

Leprosy among primary school children

P. Thirumalaikolundusubramanian, M.D. and J.S. Prince, M.B.B.S.

Of the 6371 Primary School children examined at Vriddachalam Taluk (Tamilnadu), 173 (2.7%) were found to have leprosy. The sex ratio in the affected group of boys and girls was 3 : 2. over ninety percent of the cases had tuberculoid leprosy and only 0.5 percent had lepromatus leprosy. History of contact with leprosy was present in 450 (7%)³ children. This includes the 173 who had clinical manifestations. Thirtyone parents of the 173 afflicted children were literates. Fourteen of them knew that their children were suffering from leprosy.

Key words : Childhood leprosy; prevalence.

Leprosy is a universal problem. Leprosy patients in India account for one fifth of total cases in the world and Tamil Nadu has a major share of it with approximately 8,00,000 patients.¹ Leprosy is highly endemic in Tamil Nadu². A significant number of leprosy patients are children and adolescent.³ Leprosy in the child reflects to some extent all the aspects of the disease in the adult with additional features of its own; timely treatment will prevent deformities and infectivity, and permit in a majority of cases a complete recovery, practically free of any sequelae in a relatively short period. Reactional complications and poor intolerance of anti-leprosy drugs are infrequent at this age.⁴ The prevalence of leprosy among primary school children exposed to the disease in an endemic area are brought out here.

Material and Methods

6371 primary school children residing in 10 subcentres of Vriddachalam taluk (South Arcot District, Tamil Nadu)

Reprint request : Dr. P.T.K. Subramanian, 5, Ramiah Street, Shenoy Nagar Madurai-625020

were analysed for the prevalence of leprosy. The data collected included age of the individual, sex, evidence of leprosy, history of contact with cases of leprosy, occupational status and literacy of the parents, and the knowledge of leprosy among the parents of the diseased children.

Results

173 (2.7%) children were found to have leprosy (Table I). The sex ratio in the affected group of boys and girls was 3:2. Cases were seen in all ages. Over ninety nine percent of them had non lepromatous type of lesions and only 0.5 percent had lepromatus type (Table II). History of contact with leprosy was present in 450 children (7%) (Table III).

Table I : Leprosy in children

	Number examined	Number found to have leprosy	%
Boys	3723	113	3
Girls	2648	60	2.2
Total	6371	173	2.7

Table II : Type of lesion

	LL	TL	B	I	N	Total
Boys	1	101	—	11	—	113
Girls	—	59	—	1	—	60
	1 (0.5)	160 (92.5)	—	12 (6.9)	—	173

Figure in the parentheses indicate percentage. LL. — Lepromatous leprosy, T.I. — Tuberculoid leprosy, B—Borderline, I— Indeterminate, N - Polyneuritic

Table III : Contact with leprosy cases

Total number of children	Contact with			Total
	LL	N,LL	N	
6371	81 (1.2)	80 (1.2)	219 (4.6)	450 (7)

LL -Lepromatous leprosy; NLL—non-lepromatous leprosy; N—normal, Figures in parentheses indicate percentage

This included the 173 who had clinical manifestations. Thirty one parents of the 173 afflicted children were literates, 14 parents knew that their children were suffering from leprosy and 12 of them were aware of the fact that leprosy is an infectious disease

Discussion

The cursory references to leprosy in a standard texts on pediatrics, even in those from tropical countries give the impression that leprosy is very rare in children and does not enter into the differential diagnosis of chronic dermatoses or the peripheral neuropathies but this is not very true.⁵ The prevalence of leprosy in children vary from 0.4 to

1.32 percent in various previous studies from India.⁷ The present study however shows a higher prevalence (2.7%). Prevalence rate among children aged 5 to 14 yr in Northern Nigeria (Katsina), Burma (Shweb) and Burma (Myincyan) were 3.28 3.3 and 4.02 percent respectively.⁴

The sex ratio of the affected group of boys and girls was 3:2 to the number examined, where as it was 7:4 and 9:5 in the series of Ganapati and Parikh³ and Chodankar¹⁰ respectively. Cochrane and Vandyka Carter lend support to the theory that females have probably a higher resistance to overcome the disease after having shown the initial signs. Noussitout et al⁴ consider that there is no significant difference in leprosy prevalence between sexes in children.

In the present series 92.5 percent were tuberculoid type and 0.5 percent were lepromatous type of leprosy (Table II) in contrast to the report by Ganapati et al⁹ where in it was 94.1 percent and 2.8 percent respectively. Of the leprosy cases diagnosed in the WHO leprosy BCG trail 65 percent of them were tuberculoid and 35 percent indeterminate.¹¹ Lepromatous cases are uncommon before 15 yr of age⁴. History of contact with a known case was obtainable in 450 (7%) children (Table III). This includes the 173 who had clinical manifestations. Kapoor¹² has emphasized that the child infection rate amongst contacts is much higher than in the general community. He had shown that 100 percent of the cases in the 0 to 5 age group in the community were from amongst contacts. When diagnosis of leprosy is made in a child, there is more probability of finding the source in the family than in the case of an adult³. Most authors believe that contact with a leprosy case necessary to

Table IV : Prevalence of leprosy in children (school surveys)

Studies	Place of study	Prevalence
Vedadri (1969) ⁶	Tindivanam (Tamil Nadu)	1%
Thirumaikolu- ¹ ndusubramanian et al (1979)	Sankarapuram (Tamil Nadu)	0.4%
Muliyil et al ⁶	Vellore	1.35%
Ganapati et al (1976)	Bombay	
Present Report	Vriddachalam (Tamil Nadu)	2.7%

produce a new infection, but opinions about the nature and duration of such contact are far from unanimous.⁴

It is often difficult for the parents to accept the diagnosis of leprosy because of the regular absence of conspicuous signs associated with leprosy in children.⁴ 14 parents (8%) of the 173 afflicted children are aware of the fact that their children were suffering from leprosy. Children suffering from leprosy and their parents, relatives or responsible persons must be made aware of leprosy and the necessity for treatment as parents and family members play a major role for the successful execution of field work, regular follow up and for drug intake.

School children from a significant proportion of the population (25%) in which early detection, treatment and evaluation can be carried out with relatively greater ease.⁸ Health care of children can not be ignored as child health is nations wealth and health status of children is an index for national development and its future manpower potential³. School surveys may be considered as a case finding method in a

locality.¹⁴ The value of school survey as a case finding method will be considerably diminished if school enrolment is less than 70 percent of all children.⁴

In countries where leprosy constitutes a seizable public health problem, medical and paramedical personnel as well as educational authorities, school teachers and others must be aware of the possible existence of leprosy among children and the importance in early diagnosis and treatment.

Acknowledgement

The help of Dr. R. Ganapati, Medical Director, greater Madras Leprosy Treatment and Health Education Scheme, Madras and Dr. H. Sansarricq, Chief Medical Officer, Leprosy Division WHO, are sincerely acknowledged.

References

1. Job C K : Immunology and the changing profile of leprosy. Cochrane endowment lectures, 1978
2. DGHS. All India leprosy directory, Ministry of Health and family Planning New Delhi, 1965
3. Ganapati R, Parikh A C : Prevalence of leprosy among children in Greater Bombay. *Pediatr Clin India* 6:13, 1971.
4. Noussitou F M, Sansarricco H, Walter J, Browns S G : *Leprosy in children*, WHO Geneva, 1976
5. Browne S G : *Introduction in leprosy in children*. Edited by Noussitou F M et al WHO Geneva 1976
6. Vedari V : The importance of school survey in an urban area in control of leprosy. (Abstract) *Lepr India* 3:202, 1969
7. Thirumalaikolundusbramanian P, Palani-velue K M, Prithivi V : Rural schools and health status of rural primary school children. *Indian Pediatr* 16:1097, 1979
8. Muliyil J, Joseph A, Selvapandian A J, Martin G : Findings of school survey in an

- endemic area. Abstract No II-3 XI Biennial Conference of the Indian Association of Leprologists, Madras, 1979
9. Ganapati R. Naik S S. Acharekar MYY, Pandya S S : Leprosy endemicity in Bombay. An assessment through survey of Municipal Schools. *Lep Rev* 47:127, 1976
 10. Chodankar V P : A statistical study of 1000 leprosy cases attending the Acworth Leprosy Home, Bombay, 1962
 11. Bechelli, L.M., Carbajosa P C, Cyi M.G., Domingues V M, Quagliato R : Site of early skin lesions in children with leprosy. *Bull WHO* 48:160 873
 12. Kapoor P : Edidemiological survey of leprosy in Maharashtra State. *Lep India* 35:83; 1963
 13. Dhingara D. C : Health status of school of various socio economic group. *Indian Pediatr* 14:103 1977
 14. WHO Expert committee on Ledrosy Third report. *WHO Tech Rep Ser* 319: 1966