THE EFFECT OF ALKALI METAL SALTS PROMOTED MgO CATALYSTS FOR THE OXIDATIVE COUPLING OF METHANE

Ho-Joon Seo and Eui-Yeon Yu*[†]

Department of Chemical Engineering, Yusu National Fisheries University, Yusu 550-749, Korea *Department of Chemical Engineering, Chonnam National University, Kwangju 500-757, Korea *(Received 28 October 1994 • accepted 11 May 1995)*

Abstract-Catalytic activities of the alkali metal salts are discussed based on experimental observations in a fixed bed flow reactor at atmospheric condition and instrumental analysis. LiCI (30 wt%) and NaCI (30 wt%) promoted MgO catalyst showed superior activity to mono alkali metal salts promoted MgO catalysts based on the C_2 yield. This suggests that the bialkali metal salts neutralize the nonselective acid sites due to synergistic effect. Moreover, it is estimated that the active sites is $O⁻$ ions.

Key words: Oxidative Coupling of Methane, Alkali Metal Salts, MgO

INTRODUCTION

Conversion of methane is an actively studied process to C_2 hydrocarbons [Amenomiya et al., 1990; Ito et al., 1985; Otsuka, 1987]. Methane is one of alternative energy sources for petroleum. So far, C_2 hydrocarbons have mainly been produced by MTG process, which is a gasoline production from methanol using ZSM-5 catalyst. The methanol is produced from syngas which is obtained from the steam reforming process of methane or produced Fisher-Tropsh process. Therefore, MTG process is rather inefficient process considering the high capital cost for production of syngas. The fact that OCM can directly produce more C_2 hydrocarbons from methane than the intricated MTG process has attracted a forcus. However, it is thermodynamically difficult to convert methane directly to C_2 hydrocarbons because methane molecular is a stable compound which high C-H bond dissociation energy, 104 kcal/mole. In order to overcome this difficulty, Gibbs free energy should be lowered using oxidants such as O_2 , N_2O , CO_2 etc. Moreover, to get both conversion as high as 35% and C_2 selectivity more than 88% [Joseph, 1993], control problem of the selectivity between C_2 hydrocarbons and CO_x should be overcome. Therefore, development of superior catalysts is essential. It has been knowned that many metal oxides have catalytic activity [Lee and Oyama, 1988] for OCM since Keller and Bhasin [1982] have published on oxidative coupling of methane to C_2 hydrocarbons over metal oxide catalysts for the first time in 1982.

This study tries to look into the active site of catalysts and catalytic activities of alkali metal salts promoted MgO catalysts by means of both reaction experiments at atmospheric condition in a fixed bed flow reactor and instrumental analyses such as FT-IR, SEM, BET, etc.

EXPERIMENTAL

1. Catalyst Preparation

The catalysts used in this study were magnesium oxide catalysts impregnated with NaCI, LiCI, KCI by Slurry method ELin

Fig. I, Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus.

- 1. $O₂$ cylinder
- 2. CH₄ cylinder
- 3. N_2 cylinder
- 4. Pressure regulator
- 5. Needle valve
- 6. Silica trap
- 7. Capillary flow meter
- 8. Mixing chamber
- 9. Electric furnace
- 10. Reactor 11. Thermocouple
	-
	- 12. Temperature controller 13. Temperature recorder
	-
	- 14. Gas sampler
	- 15. G.C.
	- 16. Data processor
	- 17. Bubble trap
- et al., 1988]. Reagents were extra pure grades. Alkali salts and MgO were mixed into deionized water homogeneously by stirring. The slurry was heated until all the water was evaporated. The thick paste was then completely dried at 125C in an oven for 24 hours, followed by the calcination in the electric furnace (K. K. Sanyo, Japan) under air for 6 hours at 900C. Subsequently, it was grinded into the size of between 170 and 200 mesh.

2. Apparatus and Methods

A schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus is shown-

^{*}To whom all correspondences should be addressed.

Table 1. Effect of alkali metal promoters on catalytic activity

Catalyst	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)				Yield $(\%)$					
	CH4	U2	C_2H_4	C_2H_6	CO.	CO ₂	C ₂	$\rm{C_2H_4}$	C_2H_6	CO.	CO ₂
MgO	3.79	35.60	7.48	28.12	9.95	54.45	1.35	0.28	1.07	0.38	2.06
LiCl $(30 \text{ wt\%})/\text{MgO}$	32.33	55.84	49.51	6.32	9.39	34.77	18.05	16.01	2.04	3.04	11.24
NaCl $(30 \text{ wt\%})/MgO$	20.32	61.12	47.26	13.87	16.78	22.10	12.42	9.60	2.82	3.41	4.49
NaCl $(60 \text{ wt\%})/MgO$	13.01	63.63	42.31	21.33	15.76	20.60	8.28	5.50	2.77	2.05	2.68
[LiCl (30 wt%) + NaCl (30 wt%)]/MgO	30.30	61.37	54.20	7.17	12.76	25.87	18.60	16.42	2.17	3.87	7.84
KCl $(30 \text{ wt\%})/\text{MgO}$	18.28	55.49	32.40	23.09	1.63	42.88	10.14	5.92	4.22	0.30	7.84

Reaction Condition: Reaction Temp.=730°C, CH₄/O₂ molar ratio=2 mol CH₄/mol O₂, W/F=1.7×10⁻⁴ g-cat-hr/ml.

ed in Fig. L The reactor has an I.D. of 11 mm and length of 400 mm. It is made of stainless steel. The reactor was maintained at a certain desired temperature with an accuracy of ± 1 °C by a K-type thermocouple and P-controller (Eunsuk Co., Model CIS-021, Korea). The temperature was recored. Sea sand was mechanically mixed with catalysts as a diluent before being packed in the reactor, which not only distributes the catalyst bed temperature uniformly, but also reduces the pressure drop through the packed bed. Reactant used in this way are methane (Daiho San Gyp Co., Japan), oxygen(Sin-il gas Co., Korea), and nitrogen (Sinil gas Co., Korea). All of them have purity of 99.99%. The composition of gaseous reactants was controlled using a pressure regulator attached to each gas cylinder. The reactant car. be mixed completely in mixing chamber after passing through a silica trap. Effluent was analyzed with an on line G.C. (Shimadzu Co., Model 8AT, Japan) in which Porapak Q and Molecular sieve 5A column were linked in parallel. Total flow rate was maintained at 50 ml/min. Partial pressure of mathane and oxygen was 0.16 atm and 0.08 atm respectively in all experiments and $CH₄/O₂$ molar ratio was stoichiometric. The instruments used to analyze the characteristics of catalysts were FT-IR (Mattson, Polaris/Icon, USA), SEM (Jeol Co., JSM-35C, Japan) and BET (AVSA, ASAP 2000, Micromeritics).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The conversion, selectivity and yield is defined to analysis activity of the catalysts as follows.

- % Conversion of methane= (converted moles of methane to product/input moles of methane) $\times 100$
- % Selectivity of product= (moles of desired producl/converted moles of methane to product) $\times 100$
- % Yield = (moles of desired product/input moles of methane) \times 100

Table 1 shows the activity of magnesium oxide impregnated alkali metal salts. The carrier of magnesium oxide produces mainly carbon dioxide. But magnesium oxide catalysts promoted with alkali metal salts increase the formation of C_2 hydrocarbons. The highest conversion to C_2 hydrocarbons was obtained with magnesium oxide impregnated bialkali promoter, NaCI (30 wt%) mixed with LiC1 (30 wt%), among the catalysts. The increase in the formation of C_2 hydrocarbons strongly suggests that acid sites are neutralized due to the addition of alkali metal salts, proposed by Parida et al. [1991] and Maitra et al. [1992].

Fig. 2 shows the result of FT-IR analysis, and thickness of pellets were made same. The amount of Brönsted acid site, shown

Fig. 2. FT-IR spectra of various catalysts,

a: MgO, b: NaCl (60 wt%)/MgO, c: NaCl (30 wt%)/MgO, d: LiCI (60 wt%)/MgO, e: [LiCI (30 wt%)+NaCl (30 wt%)]/ MgO

in FT-IR spectrum, -OH absorption peak at 3435.43 cm⁻¹, is well consistant with $[LiCl(30 wt\%) + NaCl(30 wt\%)]/MgO>NaCl$ (30 $wt\%$)/MgO>MgO based on the C₂ hydrocarbons yield. It seems that the yield of C_2 hydrocarbons increased because the many base sites of O^- ions were produced on the catalytic surface as the proton, H⁺, was eliminated from -OH. Ruckenstein et al. [1993] suggested that the synergic effect by superbasicity formed by addition of bialkali metal salts increased the formation of selective C_2 hydrocarbons based on XPS analysis and experiment. Roos et al. [1989] proposed that this active sites be responsible for producing CH₃ radicals.

Fig. 3 shows morphology of the catalyst surface taken by SEM.

Fig. 3. SEM photographs of MgO catalysts with different promoters.

A: MgO, B: NaCl (30 wt%)/MgO, c: [LiCl (30 wt%)+NaCI (30 wt%)]/MgO

Table 2. Some physicochemicai eharaterisics of the MgO supported alkali metal compounds after 6hr's calcination at 900~

Catalyst	Surface area (m^2/g)	Alkali metal compounds				
		loading ratio (wt $\%$)				
MgO	3.8931 ± 0.0709					
NaCl/MgO	1.3529 ± 0.0510	-30				
$[LiCl + NaCl]/MgO$	7.1421 ± 0.1755	$30 + 30$				

In comparison to magnesium oxide carrier and magnesium oxide catalyst promoted NaCI (30 wt%), the magnesium oxide catalyst promoted bialkali metal salts, NaCl (30 wt%) mixed with LiCl (30 wt%) is shown to have smaller and spherical particles uniformly distributed on the surface of catalyst. Moreover, the bialkali metal salts promoted magnesium oxide catalyst seems to be composed of amorphous crystals.

The bialkali metal salts promoted magnesium oxide catalyst seemed to increase C_2 selectivity because distorted lattices [Iwamatsu et al., 1988] and substituting Mg^{2+} in lattice with Li^{+} ion [Ito et al., 1985] would bear many basicity of O^- and/or O^2 [Jun, 1992] on the catalytic surface. These particles and crystals seems to act as effective active sites.

BET surface areas are listed Table 2. It seems that the surface area has no effect on the activity of catalyst.

NOMENCLATURE

 C_2 : C_2H_4 and C_2H_6 CO_x : CO and/or $CO₂$ OCM : oxidative coupling of methane

REFERENCES

Amenomiya, Y., Birss, V. I., Goledzinowski, M., Galuszka, J. and Sanger, A. R., "Conversion of Methane by Oxidative Coupling",

CataL Rev.-&q. Eng., 32(3), 163 (1990).

- Ito, T., Wang, J.-X., Lin, C.-H. and Lunsford, J. H., "Oxidative Dimerization of Methane over a Lithium-promoted Magnesium Oxide Catalyst", *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 107, 5062 (1985).
- Iwamatsu, E., Moriyama, T., Takasaki, N. and Aika, K. I., "Oxidative Coupling of Methane over Na⁺- and Rb⁺-Doped MgO Catalysts",]. *CataL,* 113, 25 (1988).
- Joseph M. Fox III, "The Differents Catalytic Routes for Methane Valorization: An Assessment of Processes for Liquid Fuels", *Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng.,* 35(2), 169 (1993).
- Jun, H.-J., "Catalysis an Introduction", Han Rim Won, 1992.
- Keller, G. E. and Bhasin, M. M., "Synthesis of Ethylene via Oxidative Coupling of Methane", *J. CataL,* 73, 1 (1982).
- Lee, J.S. and Oyama, S.T., "Oxidative Coupling of Methane to Higher Hydrocarbons', *Catal. Rev.-Sci, Eng.,* 30(2), 249 (1988).
- Lin, C.-H., Wang, J.-X. and Lunsford, J.H., "Oxidative Dimerization of Methane over Sodium-promoted Calcium Oxide", *J. Catal.,* 111, 302 (1988).
- Maitra, A. M., Campbell, I. and Tyler, R.J., "Influence of Basicity on the Catalytic Activity for Oxidative Coupling of Methane', *AppL Catal. A; General.. g5,* 27 (1992).
- Otsuka, K., "Direct Conversion of Methane to Higher Hydrocarbons", *Sekiyu Gakkaishi,* 30(6), 385 (1987).
- Parida, K. M. and Rao, S. B., "Importance of Specific Surface Area and Basic Sites of the Catalyst in Oxidative Coupling of CH4 over LiO/MgO Catalysts Prepared by Precipitation Methods", *React. Kinet. CataL Lett.,* 44(l), 45 (1991).
- Roos, J.A., Korf, S.J., Veehof, R. H.J.. Ommen, J. G. V. and Ross, J. R. H., "Kinetic and Mechanistic Aspects of the Oxidative Coupling of Methane over a Li/MgO Catalyst", *Appl. Catal.*, 52, 131 (1989).
- Ruckenstein, E. and Khan, A.Z., "Effect of Superbasic Catalysts Prepared by Promoting MgO with Bialkali Metal Compounds on the Oxidative Coupling of Methane". J. *Catal.,* 141. 628 (1993).