ and*

$$|F| \leq \sum \{\exp_2(\lambda) : \lambda < \kappa\}.$$

Proof: Let us first consider the case in which $\hat{t}(X) > \kappa$, i.e. there is a point $p \in X$ and a set $A \subset X$ with $p \in \bar{A}$ but $p \notin \bar{B}$ for each set $B \subset A$ with $|B| < \kappa$ (i.e. $a(p, A) \geq \kappa$). Then (see e.g. [J1], 3.12) there is a free sequence in X of length κ , hence by Theorem 1.2 of [JSz], there is also a free sequence $S = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ that converges to some point $x \in X$.

Now we distinguish two cases again. If there is an $\alpha \in \kappa$ such that (with $S_\alpha = \{x_\beta: \beta \in \alpha\}$) we have $w(\overline{S}_\alpha) \geq \kappa$, then clearly $F = \overline{S}_\alpha$ is as required since $w(\overline{S}_\alpha) \leq 2^{|\alpha|}$ and $|\overline{S}_\alpha| \leq \exp_2(|\alpha|)$.

If, on the other hand, we have $w(\overline{S}_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha < \kappa$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa$, then $F = \overline{S}$ will work. Indeed, then we have

$$F = \overline{S} = \{x\} \cup \bigcup \{\overline{S}_\alpha: \alpha \in \kappa\},$$

since S converges to x , and our assumption that $w(\overline{S}_\alpha) < \kappa$ implies that $nw(F) = w(F) \leq \kappa$. (Note that $w(\overline{S}_\alpha) \leq \kappa$ would suffice for this.) But S is free, hence discrete, thus $w(F) \geq w(S) = |S| = \kappa$, i.e. we actually got $w(F) = \kappa$ and $|F| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \kappa} 2^{\lambda_\alpha} \leq \sum_{\lambda < \kappa} 2^\lambda = 2^{<\kappa}$.

Next, if $\hat{t}(X) \leq \kappa$, i.e. whenever $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a $B \subset A$ with $|B| < \kappa$ and $p \in \overline{B}$, then use [HJ2] again to find a set $S \subset X$ with $|S| = \kappa$ and $w(S) \geq \kappa$. Note that by $\hat{t}(X) \leq \kappa$ and the regularity of κ we now have

$$\overline{S} = \cup \{\overline{S}_\alpha: \alpha \in \kappa\},$$

by putting $S = \{x_\alpha: \alpha \in \kappa\}$ and $S_\alpha = \{x_\beta: \beta \in \alpha\}$ like above. Also, from here we proceed like there: if there is some $\alpha \in \kappa$ with $w(\overline{S}_\alpha) \geq \kappa$ then $F = \overline{S}_\alpha$ works, and otherwise $F = \overline{S}$ will satisfy the requirements, even with $w(F) = \kappa$ and $|F| \leq 2^{<\kappa}$. ■

We now list several immediate corollaries of Theorem 3 and its proof.

COROLLARY 4: *If κ is a (strongly) inaccessible cardinal and X is compact T_2 with $w(X) \geq \kappa$ then there is a closed $F \subset X$ with $w(F) = |F| = \kappa$. In particular, inaccessible cardinals may not be omitted by compact T_2 spaces.*

COROLLARY 5: *If $\kappa > \omega$ is regular and not inaccessible and X is compact T_2 with $w(X) \geq \kappa$, then there is a closed subspace $F \subset X$ and a cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$ such that $\kappa \leq w(F) \leq 2^\lambda$ and $\kappa \leq |F| \leq \exp_2(\lambda)$.*

Of course, with $\kappa = \omega_1$ this immediately implies that the answer to the problem of [AT] is yes if $c < \omega_\omega$.

COROLLARY 6: *If $\kappa > \omega$ is regular and X is compact T_2 such that $w(\overline{S}) < \kappa$ whenever $S \subset X$ and $|S| < \kappa$, then there is a closed $F \subset X$ with $w(F) = \kappa$ and $\kappa \leq |F| \leq 2^{<\kappa}$. In particular, if X is compact and ω -monolithic with $w(X) > \omega$ then there is a closed $F \subset X$ with $w(F) = \omega_1$ and $|F| \leq c$.*

Finally, we summarize what Theorem 3 yields under GCH.

COROLLARY 7 (GCH): For any compact T_2 space X its weight-spectrum $\text{Sp}(w, X)$ contains every uncountable regular cardinal $\kappa < w(X)$, while its cardinality spectrum contains κ if it is inaccessible and either κ or κ^+ otherwise.

The strength of these results is illustrated by the following remarks. Note that the weight of a compact space is equal to the character of the diagonal in its square (see [J1], 3.32), hence a compact space of weight ω_1 doesn't have a small diagonal. (Recall that a space is said to have a small diagonal iff for every uncountable set in the complement of its diagonal there is a neighbourhood of the diagonal that misses uncountably many points of that set.) Thus it follows immediately from Corollary 5 that under CH every compact space with a small diagonal is metrizable. Moreover, from Corollary 6 we see in ZFC that every ω -monolithic compact space with a small diagonal is metrizable. Using Stone's duality, it is another immediate consequence of Corollary 5 that under CH every uncountable Boolean algebra has a homomorphic image of size ω_1 , hence the answer to problem 22 in [M] is negative.

Note that by [vD] no singular cardinal of countable cofinality may belong to the weight or cardinality spectrum of a compact F -space. It remains an open question whether, under GCH, singular cardinals of uncountable cofinality could be omitted by the weight or cardinality spectrum of a compact T_2 space?

It is immediate from Corollary 6 that a counterexample to the problem of [AT] can not be ω -monolithic. But this means that if there is a counterexample then it must have a **separable** closed subspace that is also a counterexample. Note also that the weight of the latter then must be less than c . In view of this we are now going to study weight spectra of compact spaces of weight below c . It will turn out that we can obtain (consistent) examples of separable compact linearly ordered topological spaces that yield a great variety of such weight spectra, in particular they yield strong counterexamples to the problem of [AT]. The following lemma is the key to the construction of these examples.

LEMMA 8: Let κ be a fixed infinite cardinal. Then the following two statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

- (i) There is a (separable) compact LOTS, say X , such that $\text{Sp}(w, X) = \{\omega, \kappa\}$.
- (ii) There is a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $|S| = \kappa$ such that for any closed set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have $|F \cap S| \leq \omega$ or $|F \cap S| = \kappa$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii). Since (ii) is obviously true if $\kappa \leq \omega_1$, we may assume that

$\kappa > \omega_1$. As it was pointed out above, Corollary 6 implies that our compact LOTS X may be assumed to be separable. Let \prec be the order relation on X defining its topology. It is well-known (see e.g. [HJ1]) that

$$w(X) = d(X) + u(X),$$

where $U(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has a } \prec\text{-successor } x^+\}$ and $u(X) = |U(X)|$. We also set $L(X) = \{x^+ : x \in U(X)\}$. Let us note that, since X is separable, for any subset $H \subset X$ there can be at most countably many points $x \in H$ such that x has an immediate \prec -successor in H which is not its immediate successor in X . Hence if the subspace topology of H is determined by \prec restricted to H , in particular if H is closed, we have $|U(H)| = |U(X) \cap H|$ if $|U(H)| > \omega$, consequently we have

$$(*) \quad w(H) = |H \cap U(X)| + \omega$$

whenever $H \subset X$ is closed. Here we were using also the well-known fact that a separable LOTS is also hereditarily separable.

Let us consider the following equivalence relation \sim on X : For $x, y \in X$ we set $x \sim y$ iff the interval $[x, y]$ (or $[y, x]$) between them is a countable set. Clearly, every equivalence class of \sim is a countable closed interval hence it is also obvious that an equivalence class is not a singleton if and only if it meets $U(X)$. Since $d(X) = \omega < \kappa$ implies

$$w(X) = u(X) = \kappa,$$

we obtain immediately that the set T of non-singleton equivalence classes has cardinality κ , too.

Let $\tilde{X} = X / \sim$ be the quotient LOTS determined by the canonical quotient ordering. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the canonical quotient map that sends each $x \in X$ to its equivalence class. Since $U(\tilde{X})$ is clearly empty, we have that \tilde{X} is densely ordered and

$$w(\tilde{X}) = d(\tilde{X}) = d(X) = \omega,$$

hence \tilde{X} can be embedded as a closed interval in \mathbb{R} .

So let $i: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an embedding map and set $S = i^{-1}[T]$. Clearly, we have $|S| = |T| = \kappa$. Now let $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ be any closed set in \mathbb{R} with $|F \cap S| > \omega$. Then $\hat{F} = (i \circ \pi)^{-1}[F]$ is a closed set in X with $|\hat{F} \cap (UT)| > \omega$. But every point in UT is either in $U(X)$ or $L(X)$, which clearly implies that $w(\hat{F}) > \omega$, hence actually $w(\hat{F}) = \kappa$. From the latter and $(*)$, however, we conclude that

$$|\hat{F} \cap UT| = \kappa,$$

consequently we must have $\hat{F} \cap t \neq \emptyset$ for κ many $t \in T$, hence by $(i \circ \pi)^{-1}[\hat{F}] = F \cap S$ we have $|F \cap S| = \kappa$. Thus S is as required by (ii).

(ii) \rightarrow (i). We may assume without any loss of generality that $S \subset [0, 1]$, the unit interval. Informally, our space X is then obtained by “splitting” each $x \in S$ in two. Formally, this means that the underlying set of X is

$$([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (S \times \{1\})$$

and the ordering \prec is the lexicographic ordering on this set. To simplify notation, we shall replace $\langle x, 0 \rangle$ with x and $\langle x, 1 \rangle$ with x^+ in what follows. Clearly, X is a separable compact LOTS with $U(X) = S$.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the canonical map that sends both x and x^+ to x . Then π is a continuous and thus also closed map. Let $F \subset X$ be any closed subspace, then $\hat{F} = \pi^{-1}[F]$ is closed in \mathbb{R} hence $|\hat{F} \cap S| \leq \omega$ or $|\hat{F} \cap S| = \kappa$. Applying (*) from the previous part of the proof we get that $w(F) = \omega$ in the first case and $w(F) = \kappa$ in the second, and this completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Of course, if $\kappa = c$ then (ii) (and thus (i)) is simply true with $S = \mathbb{R}$. The compact LOTS obtained with this choice of S is just the “double-arrow” space of Alexandrov. The interesting question is what happens for cardinals κ satisfying $\omega_1 < \kappa < c$? According to our next result, the validity of (ii) (hence (i)) in this case is both consistent with and independent of ZFC!

THEOREM 9:

- (1) Let $\mathcal{C}_\lambda = Fn(\lambda, 2)$ be the standard notion of forcing that adds λ Cohen reals to a ground model V . Then, in $V^{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$, (ii) of Lemma 8 holds for each $\kappa \leq \lambda$.
- (2) If κ is bigger than ω_1 and $MA_\kappa(\sigma - \text{centered})$ holds then (ii) fails for κ .

Proof: (1) Since $\kappa < \lambda$ implies $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{C}_\kappa \times \mathcal{C}_{\lambda \setminus \kappa} \cong \mathcal{C}_\kappa \times \mathcal{C}_\lambda$, it clearly suffices to show that (ii) for κ holds in $V^{\mathcal{C}_\kappa}$ for any given κ .

Now, if $r: \kappa \rightarrow 2$ is the Cohen generic map in $V^{\mathcal{C}_\kappa}$, then for any $\alpha \in \kappa$ let $r_\alpha: \omega \rightarrow 2$ be defined by

$$r_\alpha(n) = r(\omega \cdot \alpha + n),$$

so r_α is the α th Cohen real added to V . Now, we set $S = \{r_\alpha: \alpha \in \kappa\}$, note that actually S is contained in the Cantor set \mathbb{C} .

Now, every closed set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ has a code that is a countable subset of V , hence (see e.g. [K]) there is a countable set $A \subset \kappa$ in V such that the code of F belongs

to $V^{\mathcal{C}^\lambda}$. This shows that we may actually assume that the code of F is in V . But in this case $F \cap S = \emptyset$ unless the interior of F is non-empty, while in the latter case it is obvious to see that $F \cap S$ is forced to have size κ . Indeed, $|F \cap S| < \kappa$ would imply the existence of a subset $A \subset \kappa$ with $\{\alpha: r_\alpha \in F\} \subset A$, $|A| < \kappa$ and $A \in V$. But if $p \in \mathcal{C}_\kappa$ forces this and $\beta \in \kappa$ is such that

$$[\omega \cdot \beta, \omega \cdot (\beta + 1)) \cap (A \cup \text{Dom}(p)) = \emptyset,$$

then taking an $s \in {}^{<\omega}2$ with $[s] \subset F$ and setting $\text{Dom}(\hat{s}) = \omega \cdot \beta + \text{Dom}(s)$ with

$$\hat{s}(\omega \cdot \beta + i) = s(i),$$

we see that $q = p \cup \hat{s}$ is a condition that forces $s_\beta \in F \cap S$, a contradiction.

(2) Now, it is well-known (see e.g. [W]) that $MA_\kappa(\sigma - \text{centered})$ implies that any set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $|S| = \kappa$ is a Q -set, i.e. every subset of S is a relative F_σ . But if $A \subset S$ has cardinality ω_1 and $A = \cup\{F_n \cap S: n \in \omega\}$ where each F_n is closed in \mathbb{R} , then there must be an $n \in \omega$ such that $|F_n \cap S| = \omega_1$, showing that S does not have the property required by (ii). ■

As an immediate consequence of part 1) we get of course the failure of the [AT] conjecture in models of the form $V^{\mathcal{C}^\lambda}$ with $\lambda \geq \omega_\omega$. In fact, we get much more by gluing several copies of spaces of type (i) from Lemma 8 together.

THEOREM 10: *Suppose that (i) of Lemma 8 holds for each $\kappa \leq c$. Then for every countable closed set of cardinals $T \subset c$ with $\omega \in T$ there is a separable compact LOTS, say X , such that $\text{Sp}(w, X) = T$.*

Proof: By assumption, for each $\kappa \in T$ there is a separable compact LOTS, say $X(\kappa)$, such that $\text{Sp}(w, X(\kappa)) = \{\omega, \kappa\}$. Let X be the LOTS whose topology is determined by the lexicographic ordering on

$$\bigcup\{\{\kappa\} \times X(\kappa): \kappa \in T\}.$$

Since T is a countable closed, and therefore compact subset of c , it is clear that X is a separable compact LOTS. It is also obvious that $T \subset \text{Sp}(w, X)$.

To see that the converse inclusion also holds, let F be any non-empty closed subset of X . For each $\kappa \in T$ then $F_\kappa = F \cap (\{\kappa\} \times X(\kappa))$ is homeomorphic to a closed set in $X(\kappa)$, hence

$$w(F_\kappa) \in \{\omega, \kappa\}.$$

Let us set

$$Q = \{\kappa \in T: w(F_\kappa) \neq \omega\}.$$

If $Q = \emptyset$ then $w(F) = \omega$ by the addition theorem for compact spaces (i.e. because F has a countable network). If Q has a largest element, then clearly $w(F) = \max\{w(F_\kappa): \kappa \in Q\} \in T$, using the addition theorem again.

Finally, if Q does not have a largest member, let λ be the largest limit point of Q in c , again $\lambda \in T$ because T is closed. Since no element of Q is greater than λ , we have $w(F) = \lambda$, for again F has a network of size λ and for any $\mu < \lambda$ there is a $\kappa \in Q$ with $\mu < \kappa = w(F_\kappa) \leq w(F)$. ■

Putting together what we have proven in Theorems 9 and 10 we get the following result.

COROLLARY 11: *It is consistent with the continuum being anything it can be, that for every countable closed set of cardinals below c there is a separable compact LOTS whose weight-spectrum consists exactly of ω and the elements of that set.*

Note that, as opposed to $\beta\omega$, the weight-spectrum of a compact LOTS cannot omit ω .

Now Corollary 11 gives us a large variety of situations in which the [AT] problem has lots of different counterexamples. However these cannot answer the naturally raised question whether a counterexample already exists just from the (by Corollary 5) necessary condition that $c > \omega_\omega$. Indeed, our next result implies that under $MA(\sigma - \text{centered})$ there can be no counterexample to the [AT] problem which is a LOTS.

THEOREM 12: *Suppose that $MA(\sigma - \text{centered})$ holds. Then*

- (a) *for every separable compact LOTS, say X , if $w(X) < c$ then*

$$\text{Sp}(w, X) = [\omega, w(X)];$$

- (b) *if X is any compact LOTS whose weight is uncountable but less than c then*

$$\omega_1 \in \text{Sp}(w, X).$$

Proof: (a) To prove this one needs the following generalization of Lemma 8:

LEMMA 8': Given a set of infinite cardinals T , the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) There is a separable compact LOTS X such that $\text{Sp}(\omega, X) = T$.
- (ii) There is a set of reals $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $T = \{\kappa: \exists F \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ closed with } |F \cap \mathbb{R}| = \kappa\}$, i.e. T is the cardinality spectrum of S .

Since the proof of this is exactly the same as that of Lemma 8, we omit it.

Now, to finish the proof of a) note that $MA(\sigma - \text{centered})$ implies that any $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $|S| < c$ is a Q -set, hence if $\kappa < |S|$ and $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ then any subset $S' \subset S$ with $|S'| = \kappa$, being an F_σ , contains a relatively closed set of size κ . If, on the other hand, $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ then choose regular cardinals $\kappa_n < \kappa$ for $n \in \omega$ such that

$$\kappa = \Sigma\{\kappa_n: n \in \omega\}.$$

Clearly, it is possible to find distinct points $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that every neighborhood of x_n intersects S in a set of size at least κ_n and the sequence x_n converges to some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We can place disjoint closed intervals I_n about each x_n such that the length of I_n is less than $\frac{1}{n}$ and $|I_n \cap S| \geq \kappa_n$ for $n \in \omega$, and choose sets $F_n \subset I_n \cap S$ with $|F_n| = \kappa_n$ and F_n relatively closed in S , by the above. But $\cup\{F_n: n \in \omega\} = F$ may have no other limit point in S not already in F than x , hence $(F \cup \{x\}) \cap S$ is a relatively closed set in S of size κ .

(b) If X is ω -monolithic then this follows from Corollary 6. Otherwise X has a separable closed subspace whose weight is uncountable and less than c , hence we may apply a) to this closed subspace. ■

Since the weight-spectrum of the double arrow space is clearly $\{\omega, c\}$, the assumptions about the weight of the space being less than c cannot be dropped from Theorem 12.

However, a number of questions concerning Theorems 10 and 12 remain open. Thus we do not know whether one could realize arbitrary uncountable closed sets of cardinals below c as weight spectra of (separable?) (ordered?) compact spaces? Also, we don't know whether part b) is also valid for ω_2 instead of ω_1 .

To conclude, let us formulate an easy result which, however, could be quite useful in finding applications of the positive results on the weight spectra of normal spaces.

THEOREM 13: *If X is a normal space and $\kappa \in \text{Sp}(w, X)$ then there is a Tychonov continuous image Y of X with $w(Y) = \kappa$ as well. (In particular, if X is compact then so is Y).*

Proof: Let F be a closed subspace of X with $w(F) = \kappa$ and let $j: F \rightarrow I^\kappa$ be an embedding of F into the Tychonov cube of weight κ . By the Tietze extension theorem, there is a map $f: X \rightarrow I^\kappa$ which extends j . Now, if Y is the image of X under f then clearly

$$\kappa = w(F) = w(j^{-1}[F]) \leq w(Y) \leq w(I^\kappa) = \kappa,$$

hence $w(Y) = \kappa$ and Y is as required. ■

From Corollary 6 and this result we immediately get, for instance, the next result.

COROLLARY 14: *Every ω -monolithic compact space of uncountable weight has a (necessarily ω -monolithic) continuous image of weight ω_1 .*

Since the continuous image of a CCC space is also CCC, it follows immediately that if there is a CCC non-metrizable ω -monolithic compact space then there is also one of weight ω_1 . However, a compact CCC space of weight ω_1 is separable under MA_{ω_1} by [HJ3], hence the next result from [ASh] is obtained immediately.

COROLLARY 15: *(Arhangel'skii and Shapirovskii) Under MA_{ω_1} every CCC compact ω -monolithic space is metrizable.*

Corollary 14 also easily implies that the problem whether a compact ω -monolithic space is metrizable if ω_1 is its caliber, also raised in [ASh], can also be reduced to such spaces of weight ω_1 . The latter, however, remains unsolved.

References

- [ASh] A.V. Arhangel'skii and B.E. Shapirovskii, *The structure of monolithic spaces*, Vestnik. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I **4** (1987), 72-74.
- [AT] A. V. Arhangel'skii and V.V. Tkačuk, *Calibers and point-finite cellularity of the space $C_p(X)$ and some questions of Gu'lko and Hušek*, Top. Appl. **23** (1986), 65-74.
- [vD] E. van Douwen, *Cardinal functions on compact F -spaces and on weakly countably complete Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math. **114** (1981), 236-256.

- [HJ1] A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *Some remarks on a property of topological cardinal functions*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **20** (1969), 25–37.
- [HJ2] A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *Having small weight is determined by small subspaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 657–658.
- [HJ3] A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *A consequence of Martin's axiom*, Indag. Math. **33** (1971), 457–463.
- [J1] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions – ten years later*, Math. Centre Tract no. 123, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [J2] I. Juhász, *Two set-theoretic problems in topology*, Proc. 4th Prague Symp. on Gen. Top. (1976), Part A, pp. 115–123.
- [JN] I. Juhász and P. Nyikos, *Omitting cardinals in tame spaces*, Coll. Math. **57** (1989), 193–202.
- [JSz] I. Juhász and Z. Szentmiklóssy, *On convergent free sequences in compact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [JW] I. Juhász and W. Weiss, *Omitting the continuum in Lindelöf scattered spaces*, Top. Appl. **31** (1989), 19–27.
- [K] K. Kunen, *Set Theory*, Studies in Logic, Vol. 102, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [M] J.D. Monk, *Cardinal Functions on Boolean Algebras*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [W] W. Weiss, *Versions of Martin's axiom*, in *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology* (R. Kunen and J. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989, pp. 827–886.