The Magnesium Chloride-Potassium Chloride Phase Diagram

G.S. Perry and H. Fletcher Atomic Weapons Establishment Aldermaston Reading, RG7 4PR Berkshire, United Kingdom

(Submitted August 15, 1992; in revised form November 30, 1992)

The MgCI2-KCI binary phase diagram has been redetermined experimentally by cooling curve analysis. It has three eutectics—at $\frac{427 \text{ °C}}{31 \text{ mol}\% \text{ MgCl}_2}$, at $\frac{426 \text{ °C}}{35 \text{ mol}\% \text{ MgCl}_2}$, and at $\frac{470 \text{ }}{35 \text{ mol}\% \text{ MgCl}_2}$ $^{\circ}$ C (57 mol% MgCl₂)—and two congruently melting compounds—K₂MgCl4 at 428 $^{\circ}$ C (33 mol% MgCl₂) and KMgCl₃ at 488.5 °C (50 mol% MgCl₂). A peritectic of K₃Mg₂Cl₇ was found at 440 °C (36 **mol % MgCI2). Another phase change, for which we have not been able to offer a satisfactory expla**nation, was found at 424[°]C in the 40 to 50 mol% MgCl₂ region.

1. Introduction

The MgCl₂-KCl phase diagram has been determined by a number of workers $1-7$ (Fig. 1 to 7) employing various methods, but discrepancies exist. Seifert and coworkers^{7-9,11,12} have studied the system more thoroughly than earlier investigators using, not only DTA, but a novel electrochemical approach. They have also determined the crystal structures of four compounds.^{7,8} Although the work by Seifert is very thorough, the most often quoted phase diagram is that of Grjotheim and coworkers. 6 This paper describes our determination of the MgCl₂-KCl phase diagram using the cooling curve thermal analysis method.

The main discrepancy in the phase diagram is over the existence of the two incongruently melting compounds, K_4MgCl_6 and K₃Mg₂Cl₇, reported by only Seifert *et al.*^{7-9,11,12} The existence of K_2MgCl_4 is reported by the majority of the authors, while others indicate only a single congruently melting com-

pound, $KMgCl₃$. The melting point of $K₂MgCl₄$ is reported to be between 428 to 433 °C, and KMgCl₃ reportedly melts between 485 to 492 °C. Three eutectic points are reported: two between 426 to 431 °C and one at 470 to 487 °C at compositions of approximately 31, 36, and 58 mol% MgCl₂, respectively. Klemm, Beyersdorfer, and Oryschkewirsch³ and Ivanov⁴ report an additional phase change around the 40 mol\% MgCl₂ region, and Seifert *et al.* established the existence of two incongruently melting compounds— K_4MgCl_6 , melting point 429 °C (30 mol% peritectic point) and $K_3Mg_2Cl_7$, melting point 442 \degree C (38 mol% peritectic point). KMgCl₃ transforms at $297 °C$ (orthorhombic to cubic).

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

The KC1 used was Merck analar grade (99.5%). (Before use, the KCl was heated to 800 °C in a furnace in a nitrogen atmos-

phere glovebox, held at 800 °C for one hour, and then allowed **to cool.) Karl Fischer analysis showed the product to contain** 0.09% water $\pm 0.02\%$), and Fast Neutron Activation Analysis **(FNAA) determined the oxygen content to be 0.05%. Spectrographic analysis showed the major impurity was magnesium at** 170 ppm. The melting point was $768 \degree C$ ¹⁰

The MgCl₂ used was Merck technical grade anhydrous. The MgCl₂ was heated to 750 °C in a silica tube in an argon atmos**phere. Once the salt was molten, HCI was bubbled through for** 30 minutes before allowing the MgCl₂ to cool under argon. This treatment was necessary to prevent the MgCl₂ from hy**drolyzing and forming the oxychloride. The product was a**

Table 1 Chemical Analysis of KCl and MgCI2

	KCI.	MgCl ₂	
Element	ppm	ppm	
Lithium	< 10	<10	
Beryllium	<1	\leq 1	
	<50	<50	
Magnesium	170	.	
Potassium	\ddotsc	$290+30$	
Calcium	70	140	
Scandium	<1	<1	
Titanium	20	20	
Vanadium	< 50	50	
Chromium	<10	≤ 10	
Manganese	<50	<50	
	20±5	$55+5$	
Cobalt	<1	≤ 1	
	20	20	
	20	20	
	100	100	
Rubidium	\leq 1	<1	
Strontium	≤ 10	<10	
Cesium	<1	≤ 1	
	0.09 ± 0.02 mass%	0.46 ± 0.05 mass%	
	0.05 ± 0.10 mass%	$0.86 - 0.10$ mass%	

white salt with some grey material deposited in the bottom of the tube. The grey impurities were removed, and the white salt was ground for use. Karl Fischer analysis showed the product to contain 0.46% water $(\pm 0.05\%)$; FNAA gave 0.86% oxygen. Major cationic impurities were potassium (290 ppm) and calcium (140 ppm), and the melting point was 712 $^{\circ}$ C (cf., 714 $^{\circ}$ C¹⁰).

Table 1 lists chemical analysis of KCl and MgCl₂. Table 2 provides average temperature arrests for $MgCl₂$ -KCl.

2.2 Method

2.2.1 Thermal Analysis

A free-standing furnace in a nitrogen atmosphere glovebox was used for the cooling curve determinations. The furnace was designed to hold up to five silica tubes \sim 180 mm long and 25 mm diameter within a graphite holder.

About 20 g of the required compositions of KCI-MgCI₂ were weighed into the dry silica test tubes in a nitrogen dry box (-100 ppm water). Previously calibrated Chromel-Alumel thermocouples (Hoskins Manufacturing Co., 10778 Hall Rd., Hamburg, MI), each protected by a closed-end recrystallized alumina tube, were inserted into the salt mixtures, and the thermocouple output leads were connected to an analog input interface board. The temperatures of the salts were monitored by a microcomputer using a data acquisition program. The furnace was controlled by a West 2050 furnace controller* that was programmed to heat to 800 $^{\circ}$ C in 3 hours, to dwell for 30 minutes, and to cool at a rate of \sim 1.5 °C/min. The samples were stirred while molten to ensure mixing and to eliminate the possibility of supercooling.

*West Instruments, Mark IV Instruments Ltd., The Hyde, Brighton, E. Sussex, BN2 4JU, UK; or East Greenwich, R102818-0962, USA.

Table 2 Temperature Arrests **for Various Compositions of** MgCI2-KCi

MgCl ₂ mol%	Average temperature arrests, ۰c		
0	768		.
	710	429	.
	635	427	.
	560.5	427	\ddotsc
	443	426.5	
31	427	\ddotsc	.
	426	426	.
	428	\ddotsc	
34	429		
	440	426	.
	437		\ddotsc
	467	442	426
	473	443	427
	487	440	425
	488	439.5	420.5
	488.5		
	488.5	465.5	\cdots
	488.5	465.5	
	479	473	
	475	.	
	474	\ddotsc	
68	473		
	595	470	
	635.5	473	
	683.5	473	
	712		

Phase changes occurring during the cooling of the molten salt produced temperature arrests that were detected visually on plotted traces of the cooling curves, *e.g.* Fig. 8. The temperature arrest was read at the highest point on the plateau and quoted to the nearest 0.5 °C. From the temperature arrests, a phase diagram was constructed of $MgCl₂$ mol% against temperature (Fig. 9).

2.2.2 Differential Thermal Analysis (DTA)

Several samples were analyzed by DTA using a Perkin-Elmer DTA 1700 System (Perkin-Elmer Corp., 761-T Main Ave., Norwalk, CT) to establish the temperature at which the phase changes occurred.

The samples were crushed, and 10 to 15 mg of each were loaded into a platinum sample cup. The sample was surrounded by alumina powder to prevent contact with the air and platinum, and a control cup was filled with alumina powder. The sample and the inert reference sample (lead) were heated under identical conditions, and any temperature difference that developed between them was recorded. The samples were analyzed over the temperature range 30 to 1200° C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in an atmosphere of air or argon at 50 ml/min. An example of a trace obtained is shown in Fig. 10, and a determination with pure aluminum showed the temperature to have an accuracy of ± 1 °C.

2.2.3 X.Ray Analysis

Several samples were analyzed by XRD.

Two samples (20 and 33 mol% $MgCl₂$) were analyzed to establish the presence of the K_4MgCl_6 and the K_2MgCl_4 compounds; a MgCl₂ (40 mol%)-KCl sample was examined for

K₃Mg₂Cl₇. This sample was first heated to 800 °C, cooled to **434 ~ (a temperature just above the additional phase change), and held at this temperature for four hours. The sample was then quenched by being removed from the furnace and poured into a platinum crucible.**

Several other samples, quenched and slow cooled, were submitted from the region of MgCl₂ (35 to 50 mol%)-KCl.

A finely powdered sample of each composition was prepared, and a thin film of the salt was loaded into a sample holder, care being taken to ensure a smooth surface for deflection.

The sample was covered with polycarbonate film and transported in a sealed container for immediate analysis. Analysis w as carried out using a diffractometer with Cu K α radiation. **The peaks in the resulting diffraction pattern were assigned "d" values and intensities, and these values were matched with possible compounds. The diffraction patterns obtained for the** MgCl₂ (33 mol%)-KCl sample and the MgCl₂ (40 mol%)-KCl **sample are shown in Fig. 11 and 12.**

3. Results and Discussion

The thermal analyses gave the temperature arrests for the various compositions of MgCl₂-KCl with the constructed phase diagram in Fig. 9, which shows MgCl₂-KCl is a system with **three eutectic points and two congruently melting compounds.** The melting points of KMgCl₃ and K₂MgCl₄ were found at 488.5 °C and 428 °C, respectively. The eutectic temperatures

were 427 °C, 426 °C, and 470 °C, at 31, 35, and 57 mol% MgCl₂, respectively. These results are in good agreement with the work of Grjotheim⁶ and Seifert et al.^{7-9,11,12} However, there was no evidence from XRD for the existence of the K_4MgCl_6 compound found by Seifert at 20 mol% $MgCl_2$. The peritectic of $K_3Mg_2Cl_7$ (melting point 440 °C) was ~36 mol% MgCl₂, cf 442 °C and 35 mol% MgCl₂ found by Seifert and Fink.⁷ The peritectic at 30 mol% MgCl₂ (429 °C) was not observed because our measurement system is not sufficiently sensitive.

The melting temperature (428 °C) of K_2MgCl_4 was difficult to establish, and this region was examined in detail.

The maximum here is very flat, and the analysis of the X-ray pattern for the $MgCl₂$ (33 mol%)-KCl sample showed two phases, one crystalline and one amorphous, but the compound present could not be identified using the JCPDS database of patterns. The pattern agreed with the XRD pattern of K_2MgCl_4 , published by Klemm³ (Fig. 11). The pattern was subsequently confirmed by Seifert 8 and Gibbons, Reinsborough, and Whitla¹³ as tetragonal K_2MgCl_4 , although with slightly differing C values.

Klemm *et al.*³ point out additional thermal effects at 12 $^{\circ}$ C above the eutectic between the two compounds (Fig. 3). They disputed the existence of the second compound because the maxima is very flat and could be interpreted as a eutectic between KMgCl₃ and KCl. However, evidence for the formation of K_2MgCl_4 was confirmed by their X-ray analysis, although the rate of cooling and dwell seems to play a part in its formation. Grjotheim, Holm, and Rotnes $⁶$ (Fig. 6) also only found</sup> this congruently melting compound at 431 °C on close examination of the area and using X -ray analysis.

The most interesting results were between 40 to 50 mo1% MgCl₂ where additional thermal effects take place at 424 °C (Fig. 13). To investigate further, XRD (room temperature) and DTAwere carried out on compositions in this region.

The XRD pattern for the quenched $MgCl₂$ (40 mol%)-KCl sample showed a major amount of K_2MgCl_4 with small amounts of $KMgCl₃$ and $K₃Mg₂Cl₇$ (Fig. 12).

Only Klemm *et al.*³ and Ivanov⁴ show the additional phase change at \sim 443 °C on their phase diagrams. Ivanov⁴ shows four phase changes (which infringes on the phase rule) in the0 to 50 mol% $MgCl₂$ region, but the diagram as a whole differs from any previous interpretations (Fig. 4). We have examined Ivanov's original results, 4 and interpret the system as similar to our own.

Table 3 Differential ThermalAnalysis Results

The existence of additional thermal effects in the 40 to 50 $mol% MgCl₂$ region is firmly established in this work as the cooling curves for this region clearly show the three temperature arrests (see Fig. 8). Several experiments were carried out on 40 to 50 mol% $MgCl₂$ compositions to confirm the presence of the additional phase change at -424 °C.

Heating and cooling curves were recorded for four of the compositions in this region, following an overnight dwell of the samples in the molten state. The results were similar to those obtained earlier. Several compositions were reanalyzed using ultrapure $MgCl₂$ ^{*} with a longer dwell period and slower cooling rate. This did not produce any significant difference in the values obtained for the temperature arrests. An experiment was also carried out by heating a composition under argon until molten and by bubbling HCI through it for 30 minutes to eliminate the possibility of oxychlorides forming. The cooling curve was then redetermined, but the temperature arrests did not change significantly.

The DTA results (Table 3) also verify the three phase changes between 400 and 500 $^{\circ}$ C at 33 to 50 mol% MgCl₂. The 33 mol% MgCl₂ sample gave one peak in the 400 to 500 $^{\circ}$ C region, as expected for the formation of a congruently melting compound. Samples 42 and 45 mol% $MgCl₂$ showed three peaks in the 400 to 500 °C range. The 40 mol% $MgCl₂$ samples showed two peaks on the DTA trace with a possible, poorly resolved third peak, which appears as a shoulder. Another 40 mol% MgC12 sample gave three fully resolved peaks. Two samples of 47 mol% $MgCl₂$ were analyzed, one containing $MgCl₂$ anhydrous from Merck. (Table 4 shows the chemical analysis.) This was to eliminate the possibility of the additional phase change on the DTA trace being due to impurities in the HCI dried MgCl₂. The anhydrous sample gave three peaks. The DTA also indicated the presence of water in the salts, shown by the peaks between 100 and 200 °C. However, no evidence for water was shown on the cooling curves carried out in this temperature range. It was therefore assumed that the samples had been exposed to air while awaiting DTA.

Following a suggestion by Seifert, 14 four samples were annealed (360 °C for 9 days) before carrying out heating and cooling curves (100 $\textdegree C/h$). The "phase change" was still observed in all the samples.

Several explanations for the additional thermal effects at ~424 ~ have been considered. The possible formation of solid solutions was investigated through the application of the Rooze-

*Obtained from APL Engineered Materials Inc., 2401 N. Willow Road, Urbana, IL 61801-7332.

Table 4 **Analysis of Merck Magnesium Chloride Anhydrous**

boom 15 criteria, which link them to idealized cooling curves. Tammann plots were also made. However, neither approach yielded a sensible correlation with the experimental data. Stabilization of a new compound through impurities in the $MgCl₂$ (such as oxide) was eliminated through the experiments with HCl and the use of pure $MgCl₂$.

The most favored explanation is a lack of equilibrium in the 40 to 50 mol% $MgCl₂$ region, although this appears to be unsupported by the measurements on samples held at 360 °C. Indeed the results of those experiments and the degree of agreement with our earlier data and the results of Ivanov⁴ suggest the opposite. Unfortunately, Ivanov denies the formation of the compound K_2MgCl_4 during the solidification process. Instead, he says "no third thermal effect representing decomposition of the variable composition phase is found on the cooling curves, and that the phase (called A), covering the 4% MgCl₂ concentration region, only exists in pure form at temperatures of 425 to 440 °C ...". We have noted that two earlier workers (Klemm et al.³ and Fink,¹⁴ Ph.D. thesis transcript) also observed these thermal effects. Although we are inclined to agree with the hypothesis of nonequilibria, the phase diagram of this system is still far from settled.

4. Conclusions

The redetermination of the $MgCl₂$ -KCl phase diagram has shown it to be a system with three eutectics and two congruently melting compounds, $KMgCl₃$ and $K₂MgCl₄$, which is in agreement with most of the previous references. The peritectic of $K_3Mg_2Cl_7$ was found at 36 mol% MgCl₂. The peritectic near 30 mol% $MgCl₂$ was not detected.

Section I: Basic and Applied Research

An additional phase change was found in the $KMgCl_{3}$ -K₃Mg₂Cl₇ phase field at 424 °C between 40 to 50 mol% MgCl₂. No explanation is offered for the additional thermal effects.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to acknowledge Analytical Chemistry Branch for the chemical analysis and DTA and also Research Metallurgy Branch for the X-ray analysis work. We also thank Professor H.J. Seifert for helpful comments during the final stages of the work.

Cited References

- 1. O. Menge, Z.Anorg. Chem., *72,* 170 (1911).
- 2. K. Scholich, NeusJahrb *Mineral GeoL, 43,* 275 (1920).
- 3. W. Klemm, K. Beyersdorfer, and J. Oryschkewirsch, *Z. Anal. Chert, 256,* 26 (1948).
- 4. A.I. Ivanov, *Sbornik Statei Obshchei Khim., Akad Nauk SSSR, 1*, 754(1953).
- 5. N.D. Chikanov and V.T. II'ginova, *Zit Neorg. Khint, 11,* 1455 (1966).
- 6. K. Grjothelm, J.L. Holm and M. Romes, *Acta Chent Scand, 26,* 3802(1972).
- 7. H.J. Seifert *and H. Fink, Proc. 4th ICTA,* Budapest, Vol. 1, p. 367 (1974).
- 8. H.J. Seifert and H. Fink, Rev. Chim. Min., 12, 466 (1975).
- 9. H.J. Seffert *and G. Thiel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 436,* 237 (1977).
- 10. G.J. Janz, C.B. Allen, N.P. Bansal, R.M. Murphy, and R.P.T. Tomkins, *Physical Properties Data Compilation Relevant to Energy Storage 11 Molten Salts, Data on Single and Multi-component Salt Systems, 61,* Part II, NSRDS-NBS, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, MD, (April 1979).
- 11. H.J. Seffert and J. Uebaeh, J. *Solid State Chem., 59,* 86 (1985).
- 12. H.J. Seffert and G. Thiel, Thermoch/m. *Acta, 100,* 81 (1986).
- 13. C.S. Gibbons, V.C. Reinsborough, and W.A. Whitla, *Can. J. Chem.*, *53,114* (1975).
- 14. Private communication from Prof. HJ. Seifert.
- 15. G.J. Sloan and A.1L McGhie, *Techniques of Melt Crystallization, Techniques of Chemistry Volume XIX,* John Wiley & Sons, p. 76 (1988).