# **GENERIC ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF VECTOR FIELDS ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS**

# *by* **J. SOTOMAYOR**

# INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present a study on the theory of the topological variation of the phase space of one-parameter families of vector fields (differential equations, flows). This theory, also called bifurcation theory, has been developed since H. Poincaré from several points of view; see, for example,  $\lbrack 1, 2, 3, 4 \rbrack$ . Here, we will be mainly interested in a collection of one-parameter families of vector fields which has the following properties: *a)* it is large with respect to all the families, and *b)* its elements exhibit a topological variation which is amenable to simple description.

Collections with properties  $a$ ) and  $b$ ) are currently called " generic "; they were introduced in the global qualitative analysis of differential equations by M. Peixoto [7], S. Smale [9] and I. Kupka [12]. See S. Smale [IO] for a thorough survey on this topic.

In this work we restrict ourselves to the case of two-dimensional compact manifolds, where a very complete characterization of the set  $\Sigma$  of structurally stable vector fields has been given by M. Peixoto [8]. The way  $\Sigma$  is imbedded in the space  $\mathfrak X$  of all vector fields and the study of " generic " one-parameter families of vector fields are closely related. A vector field is structurally stable if its phase space does not change topologically under small perturbations; a one-parameter family of vector fields exhibits the simpler phase space topological variation the larger the intersection it has with  $\Sigma$ , or equivalently, the smaller the intersection it has with its complement  $\mathfrak{X} - \Sigma$ .

In this paper, in Part I, we define a set  $\Sigma_1$ , densely contained in  $\mathfrak{X}-\Sigma$ . We prove that  $\Sigma_1$  is an immersed Banach submanifold of codimension one in the Banach manifold  $\mathfrak X$ . Also, we describe the variation of the phase space of vector fields in a neighborhood of  $\Sigma_1$ . In Part II, we prove that the " generic " one-parameter families of vector fields meet  $\Sigma_1$  transversally at points where they are not vector fields of Kupka-Smale [12, 11]. See Theorems 1 (5, Part I) and 2 (1, Part II) for a precise and complete statement of these results.

Whether or not  $\Sigma_1$  coincides with the " regular (differentiable, or even Hölder) part " of codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}-\Sigma$  immersed in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , remains an important non-trivial

problem, related to delicate questions of *"* closing lemma " type [8, 20], involving the innermost structure of recurent trajectories.

The conception of the submanifold  $\Sigma_1$  was motivated by [14], where we treated the concept of first order structural stability, introduced by Andronov and Leontovich [13]. Part I extends the results of [14].

In section 6 of Part I we comment on first order structural stability and relate it to  $\Sigma_1$ . In section 3 of Part II we define the concept of structural stability for parametrized families of vector fields and formulate some related conjectures.

In a forthcoming paper some of the methods, results and concepts of the present paper are pursued to manifolds of higher dimension.

The main results of this work answer questions raised by M. Peixoto. We are most grateful to him, to S. Smale and to I. Kupka for fruitful conversations and manifold aid.

An announcement of the results in this work appeared in *BAMS.,* 1968, Vol. 74, No. 4. Pictures and references appear at the end of the paper.

# I. - THE SUBMANIFOLD  $\Sigma_1^r$

# **I. Prel;m;n~ies**

Let  $\mathfrak X$  be a Banach manifold of class  $C^{\infty}$  defined as in [15, p. 16], i.e.,  $\mathfrak X$  is locally homeomorphic to an open set of some Banach space, the changes of coordinates being  $C^{\infty}$  functions.

*Definition*  $(x, x)$ . -- A subset  $S \subset \mathcal{X}$  is said to be an *imbedded* Banach submanifold of class C' and codimension k of  $\mathfrak X$  if every  $p \in S$  has a neighborhood N where a C'-function  $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is defined so that:

*a)*  $Df_p : \mathfrak{X}_p \to \mathbb{R}^k$ , the derivative of *f* at *p*, is onto, and *b)*  $f^{-1}(0) = N \cap S$ .

*Definition*  $(x, z)$ . -- SCX is said to be an *immersed* Banach submanifold of class C<sup>\*</sup> and codimension k of  $\mathfrak X$  if there is a sequence  $\{S_i\}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots$ , of imbedded Banach oo submanifolds of class C' and codimension k of  $\mathfrak X$  such that  $S_{\mathfrak x} \subset S_{i+1}$  and  $S = \bigcup S_i$ .

It follows from the Implicit Function Theorem [15, p. 15] that a submanifold S, as defined in (1.1), has an atlas of class C' which makes the inclusion  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  an imbedding in the usual sense  $\lceil 15, p. 20 \rceil$ . Also, if S is an immersed submanifold in the sense of  $(1.2)$ , the union of the atlases of  $S_t$  defines on S an atlas, which makes it a manifold and makes the inclusion  $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  a one-to-one immersion in the usual sense [15, p. 19]. In this work the Banach submanifolds will be defined through  $(1.1)$  and  $(1.2)$ .

Let  $M^2$  be a compact two-dimensional  $C^{\infty}$  differentiable manifold. Denote by  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ the space of tangent vector fields of class  $C^r$  defined on  $M^2$ , endowed with the  $C^r$ -topology.  $\mathfrak{X}'$  is a Banach manifold in the sense of [15]; its atlas is given by the collection of identity mappings of  $\mathfrak{X}^r$  Banached by the C'-norms associated to finite coverings of  $\mathbf{M}^2$  by compact coordinate neighborhoods.

If  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\varphi_X : M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to M^2$  will denote the flow generated by X;  $\varphi_X$  is characterized by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_X(p, t) = X(\varphi_X(p, t)), (p, t) \in M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi_X(p, 0) = p$ ,  $\varphi_X(p, 0) : \mathbb{R} \to M^2$ is the *orbit* of X passing through  $p$ ; the image of an orbit, oriented but with no distinguished parametrization, is a *trajectory* of X.

*Definition* (**1.3).** -- X and  $Y \in \mathcal{X}$  are said to be *topologically equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism of  $M^2$  onto itself mapping trajectories of X onto trajectories of Y. If X has a neighborhood N in  $\mathfrak{X}^r$  such that X is topologically equivalent to every Y $\in \mathbb{N}$ , then it is called *structurally stable.* 

The set of structurally stable vector fields will be denoted by  $\Sigma^r$ ; its complement in  $\mathfrak{X}^r$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ . It has been shown by M. Peixoto [8] that  $\Sigma^r$  coincides with the collection of vector fields X such that

*a)* X has all its singular points and periodic trajectories generic;

*b)* X does not have saddle connections; and

*c*) the  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit sets of every trajectory of X are singular points or periodic trajectories.

The collection of vector fields X satisfying *a)* and *b)* have been studied by I. Kupka  $\lceil 12 \rceil$  and S. Smale  $\lceil 11 \rceil$  in a more general context; it will be denoted by  $\lceil K-S \rceil$ . For future reference we recall some definitions of [5].

*Definition*  $(I.4)$ . -- *a*) A trajectory of X is called *ordinary* if it has a neighborhood N in M<sup>2</sup> such that X | N is topologically equivalent to the horizontal field  $\frac{1}{\partial x_1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . A connected component of the (open) set of ordinary trajectories of X is called a *canonical region* of X.

*b) A critical region* of X is a neighborhood N of a generic critical element (i.e. singular point or periodic trajectory of X)  $\delta_X$ , such that for Y close to X, Y has only one critical element  $\delta_Y$  in N and  $\delta_Y$  is generic and of the same type of  $\delta$ . See [5, p. 144].

# **2. Periodic trajectories**

Since the evaluation map  $(X, \mathbf{p}) \mapsto X(\mathbf{p})$  is of class C' on  $\mathfrak{X} \times M^2$  [16, p. 25], it follows from [15, p. 94], taking X as parameter, that  $\varphi : \mathfrak{X}' \times M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to M^2$  defined by  $(x, p, t) \rightarrow \varphi_X(p, t)$  is of class  $C^r$ .

*Preliminary definitions* (2.1). - Let U and S be  $C^{\infty}$  arcs transversal to  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ; i.e.,  $U=u(I)$ ,  $S=s(I)$ , where u, s are  $C^{\infty}$  imbeddings of  $I=[-1, 1]$  into  $M^2$  such that  $u'(x)$  and  $X(u(x))$ , (resp.  $s'(x)$  and  $X(s(x))$ ) are linearly independent. Assume that  $u(0) = p$ ,  $s(0) = q$  and  $\varphi_X(p, \tau) = q$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be a system of coordinates around q; assume that  $x_1(q)=x_2(q)=0$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=X$ ,  $x_2 \circ s=Id$ , and  $x_1 \circ s=0$ . By continuity,  $x_1(\varphi_X(u,t))$ is defined in a neighborhood of  $(X, p, \tau) \in \mathfrak{X} \times U \times \mathbf{R}$ ; also,  $x_1(\varphi_X(p, \tau)) = 0$  and:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}x_1(\varphi_X(\rho,\tau))=1.
$$

By the Implicit Function Theorem, there is a unique function  $T : B_0 \times U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $T(X, p) = \tau$  and  $x_1(\varphi_Y(u, t)) = o$  for  $(Y, u) \in B_0 \times U_0$  only if  $t = T(Y, u)$ . Define  $\pi: B_0 \times U_0 \to S$  by  $\pi(Y, u) = \varphi_Y(u, T(U, u))$ ; thus,  $\pi$  as well as  $\pi_Y = \pi(Y, 0) : U_0 \to S$ are of class  $C^r$ . If  $\gamma$  is a periodic trajectory of period  $\tau$  of  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $p = q \in \gamma$ , and  $U = S$ ,  $\pi_X : U_0 \to U$  is called the *Poincaré transformation* associated to  $U_0$ , U, p,  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  is called *generic* if  $|\pi'_X(0)| + i$ ; if  $\pi'_X(0) = i$  and  $\pi^{(2)}_X(0) + 0$ , or if  $\pi'_X(0) = -i$  and  $(\pi_X^2)^{(3)}(0) + 0$ ,  $\gamma$  is called *quasi-generic*. The derivatives of  $\pi_X$  are computed in *u*-coordinates of U. It is easy to verify that the above definitions do not depend either on u or  $p \in \gamma$ . Also,  $\gamma$  is two sided (i.e. has a trivial normal bundle) if and only if  $\pi'_X(0) > 0$ .

*Proposition* (2.2). - Denote by  $Q_2$  the set of vector fields  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 3$  *such that:* 

- *I) X has one quasi-generic periodic trajectory as unique non-generic periodic trajectory.*
- *2) X has only generic singular points and does not have saddle connections.*
- $3)$  *The*  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit sets of any trajectory of X are singular points or periodic trajectories.

Then,  $Q_2$  is an immersed Banach submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ ; *furthermore, every*  $X \in \overline{Q_2}$  *has a neighborhood*  $B_1$  *in*  $Q_2$  *so that every*  $Y \in B_1$  *is topologically equivalent to X.* 

For the sake of reference, the concepts of generic singular points and saddle connection involved in the statement of  $(2.2)$ , are reviewed in  $(3.1)$  and  $(3.4)$ . The proof of (2.2) depends on several lemmas.

*Lemma*  $(z,3)$ . -- Let  $\gamma$  be a quasi-generic periodic trajectory of X. Then  $\gamma$  has a fundamental *system of closed neighborhoods*  ${N_{\Theta}}$ , *where*  $\Theta$  *is a small real number. If*  $\gamma$  *is one-sided* (resp. *two-sided)*  $\partial N_{\alpha}$  *is a closed curve* (resp. *the union of two closed curves) transversal to* X.

*Proof.* -- If  $\gamma$  is two sided, it has a tubular neighborhood diffeomorphic to a plane annulus N. Therefore X may be assumed to be a (plane) vector field on N. The conditions  $\pi'_X(0) = I$ ,  $\pi^{(2)}_X(0) \neq 0$  imply that  $\gamma$  is orbitally semi-stable, i.e.  $\gamma$  is the  $\alpha$ -limit set of the trajectories on one of its sides and the  $\omega$ -limit set of trajectories on the other side. By properly rotating  $X$  in N by a angle  $\Theta$ , two periodic trajectories of the rotated vector field are obtained. These trajectories are obviously transversal to X and bound a neighborhood  $N_{\Theta}$  of  $\gamma$ . This follows from [1, p. 18].

If  $\gamma$  is one sided, it has a tubular neighborhood diffeomorphic to a Moebius band N, with orientable double covering P:  $\tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ , where N is a plane ring. Call  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{X}$ the liftings of  $\gamma$  and X;  $\gamma$  as well as  $\tilde{\gamma}$  are orbitally stable or unstable depending on  $(\pi_X^2)^{(3)}(0)$ being negative or positive. In either case, by rotating  $\widetilde{X}$  of an angle  $\Theta$ , a periodic trajectory of the rotated vector field is obtained [1]. This trajectory and  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  bound an open set  $\widetilde{N}_{\Theta}$ . The  $N_{\Theta} = \text{Int}(P(\widetilde{N}_{\Theta}))$  give the desired system of neighborhoods.  $\partial N_{\Theta}$  is transversal to X by construction.

*Lemma* (**2.4**).  $\rightarrow$  *Let*  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , *have a quasi-generic periodic trajectory*  $\gamma_X$  *of period*  $\tau(X)$ *such that*  $\pi'_X(o) = I$  *and*  $\pi^{(2)}_X(o) \neq o$ .

*2* 

# IO J. SOTOMAYOR

Let  $\epsilon$  and  $T_0$  *be given positive numbers. Then there are neighborhoods* B of X and N of  $\gamma_X$ , and a  $C^{r-1}$  function  $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  *such that* 

- *i)*  $\partial N$  *is union of two closed curves*  $C_1$  *and*  $C_2$ *, transversal to every*  $Y \in B$ .
- 2) YEB has one periodic trajectory which is quasi-generic, contained in N if and only if  $f(Y)=o;$ if  $f(Y) \leq o$ , Y has two periodic trajectories, both generic, contained in N; if  $f(Y) \geq o$ , Y has *no periodic trajectory in* N. Furthermore,  $f(X)=o$  and  $df_X+o$ .
- 3) The period of any periodic trajectory of  $Y \in B$  contained in N is within  $\epsilon$  of  $\tau(X)$ . Also, *every trajectory of*  $Y \in B$  *meeting* N *spends there a time greater than*  $T_0$ .

*Proof.* -- Define  $G_1 : B_0 \times U_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $G_1(Y, u) = \pi(Y, u) - u$ , where  $\pi$ ,  $B_0$  and  $U_0$ are defined in (2.1).  $\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial t}(X, 0) = \pi_X'(0) - 1 = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 G_1}{\partial x^2}(X, 0) = \pi_X^{(2)}(0) + 0$ ; therefore, by the Implicit Function Theorem, there is a neighborhood B of X,  $B \subset B_0$ , and a unique  $C^{r-1}$  function  $G_2 : B \to U \subset U_0$  such that  $G_2(X) = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u}(Y, u) = \pi'_Y(u) - 1 = 0$ for Y $\in$ B, only if  $u = G_2(Y)$ .

For definiteness assume  $\pi_X^{(2)}(0) > 0$ ; the case  $\pi_X^{(2)}(0) \leq 0$  is similar. By continuity, it is possible to assume that B and U<sub>1</sub> satisfy  $\frac{\partial^2 G_1}{\partial u^2}(Y, u) > 0$ , for  $(Y, u) \in B \times U_1$ , and  $G_1(Y, x) > 0$ , for  $x \in \partial U_1$ .

Furthermore, U<sub>1</sub> may be taken so that  $U_1=U_0\cap N$  where  $N=N_\Theta$  (see (2.3)) for some small  $\Theta$ ; B may be taken so that every YEB is transversal to  $\partial N$ .

Define  $f(Y)=G_{1}(Y, G_{2}(Y))$ ; from the construction above, it follows that  $f(Y)$ is the minimum of  $\pi_Y(u)-u$ ,  $u \in U_1$ ; also,  $\pi_Y'(x) \leq I$  for  $x \leq G_2(Y)$  and  $\pi_Y'(x) \geq I$  for  $x>C_2(Y)$ . Thus,  $\pi_Y$  has one fixed point,  $G_2(Y)$ , only if  $f(Y)=0$ ; if  $f(Y)>0$ , it has no fixed point; if  $f(Y) \leq o$ , by the Intermediate Value Theorem, it has two fixed points, both generic, one on each side of  $G_2(Y)$ .

Obviously  $f(X) = 0$ ; we prove that  $df_X \neq 0$ .

$$
df_{X}(V) = \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial V}(X, 0) + \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial u}(X, 0) \frac{\partial G_{2}}{\partial V}(X) - \frac{\partial G_{2}}{\partial V}(X)
$$

$$
= \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial V}(X, 0), \text{ since } \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial u}(X, 0) = I.
$$

For  $V=g\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ , where  $(x_1, x_2)$  is the coordinate system in  $(2.1)$  and g is a bump function with support in  $|x_i| \leq \delta$ ,  $df_x(V) = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} g(x_1, 0) dx_1 + \epsilon_0$ . In fact,

$$
\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial V}(X, o) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \pi(X + \lambda V, o)|_{\lambda = 0} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \pi_X(\beta(\lambda))|_{\lambda = 0} = \beta'(o),
$$

where  $\beta(\lambda)$  is the solution of  $\tilde{z} = \lambda g(x_1, x_2)$  passing through  $x_1 = -\delta$ ,  $x_2 = 0$ ; the mir. expression for  $df_x(V) = \beta'(0)$  follows from a known formula for the derivative of solutions of differential equations depending on parameters [I5, p. 94].



FIG.  $2.1 -$  Quasi generic periodic orbit

This proves (1) and (2), since the fixed points of  $\pi_Y$  and the periodic trajectories of Y contained in N are in one-to-one correspondence;  $(3)$  is immediate by continuity, since it is satisfied for  $Y=X$ . See Fig. (2.1) for a graphical illustration.

*Remarks* (2.4.1),  $-$  *a)* Assume Y EB points inward (resp. outward) N on C<sub>1</sub> (resp.  $C_2$ ). Thus for  $f(Y)$  > 0 when Y has no periodic trajectory,  $\varphi_Y$  defines a C<sup>r</sup>-mapping  $\delta_Y: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ ; (3) implies that the arc of trajectory of Y joining m to  $\delta_Y(m)$ , me $C_1$ , spends in N a time greater than  $T_0$ . See Fig. (2.1).

b) If  $f(Y) \leq o$ , the  $\omega$  (resp.  $\alpha$ )-limit set of every trajectory of Y passing through  $C_1$ (resp.  $C_2$ ), is a periodic trajectory of Y contained in N. This is obvious by the Poincaré-Bendixon Theorem.

*c)* If  $M^2$  is endowed with a Riemannian metric and  $L_0>0$  is given, B of (2.4) may be taken so that the length of every arc of trajectory of Y,  $f(Y)>o$  joining m to  $\delta_Y(m)$ ,  $m \in C_1$ , is greater than  $L_0$ . This is obvious since the length of  $Y(\rho)$  is bounded away from zero in N, say greater than  $K>0$ , and the length of the trajectory is greater than  $T_0K$ .

*Lemma*  $(2.5)$ . -- *Call*  $Q_2(n)$  *the set of*  $X \in Q_2$  *(notation of*  $(2.2)$ ,  $(2.3)$ *) such that its quasi-generic periodic trajectory,*  $\gamma_X$ , *is two-sided and has period*  $\tau(X) \leq n$ .

 $Q_2(n)$  *is an imbedded Banach submanifold of class*  $C^{r-1}$  *and codimension one of*  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Also, every  $X \in Q_2(n)$  has a neighborhood  $B_1$  in  $Q_2(n)$  such that every  $Y \in B_1$  is topologically equi*valent to X.* 

*Proof.* -- Assume the notation in (2.4). Take  $\epsilon < n-\tau(X)$  and  $T_0>n$ . Call  $M_1^2$ the manifold with boundary  $M^2$ -Int N. For  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}$ , call  $Y_1 = Y \mid M_1^2$ .  $X_1$  is transversal to  $\partial M_1^2 = \partial N$ , has only generic periodic trajectories, and satisfies conditions (2) and (3) of (2.2). Since these conditions are open and characterize  $\Sigma^r$  in  $M_1^2$ , B may be taken so that  $Y_1$ ,  $Y \in B$ , is topologically equivalent to  $X_1$ ; denote by  $h_1(Y)$  the homomorphism of  $M_1^2$  onto itself mapping trajectories of  $X_1$  onto those of  $Y_1$ ;  $h_1(Y)$  can be arbitrarily close to the identity of  $M_i^2$  by properly reducing B. The above assertions follow from  $[5, 8]$ .

Thus if  $f(Y) \leq o$ , from  $(2.4)$  and the characterization of  $\Sigma^r$  it follows that  $Y \in \Sigma^r$ .

#### ~2 J. SOTOMAYOR

If  $f(Y)$  > 0 every periodic trajectory of Y (if any) which meets N has, by (2.4), period greater than  $T_0 > n$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(0) = B \cap Q_2(n)$ . If  $Y \in B \cap Q_2(n) = B_1$ ,  $h_1(Y)$  can be extended to  $M^2$ , mapping trajectories of X onto trajectories of Y.

This is done below, following [5, p. 153]. Call  $p_i \in C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the points of intersection of  $\partial N$  and U. Call  $\widetilde{p}_i = h_1(Y)(p_i)$ ; let  $\widetilde{U}$  be a C<sup>1</sup> arc close to U joining  $\widetilde{p}_1$ to  $\widetilde{p}_2$ .  $\widetilde{U}$  is transversal to  $\partial N$  and Y, for Y close to X, since then  $\widetilde{p}_i$  is close to  $p_i$ .

To extend  $h_1(Y)$  to  $h(Y)$  defined in Int N, map the trajectory of X through  $n_0 \in C_1$ (resp.  $C_2$ ) onto the trajectory of Y through  $\widetilde{n}_0 = h_1(Y)(n_0)$  in the following way.  $\varphi_X(n_0, t)$ and  $\varphi_Y(\widetilde{n}_0, t)$  meet, for  $t > 0$  (resp.  $t < 0$ ), Int U and Int  $\widetilde{U}$  respectively in monotonic sequences  $n_i$  and  $\widetilde{n}_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots$ , tending respectively to  $p=\gamma_x\cap U$  and  $\widetilde{p}=\gamma_y\cap\widetilde{U}$ . Map the arc  $\widehat{n_i n_{i+1}}$  (resp.  $\widehat{n_{i-1} n_i}$ ) onto  $\widehat{n_i \widetilde{n_{i+1}}}$  (resp.  $\widehat{n_{i-1} \widetilde{n_i}}$ ) by ratio of arc length, i.e., n is mapped to  $\widetilde{n}$  if  $\left| \widetilde{n,n} \right| / \left| \widetilde{n,n+1} \right| = \left| \widetilde{n} \widetilde{n} \right| / \left| \widetilde{n} \widetilde{n}_{i+1} \right|$  where the bars indicate arc length of the corresponding arc, measured in the positive sense from the left extreme of the arc. Finally, map  $\gamma_x = \rho \hat{q}$  onto  $\gamma_x = \hat{p} \hat{q}$  by ratio of arc length. Since every point of N belongs to one trajectory,  $h(Y)$  is a one-to-one mapping of N onto itself, sending trajectories of X onto those of Y.  $h(Y)$  is a homeomorphism; it is continuous outside of  $\gamma_X$  by standard continuity of trajectories on initial data, it is continuous on  $\gamma_X$  as in [5, p. 153] by a lemma in [5, P. I53] (this lemma will be used several times in this work, for the sake of reference it is stated in  $(3.9.1 b)$ . This ends the proof of  $(2.5)$ .

*Lemma* (**2.6**). — Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , *have a quasi-generic periodic trajectory*  $\gamma_X$  *of period*  $\tau(X)$ *such that*  $\pi^1_X(o) = -1$  *and*  $(\pi^2_X)^{(3)}(o) \neq o$ . *Then, given*  $\epsilon > o$ *, there are neighborhoods* B of X and N of  $\gamma_X$  and a  $C^{r-1}$  function  $f: B \to \mathbf{R}$  *such that*:

 $i)$   $\partial N$  *is a curve transversal to every*  $Y \in B$ .

2) YEB *has one periodic trajectory, which is quasi-generic and one-sided, contained in N if and only if*  $f(Y)=0$ ; *if*  $f(Y)>0$ , Y *has two periodic trajectories both generic, only one being one-sided, contained in* N; if  $f(Y) \leq 0$ ,  $Y \in B$  *has one one-sided periodic trajectory, which is generic, contained in* N. *Furthermore,*  $f(X)=o$  *and*  $df_x+o$ .

3) *A periodic trajectory of*  $Y \in B$  *contained in* N has period within  $\epsilon$  of  $\tau(X)$  if it is one-sided, and within  $\varepsilon$  of  $2\tau(X)$  if it is two-sided.

*Proof.* — Assume that  $(\pi_X^2)^{(3)}(0) \leq 0$ ; the case  $(\pi_X^2)^{(3)}(0) \geq 0$  is similar. Let  $G_1: B_0 \times U_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined, as in (2.4), by  $G_1(Y, u) = \pi(Y, u) - u$ .  $G_1(X, 0) = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial u}(X, 0) = \pi_X'(0) - 1 = -2$ . Therefore, by the Implicit Function Theorem, there is a neighborhood B of X,  $B \subset B_0$ , and a C' function  $k : B \to U_1 \subset U_0$  such that  $k(X) = 0$ and  $G_1(Y, k(Y)) = \pi_Y(k(Y)) - k(Y) = 0$ , for  $Y \in B$ . Thus  $k(Y)$  is the unique fixed point of  $\pi_Y$  contained in  $U_1$ .

By continuity, B and U<sub>1</sub> can be taken so that  $\pi'(u) \leq o$  and  $(\pi_Y^2)^{(3)}(Y) \leq o$  for YEB and  $u \in U_1$ , and  $\pi_Y^2(U_1) \subset U_1$ . The last choice of  $U_1$  is possible since  $\pi_X' = -1$ *12* 

implies  $(\pi_X^2)^{(2)}(0)=0$ , and  $\pi_X^2$  and  $\pi_X$  are (topologically) contractions since  $(\pi_X^2)^{(3)}(0)\leq 0$ .  $U_1$  can be taken so that  $U_1=N \cap U_0$ , where  $N=N_\theta$  for some small  $\Theta$  (see (2.3)).

Define  $f(Y) = \pi'_X(k(Y)) + i$ . If  $f(Y) \ge 0$ ,  $\pi_Y$  and  $\pi_Y^2$  have  $k(Y)$  as unique fixed point;  $k(Y)$  is a generic fixed point of  $\pi_Y$  only if  $f(X) > 0$ . If  $f(Y) \le 0$ ,  $\pi_Y^2$  has three fixed points:  $k(Y)$ ,  $\ell_1(X)$  and  $\ell_2(Y) = \pi_Y(\ell_1(Y))$ , all generic. The negation of any of these assertions is not compatible with  $(\pi_Y^2)^{(3)} \leq 0$ .

For 
$$
V \in \mathfrak{X}
$$
,  $df_X(V) = \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial u \partial V}(X, o) + \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial u^2}(V) d k_X(V)$ . Let  $V = g(x_1, x_2) x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$  where

 $(x_1, x_2)$  is the coordinate system of  $(2.1)$  and g is a bump function with support  $|x_i| < \delta$ . A straightforward computation similar to that in (2.4) shows that  $dk_x(V)=o$  and:

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial u \partial V}(X, 0) = -\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} g(x_1, 0) dx_1 + 0.
$$

Thus  $df_X(V) \neq 0$ .

The last assertion of  $(2.6)$  is immediate, by continuity of T defined in  $(2.1)$ .

*Lemma* (2.7). -- *Call*  $Q'_2(n)$  the set of  $X \in Q_2$  (Prop. (2.2)) *such that its quasi-generic periodic trajectory,*  $\gamma_X$ *, is one-sided, with period*  $\tau(X) \leq n$ .

 $Q'_{2}(n)$  is an imbedded Banach submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

*Furthermore,*  $Q'_{2}(n)$  *is open in*  $\mathfrak{X}'_{1}$  *and every*  $X \in Q'_{2}(n)$  *has a neighborhood*  $B_{1}$  *in*  $Q'_{2}(n)$ such that every  $Y \in B$ <sup>1</sup> *is topologically equivalent to* X.

*Proof.* — Similar to the proof of  $(2.5)$ , using  $(2.6)$  in this case. The construction of the topological equivalence is formally that of (2.5), but in the present case  $\partial N = C$ and the trajectory through  $n_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  meets Int U in a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  such that  $\{n_{2i}\}$  is decreasing and  $\{n_{2i+1}\}\$ is increasing, both converging monotonically to  $p = \gamma_X \cap U$ . The same holds for Y,  $f(Y)=0$ , and its corresponding sequence  $\{\tilde{n}_i\}$  in  $\tilde{U}$ ; the map of  $\tilde{n}_{2i}n_{2i+1}$ onto  $\overrightarrow{n}_{2i}\overrightarrow{n}_{2i+1}$  and  $\gamma_X$  onto  $\gamma_Y$  by ratio of arc length produces the desired topological equivalence in N. The openness of  $Q_2(n)$  follows from the fact that every Y close to X,  $f(Y)+o$ , is in  $\Sigma^r$ , since Y is so in  $M_1^2$  and N, N being an attractive region (sink).

*Proof of Proposition*  $(2,2)$ . -- Take  $S_i = Q'_2(i) \cup Q_2(i)$  for  $i=1, 2, \ldots;$  by  $(2,4)$ Remark *a*), (2.5), and (2.7), S<sub>i</sub> is an imbedded submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $Q_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ , (2.2) follows (see (1.2)).

*Remarks* (2.8). -- *a)* Since each  $Q'_2(i)$  is open in  $\mathfrak{X}'_1$ ,  $Q_2(0) = \bigcup Q'_2(i)$  is an imbedded submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}'$ , open in  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

b) Call  $\tilde{Q}_2$  the subset of  $Q_2$ , of fields X which satisfy the additional following axiom :

4) The quasi-generic periodic trajectory of X is not both  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit set of either saddle separatrices or of any trajectory different from itself.

Obviously,

$$
Q_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathrm{o})\,\mathsf{C}\,\widetilde{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}.\quad \, \cdot
$$

*Proposition* (2.2) *holds for*  $\widetilde{Q}_2$ , *changing immersed by imbedded. Furthermore*,  $\widetilde{Q}_2$  *is*  $o$ *pen in*  $\mathfrak{X}'_1$ .

This follows from the openness of each  $\widetilde{Q}_2(n)=\widetilde{Q}_2\cap Q_2(n)$ , and the openness of  $Q_2(0)$ . In fact, if  $X \in \tilde{Q}_2(n)$  and  $\gamma_X$  is, say, the  $\omega$ -limit set of saddle separatrices, which *a fortiori* meet  $C_1$ , then all the trajectories through  $C_2$  have the same  $\omega$ -limit set, a generic singular point or periodic trajectory  $L_x$  contained in a critical region  $N^1$ , with  $\partial N^1$  transversal to X (see [5], or (1.4) for the definition of critical region). We can assume in this case that  $C_2$  is part of  $\partial N^1$ . Therefore, when  $f(Y)>0$ ,  $\delta_Y: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is defined and  $L_y$ , the generic singular point or periodic trajectory of Y in  $N^1$ , is the  $\omega$ -limit set of all trajectories through  $N \cup N^1 = N^2$ , which works as a critical region for L<sub>y</sub>. Thus, since Y is in  $\Sigma^r$ , in  $M^2$ -Int  $N^2$  (X is so), it is in  $\Sigma^r$  in  $M^2$ ; the decomposition of  $M^2$  in critical and canonical regions of Y is the same as that for Y in  $M^2$ —Int  $N^2$ plus the critical region  $N^2$ .

When  $f(Y) \leq 0$ ,  $Y \in \Sigma'$ , also when  $X \in Q_2(n)$ . This follows from a similar analysis using  $N^2=N$  and taking into account (2.4) and Remark *b)* in (2.4.1). This shows that  $B \cap \mathfrak{X}'_1 = B \cap Q_2(n) = f^{-1}(0)$ ; hence the assertion above is proved.

*c)* If  $\gamma_x$  is both the  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit of saddle separatrices it can be shown that there is Y,  $f(Y)>0$ , arbitrarily close to X, which has saddle connections meeting N which, by Remark  $c$ ) after  $(2.4)$  have length arbitrarily large.

*d)* If there is a trajectory  $\eta$  of X which has  $\gamma_X$  as  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit set, either all trajectories of X have this property and  $M^2=$ **T**<sup>2</sup> or **K**<sup>2</sup>, or X has saddle separatrices which have  $\gamma_X$  as  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit set. This is shown by looking at the canonical region R of X which contains  $\eta$ ; R is either a cylinder with boundary  $C_1 \cup C_2$  where the flow is parallel, or is a region bounded by arcs of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  and saddle separatrices meeting  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

In the first case, it can be shown that there is Y,  $f(Y)>0$ , arbitrarily close to X, which has non-generic periodic trajectories meeting N. When  $M^2 = T^2$ , Y can be found with irrational rotation number, thus exhibiting recurrent orbits dense in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . This is shown by considering the rotation number  $\rho_Y$  of Y relative to  $C_2$ , which is defined when  $f(Y)>0$ , and showing that  $\rho_Y\rightarrow\infty$  when  $Y\rightarrow X$ , thus passing through irrational values and also through rational values for Y at the boundary of  $\Sigma^r$ , and the assertion follows for  $M^2 = T^2$ . For  $M^2 = K^2$ , the assertion, left as an open question in [14], has a more delicate proof communicated to us by I. Kupka (unpublished work).

e) We summarize d).  $\widetilde{Q}_2^1=Q_2-\widetilde{Q}_2$  is open in  $Q_2$  and its intrinsic topology is finer (has more open sets) than its ambient topology.

The fact that for  $X \in \tilde{Q}_2^1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  small  $f^{-1}((-\epsilon, 0)) \subset \Sigma^r$ , while  $f^{-1}((0, \epsilon))$ is not completely contained in  $\Sigma^r$ , can be expressed by asserting that  $\Sigma^r\cup \widetilde{Q}_2^1$  is a submanifold of  $\mathfrak{X}'$  with boundary  $\widetilde{Q}_2^1$ .

#### **3- Singular Points.**

*Preliminary Definitions* (3.1).  $-$  [V, X] stands for the Lie bracket of V and X. Let  $p \in M^2$  be a singular point of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . For any  $V \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $[V, X](p)$  depends only on  $V(\rho)$ , as follows from a straightforward computation taking into account that  $X(\rho) = 0$ . Thus, it is possible to define an endomorphism  $DX_p$  of the tangent space  $T_p$ of  $M^2$  at p;  $DX_n(v) = [V, X](p)$ , where  $V(p) = v$ . The determinant and trace of  $DX_n$ will be denoted respectively by  $\Delta(X, p)$  and  $\sigma(X, p)$ .

A singular point p of X is called *simple* if DX<sub>p</sub> is an isomorphism, i.e. if  $\Delta(X, p)$  +0. It is called *generic* if DX~ has eigenvalues with nonvanishing real parts. If the eigenvalues are real and have opposite sign,  $p$  is called a *saddle*; if they have equal sign,  $p$  is called *a node.* If the eigenvalues of  $DX_n$  are complex conjugate,  $p$  is called a *focus*.

Assume  $r\geq 2$ . Call  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  the eigenvalues of  $DX_p$ . Let  $\lambda_1=0$  and  $\lambda_2=0$ . Denote by  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  the eigenspaces of DX<sub>n</sub>, associated respectively to  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . Call  $\pi_1: T_p \to T_1$  the projection of  $T_p$  onto  $T_1$  parallel to  $T_2$ . For  $v \in T_1$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , define  $\Delta_1(X, p, v)$  by  $\pi_1[V, [V, X]](p) = \Delta_1(X, p, v)v$ , where  $V \in \mathfrak{X}^r$  is an extension of v.

 $\Delta_1(X, p, v)$  does not depend on V, as it is easy to show. Also,

$$
\Delta_1(X, p, kv) = k\Delta_1(X, p, v),
$$

for any  $k \neq 0$ . If  $\Delta_1(X, p, v) \neq 0$  for some (and for all)  $v \neq 0$ , p is called a saddle-node of X.

Assume the notation above. Denote by u the covector on  $T_p$  such that  $\pi_1 = vu$ ; denote by  $X^i$ ,  $v^i$  and  $u_i$ , respectively, the components of X, v and u, with respect to a system of coordinates,  $(x_1, x_2)$ , around  $\hat{p}$ . Then:

$$
\Delta_1(X, p, v) = u[V, [V, X]](p) = \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{\partial^2 X^i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(p) v^j v^k u_i.
$$

In particular,  $\Delta_1$  does not depend on V. This follows from a straightforward computation.

*Lemma*  $(3.2)$ . -- Let p be a saddle-node of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ . Then there is a neighborhood B *of* **X**, a neighborhood N of p, and a  $C^{r-1}$  function  $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

 $i)$   $Y \in B$  *has a saddle-node as unique singular point in* N if and only if  $f(Y) = 0$ ; if  $f(Y) \leq o$ , Y has two singular points, both generic, one saddle and one node, in N; if  $f(Y) \geq o$ , *Y has no singular point in N. See* Fig. (3-I).

2)  $f(X) = 0$  and  $df_X \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* -- Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be a system of coordinates around p; assume that:

$$
x_1(p) = x_2(p) = 0
$$

and  $\partial^{\theta}$   $(p) \in T_i$  (notation of (3.1)). In these coordinates the components of X, X<sup>1</sup>  $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial X^1}$   $\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial X^2}$   $\frac{\partial x_2}{\partial X^2}$   $\frac{\partial x_3}{\partial X^2}$ and X<sup>2</sup>, satisfy  $\frac{d}{dx}(0, 0) = \frac{d}{dx}(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{d}{dx}(0, 0) = \sigma(X, \beta)$ , and:  $\frac{\partial^2 X^1}{\partial y^2}(0,0) = \Delta_1(X, p, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}(p)).$ 

*15* 



In other terms:

(3.2.1) 
$$
X^{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \Delta_{1}x_{1}^{2} + bx_{1}x_{2} + cx_{2}^{2} + M^{1}(x_{1}, x_{2})
$$

$$
X^{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \sigma x_{2} + \alpha x_{1}^{2} + \beta x_{1}x_{2} + \gamma x_{2}^{2} + M^{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}),
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{M}^i(x_1, x_2) &= o(x_1^2 + x_2^2). \\
\text{Assume for definiteness that } \sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{p}) < o \text{ and } \Delta_1\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{p}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{p})\right) > o. \quad \text{Let } \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ and } \mathbf{B}_0\n\end{aligned}
$$

be neighborhoods of p and X such that for  $Y = \Sigma Y^i - \epsilon B$ ; the following relations are verified in  $N_0$ .

a) 
$$
\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2} < 0
$$
;  
\nb)  $\Delta_1(Y, v_Y) = \sum_{i, j, k} \frac{\partial^2 Y^i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} v_Y^i v_Y^k u_Y^Y > 0$ ; here,  $v_Y^1 = 1$ ,  $v_Y^2 = -\left(\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_1}$ ,  
\n $u_1^Y = \left(1 + \left(\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial Y^1}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-1} > 0$ , and  $u_2^Y = -\frac{\partial Y^1}{\partial x_2} \left(\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial Y^1}{\partial x_2}\right)^2\right)^{-1}$ ;  
\nfinally,  
\nc)  $\sigma(Y) = \sum_i \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial x_i} < 0$ .

The existence of the neighborhoods  $N_0$  and  $B_0$  for which the above relations are satisfied follows from continuity, since they are satisfied for  $X$  at  $p$ .

Take  $v_{\text{Y}} = \sum_i v_{\text{Y}}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad w_{\text{Y}} = \sum_i w_{\text{Y}}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\text{Y}} = \sum_i u_i^{\text{Y}} dx_i.$  Here  $w_{\text{Y}}^1 = \left(\frac{\partial \text{Y}^2}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \text{Y}^1}{\partial x_2},$  $w_{Y}^{2} = I$ . If  $q \in N_{0}$  is a singular point of Y and  $\Delta(Y, q) = 0$ , then  $v_{Y}(q)$  is an eigenvector associated to the zero eigenvalue of DY<sub>q</sub>;  $w_Y$  is an eigenvector associated to  $\sigma(Y, q)$  +0; also,  $u^Y(q)$  is the covector in (3.1)  $(u^Y(v_Y)=1, u^Y(w_Y)=0)$ . These assertions follow from a straightforward computation. Thus, by *b)* and *c),* any non-generic singular

point  $q \in N_0$  of  $Y \in B_0$  is such that  $\sigma(Y, q) \leq o$  and  $\Delta_1(Y, q, v_Y) \geq o$ , i.e. q is a saddlenode of Y.

Define  $F : B_0 \times N_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(Y; x_1, x_2) = Y^2(x_1, x_2)$ . F is of class C' since it is an evaluation map [16]; also,  $F(X; 0, 0) = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(X; 0, 0) = \frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial y}(0, 0) = \sigma(X, p) \leq 0$ . By the Implicit Function Theorem, there are neighborhoods  $B_1 \times I_1$  of  $(X, 0)$  and  $I_2$ of o and a unique C' function  $F_1 : B_1 \times I_1 \rightarrow I_2$ , such that:

$$
F_1(X, 0) = 0
$$
 and  $F(Y; x_1, x_2) = Y^2(x_1, x_2) = 0$ 

for  $(Y, x_1) \in B_1$  and  $x_2 \in I_2$  only if  $x_2 = F_1(Y, x_1)$ . Define:  $F_2 : B_1 \times I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $F_2(Y, x_1) = Y^1(x_1, F_1(x_1, Y)).$ 

A straight forward computation shows that:

d) 
$$
\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1} = \left(\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2}\right)^{-1} \Delta(Y);
$$
  
e) 
$$
\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial x_1^2} = (u_1^Y)^{-1} \Delta_1(Y, v_Y) > 0.
$$

Since  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}$  is of class  $C^{r-1}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}(X, 0) = 0$ , and  $\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial x_1^2}(X, 0) \neq 0$ , there is a neighborhood B of X,  $B \subset B_0$ , and a unique  $C^{r-1}$  function  $F_3 : B \to I_1$  such that  $F(X) = 0$ and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F_2}}{\partial x_1}(Y, x_1) = 0$  for  $Y \in B$ ,  $x_1 \in I$  only if  $x_1 = F_3(Y)$ . This follows from the Implicit Function Theorem.

Define  $f: B \to \mathbf{R}$  by  $f(Y) = F_2(Y, F_3(Y)) = Y^1(F_3(Y), F_1(Y, F_3(Y)))$ . From the definition of  $F_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3$ ,  $Y \in B$  has a singular point  $(x_1, x_2) \in N = I_1 \times I_2$  if and only if  $x_2 = F_1(Y, x_1)$  and  $F_2 = (Y, x_1) = 0$ . Since  $\Delta_1 > 0$  and  $\sigma < 0$ , *d)* and *c)* imply that  $f(Y)$  is the minimum of  $F_2(Y, x_1)$ ,  $x_1 \in I_1$ . Thus, if  $f(Y) > 0$ , Y has no singular point in N; if  $f(Y)=0$ , Y has a saddle-node as unique singular point in N. If  $f(Y) \le 0$ , the Intermediate Value Theorem implies that  $F_2(Y, x_2)$  has two zeros  $r(Y)$  and  $q(Y)$ ,  $r(Y) \leq F_2(Y) \leq q(Y)$ ; by *d*), the first corresponds to a node  $\Delta(Y) > 0$   $\begin{cases} -2 \leq 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$ , and the second corresponds to a saddle,  $\Delta(Y) \leq 0 \frac{\log 2}{2} > 0$ . This holds because  $\Delta(Y)(x_1)$  is decreasing since  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x_1}$  is increasing, by *c*), and  $\frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_2} <$  0, by *a*). This proves 1). A straightforward computation shows that  $df_{\mathbf{x}}(Z) = Z^2(0, 0)$ , for  $Z = \Sigma Z^i - \frac{1}{2}$ , and 2) follows. *Follows* 

*Lemma* (3.3). – Let p be a saddle-node of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ . Assume that  $\sigma(X, p) \leq \sigma$ (*the case*  $\sigma(X, p) > 0$  *is similar*). The neighborhoods N and B of (3.2) *can be chosen so that for*  $Y \in B$  *with*  $f(Y) \leq o$  *the following assertions hold.* 

3

#### **x8** J. SOTOMAYOR

i) There is a unique point  $u(Y) \in \partial N$  such that  $\varphi_Y(u(Y), t) \in N$  *for t*<o; the set  $s(Y)$ *of points*  $q \in \partial N$  *such that*  $\varphi_Y(q, t) \in N$  *for*  $t > 0$  *is an arc whose extremes we call*  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_2(Y)$ .

*2) ON is a diJferentiable curve, transversal to every* YEB *at points of neighborhoods U*  of  $u(X)$  and S of  $s(X)$ .

3)  $u(Y)$ ,  $s_1(Y)$  and  $s_2(Y)$  depend continuously on Y.

*Proof.* -- From  $(3.2.1)$ , the coordinate expression for X in  $(3.2)$ , and  $[17, p. 319]$ , it follows that X has one separatrix,  $\gamma$ , whose  $\alpha$ -limit set is  $\beta$ , and is tangent to  $T_1$  at  $\beta$ ; also X has two separatrices  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  whose  $\omega$ -limit set is  $p$  and are tangent to  $T_2$  at  $p$ . See Fig. (3.1). Take  $N_r = \{(x_1, x_2) ; x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le r\}$ ;  $\partial N_r$  is given by  $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ ,  $x_2 = r \sin \theta$ ,  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Since  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  are transversal to  $\partial N$ , so are the separatrices, provided r is small;  $\gamma$  meets  $\partial N$  at a point we call  $u(X)$ ;  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  meet  $\partial N$  at points we call  $s_1(X)$ ,  $s_2(X)$ . The existence and continuity of  $u$  follows from the continuity on Y of neighboring trajectories, as for the case of saddle points  $[5, p. 147]$ ; the continuity of  $s<sub>1</sub>$  follows [16, p. 137], where the trajectory tangent to the eigenspace of smallest (negative) eigenvalue is given by an integral equation which depends continuously on the field.

On  $\partial N$ .:

$$
\frac{\tau}{2} \frac{\partial (x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{\partial X} = r^3 \left( \Delta_1 \cos^3 \theta + \frac{\sigma \sin^2 \theta}{r} + (b + \alpha) \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta + (c + \beta) \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta + \gamma \sin^3 \theta + \frac{M^1 \cos \theta + M^2 \sin \theta}{r^2} \right).
$$

Since for  $\theta = \pi$  the expression in brackets is equal to  $-\Delta_1 + \frac{M^1}{2}$ , there are v and p so that if  $r \leq \rho$  and  $|\theta - \pi| \leq v$ , it is less than  $-\frac{\Delta_1}{2}$ . For  $\pi - v \geq |\theta| \geq \pi/4$ , the expression  $\sin^2\theta$ in brackets is negative since  $\sigma \leq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{r}$  is unbounded for these values of  $\theta$ , while all the other terms are bounded. Thus, for r small, X is transversal to  $\partial N$  and points inward N on  $|\theta| \geq \pi/4$ . The arc joining  $s_1(\mathbf{X})$  to  $s_2(\mathbf{X})$ , contained in  $|\theta| > \pi/4$  is defined to be  $s(X)$ . This shows the existence of  $s(X)$ ; the existence of U, S,  $s(Y)$  follows by continuity.

*Remark.* -- If p is a saddle-node of X with  $\sigma(X, p) \leq o$ , the stable manifold of p is a two-dimensional manifold with boundary tangent to  $T_2$  at  $\beta$ . The unstable manifold is one-dimensional with boundary  $p$ , tangent to  $T_2$  at  $p$ . If  $\sigma(X, p) > 0$ , the remark holds with the obvious change of stable for unstable.

*Definition*  $(3.4)$ . -- A *saddle connection* is a trajectory whose  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit sets are saddle or saddle-note singular points and is not interior to the two-dimensional invariant manifold of the saddle-node.

In terms of transversality, a saddle connection is a trajectory along which the invariant manifolds of saddle and saddle-node singular points fail to meet transversally.

Now we state one of the main results of this section.

*Proposition* (3.5). -- *Denote by*  $Q_1^1$  *the collection of*  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , such that:

I) X *has a saddle-node as unique non-generic singular point.* 

*2) X has only generic periodic trajectories.* 

 $3)$  *The*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\omega$ *-limit sets of any trajectory of X are singular points or periodic trajectories.* 

4) *X has no saddle connections.* 

*Then :* 

a)  $Q_1^1$  *is open in*  $\mathfrak{X}_1^r$ .

b) It is an imbedded Banach submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ ; and

c) *Every*  $X \in Q_1^1$  *has a neighborhood*  $B_1$  *in*  $Q_1^1$  *such that every*  $Y \in B_1$  *is topologically equivalent to X.* 

The proof of (3.5) depends on some lemmas.

*Lemma* (3.6). - *Assume the hypothesis and notation in*  $(3.2)$ ,  $(3.3)$ . Let  $U_1 \subset U$  be *a neighborhood of*  $u(X)$ . *Then,* S and B can be chosen so that for  $Y \in B$  with  $f(Y) > o$ ,  $\varphi_Y$ *defines a* C<sup>r</sup> mapping  $h_Y : S \to U_1$ ;  $h_Y(q)$  is the point where  $\varphi_Y(t, q)$ ,  $t > 0$ , meets  $U_1$  for the *first time. Moreover, if*  $S_1$  *is a closed arc contained in*  $Int\ s(X)$ *, given*  $\epsilon > 0$ *,*  $B$  *can be chosen so that*  $|h'_{Y}(q)| \leq \varepsilon$  *for*  $q \in S_1$  *and*  $Y \in B$ .

*Proof.* -- Let S be an arc so that  $s(X) \subset \text{Int } S$  and the trajectories of X through  $S-s(X)$  meet  $U_1$ . This choice of S is possible by a continuity property at  $s_i(X)$  on hyperbolic sectors  $\lceil \text{19}, \text{p. } \text{167} \rceil$ . The first assertion of  $\lceil \text{3.6} \rceil$  follows from continuity on Y of the trajectories passing through the extremes of S; for Y with  $f(Y)>0$ , no trajectory through S remains in N, since this would imply the existence of a singular point in N, by the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem. Thus for  $q \in S$  there is a  $t_q(Y)$  such that  $\varphi_Y(q,t) \in N$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_q(Y)$  and  $\varphi_Y(q, t_q(Y)) \in U_1$ ,  $h_Y(q)$  is defined to be  $\varphi_Y(q, t_q(Y));$  by  $(2.1)$   $h_Y$  is of class  $C^r$ .

A known formula in differential equations [I7, p. 2o4] implies that:

$$
h'_{\mathbf{Y}}(q) = \mathbf{L}_{q}(\mathbf{Y}) \, \exp\left(\int_0^{t_q(\mathbf{Y})} \sigma(\mathbf{Y}, \, \varphi_{\mathbf{Y}}(q, t)) \, dt\right).
$$

 $L_q(Y)$  only depends on the angles between Y and  $\partial N$  at q and  $h_Y(q)$ :

$$
|\sin \alpha_1|/|\sin \alpha_2| = L_q(Y),
$$

where  $\alpha_1 = \text{angle } (Y(q), \partial N)$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \text{angle } (Y(h_Y(q)), \partial N)$ . Since  $\sigma = \sigma(X, p) \leq \sigma$ , we may assume that the integrand in the expression for  $h'_Y$  is less than  $\sigma/2 \leq o$  in N for every  $\sqrt{ }$  $Y \in B$ ; also, we may assume that for  $q \in S_1$  and Y,  $f(Y) \geq 0$ ,  $t_q(Y) \geq k = -\log|\frac{1}{\tau}|$  where  $|\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{Y})| \leq \mathrm{L}.$   $\qquad \qquad \text{(1)}$ 

# ,z, O J. SOTOMAYOR

The last inequality for  $t_q(Y)$  is justified as follows. For  $q \in S_1$  there are neighborhoods  $I_q$  of q and  $B_q$  of X such that  $\varphi_Y(r, t) \in \text{Int } N$  for  $o \le t \le k$ ,  $r \in I_q$ ,  $Y \in B_q$ . This follows by continuity since it is obvious for  $Y=X$  on  $S_1$ . Compacity of  $S_1$  ends the argument. A straight forward computation, replacing  $t_q(Y) \geq k$  into the integrand above, shows that  $|h_{\mathrm{Y}}'(q)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

*Lemma*  $(3\cdot7)$ -  $-$  *Assume the hypothesis and notation in*  $(3\cdot3)$  *and call*  $L_x$  *the*  $\omega$ *-limit set of*  $\gamma_X$ , the unstable separatrix of  $p(\gamma_X=\varphi_X(u(X), t), |t|<\infty)$ . If  $L_X\neq p$ , let  $L_X$  be *contained in a neighborhood* N' whose boundary is transversal to X, X pointing inward N'; if  $L_x = p$ , let  $\gamma_x$  be interior to the stable manifold of p. Then  $\gamma_x$  has a neighborhood  $N^2$  which *contains*  $L_x$ , *whose boundary is transversal to* X.

*Proof.* -- Take  $U_1$ , of (3.6), small so that every trajectory of X passing through it meets  $\partial N'$  transversally at points of an arc A; if  $L_x = p$ , A is assumed to be contained in Int s(X). Call  $p_i \in \partial N$ , the extremes of S (3.6), and call  $A_i$  the arc of trajectories of X joining  $p_i$  to  $q_i \in A$ . S together with  $A_i$  and  $\partial N'$  (when  $L_x + p$ ), bound a neighborhood of  $\gamma_X$  whose boundary is transversal to X except on  $A_i$ . Replacing  $A_i$  by arcs  $A'_{i}$ ,  $C^{1}$ -close to them, joining  $p_{i}$  to A, and smoothing corners at the extremes of  $A'_{i}$ , the desired neighborhood  $N^2$  is obtained. The change of  $A_i$  by the arcs  $A'_i$  is possible since X is parallel, in suitable local coordinates, in a neighborhood of  $A_i$ .

*Lemma* (3.8).  $-$  *Assume that in* (3.7)  $L_x$  *is:* 

- a) *a generic singular point or periodic trajectory; or*
- b) *a saddle-node*  $L_x = p$ .

*In case a), assume that* N *is a critical region associated to*  $L_x$  (see  $(1.4)$ , *b)*).

*Then B of* (3.2) *can be taken so that for*  $f(Y) + o$ , Y *is structurally stable in*  $N^2$ , Y *being transversal to*  $\partial N^2$ *. If*  $f(Y) > 0$ , *for case a)*,  $L_Y$ , *the only generic singular point or periodic trajectory of Y in N' corresponding to*  $L_x$ , is the  $\omega$ -limit set of every trajectory of Y passing through  $N^2$ ; *for case b), there is in*  $N^2$  one periodic trajectory of Y, generic and orbitally stable which is the  $\omega$ -limit *set of every trajectory of* Y meeting  $N^2$ .

*If*  $f(Y) \leq o$  (resp.  $f(Y) = o$ ), *call*  $r(Y)$  *and*  $q(Y)$  (resp.  $p(Y)$ ) *the nodal and saddle points* (resp. *the saddle-node)* of Y in N (3.2). In case a)  $r(Y)$  (resp.  $p(Y)$ ) is the  $\omega$ -limit *set of every trajectory of Y meeting* Int s(Y) *and of one unstable separatrix of* q(Y) (resp. *of all trajectories of* Y meeting  $s(Y)$ );  $L_Y$  is the  $\omega$ -limit set of every trajectory of Y meeting  $\partial N^2 - s(Y)$ and the unstable separatrix of  $q(Y)$  (resp. of  $p(Y)$ ) passing through  $u(Y)$  (3.2).  $q(Y)$  is the  $\omega$ -limit set of its stable separatrices passing through  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_2(Y)$ . In case b),  $r(Y)$  (resp.  $p(Y)$ ) is the  $\omega$ -limit set of every trajectory meeting  $N^2$  except  $q(Y)$  and its stable separatrices through  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_{2}(Y)$  (resp. of every trajectory meeting  $N^{2}$ ).

*Proof.* – For Y close to X, the mapping  $\pi_Y : U_1 = U \cap N^2 \to S_1 \subset A$  (notation, Proof of (3.1)), is defined. For case a),  $f(Y) > 0$ , every trajectory through  $\partial N^2 - S$ meets  $\partial N^1$ , for Y near X (since they do so for X), and the trajectories through S define

the map  $\pi_Y \circ h_Y : S \to S_1 \subset A \subset \partial N^1$ , by (3.6); therefore, every trajectory through  $\partial N^1$  must have  $L_y$  as  $\omega$ -limit set. For case b),  $f(Y)>0$ ,  $S_1 \subset A \subset \text{Int } s(X)$ , and  $g_y = \pi_Y \circ h_y : U_1 \to U_1$ is defined.

Taking B so that  $|\pi_Y| \le k$  in U<sub>1</sub> and  $|h_Y| \le \frac{1}{2}k^{-1}$ , by (3.6),  $g_Y$  is a contraction having in U one fixed point, generic and orbitally stable. Since every trajectory through  $N^2$  meets  $U_1$ , its  $\omega$ -limit must be the generic periodic trajectory through the fixed point of  $g_Y$ .

For  $f(Y) \leq 0$ , *a)* and *b)* follow directly from continuity of  $u(Y)$ ,  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_2(Y)$ , and standard continuity of trajectories with respect to Y and initial data.

*Lemma*  $(3.9)$ . -- *Assume the notation in*  $(3.2)$ . *Then, given*  $\epsilon > 0$ , B and N may be *chosen so that every arc of trajectory of* Y *contained in* N has length less than  $\varepsilon$ , provided  $f(Y)=0$ . *Proof.* -- In the coordinate expression  $(3.2.1)$  for X, in Proof of  $(3.2)$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta_1$ 

are taken so that  $\frac{|\alpha|}{\Delta_1} < \frac{1}{2}$ ; this is obtained by changing coordinates  $x_1$  to  $\mu x_1$ ,  $x_2$  to  $x_2$ ,

where  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu \frac{|\alpha|}{\Delta_1} < \frac{r}{2}$ . Call  $P(Y)=(x_1(Y), x_2(Y))$  the saddle-node of Y in N, call (I, v) the components of  $v<sub>x</sub>$ , the eigenvector associated to the zero eigenvalue of DY at  $P(Y)$ .

Denoting  $x_i-x_i(Y)$  by  $\xi_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, Y$  can be written:

$$
Y^{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \bar{a}(\xi_{2} - v\xi_{1}) + \bar{\Delta}_{1}\xi_{1}^{2} + \bar{b}\xi_{1}\xi_{2} + \bar{c}\xi_{2}^{2}
$$
  

$$
Y^{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \bar{\sigma}(\xi_{2} - v\xi_{1}) + \alpha\xi_{1}^{2} + \bar{\beta}\xi_{1}\xi_{2} + \bar{\gamma}\xi_{2}^{2},
$$

where  $\bar{\Delta}_1-\Delta_1$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}-\sigma$ , v,  $x_i(Y)$  tend to zero as Y tends to X;  $\bar{b}$ ,  $\bar{c}$ ,  $\bar{\beta}$ ,  $\bar{\gamma}$  are functions uniformly bounded on N.

Divide N into two regions:

$$
N_1 = \{ |\tilde{\Delta}_1 \xi_2^2| \geq |\tilde{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v \xi_1)| \} \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = \{ |\tilde{\Delta}_1 \xi_1^2| \leq |\tilde{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v \xi_1)| \}.
$$

On  $N_1$  the trajectories of Y satisfy the following equation:

$$
\frac{dx_2}{dx_1}=\frac{\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2-v\xi_1)/\bar{\Delta}_1\xi_1^2+\overline{\alpha}/\Delta_1+\overline{\beta}\xi_2/\bar{\Delta}_1\xi_1+\gamma\xi_2^2/\bar{\Delta}_1\xi_1}{\overline{a}(\xi_2-v\xi_1)/\bar{\Delta}_1\xi_1^2+1+\overline{b}\xi_2/\Delta_1\xi_1+\overline{c}\xi_2^2/\bar{\Delta}_1\xi_1^2}.
$$

Since in N<sub>1</sub>,  $|\xi_2|/|\xi_1|=|\xi_2-v\xi_1+v\xi_1|/|\xi_1|\leq \left|\frac{\bar{\Delta}_1}{\bar{\sigma}}\right| |\xi_1|+|v|$  by making N and B small, the numerator of  $|dx_2/dx_1|$  can be made less than 2 and the denominator greater than  $1/2$ . Thus,  $\frac{dx_{2}}{dx_{1}} \leq 4$ .

On  $N_2$  the trajectories of Y satisfy:

$$
\frac{dx_1}{dx_2} = \frac{\overline{a}/\overline{\sigma} + \Delta_1 \xi_1^2/\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v\xi_1) + \overline{b}\xi_1 \xi_2/\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v\xi_1) + \overline{c}\,\xi_2^2/\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v\xi_1)}{1 + (\overline{a}/\overline{\Delta}_1)(\overline{\Delta}_1 \xi_1^2/\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v\xi_1)) + \overline{\beta}\xi_1 \xi_2/\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v\xi_1) + \overline{\gamma}\xi_2^2/\overline{\sigma}(\xi_2 - v\xi_1)}.
$$

 $\text{Since on } N_2, \ \ |\xi_2|/|\xi_2-v\xi_1|\leq \tau+|v||\xi_1|/|\xi_2-v\xi_1|, \ \ |\xi_1\xi_2|/|\xi_2-v\xi_1|\leq |\xi_1|+|v||\overline{\sigma}|/|\Delta_1|,$ and  $|\xi_2|^2/|\xi_2-v\xi_1|\leq |\xi_2|+|v||\xi_1|+|v|^2|\overline{\sigma}|/|\overline{\Delta}_1|$ , by making N and B small,  $\begin{vmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \end{vmatrix}$  can be made less than 4, making its numerator less than 2 and its denominator greater than i/2, in absolute value. The lemma follows immediately from the expression for the arc length of a curve, taking account that the interval of integration does not exceed the diameter of N.

*Remark*  $(3.9.1)$ . - *a)* Lemma  $(3.9)$  is similar to  $[5,$  Lemma 7, p. 143], proved for the generic saddle singular points.  $(3.9)$ , and the next result *b*) also due to [5], are important tools for the construction of topological equivalences in canonical regions which contain saddles, saddle-nodes, or periodic trajectories in their closure.

b) [5, p. 150]. Let  $A_0B_0$  be an arc and  $A_iB_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots$  be a sequence of arcs converging uniformly to  $A_0B_0$  in such a way that  $|A_iB_i| \rightarrow |A_0B_0|$ , when  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then: I) A point  $M_i \in \widehat{A_i B_i}$  with ratio of arc length  $z_i=|\widehat{A_i M_i}|/|\widehat{A_i B_i}|$  converges to

a point  $M_0 \in \widehat{A_0B_0}$  if and only if  $z_i \to z_0 = |\widehat{A_0M_0}|/|\widehat{A_0B_0}|$ .

2) Considering  $A_i B_i$  and  $A_0 B_0$  parametrized by ratio of arc length,  $A_i B_i$  converges uniformly to  $\widehat{A}_0 \widehat{B}_0$  when  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof of* (3.5). — Take 
$$
X \in Q_1^1
$$
 and assume the notation in (3.8). Call:  

$$
M_1^2 = M^2 - Int N^2,
$$

and take B such that  $Y_1=Y|M_1^2$ ,  $Y\in B$ , belongs to  $\Sigma^r$  in  $M_1^2$ , and no saddle separatrices of Y<sub>1</sub> meet  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_2(Y) \in \partial \mathbb{N}^2$ . This choice of B is possible since the conditions imposed are open and hold for Y=X. Hence, by (3.8) and (3.2), Y $\in \Sigma^r$  in  $M^2$  if and only if  $f(Y) \neq 0$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{X}_1 \cap B = Q_1^1 \cap B = f^{-1}(0)$ . This proves *a)* and *b)* of (3.5).

To prove c), a topological equivalence between X and  $Y \in B_1 = B \cap Q_1^1$  must be constructed. Obviously a topological equivalence  $h_1=h_1(Y)$  between  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  can be constructed; here, care is taken so that  $h_1 | \partial N^2$  maps  $s_i(X)$  to  $s_i(Y)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ . We proceed to show how to extend  $h_1$  to  $h=h(Y)$  defined on  $M^2$ .

For case *a*), (3.8), N<sup>2</sup> is divided into two canonical regions  $R_1(Y)$  and  $R_2(Y)$ of Y and one critical region  $N_1^1$  which contains  $L_y$ . See Fig. (3.2).

The construction of h from  $R_2(X)$  onto  $R_2(Y)$  is performed in [5, p. 152] for the case where p is a saddle point; such construction is carried *mutatis mutandis* for the present case, by  $(3.9)$ . See Remark  $(3.9.1)$ . The construction of h from  $N_1^1$  onto itself is done in [5, p. 154]. We proceed to define h from  $R_1(X)$  onto  $R_1(Y)$ : Map the arc of trajectory of X,  $\overrightarrow{mp}$ , passing through  $m\in S(X)$ , onto the arc of trajectory of Y,  $\overrightarrow{mp}_Y$  passing through  $\widetilde{m} = h_1(m) \in s(Y)$ , by ratio of arc length (see proof of (2.5)). Since every point

# GENERIC ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF VECTOR FIELDS



of  $R_1(X)$  belongs to a unique trajectory, this defines a one-to-one map of  $R_1(X)$  onto  $R_1(Y)$ , which by (3.9.1) is a homeomorphism. In fact (3.9) implies that  $\widehat{|m_1p|}$  is close to  $\widehat{m_2p}$  and  $\widehat{m_1p_Y}$  is close to  $\widehat{m_2p_Y}$  provided  $\widehat{m_1p}$  is uniformly close to  $\widehat{m_2p}$  and  $\widetilde{m}_1 p_\chi$  is uniformly close to  $\widetilde{m}_2 p_\chi$ ; in our case this holds when  $m_1$  is close to  $m_2$ , by continuity of  $h_1$  and standard continuity of trajectories on initial data. That is, the hypothesis of  $(3.9.1, b)$  is satisfied for these arcs. This implies continuity of h and  $h^{-1}$ , since they preserve ratio of arc length and uniform convergence on arcs of trajectories, which by (3.9-I, *b))* amounts to preservation of convergence. Finally, we remark that the definition of h on  $R_2(X)$  mentioned above coincides with our construction on the common boundary,  $s_1(X)\overline{p} \cup s_2(X)\overline{p}$ , with  $R_1(X)$ , since there it is performed by ratio of arc length.

For case *b*) and  $Y \in B_1$ ,  $N^2$  is divided into two canonical regions  $R_1(Y)$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ of the same type. See Fig. (3.3), where  $(i, j)$  is  $(1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$ , according to  $\gamma_{\mathbf{X}} \cup \{p\}$ being a two-sided or one-sided curve.



We proceed to define h from  $R_1(X)$  onto  $R_1(Y)$ . Map  $\widehat{s_1(X)}\widehat{p}$ ,  $\widehat{s_i(X)}\widehat{p}$  and  $\gamma_X = \widehat{pp}$ respectively onto  $s_1(Y)\hat{p_Y}$ ,  $s_i(Y)\hat{p_Y}$  and  $\gamma_Y = \hat{p_Y}p_Y$ , by ratio of arc length. Let  $\eta$  be a continuous monotonic increasing function from  $\widehat{s_1(X)}s_i(\overrightarrow{X})$  onto [0, 1]; let:

$$
a(Y) = |\overrightarrow{s_1(Y)}\overrightarrow{p_Y}|/(|\overrightarrow{s_1(Y)}\overrightarrow{p_Y}| + |\gamma_Y|).
$$

We define a closed curve  $C_Y$  in  $R_1(Y)$  from  $p_Y$  to  $p_Y$  as follows: take  $m \in Int$   $s_1(Y)s_i(Y)$ and take the point  $m_1$  on the arc of trajectory through m such that

$$
|\widehat{mm_1}|/|\widehat{mp_Y}| = (1 - \eta(h^{-1}(Y)(m)))a(Y) + \eta(h_1^{-1}(Y)(m)).
$$

 $C_Y$  is the curve which assigns  $m_1$  to  $m$ , and  $p_Y$  to  $s(Y)$  and  $s_i(Y)$ ; it is continuous, on Int  $\widehat{s_1(Y)s_i(Y)}$  by continuity of trajectories on initial data and at  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_i(Y)$ by (3.9).  $C_Y$  divides  $R_1(Y)$  into two regions  $R_1(Y)$  and  $R_1^2(Y)$ . Map the arc of trajectory of X through  $m \in Int$   $\widehat{s_1(X)} s_i(\overrightarrow{X})$  onto the arc of trajectory of Y through  $\widetilde{m}=h_1(Y)(m)\in$ Int  $\widehat{s_1(Y)s_i(Y)}$ , as follows: map  $\widehat{mm_1}$  onto  $\widehat{\widetilde{m}m_1}$  and  $\widehat{m_1p}$  onto  $\widehat{\widetilde{m}_1p}$ , respectively, by ratio of arc length. This defines a one-to-one map of  $R^i(X)$  onto  $R^i(Y)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , which by  $(3.9)$  is a topological equivalence, as follows from an analysis similar to that performed in case  $a$ ). An identical construction works for  $R_2(X)$ . This ends the proof of  $(3.5)$ .

*The composed focus* (3.10). — Let p be a singular point of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ; assume that the eigenvalues of DX<sub>p</sub> have non vanishing imaginary parts (i.e.,  $(\sigma(X, p))^2 - 4\Delta(X, p) \le 0$ ). Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be a coordinate system on a neighborhood U of p; assume that  $x_1(p) = x_2(p) = 0$ . Define  $G: \mathfrak{X}' \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $G(Y, q) = (Y^1(q), Y^2(q)), Y = \Sigma Y^1 - G$  is of class  $G'$  $\alpha x_i$   $\partial G$ since it is an evaluation mapping [16, p. 25]; also  $G(x, p) = (0, 0)$  and  $\sum_i (X, p) = DX(v)$ . Since det  $DX = \Delta(X, p) + o$ , there is a unique C' U-valued function P defined on a neighborhood B of X such that  $P(X) = p$  and  $G(Y, q) = (0, 0)$  for  $Y \in B$  only if  $q = P(Y)$ . This follows from the Implicit Function Theorem.

Define  $f : B \to \mathbf{R}$  by  $f(Y) = \sigma(Y, P(y)) = \frac{\partial Y^1}{\partial x} (P(y)) + \frac{\partial Y^2}{\partial x_0} (P(y))$ : f is of class  $G^{-1}$ and:

$$
df_{\mathbf{x}}(z) = d\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}^1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}^2}{\partial x_2}\right)_p dP_{\mathbf{x}}(z) + \frac{\partial z^1}{\partial x_1}(p) + \frac{\partial z^2}{\partial x_2}(p),
$$

as follows from a straightforward computation; in particular, if  $\sigma(Z, p)$  +0 and  $Z(P) = 0$ ,  $dP_X(Z) = 0$  and  $df_X(Z) = \sigma(Z, p) + 0$ .

Let  $P(Y)=(P_1(Y), P_2(Y))$ , and take polar coordinates  $\rho$ ,  $\theta: x_1-P_1(Y)=\rho \cos \theta$ ,  $x_2-P_2(Y)=\rho \sin \theta$ . The orbits of Y satisfy the following equations:

$$
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = Y^1 \cos \theta + Y^2 \sin \theta = R_Y(\rho, \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \frac{d\theta}{dt} = Y^2 \cos \theta - Y^1 \sin \theta = \Theta_Y(\rho, \theta),
$$

where  $Y^i = Y^i(P_1(Y) + \rho \cos \theta, P_2(Y) + \rho \sin \theta)$  and  $\Theta_Y(\rho, \theta)$  are of class C' in  $B \times I \times \mathbb{R}$ , where I=  $[-a, a]$ , a small; also, they are periodic of period  $2\pi$  in  $\theta$ . The hypothesis  $\sigma - 4\Delta < \sigma$  implies that  $\frac{\partial \Theta_X}{\partial \rho}$  (o,  $\theta$ )  $\neq$  o, for all 0. By continuity we may assume that

 $\frac{\partial \Theta_X}{\partial \rho}(\rho, \theta)$  + 0 in  $B \times I \times \mathbf{R}$ . Define  $\overline{\Theta}_Y$  by  $\overline{\Theta}_Y(\rho, \theta) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \Theta_Y}{\partial \rho}(\rho s, \theta) ds$ .  $\overline{\Theta}$  is of class  $\mathbf{C}^{r-1}$ and  $\Theta(\rho, \theta) = -\Theta(\rho, \theta)$ , for  $\rho \neq 0$ ; also:

$$
\overline{\Theta}_{Y}(-\rho, \theta+\pi)=\overline{\Theta}_{Y}(\rho, \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{Y}(-\rho, \theta+\pi)=-R_{Y}(\rho, \theta).
$$

This implies that  $(R_Y, \overline{\Theta}_Y)$ , for YEB, is a vector field in  $I \times \mathbf{R}$ , invariant under the mapping  $\mu : (\rho, \theta) \to (-\rho, \theta + \pi)$ . Since  $R_Y(\rho, \theta) = 0$ ,  $\rho = 0$  is a trajectory of  $(R_Y, \overline{\Theta}_Y)$ .

Let  $u(x)=(x,0), \quad U=I\times\{0\}, \text{ and } s(x)=(x, 2\pi), \quad S=I\times\{2\pi\}.$  Call  $\rho_Y: U_0\to S$ the mapping associated to u, s and  $\tau = 2\pi$  defined by the flow  $(R_{\gamma}, \Theta_{\gamma})$  as in (2.1).  $(Y, x) \mapsto \rho_Y(x)$  is of class  $C^{r-1}$  in  $B \times U_0$ . Also, as a straightforward computation shows,  $\rho'_Y(0) = I$  if and only if  $\sigma(Y, P(Y)) = 0$ .

*Definition* (3.11). - Assume that  $X \in \mathfrak{X}'$ ,  $r \geq 4$ , has a singular point p with  $\sigma(X, p) = o$  and  $\Delta(X, p) > o$ . If, with the notation above,  $(\rho_X)^{(3)}(o) \neq o$ , p is called a *composed focus.* 

*Proposition* (3.12). - *Denote by*  $Q_1^2$  the set of vector fields  $X \in \mathfrak{X}'$ ,  $r \geq 4$  such that:

*I) X has a composed focus as unique non-generic singular point.* 

*2) X has only generic periodic trajectories.* 

- $3)$  *The*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\omega$ *-limit sets of any trajectory of X are singular points or periodic trajectories.*
- 4) X *has no saddle connections.*

*Then:* 

a)  $Q_1^2$  *is open in*  $\mathfrak{X}_1^r$ .

b) It is an imbedded Banach submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ ; and

c) *Every*  $X \in Q_1^2$  has a neighborhood  $B_1$  in  $Q_1^2$  so that it is topologically equivalent to *every*  $Y \in B_1$ .

The proof of  $(3.11)$  depends on the following

*Lemma* (3. x2). -- Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 4$ , *have a composed focus p. Assume that*  $(\rho_X)^{(3)}(0) \leq 0$ . *Then there is a neighborhood B of X, a neighborhood N of p and a*  $C^{r-1}$  *function f: B*  $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *such that:* 

*i)*  $\partial N$  *is a closed curve transversal to every*  $Y \in B$ .

2) Y $\in$ B *has one singular point*  $P(Y) \in N$ .  $P(Y)$  *is generic if and only if*  $f(Y) \neq 0$ , *it is asymptotically stable* (resp. *unstable*) *if*  $f(Y) \leq o$  (resp.  $f(Y) \geq o$ ).

3) Y has one periodic trajectory, generic and orbitally stable, in N only when  $f(Y) > 0$ . See Fig. (3.4).

*Proof.* -- Assume the notation of  $(3.10)$ . Call N<sub>0</sub> the quotient manifold:

$$
(\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{R})/\mu \to \mathbf{R}/\mu \, ;
$$

 $N_0$  is a Moebius band. Call  $\bar{\mu}$  the quotient mapping  $I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow N_0$ . Let  $\overline{Y} = D\mu(R_Y, \overline{\Theta}_Y)$  and let  $\overline{u} = \mu \circ s = \mu \circ u$ .  $\rho_Y : U_0 \to U$  is equal to the square

*4* 



of the Poincaré transformation associated to the periodic trajectory  $\gamma_Y=\mu\{\{o\}\times\mathbf{R}\}\;$  of period  $\pi$  of  $\overline{Y}$ . Now, the proof is reduced to (2.6), with  $f(Y) = \sigma(Y, P(Y))$  and N the neighborhood of  $p$  bounded by  $C = {x_1 = \rho(\theta) \cos \theta, x_2 = \rho(\theta) \sin \theta; \theta \in [0, 2\pi]}$ where  $\rho = \rho(\theta)$  is so that  $\{\rho(\theta), \theta\}$  is the lifting to  $I \times \mathbf{R}$  of the boundary of the neighborhood N of  $\gamma_x$  given in (2.6).

*Proof of* (3.x2). -- Similar to (2.5). Assume the notation of (3.12). Call  $M_1^2$ the manifold with boundary  $M^2$ -Int N.  $X_1 = X[M_1^2]$  is structurally stable, and B can be taken so that every YEB is such that  $Y_1 = Y|M_1^2$  is topologically equivalent to  $X_1$ ;  $h_1(y)$ , the homeomorphism of  $M_1^2$  mapping trajectories of  $X_1$  onto those of  $Y_1$ , can be made arbitrarily close to the identity of  $M<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>$  by properly reducing B.

By openness of  $\Sigma^r$  in  $M_1^2$ , when  $f(Y) \neq 0$ ,  $Y \in \Sigma^r$  in  $M^2$ , by (3.12). Thus  $f^{-1}(0) = Q_1^2 \cap B$ . For  $Y \in B_1 = Q_1^2 \cap B$ ,  $h_1(Y)$  can be extended to a topological equivalence between X and Y. This is done as for the case of generic focus  $[5, p. 153]$ . This proves  $(3.12)$ .

*Remark* (3. 13). - By (3.5) and (3.12),  $Q_1 = Q_1^1 \cup Q_1^2$  is an imbedded submanifold, open in  $\mathfrak{X}'_1$ .

Calling the saddle-node and composed focus *quasi-generic singular points,* (3-5) and  $(3.12)$  can be stated in one Proposition changing in condition 1), in either one, saddle-node or composed focus by quasi-generic singular point.

# **4. Saddle Connections.**

*Definition* (4.x). - A saddle connection  $\gamma$  of X (see (3.4)) whose  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit sets coincide with a saddle point p is called a *loop*; it is called a *simple loop* if  $\sigma(X, p) + o$ .

*Proposition*  $(4.2)$ .  $-$  *Let*  $Q_3$  *denote the set of vector fields*  $X \in \mathfrak{X}'$ ,  $r \geq 2$  *such that:* 

*I) X has one saddle connection, which in case of being a loop is a simple loop.* 

2) X *has only generic singular points and generic periodic trajectories.* 

 $3)$  *The*  $\alpha$  *and*  $\omega$ *-limit sets of every trajectory of X are singular points, periodic trajectories, or loops.* 

*26* 

*Then*  $Q_3$  *is a Banach submanifold of class*  $C^{r-1}$  *and codimension one immersed in*  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ ; *furthermore, every*  $X \in Q_3$  *has a neighborhood*  $B_1$  *in*  $Q_3$  *such that every*  $Y \in B_1$  *is topologically equivalent to X.* 

The proof of this proposition depends on several preliminary lemmas.

*of X and a neighborhood N of p such that: Lemma*  $(4.3)$ . -- Let p be a saddle point of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . There is a neighborhood B

*curve.*   $i)$   $Y \in B$  *has one singular point p(Y), which is a saddle point, in* N;  $\partial N$  *is a differentiable* 

2) The stable (resp. *unstable*) separatrices of  $p(Y)$  for  $Y|N$  meet  $\partial N$  in two points  $s_1(Y)$ ,  $s_2(Y)$  (resp.  $u_1(Y)$ ,  $u_2(Y)$ ) *so that the functions*  $s_i : B \to N$  (resp.  $u_i : B \to N$ ) *are of class*  $C^{r-1}$ . *Also, there are closed arcs*  $S_i$  (resp.  $U_i$ ), *which contain*  $s_i(B)$  (resp.  $u_i(B)$ ), *on which*  $Y \in B$  *is transversal to ON.* 

*Proof.* -- *i*) Follows as in (3.10) from the fact that  $\Delta(X, p)$ +0, by the Implicit Function Theorem. If N is small, 2) is valid for X, since the stable and unstable manifolds are tangent, at  $p$ , to the eigenspaces of  $DX_p$  (see (3.1)), which are transversal to  $\partial N$ ; the continuity of  $s_i(Y)$  (resp.  $u_i(Y)$ ) is proved in [5, p. 147]; differentiability relative to a parameter is shown in [16, p. 151]; 2) follows taking Y as parameter; the existence of  $S_i$ ,  $U_i$  follow from continuity.

*A construction*  $(4.4)$ . -- Assume the notation and hypothesis in  $(4.3)$ .

*a)* The point  $s_i(Y)$  divides S<sub>i</sub> into two closed arcs  $S_i^1(Y)$  and  $S_i^2(Y)$ , which have  $s_i(Y)$  as unique common point. See Fig. (4.1). S<sub>i</sub> is taken small so that every trajectory of YEB which enters N through  $x \in S^j(Y) - \{s_i(Y)\}\$ , leaves N through a point  $k^{\ddot{y}}_Y(x) \in U_j$ . This follows as in the first part of Proof  $(3.6)$ , by continuity. Furthermore, the mapping  $k_{Y}^{ij}: S_i^j(Y) \to U_i$ , defined above for  $x + s_i(Y)$  and equal  $u_j(Y)$  for  $s_i(Y)$  is continuous. This follows from the continuity property on hyperbolic sectors [19, p. 167].

b) The mapping  $k_{Y}^{\ddot{y}}$  is differentiable of class C' in  $S_i^{j}(Y)-\{s_i(Y)\}\$ , and if  $\sigma(X, p) < 0$ , for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , N, S<sub>i</sub> and B may be taken so small that  $\left| \frac{dk_Y^{ij}}{dx}(x) \right| < \varepsilon$ .



This follows from a well-known formula for the derivative in terms of  $\sigma$ , in the same way as in  $(3.6)$ .

*c)* Finally, the length of the arc  $x k_y^y(x)$  contained in N tends to the sum of the arc lengths of separatrices in N,  $\rho u_j(\vec{X})$  and  $\widehat{s_i(X)\rho}$ , as  $Y\to X$  and  $x\to s_i(X)$ . See [5, p. 149] for a proof of this fact.

Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be two saddle points of X (the case  $p_1=p_2$  is not excluded). Let  $m>0$  be less than the lengths of the saddle separatrices leaving or approaching  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Denote by B<sup>i</sup>, N<sup>i</sup>, U<sub>j</sub><sup>i</sup>, S<sub>j</sub><sup>i</sup>,  $u_j^i(Y)$ ,  $u_j^i(Y)$ ,  $j=1, 2$ , the objects associated to  $p=p_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , by (4.3). Let X have a saddle connection  $\gamma_X$  joining  $u_i^1(X)$  to  $s_j^2(X)$ , with length  $l>0$ . For  $Y \in B_1 \cap B_2$ , call  $\pi_Y = \pi(Y, ) : U^1 \rightarrow S^2$ , the map defined by the flow of Y (see (2.1)). Define  $f(Y) = \pi(Y, u_i^1(Y)) - s_i^2(Y)$ .

*Lemma* (4.5). -- *Assume the notation above.* Given  $\circ \leq \leq m$ , Y *has a saddle connection*  $\gamma_Y$ *joining u*<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub>(Y) and  $s<sup>2</sup>(Y)$ , with length within  $\epsilon$  of l, if and only if  $f(Y) = o$ ; otherwise any saddle *separatrix passing through any of these points has length greater than*  $\ell+m$ , for  $Y\in B=B_1\cap B_2$ *small.* Furthermore,  $df_x \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* -- The first part follows from continuity (on Y) of the length of arcs of trajectories far from singularities, and from the continuity property  $(4.4) c$  in N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>. If V is defined as in the proof of (2.4) in a small neighborhood of  $s_1(X)$ ,  $df_X(V) \neq 0$ , as follows similarly to  $(2.4)$ .

*Remark* (4.5.1). - Trajectorics of Y passing near  $u_1^1(Y)$  or  $s_i^2(Y)$  which do not connect them also have length greater than  $\ell+m$  by the same arguments as in the first part of proof of  $(4.5)$ .

*On simple loops*  $(4.6)$ . -- Assume the notation in  $(4.4)$  and  $(4.5)$  and suppose that  $\gamma_X$  is a loop of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $r > 1$ ,  $p_1 = p_2 = p$ . Let  $\sigma(X, p) \leq 0$  and take  $N_1 = N_2 = N$ ,  $u(X)=u^{1}(X), s(X)=s^{2}(X), \text{ and } B \subset B_{1} \cap B_{2} \text{ small so that for } Y \in B, |\pi_{Y}| \leq K \text{ in } U=U_{1}.$ Also, take  $\varepsilon = (1/2)K^{-1}$  in  $(4.4, b)$ , so that  $k_y = k_y^{11}$  satisfies  $|k_y| \leq (1/2)K^{-1}$  in  $S(Y) = S_1^1(Y) \subset S_1 = S.$ 

Take some orientation in  $\partial N$ , say, counterclockwise in Fig. (4.1); thus,  $k_x$ reverses orientation. Define  $\rho_Y = \pi_Y \circ k_Y : S(Y) \rightarrow S$ .

There are two cases: *a)*  $\pi_Y$  reverses orientation, and *b)*  $\pi_Y$  preserves orientation. Assume first case *a*), where  $\rho_Y$  preserves orientation. If  $f(Y)=0$ , Y has one loop  $\gamma_Y$  joining  $u(Y)$  to  $s(Y)$ , which is the  $\omega$ -limit set of all trajectories of Y meeting  $S(Y)$ - $\{u(Y)\}\$ . This follows from the fact that  $\rho_Y$  is a contraction, i.e.:

$$
|\rho_Y(x)-\rho_Y(y)| \leq (1/2)|x-y|, \quad x, y \in S(Y).
$$

If  $f(Y) \leq 0$ , obviously  $\rho_Y(S(Y)) \subset \text{Int } S(Y)$ , and  $\rho_Y$  has one fixed point, P(Y), generic and orbitally stable; thus, through  $P(Y)$  passes a periodic trajectory,  $\Gamma_Y$ , which is the  $\omega$ -limit set of all trajectories of Y meeting  $S(Y) - \{u(Y)\}\$  and of the saddle separatrices through  $u(Y)$ . Moreover,  $|P(Y)-u(Y)| \leq 2f(Y)$ , as follows from the evaluation of P(Y) as the limit of iterates of  $\rho_Y$ .

The separatrix through  $s(Y)$  meets Int  $U_1^2(Y)$  in  $s^1(Y) = \pi_Y^{-1}(s(Y))$ , and the closed arc  $s_1(Y)u(\tilde{Y})$  is mapped into S(Y) by  $\pi_Y$ ; thus  $\Gamma_Y$  is also the  $\omega$ -limit set of trajectories of Y passing through the arc  $s_1(Y)u(\tilde{Y})$ , open at  $s_1(Y)$ .

If  $f(Y)>0$ ,  $\rho_Y$  has no periodic point; in this case, the separatrix through  $u(Y)$ meets Int  $S_2^1(Y)$  at  $u'(Y) = \pi_Y(u(Y))$ , the separatrix through *s*(Y) meets successively  $U_1^1(Y)$ and S(Y) at points  $s^1(Y)=\pi_Y^{-1}(s(Y))$  and  $s^2(Y)=\rho_Y^{-1}(s(Y))$ . The closed arc  $\widehat{s^2(Y)s(Y)}$ is mapped by  $\rho_X$  onto  $s(Y)u'(Y)$ . See Fig. (4.5) for a graphical illustration of case a). Consider now case *b*), where  $\rho_Y$  reverses orientation. If  $f(Y) = 0$ :

 $\rho_Y : S(Y) \rightarrow S_1^2(Y)$ ,

has  $s(Y)$  as unique fixed point, and  $k_Y^{12} \circ \rho_Y : S(Y) \to U_2(Y)$  is defined. Call  $\gamma_Y$  the one-sided loop through  $s(Y)$ .

If 
$$
f(Y) < 0
$$
,  $\rho_Y(\pi_Y(u(Y))s(\tilde{Y})) \subset \pi_Y(u(Y))s(\tilde{Y})$  since:  

$$
\rho_Y(s(Y)) = \pi_Y \circ k_Y(s(Y)) = \pi_Y(u(Y)),
$$

and hence  $|\rho_Y(\pi_Y(u(Y)))-\pi_Y(u(Y))| = |\rho_Y(\pi_Y(u(Y)))-\rho_Y(s(Y))| \leq (1/2)|\pi_Y(u(Y))-s(Y)|.$ 

Therefore, since  $\rho_Y$  is a contraction, it has a unique fixed point  $P(Y) \in \text{Int } \pi_Y(u(X)) \setminus \{Y\}$ , since  $|P(Y)-s(Y)| \leq f(Y)$ . The separatrix through  $s(Y)$  meets successively  $U_1^1(Y)$ ,  $S(Y)$ , and  $U_1^2(Y)$  at points  $s^1(Y) = \pi_Y^{-1}(s(Y)), s^2(Y) = \rho_Y^{-1}(u(Y)),$  and  $s^3(Y) = \pi^{-1}(s^2(Y)).$  The arc  $\widehat{s^2(Y)s^1(Y)}$  is mapped by  $\pi_Y$  onto  $\widehat{s^2(Y)s(Y)}$  which is mapped by  $\rho_Y$  onto  $\widehat{\pi_Y(u(Y))s(Y)}$ . Thus the periodic trajectory  $\Gamma_Y$  of Y, passing through P(Y), which obviously is generic and one-sided, is the  $\omega$ -limit set of all trajectories through  $s^3(Y)s^1(Y)$ . If  $f(Y)>0$ ,  $\rho_Y(S(Y))\subset \text{Int } S_1^2(Y)$ , and  $\rho_Y$  has no periodic points. The separ-

atrices through  $s(Y)$  and  $u(Y)$  meet  $U_1^2(Y)$  and  $S_1^2(Y)$  at points  $s^1(Y)=\pi_Y^{-1}(s(Y))$  and  $u_1(Y) = \pi_Y(u(Y))$  respectively.  $\rho_Y$  maps  $\widehat{s^1(Y)u(Y)}$  onto  $\widehat{s(Y)u^1(Y)}$ ;  $S(Y)$  is mapped into Int  $U_1^2(Y)$  by  $\pi_Y^{-1}$ . See Fig. (4.6).

*Canonical Regions for fields in*  $Q_3$  (4.7). - Take  $X \in Q_3$ . In case *a*) of (4.6),  $\gamma_Y \cup \{\rho\}$ , which is a two-sided loop, has on its (orbitally) stable region a differentiable closed curve C, arbitrarily close to the loop, transversal to X, which together with  $\gamma_Y \cup \{\rho_Y\}$ , when  $f(Y) = 0$ , bound a region  $N(Y)$  homeomorphic to a cylinder. C meets  $S = S(X)$  transversally in a point  $m_0$ , which we regard as the lower extreme of S. Furthermore,  $\gamma_Y \cup \{\pmb{\rho}_Y\}$  is the  $\omega$ -Iimit set of trajectories of Y meeting Int N(Y). See Fig. (4.2) I'.

For X, these assertions follow from [1], taking  $C = \Gamma_Z$ , where Z is a vector field



Fro. 4.2. -- Canonical Regions in  $Q_3$ 

obtained from X by a small rotation (in a neighborhood of  $\gamma_X \cup \{p\}$  diffeomorphic to a plane region). For Y close to X, they follow from continuity and results in  $(4.6)$ , case  $a$ ). Obviously  $m_0$  is taken to be  $P(Z)$ .

For future reference we will distinguish two cases.

A) All the trajectories of X meeting C have the same  $\alpha$ -limit, which *a fortiori* must be a generic singular point of nodal or focal type, or a generic periodic trajectory.

B) There is some saddle separatrix of X which meets C.

A) and B) are the unique, and mutually exclusive possibilities; in either case, N(X) will be regarded as a critical region associated to the loop  $\gamma_x \cup \{\rho\}$ .

The other canonical regions that contain  $\gamma_x \cup \{p\}$  on their closure and are possible for  $X \in Q_3$  are shown in Fig. (4.2).

This follows from making all the compatible identifications of edges and/or vertices in the fundamental polygons in Fig.  $(4.3)$ .

For instance, II is obtained from  $a$ ), identifying  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ; III is obtained from  $a$ ), identifying  $\theta_1$  and  $\delta_1$ , and  $p_1$  and  $q$ ; IV is obtained from *a*) identifying  $\delta_i$  with  $\theta_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ .



V is obtained from *b*) identifying  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ ; VI and VII are obtained from *c*) making the identifications indicated in Fig. (4.2).

Consider the decomposition of  $M^2$  into canonical and critical regions of X.  $\gamma_Y$ belongs to the common boundary of two such regions, except in cases V, VI, VII, Fig.  $(4.2)$ , where it belongs to only one; call  $M(X)$  the union of the (closed) regions which contain  $\gamma_x$ . Call  $\widetilde{M}(X)$  the union of  $M(X)$  and the critical regions of X which intersect saddle separatrices on the boundary of  $M(X)$ .

The complement of Int  $M(X)$ , denoted  $\widetilde{N}(X)$ , is the union of a finite number of critical and canonical regions of X; these regions are of structurally stable type and such that, for Y close to X, to each canonical region of X corresponds one of Y of the same type; the critical regions of Y are the same as those of X. Call  $\widetilde{N}(Y)$  the union of such canonical regions of Y. Following [5], each canonical region of  $\widetilde{N}(X)$  is mapped by a topological equivalence onto its corresponding canonical region of  $\widetilde{N}(Y)$ ; gluing these partial mappings, a topological equivalence results, defined from the complement of all critical regions of  $\widetilde{N}(X)$  onto the complement of all critical regions of  $\widetilde{N}(Y)$ ; this topological equivalence is defined on the boundary of all critical regions, except on that of those contained in  $\widetilde{M}(X)$ , where it is defined only on the boundary of  $\widetilde{M}(X)$ . Below we show that when  $f(Y)=0$ , a topological equivalence can be defined from  $M(X)$  onto  $M(Y) = M^2 - Int \widetilde{N}(Y)$ , extending the above mentioned equivalence,

which thus becomes defined on the boundary of all critical regions of X. This topological equivalence is extended to the interior of the critical regions by the method of  $[5]$ .

We proceed to show how define a topological equivalence between  $M(X)$  and M(Y). In Fig.  $(4.4)$ , M(X) is made up of one region of type I and one of type III, Fig. (4.2);  $\widetilde{M}(X)$  is the union of  $M(X)$  and the critical regions of sources  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and sinks  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$  of generic type.

For region I, map by means of a homeomorphism  $h_1$ ,  $\widehat{k_1t_1}$  onto  $\widehat{k_1t_1}$ ; also map by ratio of arc length  $\delta_1 = k_1 p_1$ ,  $\gamma = p_1 p_2$ ,  $\delta_2 = p_2 k_2$ ,  $\Theta_1 = \ell_1 q$ ,  $\Theta_2 = q \ell_2$  onto their correspondents in  $\tilde{I}, \tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\Theta}_1, \tilde{\Theta}_2$ ; it should be remarked that this definition coincides with the above mentioned topological equivalence, which, following [5], takes saddle separatrices onto saddle separatrices by ratio of arc length. Divide every arc of trajectory

of X (resp. Y) joining  $m \in \text{Int } k_1 \ell_1$  (resp.  $\widetilde{m} = h_1(m) \in \text{Int } k_1 \widetilde{\ell}_1$ ) to  $n \in k_2 \ell_2$  (resp.  $\widetilde{n} \in k_2 \widetilde{\ell}_2$ into three arcs  $\delta_1(m)=mm_1$ ,  $\gamma(m)=m_1m_2$ ,  $\delta_2(m)=m_2n$  (resp.  $\delta_1(\widetilde{m})=\widetilde{m}\widetilde{m}_1$ ,  $\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{m})=\widetilde{m}_1\widetilde{m}_2$ .



$$
f(\mathrm{Y})\!>
$$

o  $f(Y) < 0$ 



FIG.  $4 \cdot 4$ .  $-$  Saddle connection

 $\widetilde{\delta}_2(\widetilde{m}) = \widetilde{\widetilde{m}}_2 \widetilde{\widetilde{n}}$ , in the following way. Take a continuous monotonic increasing function  $\eta$  $\sim$ from  $k_1 \ell_1$  onto [0, i] (resp.  $\eta = \eta \circ h_1 : k_1 \ell_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ); call  $a_1 = |\delta_1| (|\delta_1| + |\gamma| + |\delta_2|)$ ;  $b_1 = |\Theta_1| (|\Theta_1| + |\Theta_2|)^{-1}, a_2 = |\gamma| (|\gamma| + |\delta_2|)^{-1}, b_2 = 1$  (resp. call  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 = 1$ , the obvious analogous for  $\widetilde{I}$ ). Take  $m_1$  such that  $\left|\widetilde{mm_1}\right|(\left|\widetilde{mn}\right|)^{-1} = a_1(1-\eta(m)) + b_1\eta(m)$ and take  $m_2$  such that  $\left[m_1m_2\right](\left[m_1n\right])^{-1}=a_1(1-\eta(m))+b_2\eta(m)$  (resp. take  $\widetilde{m}_1$  and  $\widetilde{m}_2$ ) in the analogous way). Map  $\delta_1(m)$ ,  $\gamma(m)$  and  $\delta_2(m)$ , respectively onto  $\delta_1(\widetilde{m})$ ,  $\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{m})$  and  $\widetilde{\delta}_3(\widetilde{m})$ , by ratio of arc length. Thus we have defined a one-to-one map from I to I which, by  $(3.9.1)$  and the same arguments in the proof of  $(3.5)$ , is a topological equivalence between  $X/I$  and  $Y\tilde{I}$  that can be made arbitrarily close to the identity for Y close to  $X$  [5], and extends to I the above mentioned topological equivalence. Of course this construction works for regions II, III, and IV, obtained from I by proper identifications. Also, when region I is modified to having three saddle points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  joined by saddle separatrices  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ , or two saddle points  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  joined by a saddle separatrix  $\xi$ , which, respectively, are the cases of VI and VII, and V, it is clear how to construct the topological equivalence.

The extension of this map, now defined in  $\partial I'$ , to Int I' is done in a similar way as in the case of the stable part of a periodic trajectory (2.5). (Here,  $C_1=C$ ,  $\gamma_x=\gamma_x\cup\{\rho\}$ , and  $U=S(X)=m_0s(X)$ . See Fig.  $(4.5)$ .

For  $f(Y)$  + 0,  $Y \in \Sigma^r$  except in case B), when  $\gamma_X \cup \{p\}$  is the  $\omega$ -limit set of saddle separatrices: for the case where  $\gamma_X$  is not a loop, this follows by continuity of saddle separatrices and maps  $k_{\rm Y}^{\ddot{y}}$  in (4.4); in this case M(Y) has three canonical regions respectively joining  $\alpha_1$  to  $\omega_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  to  $\omega_2$ , and  $\alpha_i$  to  $\omega_i$ ,  $(i,j)=(1, 2)$  or  $(2, 1)$  according to the sign of  $f(Y)$ . See Fig. (4.4) (of course in the case of region V,  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\omega = \omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ ).

For the case of two-sided loops, following  $(4.6)$  a), we have that when  $f(Y)>0$ ,  $M(Y)$  has a region of type  $R_2(Y)$ , Fig. (3.2), with the sense on the trajectories reversed; the separatrix through  $s(Y)$  meets G at a point  $\widetilde{s}(Y)$ . The other region is bounded



FIG.  $4.5.$  - Two sided loop

*5* 

by the separatrices through  $s_2(Y)$  and  $u(Y)$  of  $p_Y$  and the separatrices entering and leaving  $q_Y$  (the correspondent of q). See Fig. (4.5). When  $f(Y) \leq 0$ ,  $\Gamma_Y$  (see (4.6)) is contained in a critical region bounded by C and  $C' = \Gamma_{\alpha}$ , where Z' is a rotated field like the one used to construct  $C$ ; the canonical regions of  $M(Y)$  are similar to those for the case  $f(Y)>0$ , with the sense of the trajectories reversed, replacing C by C'. See Fig.  $(4.5)$ .

Thus in case A),  $f(Y) \neq 0$ , and in case B),  $f(Y) \leq 0$ , Y is in  $\Sigma^r$  as follows from the above assertions and arguments similar to those in (2.8). For the case B),  $f(Y)>0$ ,



Fro.  $4.6.$  -- One sided loop after perturbation

 $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$  winds around C when Y  $\rightarrow X$  and meets infinitely many times, for fields Y arbitrarily close to X, all the unstable separatrices which (by hypothesis) intersect C.

For the case of one-sided loops following (4.6) *b),* we have the canonical and critical regions on  $M(Y)$  as shown in Fig. (4.6).

*Remark* (4.7.x). -- Given any number  $L>0$  (resp.  $T>0$ ), B can be taken so that any trajectory of Y meeting C has length (resp. spends a time) greater than L (resp. T before closing, if it closes at all). This assertion is obvious by continuity arguments since it holds for X.

We summarize  $(4.7)$  in the following lemma.

*Lemma* (4.8). -- *Call*  $Q_3(n)$  *the set of*  $X \in Q_3$  *of Proposition* (4.2) *whose saddle connection*  $\gamma_X$  *has length less than n. Then:* 

a)  $Q_{a}(n)$  *is a submanifold of class*  $C^{r-1}$  *and codimension one imbedded in*  $\mathfrak{X}'$ *, and* 

b) *every*  $X \in Q_{3}(n)$  *has a neighborhood*  $B_1$  *in*  $Q_{3}(n)$  *such that every*  $Y \in B$  *is topologically equivalent to X.* 

*Proof.* -- Take  $L>n$  in (4.8.1) and  $\epsilon < n-|\gamma_{X}|$  in (4.5). Take B as in (4.8),  $(4.8.1)$  and  $(4.5)$ ; *a)* follows from  $(4.8.1)$  since  $f^{-1}(0) = Q_3(n) \cap B = B_1$ , for all saddle connections, if any, of Y,  $f(Y)>0$ , must have length greater than  $L>n$ ; b) is proved in (4.7).

*Proof of Proposition*  $(4.2)$ . -- Immediate by  $(4.8)$  since  $Q_3 = U Q_3(n)$  and  $Q_3(n) \subset Q_3(n+1)$ .

*Remarks* (4.8.1). - Call  $\tilde{Q}_3$  the subset of  $Q_3$  consisting of fields X which present case A) defined in  $(4.7)$ . The following is proved in  $(4.7)$ .

*a)* Proposition (2.2) holds for  $\tilde{Q}_3$ , changing immersed by imbedded. Furthermore  $\tilde{Q}_3$  is  $open$  in  $\mathfrak{X}'_1$ .

*b)*  $\widetilde{Q}_3^1 = Q_3 - \widetilde{Q}_3$  is open in  $Q_3$  and its intrinsic topology it finer than its ambient topology.

*c)* The fact that for  $X \in \widetilde{Q}_3^1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  small,  $f^{-1}((-\epsilon, 0)) \subset \Sigma^r$ , while  $f^{-1}((0, \epsilon))$ is not completely contained in  $\Sigma^r$ , can be expressed by asserting that  $\Sigma^r\cup \widetilde{Q}_3^1$  is a submanifold of  $\mathfrak{X}'$  with boundary  $\widetilde{Q}_3^1$ .

*d)* From  $(2.4.1)$  and  $(4.7.1)$  it follows that  $Q_2(n) \cup Q'_2(n) \cup Q_3(n)$  is an  $imbedded$  submanifold of  $\mathfrak{X}'$ .

# $5.$  The Manifold  $\Sigma^r_{1}$ .

We define  $S_i=Q_i\cup Q_2(i)\cup Q'_2(i)\cup Q_3(i)$ . By (3.13) and (4.8.1) *d*),  $S_i$  is an imbedded submanifold of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Hence,  $\Sigma_i = \bigcup S_i$  is an immersed submanifold of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

*Theorem 1.* -- a)  $\Sigma_1^r$  *defined above is an immersed Banach submanifold of class*  $C^{r-1}$  *and codimension one of*  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 4$ .

b)  $\Sigma_1^r$  *is dense in*  $\mathfrak{X}_1^r$ .

c) *Every*  $X \in \Sigma^r$  has a  $\Sigma^r$ -neighborhood  $B_1$ , i.e., a neighborhood in the intrinsic topology of  $\Sigma^r_1$ , such that X is topologically equivalent to every  $Y \in B_1$ .

*Proof.* -- Part *a*) follows from definition of  $\Sigma_i$ ; part *c*) follows from Propositions (2.2),  $(3.13)$ ,  $(4.8.1)$  *d*). Part *b*) follows from a sequence of approximations similar to those used in [8] to get density of  $\Sigma$ ; the steps leading to b) are more suitably stated in Part II, Remarks  $(2.1.1), (2.2.3), (2.3.1).$ 

# **6. On First Order Structural Stability.**

A field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_1^r$  is said to be *first order structurally stable* if there is a neighborhood N of X in the subspace  $\mathfrak{X}'_1$  with the induced C'-topology, such that every Y  $\in$  N is topologically equivalent to X.

This concept is due to A. Andronov and E. Leontovich, see [12]. We will denote by  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1^r$  the set of first order structurally stable vector fields.

After (2.8), (3.13), (4.8.1) it follows that  $Q_1$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_2$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_3$  are contained in  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1^r$ , and since each one is open in  $\mathfrak{X}'_1$ ,  $Q_1 \cup \widetilde{Q}_2 \cup \widetilde{Q}_3 \subset \widetilde{\Sigma}'_1$ . By suitable C'-approximations, it is not hard to show [14] that no field of  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ , outside  $Q_1 \cup \widetilde{Q}_2 \cup \widetilde{Q}_3$ , can be in  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_1^r$ . That is,  $\Sigma_1^r = Q_1 \cup \widetilde{Q}_2 \cup \widetilde{Q}_3$ . Thus, since each  $Q_i$  is also an imbedded submanifold,  $\tilde{\Sigma}_1^r$  is an imbedded Banach submanifold of class  $C^{r-1}$  and codimension one of  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ ,  $r \geq 4$ , open in  $\mathfrak{X}_1^r$ .

It is obvious how to define the set  $\widetilde{\Sigma}_n^r$  of *n*-th order structurally stable vector fields as well as  $\Sigma_n^r$  (an *n*-dimensional version of  $\Sigma_1^r$ ); the characterization of these sets seems most important for a generic theory of families of vector fields depending on n parameters.

# II. - GENERIC ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF VECTOR FIELDS

#### **x.** Preliminaries.

Let  $J=[a, b]$  be a closed interval. Denote by  $\Phi^r$  the space of  $C^1$  mappings  $\xi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ . Under the C<sup>1</sup> topology,  $\Phi'$  is a Banach manifold; its elements will be called *one-parameter families of vector fields.*  $\lambda_0 \in J$  is called an *ordinary value* of  $\xi \in \Phi^r$  if there is a neighborhood N of  $\lambda_0$  such that  $\xi(\lambda)$  is topologically equivalent to  $\xi(\lambda_0)$  for every  $\lambda \in N$ ; if  $\lambda_0$  is not an ordinary value of  $\xi$ , it is called a *bifurcation value* of  $\xi$ . Obviously, if  $\xi(\lambda_0) \in \Sigma^r$ ,  $\lambda_0$  is an ordinary value of  $\xi$ ; equivalently, if  $\lambda_0$  is a bifurcation value of  $\xi$ , then  $\xi(\lambda_0) \in \mathfrak{X}_1'.$ 

*Examples* (**x**.**x**).  $- a$  *Let*  $\xi(\lambda) = (1, \lambda)$  in  $M^2 = T^2 = R^2/Z^2$ . Every  $\lambda \in [a, b]$  is a bifurcation value of  $\xi$ . This follows from the fact that the rotation number of  $\xi(\lambda)$ , which in this case is  $\lambda$  itself, is a topological invariant of  $\xi(\lambda)$ .

b) Let  $\xi$  be transversal to  $\Sigma_1^r$ . Every  $\lambda_0 \in \xi^{-1}(\Sigma_1^r)$  is a bifurcation value of  $\xi$ . This follows from the results in Part II, where the topological change of the phase space of Y= $\xi(\lambda)$  is described in a neighborhood of  $X=\xi(\lambda_0)$ , according to the sign of  $f(Y)$ defined there; the transversality condition implies that  $f \circ \xi$  is monotonic on any neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$ , on which, therefore, we find  $\lambda$ 's for which  $\xi(\lambda)$  is not topologically equivalent to  $\xi(\lambda_0)$ .

*Two preliminary lemmas* ( $x \cdot 2$ ). — The following lemmas have a straightforward verification. We recall that, since J is manifold with boundary,  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\xi$  is transversal to Q if it is so when restricted to  $(a, b)$  and also when restricted to  $\{a, b\}$  (i.e.,  $\xi(a)$ ,  $\xi(b) \notin Q$ , if Q has codimension $>$ o).

*Lemma a). — Let* Q be an imbedded Banach submanifold of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Call  $\Phi(Q)$  the collection  $of \ E \in \Phi^r$  such that:

- $i)$   $\xi$ (I) and  $\partial Q = (C \log Q) Q$  are disjoint, and
- $2) \xi$  *is transversal to Q.*
- *Then*  $\Phi(Q)$  *is open in*  $\Phi'$ .

*Lemma b).* -- Call  $\Phi_i$  the space of  $C^{r+1}$  mappings  $\xi_i : J \times M^2 \to T(M^2)$  such that  $\pi(\xi_1(\lambda, p))=p$  *for all*  $\lambda, p$ ;  $\pi$  *stands for the projection of*  $T(M^2)$  *onto*  $M^2$ .  $\Phi_1^r$  *is endowed with* the  $C^{r+1}$  topology. For  $\xi_i \in \Phi$ , define  $\xi(\lambda) = \xi_i(\lambda, ) : M^2 \to T(M^2)$ . Then,  $\xi \in \Phi$ , and  $\xi_1 \mapsto \xi$  is a continuous linear mapping whose image is dense in  $\Phi^r$ .

*Theorem 2. -- Assume*  $r \geq 4$ *. Call*  $\Gamma^r$  *the set of one-parameter families of vector fields*  $\xi$ *such that:* 

1)  $\xi$ (J)c[K-S]'  $\cup$   $\Sigma_1^r$ .

 $\mathcal{L}$   $\geq$  *is transversal to*  $\Sigma^r$ .

3) *The set of ordinary values of*  $\xi$  *is open and dense in J and coincides with*  $\xi^{-1}(\Sigma^r)$ .

*Then*  $\Gamma^r$  contains a Baire subset of  $\Phi^r$ , in particular,  $\Gamma^r$  is dense in  $\Phi^r$ .

# **2. Proof of Theorem 2.**

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on several propositions.

*Proposition*  $(z, x)$ . -- *Denote by*  $\Phi(Q_1)$  *the set of*  $\xi \in \Phi^r$  *such that:* 

 $I) \xi(1)$  *and*  $\partial Q_1 = (C \log Q_1) - Q_1$  *are disjoint and* 

 $2) \xi$  *is transversal to*  $Q_1$ .

*Then*  $\Phi(Q_1)$  *is open and dense in*  $\Phi^r$ .

*Proof.* -- The openness of  $\Phi(Q_1)$  follows from  $(1.2)$  a). Let  $\xi \in \Phi^r$ ; we will show that it can be approximated by  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_1)$ ; this will prove the density of  $\Phi(Q_1)$ . By (1.2) *b*), we may assume that  $\xi(\lambda)(x) = \xi_1(\lambda, x)$  for  $\xi_1 \in \Phi_1^r$ . By density of transversality and density of  $\Sigma^r$ , we may assume that  $\xi_1$  is transversal to  $M_0^2$ , the zero section of  $T(M^2)$ , and that  $\xi(a), \xi(b) \in \Sigma^r$ .  $\xi_1^{-1}(M_0^2) = S(\xi_1)$  is a one-dimensional  $C^{r+1}$  submanifold of  $J \times M^2$ , which depends continuously on  $\xi_1$  (in the C<sup>r+1</sup> sense); S( $\xi_1$ ) is transversal to  $\{\lambda_0\}\times M^2$  at  $(\lambda_0, p_0) \in S(\xi_1)$  if and only if  $p_0$  is a simple singular point of  $\xi(\lambda_0)$ ; since  $\xi(a),~\xi(b) \in \Sigma^r$ ,  $S(\xi_1)$  is transversal to  ${a} \times M^2$  and  ${b} \times M^2$ .

Let  $p_0$  be a singular point of  $\xi(\lambda_0)$ , call  $\xi'_1(\lambda, x_1, x_2)$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , the components of  $\xi_1$ in a coordinate system  $(x_1, x_2)$  around  $p_0$ .

 $\xi_1$  is transversal to  $M_0^2$  at  $(\lambda_0, \rho_0)$  if and only if the Jacobian matrix of  $\xi_1^i(\lambda, x_1, x_2)$ has rank 2 at  $(\lambda_0, p_0)$ . When  $p_0$  is not a simple singular point of  $\xi(\lambda_0)$ , the coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$  may be taken such that  $x_1(p_0) = x_2(p_0) = 0$  and the Jacobian matrix of  $\xi_1^i(\lambda, x_1, x_2)$  has one of the following forms:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c & 0 \ c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c & 0 \ c_1 & c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

In either case there is a neighborhood  $N_{\delta} = \{ |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \delta, |x_i| \leq \delta \}$  such that  $d\lambda$ ,  $dx_1$ ,  $S(\xi_1) \cap N_8$  is given by  $\lambda = \lambda(x_2)$ ,  $x_1 = x_1(x_2)$  for  $|x_2| < \delta$ , with  $\frac{1}{|x_1|}(0) = \frac{1}{|x_2|}(0) = 0$ . Thus, it may be assumed that  $|\lambda(x_2)-\lambda_0|, |x_1(x_2)| \leq \delta_0$  for  $|x_2| \leq \delta, \delta_0 \leq \delta.$ 

*37* 

Let  $\varepsilon$  be a regular value of  $\lambda^1(x_2)$ ; let  $\varphi$  be a bump function:  $\varphi = I$ , for  $|x_2| \le \delta_0$ ;  $\varphi=0$ , for  $|x_2|\geq \delta_1$ ;  $\delta_0\leq \delta_1\leq \delta$ . Define  $\lambda_1(x_2)$  by  $\lambda_1(x_2)=\lambda(x_2)-\varepsilon\varphi(x_2)x_2$ ; by Sard's Theorem,  $\varepsilon$  can be taken so small that  $|\lambda_1(x_2)-\lambda_0|\leq \delta$  for  $|x_2|\leq \delta$ . Define  $\xi_1^{(1)} \in \Phi_1^*$ by  $\xi_1^{(1)}(\lambda, x_1, x_2) = \xi_1(\lambda + \varepsilon \varphi(x_2)x_2, x_1, x_2)$ . For  $\varepsilon$  small,  $\xi_1^{(1)}$  is  $C^{r+1}$  close to  $\xi_1$ ; also, in Clos N<sub> $\delta_1$ </sub>, S( $\xi_1^{(1)}$ ) is given by  $\lambda = \lambda_1(x_2)$ ,  $x_1 = x_1(x_2)$ ,  $x_2 = x_2$ ; outside N<sub> $\delta_1$ </sub>,  $\xi_1^{(1)} = \xi_1$ ; thus, if  $|x_2| \leq \delta_0$  and  $\frac{d\lambda_1}{dx_0}(x_2)=0$ , then  $\frac{d^2\lambda_1}{dx_0^2}(x_2)\neq 0$ , by construction of  $\lambda_1$ . Therefore, in Clos  $N_{\delta}$ ,  $S(\xi_i^{(i)})$  has only a finite number of non-simple singular points, one corresponding to each critical point of  $\lambda_1$ . Since these critical points are non-degenerate, this situation is not changed by small perturbations of  $\xi_1^{(1)}$ .

The set of non-simple singular points of  $\xi_1$  is compact and can be covered by a finite number of neighborhoods  $N_{\delta_0^1}, N_{\delta_0^2}, \ldots, N_{\delta_0^k}$  with  $N_{\delta_0^i} \subset N_{\delta_1^i} \subset N_{\delta^i}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . As indicated above, we approximate  $\xi_1$  by  $\xi_1^{(1)}$  on  $N_{\delta_1}$ ; then with the same criterion, we approximate  $\xi_1^{(1)}$  by  $\xi_1^{(2)}$  on  $N_{\delta_1^2}$ , without destroying the non-degeneracy conditions (which are open) already obtained in Clos N<sub>8</sub>; next we approximate  $\xi_1^{(2)}$  by  $\xi_1^{(3)}$  on N<sub>8</sub>? without destroying what was already obtained in Clos  $\{N_{\delta_t^1} \cup N_{\delta_t^2}\}$ , and so on. In this way we obtain  $\xi_1^{(k)}$  with only a finite number of non-simple singular points  $(\lambda_1, \rho_1)$ ,  $(\lambda_2, \lambda_2), \ldots, (\lambda_n, \lambda_n)$ , corresponding to the critical (non-degenerate) points of the projection of  $S(\xi_1^{(k)})$  onto J. By further modification, if necessary, we get:

$$
a<\lambda_1<\lambda_2<\ldots<\lambda_n
$$

Next we modify  $\xi_i^{(k)}$  to make  $p_i$  a saddle-node of  $\xi_i^{(k)}(\lambda_i)$ ; this is done by a small perturbation on the linear and quadratic terms of  $\xi^{(k)}$  around  $p_i$ . Call  $\eta_1^0$  the family thus obtained;  $\eta^0(\lambda_i), i=1, 2, \ldots, n$ , has the saddle-node  $p_i$  as unique non-simple singular point. We approximate  $\eta_1^0$  by  $\eta_1^{(1)}$  which, at  $\lambda_i$ , satisfies condition (1) of Prop. (3.6), Part I; the other conditions, 2), 3), 4), of this proposition are obtained for  $\eta^{(1)}(\lambda_i)$  by the approximation techniques introduced by M. Peixoto [8] to obtain the same conditions.

Thus,  $\eta^{(1)}(\lambda_i) \in Q_1^1$ ; furthermore, at the saddle-nodes  $p_i$  of  $\eta^{(1)}(\lambda_i)$ , the transversality of  $\eta_1^{(1)}$  to  $M_0^2$  at  $(\lambda_i, p_i)$  is equivalent to the transversality of  $\eta_1^{(1)}$  to the local submanifold associated to  $p_i$  and  $\eta^{(1)}(\lambda_i)$  defined in (3.2), Part I; actually,  $c_2$  of the first expression in (2.1.1) (which corresponds to saddle-nodes), is such that  $df_x(V) = c_2 + 0$ , for  $X = \eta^{(1)}(\lambda_i), \quad V = \frac{\partial \eta^{(1)}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda_i)$  where f is the function defined in (3.2), Part I.

Obviously,  $\eta^{(1)} \in \Phi(Q_1^1)$ . In fact,  $\eta^{(1)}(\overline{\lambda}) \in \partial Q_1^1$ ,  $\overline{\lambda} \neq \lambda_i$ , implies that in every neighborhood of  $\eta^1(\bar{\lambda})$  there are fields of  $Q_1^1$ , which is not possible since for  $\bar{\lambda}+\lambda_i$ ,  $\eta^{(1)}(\bar{\lambda})$ has only simple singular points (and this holds for fields in a neighborhood of  $\eta^{(1)}(\bar{\lambda})$ ).

Now we show how to approximate  $\eta^{(1)}$  by  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_1^2)$ .

Let  $p_0$  be a simple singular point of  $\eta^{(1)}(\lambda_0)$  as in (3.10), Part I. Let K be a neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$  such that  $\eta^{(1)}(K) \subset B$ . Let  $P(\lambda) = P(\eta^{(1)}(\lambda))$ , (see (3.10), Part I) and let  $\sigma(\eta^{(1)}(\lambda) = \sigma(\eta^{(1)}(\lambda))$ ; obviously,  $S(\eta^{(1)}) \cap (K \times U) = \{(\lambda, P(\lambda))\}; \lambda \in K\}.$ 

Take neighborhoods  $K_0^1 C K_0 C K$  of  $\lambda_0$ , and  $U_0^1 C U_0 C U$  of p, such that:

Clos 
$$
K_0^1 \subset
$$
Int  $K_0$ , Clos  $K_0 \subset$ Int K, Clos  $U_0^1 \subset$ Int  $U_0$ ,  
Clos  $U_0 \subset$ Int  $U$ ,  $P(K_0) \subset U_0^1$ .

Take bump functions  $v, \varphi : v = I$  on  $K_0^1$ ,  $v=0$  outside  $K_0$ ;  $\varphi = I$  on  $U_0^1$  and  $\varphi = 0$ outside  $U_0$ . Take coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$  in U and define:

$$
\delta(\lambda)(x_1, x_2) = -c(x_1 - P_1(\lambda))\varphi(x_1, x_2)\nu(\lambda),
$$

where  $P_1(\lambda)$  is the first coordinate of  $P(\lambda)$ , and c is a regular value of  $\sigma(\eta^{(1)})$ . For  $\epsilon$ small,  $\eta^{(2)}=\eta^{(1)}+\delta$  is close to  $\eta^{(1)}$ ; also  $\eta^{(2)}=\eta^{(1)}$  outside  $K_0\times U_0$ ,  $S(\eta^{(2)})=S(\eta^{(1)}),$ and  $\sigma(\eta^{(2)}(\lambda)=\sigma(\eta^{(1)})(\lambda)-\varepsilon$  for  $\lambda \in \text{Clos K}^1_0$ .

Thus, when  $\sigma(\eta^{(2)})(\lambda) = 0$ , then  $\sigma(\eta^{(2)})'(\lambda) \neq 0$ , and  $\eta^{(2)}$  has only a finite number of non-generic singular points on  $S(\eta^{(2)}) \cap ((\text{Clos } K_0^1) \times U_0^1)$ ;  $\eta^{(2)}$  Clos  $K_0^1$  is transversal to the local submanifold  $f=0$  defined in (3.10), Part I.

The set of simple non-generic singular points of  $\eta^{(1)}$  is compact and can be covered by a finite number of neighborhoods  $K_0^1(i) \times U_0^1(i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, ..., m$ , with the properties of  $K_0^1 \times U_0^1$  above; obviously  $K(i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots, m$ , is disjoint from  $(\eta^{(1)})^{-1}(Q_1^1)$ . We approximate  $\eta^{(1)}$  by  $\eta^{(2)}$ , as above, on Clos  $K_0^1(1) \times U_0^1(1)$ ; next, in the same fashion, we approximate  $\eta^{(2)}$  by  $\eta^{(3)}$  in Clos  $K_0^1(2) \times U_0^1(2)$  without breaking the transversality conditions (which are open) obtained in  $K_0^1(1) \times U_0^1(1)$ ; we repeat this process on  $K_0^1(3) \times U_0^1(3), \ldots, K_0^1(m) \times U_0^1(m)$  and obtain  $\eta^{(m+1)}$  with finitely many non-generic simple singular points  $(\lambda_1, p_1), (\lambda_2, p_2), \ldots, (\lambda_t, p_t), \tau^{(m+1)}$  being transversal to the local submanifolds  $f=0$  associated to  $p=p_i$  and  $X=\eta^{(m+1)}(\lambda_i)$  of (3.10), Part I. After a further small modification, we may assume that  $a \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_l \leq b$ . Now we approximate  $\eta^{(m+1)}$  by  $\eta$  which at  $\lambda_i$  has  $p_i$  as a composed-focus; this is done by a small change in the coefficients of the terms of second, third, and fourth order at  $p_i$  (see [21] for a coordinate expression of  $p_{\mathcal{S}}^{(0)}(0)$  defined in (3.11), Part I). Further modification leads to  $\eta(\lambda_i) \in Q_1^2$ ; this is done as indicated above for the case of saddle-nodes, using the approximation techniques in [8] to obtain conditions 2), 3), and 4) of (3.12), Part I (condition 1) is already satisfied) for  $\eta(\lambda_i)$ . As in the case of  $Q_1^1$ , it follows that  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_1^2) \cap \Phi(Q_1^1) = \Phi(Q_1^1 \cup Q_1^2) = \Phi(Q_1).$  This ends the proof of (2.1).

*Remark* (**2.1.1**). -- Call  $Q_1^0$  the set of vector fields in  $\mathfrak{X}^r$ , which have non-generic singular points. Then  $Q_1$  is dense in  $Q_1^0$ .

For instance, if  $p_0$  is a non-generic singular point of  $X \in Q_1^0$ , we can find  $X_1$ C<sup>r</sup>-close to  $X_1$  which has a quasi-generic singular point at  $p_0$  as unique non-generic singular point; if  $p_0$  is a saddle-node (resp. composed-focus) of  $X_1$ , there is an  $X_2$ , C'-close to  $X_1$ , which belongs to  $Q_1^1$  (resp.  $Q_1^2$ ). This follows from arguments similar to those in the proof of  $(2.1)$ , using  $[8]$ .

*Remark* (**2.1.2**). — If  $\xi \in \Phi(Q_1)$ ,  $\xi^{-1}(Q_1)$  has a finite number of points  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ ; we may assume that  $\lambda_i$  has a neighborhood  $K_i$  such that  $\xi(K_i) \subset B_i$ , a neighborhood

of  $X_i = \xi(\lambda_i)$  which, by (3.13), Part I, can be taken disjoint with  $\mathfrak{X}_i - Q_1$ . Thus,  $\xi$  has a neighborhood  $\vartheta \subset \Phi(Q_1)$  such that every  $\eta \in \vartheta$  is such that  $\eta(K_i) \subset B_i$ , and hence  $\eta | K_i \in \Phi(Q_n(n)) \cap \Phi(Q_n(n)) \cap \Phi(Q_n(n))$ , for every  $n = 1, 2, ...$  Therefore, to approximate  $\xi$  by  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_2(n)) \cap \Phi(Q_2(n)) \cap \Phi(Q_3(n))$ , it is sufficient to do so on  $J-\bigcup K_i\subset UJ_i$ , where  $J_i$  are closed intervals whose extremities are a, b, or the extremities of K<sub>i</sub>. By continuity, every  $\eta \in \vartheta$  can be assumed to have only generic singular points on  $J_i$  and to be structurally stable on the extremities of  $J_i$ .

*Remark* (2.1.3). -- *a*) Let  $\emptyset$  be an open set of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that every Y $\in \mathcal{O}$  has only generic singular points. Call  $\tau(Y)$  the minimum of the periods of all periodic trajectories of Y;  $\tau(Y) = \infty$ , if Y has no periodic trajectory.

It follows easily that  $\tau$  is a positive lower semicontinuous function; see, for example, [6, p. 219].

*b)* Under the same hypothesis in *a),* the minimum of the length of saddle separatrices (resp. connections) of  $Y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\ell(Y)$  (resp.  $\ell_1(Y)$ ), is a positive lower semicontinuous function, as follows from  $(4.5)$ , Part I. Here we are assuming that a saddle separatrix whose  $\alpha$ or  $\omega$ -limit set is a generic node or focus has infinite length, and that  $\ell(Y)$  (resp.  $\ell_1(Y)$ ) is infinite when X has no saddle separatrix (resp. no saddle connection). Obviously,  $l_1 < l$ .

*Proposition* (2.2).  $-\Phi(Q_2(n))$  and  $\Phi(Q'_2(n))$ , defined as in (2.1) according to (1.2) *a)*, *are open and dense in @'.* 

The proof of this proposition depends on two preliminary results. Some notation is introduced first.

Assume that  $\xi \in \Phi_1^r$ ; let  $\gamma$  be a periodic trajectory of period  $\tau$  of  $X = \xi(\lambda_0)$  and let  $\pi : B_0 \times U_0 \to U$  be the mapping defined (in (2.1), Part I) in a neighborhood of  ${X}\times\{p\}$ ,  $p\in\gamma$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon>0$ , a neighborhood N of  $\gamma$ , and a positive integer  $\overline{n}$ are given; then B<sub>0</sub> and U<sub>0</sub> can be taken so that every arc of trajectory of Y $\in$ B<sub>0</sub> joining  $u \in U_0$  to  $\pi_Y^{\bar{n}}(u) \in U$  spends a time within  $\epsilon$  of  $\tau \bar{n}$  and is contained in Int N. Take neighborhoods  $N'_0$  and  $N_0$  of  $\gamma$  and  $U'_0 \subset U^2_0$  of p, such that  $C \text{los } N'_0 \subset \text{Int }N_0$ , Clos  $N_0$  c Int N,  $N'_0 \cap U_0 = U'_0$ , and Clos  $U'_0$  c Int  $U_0^2$  c  $U_0$ ; also take neighborhoods  $K_0' \subset K_0 \subset K$  of  $\lambda_0$  such that Clos  $K_0' \subset \text{Int } K_0$ , Clos  $K_0 \subset \text{Int } K$ , and  $\xi(K) \subset B_0$ . Define  $\pi_{\xi}: K\times U_0\to U$  by  $\pi_{\xi}(\lambda, u)=\pi(\xi(\lambda), u).$ 

*Lemma*  $(a.a. r)$ *.*  $-$  *Assume the notation above.* 

a) If  $\gamma$  is two-sided,  $\pi_{\epsilon}$  can be  $\mathrm{C}^{r+1}$  approximated by  $\pi_{1}$  such that  $\pi_{1}=\pi_{\epsilon}$  outside  $\mathrm{K}_{0}\times\mathrm{U}_{0}^{2}$ ,  $\pi_1(\lambda, u) - u$  restricted to Clos  $K_0' \times U_0'$  has zero as regular value, and when  $\pi_1(\lambda, u) = u$  and  $\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial u}(\lambda, u) = I$ , then  $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_1}{\partial u^2}(\lambda, u) \neq 0$ .

b) If  $\gamma$  is one-sided, we may assume that  $\xi(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in K$  *has only one one-sided periodic trajectory meeting*  $U'_0$  at  $a(\lambda)$   $(=k(\xi(\lambda))$  of  $(2.6)$ , *Part I*).  $\pi_{\xi}$  can be  $C'^{+1}$  approximated by  $\pi_1$  such *40* 

*that*  $\pi_1(\lambda, u) = u$  only for  $u = a(\lambda)$ ,  $\pi_1 = \pi_{\xi}$  outside  $K_0 \times U_0^2$ , and at every  $\lambda \in \text{Clos } K_0$  with  $\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial u}(\lambda, u) = -1$  at  $u = a(\lambda)$ , then  $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_1}{\partial \lambda \partial u}(\lambda, u) \neq 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^3 \pi_1}{\partial u^3}(\lambda, \pi_1(\lambda, u)) \neq 0$  at  $u = a(\lambda)$ . *Proof.* — Follows from Sard's Theorem.

*Lemma* (2.2.2) (Kupka).  $-In$  *the space of*  $C^{r+1}$  *functions from*  $K_0 \times U_0$  *to*  $U$ *, with* the C<sup>r+1</sup> topology, there is a neighborhood V of  $\pi_{\epsilon}$  where a continuous  $\Phi_{j}^{r}$ -valued mapping  $\pi \rightarrow \xi_{\pi}$ *is defined so that*  $\pi_{\xi_{\pi}} = \pi$  *in* Clos  $K_0' \times U_0'$ ,  $\pi_{\xi_{\pi}} = \pi_{\xi}$  *outside*  $K_0 \times U_0^2$  *and*  $\xi_{\pi} = \xi$  *outside*  $K_0 \times N_0$ .

*Proof.* -- Similar to [12, p. 464].

*Proof of (2.2).* -- Given  $\xi \in \Phi^r$ , we will approximate it by  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_2(n)) \cap \Phi(Q'_2(n))$ . We may assume that  $\xi$  has only generic singular points and that  $\xi(a)$ ,  $\xi(b) \in \Sigma^r$ , by Remark  $(2.1.2)$ ; also, we may assume that every periodic trajectory of  $\xi$  has period greater than  $\tau_0 > 0$ , by Remark (2.1.3), *a*). Call P(*n*) the following set:

 $\{(\lambda, p) \in J \times M^2$ , such that  $\xi(\lambda)$  has a non-generic periodic trajectory  $\gamma$  of period  $\leq n$ through  $p$ .

 $P(n)$  is a compact subset contained in Int  $J \times M^2$ ; the subset  $P_1(n) \subset P(n)$  of points for which  $\gamma$  is one-sided, is also compact.

First we will approximate  $\xi$  by  $\overline{\eta} \in \Phi(Q'_2(n))$ . For  $\lambda_0 \in J$ ,  $\xi(\lambda_0)$  has at most a finite number of one-sided periodic trajectories  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k$ . Take  $\bar{n}=2, \epsilon < \tau_0$ , and  $N(i) = N(\gamma_i)$  disjoint neighborhoods of  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ . B<sub>0</sub> is taken as above with the additional conditions that every periodic trajectory of  $Y \in B_0$  has period  $>_{\tau_0}$  $(2.1.3)$ , *a*), and that, on  $M^2 - \bigcup N_0(i)$ ,  $Y \in B_0$  has only either periodic trajectories of period >n or two-sided periodic trajectories of period  $\leq n$ .  $K = K(\lambda_0)$ ,  $K'_0 = K'_0(\lambda_0)$ , etc., are taken as above. Take a finite covering  $K_0'(\lambda_1), K_0'(\lambda_2), \ldots, K_0'(\lambda_m)$  of the projection of P<sub>1</sub>(n) on J, and take  $N_0'(\lambda_i)(1)$ ,  $N_0'(\lambda_i)(2)$ , ...,  $N_0'(\lambda_i)(k_i)$ , the corresponding neighborhoods of the one-sided periodic trajectories of  $\xi(\lambda_i)$ . On each  $K_0(\lambda_1)\times N_0(\lambda_1)(i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots, k_1$ , approximate  $\xi$  (using (2.2.2)) by  $\overline{\eta}_1$  such that  $\pi_{\overline{\eta}_1}=\pi_1$  of (2.2.1), *b*) on  $K_0(\lambda_1)\times U_0^2(i)$  and  $\bar{\gamma}_1=\xi$  outside  $K_0(\lambda_1)\times (U_1N_0(\lambda_1)(i))$ . Then, with the same criterion, approximate  $\bar{\gamma}_1$  by  $\bar{\gamma}_2$  on each  $K_0(\lambda_2) \times N_0(\lambda_2)(i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, ..., k_2$ , without breaking the regularity conditions (which are open) obtained for  $\pi_{\tilde{\tau}_1}$  on Clos  $K_0'(\lambda_1)$ . Iterating this procedure for  $K_0(\lambda_3)$ ,  $K_0(\lambda_4)$ , ...,  $K_0(\lambda_m)$ , we obtain  $\overline{\eta}_m = \overline{\eta}$  which has finitely many non-generic one-sided periodic trajectories of period  $\leq n$ , which are quasigeneric; furthermore, if  $\bar{\gamma}(\lambda^i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, ..., k$ , has one such trajectory  $\gamma^i$ ,  $\bar{\gamma}$  is transversal at  $\gamma^i$  to the local manifold  $f=0$  defined in (2.6), Part I, associated to  $X=\overline{\eta}(\lambda^i)$  and  $\gamma_{\rm X} = \gamma^i$ ; thus we may assume (after a small change, if necessary) that  $a \le \lambda^1 \le \lambda^2 \le \ldots \le \lambda^k \le b$ and that  $\bar{\eta}(i)$  has  $\gamma^i$  as unique quasi-generic periodic trajectory, of period  $\leq n$ . By a further small change on  $\bar{\eta}$  to  $(1 + \Theta)\bar{\eta}$ , we get period  $\gamma^i \leq n$ ; if  $\Theta > 0$  is small, no new non-generic one-sided periodic trajectory of period  $\leq n$  is created. Finally, the approximation techniques of [7] lead to  $\bar{\gamma}$  satisfying 1), 2), 3) of (2.2), Part I, at  $\lambda^i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \ldots, k$ . Obviously  $\overline{\eta} \in \Phi(Q'_2(n))$ .

It follows from (2.7), Part I, that there are neighborhoods  $\int f(x) dx$  such that  $\overline{\eta}$   $\overline{\eta}$   $\overline{\eta}$   $\overline{\psi}$   $\overline{\phi}$   $\overline{\phi}$  and  $\overline{\psi}$  is sufficient to approximate  $\overline{\eta}$  by  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_2(n))$  on intervals  $\overline{\eta}$ contained in the complement of  $\bigcup J^i$ , where  $\overline{\eta}$  has only generic one-sided periodic trajectories of period  $\leq n$ . Take now  $\bar{n} > n/\tau_0$ , and  $\epsilon < \tau_0 \bar{n} - n$ . For  $\lambda_0 \in \bar{J}$ , consider a finite covering  $N'_0(\gamma_i)$  of the compact set of two-sided periodic trajectories of  $\overline{\gamma}(\lambda_0)$  of period  $\leq n$ ; the neighborhoods  $N_0(\gamma)$  are taken as at the beginning of this section.  $B_0$  is taken so that  $Y \in B_0$  has only periodic trajectories of period  $> \tau_0$  and, through  $M^2$ —  $\bigcup N'_0(\gamma_i)$ , Y has only periodic trajectories of period  $>n$  or generic one-sided periodic trajectories of period  $\leq n$ ;  $K_0=K(\lambda_0)$ ,  $K_0'=K_0'(\lambda_0)$ , etc., are taken as above. Take a finite covering  $K'_0(\lambda_1)$ ,  $K'(\lambda_2)$ , ...,  $K'_0(\lambda_m)$  of the projection of P(n) into J. For  $K_0(\lambda_i)$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,m$ , take the corresponding neighborhoods  $N'_0(\gamma_1)(i)$ ,  $N'_0(\gamma_2)(i)$ , ...,  $N'_0(\gamma_k)(i)$ which cover the two-sided periodic trajectories of  $\bar{\eta}(\lambda_i)$  of period  $\leq n$ . Start with  $K_0(\lambda_1)$ . On  $K_0(\lambda) \times N(\gamma_1)(1)$  approximate  $\overline{\gamma}$  (using (2.2.2)) by  $\overline{\gamma}_1$  such that  $\pi_{\gamma_1} = \pi_1$ of (2.2.1), *a*) on  $K_0(\lambda_1) \times U_0^2(1)$ , and  $\bar{\eta}_1 = \bar{\eta}$  outside  $K_0(\lambda_1) \times N_0(\gamma_1)(1)$ ; then with the same criterion, approximate  $\bar{\eta}_1$  by  $\bar{\eta}_2$  on  $K_0(\lambda_1)\times N_0(\lambda_2)(1)$ , without breaking the regularity conditions obtained for  $\pi_{\bar{\gamma}_1}$  on Clos  $K_0'(\lambda_1)\times N_0(\gamma_2)(1)$ , and so on for  $K_0(\lambda_1) \times N_0(\gamma_i)(1)$ ,  $i=3, 4, \ldots, k_1$ , and afterwards for  $K_0(\lambda_2)$ ,  $K(\lambda_3)$ , ...,  $K_0(\lambda_m)$ , thus obtaining  $\eta$  which has finitely many non-generic periodic trajectories of period  $\leq n$ , which are two-sided and quasi-generic. This last assertion can be shown as follows. Every two-sided non-generic periodic trajectory of period  $\leq n$  of  $\eta$  must be contained, for some i, in  $\bigcup K'_0(\lambda_i) \times N'_0(\gamma_i)(i)$  (otherwise it would have period  $>n$ ) and therefore corresponds to a fixed point of  $\pi_n = \pi_1$  on  $K_0(\lambda_i) \times U_0'(j)$  otherwise, since  $\pi_1$  has no periodic points of period  $>1$ , for it is orientation preserving, it would contain a simple arc which spends a time greater than:

$$
n \cdot (\text{period } \gamma_i) - \epsilon > n \tau_0 - \epsilon > n
$$

and hence it would have period greater than  $n$ .

Now, further small modifications of  $\eta$  (which is also transversal to the local manifolds  $f=0$  of (2.4), Part I) similar to those indicated above for  $\overline{\eta}$ , lead to  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_2(n))$ on  $\overline{J}$  and therefore on  $\overline{J}$ . Thus  $\eta \in \Phi(Q_2(n)) \cap \Phi(Q'_2(n))$  and approximates  $\xi$ .

*Remark* (2.2.3). — Call  $Q^0$  the set of vector fields  $X \in \mathcal{X}^r$  which have non-generic periodic trajectories.

Approximation arguments similar to those used in the proof of (2.2) show that  $Q_2$  (defined in (2.2), Part I) is dense in  $Q_2^0$ .

If X has one non-generic periodic trajectory  $\gamma$ , we first make it quasi-generic for  $X_1$  C<sup>r</sup>-close to X, using adequate versions of  $(2.2.1)$  and  $(2.2.2)$ . Then we use the approximation techniques of [8] to get  $X_2 \in Q_2$ , C'-close to  $X_1$ , with  $\gamma$  as quasi-generic periodic trajectory.

*Proposition* (2.3).  $-\Phi(Q_3(n))$ , *defined as in* (2.1) *according to* (1.2), *a*), *is open and*  $dense$  in  $\Phi'$ .

*Proof.* -- Openness is obvious, by  $(1, 1)$ , *a)*; we prove density. Let  $\xi \in \Phi$ ; by  $(1, 1)$ , *b*) and Remark  $(2, 1, 2)$  we may assume that  $\xi \in \Phi_1^r$  and that all its singular points are generic. Also we assume that  $\xi(a), \xi(b) \in \Sigma^r$ .

Let  $m>0$  be less than the length of any saddle separatrix of  $\xi(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in J$ ; the existence of  $m$  follows from  $(2.1.3), b$ .

Let  $A(\ell) = {\lambda \in J}$ ;  $\xi(\lambda)$  has some saddle connection with length  $\langle \ell \rangle$ .  $A(\ell) \subset \text{Int } J$ is compact. For  $\lambda_0 \in A(\ell)$ , let  $\{\gamma_i\}$  be the saddle connections with length  $\leq \ell$  of  $X = \xi(\lambda_0)$ ; for  $\gamma_i$  consider the neighborhoods  $N_1^i$ ,  $N_2^i$  and  $B_i$ , of the saddle points connected by  $\gamma_i$ and  $X = \xi(\lambda_0)$ , so that  $f'(Y) = \pi_Y^i(u'(Y)) - s'(Y)$  is defined for  $Y \in B_i$  by  $(4.4)$ , Part I;  $\pi_Y^i : U^i \subset \partial N_1^i \to S^i \subset \partial N_2^i$ .

Also consider neighborhoods  $N'_{0i}$ ,  $N_{0i}$ ,  $N_i$  of the arcs of  $\gamma^i$  joining  $u^i(X)$  to  $s^{i}(X)$ ; assume that Clos  $N'_{0i}$ CInt  $N_{0i}$ , Clos  $N_{0i}$ CN<sup>i</sup>, and that the arcs of separatrices  $\widehat{u'(Y)}\pi_Y^i(u(Y))$  and  $(\widehat{\pi_Y^i})^{-1}s^i(Y)s^i(Y)$  are contained in Int  $N_{0i}'$ , for  $Y\in B_i$ . The N<sub>i</sub>'s are taken disjoint. Take neighborhoods  $K_0'(\lambda_0)$ ,  $K_0(\lambda_0)$ ,  $K(\lambda_0)$  of  $\lambda$ , with Clos  $K_0'(\lambda_0)$  c Int  $K_0(\lambda_0)$  and Clos  $K_0(\lambda_0)$  c Int  $K(\lambda_0)$  such that  $\xi(K(\lambda_0))\subset B\subset \prod B_i$ . Assume that B is such that all saddle separatrices of  $Y \in B$ , different from those through  $u^{i}(Y)$ ,  $s^{i}(Y)$ , have length greater than l, and also that the saddle separatrices through  $s^{i}(Y), u^{i}(Y)$  for  $f^{i}(Y) \neq 0$  have length greater than  $\ell_{i}+m$ , where  $\ell_{i}=\text{length }\gamma^{i}$ . See  $(4.5)$ , Part I, and  $(2.1.3)$ , *b*).

The  $K_0'(\lambda_0)$ 's form an open covering of  $A(\ell)$ ; select a finite subcovering  $K_0'(\lambda_1)$ ,  $K'_0(\lambda_2), \ldots, K'_0(\lambda_n).$ 

Call  $\gamma_1^2$ ,  $\gamma_2^2$ , ...,  $\gamma_n^2$ , the saddle connections of  $\xi(\lambda_i)$ , with length  $\leq \ell$ . Take  $K(\lambda_1)$ and  $\gamma_i^1$  and approximate  $\xi$  by  $\xi^{(1)}$  such that  $\xi^{(1)}(K(\lambda_1)) \subset B$ ,  $\xi^{(1)} = \xi$  outside  $K_0(\lambda_1) \times (U N_{0i})$ and such that zero is a regular value of  $f^{i}(\xi^{(1)}(\lambda))$ , for  $\lambda \in Clos K_0^1(\lambda_1)$ . This is achieved by a procedure similar to that described in the proof of  $(2, 1)$ , using here a version of  $(2.2.2)$  suited for saddle connections [6, p. 221]. For  $K(\lambda)$  approximate  $\xi^{(1)}$  by  $\xi^{(2)}$ as above, taking care not to destroy the regularity conditions (which are open) obtained in Clos K<sub>0</sub>( $\lambda_1$ ). Do the same for  $\lambda_3$ , ...,  $\lambda_n$  and obtain  $\zeta^{(n)}=\eta$ .

Start with  $l = 3m/2$ , then  $\eta$  obtained above has a finite number of  $\lambda$ 's:

$$
a<\bar{\lambda}_1<\ldots<\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{n}}
$$

such that, after a small change on  $\eta$ ,  $\eta(\bar{\lambda})$  has only one saddle connection  $\gamma^i$  with length  $\leq t$ ; each one corresponding to a zero of  $f'(\eta(\lambda))$ ; hence  $\eta$  is transversal at  $\bar{\lambda}_i$  to the local manifolds  $f^i = o$  defined in 4, Part I. Notice that there may be other saddle connections  $\tilde{\gamma}$  for  $\eta(\bar{\lambda}_i)$  but, by construction of  $\eta$ , they will have length greater than:

$$
m+\operatorname{length}\overline{\gamma}\geq 2m>\ell.
$$

By a further small change, we may assume that  $\eta(\bar{\lambda}_i) \in Q_3(\ell)$ . This, as an (2.1) and (2.3), is achieved by the approximation techniques in [8]. Now, all the saddle separatrices

of  $\eta(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda + \overline{\lambda_i}$ , have length greater than  $3m/2$ . Each  $\overline{\lambda_i}$  has a neighborhood J<sub>i</sub> such that  $\eta(\lambda)$ , for  $\lambda \in \overline{J_i}$ ,  $\lambda + \overline{\lambda_i}$ , has only saddle connections (if any) with length greater than *n*,  $(4.7.1)$ , Part I. Hence, it is sufficient to approximate  $\eta$  restricted to the complement of  $\bigcup_{j}$ , where all saddle separatrices have length greater than  $m_1 = 3m/2$ .

Repeat the above procedure for each of the intervals J on the complement of  $\mathbf{U}J_i$ , now for  $\ell = 3m_1/2 = (3/2)^2 m$ , and so on. Thus after k-i steps we obtain  $t = (3/2)^{k} m > n$ , for k big enough. It follows as in (2.1) and (2.3) that the one parameter family thus obtained belongs to  $\Phi(Q_3(n))$ .

*Remark* (2.3.1). - Call  $Q_3^0$  the set of vector fields  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_1^r$  which have saddle connections or non trivial recurrent orbits and all its singular points and periodic orbits are generic. The set  $Q_2 \cup Q_3$  (defined in (2.2) and (4.2), Part I) is dense in  $Q_3^0$ , as follows from arguments similar to those employed to prove (2.3). In fact, if  $X \in \mathbb{Q}^0$ has a saddle connection  $\gamma$ , it is C<sup>r</sup>-approximated by  $X_1 \in Q_3$  that have the same saddle connection, which is a simple loop in case  $\gamma$  is a loop. This is done by a local perturbation of X around the saddle points. If X has a recurrent orbit, it is approximated by  $X_t$ , C'-close to it, which has either a saddle connection, if X has some recurrent saddle separatrix, or a quasi-generic periodic orbit, if X has none. The first alternative follows from the " closing lemma " in [8, p. 114]; the second happens only if  $M^2 = T^2$ (torus) and X has no singular point.

The first case was treated just above, the second is handled as follows.

There is a cycle  $S<sup>1</sup>$  transversal to every Y in a small ball V centered at X. Let  $Y_1 \in \Sigma^r \cap V$  and call  $\rho(s)$  the rotation number of  $X(s) = sY_1 + (s-s)X$ , relative to S<sup>1</sup>. Notice that  $\rho$ (o) is irrational and  $\rho$ (1) is rational. Call  $s_1$  the g.l.b. of:

$$
\{s \in [0, 1]; \ \rho([s, 1]) = \rho(1)\}.
$$

Clearly  $o \leq s_1 \leq 1$ .

Since  $\rho$  is continuous  $\rho(s_1) = \rho(1)$  is rational, and  $X(s_1)$  has periodic orbits. These orbits are necessarily non generic, otherwise for all small non negative  $\varepsilon$ ,  $X(s_1-e)$  will have generic periodic orbits and  $\rho(s_1-\varepsilon)$  will also be equal to  $\rho(1)$ . Contradiction. Now we approximate  $X(s_1)$  by  $X_1 \in V \cap Q_2$ , according to Remark (2.2.3).

Notice that  $\mathfrak{X}_1^r = Q_1^0 \cup Q_2^0 \cup Q_3^0$ . Remarks (2.1.1), (2.2.3), (2.3.1) indicate how to approximate fields in  $\mathfrak{X}_1^r$  by fields in  $\Sigma_1^r = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* -- Take a countable dense set of J,  $\{a_i\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , which contains the extremes a, b; call  $\Phi(a_i)$  the set  $\{\xi \in \Phi^r; ~\xi(a_i) \in \Sigma^r\}$ .  $\Phi(a_i)$  is open and dense in  $\Phi$ ;  $\Phi(S_i) = \Phi(Q_1) \cap \Phi(Q_2(j)) \cap \Phi(Q_3(j))$  is also open and dense in  $\Phi^r$ , by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3). Thus  $\mathscr{B} = \prod_{i,j} (\Phi(a_i) \cap \Phi(S_j))$  is a Baire set; we show that  $\mathscr{B} \subset \Gamma^r$ . In fact, if  $\xi \in \mathscr{B}, \xi^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is open and dense, since it contains  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\xi$  is transversal to  $\Sigma_1^r$ ; this proves that  $\xi$  satisfies  $2)$  and part of 3) of Theorem 2. We show that it satisfies  $1)$ ; in fact, if  $\lambda \notin \xi^{-1}(\Sigma')$  and  $\xi(\lambda) \notin [K-S]^r$ ,  $\xi(\lambda)$  has a non-generic singular point, a nongeneric periodic trajectory, or a saddle connection and then  $\xi(\lambda) \in \Sigma_1^r$ . To complete

the proof that  $\xi$  satisfies 3), it is sufficient to observe that every  $\lambda_0 \notin \xi^{-1}(\Sigma^r)$  is a bifurcation value; if  $\xi(\lambda_0) \in \Sigma_1^r$  this is obvious by  $(1 \cdot 1)$ ; if  $\xi(\lambda_0) \in [K-S]^r$ , it has a non trivial recurrent trajectory and can not be topologically equivalent to  $\xi(a_i)$  for  $a_i$  close to  $\lambda_0$ .

# **3" Structural Stability.**

In this section we formulate the concept of structural stability for vector fields depending on a parameter, and state some related conjectures.

*Definition*  $(3.1)$ .  $- a$   $\zeta$ ,  $\eta \in \Phi'$  are said to be *topologically equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism  $h : J \rightarrow J$  and a continuous family of homeomorphisms,  $H : J \rightarrow Hom M^2$ , of M<sup>2</sup> such that for very  $\lambda \in J$ , H( $\lambda$ ) is a topological equivalence between  $\xi(\lambda)$  and  $\eta(h(\lambda))$ .

b)  $\xi \in \Phi^r$  is *structurally stable* if it has a neighborhood N such that  $\xi$  is topologically equivalent to every  $\tau_i \in N$ .

Obviously this definition makes sense when J is any manifold. When  $J = \{a\}$ , a point, this definition reduces to plain structural stability,  $(1.3)$ , Part I.

Also we may require that N be such that h and H be  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity (of J and  $M^2$  respectively), for  $\varepsilon$  given beforehand.

Call  $\Sigma(J)$  the set of structurally stable elements of  $\Phi^r$ .

It seems quite possible to show that  $\Sigma(J) \subset \Gamma^r$ . Also that  $\Gamma_i \subset \Sigma(J)$ , where  $\Gamma_1 = \{ \xi \in \Gamma^r; \xi(J) \subset \Sigma^r \cup \widetilde{\Sigma}_1^r \}.$ 

More delicate questions are the following:

a) Prove that  $\Gamma_2 \cap \Sigma(J)$  is open in  $\Phi^r$  and dense in  $\Gamma_2 = {\{\xi \in \Gamma^r; \xi(J) \subset \Sigma^r \cup \Sigma^r\}}$ . b) Prove (or disprove) that there are elements  $\xi \in \Sigma(J)$  such that:

$$
\xi(J) \cap \{ [K-S]'-\Sigma'\} + \emptyset.
$$

*c)* Characterize  $\Sigma(J)$ . Is it dense in  $\Phi$ ?

An answer for  $b$ ) and  $c$ ) should require a deep understanding of the " generic" type of non trivial recurrent orbits and of the " part of codimension one " of  $[K-S]^r-\Sigma^r$ . A basic question in this direction is if  $Q_{\circ}$ , the set of vector fields in  $\mathbf{T}^2$  without singularities and irrational rotation number p, contains an open dense manifold of codimension one.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] G. F. DUFF, Limit cycles and vector fields, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. 57 (1953), 15-31.
- [2] M. URABE, Infinitesimal deformation of cycles, *Jour. Sci. Hiroshima University*, 1954, 37-53.
- [3] L. MARCUS and A. AePPLI, Integral equivalence of vector fields on manifolds and bifurcation of differential systems, *American Journal of Math.*, vol. 85 (1963), 633-654.
- [4] R. SACKER, *Invariant surfaces and bifurcation of periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations*, New York University, Courant Inst. Math. Sci. Tech. Rep. IMM-NYU 333, x964.
- [5] M. C. PEIXOTO and M. PeIXOTO, Structural Stability in the plane with enlarged boundary conditions, *Ann.*  Acad. Bras. Sci., vol. 81 (1959), 135-160.
- [6] M. PEIXOTO, An approximation theorem of Kupka and Smale, *Jour. of Diff. Eq.*, vol. 3 (1967), 214-227.
- [7] M. PEIXOTO, On structural stability, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. 69 (1959), 199-222.
- [8] M. PEIXOTO, Structural stability on two dimensional manifolds, *Topology*, vol. 1 (1962), 101-120.
- [9] S. SMALE, On dynamical Systems, *Boletín de la Soc. Mat. Mexicana*, vol. 66 (1960), 195-198.
- [10] S. SMALE, Differentiable dynamical Systems, *BAMS*, vol. 73 (1967), 747-817.
- [x I] S. SMALE, Stable manifolds for differential equations and diffeomorphisms, *Annali Scuola Normale de Pisa,*  serie III, XVII (1963), 97-116.
- [12] I. KUPKA, Contribution à la théorie des champs génériques, *Cont. to Diff. Eq.*, vol. 2 (1963), 451-487.
- [13] A. ANDRONOV and E. LEONTOVICH, Sur la théorie de la variation de la structure qualitative de la division du plan en trajectoires, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.*, vol. 21 (1938), 427-430.
- [14] J. SOTOMAYOR, *Estabilidade estrutural de primeira ordem em variedades de Banach*, Thesis, Rio de Janeiro, IMPA, 1964.
- [15] S. LANG, *Introduction to differentiable manifolds*, New York, Interscience, 1962.
- [16] R. ABRAHAM, *Transversal mappings and flows*, New York, Benjamin, 1967.
- [17] G. SANSONE and R. Con'ri, *Equazione differentiali non lineari*, Rome, Ed. Cremonese, 1956.
- [18] E. CODDINGTON and N. LEVINSON, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, New York, McGraw Hill, 1955.
- [19] P. HARTMAN, Ordinary differential equations, New York, John Wiley, 1964.
- [20] C. Puon, The closing lemma, *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 89 (1967), 956-1009.
- [21] W. S. Loup, Behavior of the period of solutions of certain plane autonomous systems near centers, *Contr. to Diff. Eq., vol. 3 (1963), 21-36.*

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, **Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.** 

*Manuscrit reçu le 1<sup>or</sup> juin 1972.*