

Soliton Surfaces.

II. – Geometric Unification of Solvable Nonlinearities (*).

A. SYM (**)

Istituto di Fisica dell'Università - 00185 Roma, Italia

(ricevuto il 3 Gennaio 1983)

PACS. 02.40. – Geometry, differential geometry and topology.

PACS. 02.30. – Function theory, analysis.

Summary. – Two applications of the concept of soliton surfaces are discussed. Firstly, soliton surfaces can serve as a territory of unification of four types of solvable nonlinearities: 1) soliton, 2) strings, 3) spins and 4) chiral models. It is conjectured that models 2), 3) and 4) associated with a given soliton system are gauge equivalent to this soliton system. Secondly, an explicit construction of the soliton surface associated with a given soliton solution gives simultaneously the corresponding solutions to models 2), 3) and 4). Using the Hilbert-Riemann problem technique a construction of N -soliton surfaces is described. Examples including new soliton systems are given.

The concept of soliton surfaces was introduced in ⁽¹⁾. These surfaces can be associated with a broad class of soliton systems. This association is a generalization of the well-known connection between pseudospherical surfaces in E^3 and the sine-Gordon equation ^(2,4). The Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi (GMC) system of the theory of submanifolds of flat spaces ⁽⁵⁾ when applied to the soliton surfaces of a given soliton system is reducible to that soliton system. It proves a geometric nature of solitons.

Here we discuss two applications of soliton surfaces. Firstly, we show soliton surfaces are a proper territory of unification of four types of solvable nonlinearities:

(*) Research supported in part by Polish Ministry of Science, Higher Education and Technology. Grant M.R.I.7.

(**) On leave of absence from Institute of Theoretical Physics of Warsaw University, ul. Hoża 69, 00-681, Warsaw, Poland (present address).

⁽¹⁾ A. SYM: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, **33**, 394 (1982).

⁽²⁾ G. L. LAMB: in *Bäcklund Transformations*, edited by R. M. MIURA (Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, N. Y., 1976).

⁽³⁾ L. P. EISENHART: *A Treatise on the Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces* (New York, N. Y., 1960).

⁽⁴⁾ R. SASAKI: *Phys. Lett. A*, **71**, 390 (1979); R. SASAKI: *Nucl. Phys. B*, **154**, 343 (1979).

⁽⁵⁾ L. P. EISENHART: *Riemannian Geometry* (Princeton, N. J., 1949).

1) solitons, 2) strings, 3) spins and 4) chiral models. We conjecture that models 2), 3) and 4) geometrically unified with a given soliton system are also gauge-equivalent to that system. Secondly, as a result of the unification an explicit construction of a soliton surface associated with a given soliton solution gives simultaneously the corresponding solutions to models 2), 3) and 4) (geometric way to solve nonlinear models). Using the Hilbert-Riemann problem technique^(6,7), we describe general N -soliton surfaces (N -surfaces). An algorithm to construct N -surfaces is a purely algebraic (algebra of projectors) and the passage from N -surface to $(N + 1)$ -surface is a geometric interpretation of Bäcklund transformations⁽¹⁾ in the spirit of old geometry^(3,9). Very recently this sample technique allowed one to find new vortex motions of high complexity⁽⁸⁾. As an illustration of these concepts we give examples including new soliton systems *e.g.* 3-field extension of the sine-Gordon equation and of the Lund-Regge-Pohlmeyer-Getmanov system⁽¹⁰⁾ as well.

The conventions used in this paper are: $x = x^\mu = (x^1, x^2)$ and $\psi_{,\mu} = \partial\psi/\partial x^\mu$ etc. Consider a soliton system for the fields $\psi^A(x)$ ($A = 1, 2, \dots, f$) with the associated linear problem

$$(1) \quad \Phi_{,\mu} = g_\mu \Phi,$$

where

$$g_\mu(x, \zeta) = \gamma_\mu[\psi^A(x), \psi^A_{,\nu}(x), \dots; \zeta]$$

belongs to a d -dimensional, real, semi-simple Lie algebra g of $(n \times n)$ -matrices, provided ζ (spectral parameter) is real, while the wave function $\Phi = \Phi(x, \zeta)$ is a $(n \times n)$ -matrix function with values in G (Lie group of the Lie algebra g). For a given soliton field ψ^A solving eq. (1) gives the corresponding wave function $\Phi(x, \zeta)$ and the ζ -family of soliton surfaces associated with ψ^A is given by⁽¹⁾

$$(2) \quad g \ni r = r(x, \zeta) = \Phi^{-1}(x, \zeta) \Phi_{,\zeta}(x, \zeta).$$

Soliton surfaces are embedded into g treated as a flat $R^d(+ + \dots -)$ space equipped with the Killing-Cartan scalar product⁽¹¹⁾ $x \cdot y$ ($x, y \in g$). The independent variables x^1, x^2 become co-ordinates upon surfaces (2).

Soliton surfaces (2) define (and also are defined by) the metric and the second fundamental forms denoted by I and II^{*a*} ($a = 1, 2, \dots, d - 2$), respectively⁽⁵⁾,

$$(3) \quad \text{I} = ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x, \zeta) dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

$$(4) \quad \text{II}^a = \bar{a}^a_{\nu\mu}(x, \zeta) dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (a = 1, 2, \dots, d - 2).$$

From (2) the metric can be easily calculated in terms of the matrices of the linear

(6) S. V. MANAKOV, S. P. NOVIKOV, L. P. PITAEVSKY and V. E. ZAKHAROV: *Theory of Solitons* (Moscow, 1980).

(7) D. LEVI, O. RAGNISCO and M. BRUSCHI: *Nuovo Cimento A*, **58**, 56 (1980).

(8) D. LEVI, A. SYM and S. WOJCIECHOWSKI: *N-solitons on vortex filament*, preprint, Rome University, Istituto di Fisica, No. 321, 20 December 1982.

(9) L. BIANCHI: *Lezioni di geometria differenziale* (Pisa, 1922).

(10) F. LUND and T. REGGE: *Phys. Rev. D*, **14**, 1524 (1976).

(11) B. GWYBORNE: *Classical Groups for Physicists* (New York, N. Y., London, Sydney and Toronto, 1974).

problem (1):

$$(5) \quad g_{\mu\nu}(x, \zeta) = g_{\mu,\zeta}(x, \zeta) \cdot g_{\nu,\zeta}(x, \zeta),$$

while, generally, the forms (4) admit more complicated formulae, see (1). In the further discussion we choose $g = su_{p,q}$ ($p + q = n$) and assume the following structure of the linear problem (1):

$$(6a) \quad g_1 = \zeta i h_1 + k_1[\psi^A(x), \psi^A_{,v}(x), \dots],$$

$$(6b) \quad g_2 = \omega(\zeta) i h_2 + k_2[\psi^A(x), \psi^A_{,v}(x), \dots; \zeta],$$

where $i h_m$ ($m = 1, 2$) are constant and commuting elements of $su_{p,q}$ with $i h_m \cdot i h_m < 0$ ($m = 1, 2$), $\omega \in R$ if $\zeta \in R$ and for $\psi^A = 0$ (identically) $k_m = 0$ ($m = 1, 2$). In this case it is convenient to equip $su_{p,q}$ with a new scalar product: $(x, y) = x \cdot y / i h_1 \cdot i h_1$. Of course, eq. (5) should be changed correspondingly.

The soliton surfaces (2) corresponding to a given soliton field ψ^A equipped with the co-ordinate system x^μ (independent variables) carry some geometric fields: 1) $g_{\mu\nu}(x, \zeta)$ and $d^a_{\mu\nu}(x, \zeta)$ ($a = 1, 2, \dots, d - 2$), 2) co-ordinate curves $x^2 = \text{const}$, 3) tangent vector $r_{,1}(x, \zeta)$ and 4) normal vectors $n^a(x, \zeta)$ ($a = 1, 2, \dots, d - 2$).

The idea of geometric unification of solvable nonlinearities consists in a proper identification of the above geometric fields as solutions to some physical nonlinear models. Table I summarizes this idea (ζ -dependence is omitted). We recall the Gauss-Weingarten (GW) equations describe the kinematics of a moving frame upon a sub-manifold of an affine space (5).

TABLE I. - Geometric unification of solvable nonlinearities.

	Geometric field	Physical meaning	Dynamics (kinematics for strings)
1	fundamental tensors $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ $d^a_{\mu\nu}(x)$ ($a = 1, 2, \dots, d - 2$)	soliton fields (gauge equivalent to original soliton fields ψ^A)	GMC equations
2	co-ordinate curve $x^2 = \text{const}$ $r = r(x^1, x^2)$	string at a time x^2	gauge transformation from moving (on sur- face) frame to Frenet- Serret frame
3	Tangent vector $r_{,1}(x^1, x^2)$	Spin field	From GW equation
4	Normal vectors $n^a(x^1, x^2)$ ($a = 1, 2, \dots, d - 2$)	Chiral fields	From GW equation

Some explanations are in order. Firstly, we note that

$$(7) \quad g_{11} = (g_{1,\zeta}, g_{1,\zeta}) = (i h_1, i h_1) = 1,$$

that is

$$(8) \quad I = ds^2 = (dx^1)^2 + 2g_{12} dx^1 dx^2 + g_{22} (dx^2)^2.$$

The above-introduced string may be interpreted as a generalization of the Lamb-Hasimoto curves⁽¹²⁾. For details see⁽¹³⁾. From eq. (8) we have $ds^2|_{x^2=\text{const}} = (dx^1)^2$. Therefore, we can put $x^1 = s$ (arc length parameter along the string). Then if we identify x^2 as t (time), the soliton surface equation (2) reads $r = r(s, t)$ and we are in a position to state that the soliton surface $r = r(s, t)$ is swept out by its Lamb-Hasimoto curve. The identification $r_{,1}$ as a spin field S is reasonable since $(S, S) = (r_{,1}, r_{,1}) = g_{11} = 1$.

The following examples of the above unification scheme are presented in a rather concise way. All of them concern the su_2 -ZS-AKNS linear problem^(6,14) (except for the Liouville equation (12)). Soliton surfaces (for particular choices of ζ) are all embedded into $su_2 = E^3$. For each example the most interesting ingredients of the unification are listed (by the numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the sense of table I).

A) 3-field extension of the sine-Gordon equation

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{,12} = \sin \omega - \varphi_{,1}\psi_{,2}/\sin \omega, \\ \varphi_{,12} = \omega_{,1}\psi_{,2}/\sin \omega, \\ \psi_{,12} = \varphi_{,1}\omega_{,2}/\sin \omega. \end{cases}$$

This is a soliton system: its linear problem can be easily calculated using the standard geometric techniques⁽¹⁵⁾.

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \text{I} = (dx^1)^2 + 2 \cos \omega dx^1 dx^2 + (dx^2)^2, \\ \text{II} = \text{II}^1 = \varphi_{,1}(dx^1)^2 - 2 \sin \omega dx^1 dx^2 + \psi_{,2}(dx^2)^2. \end{cases}$$

A2) For the sine-Gordon equation ($\varphi, \psi = \text{const}$) the Lamb-Hasimoto curve has a constant torsion (Beltrami-Enneper theorem^(3,9,13)).

A3) O_3 -invariant 2-spin model: $S = r_{,1}, T = r_{,2}$.

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} S_{,2} = T \times S & (\text{skew product of } E^3\text{-vectors}), \\ T_{,1} = -S \times T. \end{cases}$$

A4) For the sine-Gordon equation n (normal) solves the O_3 -invariant relativistic σ -model equation⁽¹⁶⁾.

B) Elliptic Liouville equation

$$(12) \quad \varphi_{,11} + \varphi_{,22} = -2 \exp \varphi.$$

B1)

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \text{I} = \exp[-\varphi][(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2], \\ \text{II} = (dx^1)^2 - (dx^2)^2. \end{cases}$$

⁽¹²⁾ G. L. LAMB: *J. Math. Phys.*, **18**, 1654 (1977).

⁽¹³⁾ A. SYM: *Soliton theory is surface theory*, preprint IFT(11) (1981).

⁽¹⁴⁾ M. J. ABLOWITZ, D. J. KAUP, A. C. NEWELL and H. SEGUR: *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **53**, 249 (1974).

⁽¹⁵⁾ F. LUND: *Ann. Phys.*, **115**, 251 (1978).

⁽¹⁶⁾ K. POHLMAYER: *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **46**, 207 (1976).

B3) O_3 -invariant 2-spin model: $S = r_{,1} \exp [\varphi/2]$, $T = r_{,2} \exp [\varphi/2]$.

$$(14) \quad S_{,2} = S \times (T_{,2} \times T), \quad T_{,1} = T \times (S_{,1} \times 1).$$

B4) The normal n solves the O_3 -invariant Euclidean σ -model equation as an antiinstanton solution. We mention that in this case soliton surfaces are minimal with the total curvature (topological charge) negative.

C) Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$(15) \quad i\psi_{,2} + \psi_{,11} + \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$

C1)

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \text{I} = (dx^1)^2 + q^2(dx^2)^2, \\ \text{II} = q(dx^1)^2 - 2q\varphi_{,1} dx^1 dx^2 + (\frac{1}{2}q^3 - q\varphi_{,2})(dx^2)^2, \end{cases}$$

where $\psi = \varrho \exp [i\varphi]$.

C2) The motion of the vortex filament in the so-called localized induction approach ^(12,18) is given by

$$(17) \quad r_{,2} = r_{,1} \times r_{,11}.$$

It is worthwhile mentioning that the vortex filament at any instant of time continues to be a geodesic of its soliton surface ⁽¹³⁾.

C3) The tangent vector $r_{,1} = S$ solves the 1-dimensional continuous Heisenberg ferromagnet equation ⁽¹⁹⁾

$$(18) \quad S_{,2} = S \times S_{,11}.$$

We conjecture that the models unified in the above-described way are all gauge-equivalent. It is suggested not only by particular examples, but also by the existence of the so-called Pohlmeyer transformations ^(1,16,20).

The geometric way mentioned at the beginning to find exact solutions to models 2) 3) and 4) consists in a construction of soliton surfaces (2). In the Hilbert-Riemann problem version of the inverse method ^(6,7) a general formula for a matrix wave function of N -soliton solution has been derived ⁽⁷⁾. It is a product of $N+1$ matrices and N of them are built from projectors $P_k(x)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$) which can be constructed in an inductive way. This result allows us to write down a general formula for N -soliton surfaces (N -surfaces) $r_N = r_N(x, \zeta)$ in the case of our interest ($su_{p,q}$ linear problem (6)).

For instance, the O -surface is a 2-dimensional plane, carrying Euclidean geometry, spanned by $i\hbar_m$ ($m = 1, 2$) matrices of the linear problem (6):

$$(19) \quad r_0 = x^1 i\hbar_1 + \omega'(\zeta) x^2 i\hbar_2.$$

⁽¹⁷⁾ A. A. BELAVIN and A. M. POLYAKOV: *Pis'ma Ž. Éksp. Teor. Fiz.*, **22**, 503 (1975).

⁽¹⁸⁾ H. HASIMOTO: *J. Fluid Mech.*, **51**, 477 (1972).

⁽¹⁹⁾ M. LAKSHMANAN: *Phys. Lett. A*, **61**, 53 (1977).

⁽²⁰⁾ S. J. ORFANIDIS: *Phys. Rev. D*, **21**, 1513 (1980).

Generally, the N -surface is given by

$$(20) \quad r_N = \sum_{k=1}^N s_k + r_0,$$

$$(21) \quad s_k = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \zeta_k}{|\zeta - \zeta_k|^2} \Phi_{k=1}^{-1} i \left(P_k - \frac{d_k}{n} \right) \Phi_{k-1},$$

where ζ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are discrete and x^2 -invariant eigenvalues of the scattering problem (6a) localized in the upper half-plane of the complex plane, Φ_k are SU_{2q} wave functions of the k -soliton solution and $d_k = \operatorname{Tr} P_k = \dim \operatorname{Im} P_k$.

It is interesting to point out that eq. (20) is a generalization of the Bianchi-Lie transformation of the classical differential geometry (^{1,3,9}). Originally, this transformation has been introduced as a surface-geometric analog of the Bäcklund transformation for the sine-Gordon equation. Like in the classical Bianchi-Lie transformation the vectors s_k are of constant (Euclidean) length

$$|s_k| = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} \zeta_k}{|\zeta - \zeta_k|^2} \sqrt{d_k \left(1 - \frac{d_k}{n} \right) / \operatorname{Tr} h_1^2}.$$

Finally, we mention that formula (20) for $N = 2$ when applied to the non-linear Schrödinger equation gives a new vortex motion of a high complexity (⁸).

* * *

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. F. CALOGERO for his immutable hospitality during my stay at the Istituto di Fisica at Rome University, where part of this work was carried out. Special thanks are due to D. LEVI for innumerable valuable discussions throughout this work.