BULLETIN of the International Association of ENGINEERING GEOLOGY Nº 19 364 - 371 KREFELD 1979

CLASSIFICATION OF ROCKS AND SOILS FOR ENGINEERING GEOLOGICAL MAPPING PART I: ROCK AND SOIL MATERIALS

CLASSIFICATION DES SOLS ET DES ROCHES EN VUE DE LA CARTOGRAPHIE GEO-**TECHNIOUE** PREMIERE PARTIE: LES MATÉRIAUX DES ROCHES ET DES SOLS

Report of the Commission of Engineering Geological Mapping of the International Association of Engineering Geology

Rapport de la

Commission de cartographie géotechnique de l'Association internationale de géologie de l'ingénieur

Preface

In 1976 UNESCO published a guide to the preparation of engineering geological maps prepared by the Commission on Engineering Geological Maps of the International Association of Engineering Geology. That guidebook gave a brief outline of the principles of classification of rocks and soils for engineering geological mapping. The present report presents the first part of a more detailed treatment of this topic, and deals with the classification and description of rock and soil material for mapping purposes.

Members of the IAEG Commission who have taken part in the preparation of this report are:

Préface

En 1976 I'UNESCO publia un Guide pour la preparation des cartes géotechniques, préparé par la Commission de cartographie géotechnique de l'Association internationale de géologie de l'ingénieur. Ce guide donnait une brève esquisse des principes d'une classification des rochcs et des sols en vue de la cartographie géotechnique. Le présent rapport constitue la première partie d'une étude plus détaillée de cette question; il traite de la classification et de la description des matériaux des roches et des sols en vue de la cartographie.

Voici la liste des membres de la commission de I'AIGI qui ont pris part à la préparation de ce rapport:

- MATULA M., Professor (Chairman/president). Department of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology, Comenius University, Gottwaldovo nám. 2, Bratislava (Czechoslovakia)
- DEARMAN W.R., Professor (Editor/redacteur), Department of Geology, Engineering Geology Unit, University of Newcastleupon-Tyne (United Kingdom)
- GOLODKOVSKAJA G.A., Professor, Geological Faculty, Moskovskij Universitet, Moskva 117234 (USSR)
- PAHL A., Dr., Bundesanstalt fiir Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe. Postfach 510 153, 3000 Hannover (Federal Republic of Germany)
- RADBRUCH-HALL Dorothy H., (Secretary), United States Geological Survey. 345 Middlefield Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (United States of America)

Sommaire

SANEJOUAND R., Dr., Laboratoire Central des Ponts et Chaussées, 58 Boulevard Lefebvre, 75732 Paris, Cedex 15 (France)

Contents

neous rock and soil types

Texture

Colour

Weathered state (degree of weathering)

Degree of jointing

Relative density of cohesionless soils

Consistency of cohesive soils

Strength of hard and soft rocks

Deformability

Permeability

Durability

Quantitative classification of rock and soil material

- Indirect characteristics:
	- Unit weight

Porosity

Saturation degree

Plasticity index

Sonic velocity

Direct characteristics

Quantitative classification of rock and soil masses

4. Geotechnical classifications

5. References

1. Principles of engineering geological classification of rocks

The physical properties of rocks, including hard and soft rocks as well as engineering soils, are dependent on their lithogenesis, that is their origin and mode of formation. Thus a classification system of rocks for engineering geological purposes must of necessity be based on lithogenetic criteria. At present not enough is known of the relations between engineering properties and lithogenetic characters to establish a unified classification system in which individual classes would represent a natural rock unit characterized by a narrow range of engineering properties. Consequently, a three-part engineering geological classification is proposed (Tab. 1), comprising:

- a lithogenetic classification; (i)
- engineering geological characteristics of rock material and the (ii) rock mass; and
- (iii) geotechnical classifications.
- \overline{z} LITHCCENETIC CLASSIFICATION
- α) Major taxonomic unit (LS, LC, LT, ET)
- \mathbf{b} Basic map unit and its lithogenetic characteristics
- \circ) Number of map unit
- d) Map symbol (pattern, colour, etc.)
- $\operatorname{\mathsf{Thickness}}$ $e)$ ϵ) Age
- $_{\rm R})$
- Stratigraphical symbol 6) Genetic group
-
- $\mathbb{I} \mathbb{I}$ ENGINEERING GEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS
- a) For the principal lithological types or engineering geological types, their classification characteristics (composite descriptive name) (2.1 and 2.3).
- For rock material of the principal lithological or \mathfrak{D}) engineering geological types, the semiguantitative and
quantitative values of indirect (3.5.1) and direct (5.2) characteristics of the rock material.
- For lithological complexes in situ, characteristic \circ) rock mass properties and the structure of individual complexes (3.6).
- III GEOTECHNICAL CLASSIFICATIONS
- Tab. 1: Unified system of classification and characterization of rocks for use on the legend of engineering geological maps (arranged in vertical columns)

nom de roche ou de sol homogène, constituant un type Texture Couleur Etat d'altération (degré d'altération) Degré de fissuration Densité relative des sols non cohérents Consistance des sols cohérents Résistance des roches dures et tendres Déformabilité Perméabilité

Durabilité

Classification quantitative des matériaux des roches et des sols

Caractéristiques indirectes:

- Masse spécifique
- Porosité
- Degré de saturation
- Indice de plasticité
- Vitesse du son
- Caractéristiques directes

Classification quantitative des masses de roches et de sols

4. Classifications géotechniques

5. Références

There is an obvious need to make the classification simple so that it may be readily understood and used by geotechnicians and other engineers for planning, design and construction purposes. Two other comments are necessary. The first concerns geotechnical classifications which are usually embodied in Codes of Practice drawn up by various countries. For the foreseeable future there appears to be little prospect of international agreement on such matters, and the very general suggestions in this report are only an indication of what might be achieved in the future.

A second comment concerns the use of the terms rock and engineering soil. Although both soil and rock are covered by the single geological term "rock", there is a clear distinction to be made between the engineering behaviour of the two. Another Working Party (Anon. 1972) has used as a guide to the distinction between soil and rock the following quotation: "Soil is an aggregate of mineral grains that can be separated by such gentle means as agitation in water. Rock, on the other hand, is a natural aggregate of minerals connected by strong and permanent cohesive forces. Since the terms "strong" and "permanent" permanent to different interpretations, the boundary between soil and rock is necessarily an arbitrary one" (Terzaghi & Peck 1967, p. 4). leading to the recognition of soft rocks or hard soils at the transition from rock to engineering soil.

2. Lithogenetic classification

2.1 Introduction

Internationally acknowledged taxonomic units (UNESCO-IAEG 1976) in the lithological classification of rocks for engineering geological maps are:

These units have been defined and for ease of reference the definitions (UNESCO-IAEG 1976, p. 12 and Section 7.2) are given here:

Engineering geological type:

The engineering geological type has the highest degree of physical homogeneity. It should be uniform in lithological character and
physical state. These units can be characterized by statistically determined values derived from individual determinations of physical and mechanical properties.

Lithological type:

A **lithological type is homogeneous throughout in composition, texture and structure, but usually is not uniform in physical state. Reliable values of average mechanical properties cannot be given for the entire unit; usually only a general idea of engineenng properties, with a range of values, can be presented.**

Lithological complex:

A lithological complex comprises a set of genetically related lithological types developed under specific palaeogeographical and geotectonic conditions. Within a lithologicat complex the spatial arrangement of lithological types is uniform and distinctive for that complex, but a lithological complex is not necessarily uniform in either lithological character or physical state. In consequence, it is not possible to define the physical and mechanical properties of the whole lithological complex, but only to give data on the individual lithological types comprising the complex and to indicate the general behaviour of the whole lithological complex. The lithological complex is used as a mapping unit on medium-scale and some small-scale maps.

Lithological suite:

The lithological suite comprises many lithological complexes that have developed under generally similar paleogeographical and tectonic conditions. It has certain common lithological characteristics throughout which impart a general unity to the suite and serve to distinguish it from other suites. Only very general engineering geological properties of a lithological suite can be defined.

In general, the lithogenetic classification for engineering geology should be simple but at the same time retain a scientific basis (Dearman 1974a). Such requirements are met only by a typological classification based on a limited number of distinctive, named rock types to which the mapping rock units can be referred. The same principles are applied for lithological complexes, where general lithological and genetical characteristics should not be replaced by various regional or local names for formations and other stratigraphical rock units (these, however, can provide additional information).

There arc two aspects of the classification of lithologicai types. The first is expressed in the **fundamental name of the rock,** which in comparison **with petrographic terminology is: simpler, technically more useful, and** unified for all genetic rock groups. The second is the use of a unified **descriptive system using a composite descriptive name for a particular lithological type.**

2.2 Fundamental name

A simple, tcchnically useful and unified classification of fundamental lithological types is proposed in Tab. 2. The classification comprises all genetic rock groups: magmatic (major intrusive, minor intrusive, effusive); metamorphic (regional, contact, dynamic, hydrothcrmal) and sedimentary (unconsolidated and consolidated, clastic, carbonaceous, chemical, biogenic). An important criterion for division is grain-size classified semiquantitatively (very coarse-grained, coarsegrained, medium-grained, fine-grained, very fine-grained to amorphous). The classification also considers other attributes: namely fundamental types of rock fabric and fundamental mineral compositions. In Tab. 2, the names of the most common rocks are indicated in capital letters; unlithlfied (unconsolidated) sediments are indicated in italics.

2.3 Descriptive name

A composite descriptive name involves a comprehensive description of engineering geological properties as an adjunct to the fundamental rock name fore each lithologically homogcneous rock type (LT, ET). It includes the semiquantitatively expressed characteristics of: descriptive and physical properties (colour and texture), physical state (jointing, relative density, consistency, weathered state), mechanical properties (strength, deformability), permeability and durability.

First in order of importance is the fundamental rock or soil name which provides basic intbrmation on mineral composition and grain size. In the description of the rock unit within the map legend (or explanation) it is recommended that the importance of the rock name is stressed by placing it first for instance, and by the use of capital letters or by underlining. Supplementary. petrographic properties may be used where necessary to qualify the rock name, signifying, for example, a **distinctive mineralogical feature or indicating minor admixtures of other lithological types. Lithological character is also defined bv physical properties: 1. texture; 2. colour, which are placed next. Properties characterizing the rock state are placed after the lithological characteristics in the following order: 3. weathered state (or degree of weathering); 4. degree of jointing (and/or relative density in cohesionless soils, and/or consistency in cohesive soils). After that are placed the main engineering geological properties: 5. strength: 6. deformability; 7. permeability; 8. rock durability (resistance to external agents).**

It is evident that such a comprehensive description deals not only with

Tab. 2: Classification of rocks and soils for engineering geological purposes (a) sedimentary rocks

RHYOLITE | ANDESITE | BASALT

OBSIDIAN and PITCHSTONE | TACHYLYTE VOLCANIC GLASSES

Tab. 2: Classification of rocks and soils for engineering geological purposes (b) metamorphic and igneous rocks

rock material, but also to a certain degree with the **rock mass,** mainly when characterizing the physical state or permeability of rocks in natural conditions.

EGRNFELS AMPHIBOLITE

Methods for classifying the individual characteristics scmiquantitatively are given in detail in Section 3.

3. Engineering geology rock and soil characteristics

3.1 Introduction

Quartz,

GNEISS para-) hate la

flakey

SCHT

PHYLLITE

SLATE **YYLONITE**

Both qualitative and quantitative characteristics of rocks and soils are currently used in engineering geological mapping. While **qualitative** description based on observation and simple measurements prevail in the first mapping phases and in the preparation of maps at the smaller scales, quantitative evaluations arc inevitably made for more detailed maps.

Quantitative rock parameters include physical characteristics (unit weight, porosity, degree of saturation, plasticity), strength properties (tensile, compressive, uniaxial and triaxial, shear strength), deformation properties (deformation and elastic moduli, Poisson's ratio), permeability, rock durability and other properties, all of which are based on the laboratory testing of specimens or field tests, or both. Laboratory testing may also be undertaken in field laboratories which are a very valuable aid to mapping.

Also included are field tests for the determination of the index and physical-mechanical properties of individual homogeneous rock types. Here belong, for instance, vane tests, penetration-logging, pressuremetric tests, as well as various in situ tests to determine permeability.

Semiquantitative classifications represent an assessment of phenomena by ranking into classes which, however, are limited by quantitative values. When suitably set up, they provide information on a rock property which it is neither possible, necessary, nor appropriate to express by an exact numerical value. Though not exact, for the stated reasons, the information actually has a much higher value than a qualitative description of a phenomenon.

3.2 Characteristics of rock and soil properties

Characteristic properties should always be given separately for hard and soft rocks, for cohesionless gravelly and sandy soils, and for cohesive soils. There are three kinds of characteristic: (a) classification. (b) indirect and (c) direct.

Finegrained

Very finegrained

GLASSY **AMORPHOUS** on

0.06"

E v

SIZE

GRAIN

C.002

Classification characteristics serve for a systematic description and grouping of rocks into various classes, as welt as for the mutual comparison of different rock types. They are currently evaluated in mapping, and in the preliminary stages of site investigation, by simple and inexpensive methods.

Indirect characteristics are those physical properties which are not used for direct engineering calculations. They are, however, closely correlatable with strength, deformation or permeability properties and therefore provide "index properties" for the indirect estimation of the physical state of rocks and their engineering behaviour.

Direct characteristics, the evaluation of the main physical properties **of** rocks (strength. deformation, permeability), serve directly for engineering calculations.

In Tables 3 and 15 the symbols Q, S and N respectively indicate the qualitative, semiquantitative and numerical (i.e. quantitative) ways for representing, (a) classification, (b) indirect, and (c) direct characteristics of rock properties in legends on maps of varied scale $(I - \text{small}, II - \text{medium}, \text{and III} - \text{large-scale}).$

3.3. Methods of representation of quantitative rock and soil characteristics

On the map and its legend, semiquantitativcly and quantitatively assessed rock properties are delimited in different ways.

For semiquantitative evaluations on maps, boundaries are drawn around units which are homogenous in terms of, for example, a defined degree of plasticity, consistency, or relative density, or of such characteristics as degree of jointing or weathering grade. Quantitative assessments can also be expressed bv lines of equal wdues (isolines)

Pontnotes:

- The maps in the table are distinguished according to scale as: 1small , 11medium , $111 \text{large scale maps}$.
- The rock characteristic is indicated by: S Semiquantitative data.
N Numerical (quantitative data).
- 3. Data in brackets are not obligatory for that map-scale.
- Tab. 3: Selected classification characteristics for a descriptive name of the rock and soil types

over the area mapped, ahhough each mapped unit bounded by adjacent isolincs is in essence a semiquantitativcly classified map unit because intermediate values are not represented. Both types of map are, of course, examples of analytical maps.

The quantitative characteristics of rock and soil materials can be tabulated in so-called **enlarged legends** which are mainly produced to accompany maps of engineering geological conditions. In such maps all the fundamental components of the geological environment are represented by superposition. Apart from groundwater, relief and processes, particular attention is paid to the delineation, representation and general characterization of the spatial distribution, properties and physical state of rock units (ET. LT. LC or LS, depending on map scales). That is why. even within the enlarged legend and apart from the explanations of other mapped phenomena, most of the space is given to rocks and soils.,

The engineering geological characteristics of rocks are represented as in Section II of Tab. l where:

- (a) in Ila the semiquantitative assessments of all classification characteristics are indicated by using the composite descriptive name (2.3 and 3.4):
- (b) in lib the semiquantitative or quantitative values of direct and indirect characteristics of the rock material of individual lithological and engineering geological types are given (3.5.1 and $3.5.2$;
- (c) in lie the classification, indirect and direct characteristics of rock masses **in sitn** are presented for individual lithological complexes (3.6.1 and 3.6:2).

The quantitative characteristics of rock material can be given most fully in the explanatory text, memoir or report accompanying the map. As a rule such information is dealt with in chapters dealing with individual rock units and their properties. Usually arranged in stratigraphical sequence, the rock complexes thus receive a comprehensive, technical evaluation which, apart from detailed discussion of individual characteristics, also summarizes their properties in annotated tables, graphs and diagrams.

For sufficiently homogeneous rock and soil types, usually lithologieal (ET) and engineering geological types (ET), properties can be quantified in terms of:

- (a) individual values.
- (b) classes from a semiquantitative classification,
- (c) general statistical values $(\bar{x}, x_{\text{min}}, x_{\text{max}})$, coefficient of variation, etc),
- (d) statistically weighted values.

3.4 Classification characteristics for a full descriptive name of homogeneous rock and soil types

Properties include those that are purely descriptive, those that can bc determined by classification tests requiring little or no test specimen preparation, and those that can be determined only by complex testing or requiring extensive specimen preparation, or both.

The most important classification characteristics (Tab. 3) are incorporated into the svstem of a **composite descriptive name** of the **litholugical type** which is presented in Part lla of the enlarged map legend (see Tab. 1). They are the following:

Rock **name**

Texture (grain size) Colour Weathered state Degree of jointing Relative density Consistency Strength Deformability Permeability Durability

3.4.1 Texture

Of the textural elements used for classification, the most important is **grain** size which can be classified semiquantitatively as in Tab. 4. It has been necessary to choose from classifications for different genetic groups one that expressed realistically the technical significance of grain size and could be adopted for all kinds of rocks and soil. The class boundaries have been fixed at the physically justified limits of grain size grades adopted for engineeering soils.

Tab. 4: Grain size classification classes

Because grain size considerably affects the physical properties of a rock, it should be indicated directly in the rock name. Although not quantitatively classified many other aspects of rock texture may be used, such as:

- (a) **relative grain size** (uniform, non-uniform, porphyritic);
- (b) **grain shape** may be described by reference to the general form of the particles, their angularity which indicates the degree of rounding at edges and corners, and their **surface texture** (Tab. 5).
- (c) the spatial arrangement of grains, referred to as the rock and soil fabric (for example, preferred orientation or lack of it; patterns produced by non-uniform arrangements of crystals, grains and groundmass, etc.).

Tab. 5: Grain shape

3.4.2 Colour

Rock colour can be quantitatively evaluated using, for example, the Rock Color Chart published by the Geological Society of America, 1963. As an alternative, it is recommended that the following simple system (Anon. 1972), which limits the subjectivity of an evaluation. should be used. One term is selected, as required, from each column Crab 6, next page), and combined as a colour assessment.

Examples of use are: light yellowish brown, dark reddish yellow, dark brown etc. If necessary colour heterogeneity can be emphasised separately by the use of terms such as spotted, dappled, e.g. light yellowish brown spotted with dark brown.

3.4.3 Weathered state (degree of weathering)

A qualitative classification based on the estimation and description of

Tab. 6: Terms for lightness, chroma and hue which may be used for colour description.

physical disintegration and chemical decomposition of an originally sound rock is used for mapping purposes. Based on the analysis of various existing descriptive classifications, the following classification of the weathered state of the rock material is suggested (Tab. 7).

Tab. 7: Classification of the degree of weathering of rock material

A classification suitable for such a purpose is one in which rock material is considered separately according to whether the weathered state is caused by discoloration (D), by chemical decomposition (CH), by physical disintegration (M), or by hydrothermal or other alteration (A). Five degrees are recognised; residual soil may be of type M (resulting from disintegration) or type CH (resulting from decomposition),

Examples of use are: fresh (rock); slightly decomposed: moderately disintegrated; highly altered: residual soil (CH). Usually combinations occur: highly disintegrated and moderately decomposed, etc.

3.4.4 Degree of jointing

For a semiquantitative classification of discontinuities in hard and soft rocks, the degree of jointing is the most important. The following classes are suggested (Tab. 8), based on a statistically representative evaluation of blocks determined by the smallest joint spacing:

Tab. 8: Classification of spacing of discontinuities

3.4.5 Relative density of cohesionless soils

The following semiquantitative classification of the relative density of sandy soils is proposed in Tab. 9.

Relative density is defined by the expression:

where e_{max} and e_{min} $\frac{e_{\text{max}} - e_{\text{n}}}{e_{\text{max}} - e_{\text{min}}},$

CLASS RELATIVE DENSITY (%) TERM

are the void ratio corresponding to the loosest and densest laboratory states for a given soil, and e_n is the void ratio of the soil in the field.

5usually weakly cemented Tab. 9: Relative density of sands and gravels

3.4.6 Consistency of cohesive soils

The physical state of cohesive soils is very closely expressed by the term consistency: the relative case with which a soil can be deformed.
Evaluation of consistency, based on simple manual tests, is very advantageous for mapping and may be used as an approximate indication of the strength of cohesive soils (Tab. 10).

Tab. 10: Classification of cohesive soils on the basis of consistency indicated by field tests

A consistency index may be determined from the numerical values of moisture content (m), liquid limit (LI.) and plastic limit (PI.):

Consistency index CI =
$$
\frac{LL - m}{LL - PL}
$$

3.4.7 Strength of hard and soft rocks

A reliable indication of the strength of rock material is well expressed in terms of dry uniaxial unconfined compression strength, which may be used as the basis of a semiquantitative rock strength classification $(Tab. 11)$.

*Rocks with a strength lower than 1.5 MPa are, as a rule, hard soils and should be tested accordingly

⁺Soft rocks are weaker than 50 MPa; strong rocks stronger than 50 MPa.

Tab. 11: Strength classification for rocks

3.4.8 Deformability

The deformability of rock material may be characterized in terms of type:

- (a) The rock is **brittle** when the stress-strain diagram indicates that the yield point is close to the failure limit; the plastic reserve is small.
- (b) The rock is ductile when the yield point is far from the failure limit; the plastic reserve is great.
- (c) The rock shows a **plastic creep bebaviour** when slow plastic creep deformation occurs.

Five degrees of rock material deformability may be distinguished on the basis of a standard statical deformation modulus obtained by laboratory testing (Tab. 12).

Tab. 12: Deformability of hard and soft rock in terms of deformation modulus D.

Both of these characteristics are given in the descriptive rock name as follows: brittle, very low deformability: ductile, moderate deformability; plastic creep, very high deformability.

Soil deformability can be expressed as a semiquantitative modulus of compression (Tab. 13).

Tab. 13: Soil deformability in terms of modulus of compression

3.4.9 Permeability

The degree of permeability for rock and soil material is given by the permeability value, k, obtained either by testing specimens or from a qualitative estimation related to the physical state of the rock materials in situ (porosity, microfissures, weathering state). Permeability values for rock masses, determined by rising and falling head tests and packer tests, are generally higher than those for corresponding rock material samples due to the influence of discontinuities. In homogeneous soil types, however, soil material and soil mass permeabilities may be in good agreement, provided that the soil material is not too highly disturbed by the sampling process.

It is recommended that the following semiquantitative classification (Tab. 14) be used for describing the approximate order of permeability state in rocks and soils.

Tab. 14: Permeability classes

3.4.10 Durability

There is as yet no general agreement on the methods to be used for determining a durability index for hard and soft rocks. Similarly a swelling or slaking index would be a useful means of characterizing cohesive soils and soft rocks, but the methods of determining these indices still have to be investigated.

3.5 Quantitative classification of rock and soil material

A review of selected indirect and direct characteristics most often used for the quantitative evaluation of rock material properties is given in Tab. 15. These characteristics are represented in semiquantitative or numerical (quantitative) form in Part IIb of an enlarged map legend (see Tab. 1). Properties are assessed or statistically computed, or both, only for sufficiently homogeneous rock and soil types. Hard and soft rocks, cohesionless sandy and gravelly soils, and cohesive soils are classified separately. Classification characteristics for rock materials are included in Tab. 3 (see 3.4).

3.5.1 Indirect characteristics

Indirect characteristics are those (Tab. 15a) not concerned directly with technical calculations (with the exception of unit weight), but are indispensible, or at least very useful, for detailed definition of the pyhsical properties, physical state and behaviour of rocks. Several of these indirect characteristics are closely correlated with the direct characteristics of strength, deformability and porosity of rocks:
therefore, they are also called "index" characteristics and are advantageously used in rapid, large-scale and inexpensive investigations of rock environments.

Indirect characteristics are given mainly within the enlarged legend of medium and large-scale maps or in the text of memoirs, by means of

Pootnotes :

- 1. The maps in the table are distinguished according to scale as: $I = small$, $II = medium$, $III = large scale maps$.
- 7. The cook characteristic in indicated by: $S = S \in \text{Neap}$ antitative data, $N = \text{Rumeftail (quantite})$.
-
- 3. Eata in brackets are not obligatory for that map-scale

Tab. 15: Selected indirect and direct characteristics for rock and soil material.

tables, graphs and diagrams. In Section 3.5 only those characteristics recommended for use are presented, apart from direct numerical data, such as, for example, grain size fractions, specific gravity, natural moisture content, plastic and liquid limits, as well as all direct characteristics and some semiquantitative classifications (e.g. consistency, 3.4.6).

They are mainly:

- (a) Unit weight
- (b) Porosity
- (c) Saturation degree
- (d) Plasticity index (e) Sonic velocity

3.5.1.1 Unit weight

Unit weight is an important indicator of the physical properties of rock material, and correlates well with mineral composition, porosity and strength (Tab. 16).

Tab. 16: Dry unit weight classes for soil and hard and soft rocks

3.5.1.2 Porosity

Porosity is as important for the estimation of pyhsical properties of rocks as unit weight, particularly so in relation to permeability. The following semiquantitative classifications are recommended, using values of either porosity or void ratio (Tab. 17).

3.5.1.3 Saturation degree

Degree of saturation is an important index characteristic for the physical state of cohesionless soils, mainly sands. It may be classified semiquantitatively according to the degree of saturation (Tab. 18).

3.5.1.4 Plasticity index

Classification according to the plasticity index is given in Tab. 19.

HARD AND SOFT RCCKS

CLASS	VOID RATIC	POROSITY (%)	TERM.
	greater than 0.43	greater than 30	Very high
\cdot 2	$C.43 - 0.18$	$30 - 15$	high
-3	$0.18 - 0.05$	$15 - 5$	Medium
t_{+}	$0.05 - 0.01$	$5 - 1$	Low
- 5	less than 0.01	less than l	Very low

SO! LS

Tab. 17: Classification of porosity in hard and soft rocks and in soils

CLASS DEGREE OF SATURATION (%) TERM

Tab. 18: Classification of degree of saturation

*for very "fat" clays

Tab. 19: Plasticity index classes of soils

Tab. 20: Sonic velocity classes for hard and soft rocks

3.5.1.5 Sonic velocity

Sonic velocity is a good index characteristic of the mechanical properties of hard and soft rocks, and may be classified semiquantitatively as in Tab. 20.

3.5.2 Direct characteristics

Numerical values referring to strength, deformation and permeability properties of rock material arc usually given (Tab. 15b) and they can also be used for some direct engineering calculations. Their semiquantitative classes (3.4) serve as the classification characteristics for preliminary purposes and for grouping and comparing various types of rock, mainly in mapping.

3.6 Quantitative classification of rock and soil masses

Characteristic quantitative properties of entire lithological complexes or lithological types (Tab. 1, Part lla, c) under the natural conditions in which rock masses occur are much more important than characteristic rock material properties from selected specimens. In spite of this, methods for investigating and classifying rock masses are much less developed than those for rock and soil material, and the difficulties are substantially greater.

Details of a proposed classification are under discussion and will be published as Part II of this Report.

4. Geotechnical classifications

The use of rock (rock and soil) classifications based on various building standards and codes provides a useful additional engineering evaluation of the lithological units delineated on current engineering geological maps (see Part Ill, Tab. 1).

The most frequently applied classifications are related to:

- 1. Design and construction of foundations in industrial and residential construction;
- 2. Suitability of rocks and foundations and as building material for embankments in road construction;
- 3. Suitability for earth dams and levees;
- 4. Difficulty of disintegration in stripping operations and excavations (ease of excavation).

All these and many other engineering classifications are based on quantitative definition of specified limits between individual classes according to selected criteria. Thus, they are also semiquantitative classifications.

CLASS SONIC VELOCITY (m.s .-i) TERM 5. **References**

- ANON. (1972) : The preparation of maps and plans in terms of engineering geology. O. Jl. Engng Geol., 5, 293-381.
- TERZAGHI K. -- PECK R.B. (1967) : Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice. New York (Wiley) 2nd Ed.
- UNESCO/IAEG (1976) : Engineering geological maps. A guide to their preparation. The Unesco Press, Paris, 79 pp.
- GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA (1963) : Rock-color Chart.