

One-Sided Littlewood-Paley Theory

Liliana de Rosa and Carlos Segovia

ABSTRACT. In this article we develop the theory of one-sided versions of the g function of Littlewood and Paley, the area function S of Lusin and the g_λ^* that admit weighted norm estimates with weights belonging to the classes A_p^+ of Sawyer. In Sections 1 and 2 we give definitions and some lemmas that shall be needed. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the one-sided version of the functions g and S . In Section 4 we obtain a good λ estimate for the one-sided g_λ^* function, and in Sections 5 and 6 we apply the results already obtained to fractional integrals and multiplier operators.

1. Notations and Definitions

As usual, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the class of all those C^∞ -functions φ defined on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^\alpha (D^\beta \varphi)(x)| < \infty,$$

for all non-negative integers α and β . Let B be a Banach space and let r be a positive integer. We shall consider the space $C_0^r(B)$ of all B -valued functions φ defined on \mathbb{R} , with compact support and such that its derivatives $D^\beta \varphi$, $1 \leq \beta \leq r$, are continuous. If $B = \mathbb{R}$, we simply write C_0^r . Given a Lebesgue measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we denote its Lebesgue measure by $|E|$ and the characteristic function of E by χ_E . Let f be a measurable function defined on \mathbb{R} , the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions $M^- f$ and $M^+ f$, are given by

$$M^- f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x |f(t)| dt \quad \text{and} \quad M^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t)| dt.$$

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the one-sided fractional integrals of f are defined as

$$I_\alpha^- f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f(y)}{(x-y)^{1-\alpha}} dy \quad \text{and} \quad I_\alpha^+ f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(y)}{(y-x)^{1-\alpha}} dy.$$

We extend these definitions to the case $\alpha = 0$, setting $I_0^- f(x) = I_0^+ f(x) = f(x)$.

As usual, a weight w is a measurable and non-negative function. If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue measurable set, we denote its w -measure by $w(E) = \int_E w(t) dt$. A weight w belongs to the class

The authors were supported by a grant from Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina.

Math Subject Classifications.

Keywords and phrases.

A_p^+ , $1 < p < \infty$, see [12], if there exists a constant C such that

$$\sup_{h>0} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x w(t) dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} w(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

for all real number x , and w belongs to A_1^+ if $M^-w(x) \leq Cw(x)$ holds for almost every x . Given w belonging to A_p^+ , $1 \leq p < \infty$, we can define $x_{-\infty} \geq -\infty$ and $x_{\infty} \leq \infty$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (i) & w(x) \equiv 0 & \text{in } (-\infty, x_{-\infty}), \\ (ii) & w(x) \equiv \infty & \text{in } (x_{\infty}, \infty), \text{ and} \\ (iii) & 0 < w(x) < \infty & \text{for almost every } x \in (x_{-\infty}, x_{\infty}). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

We always have $x_{-\infty} \leq x_{\infty}$. In order to avoid the non-interesting case of $x_{-\infty} = x_{\infty}$, we assume that there exists a measurable set E satisfying $0 < w(E) < \infty$.

If $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is a Banach space, we shall consider the Bochner–Lebesgue space $L_B^p(w)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, consisting of all strongly measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$ for which

$$\|f\|_{L_B^p(w)} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x)\|_B^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p},$$

is finite. If $B = \mathbb{R}$, the space $L_B^p(w)$ shall be denoted by $L^p(w)$.

Given two Banach spaces, $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$ and $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(A, B)$ the space of all bounded linear operators T from A into B with the norm $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(A, B)} = \sup_{\|x\|_A=1} \|T(x)\|_B$. The Hilbert space $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{dt}{t})$ consists of all measurable functions f defined on $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$ such that $\|f\|_H = (\int_0^\infty f(t)^2 \frac{dt}{t})^{1/2}$ is finite. Let c be a real number, we shall say that f belongs to $L_{loc}^1(c, \infty)$ if $\int_a^b |f(x)| dx < \infty$ for every $c < a < b < \infty$.

2. Basic Lemmas

The next lemma contains the results about fractional integrals that shall be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1.

Let σ be a continuous function defined on the real line and $\gamma \geq 1$ such that,

(a) $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset (-\infty, 0]$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} D^s \sigma(x) = 0$, for every s , $0 \leq s < \gamma$, and

(b) there exists β , $0 < \beta \leq 1$ such that $|D^\gamma \sigma(x)| \leq c/(1-x)^{\gamma+1+\beta}$ holds for $x < 0$.

These conditions on σ imply that $|D^s \sigma(x)| \leq c/(1-x)^{s+1+\beta}$ for $x < 0$, and $0 \leq s < \gamma$. In addition to (a) and (b) we ask σ to satisfy

(c) $\int_{-\infty}^0 \sigma(x) dx = 0$.

Then, given $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ and any β' , $\alpha < \beta' < \beta$, we have that $I_\alpha^+(\sigma)$ satisfies:

(i) $I_\alpha^+(\sigma)(x) = 0$ if $x > 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} D^s I_\alpha^+(\sigma)(x) = 0$, for every s , $0 \leq s < \gamma$,

(ii) $|D^s I_\alpha^+(\sigma)(x)| \leq c/(1-x)^{s+1+\beta'-\alpha}$ for $x < 0$, and $0 \leq s \leq \gamma$, and

(iii) $\int_{-\infty}^0 I_\alpha^+(\sigma)(x) dx = 0$.

Proof. We observe that if ρ is a bounded and integrable function, then the fractional integral $I_\alpha^+(\rho)$ is a bounded and continuous function tending to zero at infinity.

Let us estimate $I_\alpha^+(D^s \sigma)$, $1 \leq s \leq \gamma$. Let $x < -2$. Since $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset (-\infty, 0]$, we have

$$I_\alpha^+(D^s \sigma)(x) = \int_0^{-x/2} \frac{D^s \sigma(x+y)}{y^{1-\alpha}} dy + \int_{-x/2}^{-x} \frac{D^s \sigma(x+y)}{y^{1-\alpha}} dy = I + II.$$

For the integral I we get,

$$|I| \leq \frac{c}{(1-x)^{s+1+\beta}} \left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)^\alpha \leq \frac{c}{(-x)^{s+1+\beta-\alpha}} \leq \frac{c}{(-x)^{s+1+\beta'-\alpha}}.$$

In order to deal with II , we define

$$h_s(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x D^{s-1}\sigma(z) dz.$$

Since $|D^{s-1}\sigma(z)| \leq c/(1-z)^{s+\beta}$, then $|D^{s-1}\sigma(z)| \leq c/(1-z)^{s+\beta'}$ and using this estimate for $D^{s-1}\sigma$ it follows that

$$|h_s(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1-x)^{s-1+\beta'}} \quad , \quad |Dh_s(x)| = |D^{s-1}\sigma(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1-x)^{s+\beta'}}$$

and

$$|D^2h_s(x)| = |D^s\sigma(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1-x)^{1+s+\beta'}} \quad \text{for } x < 0.$$

Moreover, $Dh_s(0) = D^{s-1}\sigma(0) = 0$ and $h_s(0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 D^{s-1}\sigma(z) dz = 0$. Then, integrating II by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} II &= -Dh_s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{-x}\right)^{1-\alpha} - (1-\alpha)h_s\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{-x}\right)^{2-\alpha} \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha)(2-\alpha) \int_{-x/2}^{-x} \frac{h_s(x+y)}{y^{3-\alpha}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms are bounded by $c/(-x)^{1+s+\beta'-\alpha}$. The third term is bounded by

$$c \int_{-x/2}^{-x} \frac{1}{y^{3-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(-x-y)^{s-1+\beta'}} dy = \frac{c}{(-x)^{1+s+\beta'-\alpha}} \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{y^{3-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1-y)^{\beta'}} dy.$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$|I_\alpha^+(D^s\sigma)(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(-x)^{1+s+\beta'-\alpha}}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq \gamma, \tag{2.1}$$

for any β' , $\alpha < \beta' < \beta$.

Now, we shall show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^x I_\alpha^+(D^s\sigma)(z) dz = D^{s-1}I_\alpha^+(\sigma)(x), \quad 1 \leq s \leq \gamma. \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1) we know that $I_\alpha^+(D^s\sigma)$ is integrable and by the observation at the beginning of the proof $I_\alpha^+(D^s\sigma)$ is also continuous. Then, if (2.2) holds, it follows that $I_\alpha^+(D^s\sigma) = D^s I_\alpha^+(\sigma)$, $1 \leq s \leq \gamma$, and we obtain parts (i) and (ii) of this lemma. Let us prove (2.2). For $x < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-N}^x I_\alpha^+(D^s\sigma)(z) dz = \int_{-N}^x \left(\int_0^{-z} \frac{D^s\sigma(z+y)}{y^{1-\alpha}} dy \right) dz \\ &= \int_0^{-x} \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \left(\int_{-N}^x D^s\sigma(z+y) dz \right) dy + \int_{-x}^N \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \left(\int_{-N}^{-y} D^s\sigma(z+y) dz \right) dy \\ &= I_\alpha^+(D^{s-1}\sigma)(x) - I_\alpha^+(D^{s-1}\sigma)(-N). \end{aligned}$$

Then taking the limit for N tending to infinity, we get that (2.2) holds. Let us prove (iii). From (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$|I_{\alpha}^{+}(\sigma)(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(-x)^{1+\beta'-\alpha}} \quad \text{if } x \leq 0.$$

By Fubini's Theorem, a change of variables, and (c)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-N}^0 I_{\alpha}^{+}(\sigma)(x) dx &= \int_{-N}^0 \left(\int_0^{-x} \frac{\sigma(x+y)}{y^{1-\alpha}} dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^N \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \left(\int_{-N+y}^0 \sigma(z) dz \right) dy = - \int_0^N \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-N+y} \sigma(z) dz \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute value of the last integral is bounded by a constant times

$$\int_0^N \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+N-y)^{\beta'}} dy = \frac{1}{N^{\beta'-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{y^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1-y)^{\beta'}} dy.$$

Then taking the limit for N tending to infinity it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 I_{\alpha}^{+}(\sigma)(x) dx = 0.$$

ending the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.

Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$, m a positive integer and $\rho(x) = x^m \varphi(x)$. Then, for every $t > 0$, holds

$$\partial_t^m \varphi_t(x) = \partial_t^m \left[\frac{1}{t} \varphi \left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \right] = \frac{(-1)^m}{t^{m+1}} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m \rho \right] \left(\frac{x}{t} \right).$$

Proof. The proof is simple and shall be omitted. \square

Lemma 3.

Let $w \in A_q^+$, $1 < q < \infty$ and $0 < t \leq 1$. Then for every non-negative $u \in L^{q'/t}(w)$ there exists a non-negative $v \in L^{q'/t}(w)$ such that

- (a) $u(x) \leq v(x)$ a. e.,
- (b) $\|v\|_{L^{q'/t}(w)} \leq 2\|u\|_{L^{q'/t}(w)}$ and
- (c) $vw \in A_p^+$ if $p = (1-t)q + t$.

Proof. This is the one-sided version of Lemma 5.17 of [5, page 447]. The sublinear function used in the proof of our lemma is $S(u) = (M^-(|u|^{1/t}w)^{-1})^t$. \square

Lemma 4.

Let B be a Banach space and $1 < r < \infty$. Let U_j be a sequence of linear operators such that

$$\left(\int \|U_j f(x)\|_B^r \rho(x) dx \right)^{1/r} \leq c_r(\rho) \left(\int |f(x)|^r \rho(x) dx \right)^{1/r} \tag{2.3}$$

holds for every $\rho \in A_r^+$ with a constant $c_r(\rho)$ not depending on j . Then, for every $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q < \infty$, we have that

$$\left(\int \left(\sum_j \|U_j f_j(x)\|_B^p \right)^{q/p} w(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\leq c_{p,q}(w) \left(\int \left(\sum_j |f_j(x)|^p \right)^{q/p} w(x) dx \right)^{1/q}$$

holds for every $w \in A_q^+$.

Proof. We observe that by extrapolation, see [9], if (2.3) holds for a given r , $1 < r < \infty$, then it holds for every r , $1 < r < \infty$. If $p = q$, the proof of the theorem is trivial. Let $p < q$, by Lemma 3 with $t = (q - p)/(q - 1)$ given $0 \leq u \in L^{(q/p)'}(w)$ there exists v such that

$$\|v\|_{L^{(q/p)'}(w)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^{(q/p)'}(w)},$$

and

$$\left(\int \|U_j f\|_B^p u(x) w(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int |f(x)|^p v(x) w(x) dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where c does not depend on j . Then proceeding as in Theorem 6.1 of [5, page 519] we get (2.4) for $1 < p < q < \infty$. As for the case $p > q$ we have

$$\left(\int \left(\sum_j \|U_j f_j(x)\|_B^p \right)^{q/p} w(x) dx \right)^{1/q} = \int \sum_j \|U_j f\|_B g_j(x) dx,$$

where $\{g_j(x)\} \in L_{\ell^p}^{q'}(w^{-q'/q})$. Now proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 of [5, page 519] we obtain that (2.4) holds for $p > q$. \square

3. One-Sided Littlewood–Paley and Lusin Area Functions

In this section we shall develop the theory of the one-sided versions of the Littlewood–Paley and Lusin area functions using vector-valued methods.

Theorem 1.

Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces and k a strongly measurable $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$ -valued function defined for $x \neq 0$, and strongly measurable. Assume that k satisfies the conditions

(i) $\|k(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)} \leq B_1 \frac{1}{|x|}$,

(ii) for $x \neq 0$, $Dk(x)$ exists in the $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$ -norm and

$$\|Dk(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)} \leq B_2 \frac{1}{|x|^2},$$

(iii) for any pair (ϵ, N) , $0 < \epsilon < N$,

$$\left\| \int_{\epsilon < |x| < N} k(x) dx \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)} \leq B_3, \text{ and}$$

(iv) if $x > 0$, then $k(x) = 0$.

Then, the operator

$$K^* f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left\| \int_{|x-y| > \epsilon} k(x-y) f(y) dy \right\|_{H_2},$$

satisfies:

if $w \in A_p^+$, $1 < p < \infty$, there exists a constant c such that

$$\int K^* f(x)^p w(x) dx \leq c \int \|f(x)\|_{H_1}^p w(x) dx$$

holds, and if $w \in A_1^+$, there exists a constant c such that

$$\lambda w(\{x : K^*(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq c \int \|f(x)\|_{H_1} w(x) dx$$

holds for any $\lambda > 0$. The constants c depend on p, B_1, B_2, B_3 and the constant of the condition A_p^+ for w .

Proof. The proof is a straightforward generalization of the proof given in [1] for the scalar case, i.e., $H_1 = H_2 = \mathbb{C}$ the complex numbers. \square

Theorem 2.

If in addition to conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1 we assume that (v) For any $u \in H_1$ and $v \in H_2$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\langle v, \left(\int_{\epsilon < |x| < 1} k(x) dx \right) u \right\rangle \tag{3.1}$$

exist, then

$$Kf(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} k(x-y)f(y) dy = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\epsilon f(x) \tag{3.2}$$

exists weakly in H_2 for any $f \in C_0^1(H_1)$,

$$\int \|Kf(x)\|_{H_2}^p w(x) dx \leq c_p \int \|f(x)\|_{H_1}^p w(x) dx \tag{3.3}$$

holds for $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p^+$, and

$$\lambda w(\{x : \|Kf(x)\|_{H_2} > \lambda\}) \leq c_1 \int \|f(x)\|_{H_1} w(x) dx \tag{3.4}$$

holds for any $\lambda > 0$ and $w \in A_1^+$.

Moreover, Kf can be extended to $L_{H_1}^p(w)$ and so that (3.3) and (3.4) hold and the limit in (3.2) exists weakly in H_2 a.e. for a general $f \in L_{H_1}^p(w)$, $w \in A_p^+$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Assumption (v) is equivalent to assume that there exists $l \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$ such that the limit in (3.1) is equal to $\langle v, lu \rangle$. Then, if $f \in C_0^1(H_1)$ and $v \in H_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle v, K_\epsilon f(x) \rangle &= \left\langle v, \int_{|x-y|<1} k(x-y)[f(y) - f(x)] dy \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle v, \int_{|x-y|>1} k(x-y)f(y) dy \right\rangle + \langle v, lf(x) \rangle = \langle v, Kf(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, Theorem 1 and standard arguments (see, for instance [3, page 110]), allow us to extend K to a general f , $f \in L_{H_1}^p(w)$, remaining valid (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4). \square

Given an integer $\gamma \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we shall say that a C_0^∞ -function ψ belongs to the class $\Phi_\gamma(x)$ if there exists a bounded interval $I_\psi = [x, \beta]$ containing the support of ψ such that $D^\gamma \psi$ satisfies

$$|I_\psi|^{\gamma+1} \|D^\gamma \psi\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Let F be a distribution on $\mathcal{D}'(r, \infty)$, $-\infty \leq r < \infty$. We define the one-sided maximal function $F_{+, \gamma}^*(x)$ as

$$F_{+, \gamma}^*(x) = \sup\{|\langle F, \psi \rangle| : \psi \in \Phi_\gamma(x)\}$$

for every $x > r$.

Fixed $w \in A_q^+$, $q \geq 1$, we shall consider $x_{-\infty}$ and x_∞ as in (1.1). Given $0 < p$, and $\gamma \geq 1$ satisfying

$$(\gamma + 1)p \geq q > 1 \text{ or } (\gamma + 1)p > q = 1, \tag{3.5}$$

we shall say that the distribution F in $\mathcal{D}'(x_{-\infty}, \infty)$, belongs to $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$ if

$$\|F\|_{H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)} = \left(\int_{x_{-\infty}}^\infty F_{+, \gamma}^*(x)^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p},$$

is finite.

Remark 1.

We observe that if γ_1 and γ_2 satisfy the condition (3.5) and $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$, then, taking into account the definition of $F_{+, \gamma}^*$, we have the inclusion $H_{+, \gamma_1}^p(w) \subseteq H_{+, \gamma_2}^p(w)$. On the other hand, in virtue of the decomposition into atoms obtained in Theorem 2.2 of [11], it follows that $H_{+, \gamma_2}^p(w) \subseteq H_{+, \gamma_1}^p(w)$, and therefore $H_{+, \gamma_1}^p(w) = H_{+, \gamma_2}^p(w)$. Finally, we remark that the set of all bounded functions f with bounded support belonging to $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$ is dense in $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$. Also, it can be shown that the set of C_0^1 -functions f belonging to $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$ is dense in $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$.

Theorem 3.

Let γ be a positive integer and $0 < p < \infty$ such that $p(\gamma + 1) > 1$. Let K be a singular integral operator as in Theorem 1 for $H_1 = \mathbb{C}$ and $H_2 = H$, a Hilbert space. Moreover, we assume that the kernel k of K satisfies

$$\|D^\ell k(x)\|_{L(C, H)} \leq B_{2, \ell} \frac{1}{|x|^{\ell+1}}, \tag{3.6}$$

for every ℓ , $0 \leq \ell \leq \gamma$.

Then, if $w \in A_{p(\gamma+1)}^+$ we have

$$\int_{x_{-\infty}}^\infty \|Kf(x)\|_H^p w(x) dx \leq c \int_{x_{-\infty}}^\infty f_{+, \gamma}^*(x)^p w(x) dx \tag{3.7}$$

with a constant c not depending on f .

Proof. Let f be a bounded function with bounded support. Since f induces a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(-\infty, \infty)$, then we consider the maximal function $f_{+, \gamma}^*(x)$ defined for every real number x . The sets

$$\Omega_i = \{x : f_{+, \gamma}^*(x) > 2^i\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

are open and bounded. Then, applying Theorem 2.2 of [11], with respect to $w \equiv 1$, if $I_{i, j}$ stands for the connected components of Ω_i , there exist functions $a_{i, j}(x)$ such that

- (i) $\|a_{i, j}\|_\infty \leq C$,
- (ii) $\text{supp}(a_{i, j}) \subseteq I_{i, j}$,
- (iii) $\int a_{i, j}(x)x^s dx = 0$ for every s , $0 \leq s \leq \gamma - 1$,

and

- (iv) $f(x) = \sum_i 2^i \sum_j a_{i, j}(x)$ in L^2 .

Thus,

$$Kf(x) = \sum_i 2^i \sum_j Ka_{i, j}(x)$$

in the sense of L^2_H , and therefore

$$\|Kf(x)\|_H \leq \sum_i 2^i \sum_j \|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H .$$

Given a bounded interval $I = [\alpha, \beta]$, we denote $\tilde{I} = [3\alpha - 2\beta, \beta]$. Since (3.6) holds, it can be shown, as usual, that for $x \notin \tilde{I}_{i,j}$ we have

$$\|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H \leq c [M^+(\chi_{I_{i,j}})(x)]^{\gamma+1} . \tag{3.8}$$

If $x \in \tilde{I}_{i,j}$ and $\|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H \leq 1$ we see that (3.8) holds with a constant which is a fixed multiple of the former c . Finally, if $x \in \tilde{I}_{i,j}$ and $\|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H > 1$, then

$$\|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H \leq \|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H^{\gamma+1} .$$

Thus, we have shown that for any x

$$\|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H \leq c [M^+(\chi_{I_{i,j}})(x)^{\gamma+1} + \|Ka_{i,j}(x)\|_H^{\gamma+1}]$$

holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|Kf(x)\|_H^p w(x) dx &\leq c_p \int \left(\sum_{i,j} M^+(2^{i/(\gamma+1)} \chi_{I_{i,j}})(x)^{\gamma+1} \right)^{\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+1}} w(x) dx \\ &+ c_p \int \left(\sum_{i,j} \|K(2^{i/(\gamma+1)} a_{i,j})(x)\|_H^{\gamma+1} \right)^{\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+1}} w(x) dx . \end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in A^+_{p(\gamma+1)}$, by Lemma 4 applied to the operators M^+ and K , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|Kf(x)\|_H^p w(x) dx &\leq c \int \left(\sum_i 2^i \sum_j \chi_{I_{i,j}}(x) \right)^p w(x) dx \\ &\leq c \int \left(\sum_i 2^i \chi_{\Omega_i}(x) \right)^p w(x) dx \leq c' \int f^*_{+,\gamma}(x)^p w(x) dx . \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 1, (3.7) holds for every $f \in H^p_{+,\gamma}(w)$. □

Theorem 4.

Let γ be a positive integer and $0 < p < \infty$ such that $p(\gamma + 1) > 1$. Let ϕ be a function satisfying

- (i) $\phi(x) = 0$ if $x > 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} D^\ell \phi(x) = 0$ for every $\ell, 0 \leq \ell < \gamma$,
- (ii) $D^{\gamma-1} \phi$ is continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, 0)$, and for a $\beta, 0 < \beta \leq 1$

$$|D^\gamma \phi(x)| \leq \frac{c_\gamma}{(1-x)^{1+\gamma+\beta}} \quad \text{if } x < 0 .$$

These conditions imply

$$|D^\ell \phi(x)| \leq \frac{c_\ell}{(1-x)^{1+\ell+\beta}} \quad \text{if } x < 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \ell \leq \gamma . \tag{3.9}$$

In addition to (i) and (ii), let us assume

$$(iii) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) dy = 0.$$

Then, if we define

$$g^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^{\infty} |(\phi_t * f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

there exists a constant c such that

$$\|g^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \|f_{+, \gamma}^*\|_{L^p(w)} \tag{3.10}$$

holds if $w \in A_{p(\gamma+1)}^+$. In consequence, if $p > 1$ and $w \in A_p^+$, there exists a constant c' such that

$$\|g^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c' \|f\|_{L^p(w)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, for $\lambda > 0$

$$\lambda w(\{x : g^+(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(w)} \tag{3.12}$$

holds if $w \in A_1^+$.

If for $a > 0$, we define

$$S_a^+(f)(x) = \left(\int \int_{0 \leq z < at} |(\phi_t * f)(x+z)|^2 \frac{dz dt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

we obtain that (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12) hold substituting $S_a^+(f)$ for $g^+(f)$.

Proof. We give the proof for $g^+(f)$. The proof for $S_a^+(f)$ is similar. Let $H_1 = \mathbb{C}$, the complex numbers, and $H_2 = H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{dt}{t})$. We shall show that the kernel $k(x) = \phi_t(x) = t^{-1}\phi(x/t)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and therefore the conclusions of that theorem. Moreover, in this case the operator K can be given explicitly as

$$Kf(x) = (\phi_t * f)(x), \tag{3.13}$$

almost everywhere on the halfline $x_{-\infty} < x$.

We observe that an operator $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, H)$ coincides with a function $m(t)$ in the sense $Mu = m(t).u$ for any complex number u , and $\|M\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, H)} = \|m\|_H$.

Let us prove that condition (i) of Theorem 1 holds for $k(x) = \phi_t(x)$. If $x \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|k(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, H)} &= \left(\int_0^{\infty} |\phi_t(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \leq c \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x|} \right)^{2+2\beta} \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{c}{|x|} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{t+1} \right)^{2+2\beta} \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show inductively that condition (3.6) of Theorem 3 holds. If $x \neq 0$, $|h| < \frac{|x|}{2}$, and $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have $|x+sh| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}$. Then, for $0 < \ell \leq \gamma$, and applying (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{h} [D^{\ell-1} \phi_t(x+h) - D^{\ell-1} \phi_t(x)] \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{t^{\ell-1}} \left[D^{\ell-1} \phi \left(\frac{x+h}{t} \right) - D^{\ell-1} \phi \left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^{\ell+1}} \int_0^1 \left| D^{\ell} \phi \left(\frac{x+sh}{t} \right) \right| ds \\ &\leq c'_{\ell} t^{-\ell-1} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x|} \right)^{1+\ell+\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\left| \frac{1}{h} \left[D^{\ell-1} \phi_t(x+h) - D^{\ell-1} \phi_t(x) \right] - \frac{1}{t^{\ell+1}} D^\ell \phi \left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \right| \leq c'' t^{-\ell-1} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x|} \right)^{1+\ell+\beta}.$$

Squaring and integrating with respect to the measure dt/t , and applying Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, our claim follows.

In order to prove condition (iii) of Theorem 1 we observe that hypothesis (iii) implies

$$\left| \int_{|x|<r} \phi(x) dx \right| \leq c \frac{r}{(1+r)^{1+\beta}}, \tag{3.14}$$

see [2, page 363]. We have

$$\left\| \int_{\epsilon < |x| < N} k(x) dx \right\|_H \leq \left\| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right\|_H + \left\| \int_{|x| < N} \phi_t(x) dx \right\|_H. \tag{3.15}$$

Since by (3.14) and a change of variables

$$\left| \int_{|x|<r} \phi_t(x) dx \right| \leq c \frac{r/t}{(1+r/t)^{1+\beta}},$$

we get that $\left\| \int_{|x|<r} \phi_t(x) dx \right\|_H \leq C$. Then, the right-hand side of (3.15) is bounded by $2C$.

Condition (iv) of Theorem 1 is obvious for $k(x) = \frac{1}{t} \phi \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)$.

Finally, we shall prove the condition (v) of Theorem 2 for $k(x) = \phi_t(x)$. We want to show that for $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$

$$\int_0^\infty h(t) \left(\int_{\epsilon_1 < |x| < \epsilon_2} \phi_t(x) dx \right) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \max_{i=1,2} \int_0^\infty |h(t)| \left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon_i} \phi_t(x) dx \right| \frac{dt}{t} \tag{3.16}$$

tends to zero with ϵ_2 provided that $h(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{dt}{t})$. Let $\eta > 0$ to be chosen. Then, splitting the domain of integration into $t < \eta$ and $t \geq \eta$, and applying Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty |h(t)| \left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right| \frac{dt}{t} \leq \\ & \left(\int_0^\eta |h(t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ & + \left(\int_0^\infty |h(t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\eta^\infty \left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By (3.14), we have

$$\left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right| \leq c \frac{\epsilon/t}{(1+\epsilon/t)^{1+\beta}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \leq c \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{2\beta-1}}{(t+1)^{2+2\beta}} dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

and

$$\left(\int_\eta^\infty \left| \int_{|x| < \epsilon} \phi_t(x) dx \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \leq c\epsilon \left(\int_\eta^\infty \frac{t^{2\beta-1}}{(t+\epsilon)^{2+2\beta}} dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \frac{\epsilon}{\eta}.$$

Now if we choose η small enough, and then ϵ small enough, we get that the left-hand side of (3.17) and therefore that of (3.16) is as small as we please.

Let us prove that (3.13) holds. Let f belong to C_0^1 , by Theorem 2,

$$Kf(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} \phi_t(x-y)f(y) dy = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\epsilon f(x)$$

exists weakly in $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{dt}{t})$. Then, by a theorem of Banach and Sacks, [8, page 80] there exists a sequence $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ such that the means

$$S_n(x) = (K_{\epsilon_1} f(x) + \dots + K_{\epsilon_n} f(x))/n$$

converge strongly to $Kf(x)$ in H . Since f is bounded and ϕ is integrable, by applying Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, for every fixed x we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\epsilon f(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} \phi_t(x-y)f(y) dy = (\phi_t * f)(x),$$

for every $t > 0$. Then $Kf(x) = (\phi_t * f)(x)$ as an element of H for every x .

Given f a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(x_{-\infty}, \infty)$, let φ belong to $\mathcal{D}(x_{-\infty}, \infty)$ such that the support of φ is contained in an interval $I = [a, b]$. Since $x_{-\infty} < a$, there exists $a', x_{-\infty} < a' < a$, such that $a - a' \leq |I| = b - a$. Therefore, if $\tilde{I} = [a', a]$, by the definition of $f_{+, \gamma}^*$, we have that

$$|(f, \varphi)| \leq 2^{\gamma+1} |I|^{\gamma+1} \|D^\gamma \varphi\|_\infty f_{+, \gamma}^*(x),$$

holds for every $x \in \tilde{I}$. Taking the p -power and averaging on \tilde{I} , we obtain

$$|(f, \varphi)| \leq 2^{\gamma+1} \frac{|I|^{\gamma+1}}{w(\tilde{I})^{1/p}} \|D^\gamma \varphi\|_\infty \|f\|_{H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)}.$$

Now, let φ be a function with continuous derivatives up to the order γ , with support contained in the halfline $[a, \infty)$, where $a > x_{-\infty}$ and such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} = \sup_x |D^\gamma \varphi(x)|(1 + |x|)^{\gamma+1+\beta} < \infty.$$

For simplicity and without loss of generality we assume that $a = 0 > x_{-\infty}$.

Let $(\psi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of non-negative C_0^∞ -functions, satisfying:

For $k \geq 1$, $\text{support}(\psi_k) \subset [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]$, and $\text{support}(\psi_0) \subset [-1, 2]$,

$\sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_k(x) = 1$ if $x \geq 0$, and

$\|D^s \psi_k\|_\infty \leq C 2^{-ks}$, $1 \leq s \leq \gamma$.

Thus,

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_k(x)\varphi(x).$$

We choose an interval $J = (\max(x_{-\infty}, -1), 0]$ and for each $x \in J$ and $k \geq 0$, we denote J_k to the interval $[x, 2^{k+1}]$. Therefore, for every $k \geq 0$ we get

$$|J_k|^{\gamma+1} \|D^\gamma(\varphi\psi_k)\|_\infty \leq C_\gamma \|\varphi\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k\beta}.$$

Then, we can extend the distribution f to these functions φ as

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 0} \langle f, \varphi \psi_k \rangle,$$

and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |\langle f, \varphi \psi_k \rangle| \leq C_\gamma f_{+, \gamma}^*(x) \|\varphi\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k\beta} \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, \beta} f_{+, \gamma}^*(x) \|\varphi\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

holds for every $x \in J$. Taking the p -power and the average on J , we obtain

$$|\langle f, \varphi \rangle| \leq C_{\gamma, \beta} \|\varphi\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} \left(\frac{1}{w(J)} \int_{x-\infty}^{\infty} f_{+, \gamma}^*(x)^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{3.19}$$

By Remark 1, if f belongs to $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$ there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of C_0^1 -functions such that f_n tends to f in $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$. Taking into account Theorem 3

$$\int_{x-\infty}^{\infty} \|Kf_n(x) - Kf_m(x)\|_H^p w(x) dx \leq c \int_{x-\infty}^{\infty} (f_n - f_m)_{+, \gamma}^*(x)^p w(x) dx$$

which implies that there exists $Kf = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kf_n$ in $L_H^p(w)$.

Since for every $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$w(\{x > x_{-\infty} : \|Kf(x) - Kf_m(x)\|_H > \lambda\}) \rightarrow 0,$$

then there exists a subsequence of $(Kf_n)_{n \geq 1}$ that converges in H for almost every x . Let x_0 be a point for which the subsequence converges in $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{dt}{t})$. Then, there exists a new subsequence, depending on x_0 , such that we shall denote $(Kf_m(x_0))_{m \geq 1}$, satisfying

$$Kf(x_0) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Kf_m(x_0) \quad a.e. \text{ in } t > 0.$$

On the other hand, since $f_m \in C_0^1$ we know that $Kf_m(x_0) = \phi_t * f_m(x_0)$. Taking into account (3.19)

$$\begin{aligned} &|\phi_t * f_m(x_0) - \phi_t * f(x_0)| \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, \beta} \|\phi_t\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} \left(\frac{1}{w(J)} \int_{x-\infty}^{\infty} (f_m - f)_{+, \gamma}^*(x)^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\phi_t * f_m(x_0)$ tends to $\phi_t * f(x_0)$, and in consequence $Kf(x) = \phi_t * f(x)$ for almost every x and almost every $t > 0$. \square

Let φ belong to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ supported on $(-\infty, 0]$. Let m and n non-negative integers such that $m + n \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We define

$$g^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |t^{n+m-\alpha} \partial_x^n \partial_t^m I_\alpha^+(\varphi_t * f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$S_a^+(f)(x) = \left(\int \int_{0 \leq z < at} |t^{n+m-\alpha} \partial_x^n \partial_t^m I_\alpha^+(\varphi_t * f)(x+z)|^2 \frac{dz dt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $0 < a < \infty$.

In order to apply Theorem 4, we observe that

$$\partial_x^n \partial_t^m I_\alpha^+(\varphi_t)(x) = I_\alpha^+(\partial_x^n \partial_t^m \varphi_t)(x) \tag{3.20}$$

and, by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^n \partial_t^m \varphi_t(x) &= \frac{(-1)^m}{t^{m+1}} \partial_x^n \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^m \rho \left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{t^{m+n+1}} \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n+m} \rho \right] \left(\frac{x}{t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(x) = x^m \varphi(x)$. Then, (3.20) is equal to

$$\frac{(-1)^m}{t^{n+m-\alpha+1}} I_\alpha^+ \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n+m} \rho \right] \left(\frac{x}{t} \right).$$

Therefore, $g^+(f)$ and $S_a^+(f)$ are defined as in Theorem 4 for

$$\phi(x) = (-1)^m I_\alpha^+ \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n+m} x^m \varphi \right]. \tag{3.21}$$

Applying Lemma 1 to $\sigma = (-1)^m D^{n+m}(x^m \varphi)$ and by Theorem 4, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.

Let φ belong to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ supported on $(-\infty, 0]$. Let m and n non-negative integers such that $n + m \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. If

$$g^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |t^{n+m-\alpha} \partial_x^n \partial_t^m I_\alpha^+(\varphi_t * f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

there exists a constant c such that

$$\|g^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \|f_{+, \gamma}^*\|_{L^p(w)} \tag{3.22}$$

holds if $p(\gamma + 1) > 1$ and $w \in A_{p(\gamma+1)}^+$. In consequence, if $p > 1$ and $w \in A_p^+$, there exists a constant c' such that

$$\|g^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(w)}. \tag{3.23}$$

Moreover, for $\lambda > 0$

$$\lambda w(\{x : g^+(f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(w)} \tag{3.24}$$

holds if $w \in A_1^+$.

Besides, if for $a > 0$

$$S_a^+(f)(x) = \left(\int \int_{0 \leq z < at} |t^{n+m-\alpha} \partial_x^n \partial_t^m I_\alpha^+(\varphi_t * f)(x+z)|^2 \frac{dz dt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

we obtain that (3.22), (3.23), and (3.24) hold substituting $S_a^+(f)$ for $g^+(f)$.

4. One-Sided g_λ Function

We begin this section stating a known result of the auxiliary T_λ^+ function, see [7, page 97]. The T_λ^+ function generalizes the T_λ function introduced by Fefferman and Stein in [4, page 178].

Let Φ be an integrable function and $\lambda > 1$. We define

$$T_\lambda^+(f)(x) = \sup_{h>0} \left(\frac{1}{h^\lambda} \int_0^h \int_x^{x+h} t^{\lambda-2} |(\Phi_t * f)(y)|^2 dy dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

The function T_λ^+ has been studied in [10]. In that paper, we proved the following theorem:

Theorem 6.

Let $p > \frac{2}{\lambda}$, γ be a positive integer such that $\gamma + 1 > \frac{\lambda}{2}$ and $w \in A_{\frac{p\lambda}{2}}^+$. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with support contained in $(-\infty, 0]$, then

$$\|T_\lambda^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \|f_{+, \gamma}^*\|_{L^p(w)}$$

holds with a finite constant c depending on λ, Φ, w, γ , and p .

The following technical lemma shall be needed in the proof of Theorem 7.

Lemma 5.

Let $J = (\alpha, \beta)$ be a bounded interval and $F \subseteq J$ a closed subset. Given $\mu, 0 < \mu < 1$, we define

$$D = \{x \in F : |F \cap [x - t, x]| \geq \mu t, \quad \forall t : 0 < t \leq |J|\}.$$

If $W = \bigcup_{x \in D} \Gamma_1(x)$, where $\Gamma_1(x) = \{(z, t) : 0 \leq z - x < t\}$ and $R = \{(z, t) : \alpha < z < \beta + |J|, 0 < t < |J|\}$, then

(i) $\int_F S_2^+(f)(x)^2 dx \geq \mu \iint_{R \cap W} |\phi_t * f(z)|^2 \frac{dz dt}{t}$

and

(ii) $|F \setminus D| \leq 15 \mu |J|$.

Proof. By Fubini's Theorem we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_F S_2^+(f)(x)^2 dx \\ &= \iint |\phi_t * f(z)|^2 |F \cap [z - 2t, z]| \frac{dz dt}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_F S_2^+(f)(x)^2 dx \\ & \geq \iint_{(z,t) \in R \cap W} |\phi_t * f(z)|^2 |F \cap [z - 2t, z]| \frac{dz dt}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that if $(z, t) \in R \cap W$, then the pair (z, t) belongs to $\Gamma_1(x)$ for some x in D , and this implies that $[x - t, x] \subset [z - 2t, z]$. Therefore, since $0 < t \leq |J|$, we have that $|F \cap [z - 2t, z]| \geq |F \cap [x - t, x]| \geq \mu t$ and

$$\int_F S_2^+(f)(x)^2 dx \geq \mu \iint_{R \cap W} |\phi_t * f(z)|^2 \frac{dz dt}{t},$$

which proves (i).

Now, let us prove (ii). We choose an open set $D \subset G$ such that

$$|G \setminus D| < 3 \mu |J|. \tag{4.1}$$

If $x \in F \setminus G$, then $x \in F \setminus D$ and this implies that there exists $t_x, 0 < t_x \leq |J|$ such that

$$|F \cap [x - t_x, x]| < \mu t_x.$$

Then, we can choose $\epsilon_x, 0 < \epsilon_x < t_x$ satisfying

$$|F \cap [x - t_x, x + \epsilon_x]| < 2 \mu t_x.$$

The compact set $F \setminus G$ is covered by the family $\{(x - t_x, x + \epsilon_x)\}_{x \in F \setminus G}$. Then, there exists a finite subcover $\{(x_i - t_{x_i}, x_i + \epsilon_{x_i})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \chi_{[x_i - t_{x_i}, x_i + \epsilon_{x_i}]}(x) \leq 2 \chi_{[\alpha - |J|, \beta + |J|]}(x),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r t_{x_i} \leq 6 |J|.$$

Thus,

$$|F \setminus G| \leq \sum_{i=1}^r |F \cap [x_i - t_{x_i}, x_i + \epsilon_{x_i}]| < 2 \mu \sum_{i=1}^r t_{x_i} \leq 12 \mu |J|.$$

By these inequalities and (4.1) we obtain (ii). \square

Let φ belong to $S(\mathbb{R})$ supported on $(-\infty, 0]$. Let m and n non-negative integers such that $n + m \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We denote as in (3.21)

$$\phi(x) = (-1)^m I_\alpha^+ \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n+m} x^m \varphi \right],$$

and define

$$g_\lambda^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\lambda > 1$. Explicitly

$$g_\lambda^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |t^{n+m-\alpha} \partial_x^n \partial_t^m I_\alpha^+(\varphi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

With these notations we have the following theorem:

Theorem 7.

Let $p > \frac{2}{\lambda}$, γ be a positive integer such that $\gamma + 1 > \frac{\lambda}{2}$ and $w \in A_{\frac{p\lambda}{2}}^+$. Then

$$\|g_\lambda^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \|f_{+, \gamma}^*\|_{L^p(w)},$$

holds with a finite constant c depending on λ, ϕ, w, γ , and p .

The proof of this theorem consists of obtaining a good λ estimate for the g_λ^+ function and follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 2 in [7].

Proof. For any given $N > 1$ we define

$$g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty \chi_N(z,t) \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

where $\chi_N(z,t)$ is the characteristic function of the rectangle $\{(z,t) : |z| \leq N, N^{-1} \leq t \leq N\}$. It is enough to prove Theorem 7 for $g_{\lambda,N}^+$ instead of g_λ^+ with a constant c not depending on N . Then, by Fatou's Lemma we get Theorem 7.

We shall assume that f is a bounded function with bounded support, in $H_{+, \gamma}^p(w)$. Then, $g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x)$ is a continuous function and moreover if $x > x_{-\infty}$, we have that

$$g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x) \leq C_{\gamma,\beta} N^{2\gamma+3\beta+4} f_{+, \gamma}^*(x) \tag{4.2}$$

for any $\beta, 0 < \beta \leq 1$. In fact, by part (ii) of Lemma 1, there exists $\beta, 0 < \beta \leq 1$ such that

$$|D^\gamma \phi(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1-x)^{\gamma+1+\beta}}, \quad \text{if } x < 0.$$

Given $z \geq x$ and $t, N^{-1} < t < N$, the support of $\phi_t(z - \cdot)$ is contained in the halfline $[x, \infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_t(z - \cdot)\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} &= \sup_y |D^\gamma \phi_t(z - y)|(1 + |y|)^{\gamma+1+\beta} \\ &\leq t^\beta \sup_y \left(\frac{1+|y|}{t+|z-y|} \right)^{\gamma+1+\beta} \leq c' N^{2(\gamma+1)+3\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.18), for every $y \in J = (\max(x_{-\infty}, x - 1), x]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f * \phi_t(z)| &= |(f, \phi_t(z - \cdot))| \\ &\leq C_{\gamma,\beta} f_{+, \gamma}^*(y) \|\phi_t(z - \cdot)\|_{\gamma, \gamma+1+\beta} \leq C'_{\gamma,\beta} f_{+, \gamma}^*(y) N^{2(\gamma+1)+3\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this estimate for $y = x$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x) &\leq C'_{\gamma,\beta} f_{+, \gamma}^*(x) N^{2(\gamma+1)+3\beta} \left(\int_{N^{-1}}^N \int_{z \geq x, |z| \leq N} \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C''_{\gamma,\beta} N^{2\gamma+3\beta+4} f_{+, \gamma}^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that by (4.2), $g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x)$ belongs to $L^p(w)$.

Since the weight w satisfies the A_∞^+ condition, then by Theorem 1 in [6], there exist $K \geq 1$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{w(E)}{w((a, c))} \leq K \left(\frac{|E|}{c - b} \right)^\eta,$$

holds for every $a < b < c$ and every measurable set $E \subset (a, b)$.

Let $M = (2^{p+2}K)^{-1/\eta}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ to be chosen later. We shall prove that

$$\begin{aligned} w \left(\left\{ x : g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x) > 2\alpha, S_2^+(f)(x) + T_\lambda^+(f)(x) \leq \delta\alpha \right\} \right) \\ \leq 2^{-(p+1)} w \left(\left\{ x : g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x) > \alpha \right\} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

holds for every $0 < \alpha < \infty$.

If we denote by A_α the set $\{x : g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x) > \alpha\}$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$, since $g_{\lambda,N}^+(f)(x)$ is a continuous function and by the estimate (4.2), it turns out that A_α is an open bounded set. Let

$A_\alpha = \cup I_i$, where the I_i 's denote the connected components of A_α . We choose any I_i and assume that $I_i = (a, b)$. We define the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as

$$x_n = b - \frac{b-a}{2^n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then, $x_n - x_{n-1} = 2(x_{n+1} - x_n)$. Let us denote by J_n the interval $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$ and let E_α be the set $\{x : g_{\lambda, N}^+(f)(x) > 2\alpha, S_2^+(f)(x) + T_\lambda^+(f)(x) \leq \delta\alpha\}$.

Given a non-negative integer n such that $|E_\alpha \cap J_n| > 0$, we consider the rectangle

$$R_n = \{(z, t) : x_{n-1} \leq z \leq b, 0 \leq t \leq |J_n|\}.$$

Then, if x belongs to $E_\alpha \cap J_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2\alpha)^2 &< g_{\lambda, N}^+(f)(x)^2 = \\ &\left(\iint_{\substack{(z,t) \in R_n \\ z \geq x}} + \iint_{\substack{(z,t) \notin R_n \\ z \geq x}} \right) \chi_N(z, t) \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \\ &= A + B. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

If $(z, t) \notin R_n$ and $x \leq z < b$ then, $0 \leq z - x \leq 2t$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\substack{(z,t) \notin R_n \\ x \leq z < b}} \chi_N(z, t) \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \leq \\ &\iint_{0 \leq z-x \leq 2t} |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} = S_2^+(f)(x)^2 \leq (\delta\alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

If $b \leq z$, then $t + z - x > t + z - b$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\substack{(z,t) \notin R_n \\ b \leq z}} \chi_N(z, t) \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \\ &\leq g_{\lambda, N}^+(f)(b)^2 \leq \alpha^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The estimates (4.5) and (4.6) show that $B \leq \alpha^2(1 + \delta^2) < 2\alpha^2$. Then, by (4.4) we obtain that

$$2\alpha^2 < \iint_{\substack{(z,t) \in R_n \\ z \geq x}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2}, \tag{4.7}$$

holds for every x belonging to $E_\alpha \cap J_n$.

Choose a closed set $F_{\alpha, n} \subset E_\alpha \cap J_n$ such that

$$|(E_\alpha \cap J_n) \setminus F_{\alpha, n}| < \frac{M}{8}(x_{n+1} - x_n), \tag{4.8}$$

and we define

$$D_{\alpha, n} = \{x \in F_{\alpha, n} : |F_{\alpha, n} \cap [x - t, x]| \geq \frac{M}{48}t, \forall t : 0 < t \leq |J_n|\}.$$

We observe that $D_{\alpha, n}$ is closed. By Lemma 5, we have

$$|F_{\alpha, n} \setminus D_{\alpha, n}| \leq \frac{5}{8}M(x_{n+1} - x_n). \tag{4.9}$$

Let us assume $D_{\alpha,n} \neq \emptyset$. Since $D_{\alpha,n} \subset E_\alpha \cap J_n$, then integrating both sides of (4.7) with respect to x over $D_{\alpha,n}$, we have

$$2\alpha^2 |D_{\alpha,n}| \leq \iint_{R_n} \left(\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \right) |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $W = \bigcup_{x \in D_{\alpha,n}} \Gamma_1(x)$, where $\Gamma_1(x) = \{(z, t) : 0 \leq z - x < t\}$. By (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha^2 |D_{\alpha,n}| &\leq \\ &\left(\iint_{R_n \cap W} + \iint_{R_n \setminus W} \right) \left(\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \right) |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Let us estimate I . Since

$$\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^z \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx = \frac{t}{\lambda-1},$$

we obtain that

$$I \leq \frac{1}{\lambda-1} \iint_{R_n \cap W} |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t}.$$

Applying Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda-1} \frac{48}{M} \int_{F_{\alpha,n}} S_2^+(f)(x)^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\lambda-1} \frac{48}{M} (\delta\alpha)^2 |F_{\alpha,n}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda-1} \frac{96}{M} \delta^2 \alpha^2 (x_{n+1} - x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Let us estimate II . Since the set $D_{\alpha,n}$ is not empty then $m = \min(D_{\alpha,n})$ exists. Denote $\{C_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ the connected components of $(m, b) \setminus D_{\alpha,n}$. Then,

$$II = \sum_{k \geq 1} \iint_{\substack{(z,t) \in R_n \setminus W \\ z \in C_k}} \left(\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \right) |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2}. \tag{4.13}$$

Choose any $C_k = (c, d)$ and consider a sequence $(d_s)_{s \geq 0}$ such that $d_0 = d$ and $d_{s-1} - d_s = d_s - c$, $s \geq 1$. For any given non-negative integer s , if $d_s \leq z \leq d_{s-1}$, $x \leq z$ and $x \in D_{\alpha,n}$, then $x \leq c$. Thus,

$$\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \leq \int_{z-x \geq d_{s-1}-d_s} \frac{t^\lambda}{(z-x)^\lambda} dx = t^\lambda \frac{(d_{s-1} - d_s)^{1-\lambda}}{\lambda-1}.$$

In consequence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} L_s &= \iint_{\substack{(z,t) \in R_n \setminus W \\ d_s \leq z \leq d_{s-1}}} \left(\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \right) |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda-1} (d_{s-1} - d_s) \frac{1}{(d_{s-1} - d_s)^\lambda} \iint_{\substack{(z,t) \in R_n \setminus W \\ d_s \leq z \leq d_{s-1}}} t^{\lambda-2} |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 dzdt. \end{aligned}$$

If $(z, t) \in R_n \setminus W$ and $d_s \leq z \leq d_{s-1}$, then $0 \leq z - c \leq 2(d_{s-1} - d_s)$ and $0 \leq t \leq 2(d_{s-1} - d_s)$. Therefore, since c belongs to E_α , we get

$$L_s \leq \frac{2^\lambda}{\lambda - 1} (d_{s-1} - d_s) T_\lambda^+(f)(c)^2 \leq \frac{2^\lambda}{\lambda - 1} (d_{s-1} - d_s) (\delta\alpha)^2.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\substack{(z,t) \in R_n \setminus W \\ z \in C_k}} \left(\int_{\substack{x \in D_{\alpha,n} \\ x \leq z}} \left(\frac{t}{t+z-x} \right)^\lambda dx \right) |(\phi_t * f)(z)|^2 \frac{dzdt}{t^2} \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 1} L_s \leq \frac{2^\lambda}{\lambda - 1} |C_k| (\delta\alpha)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up in k , and taking into account (4.13) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \frac{2^\lambda}{\lambda - 1} (\delta\alpha)^2 |(m, b) \setminus D_{\alpha,n}| = \frac{2^\lambda}{\lambda - 1} (\delta\alpha)^2 2|J_n| \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\lambda+2}}{\lambda - 1} (\delta\alpha)^2 (x_{n+1} - x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account these inequalities, (4.12) and (4.11) we have that

$$2\alpha^2 |D_{\alpha,n}| \leq \left(\frac{96}{M} + 2^{\lambda+2} \right) \frac{(\delta\alpha)^2}{\lambda - 1} (x_{n+1} - x_n).$$

Since $\delta < 1$, this shows that

$$|D_{\alpha,n}| \leq \left(\frac{48}{M} + 2^{\lambda+1} \right) \frac{\delta}{\lambda - 1} (x_{n+1} - x_n) \leq 2^{\lambda+2} \frac{48}{M} \frac{\delta}{\lambda - 1} (x_{n+1} - x_n).$$

Besides, if we choose δ such that $0 < \delta < (\lambda - 1) \frac{M^2}{2^{\lambda+4} 48}$, by (4.8) and (4.9) we obtain for every $n \geq 0$,

$$|E_\alpha \cap J_n| \leq M(x_{n+1} - x_n).$$

Taking into account that w satisfies the condition A_∞^+ and since $M = (2^{p+2}K)^{-1/\eta}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(E_\alpha \cap J_n) &\leq K \left(\frac{|E_\alpha \cap J_n|}{x_{n+1} - x_n} \right)^\eta w(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &= 2^{-(p+2)} w(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing these inequalities for every $n \geq 0$, we have that

$$w(E_\alpha \cap I_i) \leq 2^{-(p+1)} w(I_i),$$

holds for every connected component I_i of A_α , which implies (4.3). Now, applying Theorem 5, Theorem 6 and standard arguments (see [7, page 108]) it follows the theorem. \square

5. Application to Fractional Integrals

We begin this section by showing that the reverse inequality of (3.23) holds. More precisely:

Proposition 1.

Let φ belong to $S(\mathbb{R})$ supported on $(-\infty, 0]$. Let m and n non-negative integers such that $n + m \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. If

$$g^+(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |(\phi_t * f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

where ϕ is defined as in (3.21), then there exists a constant c such that

$$c \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq \|g^+(f)\|_{L^p(w)}, \tag{5.1}$$

holds if $1 < p < \infty$, $f \in L^p(w)$ and $w \in A_p^+$.

If we consider $S_a^+(f)$ as in Theorem 5, then (5.1) holds substituting $S_a^+(f)$ for $g^+(f)$.

Proof. Let $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$, $f(x) = f(-x)$. Since the inequality (3.11) is valid for $p = 2$ and $w = 1$, we get

$$\int \int_0^\infty |(\phi_t * f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} dx \leq c \int |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then, by Plancherel's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_0^\infty |(\phi_t * f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} dx &= \int \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\phi}(tx)\widehat{f}(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\phi}(-t)|^2 \frac{dt}{-t} \int_{-\infty}^0 |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 dx + \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\phi}(t)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^\infty |\widehat{f}(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|\widehat{\phi}(t)|^2}{|t|} dt \leq C. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\psi(x) = \phi(-x)$. Then $\psi(x) = 0$ if $x < 0$ and we have

$$\int \int_0^\infty (\phi_t * f)(x) \overline{(\psi_t * h)(x)} \frac{dt}{t} dx = \int \int_0^\infty \widehat{\phi}(tx) \overline{\widehat{\psi}(tx)} \widehat{f}(x) \overline{\widehat{h}(x)} \frac{dt}{t} dx. \tag{5.3}$$

Since $\overline{\widehat{\psi}(x)} = \widehat{\phi}(x)$, we get that (5.3) is equal to

$$\int \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\widehat{\phi}(tx)^2}{t} dt \right) \widehat{f}(x) \overline{\widehat{h}(x)} dx.$$

We have that if $x > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\widehat{\phi}(tx)^2}{t} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} dt \tag{5.4}$$

and if $x < 0$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\widehat{\phi}(tx)^2}{t} dt = - \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} dt. \tag{5.5}$$

By (5.2) we know that $\left| \frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} \right|$ is integrable. On the other hand, $\widehat{\phi}$ can be extended to the upper half-plane as

$$\widehat{\phi}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-2\pi izx} \phi(x) dx.$$

This function $\widehat{\phi}(z)$ is analytic for $\text{Im}z > 0$ and

$$|\widehat{\phi}(z)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|}.$$

Then $\frac{\widehat{\phi}(z)^2}{z}$ is an analytic function on the upper half-plane and for $z = x + iy$,

$$\left| \frac{\widehat{\phi}(z)^2}{z} \right|^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|} \frac{1}{|z|^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)|x|^{1/2}}.$$

Thus, we have that $\frac{\widehat{\phi}(z)^2}{z} \in H^{1/2}$ and since $\frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} \in L^1$ we get that $\frac{\widehat{\phi}(z)^2}{z} \in H^1$. Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} dt = 0.$$

Then the integrals (5.4) and (5.5) have the same value c and we get

$$c \int f(x)\overline{h(x)} dx = c \int \widehat{f}(x)\overline{\widehat{h}(x)} dx = \int \int_0^{\infty} (\phi_t * f)(x)\overline{(\psi_t * h)(x)} \frac{dt}{t} dx.$$

Since $w \in A_p^+$ implies that $w^{-p'/p} \in A_{p'}^-$, by the part of this theorem that we have already proved we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c| \left| \int f(x)\overline{h(x)} dx \right| &\leq \int g^+(f)(x)g^-(h)(x)dx \\ &\leq \left(\int g^+(f)(x)^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int g^-(h)(x)^{p'} w(x)^{-p'/p} dx \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \left(\int g^+(f)(x)^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p} C \|h\|_{L^{p'}(w^{-p'/p})}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $c = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} dt$ is different from zero. In fact, since $\left| \frac{\widehat{\phi}(z)^2}{z} \right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|z|)^2|z|}$ then

$$I = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\phi}(iy)^2}{iy} diy = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)^2}{t} dt = c.$$

Now, if we assume that $c = 0$, we have

$$\widehat{\phi}(iy) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\pi y\xi} \phi(\xi) d\xi$$

and

$$I = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\pi y\xi} \phi(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 dy = 0.$$

This implies that for every $y > 0$, $\widehat{\phi}(iy) = 0$. Then, since $\widehat{\phi}(z)$ is analytic for $\text{Im}z > 0$ we get that $\widehat{\phi} = 0$ and thus $\phi = 0$ by the unicity of the Fourier Transform. \square

Lemma 6.

Let $0 < \beta < \infty$, $-\infty \leq c < \infty$ and $f(x) \geq 0$ a function belonging to $L^1_{loc}(c, \infty)$. Assume that there exists a pair (a, b) , $c < a < b < \infty$ such that

$$\int_b^{\infty} \frac{f(y)}{(y-a)^\beta} dy < \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

Then (5.6) holds for every pair (a, b) , $c < a < b$.

Proof. The proof is easy and shall not be given. \square

Proposition 2.

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $w(x) \geq 0$ such that $w(x)^{-p'/p} \in L^1_{loc}(c, \infty)$ where $-\infty \leq c < \infty$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) For every non-negative $f(x)$, $f \in L^p(w)$, we have that $I^+_\alpha(f)(x)$ is finite a.e. on (c, ∞) .
- (ii) There exists a pair (a, b) , $c < a < b < \infty$, such that

$$\int_b^\infty \frac{w(y)^{-p'/p}}{(y-a)^{(1-\alpha)p'}} dy < \infty. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. (i) implies (ii). Since

$$\int_{x+1}^\infty \frac{f(y)}{(y-x)^{1-\alpha}} dy \leq I^+_\alpha(f)(x) < \infty \quad \text{a.e. on } (c, \infty),$$

by Lemma 6, with $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, given a pair (a, b) , $c < a < b < \infty$, the integral (5.6) is finite for every $f \in L^p(w)$. Then by the Principle of Uniform Boundedness it turns out that (ii) holds.

(ii) implies (i). By Lemma 6 since $w(x)^{-p'/p} \in L^1_{loc}(c, \infty)$ it follows that for every (a, b) , $c < a < b$, (5.7) holds. In particular, if $d > 0$ and $x > c$, by Hölder’s inequality we obtain

$$\int_{x+d}^\infty \frac{f(y)}{(y-x)^{1-\alpha}} dy \leq \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \left(\int_{x+d}^\infty \frac{w(y)^{-p'/p}}{(y-x)^{(1-\alpha)p'}} dy \right)^{1/p'} < \infty. \tag{5.8}$$

Let $c < x_1 < x_2 < \infty$. By simple changes of variables and Hölder’s inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\int_x^{x+d} \frac{f(y)}{(y-x)^{1-\alpha}} dy \right) dx &\leq \frac{d^\alpha}{\alpha} \int_{x_1}^{x_2+d} f(z) dz \\ &\leq \frac{d^\alpha}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2+d} \frac{w(y)^{-p'/p}}{(y-x)^{(1-\alpha)p'}} dy \right)^{1/p'} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The estimates (5.8) and (5.9) show that (i) holds. \square

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f \in L^p(w)$, w a weight in A^+_p that satisfies (ii) of Proposition 2. By that proposition, the difference $I^+_\alpha(f)(x+y) - I^+_\alpha(f)(x)$ is well defined for almost every $y > 0$, provided $I^+_\alpha(f)(x)$ is finite. Then

$$D_\alpha(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{|I^+_\alpha(f)(x+y) - I^+_\alpha(f)(x)|^2}{y^{1+2\alpha}} dy \right)^{1/2}$$

is well defined for almost every x , $x_{-\infty} < x$. For this $D_\alpha(f)$ we have the following theorem:

Theorem 8.

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A^+_p$ satisfying condition (5.7). Then, there exists a constant c_1 depending on α , p and w only, such that

$$c_1 \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq \|D_\alpha(f)\|_{L^p(w)}. \tag{5.10}$$

On the other hand, there exists another constant c_2 depending on α , p and w only, such that

(a) if $\alpha > 1/2$

$$\|D_\alpha(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{L^p(w)}. \tag{5.11}$$

(b) if $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $p > \frac{2}{1+2\alpha}$, then (5.11) holds provided that $w \in A^+_{p \frac{1+2\alpha}{2}} \subset A^+_p$.

Proof. Let f be a C_0^1 -function with support contained in $(x_{-\infty}, x_{\infty})$. We are going to show that for $0 < \mu < 1$

$$D_{\alpha}(f)(x) \leq c \{g^+(f)(x) + S_1^+(f)(x) + g_{\lambda}^*(f)(x)\}, \tag{5.12}$$

holds with $\lambda = 2\alpha + \mu$. The functions g^+ and g_{λ}^* correspond to a kernel $\phi(x) = \partial_x I_{\alpha}^+[x\varphi(x)]$ and S_1 has the kernel $\phi(x) = \partial_x I_{\alpha}^+[\varphi(x)]$, where $\varphi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$ and $\int \varphi = 1$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x) - I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x+y) &= [I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x) - (\varphi_y * I_{\alpha}^+(f))(x)] + \\ &[(\varphi_y * I_{\alpha}^+(f))(x) - (\varphi_y * I_{\alpha}^+(f))(x+y)] + [(\varphi_y * I_{\alpha}^+(f))(x+y) - I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x+y)] \\ &= I_1(x, y) + I_2(x, y) + I_3(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$D_{\alpha}(f)(x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{|I_j(x, y)|^2}{y^{1+2\alpha}} dy \right)^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^3 A_j(x).$$

Proceeding as in [13, page 162], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x) &\leq c g^+(f)(x), \quad A_2(x) \leq c S_1(f)(x) \\ &\text{and } A_3(x) \leq c g_{\lambda}^*(f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = 2\alpha + \mu, 1 - 2\alpha < \mu < 1$. Therefore, (5.12) holds. Then, in virtue of Theorems 5 and 7, we get (5.11) for f in C_0^1 .

If f is any function in $L^p(w)$, let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of C_0^1 -functions with support contained in $(x_{-\infty}, x_{\infty})$ converging to f in $L^p(w)$ substituting $|f - f_n|$ by f in (5.8) and (5.9) we see that $I_{\alpha}^+(f_n)(x)$ tends to $I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x)$ a.e. in $(x_{-\infty}, \infty)$. Thus, if $g(x, y) \geq 0$ satisfies

$$\left[\int_{x_{-\infty}}^{x_{\infty}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} g(x, y)^2 \frac{dy}{y^{1+2\alpha}} \right)^{p'/2} w(x)^{-p'/p} dx \right]^{1/p'} \leq 1,$$

then, by Fatou's Lemma and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{x_{-\infty}}^{x_{\infty}} \int_0^{\infty} g(x, y) |I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x+y) - I_{\alpha}^+(f)(x)| \frac{dy}{y^{1+2\alpha}} dx \\ &\leq \liminf \int_{x_{-\infty}}^{x_{\infty}} \int_0^{\infty} g(x, y) |I_{\alpha}^+(f_n)(x+y) - I_{\alpha}^+(f_n)(x)| \frac{dy}{y^{1+2\alpha}} dx \\ &\leq \liminf \|D_{\alpha}(f_n)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c \liminf \|f_n\|_{L^p(w)} = c \|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (5.11) holds for any f .

As for (5.10), proceeding as in [13, page 162], we get

$$g^+(f)(x) \leq c D_{\alpha}(f)(x)$$

for $x_{-\infty} < x$. Thus, (5.10) follows by integration and Proposition 1. □

6. Application to Multipliers

Let $m(x)$ be a bounded measurable function defined on \mathbb{R} . The operator

$$\widehat{T_m f}(x) = m(x) \widehat{f}(x)$$

is well defined if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. With this notation we have the following theorem:

Theorem 9.

Let $m(x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) be the boundary value of an analytic and bounded function on the upper half-plane. We assume that its derivative $Dm(x)$ exists for every $x \neq 0$ and

$$|x||Dm(x)| \leq c \quad , \quad x \neq 0 .$$

If $w \in A_p^+$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists a constant c' depending on p and w only, such that

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c' \|f\|_{L^p(w)} .$$

Proof. Let φ be a function with the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} (i) & \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \varphi \geq 0 , \\ (ii) & \text{supp } (\varphi) \subset (-\infty, 0] \text{ and} \\ (iii) & \int \varphi dx > 0 . \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

We define $\phi(x) = -x\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x) = \phi * \phi(x)/x^2$. These functions ϕ and ψ satisfy the same conditions (6.1) that φ does. Since $x^2\psi(x) = (\phi * \phi)(x)$ it follows that

$$D^2\widehat{\psi}(x) = [D\widehat{\phi}(x)]^2 . \tag{6.2}$$

Let $M(x, t)$ be define by $\widehat{M}(x, t) = m(x)\widehat{\varphi}(tx)$. By (i) and (ii) we get that $\widehat{\varphi}(x)$ is the boundary value of the function $\widehat{\varphi}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-2\pi izy} \varphi(y) dy$, where $\mathcal{I}m(z) > 0$ and $|\widehat{\varphi}(z)| \leq c/|z|^{k+2}$. Then, since $|m(z)| \leq c$, by the Cauchy's Theorem it follows that

$$M(x, t) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq x \text{ and } t > 0 . \tag{6.3}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{U}(x, t) &= m(x)\widehat{f}(x)\widehat{\psi}(tx) = \widehat{T}_m\widehat{f}(x)\widehat{\psi}(tx) \text{ and} \\ \widehat{u}(x, t) &= \widehat{f}(x)\widehat{\varphi}(tx) . \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (6.2) it follows that

$$\partial_t^2 \widehat{U}(x, t) = \partial_t \widehat{M}(x, t) \partial_t \widehat{u}(x, t) .$$

Thus,

$$\partial_t^2 U(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t M(y, t) \partial_t u(x - y, t) dy .$$

By a change of variables and (6.3) we have

$$\partial_t^2 U(x, t) = \int_0^{\infty} \partial_t M(-y, t) \partial_t u(x + y, t) dy .$$

Following [13, page 96], we have that

$$g^+(T_m(f))(x) \leq c g_2^*(f)(x) .$$

Appealing to Proposition 1 and Theorem 7, and recalling that $\int \psi > 0$, we get

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c' \|f\|_{L^p(w)} ,$$

whenever $w \in A_p^+$, $1 < p < \infty$. □

References

- [1] Aimar, H., Forzani, L. and Martín-Reyes, F. On weighted inequalities for one-sided singular integrals, to appear in *Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [2] Benedek, A., Calderón, A.P. and Panzone, R. (1962). Convolution operators on Banach-space valued functions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **48**, 356–365.
- [3] Duoandikoetxea, J. (1991). Análisis de Fourier, *Ediciones de la Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*, Madrid.
- [4] Fefferman, C. and Stein, E.M. (1972). H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129**, 137–193.
- [5] García-Cuerva, J. and Rubio de Francia, J.L. (1985). *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [6] Martín-Reyes, F.J., Pick, L. and de la Torre, A. (1993). A_∞^+ condition, *Canad. J. Math.* **45**, 1231–1244.
- [7] Muckenhoupt, R. and Wheeden, R. (1974). Norm inequalities for the Littlewood–Paley function g_λ^* , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **191**, 95–111.
- [8] Riesz, F. and Sz–Nagy, B. (1966). *Functional Analysis*, Ungar, New York.
- [9] Riveros, S. (1994). Extraplación para pesos laterales, Doctoral Dissertation, Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Santa Fe, Argentina.
- [10] de Rosa, L. and Segovia, C. A substitute of harmonic majorization, preprint.
- [11] de Rosa, L. and Segovia, C. (1995). Weighted H^p spaces for one sided maximal functions, *Contemporary Mathematics (AMS series)*, **189**, 161–183.
- [12] Sawyer, E. (1986). Weighted inequalities for the one sided Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **297**, 53–61.
- [13] Stein, E.M. (1970). *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Depto. de Matemática, Univ. de Buenos Aires, 1428–Buenos Aires, Argentina.
e-mail:segovia@iamba.edu.ar

Depto. de Matemáticas, Univ. Autónoma de Madrid, 28049–Madrid, Spain.
e-mail:lderosa@mate.dm.uba.ar

Current address: Instituto Argentino de Matemática, Viamonte 1636, 1055–Buenos Aires, Argentina.