## Gap-to-T<sub>c</sub> Ratio as a Function of the Fermi Level Shift

S. Ratanaburi,<sup>1</sup> P. Udomsamuthirun,<sup>2</sup> N. Saentalard,<sup>3</sup> and S. Yoksan<sup>3</sup>

## *Received 1 August 1996*

Within the framework of the BCS theory, the gap-to- $T_c$  ratio  $R = 2\Delta_0/kT_c$  is evaluated numerically ( $\Delta_0$  is the energy gap at  $T=0$  and  $T_c$  is the critical temperature) for a superconductor with a van Hove singularity (vHs) in the density of states as a function of the shifts  $(\delta)$  of the Fermi level with respect to the vHs. It is found that R varies asymmetrically with  $\delta$  and that the variations are strong near  $\delta = 0$ . Our numerical calculation shows that the largest R's occur at certain values of  $\delta \neq 0$ .

KEY WORDS: van Hove singularity; gap-ratio; high- $T_c$  superconductor.

The idea that the density of states (DOS) peaks is important for superconductivity was first considered for A15 compounds [1] that show one-dimensional structures. In high- $T_c$  superconductors, the planar structure of the copper oxide layers lead to a vHs of the DOS that can be coincident or very' close to the Fermi energy  $(E_F)$  depending on the doping.

This situation has led many authors [2-8] to consider the possible effect of the logarithmic DOS peak in superconductivity. Tsuei *et al.* [2] showed that there exists a vHs near  $E_F$  in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. The angle photoemission spectroscopy studies on Y123, Y124, and Bi2122 [3-5] have found that the vHs is almost located at the Fermi level at the composition of optimum  $T_c$ . It was shown in [6] that a maximum  $T_c$  with a minimum isotope shift exponent  $\alpha$ ) occur when the Fermi level lies at the energy of the vHs and  $T_c$ decreases while  $(a)$  increases as the Fermi level is displaced from the vHs. Sarkar and Das [7] studied the variations of  $T_c$ ,  $\alpha$ , and the pressure coefficient of  $T_c$  with the shift ( $\delta$ ) of the Fermi level from the vHs. They also examined the gap-to- $T_c$  ratio (R) for the case  $\delta = 0$ , and found that R lies within the range from 3.53 to 4.00. The question arises if there is a possibility

to enhance the gap ratio taking into account a nonzero shift of the Fermi level from the vHs.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the study of [7] by evaluating the exact  $R$  (numerical) as a function of  $\delta$  for different sets of parameters. In particular, we would like to examine the change in  $R$  as the shift  $\delta$  is varied.

We compute the zero-temperature gap  $\Delta_0$  in the framework of the standard BCS mean field formalism

$$
\frac{2}{V} = \int_{-\omega_D}^{\omega_D} d\varepsilon \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta_0^2}} \tag{1}
$$

where  $N(\varepsilon)$  is the density of states,  $\omega_D$  is a cutoff frequency, and  $V$  is a constant pairing potential, nonzero only within a range  $2\omega_D$  centered about the Fermi level.

The linearized  $T_c$  equation can be written as

$$
\frac{2}{V} = \int_{-\omega_D}^{\omega_D} d\varepsilon \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \tanh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2T_c}\right) \tag{2}
$$

We use the  $2D$  vHs form for the DOS [7],

$$
N(\varepsilon) = N_0 \ln \left| \frac{E_F - \delta}{\varepsilon - (E_F - \delta)} \right| \tag{3}
$$

where  $N_0$  is a normalization factor, and  $\delta = E_F-E_{\text{vHs}}$ indicates the displacement of the Fermi level with respect to the vHs  $(E_{\text{vHs}})$ . This form of DOS is relevant in high- $T_c$  cuprates because the Fermi level shifts with doping, and  $\delta$  changes correspondingly.

<sup>~</sup>Department of Physics, Chiangmai University, Chiangmai 50200, Thailand.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Srinakharinwirot University, Bangkok 10110, Thailand.



Fig. 1. The ratio R for different choices of the parameter  $T_c$ , as a function of  $\delta/\omega_D$ .  $E_F = 4000$  K,  $\omega_D = 500$  K.

We introduce Eq.  $(3)$  into Eqs.  $(1)$  and  $(2)$ , and obtain the following equation:

$$
\int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{dx}{x} \tanh(x) \ln \left| \frac{E_F - \delta}{2T_c x + \delta} \right|
$$

$$
= \int_0^{2\omega_D / RT_c} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} \ln \left| \frac{E_F - \delta}{RT_c x / 2 + \delta} \right| \qquad (4)
$$

From this integral equation the numerical values of R have been evaluated exactly as a function of the parameter  $\delta/\omega_D$  for various values of  $T_c$ . We have performed the calculation for the same parameters as that of Sarkar and Das [7], namely  $E_F = 4000$  K and  $\omega_D$  = 500 K.

We can see from the graph R vs.  $\delta/\omega_D$  (Fig. 1) that there is a large departure of  $R$  from the canonical BCS value of 3.53 as  $\delta$  varies. The shift  $\delta$ , therefore, has a strong influence on  $R$ . The plot shows that the variation of R is not symmetric about  $\delta = 0$ ;  $(d/d\delta)R$ is positive for underdoped samples and changes signs for the overdoped cases. The general trend is that  $R$ decreases for  $\delta > 0$  more rapidly than for  $\delta < 0$ . We

Table I. Value of R Estimates for a DOS with a vHs for Different  $T_c$  Values, and  $\delta/$  $\omega_D$  Where  $R_{\text{max}}$  Occurs ( $E_F$ =4000 K and

$\omega_0$ = 500 K)		
$T_c$ (K)	$R_{\rm max}$	$\delta/\omega_{D}$
300	3.912	$-0.073$
160	3.811	$-0.059$
130	3.777	$-0.055$
100	3.740	$-0.043$
70	3.703	$-0.035$
40	3.671	$-0.021$

## Ratanaburi, Udomsamuthirun, Saentalard, and Yoksan

note also that as  $E_F$  is displaced away from vHs, i.e.,  $|\delta|$  increases, R decreases, and the value of R always increases as  $\omega_D/T_c$  decreases. Moreover, as  $\omega_D/T_c$ increases,  $R$  is suppressed and goes to the BCS limit of 3.53.

Our numerical calculation shows also that there is a maximum value of  $R$  occurring at a certain nonzero value of  $\delta/\omega_D$ . To find the value of  $\delta/\omega_D$  where  $R_{\text{max}}$  occurs, we differentiate Eq. (4) with respect to  $\delta/\omega_D$  and set  $dR/d(\delta/\omega_D)$  equal to zero, and we arrive at the following equation:

$$
\int_{0}^{\omega_{D}/2T_{c}} \frac{dx}{x} \tanh x \frac{(2T_{c}x + E_{F})}{(2T_{c}x + \delta)}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{2\omega_{D}/RT_{c}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2}}} + \left(\frac{E_{F}}{\omega_{D}} - \frac{\delta}{\omega_{D}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{0}^{2\omega_{D}/RT_{c}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 + x^{2}} \left(RT_{c}x/2\omega_{D} + \delta/\omega_{D}\right)} \tag{5}
$$

We evaluate  $R_{\text{max}}$  and  $\delta/\omega_D$  numerically using the two integral equations  $(4)$  and  $(5)$ . To do that we have to choose three parameters:  $T_c$  and the Fermi and cutoff energies. The numerical results are presented in Table I.

We note that the peak position in  $R$  shifts to the underdoped region with increasing  $T_c$ .

In summary, based on the BCS theory, we have studied the effects of the Fermi level shift with respect to the vHs on the gap-to- $T_c$  ratio. The general behavior of  $R$  is that it is largest at some optimum doping,  $\delta \neq 0$ . We show that there is an asymmetric gap ratio in doped superconductors.

Recently Giraldo and Baquero [9] evaluated the van Hove singularity shift from the Fermi level as a function of composition and found an approximately linear behavior as a function of doping. It is therefore of interest to investigate experimentally the gap-to- $T_c$ ratio as a function of the doping concentration as a quantitative check of our theory.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. J. Labbe', S. Barisic, and J. Friedel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1039  $(1967).$
- 2. C. C. Tsuei et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2134 (1992).
- 3. A. A. Abrikosov, J. C. Campuzano, and K. Gofron, Physica C 44, 73 (1993).
- 4. D. S. Dessau et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2718 (1993).
- 5. K. Gofron et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3302 (1994).
- 6. C. C. Tsuei et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2724 (1990)
- 7. S. Sarkar and A. N. Das, *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 13070 (1994).
- 8. J. M. Getino, M. de Llano, and H. Rubio, Phys. Rev. B 48, 597  $(1993)$
- 9. J. Giraldo and R. Baquero, *Physica C* 257, 160 (1996).