

Reviews

Intraamniotic infection with fusobacteria

W. Chaim and M. Mazor

Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Soroka Medical Center, P.O. Box 151, and the Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84101, Israel

Received November 26, 1990/Accepted June 20, 1991

Summary. A literature search produced ten studies in which *Fusobacterium* was cultured from amniotic fluid in women with preterm labor and intact membranes or with preterm premature rupture of membranes (PROM). *Fusobacterium* was isolated in 9.9% (9/91) of positive amniotic fluid cultures in women with preterm PROM and in 28.3% (17/60) of positive amniotic fluid cultures in women presenting with preterm labor and intact membranes. *Fusobacterium* plays a previously unrecognized role in the pathogenesis of premature labor and delivery. Amniotic fluid culture for anaerobes, specifically *Fusobacterium*, is suggested for all women who present with premature labor and intact membranes and do not respond to tocolytic drugs.

Key words: Intraamniotic infection – *Fusobacterium* – Preterm labor – Premature rupture of membranes

Introduction

Intrauterine infection may be associated with preterm labor and delivery [11, 16, 18–20]. More than a decade ago, Bobitt and Ledger [2] were the first to present microbiological data suggesting that unrecognized amnionitis may be causally related to premature labor and delivery. Recently, a review of the available literature had found that the mean rate of positive amniotic fluid cultures in women with preterm labor and intact membranes was 13.5% (46/359) [18]. Moreover, in women presenting with preterm premature rupture of membranes (PROM), the prevalence of positive amniotic fluid cultures was significantly higher than in women with preterm labor and intact membranes, namely 28.5% (178/625) vs. 13.5% (46/359), respectively [18]. Indirect evidence suggests that microorganisms commonly gain access to the amniotic cavity from the vagina and cervix [18]. Indeed a large variety of vaginal organisms (commonly of the *Fusobacterium* species) have been identified in amniotic fluid of

women with preterm labor and intact membranes and in women with preterm PROM. In a recent study, *Fusobacterium* was isolated from 5 out of 111 patients who delivered prematurely, positive amniotic fluid cultures being obtained in 21.6% (24 patients) [21].

The purpose of this communication is to review the literature for studies that examine the relationship between intraamniotic infection with *Fusobacterium* species and preterm labor and delivery.

Methods

We did a Medline search of the literature (1966 to 1989) for studies reporting intraamniotic colonization with *Fusobacterium* species and preterm labor and delivery. The studies described in this review were included only if amniotic fluid was obtained by transabdominal amniocentesis. We also did not include any study in which the method of amniotic fluid collection was not clearly stated [17]. Case reports were not included if they presented no data about prevalence of intraamniotic infection in the local population [6]. Data were extracted by two different authors and with a discrepancy in the interpretation of the results, a third investigator was consulted.

Results

We identified ten studies [5, 7, 8, 12, 20, 21, 25–28] in which *Fusobacterium* was cultured from amniotic fluid obtained by amniocentesis in women with preterm labor and intact membranes or with preterm PROM.

Table 1 displays the results of the three studies in women with preterm PROM [5, 7, 20]. The prevalence of positive amniotic fluid cultures was 26.1% (91/348). *Fusobacterium* was isolated in 9.9% (9/91) of the cases with positive amniotic fluid culture and in 2.6% from all cases (9/348). In three out of nine patients the type of *Fusobacterium* was not stated [5, 7].

Garite and Freeman [7] conducted a study on patients with preterm PROM and in 86 cases accurate bacterial cultures were available for analysis. In only one out of twenty patients with positive amniotic fluid culture was *Fusobacterium* identified. No specific details regarding the maternal and neonatal outcome of that pregnancy were reported.

Table 1. Intraamniotic infection with *Fusobacterium* in patients with preterm PROM

| Author (reference) | Year | Gesta- tional age (weeks) | No of Amnio- centeses | Positive cultures | | Fusobacterium | | |
|------------------------|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------|---------------|------|------|
| | | | | n | % | n | % | Type |
| Garite and Freeman [7] | 1982 | 28–34 | 86 | 20 | 23.3 | 1 | 5.0 | NS |
| Cotton et al. [5] | 1984 | 27–36 | 41 | 6 | 14.6 | 2 | 33.3 | NS |
| Romero et al. [20] | 1988 | 25–32 | 221 | 65 | 29.4 | 6 | 9.2 | sp. |
| Total | | | 348 | 91 | 26.1 | 9 | 9.9 | |

NS = Not stated

In 1984, Cotton et al. [5] reported the results of 41 cases with preterm PROM in which an amniocentesis was performed to obtain fluid for culture and Gram stain. In two out of six patients with positive amniotic fluid cultures was *Fusobacterium* identified. In the first case, *Fusobacterium* grew with *Bacteroides melanogenicus*, *Peptostreptococcus* and *Hemophilus vaginalis*. The Gram stain examination revealed occasional Gram positive bacilli and Gram positive cocci in pairs without white blood cells. This patient had clinical chorioamnionitis and postpartum endometritis but no neonatal sepsis. In the second case, *Bacteroides*, *Diphtheroids* and *Fusobacterium* grew. Gram staining showed occasional Gram positive coccobacilli, few Gram negative bacilli and few white blood cells. As with the previous case there was chorioamnionitis, postpartum endometritis and no neonatal sepsis.

Recently, Romero et al. [20] performed amniocentesis on 221 patients presenting with preterm PROM. From six out of 65 positive cultures was *Fusobacterium* isolated. In 4 of these cases *Fusobacterium* was the only microorganism identified. The microorganisms isolated from the other two cases were *Streptococcus agalactiae* in one case and *Bacteroides fragilis* and *Mycoplasma hominis* in the other. The colony count was more than 10^5 cfu/ml in two of the six cases.

Table 2 list the relevant details of the 7 studies in which *Fusobacterium* was isolated from amniotic fluid of women presenting with preterm labor and intact membranes [8, 12, 21, 25–28]. The overall prevalence of positive amniotic fluid

Table 2. Intraamniotic infection with *Fusobacterium* in patients with preterm labor and intact membranes

| Author (reference) | Year | Gesta- tional age (weeks) | No of Amnio- centeses | Positive cultures | | Fusobacterium | | |
|-----------------------|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------|---------------|------|--|
| | | | | <i>n</i> | % | <i>n</i> | % | Type |
| Wallace-Herrik [26] | 1981 | 26–34 | 25 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 | sp. ^a |
| Wahbeh et al. [27] | 1984 | <35 | 33 | 7 | 21.2 | 3 | 42.9 | nucl. ^a gonid. nucl. |
| Weible-Randall [28] | 1985 | 24–34 | 35 | 1 | 2.9 | 1 | 100 | nucl. |
| Leigh-Garite [12] | 1986 | <37 | 59 | 7 | 11.9 | 3 | 42.9 | nucl. nucl. nucl. |
| Gravett et al. [8] | 1986 | <35 | 54 | 13 | 24 | 2 | 15.4 | nucl. nucl. |
| Romero et al. [21] | 1989 | <36 | 264 | 24 | 9.1 | 5 | 20.8 | sp. ^b sp. ^b sp. sp. |
| Skoll et al. [25] | 1989 | 20–35 | 127 | 7 | 5.5 | 2 | 28.6 | sp. sp. |
| Total | | | 597 | 60 | 10 | 17 | 28.3 | |

Other microorganisms isolated: ^a *Bacteroides* sp.; ^b *Ureaplasma urealyticum*

Table 3. Clinical data on the patients with intrauterine infection with *Fusobacterium*

| Case no | Authors (reference) | Gestat. age | Gram stain | WBC | cfu/ml | Tocolysis | Maternal complications | Neonatal complications |
|---------|------------------------|-------------|---|-----------|--------|-----------|---|--|
| 1 | Garite and Freeman [7] | NS | NS | NS | - | | NS | NS |
| 2 | Cotton et al. [5] | 27-36 | Rare Gram pos. bacilli, rare Gram pos. cocci in pairs | None | - | | Amnionitis Endometritis NS | None NS |
| 3 | | NS | Rare Gram pos. coccobacilli, few Gram neg. bacilli | Few | - | | Amnionitis Endometritis | None NS |
| 4 | Romero et al. [20] | 25-32 | NS | 20000 | >10 | | | |
| 5 | | - | NS | 1000 | | | | |
| 6 | | - | NS | - | | | | |
| 7 | | - | NS | - | | | | |
| 8 | | - | NS | - | | | | |
| 9 | | - | NS | - | | | | |
| 10 | Wallace-Herrik [26] | 28 | NS | NS | | Failed | None | Appar score: 7/8, no sepsis, alive |
| 11 | Wahbeh et al. [27] | 28 | 1+ Gram neg. rods | 1+ | >10 | Not given | Chorioamnionitis | Alive, RDS, sepsis, suspected necrotizing enterocolitis |
| 12 | | 29 | NS | 1+ | | Failed | None | Alive, RDS, sepsis, suspected necrotizing enterocolitis |
| 13 | | 29 | Negative | NS | <10 | Failed | None | Alive, RDS, sepsis, suspected necrotizing enterocolitis |
| 14 | Weible-Randall [28] | NS | NS | Neutroph. | NS | NS | Puerperal fever | Alive, RDS, sepsis, suspected intraventricular hemorrhage |
| 15 | Leigh-Gartie [12] | 31 | Gram neg. bacilli | Few | | Failed | Puerperal hemorrhage, sepsis, DIC, ARDS | Transient tachypnea HMD, PDA, A/B, CNS bleeding, jaundice |
| 16 | | 26 | Gram neg. bacilli | Moderate | | NS | None | HMD, pulmonary edema, CNS bleeding, A/B, jaundice |
| 17 | | 30 | Gram neg. bacilli | Moderate | | NS | Puerperal fever | Thrombocytopenia, granulocytopenia, jaundice |
| 18 | Grawett et al. [8] | 28 | Gram neg. rods | 1+ | Rare | Failed | Chorioamnionitis | None |
| 19 | | 35 | Negative | 1980 | <10 | Failed | Chorioamnionitis | None |
| 20 | Romero et al. [21] | NS | Positive | Negative | 5000 | Not given | NS | NS |
| 21 | | NS | Positive | Positive | 10 | Not given | NS | NS |
| 22 | | NS | Negative | Positive | >2500 | NS | NS | NS |
| 23 | | NS | Positive | Positive | + | Not given | NS | NS |
| 24 | | NS | Positive | Positive | 20 | Not given | NS | NS |
| 25 | Skoll et al. [25] | 20-35 | NS | NS | NS | Given | NS | None |
| 26 | | 20-35 | NS | NS | NS | Given | NS | None |

RDS = respiratory distress syndrome; DIC = disseminated intravascular coagulation; ARDS = adult respiratory distress syndrome; HMD = hyaline membrane disease; PDA = patent ductus arteriosus; A/B = apnea/bradycardia; CNS = central nervous system; NS = not stated

cultures was 10% (60/597). In 17 out of the 60 cases (28.3%) with positive cultures was *Fusobacterium* isolated [8, 12, 21, 25–28]. The most common type was *Fusobacterium nucleatum* [47% (8/17)]. Eight patients grew *F.* species and one *F. gonydiaformans*. Seventeen of the intraamniotic infections were monomicrobial [76.4% (13/17)]. Remaining four polymicrobial, *Ureaplasma urealyticum* (two cases) and *Bacteroides* species (2 cases) being isolated.

Table 3 shows all the relevant clinical data available in the 26 women with *Fusobacterium* intraamniotic infection. The gestational ages at amniocentesis ranged from 20 to 35 weeks. The interval between amniocentesis often not clearly stated, ranged from the same day to the same week [20, 26–28]. The results of a Gram stain were available in 14 out of the 26 cases, and there was good correlation between Gram stain results and the white blood cells count. In only two studies [8, 21] was there a discordance between the results. Tocolysis was unsuccessful in 6 out of 8 reported cases. In the remaining cases there was no information about tocolysis. Three patients had clinical chorioamnionitis and three others had postpartum fever. Serious sepsis, disseminated intravascular coagulation and adult respiratory distress syndrome occurred in only one case [12].

Discussion

Several studies have emphasized the importance of anaerobic infection in the pathogenesis of premature labor [9–11, 13, 18–21]. Easterling and Garite [6] reported three cases of premature labour and occult amnionitis due to *Fusobacterium* infection and the purpose of the present study was to review the literature on this association.

Fusobacterium nucleatum is the most common species in human infection. *Fusobacterium* is a slow growing, anaerobic, Gram negative rod. It is an oropulmonary pathogen that rarely inhabits the cervix and vagina, but when not aggressively treated, can produce metastatic abscesses [22]. In a quantitative analysis of the vaginal flora performed on 118 specimens from 68 non pregnant women of reproductive age, *Fusobacterium* was isolated from 11 specimens (9%) [3]. *Fusobacterium* is generally less virulent than *Bacteroides* and usually appears in mixed infections. All strains of *Fusobacterium* are sensitive to treatment with high doses of Penicillin-G. Ampicillin is less effective, but Metronidazole and Clindamycin are both effective at low doses [3, 22].

Various observations indicate that the vagina harbors an average of 10^8 – 10^9 bacteria/g of secretions, anaerobes being most prevalent. The flora of vagina and cervix are similar [3, 14].

Fusobacterium seems to play a previously unrecognized role in the pathogenesis of premature labor. The presence of *Fusobacterium* in amniotic fluid cultures of patients with premature labor and intact membranes was significantly higher than in patients with preterm premature rupture of membranes, 28.3% (17/60) vs. 9.9% (9/91), respectively. Therefore, we suggest that amniotic fluid should be cultured for anaerobes, specifically for *Fusobacterium*, in all women presenting intact membranes and premature labor unresponsive to tocolysis.

As shown in Table 3, maternal morbidity in chorioamnionitis due to *Fusobacterium* is relatively low. Anaerobic infection of the amniotic cavity develops more than three weeks earlier than non-anaerobic infection [6], and those preterm infants, born to women with anaerobic amnionitis are subject to a greater degree of prematurity-associated morbidity [1].

The initiation of premature labor or PROM by intraamniotic infection has been the subject of recent investigation. *Fusobacteria* have a high phospholipase A₂ content and may precipitate labor by enhancing prostaglandin synthesis [4, 15, 23]. Recently another mechanism has been postulated involving Interleukin 1 and Tumor Necrosis Factor which may be produced in response to bacterial infection and can stimulate prostaglandin production by amnion and decidua [24]. Ascending microbial invasion results in activation of the macrophage-monocyte system and secretion of cytokines and enzymes.

We found no perinatal mortality. However, several cases were complicated by perinatal morbidity (Table 3). The high rate of neonatal complications listed in Table 3 can mainly be attributed to low gestational age and birth weight rather than to neonatal infection. The reason for *Fusobacterium* infection is not known, but may reflect the virulence of this microorganism.

References

1. Altshuler G, Hyde S (1988) Clinicopathologic considerations of fusobacteria chorioamnionitis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 67:513–517
2. Bobitt JR, Ledger WJ (1977) Unrecognized amnionitis and prematurity: a preliminary report. *J Reprod Med* 19:8–10
3. Bartlett JG, Polk BF (1984) Bacterial flora of the vagina: quantitative study. *Rev Infect Dis* 6:567–572
4. Bejar R, Curbelo V, Davis C et al. (1981) Premature labor: II. Bacterial sources of phospholipase. *Obstet Gynecol* 57:479–482
5. Cotton DB, Hill LM, Strassner HT et al. (1984) Use of amniocentesis in preterm gestation with ruptured membranes. *Obstet Gynecol* 63:38–43
6. Easterling TR, Garite TJ (1985) *Fusobacterium*: anaerobic occult amnionitis and premature labor. *Obstet Gynecol* 66:825–828
7. Garite TJ, Freeman RK (1982) Chorioamnionitis in the preterm gestation. *Obstet Gynecol* 59:539–545
8. Gravett MG, Hummel D, Eschenbach DA, Holmes KK (1986) Preterm labor associated with subclinical amniotic fluid infection and with bacterial vaginosis. *Obstet Gynecol* 67:229–237
9. Gibbs RS, Blanco JD, St Clair PJ, Castaneda YS (1982) Quantitative bacteriology of amniotic fluid from women with clinical intraamniotic infection at term. *J Infect Dis* 145:1–8
10. Gibbs RS, Blanco JD, Lipscomb K, St Clair PJ (1985) Asymptomatic parturient women with high-virulence bacteria in the amniotic fluid. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 152:650–654
11. Ledger WJ (1989) Infection and premature labor. *Am J Perinatol* 6:234–236
12. Leigh J, Garite TJ (1986) Amniocentesis and the management of premature labor. *Obstet Gynecol* 67:500–506
13. Larroche JCl, Helffer GP, Beaudoin M (1981) *Bacteroides fragilis*. Contamination materno-placento-foetale. *Arch Fr Pediatr* 38:41–45
14. Levison ME, Cornman LC, Carrington ER, Kaye D (1977) Quantitative microflora of the vagina. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 127:80–85
15. Lamont RF, Rose M, Elder MG (1985) Effect of bacterial products on prostaglandin E production by amnion cells. *Lancet* II:1331–1333
16. Minkoff H (1983) Prematurity: infection as an etiologic factor. *Obstet Gynecol* 62:137–144

17. Miller JM, Pupkin MJ, Hill GB (1980) Bacterial colonization of amniotic fluid from intact fetal membranes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 136:796–804
18. Romero R, Mazor M (1988) Infection and preterm labor. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 31:553–584
19. Romero R, Mazor M, Oyarzun E (1988) Role of intraamniotic infection in preterm labor. *Contemporary Obstet Gynecol* 2:84–106
20. Romero R, Quintero R, Oyarzun E et al. (1988) Intraamniotic infection and the onset of labor in premature rupture of membranes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 159:661–666
21. Romero R, Sirtori M, Oyarzun E et al. (1989) Infection and Labor. V. Prevalence, microbiology and clinical significance of intraamniotic infection in women with preterm labor and intact membranes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 161:817–824
22. Ryan KJ (1984) Clostridia, gram negative anaerobes and anaerobic cocci. In: Sherris JC (ed) *Medical microbiology, an introduction to infectious diseases*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 200–204
23. Romero R, Emamian M, Quintero R et al. (1986) Amniotic fluid prostaglandin levels and intraamniotic infections. *Lancet* II:1380
24. Romero R, Oyarzun E, Mazor M (1989) Mechanism at work when infection triggers preterm labor. *Contemporary Obstet Gynecol* 1:2–10
25. Skoll MA, Moretti ML, Sibai BM (1989) The incidence of positive amniotic fluid cultures in patients in preterm labor with intact membranes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 161:813–816
26. Wallace RL, Herrick CN (1981) Amniocentesis in the evaluation of premature labor. *Obstet Gynecol* 57:483–486
27. Wahbeh CJ, Hill GB, Eden RD, Gall SA (1984) Intraamniotic bacterial colonization in premature labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 148:739–743
28. Weible DR, Randall HW (1985) Evaluation of amniotic fluid in preterm labor with intact membranes. *J Reprod Med* 30:777–780