ORIGINAL PAPER

Dietrich Matern · Hans Hermann Seydewitz Deeksha Bali · Christine Lang · Yuan-Tsong Chen

Glycogen storage disease type I: diagnosis and phenotype/genotype correlation

Published online: 27 July 2002 © Springer-Verlag 2002

Abstract Glycogen storage disease type Ia (GSD Ia) is caused by mutations in the G6PC gene encoding the phosphatase of the microsomal glucose-6-phosphatase system. GSD Ia is characterized by hepatomegaly, hypoglycemia, lactic acidemia, hyperuricemia, hyperlipidemia and short stature. Other forms of GSD I (GSD I non-a) are characterized by the additional symptom of frequent infections caused by neutropenia and neutrophil dysfunction. GSD I non-a is caused by mutations in a gene encoding glucose-6-phosphatase translocase (G6PT1). We report on the molecular genetic analyses of G6PC and G6PT1 in 130 GSD Ia patients and 15 GSD I non-a patients, respectively, and provide an overview of the current literature pertaining to the molecular genetics of GSD I. Among the GSD Ia patients, 34 different mutations were identified, two of which have not been described before (A65P; F177C). Seventeen different mutations were detected in the GSD I non-a patients. True common mutations were identified neither in GSD Ia nor in GSD I non-a patients. Conclusion: Glycogen storage disease type Ia and and type I non-a are genetically heterogenous disorders. For the diagnosis of the various forms of glycogen storage disease type I, molecular genetic analyses are reliable and convenient alternatives to the enzyme assays in liver biopsy specimens. Some genotype-phenotype correlations exist, for example, homozygosity for one G6PC mutation, G188R, seems to be associated with a glycogen storage

D. Matern (⊠) Biochemical Genetics Laboratory, Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA E-mail: matern@mayo.edu Tel.: + 1-507-5381581 Fax: + 1-507-2662888

H.H. Seydewitz · C. Lang Children's Hospital, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg, Germany

D. Bali · Y-T. Chen Department of Pediatrics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA disease type I non-a phenotype and homozygosity for the 727G > T mutation may be associated with a milder phenotype but an increased risk for hepatocellular carcinoma.

Keywords Diagnosis · Glucose-6-phosphatase · Glucose-6-phosphate translocase · Glycogen storage disease type I · Molecular genetics

Abbreviations GSD glycogen storage disease \cdot G6Pase glucose-6-phosphatase \cdot HCC hepatocellular carcinoma \cdot SSCP single-strand conformation polymorphism

Introduction

In 1929, Edgar von Gierke was first to describe a glycogen storage disease (GSD), which initially was named in his honor [29, 46, 54, 59]. More than 20 years later, Cori and Cori [5] found glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase; EC 3.1.3.9) to be deficient in patients with von Gierke disease, followed in 1993 by Lei and coworkers' identification of the gene (G6PC) encoding G6Pase, which spans 12.5 kb on chromosome 17q21 [25]. Intermittently, other types of glycogen storage disease were identified and labeled chronologically from GSD type I (von Gierke disease) through GSD type XI [2].

The clinical manifestations of von Gierke disease include growth retardation, hepatomegaly, hypoglycemia, lactic acidemia, hyperuricemia, and hyperlipidemia. Long-term complications include short stature, osteoporosis, gout, renal disease, pulmonary hypertension and hepatic adenomas, which may undergo malignant transformation. In the 1960's, patients were described who also suffered from neutropenia and neutrophil dysfunction, resulting in recurrent bacterial infections and oral and intestinal mucosa ulcerations. These patients eventually were shown to have deficient G6Pase activity in intact microsomes, but normal activity in disrupted microsomes and were labeled as having GSD type Ib (OMIM 232220) as opposed to von Gierke disease, henceforth named GSD type Ia (OMIM 232200) [47]. The biochemical defect underlying GSD Ib involves the transport of glucose-6-phosphate from the cytosol to the microsomal lumen by a glucose-6-phosphate translocase. A gene (G6PTI) encoding this translocase and mutations within this gene in GSD Ib patients were first described in 1997 [7]. G6PTI maps to chromosome 11q23 and consists of 9 exons.

Further subtypes, termed GSD IaSP, Ic, and Id, were described in a few patients until 1994. However, the elucidation of the molecular genetic basis of GSD Ia and Ib led to a further revision of the terminology. Because the patient with GSD IaSP was proven to have mutations in G6PC and most patients with GSD Ic and Id have mutations in the G6PT1 gene, GSD I is now divided into subtypes GSD Ia and GSD I non-a, respectively [27, 56]. The demonstration of reduced enzyme activities measured in liver biopsy specimen has so far been the gold standard for verification of the clinical diagnosis of these autosomal recessive disorders. However, in particular with regard to the diagnosis of GSD I non-a, adequate specimens with intact microsomes are not always obtained upon the first effort which often makes a repeat biopsy necessary.

In this article, we report the molecular genetic analysis of 130 GSD Ia patients and 15 GSD I non-a patients, discuss possible genotype/phenotype correlations, and outline the current approach to the differential diagnosis of patients with GSD I phenotypes.

Patients and methods

Patients

A total of 130 patients with GSD Ia and of diverse ethnic backgrounds were investigated. The diagnosis had been established prior to molecular genetic analysis by the enzyme assay in a liver biopsy specimen in 111 patients, while it was based only on the clinical presentation in the remaining 19 patients. The results on 92 of these GSD Ia patients have been reported previously [26, 31, 32, 48]. The diagnosis of GSD Ib was established by the enzyme assay following at least one liver biopsy in 14 patients. One patient's G6PTI gene was evaluated because the clinical presentation was suggestive of GSD I non-a.

Molecular genetic analyses

Molecular genetic analyses of the G6PC gene were performed in either one of our laboratories essentially as described previously [27, 31, 32, 48]. Following the purification of DNA from a minimum of 0.5 ml of blood and PCR amplification of the coding sequences using relevant primers, single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis was performed. Exons with abnormal SSCP band patterns were followed up by DNA sequencing, as were all exons of patients when SSCP analysis was negative for at least one mutation. This protocol can be completed within 2 weeks. Following the same principles and according to published procedures, the G6PTI gene of the 15 GSD I non-a patients was analyzed in one of our laboratories, but for the most part in the laboratory of Dr. Emile van Schaftingen (Brussels, Belgium) which is gratefully acknowledged [55, 56.]

Results

Glycogen storage disease type Ia

On 260 alleles we identified 34 different mutations (Table 1). The most common mutations in this ethnically diverse population were the missense mutation R83C and the nonsense mutation Q347X on 36% and 18% of alleles, respectively. However, when separating our patients according to ethnic background, truly common mutations were identified in the more homogenous populations; R83C in 92% of the 24 Jewish alleles, R83H in 58% of 12 Chinese alleles, and 130X in 54% of the 24 Hispanic alleles. No mutation was detected on 11 of the 260 alleles (4.2%); however, DNA sequencing of all exons could not be completed for the respective ten patients due to insufficient DNA material. Nineteen patients were spared a liver biopsy because the clinical diagnosis was verified by the molecular genetic analysis.

Two new missense mutations, A65P and F177C, were identified on one allele of each a Swiss and American patient, respectively. While the pathophysiologic relevance of these mutations was not proven experimentally, the nature of the A65P mutation suggests a deleterious effect on protein folding. The exchange of alanine with proline in the second transmembrane domain of G6Pase is likely to disrupt the protein's normal folding because proline's rigidity is not compatible with a smooth secondary structure [36]. The F177C mutation is also likely to be deleterious to G6Pase activity because this patient was deficient of enzyme activity as measured in a liver specimen and sequencing of the entire coding region of G6PC did not reveal any other mutations.

Glycogen storage disease type I non-a

Seventeen different mutations were identified on all alleles of the 15 patients with GSD I non-a. All except one patient's diagnosis had been established by the demonstration of reduced enzyme activity in fresh liver biopsy specimens prior to molecular genetic analysis. One patient was diagnosed on the basis of a characteristic clinical presentation and DNA analysis revealing homozygosity for the deletion 1211–1212delCT. The molecular genetic results of the other patients were reported previously, some in several publications [13, 44, 55, 56].

Discussion

Glycogen storage disease type Ia

The results of the analyses of the genes involved in GSD I of our patients compare well with other studies. With respect to GSD Ia, most studies identified mutations on all alleles investigated [1, 3, 11, 14, 17, 38, 42, 50, 62]. In a few publications, however, mutations were not iden-

			<u>`</u>	•		•						
Mutations					Alleles (%)							
Type	Mutation	Amino acid	Nucleotide	Exon	This study	This study a	nd literature					-
		cnange	cnance			Ashkenazi- Jewish	Caucasian	Chinese	Hispanic	Japanese	Turkish	Total ^a
Missense/	T16A	Thr16Ala	46A > G	I				1 (1.7%)				1 (0.1%)
Nonsense	M5R	Met5Arg ^b	93T > G	Ţ			2 (0.4%)					2 (0.2%)
	Q20R	Gln20Arg	138A > G	_ ,	2(0.8%)		2(0.4%)					2(0.2%)
	D38V	Asp38Val	192A > T		6(2.3%)		19 (3.6%)					19 (2.2%)
		I rpsustop	0 < 0822 2280 > A		1 (0.4%)		1 (0.7%)					1 (0.1%)
	W63R	Trn63Arg	240A / C				2 (0.4 %) 1 (0 2%)					2 (0.2.70) 1 (0 1%)
	W63X	Trn63Ston	268G > A	•	6 (2.3%)		9(1.7%)					9 (1.1%)
	A65P ^c	Ala65Pro	272G > C	_	1 (0.4%)		1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	G68R	Gly68Arg	281G > A	Ι	1 (0.4%)				1 (4.2%)			3 (0.4%)
	X0XM	Trp70Stop	289G > A	Ξ,	1 (0.4%)		2(0.4%)					2 (0.2%)
	K/6N	Lys/6Asn	30/C>C		1 (0 40/)		2 (0.4%)					2 (0.2%) 2 (0.40/)
	W//K	Clue 1 Arg	2001 × C		1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%)		(0.0%)					5 (0.4%) 1 (0.1%)
	RSSC	Ara83Cve	326C > T	≓⊨	1 (0.4.70) 04 (36 2%)	42 (95 5%)	170 (32 0%)	1 (1 7%)	(%) 80(7) 5		(%) (13 30%)	1 (0.1.70) 1 246 (79 0%)
	R83H	Arg83His	327G > A	= =	12 (4.6%)	(0/ ()) 74	7 (1.3%)	22 (37 9%)	(0/0.07) C	4 (2,9%)	(0/ (.()) 77	23 (3 9%)
	Q104X	Gln104Stop	389C > T	I				1 (1.7%)				1 (0.1%)
	T 108I	Thr108Ile	402C>T	Π			1 (0.2%)	~				1(0.1%)
	E110Q	Glul 10Gln	407G > C	Π			1 (0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	E110K	Glu110Lys	407G > A		1 (0.4%)		5 (0.9%)					5 (0.6%)
	TIII	Thr1111le	411C>T	II			1(0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	P113L	Prolisteu	41/C>1	ΠĒ	1 (0.4%)		1 (0.2%)	104 07 0				1 (0.1%)
	GI22D	Glv122Asp	444G > A					(0/ +.c) 7		1 (0.7%)		2 (0.2%)
	A124T	Ala124Thr	449G > A	Ш			1 (0.2%)					$\frac{1}{1}(0.1\%)$
	W156L	Trp156Leu	546G > T	VI	3 (1.2%)		3 (0.6%)					3 (0.4%)
	V166A	Val166Ala	576T > C	2			1(0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	V166G	Val166Gly	576T > G	2	() 1 0 1 0 ()							4 (0.5%)
	K1/00	Arg1/0CIn Arg170Ston	288G > A	22	1 (0.4%)		2 (0.4%) 11 /2 1%)			0 16 60/1	2 (0.1%)	(%C.0) 4 (0.2%)
	Y172X	Turl72Ston	595C > A	2	(0/ (°1) +		11(0.2%)			(0/0.0) ¢		1 (0.1%)
	F177C°	Phe177Cvs	D < T006	N	1 (0.4%)		1 (0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	P178S	Pro178Ser	611C>A	IV	1(0.4%)		~		1 (4.2%)			1(0.1%)
	4671H	His179Pro	615A > C	IV						1 (0.7%)		1(0.1%)
	G184E	Gly184Glu	630G > A	2			4 (0.7%)					4 (0.5%)
	G184V		630G > T	<u>>1</u>	116 20 21		1(0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	1885 51885	Glv188Ser	041G > Q	22	10 (0.2%) 1 (0.4%)		(0/2/C) 07					(%(2.C) 07 (%) (0) 10%)
	L211P	Leu211Pro	711T > C		(0/ 1.0) 1		1(0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	G222R	Gly222Arg	743G > A	>			2 (0.4%)					2 (0.2%)
	G222R	Gly222Arg	743G > C	٨			2 (0.4%)					2 (0.2%)
	W236R	Trp236Arg	785T > A	>;	1 (0.4%)				1 (4.2%)			1(0.1%)
	A2411	Ala2411hr	800G > A	> ;			1(0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	Q242X P257L	GIn242Stop Pro257Leu	805C > 1 849C > T	>>	4 (1.5%)		(%Y.U) C			4 (2.9%)		5 (0.6%) 4 (0.5%)

Table 1. G6PC mutations identified to date, their incidence in patients of this study and in the literature

S12

	N264K	Asn264Lys	ż	>		1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	L265P	Leu265Pro	873T > C	^	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	G266V	Gly266Val	876G > T	>	•	2(0.4%)					2 (0.2%)
	C270R	Cys270Arg	887G > C	Λ		1 (0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	G270V	Gly270Val	888G > T	>	4 (1.5%)	10(1.9%)				1 (3.3%)	13 (1.5%)
	R295C	Arg295Cys	962C > T	2	1 (0.4%)	5 (0.9%)					5 (0.6%)
	S298P	Ser298Pro	971T > C	>		3 (0.6%)					3 (0.4%)
	F322L	Phe322Leu	1043T > C	>		1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	V338F	Val338Phe	1091G > T	2		6 (1.1%)					6 (0.7%)
	1341N	Ile341Asn	1101T > A	>			3 (5.2%)				3 (0.4%)
	L345R	Leu345Arg	1113-	>	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
			1114TC > GA								
	Q347X	Gln347Stop	1118C>T	>	47 (18.1%)2 (4.5%)	115 (21.5%)		2 (8.3%)			121 (14.3%)
Splicing	IVS1-1g <a< td=""><td></td><td>IVS1-</td><td>Ι</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1 (0.7%)</td><td></td><td>1 (0.1%)</td></a<>		IVS1-	Ι					1 (0.7%)		1 (0.1%)
			$\frac{1g}{2} < a$,							
	309+4		309+4	Intron		1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	G188D	Gly188Asp	642G > A		2 (0.8%)						2 (0.2%)
	1 < 171	Leu210Leu	1 < 0/7/	>	4 (I.2%)		23 (39.7%)		115 (84.6%)		139 (16.4%)
Insertions	11X 130X 203X	11Stop 130Stop 203Stop	97insTGAA 459insTA 1 bp	I	11 (4.2%)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ (0.2\%) \\ 1 \ (0.2\%) \\ 1 \ (0.2\%) \\ 1 \ (0.2\%) \end{array}$		13 (54.2%)			$\begin{array}{c}1 \ (0.1\%) \\14 \ (1.7\%) \\1 \ (0.1\%) \end{array}$
			insertion (518delA; 518insTG)								
	280X	280Stop	813insG 933insAA	>>		2 (0.4%)	1 (1 7%)				2 (0.2%) 1 (0.1%)
	CEQP245- 248WRAA			· >	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
Deletions	35X	35Stop	158delC	I	12 (4.6%)	36 (6.7%)					36 (4.2%)
	59X	59Stop	175delGG	I		2 (0.4%)					2 (.2%)
	101X	101Stop	341delG	Π	1 (0.4%)		2 (3.4%)				3 (0.4%)
	201X	201Stop	540del TTTTG	IV		1 (0.2%)					1 (0.1%)
	233X	233Stop	616delT	IV							1 (0.1%)
	300X	300Stop	793delC	>		1 (0.2%)					1(0.1%)
	300X	300Stop	867delA	> :		2 (0.4%)					2 (0.2%)
	300 X delF377	300Stop delPhe327	8/2delC	> >	4 (1 5%)	1 (0.2%) 17 (7 2%)					1 (0.1%) 12 (1 4%)
			1060del								(0/ 1.1) 71
Unidentifie	q				11 (4.2%)	30 (5.6%)	2 (3.4%)	1 (4.2%)	1 (0.7%)	5 (16.7%)	39 (4.6%)
Total allele	s				260 44	534	58	24	136	30	848
attachidae a	les meroloted a	tionto of other	otheric healten	- J F	z1 1:4.000 4.000						

^aIncludes also unrelated patients of other ethnic background from the literature ^bOnly found in a patient homozygous for M5R and heterozygous for R83C, the latter not being included in this table [54] ^cNew mutations

tified on several alleles with the poorest mutation detection rate of only 75% reported by Hüner et al. [11]. While they were unsuccessful in identifying all mutations despite DNA sequencing, other studies with less than complete detection rates seem to only have used SSCP analyses without follow-up of negative results by sequencing. We also did not achieve a 100% mutation detection rate for our GSD Ia patients. However, we are confident that this is because not all relevant exons were sequenced by the time of writing due to insufficient amounts of DNA available to complete the analyses. In

one of our laboratories, mutations were identified on all

98 G6PC alleles analyzed to date [48]. GSD Ia is a genetically heterogenous disorder with 76 different mutations detected so far among mostly compound heterozygous patients from a total of 424 different families combining our own and published data (Table 1) [1, 3, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 23, 24, 26, 33, 35, 38, 39, 41, 42, 45, 48, 50, 51, 53, 61, 62, 63, 64]. Taking all these patients together, there are no true common mutations and more than 50% appear to be private mutations. The most frequently detected mutations are R83C (29.0%) in exon II, 727G > T (16.4%) and Q347X (14.3%) in exon V, 35X (4.2%) in exon I, and R83H (3.9%) in exon II. Therefore, in order to identify at least close to 70% of mutations in the general population, three of five exons must be analyzed. This seems to translate into an unreasonably laborious effort for a diagnostic test. However, considering the relatively small size of each of the five exons, it is feasible to analyze the whole gene either as described here using SSCP analysis as a screening tool prior to DNA sequencing of relevant exons only, or by primary DNA sequencing with the latter becoming a more widely available method. Laboratories servicing more homogenous populations may, however, be able to limit their diagnostic efforts to a few mutations while still achieving an acceptable mutation detection rate. This appears to be true for patients of Ashkenazi-Jewish, Chinese, Hispanic, Japanese, and Turkish origin (Table 1).

Prior to the availability of mutation analysis, the prenatal diagnosis of GSD I depended on a fetal liver biopsy to obtain adequate material for the enzyme assay. The advent of molecular genetic analysis of the G6PC gene facilitated this procedure significantly. The identification of mutations within a family of an affected child has so far enabled the prenatal diagnosis of GSD Ia in a chorionic villus sample in ten fetuses, two of which were found to be affected [4, 24, 37, 41, 42, 49, 52, 61].

Glycogen storage disease type I non-a

To date, molecular genetic analyses have been performed on 121 patients with GSD I non-a (Table 2) [6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21, 22, 30, 44, 55, 56]. By biochemical analyses of liver samples, 98 patients were diagnosed with GSD Ib, 15 with GSD Ic, and one patient with GSD Id. The remaining seven patients received a diagnosis of GSD I non-a based on the clinical presentation and molecular genetic analysis of the G6PT1 gene alone, sparing them a liver biopsy. Lam and coworkers [22] reported the prenatal diagnosis of one affected fetus by molecular genetic analysis of DNA from a chorionic villus sample.

Mutations were detected on 237 of these patients' 242 alleles (97.9%). On one allele the mutation escaped detection despite DNA sequencing, while the remaining four alleles appear not to have been sequenced [30, 56]. Overall, 65 different G6PT1 mutations have been described so far documenting significant genetic heterogeneity (Table 2). Among all of the patients with identified mutations, the five most common mutations were 1211delCT (25.2% of alleles) in exon VIII, followed by G339C (12%) in exon VIII, W118R (4.5%) in exon II, G149E (2.9%) in exon III, and Gln248X (2.9%) in exon IV, with an overall incidence of 47.5%. Separation of the patients according to their ethnic background, the largest ethnic groups were patients of either Caucasian (n=78) or Japanese (n=15) origin. Nevertheless, a search for the respective five most common disorders would not improve the detection rate significantly (56.4% for Caucasians, 66.8% for Japanese (Table 2). Therefore, molecular diagnostic efforts should not be limited to the search for only a few mutations but DNA sequencing should follow whenever mutations escape detection by screening methods such as SSCP analysis.

Genotype/phenotype correlation

A clear-cut genotype/phenotype correlation is not apparent for either GSD Ia or I non-a; however, among both disorders several mutations have been considered to possibly confer particular phenotypes.

Glycogen storage disease type Ia

Nakamura et al. [33] reported a GSD Ia patient who was not diagnosed until he was 40 years old, when he presented with "liver dysfunction and a liver tumour" which by 2 years later had transformed into a hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Several other causes of HCC but GSD Ia were excluded, such as alcohol abuse, hepatitis B and C virus infections, and loss of heterozygosity for the p53 gene. The patient was homozygous for the 727G > T splice mutation and the authors speculated whether this particular mutation may be associated with an increased risk for HCC. One of our patients, a Chinese female homozygous for 727G > T, had a similar presentation, however, was diagnosed with an incurable HCC when she was first seen by one of us at 43 years old. This common Japanese mutation (85% of alleles) may also confer a milder GSD Ia phenotype with respect to hypoglycemic events. While Kajihara et al. [14] reported a typical GSD Ia phenotype in their eight patients homozygous for 727G > T, Akanuma and col-

Table 2. G6PT1 mutations identified to date, their incidence in patients of this study and in the literature

Mutations					Alleles (%)			
Туре	Mutation	Amino acid	Nucleotide	Exon	This study	This study a	nd literature	
		cnange	cnange			Caucasian	Japanese	Total
Missense/ Nonsense	M1V G20D N27K R28C R28H G50R S54R S55R G68R W78X G88D W96X W118R Q133P W137X G149E G150R P153L C176R C183R P191L Q218X Y225X W227X Q248X R300C R300H H301P G339D E355X A367T G376S	Met1Val Gly20Asp Asn27Lys Arg28Cys Arg28His Gly50Arg Ser55Arg Gly68Arg Trp78Stop Gly68Arg Trp18Arg Gln33Pro Trp118Arg Gln133Pro Trp137Stop Gly149Glu Gly150Arg Pro153Leu Cys176Arg Cys183Arg Pro191Leu Gln218Stop Trp227Stop Gln248Stop Trp227Stop Gln248Stop Arg300Cys Arg300His His301Pro Gly339Asp Glu355Stop Ala367Thr Gly376Ser	$\begin{array}{c} 170A > G\\ 228G > A\\ 250T > A\\ 251C > T\\ 252G > A\\ 317G > C\\ 331C > A\\ 332A > C\\ 371G > A\\ 403G > A\\ 403G > A\\ 403G > A\\ 456G > A\\ 521T > C\\ 567A > C\\ 580G > A\\ 615G > A\\ 617G > A\\ 627C > T\\ 695T > C\\ 716T > C\\ 710T > C \\ 710T > C\\ 710T > C\\ 710T > C$	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 (3.3\%) \\ 2 (6.7\%) \\ 1 (3.3\%) \\ 1 ($	Caucasian 2 (1.3%) 4 (2.6%) 2 (1.3%) 3 (1.9%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.63%)	Japanese 11 (36.7%) 3 (10.0%) 1 (3.3%) 1 (3.3%)	Total 2 (0.8%) 4 (1.7%) 2 (0.8%) 3 (1.2%) 4 (1.7%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%) 1 (0.4%) 3 (1.2%) 7 (2.9%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2 (0.8%) 2
Splicing	R415X R415X IVS1-2A > C IVS1 + 1G > A IVS1 + 1G > T IVS2 + 1G > T IVS2 + 3delgtg IVS3-1G > A IVS6-2A > G IVS7 + 4G > T IVS8 + 1G > A 794G > A $\Sigma ex8/int8$ $\Sigma ex8/int8$	Arg415Stop	c.1292+ 1-1292+4 delGTAA	IX IX III Ex8/int8 Ex8/int8	2 (6.7%) 2 (6.7%)	3 (1.9%) 1 (0.6%) 3 (1.9%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%)	1 (3.3%) 1 (3.3%) 2 (6.7%) 2 (6.7%) 2 (6.7%)	$\begin{array}{c} 3 (1.2\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \\ 3 (1.2\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 3 (1.2\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \\ 1 (0.4\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \\ 4 (1.7\%) \\ 2 (0.8\%) \end{array}$
	Σint8/ex9		unoraa	Int8/ex9		2 (1.3%)		2 (0.8%)
Insertions	514insGG 528insC 1103ins12 1105insA 1205insC 1041ins9	128X 130X 325X 401X L293X		II II VI VI VIII Int5/ex6	1 (3.3%) 1 (3.3%) 1 (3.3%)	2 (1.3%) 6 (3.8%) 2 (1.3%) 1 (0.6%) 1 (0.6%) 2 (1.3%)		2 (0.8%) 6 (2.5%) 2 (0.8%) 4 (1.7%) 1 (0.4%) 2 (0.8%)
In/Del	del1094 GCTG/insTC			VI			2 (6.7%)	2 (0.8%)

S	1	6	
S	1	υ	

Table 2. (Continued)

Mutations					Alleles (%)			
Туре	Mutation	Amino acid	Nucleotide	Exon	This study	This study as	nd literature	
		change	change			Caucasian	Japanese	Total
Deletions	338–344del TCGGCAG	72X		II		2 (1.3%)		6 (2.5%)
	350delG	94X		II				1 (0.4%)
	629delA	211X		III		1 (0.6%)		1 (0.4%)
	653delAG	189X		III		2(1.3%)		2 (0.8%)
	693–696del TGTG	210X		III		3 (1.9%)		3 (1.2%)
	10.0	delV235		IV			2 (6.7%)	2 (0.8%)
	1013-1029del	319X		v	1 (3.3%)	1 (0.6%)	- ()	1 (0.4%)
	1049delG	324X		VI	- ()	- ()	2 (6.7%)	3 (1.2%)
	1211delCT	400X		VIII	9 (30.0%)	43 (27.6%)	(61 (25.2%)
Unidentified					(((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((()		5 (2.1%)
Total alleles					30	156	30	242

^aIncludes also unrelated patients of other ethnic background from the literature

leagues [1] noted that all 40 of their patients with this genotype had never suffered from severe hypoglycemia in infancy. Another patient, who was found to have significant hepatomegaly when examined for diarrhea, also did not exhibit significant fasting hypoglycemia or hyperlactacidemia [16]. She had a relatively high residual G6Pase activity (\sim 10% of normal) in liver and was a compound heterozygote for two missense mutations, R83C and N264K. Although expression studies have not been undertaken, the N264K mutation, which has so far been described only in this patient, is likely to be responsible for the mild phenotype because the common R83C mutation is known to render G6Pase inactive [27].

Other reports noted variable phenotypes among affected siblings sharing identical G6PC genotypes [40, 43]. Those described by Rake et al. [43] not only differed with regard to their clinical courses, but also with respect to their residual G6Pase activities in liver (10% versus 0%). Yet another variation of the genotype/phenotype theme was noted in our four patients from three unrelated families who are homozygous for G188R [60]. While this mutation abolishes G6Pase activity and causes the typical symptoms of GSD Ia, these patients also displayed variably severe symptoms of GSD I nona, namely neutropenia (responsive to granulocyte-colony stimulating factor) and recurrent infections. Mutations in the G6PT1 gene were not detected in these patients and this unusual phenotype has not been encountered in compound heterozygous patients involving G188R. This observation seems to indicate a close interaction between the glucose-6-phosphate translocase and G6Pase which requires further study.

Glycogen storage disease type I non-a

In analogy to the cases with *G6PC* mutations and a GSD I non-a phenotype (see above), Kure et al. [18] described two unrelated Japanese patients who

presented at 20 and 1 years old, respectively, with clinical features of GSD Ia except for the absence of fasting hypoglycemia. Neither patient had neutropenia nor recurrent bacterial infections, however, in liver biopsy specimen both patients had normal G6Pase activity in disrupted microsomes. Further evaluation by molecular genetic analysis of the G6PT1 gene revealed mutations on both alleles of each patient indicating a diagnosis of GSD I non-a. Although both patients were compound heterozygotes their genotypes were not identical. An explanation for the association of a mild GSD Ia phenotype with different GSD I non-a genotypes is not available, but these case reports raise diagnostic issues as they underscore the necessity to evaluate both genes associated with GSD I in a few patients.

Most molecular genetic studies of the G6PC or the G6PT1 gene conclude that all GSD I subtypes are associated with mutations in either gene, indicating that the terminology should undergo a change to only differentiate GSD types Ia and Ib (or I non-a) because patients that had been diagnosed biochemically with either GSD types IaSP, Ic, or Id were found to carry mutations in either the G6PC or G6PT1 gene [57]. An exception is the original patient described with GSD type Ic who does not carry mutations in either gene known to be associated with GSD I [28, 34]. Although this raises the possibility of yet another gene involved in GSD I, it is remarkable that this patient's clinical presentation is unusual. This patient was diagnosed with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus at 3 years and with GSD Ic at the age of 11 years when undergoing biochemical work-up upon manifesting hepatomegaly and recurrent episodes of hypoglycemia without signs of impaired immune function. While a third subtype of GSD I cannot be excluded, the fact that this is the only "GSD Ic" patient for whom no mutations could be identified and who appears to have a unique phenotype not fully compatible with GSD I (primary insulin dependent diabetes mellitus followed by GSD Ia-like

symptoms at relatively late age), it may be postulated that this patient is affected with an altogether different disorder of glucose homeostasis.

Diagnostic approach to glycogen storage disease type I

Based on our results and the relevant available literature, it is apparent that molecular genetic analysis is a reasonable alternative to the current diagnostic gold standard as it avoids the inconvenience, potential risk, and logistical problems of an invasive liver biopsy to obtain and send fresh tissue to the few existing reference laboratories. The constellation of clinical and basic laboratory findings in most cases allows a reliable tentative diagnosis of GSD Ia or I non-a. However, because it appears that neutropenia and recurrent infections are not always encountered in GSD I non-a, and that patients who suffer from neutrophil dysfunction may actually have GSD Ia, it is advisable to analyze the other GSD I gene before considering a liver biopsy [18, 60]. An algorithm for the diagnostic work up of patients with possible GSD I reflecting these recommendations was recently published by Rake et al. [42]. However, this flowchart suggests repeated blood counts to check for neutropenia before embarking on the molecular genetic analyses because one study found that 14 of 39 (36%) GSD I non-a patients developed neutropenia not until after the 1st year of life [58]. Considering that neutropenia may be cyclic, that some patients never develop neutropenia, and that parents of infants carrying a tentative diagnosis of GSD I are usually anxious to find closure with regard to their offspring's correct diagnosis, we believe it is justified to not delay specific diagnostic efforts and to proceed early to DNA analysis.

Since first submission of this manuscript we detected two new mutations in two additional patients (D. Bali, personal communication): T255M (Thr 255 Met, 843C > T) in exon V of the G6PC gene and S356T (Ser356Thr, 1236G > C) in exon VIII of the G6PT1 gene.

The authors made their best effort to exclude errors due to redundant publications or reporting of sibships.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Elvira Brucker and Denise Peterson for expert technical assistance and to the members of the Glykogenose Selbsthilfegruppe e.V. (c/o Donald Welling, Charentoner Strasse 21, 33142 Büren, Germany) for supporting the analysis of the German patients. This work was also supported by grants from the National Institute of Health (DK39078 to Y-T.C.; M01-RR30 from the National Center for Research Sources, General Clinical Research Centers Program) and the Muscular Dystrophy Association.

References

 Akanuma J, Nishigaki T, Fujii K, Matsubara Y, Inui K, Takahashi K, Kure S, Suzuki Y, Ohura T, Miyabayashi S, Ogawa E, Iinuma K, Okada S, Narisawa K (2000) Glycogen storage disease type Ia: molecular diagnosis of 51 Japanese patients and characterization of splicing mutations by analysis of ectopically transcribed mRNA from lymphoblastoid cells. Am J Med Genet 91: 107–112

- Chen YT (2000) The glycogen storage diseases. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D, Childs B, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (eds) The metabolic and molecular bases of inherited disease. McGraw-Hill, New York, pp 1521–1551
- Chevalier-Porst F, Bozon D, Bonardort AM, Bruni N, Mithieux G, Mathieu M, Maire I (1996) Mutation analysis in 24 French patients with glycogen storage disease type 1a. J Med Genet 33: 358–360
- Chiang SC, Lee YM, Chang MH, Wang TR, Ko TM, Hwu WL (2000) Glucose-6-phosphatase gene mutations in Taiwan Chinese patients with glycogen storage disease type Ia. J Hum Genet 45: 197–199
- 5. Cori GT, Cori CF (1952) Glucose-6-phosphatase of the liver in glycogen storage disease. J Biol Chem 199: 661–667
- Galli L, Orrico A, Marcolongo P, Fulceri R, Burchell A, Melis D, Parini R, Gatti R, Lam CW, Benedetti A, Sorrentino V (1999) Mutations in the glucose-6-phosphate transporter (G6PT) gene in patients with glycogen storage diseases type 1b and 1c. FEBS Lett 459: 255-258
- Gerin I, Veiga-da-Cunha M, Achouri Y, Collet JF, Van Schaftingen E (1997) Sequence of a putative glucose 6-phosphate translocase, mutated in glycogen storage disease type Ib. FEBS Lett 419: 235–238
- Goto M, Taki T, Sugie H, Miki Y, Kato H, Hayashi Y (2000) A novel mutation in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene in Korean twins with glycogen storage disease type Ia. J Inherit Metab Dis 23: 851–852
- Hiraiwa H, Pan CJ, Lin BC, Moses SW, Chou JY (1999) Inactivation of the glucose 6-phosphate transporter causes glycogen storage disease type 1b. J Biol Chem 274: 5532–5536
- Hou DC, Kure S, Suzuki Y, Hasegawa Y, Hara Y, Inoue T, Kida Y, Matsubara Y, Narisawa K (1999) Glycogen storage disease type Ib: structural and mutational analysis of the microsomal glucose-6-phosphate transporter gene. Am J Med Genet 86: 253-257
- 11. Huner G, Podskarbi T, Schutz M, Baykal T, Sarbat G, Shin YS, Demirkol M (1998) Molecular aspects of glycogen storage disease type Ia in Turkish patients a novel mutation in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene. J Inherit Metab Dis 21: 445–446
- 12. Ihara K, Kuromaru R, Hara T (1998) Genomic structure of the human glucose 6-phosphate translocase gene and novel mutations in the gene of a Japanese patient with glycogen storage disease type Ib. Hum Genet 103: 493–496
- Janecke AR, Lindner M, Erdel M, Mayatepek E, Moslinger D, Podskarbi T, Fresser F, Stockler-Ipsiroglu S, Hoffmann GF, Utermann G (2000) Mutation analysis in glycogen storage disease type 1 non-a. Hum Genet 107: 285–289
- 14. Kajihara S, Matsuhashi S, Yamamoto K, Kido K, Tsuji K, Tanae A, Fujiyama S, Itoh T, Tanigawa K, Uchida M, Setoguchi Y, Motomura M, Mizuta T, Sakai T (1995) Exon redefinition by a point mutation within exon 5 of the glucose-6-phosphatase gene is the major cause of glycogen storage disease type 1a in Japan. Am J Hum Genet 57: 549–555
- 15. Karasawa Y, Kobayashi M, Nakano Y, Aoki Y, Kawa S, Kiyosawa K, Seki H, Kawasaki S, Furihata K, Itoh N (1998) A case of glycogen storage disease type Ia with multiple hepatic adenomas and G727T mutation in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene, and a comparison with other mutations previously reported. Am J Gastroenterol 93: 1550–1553
- 16. Keller KM, Schutz M, Podskarbi T, Bindl L, Lentze MJ, Shin YS (1998) A new mutation of the glucose-6-phosphatase gene in a 4-year-old girl with oligosymptomatic glycogen storage disease type 1a. J Pediatr 132: 360–361
- Kozak L, Francova H, Hrabincova E, Stastna S, Peskova K, Elleder M (2000) Identification of mutations in the glucose-6phosphatase gene in Czech and Slovak patients with glycogen storage disease type Ia, including novel mutations K76N, V166A and 540del5. Hum Mutat 16: 89
 Kure S, Hou DC, Suzuki Y, Yamagishi A, Hiratsuka M,
- Kure S, Hou DC, Suzuki Y, Yamagishi A, Hiratsuka M, Fukuda T, Sugie H, Kondo N, Matsubara Y, Narisawa K (2000) Glycogen storage disease type Ib without neutropenia. J Pediatr 137: 253-256

- 19. Lam CW, But WM, Shek CC, Tong SF, Chan YS, Choy KW, Tse WY, Pang CP, Hjelm NM (1998) Glucose-6-phosphatase gene (727G > T) splicing mutation is prevalent in Hong Kong Chinese patients with glycogen storage disease type 1a. Clin Genet 53: 184–190
- 20. Lam CW, Tong SF, Lam YY, Chan BY, Ma CH, Lim PL (1999) Identification of a novel missense mutation (G149E) in the glucose-6-phosphate translocase gene in a Chinese family with glycogen storage disease Ib. Hum Mutat Online Report: 57
- 21. Lam CW, Chan KY, Tong SF, Chan BY, Chan YT, Chan YW (2000a) A novel missense mutation (P191L) in the glucose-6phosphate translocase gene identified in a Chinese family with glycogen storage disease 1b. Hum Mutat 16: 94
- 22. Lam CW, Sin SY, Lau ET, Lam YY, Poon P, Tong SF (2000b) Prenatal diagnosis of glycogen storage disease type 1b using denaturing high performance liquid chromatography. Prenat Diagn 20: 765-768
- 23. Lee WJ, Lee HM, Chi CS, Shu SG, Lin LY, Lin WH (1996a) Genetic analysis of the glucose-6-phosphatase mutation of type 1a glycogen storage disease in a Chinese family. Clin Genet 50: 206–211
- 24. Lee WJ, Yang CH, Ho ESC, Shih A, Lin LY, Lin WH (1996b) Prenatal diagnosis in a Chinese family with type Ia glycogen storage disease by PCR-based genetic analysis. Prenat Diag 16: 1027–1031
- 25. Lei KJ, Shelly LL, Pan CJ, Sidbury JB, Chou JY (1993) Mutations in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene that cause glycogen storage disease type 1a. Science 262: 580–583
- 26. Lei KJ, Chen YT, Chen H, Wong LJ, Liu JL, McConkie-Rosell A, Van Hove JL, Ou HC, Yeh NJ, Pan LY et al (1995) Genetic basis of glycogen storage disease type 1a: prevalent mutations at the glucose-6-phosphatase locus. Am J Hum Genet 57: 766–771
- 27. Lei KJ, Shelly LL, Lin B, Sidbury JB, Chen YT, Nordlie RC, Chou JY (1995) Mutations in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene are associated with glycogen storage disease types 1a and 1aSP but not 1b and 1c. J Clin Invest 95: 234-240
- Lin B, Hiraiwa H, Pan CJ, Nordlie RC, Chou JY (1999) Type-1c glycogen storage disease is not caused by mutations in the glucose-6-phosphate transporter gene. Hum Genet 105: 515-517
- Loeschke A (1932) Zur Klinik der Glykogenspeicherungskrankheit (v. Gierkesche Krankheit). Z Kinderheilkd 53: 553– 567
- 30. Marcolongo P, Barone V, Priori G, Pirola B, Giglio S, Biasucci G, Zammarchi E, Parenti G, Burchell A, Benedetti A, Sorrentino V (1998) Structure and mutation analysis of the glycogen storage disease type Ib gene. FEBS Lett 436: 247–250
- Matern D, Niederhoff H, Brandis M, Chou JY (1996) Verification of diagnosis in a 17-year-old boy with clinical glycogen storage disease type Ia by mutation screening. J Inherit Metab Dis 19: 205-208
- 32. Matern D, Lang C, Beck S, Brandis M, Seydewitz HH (1998) Diagnosis of glycogen storage disease type Ia – DNA-analysis of leukocytes as an alternative to the enzyme assay in a liver biopsy specimen. Monatsschr Kinderheilkd 146: 660–664
- 33. Nakamura T, Ozawa T, Kawasaki T, Yasumi K, Wang DY, Kitagawa M, Takehira Y, Tamakoshi K, Yamada M, Kida H, Sugie H, Nakamura H, Sugimura H (1999) Case report: hepatocellular carcinoma in type Ia glycogen storage disease with identification of a glucose-6-phosphatase gene mutation in one family. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 14: 553–558
- 34. Nordlie RC, Sukalski KA, Munoz JM, Baldwin JJ (1983) Type Ic, a novel glycogenosis. Underlying mechanism. J Biol Chem 258: 9739–9744
- 35. Okubo M, Aoyama Y, Kishimoto M, Shishiba Y, Murase T (1997) Identification of a point mutation (G727T) in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene in Japanese patients with glycogen storage disease type 1a, and carrier screening in healthy volunteers. Clin Genet 51: 179–183
- 36. Pan CJ, Lei KJ, Chou JY (1998) Asparagine-linked oligosaccharides are localized to a luminal hydrophilic loop in human glucose-6-phosphatase. J Biol Chem 273: 21658–21662

- Parvari R, Hershkovitz E, Carmi R, Moses S (1996) Prenatal diagnosis of glycogen storage disease type 1a by single stranded conformation polymorphism (SSCP). Prenat Diag 16: 862–865
- 38. Parvari R, Lei KJ, Bashan N, Hershkovitz E, Korman SH, Barash V, Lerman-Sagie T, Mandel H, Chou JY, Moses SW (1997) Glycogen storage disease type 1a in Israel: biochemical, clinical, and mutational studies. Am J Med Genet 72: 286–290
- 39. Parvari R, Lei KJ, Szonyi L, Narkis G, Moses S, Chou JY (1997) Two new mutations in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene cause glycogen storage disease in Hungarian patients. Eur J Hum Genet 5: 191–195
- 40. Parvari R, Isam J, Moses SW (1999) Glycogen storage disease type 1a in three siblings with the G270V mutation. J Inherit Metab Dis 22: 149-154
- Qu Y, Abdenur JE, Eng CM, Desnick RJ (1996) Molecular prenatal diagnosis of glycogen storage disease type Ia. Prenat Diag 16: 333-336
- 42. Rake JP, ten Berge AM, Visser G, Verlind E, Niezen-Koning KE, Buys CH, Smit GPA, Scheffer H (2000a) Glycogen storage disease type Ia: recent experience with mutation analysis, a summary of mutations reported in the literature and a newly developed diagnostic flow chart. Eur J Pediatr 159: 322–330
- 43. Rake JP, ten Berge AM, Visser G, Verlind E, Niezen-Koning KE, Buys CH, Smit GP, Scheffer H (2000b) Identification of a novel mutation (867delA) in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene in two siblings with glycogen storage disease type Ia with different phenotypes. Hum Mutat (Online) 15: 381
- 44. Santer R, Rischewski J, Block G, Kinner M, Wendel U, Schaub J, Schneppenheim R (2000) Molecular analysis in glycogen storage disease 1 non-A: DHPLC detection of the highly prevalent exon 8 mutations of the G6PT1 gene in German patients. Hum Mutat 16: 177
- 45. Sartorato EL, Reis FC, Norato DYJ, Hackel C (1998) A novel mutation in a Brazilian patient with glycogen storage disease type 1a. J Inherit Metab Dis 21: 447
- 46. Schall L (1932) 3 Fälle von Glykogenspeicherkrankheit (Hepatomegalie glykogenica v. Gierke). Münch Med Wschr 79: 2078–2080
- 47. Senior B, Loridan L (1968) Studies of liver glycogenoses, with particular reference to the metabolism of intravenously administered glycerol. N Engl J Med 279: 958–965
- 48. Seydewitz HH, Matern D (2000) Molecular genetic analysis of 40 patients with glycogen storage disease type Ia: 100% mutation detection rate and 5 novel mutations. Hum Mutat 15: 115– 116
- 49. Seydewitz HH, Hinkel GK, Matern D, Brandis M (1998) Pränataldiagnose durch Mutationsanalyse des Glucose-6-Phosphatase-Gens bei einer Familie mit Glykogenose Typ Ia. Monatsschr Kinderheilkd 146: 135
- 50. Stroppiano M, Regis S, DiRocco M, Caroli F, Gandullia P, Gatti R (1999) Mutations in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene of 53 Italian patients with glycogen storage disease type Ia. J Inherit Metab Dis 22: 43–49
- 51. Takahashi K, Akanuma J, Matsubara Y, Fujii K, Kure S, Suzuki Y, Wataya K, Sakamoto O, Aoki Y, Ogasawara M, Ohura T, Miyabayashi S, Narisawa K (2000) Heterogeneous mutations in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene in Japanese patients with glycogen storage disease type Ia. Am J Med Genet 92: 90–94
- 52. Trioche P, Francoual J, Audibert F, Chalas J, Lindenbaum A, Odievre M, Labrune P (1998) Prenatal diagnosis of glycogen storage disease type Ia by restriction enzyme digestion. Prenat Diag 18: 629-631
- 53. Trioche P, Francoual J, Chalas J, Capel L, Lindenbaum A, Odievre M, Labrune P (2000) Genetic heterogeneity of glycogen storage disease type Ia in France: A study of 48 patients. Hum Mutat 16: 444
- 54. Unselm E (1932) Die Glykogenkrankheit. Jahrb Kinderheilkd 137: 257–278
- 55. Veiga-da-Cunha M, Gerin I, Chen YT, de Barsy T, de Lonlay P, Dionisi-Vici C, Fenske CD, Lee PJ, Leonard JV, Maire I, McConkie-Rosell A, Schweitzer S, Vikkula M, Van Schaftingen E

(1998) A gene on chromosome 11q23 coding for a putative glucose-6-phosphate translocase is mutated in glycogen-storage disease types Ib and Ic. Am J Hum Genet 63: 976–983

- 56. Veiga-Da-Cunha M, Gerin I, Chen YT, Lee PJ, Leonard JV, Maire I, Wendel U, Vikkula M, Van Schaftingen E (1999) The putative glucose 6-phosphate translocase gene is mutated in essentially all cases of glycogen storage disease type I non-a. Eur J Hum Genet 7: 717–723
- 57. Veiga-da-Cunha M, Gerin I, Van Schaftingen E (2000) How many forms of glycogen storage disease type I? Eur J Pediatr 159: 314-318
- 58. Visser G, Rake JP, Fernandes J, Labrune P, Leonard JV, Moses S, Ullrich K, Smit GP (2000) Neutropenia, neutrophil dysfunction, and inflammatory bowel disease in glycogen storage disease type Ib: results of the European study on glycogen storage disease type I. J Pediatr 137: 187–191
- 59. Von Gierke E (1929) Hepato-Nephromegalia glykogenica (Glykogenspeicherkrankheit der Leber und Nieren). Beitr Pathol Anat 82: 497–513

- 60. Weston BW, Lin JL, Muenzer J, Cameron HS, Arnold RR, Seydewitz HH, Mayatepek E, Van Schaftingen E, Veiga-Da-Cunha M, Matern D, Chen YT (2000) Glucose-6-phosphatase mutation G188R confers an atypical glycogen storage disease type 1b phenotype. Pediatr Res 48: 329–334
- Wong LJC (1996) Prenatal diagnosis of glycogen storage disease type Ia by direct mutation detection. Prenat Diag 16: 105– 108
- 62. Wong LJC, Hwu WL, Dai P, Chen TJ (2001) Molecular genetics of glycogen storage disease type Ia in Chinese patients of Taiwan. Mol Genet Metab 72: 175–180
- 63. Wu MC, Tsai F, Lee CC, Tsai CH, Wu JY (2000a) A novel missense mutation (H119L) identified in a Taiwan chinese family with glycogen storage disease Ia (Von Gierke disease). Hum Mutat 16: 447
- 64. Wu MC, Tsai FJ, Le CC, Lin SP, Wu JY (2000b) Identification of a novel missense mutation (T16A) in the glucose-6-phosphatase gene in a Taiwan Chinese patient with glycogen storage disease Ia (Von Gierke disease). Hum Mut (Online) 15: 390