THE EFFECTS OF CO, WATER VAPOR AND SURFACE TEMPERATURE ON THE CONDUCTIVITY OF A $SnO₂$ GAS SENSOR

J.F. Boyle and K.A. Jones

Thayer School of Engineering Dartmouth College Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

(Received January 24, 1977; revised August 15, 1977)

It is shown that the conductivity of a $SnO₂$ gas sensor depends on the concentration of CO and H_2O in the atmosphere in which it is placed. The experimental data can be explained in a consistent manner by hypothesizing that 1) adsorbed oxygen depletes the surface electron concentration and therefore decreases the conductivity; 2) adsorbed water causes electrons to accumulate at the surface and therefore increases the conductivity; 3) CO increases the conductivity by removing adsorbed oxygen by reacting with it to form CO2; and 4) adsorbed water catalyzes the CO to C02 reaction.

Key words: tin oxide, gas sensor, oxygen adsorption, carbon monoxide adsorption, water adsorption.

Introduction

It is well known that some gases are adsorbed on the surface of the metal oxide semiconductors, ZnO and SnO2, $(1-4)$ and that the semiconductors are n-type. They are r type because they have an excess cation concentration. (1) The adsorbed specie can either decrease the electrical conductivity as it does in the case of oxygen adsorbed on zinc oxide, $(1-3, 5)$ or increase the conductivity as it does

717

[©] 1977 by the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, Inc. N o part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher.

in the case of hydrogen adsorbed on zinc oxide.⁽⁵⁾

It is thought that oxygen decreases the conductivity because it becomes chemisorbed by capturing donor electrons thereby decreasing the carrier concentration. $(1, 5)$ One piece of evidence which supports this hypothesis is that the oxygen has a greater effect when it is adsorbed on the zinc surface of ZnO (the (0001) surface) where the dangling zinc bonds⁽⁶⁾ more readily give up their valence electrons. Oxygen captures electrons because its work function is greater than the work function of the semiconductor. The captured electrons and the positive depletion layer charge create a potential which bends the energy bands (see Fig. 1)

Fig. i Simple energy band diagrams for a) the surface of an n-type semiconductor in which the surface electron concentration has been depleted by an electron transfer to an adsorbed atom, and b) the surface of an n-type semiconductor in which electrons are attracted to the surface by the preferential alignment of molecular dipoles.

The Effects of CO on the Conductivity of a SnO_2 **Sensor 719**

thereby allowing the Fermi energies to equilibrate. A possible explanation for the increased conductivity of ZnO when it is exposed to hydrogen is that the oxide is a Lewis $acid(4,7,8)$ and accepts electrons from the hydrogen. That this is the mechanism is made more plausible by the fact that the hydrogen has a more pronounced effect when it is exposed to the oxygen surface (the $(000\overline{1})$ surface)⁽⁵⁾ where the oxygen dangling bonds⁽⁶⁾ more readily accept electrons. The bands are bent such that the surface electron density, and therefore the surface conductivity, increases. As seen in Fig. ib the same effect could be obtained if polar molecules are adsorbed such that the positive charge of the double layer is in contact with the surface of the semiconductor. This would cause electrons to accumulate at the surface.

When the adsorbed gases are removed, as for example oxygen being removed by reacting with a reducing gas, the conductivity will change. This conductivity change can be used to detect the presence of a reducing gas as it is in the Taguchi gas sensor, $(TGS) \cdot \frac{(9)}{9}$ The working element of the TGS is an n-type polycrystalline SnO₂ film that has been sintered onto a ceramic tube lcm long and 2.5mm in diameter, and whose conductivity increases when it is exposed in air at atmospheric pressure to a reducing gas.

We are in the unsatisfactory position of not knowing how these elements are made -- we only know that they are made by a proprietary process in such a way that their conductivity is affected by the presence of a reducing gas. Therefore we must accept the $SnO₂$ as a given quantity, and examine the effects of changing the atmosphere in which it operates.

In this paper we examine the changes of the conductivity of the TGS when it is exposed to different concentrations of carbon monoxide and water vapor with the goal of trying to determine if the TGS can be used as a CO detector. Because the device characteristics vary greatly from device to device the emphasis of this paper will be on trends as opposed to quantitative results.

Experimental Procedure

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. The circuit voltage, V_C , was applied across the TGS and a 4040 ohm load resistor using a PVT-30 variac and a TR-3 transformer. The primary dependent variable, the load voltage, V_{L} , across the load resistor, R_L , was measured with an HP model 4000 D voltmeter. Changes in V_L are synonymous with changes in the conductivity of the TGS because an increase in the conductivity will produce an increase in V_L . Therefore the terms will be used interchangeably.

 R_I was chosen to maximize the sensitivity of V_L to changes in the conductivity of the element (small R_I), while at the same time not allowing the circuit voltage to produce excessive I^2R heating in the element (large R_L). It was decided that the maximum amount of circuit voltage heating should be no larger than the heater voltage heating when $V_H = 1$ V and $V_C = 100$ V. Since the heater voltage heating at $V_H = 1$ V was 0.6 joules, R_L should be 4000 ohms because under maximum loss conditions (V_L = 50 V), the cir-

Fig. 2 Schematic for the experimental setup.

The Effects of CO on the Conductivity of a SnO₂ Sensor **121**

cuit voltage heating would be 0.625 joules. At R_{I} = 4000 ohms the sensitivity of V_L to conductivity changes in the element is still sufficient because V_L ranged from 60 V (element resistance of 2.7×10^3 ohms) to 1 V (element resistance of 4×10^{5} ohms). Most of the measured voltages were less than 25 V so that the heating from the circuit voltage was not considered to be qualitatively important. It should also be mentioned that heating the SnO₂ element did not degrade it because, it, as all detectors must, gave reproducible results under repeated testing.

The temperature of the TGS was controlled by applying a heater voltage, VH, across a resistor imbedded in it. The heater voltage was controlled by a PVT-31 variac and a TR-31 transformer. The temperature of the TGS was measured as a function of VH for values of VH from 0-2 V using a copper-constantine thermocouple, and it was found to obey the approximate equation $T = 140 V_H + 20^{\circ}C$ where V_H is in volts. There was no V_C during these measurements. Gases were bled into the bell jar at various concentrations and were heated by a nichrome wire heater to temperatures as high as 80°C and circulated by a fan. The water content, W.C., of the air was measured by placing a YSI dew point hygrometer in the bell jar and determining the relative humidity, R.H., as a function of the amount of water in the water chamber. It was found that one ml of water corresponded approximately to 100% R.H. at room temperature. The ambient gas temperature was monitored with a copperconstantine thermocouple. It was found that the power used to heat the TGS did not noticeably affect the ambient temperature.

The sequence of events for one set of measurements was as follows. The TGS was hooked up, and the water was loaded into the water capsule with a microliter syringe. The bell jar was partially evacuated with a mechanical pump and V_I was measured as a function of V_H at different pressures. Next the vacuum system was pumped down to a pressure of twenty microns. While the system was being evacuated, AIRCO research grade CO was bled into the mixing chamber and mixed with AIRCO zero grade air to obtain the desired concentration. The concentration was measured in ppm with an ESI ecolyzer. The gate valve was closed and the test gas was allowed to flow into the bell jar until it reached a pressure of one atm., and then the water was

evaporated from its chamber by passing current through the heating coil wrapped around it. V_L was measured as a function of V_C for a fixed V_H , and then V_H was changed and the process was repeated. After V_H had been changed a fixed number of times the test gas was raised to a higher temperature using the nichrome heater and the entire process was repeated. This was done for a number of ambient temperatures. In all instances the measurements were made after the steady state had been reached. The time it took to reach the steady state varied, but it was never more than ten minutes.

Experimental Results

In Fig. 3 V_L is plotted as a function of the total ambient pressure for two different ambient temperatures and for $V_H = 1.0$ V and $V_C = 100$ V. The curve for T = 60°C has

Fig. 3 The load voltage, V_L , plotted as a function of the pressure when the ambient temperature is 19°C and 60°C, and $V_{\rm H}$ = 1 V and V_{C} = 100 V.

Fig. 4 V_L plotted as a function of the heater voltage, V_H , when the pressure is 100 microns and $V_C = 100 V$.

larger V_L values than the curve at 19°C and V_L increases as the pressure decreases. This is the only figure that will exhibit the effects of changing the ambient temperature because the effects produced by changing it are similar to the effects produced by changing V_H . In the figures that follow the ambient temperature is room temperature.

The effect of reducing the pressure and increasing the temperature is also displayed in Fig. 4, where the V_L vs. V_H curve is plotted for the case when $P = 100$ microns and VC = 100 V. The value of V_L increases approximately linearly with V_H .

Fig. 5 V_L plotted as a function of V_H for various water contents, $W.C.,$ (in ml) when the pressure is 1 atm and $V_C = 100 V$.

However, this linear relationship does not exist when the TGS is exposed to the atmosphere, and, as seen in Fig. 5, the V_L vs. V_H relationship is particularly nonlinear when water vapor is present. These curves were determined for V_C = 100 V and there was no CO present. For all values of V_H , V_L increases with the water content. Also, all curves have a similar shape in that they initially decrease and then begin to increase with V_H in the vicinity of V_H \sim 1 V. V_I varies more rapidly with V_H and the minimum moves to lower values of V_H as the water content increases.

The conductivity of the TGS is also a function of V_C and the CO concentration. This is illustrated in Fig. 6,

Fig. 6 V_L plotted as a function of V_H for V_C = 80, 100 or 120 V and the CO concentration equal to 0 or 75 ppm when $W.C. = 0.5$ ml.

where V_L is plotted as a function of V_H for three different values of $V_{\mathcal{C}}$, two different values of CO concentration, and the W.C. was equal to 0.5 ml. $\rm\,V_{I}$ does not increase propor**726 Boyle andJones**

tionately with V_C , as it would in the absence of any adsorption. When there is no CO present, V_L decreases slowly with increasing V_H for all three values of V_C until V_H \sim 1 V. For larger values of V_H , V_L increases with the most rapid increase being for V $_{\mathsf{C}}$ = 120 V. The curves with corresponding values of V $_{\rm C}$ have larger V $_{\rm L}$ when 75 ppm of CO are present. This set of curves follows approximately the same trends as the curves with no CO present with the major exception being that there is no shoulder in the $V_C = 120$ V curve.

That both H_2O and CO affect the conductivity of the TGS is illustrated in Fig. 7, where $V_{\rm L}$ is plotted as a function of W.C. for different CO levels in Fig. 7a, and the same points are replotted in Fig. 7b where V_L is plotted as a function of CO concentration for different H_2O levels. In many instances a data point was measured more than once, but only the average value is plotted to reduce the busyness of the figures. It is seen that V_L increases with the W.C. up to W.C. \sim .25 ml at which point it increases only slightly with increasing W.C. Also, the initial increase in V_L is larger for larger values of the CO concentration. At all but the lowest values, V_L increases with increasing CO concentration with the most rapid increases occurring for CO concentrations greater than 75 ppm. Both figures show that there is an anomalous decrease in V_L when the CO concentration is 5 ppm.

Discussion

It will be shown that the experimental data can be explained in a consistent manner by hypothesizing that 1) adsorbed oxygen depletes the surface electron concentration and therefore decreases the conductivity of the TGS; 2) adsorbed water causes electrons to accumulate at the surface and therefore increases the conductivity; 3) carbon monoxide increases the conductivity by removing adsorbed oxygen by reacting with it to form carbon dioxide; and 4) adsorbed water catalyzes this reaction.

There is considerable evidence in the literature for similar materials that suggests these hypotheses are correct. It has already been pointed out in the Introduction that there is much experimental evidence that chemisorbed

Fig. 7 V_L plotted as a function of a) W.C. for various CO concentrations and, b) (see next page).

oxygen reduces the electron concentration on the surface of $ZnO.$ $(1-3,3)$ It has been reported that water increases the surface electron concentration particularly on the surface

Fig. 7 V_L plotted as a function of b) CO concentration for various W.C., when $V_C = 100$ V and $V_H = 1.0$ V.

of germanium.(10) It has also been shown that CO is not adsorbed but reacts with the adsorbed oxygen on the surface of SnO_2 ⁽¹¹⁾ and ZnO.(¹²⁾ No evidence was found that adsorbed water catalyzes the oxidation of CO to $CO₂$, but there is evidence that the SnO₂ surface catalyzes this reaction, (11,13) and it has been suggested that(ll)hydroxyl groups play an important role in the catalysis.

The Effects of CO on the Conductivity of a SnO_2 **Sensor 729**

We will now attempt to show that our experimental results are consistent with the above hypotheses by first examining Figs. 3 and 4. Reducing the pressure increases the conductivity of the TGS and therefore V_I because some of the adsorbed oxygen desorbs at the lower pressure. The conductivity also increases when the ambient temperature is increased because some of the adsorbed oxygen is desorbed at the higher temperature. If no desorption did occur one would expect the conductivity to decrease as the semiconductor is in its exhaustion temperature (constant carrier concentration) region, and the mobility decreases with increasing temperature. The increase in the conductivity with increasing V_H at P = 100 microns is also due to oxygen being desorbed at higher temperatures.

No mention was made of water for the low pressure experiments because it has been shown that water is desorbed upon evacuation. However, it has been shown that water is adsorbed on the surface of SnO₂ at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.⁽¹¹⁾ As seen in Fig. 1b, adsorbed water molecules will increase the conductivity of the TGS if the preferential alignment of the dipoles causes electrons to accumulate at the surface. On the other hand, when water is thermally desorbed the number of accumulated electrons, and therefore the conductivity, will decrease. If the effect of thermally removing water is greater than the effect of thermally removing oxygen the net effect will be a conductivity decrease. We suggest that this is the reason why V_{L} initially decreases with increasing V_{H} as is shown in Fig. 5. V_I eventually begins to increase with V_H because the effect of boiling off the oxygen eventually becomes more pronounced as the adsorbed water becomes depleted. This explanation is plausible because polar molecules tend to be physisorbed instead of chemisorbed and therefore are bound less tightly to the surface. (14) Thus they would boil away more easily. This idea is supported experimentally by Thronton and Harrison⁽¹¹⁾ who found that much of the adsorbed water was thermally removed at 57°C and all of it was removed at 200°C.

That gases are adsorbed is also supported by the fact that V_I does not increase proportionately with V_C . Since adsorbed gases affect the surface potential, and therefore the conductivity, it seems reasonable to expect that altering the surface potential by altering the circuit voltage

should also affect the number and relative concentration of adsorbed molecules. The change in the number and relative concentration of the adsorbed molecules would then be reflected in the nonlinear changes in V_L with V_C . For example, increasing V_C could increase the amount of adsorbed oxygen which would decrease the conductivity of the TGS and therefore retard the increase in V_L .

The initial rapid increase in the conductivity of the TGS and subsequent leveling off at W.C. \sim 0.25 ml seems to indicate that the sites where water can be adsorbed become saturated at relatively low surface concentrations. However, this water appears to play a crucial role in catalyzing the reaction of CO and oxygen to form $CO₂$. Thornton and Harrison (11) have proposed a specific mechanism for this reaction based on their transmission infrared data. They suggest that CO is attracted to a hydroxyl group because CO produces a small shift in the OH stretching frequency. The CO molecule forms a unidendate $(-0-C0₂)$ carbonate by nucleophillic attack of the neighboring oxygen atom. The $CO₂$ molecule is boiled off and the original surface structure is formed by an oxygen atom moving to the oxygen site made vacant by the formation of CO2. We conjecture a similar mechanism which differs only in that a hydroxyl group has been replaced by an adsorbed water molecule. The four reactions are shown in Fig. 8. These reactions can be no more than a conjecture since we had no way of determining if there were any OH groups on the surface. It is equally likely that a water molecule is adsorbed at a site neighboring an OH group and this water molecule then "sensitizes" the OH group so that it is amore effective catalytic site.

More studies will have to be made to determine what the precise mechanisms are. More studies will also have to be made in order to understand why there is an initial decrease in the conductivity of the TGS when it is exposed to 5 ppm of CO and why the rate of change in the conductivity with the CO concentration greatly increases at CO concentrations in excess of 75 ppm.

Conclusions

The conductivity of the polycrystalline $SnO₂$ TGS depends on the atmosphere in which it is placed because it adsorbs

gases, and the different adsorbed species affect the conductivity differently. It is suggested that adsorbed oxygen reduces the conductivity by depleting the surface electron concentration through electron capture. This suggestion is based on the experimental evidence that the conductivity increases as the pressure decreases, and that the conductivity increases at low pressure as the surface temperature of the TGS increases. It is also shown that water vapor increases the conductivity, and it is hypothesized that the conductivity increase is produced by surface electron accumulation resulting from the preferential alignment of the water dipoles. This hypothesis is based on the experimental observations that water vapor increases the conductivity, and that it appears to thermally desorb relatively easily. It is also hypothesized that carbon monoxide increases the conductivity because it removes adsorbed oxygen by reacting with it to form carbon dioxide, and that adsorbed water cat-

alyzes this reaction. This is based on the work of others and the experimental evidence that carbon monoxide increases the conductivity, and that the conductivity increases are much larger when water vapor is present. That adsorption" does occur is also supported by possible changes in the concentrations of the adsorbed species when the surface potential is changed by changing the circuit voltage. This is based on the experimental evidence that the conductivity of the TGS changes as the voltage across it changes and the changes are different at different temperatures and different atmospheric compositions.

The TGS can be used to detect carbon monoxide because the conductivity of the TGS increases when CO is present. The TGS is a more effective CO sensor when the room temperature relative humidity is greater than 25% because the change in the conductivity with the CO concentration is larger and the conductivity, which is very sensitive to the water vapor concentration below 25% R.H., is almost independent of the water vapor concentration above 25% R.H. The change in the conductivity with the CO concentration is also greater when the CO concentration exceeds 75 ppm.

This paper deals only qualitatively with the conductivity changes in the TGS when it is exposed to H_2O and CO , and more work will have to be done to better quantify the effects and to establish the precise chemical changes that occur at the surface. Two possible ways of gaining more insight into the chemical changes would be to try to determine how changing the amount of excess tin affects the conductivity changes, and to determine if there are OH groups present on the surface.

Acknowledsements

We would like to thank Professors Hans Grethlein and David Stratton for their review of the manuscript and their helpful comments. We also would like to thank Professor James Hornig for lending us his ecolyzer.

References

- i. A. Many, CRC Critical Review Sol. State Sci., 515 (1974).
- 2. D. Eger, Y. Goldstein and A. Many, RCA Rev., 36, 508 (1975).
- 3. E. Arijs and F. Cardon, J. Sol. State Chem., 6, 310 (1973).
- 4. P.G. Harrison and E.W. Thornton, Trans., Faraday Soc., 71, 1013 (1975).
- 5. G. Heiland and P. Kunstmann, Sur. Sci., 13, 72 (1969).
- 6. H.C. Gatos and M.C. Levine, J. Electrochem. Soc., 107, 427 (1960).
- 7. J.B. Peri, J. Phys. Chem., 70, 2937 (1966).
- 8. G.D. Parfitt, J. Ramsbotham and C.H. Rochester, Trans., Faraday Soc., 67, 1500 (1971).
- 9. N. Taguchi, U.S. Patent 3,695,848, Oct. 3, 1972.
- i0. G. Srinivasan, J.J. Chessick and A.C. Zettlemoyer, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 1819 (1962).
- 11. E.W. Thornton and P.G. Harrison, Trans., Faraday Soc., 71, 461 (1975).
- 12. Y. Shapira, S.M. Cox and D. Lichtman, Sur. Sci., 54, 43 (1976).
- 13. M.J. Fuller and M.E. Warwick, J. Catalysis, 29, 441 (1973).
- 14. F.F. Vol'kenstein, The Electronic Theory of Catalysis on Semiconductors, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.