

Communications

Metallurgical Thermodynamics and the Carbon Equivalent Expression

R. C. CREESE and GEORGE W. HEALY

The carbon equivalent expression is the most used expression in foundry ferrous metallurgy, but the relationship between carbon equivalent and metallurgical thermodynamics has not been recognized by most foundrymen. The carbon equivalent is used by foundrymen to predict various mechanical properties of cast iron, such as tensile strength¹ and hardness.² Some gray iron and ductile iron alloys have specifications on carbon equivalent as well as compositional restrictions.³ A presentation by Franz Neuman⁴ derived an expression for the carbon equivalent *via* metallurgical thermodynamics, but his derivation is quite different from the following derivation.

The carbon equivalent for a multicomponent iron-carbon base solution has the same activity as the equivalent amount of carbon in the binary iron-carbon solution. The carbon activity is related to the eutectic composition, and the austenite liquidus line and data regarding these values for Fe-C-Si melts are summarized by Heine.⁵ The Henrian activity coefficient and interaction coefficients described in various metallurgical thermodynamics sources^{6,7} will be used to derive the carbon equivalent expression. The Henrian activity approach, which is based upon infinitely dilute solutions, has been validated for iron-carbon saturated solutions, and interaction coefficients have been derived for such solutions.^{4,6} The thermodynamics will be applied to a solution of iron-carbon-silicon-phosphorus, and the results will be compared to other carbon equivalent expressions presented in the literature.

In the quaternary (Fe-C-Si-P) solution, the Henrian activity of carbon is given by:

$$h_c = f_c \times \text{pct C} \quad [1]$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} h_c &= \text{Henrian activity of carbon} \\ f_c &= \text{Henrian activity coefficient of carbon} \\ \text{pct C} &= \text{weight percent carbon} \end{aligned}$$

The effects of carbon, silicon, and phosphorus upon the activity of carbon are included in the Henrian activity coefficient which can be expressed as:

$$f_c = f_c^C \times f_c^{\text{Si}} \times f_c^{\text{P}} \quad [2]$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} f_c^C &= \text{effect of carbon upon Henrian activity coefficient of carbon} \\ f_c^{\text{Si}} &= \text{effect of silicon upon Henrian activity coefficient of carbon} \end{aligned}$$

R. C. CREESE is Associate Professor, Industrial Engineering Department, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506-6101. GEORGE W. HEALY is Research Professor, Department of Metallurgy and Metallurgical Engineering, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.

Manuscript submitted January 6, 1984.

f_c^{P} = effect of phosphorus upon Henrian activity coefficient of carbon

This is often represented in logarithmic form:

$$\log f_c = \log f_c^C + \log f_c^{\text{Si}} + \log f_c^{\text{P}} \quad [3]$$

The effect of an element A upon the Henrian activity coefficient of another element B is represented by:

$$\log f_B^A = e_B^A \times \text{pct A} \quad [4]$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} e_B^A &= \text{interaction coefficient of element A upon element B} \\ \text{pct A} &= \text{weight percent of element A} \end{aligned}$$

If the results of Eqs. [2], [3], and [4] are utilized in Eq. [1], it becomes, in logarithmic form:

$$\log h_c = \log \text{pct C} + \log f_c \quad [5]$$

$$\log h_c = \log \text{pct C} + \log f_c^C + \log f_c^{\text{Si}} + \log f_c^{\text{P}} \quad [6]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log h_c &= \log \text{pct C} + e_c^C \times \text{pct C} + e_c^{\text{Si}} \\ &\quad \times \text{pct Si} + e_c^{\text{P}} \times \text{pct P} \end{aligned} \quad [7]$$

From the foundry viewpoint, the carbon equivalent results in the same Henrian activity in the multicomponent solution as carbon would have in the binary Fe-C solution; that is, Eq. [1] would be rewritten as:

$$h_c = f_c \times \text{CE} \quad [8]$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} h_c &= \text{Henrian activity of carbon} \\ f_c &= \text{Henrian activity coefficient of carbon} \\ \text{CE} &= \text{carbon equivalent weight percent} \end{aligned}$$

However, the logarithmic function of the activity coefficient will become:

$$\log f_c = e_c^C \times \text{CE} \quad [9]$$

and then:

$$\log h_c = \log f_c + \log \text{CE} \quad [10]$$

$$\log h_c = e_c^C \times \text{CE} + \log \text{CE} \quad [11]$$

If the expressions for $\log h_c$ in Eqs. [7] and [11] are compared, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{CE} + e_c^C \times \text{CE} &= \log \text{pct C} + e_c^C \times \text{pct C} + e_c^{\text{Si}} \\ &\quad \times \text{pct Si} + e_c^{\text{P}} \times \text{pct P} \end{aligned} \quad [12]$$

which can be converted to:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{CE}/\text{pct C}) + e_c^C(\text{CE} - \text{pct C}) \\ = e_c^{\text{Si}} \times \text{pct Si} + e_c^{\text{P}} \times \text{pct P} \end{aligned} \quad [13]$$

To simplify the relation to the desired form the $\log(\text{CE}/\text{pct C})$ term must be reduced by using the logarithmic series. The first step is to convert $\text{CE}/\text{pct C}$ to:

$$\text{CE}/\text{pct C} = (\text{CE} - \text{pct C})/\text{pct C} + 1 \quad [14]$$

Now if one sets:

$$(\text{CE} - \text{pct C})/\text{pct C} = x \quad [15]$$

where x is a number less than 1, the logarithmic series expression

$$\log(x + 1) = (x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \dots)/2.302 \quad [16]$$

can be reduced, when the higher order terms are neglected, to:

$$\log(x + 1) \cong 0.434x \quad [17]$$

and thus a simplified expression for $\log(CE/\text{pct C})$ obtained from Eqs. [14] through [17] is:

$$\log(CE/\text{pct C}) = 0.434(CE - \text{pct C})/\text{pct C} \quad [18]$$

A proper assumption needs to be made about the carbon level to obtain an expression similar to the standard carbon equivalent expression. The carbon level selected was 3.5 pct because

(a) The carbon level of most cast irons ranges from 3.0 to 4.0 pct.

(b) Cast iron is an Fe-C-Si alloy, and the effect of Si upon the eutectic carbon level (4.26 pct) reduces the eutectic carbon by about 0.32 per percent silicon.⁷ A cast iron nominally contains 2 pct Si and is usually slightly hypoeutectic.

Equation [18], with the application of 3.5 pct for the carbon level in the denominator of the right-hand side expression, becomes:

$$\log(CE/\text{pct C}) = 0.124(CE - \text{pct C}) \quad [19]$$

The interaction coefficients for carbon, silicon, and phosphorus selected were 0.159, 0.085, and 0.088. The carbon value was from an expression derived by Healy⁸ which is:

$$e_C^C = 0.092 + 118/T \quad [20]$$

where:

$$T = \text{temperature (K)}$$

The silicon and phosphorus values are derived from those reported by Elliott, Gleiser, and Ramakrishna (Reference 6, page 487) for graphite saturated iron solution and adjusted for a Fe-C-Si solution with a molecular weight of 49. The use of Eq. [19] and the selected interaction coefficients in Eq. [13] result in:

$$0.283(CE - \text{pct C}) = 0.085 \text{ pct Si} + 0.088 \text{ pct P} \quad [21]$$

and:

$$CE - \text{pct C} = 0.30 \text{ pct Si} + 0.31 \text{ pct P} \quad [22]$$

or:

$$CE = \text{pct C} + 0.30 \text{ pct Si} + 0.31 \text{ pct P} \quad [23]$$

This expression of carbon equivalent is nearly equal to those commonly used,⁹ such as:

$$CE = \text{pct C} + \frac{1}{3}(\text{pct Si} + \text{pct P}) \quad [24]$$

The difference between Eqs. [23] and [24], the theoretical and empirical equations for carbon equivalent, can be further reduced. The interaction coefficients were developed at 1600 °C and most foundry metals are cast at lower temperatures, such as 1500 °C (2732 °F). According to Pehlke¹⁰ the interaction parameter will vary linearly with the reciprocal of absolute temperature, and after this adjustment is

made, Eq. [23] at 1500 °C becomes:

$$CE = \text{pct C} + 0.32 \text{ pct Si} + 0.33 \text{ pct P} \quad [25]$$

The expression derived by Neumann⁴ is:

$$CE = \text{pct C} + 0.31 \text{ pct Si} + 0.33 \text{ pct P} + 0.4 \text{ pct S} - 0.027 \text{ pct Mn} \quad [26]$$

S. L. Karsay¹¹ strongly recommends the value of 0.31 for the silicon coefficient, and Heine⁵ uses the value of 0.317 for the effect of silicon on the eutectic carbon equivalent.

The agreement between the coefficients of silicon and phosphorus in Eqs. [24], [25], and [26] as well as the other recommended values for the silicon coefficient is remarkable. The recommended range of compositions for the carbon equivalent expression would be the same as those for most gray, ductile, and compacted graphite irons. Adjustments for other elements can be made in a similar manner if the interaction coefficient in an iron-carbon saturated melt is known.

It is satisfying to know that the carbon equivalent expression, validated by experience through the years, can also be derived from basic metallurgical thermodynamics. This procedure used will permit the derivation of coefficients for other elements upon the carbon equivalent expression from the interaction coefficients.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. McElwee and R. Schniedewind: "Composition and Properties of Gray Iron", *AFS Transactions*, 1950, vol. 58, pp. 312-29.
2. R. W. Heine, C. R. Loper, and P. C. Rosenthal. *Principles of Metal Casting*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1967, p. 595.
3. *Iron Castings Handbook*, C. F. Walton and T. J. Opar, eds., Iron Castings Society, Inc., 1981, pp. 98-99.
4. F. Neumann: "The Influence of Additional Elements on the Physico-Chemical Behavior of Carbon in Carbon Saturated Molten Iron," *Recent Research on Cast Iron*, H. D. Merchant, ed., Gordon and Breach, New York, NY, 1968, pp. 659-703.
5. R. W. Heine: "The Carbon Equivalent Fe-C-Si Diagram and its Application to Cast Irons," *AFS Cast Metals Research Journal*, 1971, vol. 7, pp. 49-54.
6. J. F. Elliott, M. Gleiser, and V. Ramakrishna: *Thermochemistry for Steelmaking*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1963, vol. II, pp. 487-566.
7. D. R. Gaskell. *Introduction to Metallurgical Thermodynamics*, McGraw-Hill, 1973, pp. 442-53.
8. G. W. Healy. *From Ore to Steel in the 1980's*, 2nd printing, Department of Metallurgy and Metallurgical Engineering, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 1983, p. 61.
9. *Iron Castings Handbook*, C. F. Walton and T. J. Opar, eds., Iron Castings Society, Inc., 1981, p. 205.
10. R. D. Pehlke: *Unit Processes of Extractive Metallurgy*, American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, NY, 1973, p. 47.
11. S. L. Karsay: *Ductile Iron State of the Art 1980*, QIT-Fet Et Titane, Inc., Montreal, PQ, 1980, p. 47.