# **MIXING PROPERTIES OF A CLASS OF SKEW-PRODUCTS**

BY

ISAAC MEILIJSON

#### **ABSTRACT**

Skew-products of the powers of an ergodic measure preserving transformation with a Bernoulli base are shown to be k-automorphisms.

# **Introduction**

Let  $T$  be an invertible measure preserving transformation (m.p.t.) on a probability triple  $(\Omega, B, P)$ .

Let T' be a Bernoulli shift on  $(\Omega', B', P')$ ; and let  $\eta$  be a countable partition of  $\Omega'$  in B' whose T'-iterates are P'-independent and span B'. See ([4], th. (10.13)). Let X be a one-to-one integer valued function on  $\eta$ .

Define, on the product space  $(\Omega' \times \Omega, B' \times B, P' \times P)$ , a m.p.t. S by

(1) 
$$
S(w', w) = (T'w', T^{X(w')}w).
$$

The present paper studies mixing properties of the transformation S,

The transformation S is a special kind of *Skew-Product.* The general case replaces the powers of the single transformation  $T$  by an arbitrary family of m.p.t.'s on  $(\Omega, B, P)$ , parametrized by a function on  $(\Omega', B', P')$ . See ([2], p. 91).

The purpose of this note is to prove that if  $T$  is totally ergodic or if  $T$  is ergodic and  $X$  is strongly aperiodic,  $S$  is a  $k$ -automorphism.

Questions about mixing properties of skew-products are raised, among other places, in [1], [2] and [5].

It would be interesting to study which of these special skew-products are (or rather are *not*) Bernoulli shifts, once we know them to be k-automorphisms.

Received January 4. 1973 and in revised form September 1, 1974

Adler and Shields [1] establish Bernoullicity when  $T$  is an irrational rotation of the circle. The problem raised by B. Weiss in [61, p. 682 remains unsolved to the present.

We will assume the reader is familiar with the notions of ergodicity, k-automorphism, Bernoulli shift, as well as aperiodicity, and strong aperiodicity of integer valued random variables. Otherwise, see [4] and [5]. *Total ergodicity* of a m.p.t, means ergodicity of all of its (positive integer) powers.

# **Local uniformity of the distribution of sums**

LEMMA. Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \cdots$  be independent and identically distributed, *strongly aperiodic, integer valued random variables. Denote for n*  $\geq$  1,  $S_n$  =  $X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ . Then for every positive integer M,

(2) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \left| P(S_n = mM + i) - (1/M) \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} P(S_n = mM + j) \right| = 0.
$$

PROOF. For the case  $M = 2$ , this is a rephrasing of one side of the "zero-two law", see [3]. The more general case follows then as an easy consequence.

Keeping to the notations brought forward in the introduction.

THEOREM. Assume X is strongly aperiodic. If  $\eta$  is an infinite partition of  $\Omega'$ , *assume further that the distribution of X is such that the partial sums of i.i.d. variables distributed like X form a recurrent random walk. Under these assumptions, if T is ergodic, S is a k-automorphism.* 

**PROOF.** Let  $\alpha$  be a finite partition of  $\Omega$  in B. We will first show S to be a k-automorphism on  $e_{\alpha} = \vee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (S^n \ (\eta \times \alpha))$  (rather than on  $B' \times B$ ).

To obtain that, it is enough to show that for each  $e_{\alpha}$ -measurable bounded real function f.

(3) 
$$
\text{Sup}|E(g \cdot S^n f) - E(g)E(f)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,
$$

where  $S \cup P$  is taken over all real functions g that are bounded by 1 in absolute value, measurable with respect to  $v_{n-x}^{-1}(S^n(\eta \times \alpha))$ .

For convenience, use the common statistical notation

(4) 
$$
Cov(f,g) = E(f \cdot g) - E(f) E(g).
$$

We will now show that to obtain (3) for any  $e_{\alpha}$ -measurable bounded f, it is enough to consider f's that are  $B$ -measurable, and we will then prove (3) for the latter.

Pick any  $e_{\alpha}$ -measurable, bounded f and any g as required. Replacing f by an  $\bar{f}$  that depends only on finitely many  $S''(\eta \times \alpha)$  coordinates and that is close to f in  $L_2$  will give, (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality)

$$
|\text{Cov}(g, S^r f) - \text{Cov}(g, S^r \overline{f})|^2 = |\text{Cov}(g, S^r (f - \overline{f}))|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \text{var}(g) \cdot \text{var}(S^r (f - \overline{f})) \leq \text{var}(f - \overline{f}),
$$
  
\n(5)

so it is enough to prove (3) for  $\vec{f}$ 's. By changing conveniently the power n in (3), we may assume  $\bar{f}$  is  $v_{n=0}^M (S^n (\alpha \times \eta))$ -measurable for some M. Hence  $\bar{f}$  can be expressed as  $\bar{f} = \sum_{\sigma} f_{\sigma} 1_{A_{\sigma}}$ , where  $A_{\sigma} = \{(X, T'X, T'^2X, \cdots, T'^M X) = \sigma\}$  and  $f_{\sigma}$ is a B-measurable function that agrees with  $\bar{f}$  on  $A_{\sigma}$ . If  $\bar{f} = \sum f_{\sigma} 1_{A_{\sigma}}$  is a sum of an infinite number of terms, replace it by a sum  $\overline{f}$  of a finite sub-collection that is close to  $\bar{f}$  in  $L_2$ . By a computation like (5), it is enough to prove (3) for f replaced by an arbitrary component  $f_{\sigma}$  1<sub>A<sub>a</sub></sub>. Since 1<sub>Aa</sub> is independent of (g, f<sub>a</sub>),  $Cov(g, S^{n}(f_{\alpha} 1_{A\alpha})) = E(1_{A\alpha}) \cdot Cov(g, S^{n}f_{\alpha})$ , and we obtain finally that (3) is implied by (5) for  $B$ -measurable  $f$ 's. From now on,  $f$  is such a function.

Denote  $V_n = X + T'X + T'^2X + \cdots + T'^{n-1}X$ .

(6) 
$$
\operatorname{Cov}(g, S^n f) = \operatorname{Cov}(g, T^{\vee n} f) = \sum_{k} \operatorname{Cov}(g, T^k f) P(V_n = k).
$$

By the lemma, for fixed  $M > 0$ , the expression in (6) can be made (as n becomes large) arbitrarily close to

(7)  
\n
$$
\sum_{m,i} \text{Cov}(g, T^{mM+i}f) \cdot (1/M) \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} P(V_n = mM + j) =
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{m,j} P(V_n = mM + j) \text{Cov}(g, (1/M) \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} T^{mM+i}f) =
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{m,j} P(V_n = mM + j) \text{Cov}(g, T^{mM}((1/M) \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} T^i f)).
$$

The last expression is bounded in absolute value by Var $((1/M)\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} T^i f)$ , that can be made arbitrarily small by picking  $M$  large enough, since  $T$  is ergodic. We finished the proof that S is a k-aut, on  $e_{\alpha}$ .

# Vol. 19, 1974 SKEW-PRODUCTS 269

By theorem (13.4) in [4], to prove that S is a k-aut, on  $B' \times B$ , it would be enough to show the existence of a sequence  $(\alpha_n)^*_{n+1}$  of finite partitions of  $\Omega$  in B for which  $v_{m}^{*}$  = - $\sqrt{s^{(n)}(\eta \times \alpha_n)}$  increase to an algebra that spans  $B' \times B$ .

Let  $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an increasing (i.e., successive refinements) sequence of finite partitions of  $\Omega$  in B for which  $\vee_{m}^{x} \times (T^{m}\beta_{n})$  increase to an algebra that spans B. If  $\eta$  is a countably *infinite* partition of  $\Omega$ , let  $\alpha_n = \beta_n$ . If  $\eta$  is a *finite* partition. then the random variable  $X$  is essentially bounded and it assigns probability one to a bounded set of integers diameter (some)  $d > 0$ . Let  $\alpha_n = \nu_{m=0}^d T^m \beta_n$ .  $\alpha_n$ is a "d-sweeping" of  $\beta_n$ .

To prove that  $v_n v_k S^k$  ( $\eta \times \alpha_n$ ) = B' × B, it is enough to check that it contains all sets A that belong to  $\sqrt{M}$   $\pi$   $\pi$ <sup>*m*</sup> $\alpha$ <sub>n</sub> for some *M*, some *n*. And it does, since it contains for every N the set  $A \cap C_N$ , with  $(P' \times P)(C_N) \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . and  $C_N$  is the event: For the random walk  $(V_n)_n^*$  , whose increments are distributed like X and  $V_0 = 0$ : "{ $-M, -M + 1, \dots, +M$ }  $\subseteq \bigcup_{n=-N}^{N} \{V_n\}$ " (if we are in the recurrent case) or

$$
``\{-M-d,-M-d+1,\cdots,-M\}\cap \bigcup_{n=-N}^{N} \{V_n\} \neq \phi
$$

and

$$
\{M, M+1, \cdots, M+d\} \cap \bigcup_{n=-N}^{N} \{V_n\} \neq \phi
$$

(if we are in the " $d$ -sweeping" case).

COROLLARY. *Assume T to be totally ergodic. Assume X to be non-*  -deterministic, and if it assigns probabilty one to no finite set, assume its random walk to be recurrent on the integer lattice where it lives. Then S is a *k-automorphism.* 

PROOF. For some integer *a*,  $P(X = a) > 0$ . Let  $d = g.c.d$ .  ${n \neq 0}$   $P(X = a + n) > 0$ . Then the integer valued random variable  $Y =$  $(1/d)(X - a)$  is strongly aperiodic. Apply to it the Lemma as in the proof of the Theorem, and express  $T^{V_{n}}f = T^{n_{n}}(T^{d})^{V^{n}}f$  (where *V'n* are the partial sums of the *Y's*), thus finishing the proof since  $T<sup>d</sup>$  is ergodic.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my thanks to M. Smorodinsky and B. Weiss for their invaluable help.

### **REFERENCES**

1. R. L. Adler and P. Shields. *Skew products of Bernoulli shifts with rotations,* Israel J. Math. 12 (1972), 215-222.

2. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on Ergodic Theory,* Chelsea, 1956.

3. D. Ornstein, and 1,. Sucheston, *An operator theorem on L. convergence to zero with applications to Markot" Kernels,* Ann. Math. Statist, 41 (1970), 163t-1639.

4. V. A. Rohlin, *Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure,*  Russian Math. Surveys, 22 (1967),No. 5, 1-52.

5. F. Spitzer, *Principles of Random Walk.* Van Nostrand, 1964.

6. B. Weiss. *The isomorphism problem in ergodic theory.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.. 78 (1972), 668-684.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS TEl. AvIV UNIVERSITY RAMAT-AVIV, ISRAEL