

Biomass, Carbonate Standing Stock and Production of the Mediterranean Coral *Cladocora caespitosa* **(L.)**

Andrea Peirano, La Spezia, Carla Morri, Genova, C. Nike Bianchi and Riccardo Rodolfo-Metalpa, La Spezia

KEYWORDS: SCLERACTINIA - *(TADOCORA CAESPITOSA - BIOMASS - ORGANIC PRODUCTION - CARBONATE STANDING STOCK* - CaCO3 PRODUCTION - MEDITERRANEAN - RECENT

Summary

The Mediterranean coral *Cladocora caespitosa* often occurs in large beds, i.e. populations of hemispherical colonies with stock densities varying between 1.9 and 4 colonies \cdot m $^{-2}$. Laboratory measurements of volume, **skeleton** weight, surface and number of corallites per colony, coupled with mean annual growth rates evaluated through sclerochronology, allowed for the estimation of biomass, skeleton bulk density, calcimass (carbonate standing stock) and secondary production (both **organic and inorganic)** of two *C. caespitosa* beds at 4 and 9 m depth. **The mean** colony biomass varied between 0.73 and 0.99 kg dw \cdot m⁻², corresponding to a calcimass between 2 and 5 kg $CaCO₃$ m⁻². Organic secondary production was $215.5 - 305.4$ g dw of polyps \cdot m⁻² \cdot y⁻¹, while the potential (mineral) production was 1.1 1.7 kg CaCO₃ \cdot m⁻² \cdot y $^{-1}$, for the year 1996-1997.

These values show that *C. caespitosa* is one of the major carbonate producers within the Mediterranean and **one** of the major epibenthic species originating stable **carbonate** frameworks both in recent and past times.

1 INTRODUCTION

The evaluation of the biogenic carbonate standing stock in the sea is important to assess the influence of the bioconstructions on the surroundings ecosystems (Laborel. 1987; Bianchi & Morri 1996), to understand the sedimentary dynamics and evolution of the carbonate frameworks (Freiwald $&$ Henrich, 1994) and to relate them to climatic **and sea-level changes** (Laborel & Laborel-Deguen, 1994: Sartoretto et al., 1996). From an economic point of view the estimation of carbonate amount coupled with secondary production may be crucial in fishery exploitation of biogenic constructions of commercial importance such as corals and maerl. Moreover, carbonate estimation is often coupled with cartography to know the extension of biogenic facies (Tongpenyai & Jones, 1991) and to assess human and natural disturbances on bioconstruction (Maragos, 1993; Johnson et al., 1995: Sammarco, 1996 : Highsmith, 1980; Done & Potts, 1992).

As outlined by Henrich et al. (1995) little is known on the extension and importance of carbonate production of nontropical species that both in past and recent times participated in CO2 trapping and the edification of carbonate framework and build-ups. Among these species *Cladocora caespilosa* (L.) is the main Mediterranean zooxantellate coral abundant both in past and recent times. Fossil remains are known throughout the Mediterranean countries (see Peirano et al., 1998 for a review), l,arge fossil formations (banks and/or reefal frameworks) of this coral dated back to Pleistocene were found submerged in Aegean Sea (Laborel, 1961; Kiihlmann, 1996), south and east coast of Majorca (Cuerda, 1975) and along the east coast of Cape Bon, Tunisia (Poizat, 1970; Zibrowius. 1980). Emerged p atch reefs of C. *caespitosa* were found in Spain (Aguirre & Jimenez, 1998), in Italy (Palmentola et al., 1990; Dai Pra et al., 1993: Bernasconi et al., 1997) and in Cyprus (Dornbos & Wilson, 1999). Large living banks are rare in recent times (Morri et al., 1994). *C. caespitosa* occurs, when abundant, in shallow bottoms in 'beds', i.e. numerous, distinct, hemispherical colonies living more or less close to each other on boulders and cobbles (Peirano et al., 1998, 1999). The present paper uses geometric relationships to compute the number of corallites, biomass, skeleton weigth and bulk density of *C. caespitosa* from simple, basic measurements taken underwater. Such relationships, coupled with sclerochronology, are used to evaluate the secondary organic production and the mineral potential production, i.e., the amount of $CaCO$, produced per unit area (Chave et al., 1972).

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Numerous samples of Cladocora caespitosa were collected by SCUBA divers in La Spezia region (Ligurian Sea, NW Mediterranean) in the years 1994-1997 (Peirano et al., 1999) (Fig 1). Before collecting, the diameter D (in roundshaped colonies) or the major axis $D1$ and the minor axis $D2$ (in elliptical-shaped colonies) and the height H of each colony were measured in situ with a plastic ruler with half cm accuracy. Mean number of corallites per cm² per colony was estimated underwater counting the number of corallites in three replicated quadrats 3 x 3 cm.

Addresses: Dr. A. Peirano, Dr. C.N.Bianchi and Dr. R. Rodolfo-Metalpa, ENEA-Centro Ricerche Ambicnte Marino, CP 224. I-19100 La Spezia, Fax: 0039/0187978273, email: peirano@santateresa.enea.it; Dr. C. Morri, DipTeRis, Università di Genova, Corso Europa, 26. 1-16132, Genova, fax: 0039/0103538209. email: morric@diptcris.unige.it

Fig. 1. Location of the three sampling site in the Ligurian Sea: Bocca di Magra (4 m depth), Punta Bianca and Fiascherino (9 m depth).

To estimate secondary production, additional subsamples were collected in September 1997. Three or four corallites were isolated underwater from each of 79 colonies. Calix diameter (Cd) of corallites was measured with a calliper at 1/10 of mm accuracy level in laboratory. Mean annual growth rates per colony for the year 1996-1997 were estimated through sclerochronology (Peirano et al., 1999).

Biomass, calcimass (carbonatic standing stock) and both organic and inorganic secondary production of 'beds' of *C. caespitosa* were evaluated in three shallow sites (4 - 9 m depth): Bocca di Magra, Punta Bianca and Fiascherino (Fig. 1). In each site, size and density of *C. caespitosa* were recorded in 1 $m²$ quadrats randomly placed along transects 50 - 75 m long. Differences between sites were investigated with one-way analysis of variance and Tukey test; data were log transformed when not satisfying the assumption of homogeneity of variances.

2.1 Skeleton weight

The true volume (V) of eighteen collected colonies was measured in $cm³$ through the immersion technique (Schiller, 1993). Colony volumes varied from 40 to l 1400 $cm³$. To measure skeleton weight (SkW) colonies were washed to remove the sediment that filled the interstices among corallites. Usually, during this treatment colonies collapsed and it was possible to isolate the corallites and detach the epibionts. To remove all the organic matter, polyps included, the colonies were immersed in a peroxide solution for a day, and then were rinsed again and dried at 80° C for 48 hours to constant weight. Colony skeleton weigth resulted between 6 and 7336 g.

Fig. 2. Curves relating the true volume (V) to the skeleton weight (SkW), and the volume calculated from underwater measurements (Vc) to the true volume (V), colony biomass (COB), number of corallites per colony (Nc), production per colony of $CaCO₃$ (CaP) and biomass (POP).

To relate underwater measurements of size (cm) to SkW

 (g) we first calculated the theorical colony volume (Vc) in $cm³$ using the equations proposed by Maragos (1978), so modified:

 $Vc = 2/3 \pi H \cdot (D/2)^2$ for round shaped colonies

Vc = $2/3 \pi$ H (D1/2) (D2/2) for elliptical shaped colonies Paired t-test was used to assess differences between underwater replicated measurements taken by two trained divers and one non-experienced diver. The differences between the computed volumes (Vc) calculated from the measurements achieved by divers were not significant. However, the difference between measurements taken by the two experienced divers was much lower ($P = 0.602$) than differences among the experienced and the nonexperienced diver $(P= 0.083$ and $P= 0.051$.

The following equations, resulting from regression analysis, allowed the estimation of true skeleton weight SkW (g) from V (cm³) and Vc (cm³) :

 $V = 1.4144 \cdot Vc$ ($R^2 = 0.96$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 2a) SkW = $0.6295 \cdot V(R^2 = 0.99, P < 0.0001)$ (Fig. 2 b)

2.2 Biomass

Colony biomass was calculated as the sum of individual polyps weight through the following procedure: a) The colony surface (S) in cm² was calculated from underwater measurements using the fommla:

$$
S = \pi \cdot c \cdot \left[c + \frac{a^2}{\sqrt{(c^2 - a^2)}} - \log \left[\frac{\sqrt{(c^2 - a^2)} - c}{a} \right] \right]
$$

where: $c = H$ and $a = D1$ when $H > D1/2$
or $c = D1/2$ and $a = H$ when $H < D1/2$.

When $H = D1/2$ the classical formula for the calculation of hemispherical solid surface was used:

 $S=2 \cdot \pi \cdot H^2$

b) The number of corallites per colony (Nc) was calculated multiplying S by the mean number of corallites per $cm²$ measured underwater. The quality of the approach was tested on 13 collected colonies with $D1$ ranging from 6.5 to 17 cm and resulted highly significant ($R^2 = 0.96$, $P < 0.0001$). c) To calculate the individual polyp weight, we used Schiller's (1993) equation that relates calix diameter Cd (mm) to polyp tissue dry weight (mg dw):

Polyp weight = $0.807 \cdot \text{Cd}^{1.750}$

d) Finally, polyp weight was multiplied by the number of corallites per colony (Nc) to obtain colony biomass (CoB). Two general equations that related underwater measurements, in term of colony volume Vc $(cm³)$, to CoB (g dw) and number of corallites NC were calculated iteratively: $CoB = 3.9390 \cdot Vc^{0.6445} (N = 11.4; R^2 = 0.97; P < 0.0001)$

(Fig. 2c)

 $NC = 7.0849 \cdot Vc^{0.8908}$ (N = 155 ; R² = 0.97 : P < 0.0001) (Fig. **2cl)**

2.3 Organic + CaC03 production

Since colonies of *C. caespitosa* are phaceloid in form, with corallites separated from each other (Peirano et al.,

1999), the growth of the colony, both in volume and surface, coincides with the increase of the number of corallites (and polyps) produced by scission. Hence, the total secondary production of a colony may be considered divided into two terms: mineral (CaCO₃) production = CaP (g yr $^{-1}$) and polyps (organic) production = PoP (mg $dw \cdot yr^{-1}$). The former (CaP) was evaluated as the rate of increase in skeleton weight (SkW), the second (POP) was evaluated as the rate of increase in biomass (COB) due to new polyps produced between 1996 and 1997. Thus, the two components of *C. caespitosa* secondary productions were:

$$
CaP = SkW_{1997} - SkW_{1996}
$$

$$
PoP = CoB_{1997} - CoB_{1996}
$$

Growth rates were indipendent from depth and no correlations were found between corallite's diameter and growth rates ($R^2 = 0.024$; P = 0.26), colony diameter (N=149, $R^2 =$ 0.096; P = 0.26) or colony heigth (R^2 = 0.0021; P = 0.59). Therefore, mean growth rates, ranging from 0.53 to 5 mm \cdot year $^{-1}$, were used to back-calculate the size of colonies in 1996. The tollowing two general equations relating CaP (g · yr $^{-1}$) and PoP (g dw · year ¹) to Vc (cm³) were caiculated iteratively :

$$
CaP = 2.63 \cdot \text{Vc}^{0.7651} \text{ (N = 71 ; R}^2 = 0.95 \text{, P} < 0.0001)
$$
\n(Fig. 2e)

Fig. 3. Size-frequency istograms of the three populations of Cladocora caespitosa investigated.

Fig. 4. Mean volume and cover of colonies *ofCladocora caespitosa* in the three studied sites.

 $PoP = 5.8725 \cdot Vc^{0.4362}$ (N = 63; R² = 0.87, P = < 0.0001) (Fig. 2f)

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The three *Cladocora caespitosa* beds investigated were mainly formed by colonies with diameter smaller than 20 cm (Fig. 3). Maximum densities were found at Punta Bianca (8 colonies $m²$) where the abundance of small colonies indicated high recruitment. Maximum colony diameter (65 cm) was recorded at Fiascherino.

Dispersion patterns were tested for agreement with a Poisson distribution. Hypothesis of randomness was not disproved for Fiascherino (N = 40; χ^2 = 55, P > 0.05) and Punta Bianca (N = 18; χ^2 = 21.9, P > 0.05) while at Bocca di Magra dispersion of the population was contagious ($N =$ 23; χ^2 = 41.2, P < 0.05). C. *caespitosa* showed lower colony densities at Fiascherino and differed significantly from Bocca di Magra beds (P < 0.01). No differences were found between colonies of Fiascherino, Bocca di Magra and Punta Bianca in cover, volume, colony biomass, and carbonate budget (Fig. 4 and Tab. I).

From the equation relating skeleton weight SkW to volume V we deduced a skeletal bulk density, or 'colony density' following Heiss (1995), of 0.63 g of CaCO₃ cm⁻³ that is below the values recorded for the Mediterranean scleractinian *Madracispharensis* and closer to the minimum values recorded for tropical corals (Table 2).

Assuming a density value of 2.94 g \cdot cm ⁻³ for the pure aragonite (Dustan, 1975; Rice & Hunter, 1992) the porosity resulted 21.4 % , a value not much different from 24.4 - 35.5 %, calculated for *Acropora* spp (Schumacher, 1984), and from 29 % for *M. pharensis* (Morri et al., 2000).

Species	Skeletal bulk density (g CaCO ₂ , cm ⁻³)	Source
Acropora hyacinthus	1.97	Hibino & Van Woesik (2000)
Acropora spp	$0.08 - 2.80$	Harriott (1997)
Cladopora caespitosa	0.63	(Present work)
Favia pallida	1.35 1.55	Heiss (1995)
Goniastrea spp	$1.7 - 2.06$	Heiss (1995)
Madracis pharensis	$1.95 - 2.10$	Morri et al. (2000)
Montastrea annularis	$1.58 - 2.09$	Dustan (1975)
Montipora verrucosa	$1.28 - 1.51$	Heiss (1995)
Pavona sop	$1.44 - 1.86$	Heiss (1995)
Pocillopora damicornis	-1	Heiss (1995)
Porites spp	$1.26 - 1.85$	Heiss (1995)
Porites sp.	$0.99 - 1.55$	Lough & Barnes (1997, 2000)
Porites spp	1.35	Hibino & Van Woesik (2000)

Tab. 2. Skeletal bulk density of corals from literature review.

Even if coral density varies directly with distance from shore and in versely with extension rate and depth (see Heiss, 1995 and Lough & Barnes, 2000 for a review) and the massive presence of *C. caespitosa* in the Pleistocene and Holocene with large banks and reefal formations suggest past Mediterranean environmental conditions different from recent ones, we propose a rough value of 630 kg of CaCO₃ \cdot m⁻³ to calculate the carbonatic budget of fossil build-ups. The ratio carbonatic weight to biomass varied from 4.24 at 4 m depth to 2.73 - 6.25 at 9 m depth.

Although no data are available for Mediterranean scleractinians we may consider these values in the range of those calculated for some Mediterranean gorgonacean that varied from 2.2 in *Paramuricea chamaleon* to 6.8 in *Corallium rubrum* (Bellan-Santini, 1968).

Comparing literature data on potential production and carbonate stock of main modern reef building species

	Potential Production (kg CaCO ₃ . m ⁻² .yr ⁻¹)
Arctic Regions	
Lithothamnion cf. glaciale and	
Phymatolithon sp. (1, 2)	$= 4 - 1.4$
Temperate Regions	
Lithothamnion coralloides (1)	$0.08 - 0.9$
Phymatolyton calcareous (1)	$0.08 - 0.4$
Lithophyllum incrustans (1)	
Peyssonellia spp (3)	$0.17 - 0.25$
Ficopomatus enigmaticus (4)	6
Pentapora fascialis (4)	2.8
Cladocora caespitosa (present work)	$0.004 - 12.8$
Tropical Regions	
Porites spp (5)	$11.1 - 20.5$
Porites spp (6)	$4.5 - 17$
Favia pallida (6)	$5.9 - 13$
Goniastrea retiformis (6)	$8.3 - 14.5$
Pocillopora spp (6)	96
Palythoa caribaeorum (7)	0.07
Acropora spp (8)	100
Halimeda spp (8)	10
Halimeda incrassata (9)	~10.05

Tab. 3. Carbonatic budget and potential carbonate production of major epibenthic species originatin stable carbonate frameworks from literature review, l) Freiwald & Henrich (1994), 2) Henrich et al. (1995), 3) Ballesteros (1994), 4) Bianchi (1997), 5 Lough & Barnes (2000), 6) Heiss (1995), 7) Muel[er & Haywick (1995), 8) Chave et al. (1972), 9) Wefer (1980).

Tab. 1. Characteristics of the three *Cladocora caespitosa* beds. FM = Bocca di Magra, PB = Punta Bianca, FI = Fischerino. Parameters are expressed as average ±standard deviation.

showed that the constructional ability of *C. caespitosa* is **below that of most tropical species (Table 3). W ith a** carbonate production of up to $12.8 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$, however, **this coral may be considered as one of the majors contributors to the edification of stable carbonate framework with in the Mediterranean Sea, where, according to Bcllan-Santin i** (1968) and Cébrian et al. (2000), the total carbonate **standing stock of infralittoral assemblages is comprised between 2.1 and 13.2 kg -m -2**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank F. Spairani (La Spczia) for her help in field and laboratory work, M. Beltrametti (Genoa) who suggested the formula to calculate the surface of colonies, and G. Calzetta (Sarzana) for X-radiographs and W.C. DulIo (Kiel) for the critical reading of the manuscript. This work has been done within the framework of the research projects SINAPSI and Ambiente Mcditerranco (Accordo di programma CNR-MURST, L. 95/95).

REFERENCES

- Aguirre, J. & Jiménez, A.P. (1998): Fossil analogues of presentday *Cladocora caespitosa* coral banks: Sedimentary setting, dwelling community, and taphonomy (Late Pliocene, W Mediterranean).- Coral Reefs 17,203-213, 6 Figs.. 2 Tables. Heidelberg
- Ballesteros, **E. (1994):** The deep-water *t'eyssonellia* Beds l:rom the balearic Islands (Western Mediterranean. - P.S.Z.N. 1: Mar. Ecol., 15/3-4, 233-253, 5 Figs., 5 Tables, Vienna
- Bellan-Santini, D. (1968): Conclusions d'une étude quantitative dans la biocénose des algues photophiles en Méditerranée sur les côtes de Provence (France). - Mar. Biol., 1. 250-256. 2 Figs., 2 Tables, Heidelberg
- Bernasconi, MP, Corselli, C., Carobene L. (1997): A bank of the scleractinian coral *Cladocora caespitosa* in the Pleistocene of the Crati valley (Calabria, Southern Italy): growth versus environmental conditions. - Boll. Soc. paleontol. Ital.. 36/1-2, 53-61, 1 PI., 4 Figs., 1 Table,. Parma
- Bianchi, C.N. (1997): Climate change and biological response in the marine benthos. - Atti Ass. It. Oceanol. Limnol., 12/ 1.3-20, 2 Figs., 1 Table, Genova
- Bianchi, C.N. & Morri, C. (1996): *Ficopomatus* 'Reefs' in the Po River Delta (Northern Adriatic): their constructional dynumics, biology, and inlluences on the brackish-water biota. - P.S.Z.N. I: Mar. Ecol., 17/1-3, 51-66. 8 Figs., Vienna
- Cébrian, E., Ballesteros, E. & Canals, M. (2000): Shallow rocky bottom benthic assemblages as calcium carbonate producers in the Alboran Sea (soulhwcslern Mediterranean). - Oceanologica Acta, 23'3, 311-323, 4 Figs., 3 Tables, Paris
- Chave, K.E., Smith S.V. & Roy K.J. (1972): Carbonate production by coral reefs. - Mar. Geol., 12, 123-140, 6 Figs., 10 Tables, Amsterdam
- Cuerda, B.J. (1975): Los tiempos cuaternarios en Baleares. 471 p., Instituto de Estudios Baleáricos, Palma de Mallorca
- Dai Pra, G., Miyauchi, T., Anselmi, I3.. Gallelti. **M. & Paganin G.** (1993): - Età dei depositi a Strombus bubonius di Vibo Valentia Marina (Italia meridionale). **+ l[Quatemario, 6/I, 139-144, 5 Figs., 1 Table,** Napoli
- Done, T.J. & Potts, D.C. (1992): Influences of habitat and natural disturbances on contributions of massive *Porites* corals to reef communities. **-** Mar. Biol., 114, 479-493, 11 Figs.. 5 Tables,

Heidelberg

- Dornbos, S.Q. & Wilson, M.A. (1999): Paleoecology of a Pliocene coral reef in Cyprus: Recovery of a marine comunity from the Messinian Salinity Crisis. - N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh., 213/1, 103-118. 2 Figs.. 4 Tables, Stuttgart
- Dustan, P. (1975): Growth and form in the reef-building coral Montastrea annularis. Mar. Biol., 33 , $101-107$, 4 Figs., 2 Tables, Heidelbcrg
- Freiwald, A. & Henrich R. (1994): Reefal coralline algal builds-ups whithin the Artic Circle: inorphology and sedimentary dynamics under extreme environmcntal seasonaiity. - Scdimentology, 41. 963-984, 13 Figs., Oxford
- Harriot. V.J. (1997): Skeletal bulk density of the scleractinian coral Acropora formosa (Dana, 1846) in tropical and subtropical Western Australia. In: Marine Flora and Fauna of the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Westcrn Australia, Wells, F.E. (Ed.): 75-82, 3 Figs., 1 Table. Western Australian Museum, Perth
- Heiss, G.A. (1995): Carbonate production by scleractinian corals of Aqaba, Gulf of Aqaba. Red Sea. - Facies, 33, 19-34. 10 Figs., 13 Tables, Erhmgen
- Henrich, R., Freiwald, A., Betzler, C., Bader, B., Schäfer, P., Samtleben. C., Brachert, 'F.C., Wehrmann, A., Zankl, H., Kühlmann, D.H.H. (1995): Controls on modern carbonate sedimentation on warm-temperate to artic coasts, shelves and seamounts in the northern hemisphere: implications for fossil counterparts. - Facies, 32, 71-108, 7 Pls., 12 Figs., Erlangen
- Hibino, K. & Van Woesik. R. (2000): Spatial differences and seasonal changes of net carbonate accumulation on some coral reefs of the Ryukyu Islands, Japan. - J. exp. mar Biol. Ecol.. **252, 1-** 14, 5 Figs., 3 Tables, Amsterdam
- Highsmith, R.C. (1980): Passive colonization and asexual colony multiplication in the massive coral *Poriteslutea* Milne Edwards & llaime. - J. exp. mar Biol. Ecol.. 47, 55-07, II Figs., Amsterdam
- Kühlmann, D.H.H. (1996): Preliminary report on Holocene submarine accumulation *of ('ladocora (aespitosa* (L. 1767) in the Mediterranean. - Göttinger Arb. Geol. Paläont., Sb2, 65-69, 2 Figs., 1 Table. Göttingen
- Johnson, C., Klumpp, D., Field. J. & Bradbury, R. (1995): Carbon flux on coral reefs: effecls of large shifts in community struclure. - Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 126, 123-143. Amsterdam
- Laborel. J. (1961): Sur un cas particulier de concrétionnement animal. Concrétionnement à Cladocora caespitosa L. dans le Golfe de Talante. - Rapp. P.-V. Reun. CIESM, 16/2, 429-432, 3 Figs, Monaco
- Laborel. J. (1987): Marine biogenic constructions in the Mediterranean: a review. - Sci. Rep. Port-Cros natl. Park, Fr. 13: 97-126.20 Figs, Port-Cros.
- Laborel, J. & Laborel-Deguen F. (1994): Biological Indicators of Relative Sea-I,evel Variations and of Co-Seismic Displacements in the Mediterranean Region. - J. Coast. Res., 10/2.395-415, West Palm Beach
- Lough, J.M. & Barnes, D.J. (1997): several centuries of variations in skeletal extension, density and calcification in massive *Porites* colonies from the Great Barrier Reef: A proxy for seawater temperature and a background of variability against which to identify unnatural changes. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 21.29-67. 11 Figs.. 6 Tables, Amsterdam
- Lough, J.M. & Barnes, D.J. (2000): Environmental controls on growth of the massive coral *Porites*. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 245. 225-243. 8 Figs., 4 Tables, Amsterdam
- Maragos, J.E. (1978): Coral growth: geometrical relationship. In: Stoddart, D.R. & Johannes. R.E (eds) Coral reefs: research methods. Unesco, 543-550, Paris
- Maragos, J.E. (1993): Impact of Coastal Construction on Coral Reefs in the U.S.-Affiliated Pacific Islands. - Coastal Management. 21, 235-269, 11 Figs.. 1 Table, Seattle
- Morri, C., Peirano, A., Bianchi, C.N. & Sassarini M. (1994): Present-day bioconstructions of the hard coral *Cladocora caespitosa* (L.)(Anthozoa. Seleractinia). in the Eastern Ligurian

Sea (NW Mediterranean). - Biol. Mar. Medit., 1/1,371-372, 1 Fig., Genova

- Morri, C., Vafidis, D., Peirano, A., Chintiroglou, C.C & Bianchi, C.N. (2000): Anthozoa from a subtidal hydrothermal area off Milos island (Aegean Sea), with notes on the construction potential of the scleractinian coral *Madracispharensis. -* It. J. Zool., 67, 319-325, 4 Figs., 2 Tables, Modena
- Mueller, E. & Haywick, D.W. (1995): Sediment assimilation and calcification by western Atlantic reef zoanthid *Palythoa caribaeorum. - Bull. Inst. Océanogr. Monaco, nº special 14/2,* 89-100, 4 Figs., 3 Tables, Monaco
- Palmentola, G., Carobene, L., Mastronuzzi, G. & Sansò P. (1990): I terrazzi marini pleistocenici della penisola di Crotone (Calabria). - Geogr. fis. Dinam. quat., 13/1,75-80, 3 Figs., Bari
- Peirano, A., Morri, C. & Bianchi C.N., (1999): Skeleton growth and density pattern of the zooxanthellate scleractinian *Cladocora caespitosa* (L.) from the Ligurian Sea (NW Mediterranean). -Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 185, 195-201, 6 Figs., 2 Tables, Luhe
- Peirano, A., Morri, C., Mastronuzzi, G. & Bianchi, C.N. (1998): The coral *Cladocora caespitosa* (Anthozoa, Scleractinia) as a bioherm builder in the Mediterranean Sea. - Mem. Descr. Carta Geol. d' It., 52 (1994), 59-74, l PI., 7 Figs., 1 Table, Roma
- Poizat, C. (1970): Hydrodynamisme et sédimentation dans le Golfe de Gabès (Tunisie). - Tethys, 2/1: 267-296, 7 Pls., 11 Tabs, Marseille
- Rice, S.A. & Hunter, C.L. (1992): Effects of suspended sediment and burial on scleractinian corals from west central Florida patch reefs. Bull. mar. Sci., 51/3,429-442, Miami
- Sartoretto, S., Verlaque, M. & Laborel, J. (1996): Age of settlement and accumulation rate of submarine "coralligene" (-10 to -60)

m) of the northwestern Mediterranean Sea; relation to Holocene rise in sea level. - Mar. Geol., 130, 317-331, 7 Figs., 3 Tables, Amsterdam

- Sammarco, P.W. (1996): Comments on coral reef regeneration, bioerosion, biogeography, and chemical ecology: future directions. - J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol., 200, 135-168, 11 Figs., Amsterdam
- Schiller, C. (1993): Ecology of the symbiotic coral *Cladocora caespitosa* (L.) (Faviidae, Scleractinia) in the Bay of Piran (Adriatic Sea): 1. Distribution and biometry. - P.S.Z.N. I: Mar. Ecol., 14/3, 205-219, 10 Figs., 3 Tables, Vienna
- Schumacher, H. (1984): Reef-building properties of Tubastraea micranthus (Scleractinia, Dendrophylliidae), a coral without zooxantellae. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser., 20, 93-99, 5 Figs., 2 Tables, Luhe
- Tongpenyai, B. & Jones, B. (1991). Application of image analysis for delineating moder carbonate facies changes through time: Grand Cayman, western Caribbean sea. - Mar. Geol., 96, 85- 101, 1 Figs., Amsterdam
- Wefer, G. (1980). Carbonate production by algae *Halymeda, Penicillus* and *Padina.* - Nature, 285, 2 Figs., London
- Zibrowius, H. (1980): Les Scléractiniaires de la Méditerranée et de l'Atlantique nord-oriental. - Mém. Inst. océanogr., 11, 1-284, 107 Pls, 3 Tables, Monaco
- Manuscript received October 14, 2000
- Revised manuscript accepted January 25, 2001