

$$M_p(G) \neq M_q(G) \quad (p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$$

BY

DANIEL M. OBERLIN

ABSTRACT

There exists a compact group G having $M_{p,q}(G) \neq M_q(G)$. This answers in the negative (the dual reformulation of) a question of Eymard (Séminaire Bourbaki, 1969/70).

1. Introduction

Let G be a compact group, and let Γ be the dual object of G , the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G . For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, select a representation $U_\gamma \in \gamma$, let H_γ be the Hilbert space on which U_γ acts, and let d_γ be the dimension of H_γ . Let $\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$ denote the space of linear operators on H_γ , and for $f \in L^1(G)$, define $\hat{f}(\gamma)$ to be the operator in $\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$ given by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) U_\gamma(x^{-1}) dx.$$

(The measure on G , here denoted dx , is always normalized Haar measure.) To each $f \in L^1(G)$ is associated the Fourier series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_\gamma \operatorname{tr}[\hat{f}(\gamma) U_\gamma(x)],$$

where $\operatorname{tr}[\hat{f}(\gamma) U_\gamma(x)]$ stands for the usual trace of the operator $\hat{f}(\gamma) U_\gamma(x)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ denote the space $P_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$.

DEFINITION. Fix $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let m be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$, so that for each γ , $m(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$. The function m is a (left) multiplier of $L^p (= L^p(G))$ if for each $f \in L^p$, the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_\gamma \operatorname{tr}[m(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma) U_\gamma(x)]$$

is the Fourier series of some function $L_m f \in L^p$. The collection of all such m is denoted by $M_p(G)$ or simply M_p .

For each $m \in M_p$, the map $f \rightarrow L_m f$ defines a bounded linear operator on L^p , an operator which commutes with left translations by the elements of G . If $p < \infty$, as we shall henceforth assume, each such translation-invariant operator on L^p is an L_m for some $m \in M_p$. We regard M_p as a Banach space under the operator norm.

When G is abelian, an easy argument shows that if $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, then $M_p = M_q$. If G is not abelian, this same argument shows only that $m \in M_p$ if and only if $m^* \in M_q$, where $m^*(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$ is the Hilbert space adjoint of $m(\gamma)$. Thus, for nonabelian G , $M_p = M_q$ holds if and only if $m \in M_p$ implies that $m^* \in M_p$. It is the object of the present note to show that this implication sometimes fails.

THEOREM. *There exist a compact group G and an element m of $M_4(G)$ such that $m^* \notin M_4(G)$.*

2. Lemmas and the proof

We shall need a lemma of Bonami concerning product groups. Let G_1 and G_2 be compact groups with dual objects Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively. Recall that if $G = G_1 \times G_2$, then $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ is canonically identifiable with the dual object Γ of G . This identification associates to the pair $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ the equivalence class of the representation $U_{\gamma_1} \otimes U_{\gamma_2}$, where $U_{\gamma_1} \in \gamma_1$, $U_{\gamma_2} \in \gamma_2$.

LEMMA 1. ([1, Chapitre III, Théorème 2, Lemme 1], see also [2, Lemma 2]). *With notation as above, let m_1 and m_2 be elements of $M_p(G_1)$, $M_p(G_2)$, respectively ($1 \leq p < \infty$). If $m \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ is defined by $m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = m_1(\gamma_1) \otimes m_2(\gamma_2)$, then $m \in M_p(G)$ and $\|m\|_{M_p(G)} = \|m_1\|_{M_p(G_1)} \|m_2\|_{M_p(G_2)}$.*

Our next lemma concerns a particular finite group. The example contained in this lemma was found with the aid of a computer.

LEMMA 2. *There exist a finite group G and a multiplier $m \in M_4(G)$ which has $\|m\|_{M_4} < \|m^*\|_{M_4}$.*

PROOF. Let G be the set of two by two unitary matrices

$$\left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\}_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in (\pm 1)^2}$$

Then G is a group under matrix multiplication, and is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4 ; see [3, 27.61–62]. The self-representation of G on the

two-dimensional Hilbert space C^2 is irreducible [3, 29.47]. Let Γ be the dual object of G , and let $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ be the equivalence class of this self-representation. Let $m \in \mathcal{C}(1)$ be defined by

$$m(\gamma_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, m(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for other } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

(This matrix is viewed in the usual way as an operator on $C^2 = H_{\gamma_0}$). We shall show that

$$\|m\|_{M_4} < \|m^*\|_{M_4}.$$

A. $\|m\|_{M_4} = (353)^{1/4}.$

We start by showing that

(1) $\|L_m f\|_{L^4} \leq (353)^{1/4} \|f\|_{L^4}$

holds for $f \in L^4(G)$ of the form

$$f(X) = 2 \operatorname{tr}[\hat{f}(\gamma_0)X], \quad \hat{f}(\gamma_0) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ a real matrix.}$$

For such f , a computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^4}^4 &= 8[c_1^4 + c_2^4 + c_3^4 + c_4^4 + 6(c_1^2 c_2^2 + c_3^2 c_4^2)], \\ \|L_m f\|_{L^4}^4 &= 8[257(c_3^4 + c_4^4) + 192c_3^2 c_4^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$[257(c_3^4 + c_4^4) + 192c_3^2 c_4^2] \leq 353(c_3^4 + c_4^4),$$

and it is clearly sufficient to establish this under the additional hypothesis $c_3^4 + c_4^4 = 1$. But then the maximum value of the LHS occurs when $c_3^2 = c_4^2 = \sqrt{2}/2$, and this maximum value is precisely 353.

Next we show that (1) holds for any real-valued $f \in L^4$. Let $\mathcal{X}_0(X) = 2 \operatorname{tr}[X]$ for $X \in G$. Then a computation shows that

$$\|\mathcal{X}_0\|_{L^1(G)} = 1,$$

so \mathcal{X}_0 has norm one as a convolution operator on any space $L^p(G)$. Since

$$\mathcal{X}_0 * f(X) = 2 \operatorname{tr}[\hat{f}(\gamma_0)X],$$

it follows that $L_m f = L_m(\mathcal{X}_0 * f)$. Since $\hat{f}(\gamma_0)$ is a real matrix for any real-valued f , it follows that (1) holds for such f . But a lemma of Bonami [1, Chapitre III,

Lemme 2] now implies that (1) holds for any $f \in L^4(G)$. Thus $\|m\|_{M_4} = (353)^{1/4}$ as desired.

B. $\|m^*\|_{M_4} > (353)^{1/4}$.

The function $m^* \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ is defined by

$$m^*(\gamma_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, m^*(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for other } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

If

$$f(X) = 2 \operatorname{tr}[\hat{f}(\gamma_0)X], \hat{f}(\gamma_0) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ a real matrix,}$$

then a computation shows that

$$\|L_{m^*} f\|_{L^4}^4 = 8[(c_1 + 4c_3)^4 + (c_2 + 4c_4)^4].$$

Since

$$\|f\|_{L^4}^4 = 8[c_1^4 + c_2^4 + c_3^4 + c_4^4 + 6(c_1^2 c_4^2 + c_2^2 c_3^2)],$$

it suffices to find real numbers c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 for which

$$(2) \quad \frac{(c_1 + 4c_3)^4 + (c_2 + 4c_4)^4}{c_1^4 + c_2^4 + c_3^4 + c_4^4 + 6(c_1^2 c_4^2 + c_2^2 c_3^2)} > 353.$$

But when $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1, 0)$, the LHS of (2) is $410.0625/1.0625$, which is larger than 353. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. It suffices to show that there exist G and m_1, m_2, \dots in $M_4(G)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \frac{\|m_n^*\|_{M_4}}{\|m_n\|_{M_4}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

for if $m^* \in M_4$ for each $m \in M_4$, then the involution $m \rightarrow m^*$ would be bounded by the closed graph theorem.

Let G_1 be the group described in Lemma 2, let G_n be the Cartesian product of n copies of G_1 , for $n = 2, 3, \dots$, and let

$$G = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n.$$

Let Γ_n be the dual object of G_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and let Γ be the dual object of G . Then Γ is canonically identifiable with the weak direct product

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n,$$

and the canonical *-isomorphism of each $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_n)$ into $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ preserves the M_* norm. Thus it suffices to find $m_n \in M_*(G_n)$ such that (3) holds.

Define $m_n \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_n) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}_{i-1}^n \Gamma_n)$ by the formula

$$m_n(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) m(\gamma_1) \otimes \dots \otimes m(\gamma_n) \quad \text{for } (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \Gamma_n,$$

where m is as in Lemma 2. Then

$$m_n^*(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = m^*(\gamma_1) \otimes \dots \otimes m^*(\gamma_n),$$

and so

$$\frac{\|m_n^*\|_{M_*}}{\|m_n\|_{M_*}} = \left(\frac{\|m^*\|_{M_*}}{\|m\|_{M_*}} \right)^n \rightarrow \infty,$$

by Lemmas 1 and 2. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

The author would like to thank Professor A. Figà-Talamanca for several stimulating conversations about matters related to this work. In particular, it was Professor Figà-Talamanca who introduced the author to Lemma 1 and to the group occurring in Lemma 2, and it was he who mentioned to the author the possibility of using a computer to attack this problem.

REFERENCES

1. A. Bonami, *Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de $L^p(G)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), fasc. 2, 335–402.
2. A. Figà-Talamanca, *Multipliers vanishing at infinity for certain compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 199–203.
3. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306 U.S.A.